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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-ME</td>
<td>β-mercaptoethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>ammonium persulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bip</td>
<td>immunoglobulin heavy chain binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>bovine serum albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaBP</td>
<td>calcium binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPY</td>
<td>carboxypeptidase Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>indigocarbocyanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAPI</td>
<td>4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. discoideum</td>
<td>Dicyostelium discoideum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. melanogaster</td>
<td>Drosophila melanogaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEAE</td>
<td>diethylaminoethyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM</td>
<td>Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-V</td>
<td>dorsal-ventral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>endoplasmic reticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAD</td>
<td>endoplasmic reticulum associated degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERp</td>
<td>endoplasmic reticulum protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES cell</td>
<td>embryonic stem cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCS</td>
<td>foetal calf serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig</td>
<td>Figure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>glyceraldehydes phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRp</td>
<td>glucose regulated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSSH</td>
<td>glutathione (reduced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSSG</td>
<td>glutathione (oxidased)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>glutathione S-transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. sapiens</td>
<td>Homo sapiens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBS</td>
<td>Hepatitis B surface antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>N-[2-Hydroxyethyl]piperazine-N’-[2-ethanesulfonic acid]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP</td>
<td>heat shock protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ig</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>isopropylthio-β-D-galactoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kb</td>
<td>Kilo base pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>Kilo Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>knock out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDLR</td>
<td>low density lipoprotein-receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lif</td>
<td>Leukemia inhibitor factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. musculus</td>
<td>Mus musculus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC</td>
<td>major histocompatibity complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPS</td>
<td>2-[N-Morpholino]propanesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTP</td>
<td>microsomal triglyceride transfer protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEM</td>
<td>N-ethyl maleimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4H</td>
<td>prolyl 4-hydroxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abbreviations

PCR  polymerase chain reaction
PDI  protein disulfide isomerase
PDI-D  PDI-related protein PDI-D
PDI-Dα  PDI-related protein PDI-Dα, redox active
PDI-Dβ  PDI-related protein PDI-Dβ, redox inactive
pfu  plaque forming unit
PPI  peptidyl prolyl cis-trans isomerase
PVDF  polyvinylidene difluoride
RAP  receptor-associated protein
_R. norvegicus_  _Rattus norvegicus_
RNase  ribonuclease
RT  room temperature
_S. cerevisiae_  _Saccharomyces cerevisiae_
SDS  sodium dodecyl sulfate
TBE  Tri-borate-EDTA
TCA  trichloroacetic acid
TE  Tris-EDTA
TEMED  1,2-Bis-(dimethylamino)-ethane
Tris  tris[hydroxymethyl]aminomethane
TRX  thioredoxin
UPR  unfolded protein response
UPRE  unfolded protein response element
UV  Ultraviolet
v/v  volume per volume
w/v  weight per volume
wt  wild type
X-gal  5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactoside

Amino acids and their symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Three-letter symbols</th>
<th>One-letter symbols</th>
<th>Amino acids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Alanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Cysteine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Aspartic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Glutamic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Phenylalanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Histidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Leusine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Methionine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Asparagine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Proline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Glutamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Arginine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Serine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Threonine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Valine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Tryptophan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Tyrosine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Summary

My thesis work includes two parts: firstly, generation of a knock out mouse of a gene, ERp28, which encodes a putative endoplasmic reticulum chaperone protein and secondly, the structural and functional analysis of Wind, the *Drosophila* homologue of ERp28.

To generate the ERp28 knock out mice, the gene structure of mouse ERp28 (exon distribution in the locus) was first established. Then a mouse genomic DNA library was screened using $^{32}$P labelled cDNA probe which was prepared by RT-PCR and two positive clones containing the mouse ERp28 genomic locus were obtained. The restriction map of the gene locus was determined. The ERp28 gene has a simple structure, with only three exons. The first exon contains the initiator ATG (the translation starting codon) and the third exon contains the terminator TGA (the stop codon). The third exon encodes almost the complete D domain, which is unique to the PDI-D subfamily. Interestingly, during the preparation of cDNA probe for screening by RT-PCR, I found a possible alternative splicing form of ERp28 in which the second exon was spliced out. This form could also be found in humans and rats, but not in *Drosophila*, indicating that it exists in a limited number of species. However, further studies have to be performed to confirm and characterize this alternative splice form. Based on the gene structure and the restriction enzyme map of the locus, a mouse ERp28 knock out (KO) vector was made and was transfected into ES cells. 3 positive recombination ES clones were obtained by selection with antibiotics and PCR-based screening. Two of these positive clones were introduced into mouse blastocysts by microinjection and the blastocysts were used to generate chimeric mice. Male chimeric mice were mated with female wild type mice (C57BL6N) to produce heterozygotes. The heterozygotes were then recrossed to generate -/- homozygotes. The chimeric mice, heterzygotes and homozygotes were genotyped and confirmed by PCR and/or Southern blot. So far no obvious phenotype of the homozygotes (-/-) have been found. Therefore, some detailed analyses will be carried out to clarify the function of ERp28. In addition, although ERp28 expresses in a variety of tissues and organs, revealed by immunohistological studies, it shows relatively higher expression levels in some specific tissues or cells, for example glial cells in the brain stem and choroid plexus of the further ventricle and the heart, at least in stage E12 embryos. This provides very useful information for the phenotypic analysis.

The second part of my work was the structure determination, by crystallography, of a PDI-Dβ protein. As earlier attempts to crystallize human ERp28 were unsuccessful (Dr. D.M Ferrari, personal communication), I concentrated my efforts on the *Drosophila* homologue,
Wind is essential for dorsal-ventral patterning in *Drosophila* embryos, and it has recently been shown to be crucial for the correct localization of Pipe, a key factor in the process of dorsal-ventral patterning.

The crystallization project, performed in collaboration with Dr. Qinjun Ma, Prof. Dr. Isabel Uson and Prof. George M. Sheldrick at the Department of Structural Chemistry, University of Göttingen was successful. The 1.9 Angstrom crystal structure shows that Wind forms a homodimer. Each of the monomers contains two distinct domains, a N-terminal thioredoxin-like domain and a C-terminal D-domain. The dimer interface is contributed by the thioredoxin-like domain only, without participation of the D-domain. The N-terminal domain has a very characteristic thioredoxin fold, with \(\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\beta\alpha\) structural elements. The C-terminal D-domain forms a unique domain containing only 5 \(\alpha\)-helices. Homodimerization yields a deep dimer cleft, which is negatively charged and large enough to hold a small peptide. The conserved residues form very distinct clusters on the top surface of Wind, indicating their importance for Wind function. Studies combining data from structural analysis, biochemical experiments and mutagenesis suggest a candidate substrate binding site around Y55 in the surface of thioredoxin domain. We also show through insulin reduction assays and mutagenesis that although Wind carries a CTGC motif at its very N-terminus, this motif is redox inactive and is not necessary for the transporting of Pipe from the ER to the Golgi. These results indicate that Wind may be a redox-independent chaperone/escort protein. Furthermore, we have recently (Ma *et al.*, 2003) shown that although both the b- and D-domains are indispensable for the function of Wind, the D-domain of Wind can be replaced with that of mouse ERp28 without defect of the translocation of Pipe. This indicates a similar/same function of the D-domain of both proteins. The results from Wind have provided very useful information for clarification of the function of mammalian ERp28. Furthermore, the structure of Wind is the first crystal structure of PDI-related proteins in the ER. The structure and possible substrate-binding site revealed in this work may provide important clues on substrate binding sites and mechanisms of function of other PDI proteins.
2. Introduction

2.1 Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and protein folding

In eukaryotic cells, proteins destined for the secretory pathway or the extracellular space enter the ER and are then transferred to the Golgi apparatus, where they are sorted according to their final destination. Two important changes occur to a protein in the ER; it is properly folded into its native conformation and post-translationally modified. A protein is translocated into the ER in unfolded form. Folding occurs as the protein enters the lumen and this process is associated with modifications such as N-linked glycosylation, disulfide bond formation, signal peptide cleavage or addition of a glycosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor. These modifications are important for correct protein folding and/or protein function. For multimeric proteins, usually, proper oligomerization also takes place in the ER. Several exquisite mechanisms are provided by the ER to promote the unfolded nascent proteins to be properly folded, prevent them from aggregation and guarantee that only those proteins which are correctly folded can leave the ER and that those failing to fold or assemble will not proceed through the secretory pathway. First, the ER provides a suitable environment for the process, with optimal pH and redox conditions and a vast array of chaperones and foldases available, including members of the protein disulfide isomerase family (PDI), BiP (immunoglobulin heavy chain-binding protein) (Kassenbrock et al., 1988), GRP94 (Bose et al., 1996), Calreticulin (Hebert et al., 1996), Calnexin (Bergeron et al., 1994), Peptidyl-prolyl isomerase (PPIase) (Freskgard et al., 1992). Second, under situations where unfolded or misfolded proteins accumulate in the ER, a signalling pathway called the Unfolded protein responses (UPR) is activated to upregulate the expression of chaperones in the ER and to generate more chaperones to fulfil the requirement (Chapman et al., 1998; Harding et al., 2002). Third, the ER has a very stringent quality control system by which the folding state of proteins are monitored (Chevet et al., 2001; Ellgaard and Helenius, 2003). Proteins with a correct conformation can leave the ER for the next station, in contrast, unfolded, incompletely folded or misfolded proteins will be retained and bound by molecular chaperones or foldases in the ER and undergo further attempts to achieve the native conformation. However, if these attempts fail, the proteins will enter the degradation pathway called ERAD (ER associated degradation) through which the unfolded or misfolded proteins will be retro-translocated from the ER to the cytosol and eventually degraded in the proteasome (Ellgaard and Helenius, 2001; Hampton, 2002; Kostova and Wolf, 2003). In all of these mechanisms, the chaperones and foldases occupy the critical positions. This introduction will focus on one of them, protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) and other members of the PDI family.
2.2 Protein disulfide Isomerase

Protein disulfide isomerase is a member of the thioredoxin superfamily and is highly abundant in the ER-lumen of a variety of tissues and organs and is highly conserved between species. It was first isolated from liver and characterized by the pioneer of protein folding, Christian Anfinsen in 1963 based on its ability to catalyse the refolding of ribonuclease A, an enzyme with 4 disulfide bridges (Golberger, et al., 1963). Since then, with the efforts of many scientists, several important functions of protein disulfide isomerase including its ability to catalyse the formation, reduction and isomerization of disulfide bonds (redox/isomerase function), chaperone activity, forming the subunit of the enzyme proly-4-hydroxylase (P4H) (Pihlajaniemi et al., 1987) and microsomal triacylglycerol transfer protein (MTP) (Wetterau et al., 1990) have been detected. Meanwhile, numerous proteins having functional and structural similarities to PDI have been discovered and recently all of these proteins are assigned to a new protein family - the protein disulfide isomerase family. These proteins have some common features. First, they all contain a N-terminal signal sequence for translocation to the ER; second, all of them reside in the ER and have a C-terminal ER retrieval or retention signal KDEL, or similar motif KEEL, HDEL, QDEL, etc, with the exception of calsequestrin which is a SR (sarcoplasmic reticulum) protein (MacLennan and Wong, 1971); third, all of these proteins contain at least one or more redox active or inactive thioredoxin domain with some sequence similarity to the cytosolic protein, thioredoxin; more importantly these domains are highly conserved at the three dimensional level, all of them exhibiting the same characteristic thioredoxin fold. As for protein disulfide isomerase, it is about 510 amino acids in length and is composed of four thioredoxin-like domains, a C-terminal retrieval signal KDEL and an acidic region in between. Two redox active domains a and a’ with the active motif –CXXC- are separated by two redox inactive domains b and b’ without this motif (fig 2.1) (Ferrari and Söling, 1999; Freedman et al, 2002).

Figure 2.1: PDI model. Boxes represent a, b, b, a’ domains and C-terminal acidic region, respectively. Vertical lines are referred to the CGHC redox-active motif. (see details in text).
2.2.1 Redox / Isomerase function of PDI and the pathway of formation of disulfide bonds

The formation of biosynthetic disulfide bonds is an important step in the maturation of both membrane and secreted proteins in eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells. Disulfide bridges are often vital for the stability of a final protein structure, the incorrect pairing of cysteine residues usually prevents the folding of a protein into its native conformation. Recent evidence indicates that disulfide bonds are not only an inert structural motif to enhance the stability of proteins but also a regulator for the activity of the mature protein by a thiol-disulfide bond exchange reaction (Hogg, 2003). For example, the cytoplasmic enzyme ribonucleotide reductase becomes oxidized during its catalytic cycles, and it must be recycled to its reduced form to be reactivated (Jordan and Reichard, 1998). In plants, light-generated reducing equivalents are used to reduce the regulatory disulfide bonds in several photosynthetic enzymes, thereby inducing a switch from catabolic to anabolic respiration (Dai et al., 2001). Under specific cellular conditions, several transcription factors, including the bacterial OxyR (Helmann, 2002) and Hsp33 (Jakob et al., 1999), also become activated by the oxidation of cysteines that form disulfide bonds (Frand, 2000; Sevier and Kaiser, 2003). Thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1), a secreted extracellular glycoprotein participating in cell-cell and cell-matrix communication, has different disulfide-bonded forms in vivo and the disulfide interchange may be important for controlling its function (Essex and Li, 1999; Essex, 2001; Hogg, 2003).

The formation of a disulfide bond in vitro is a simple process during which two cysteines form a disulfide bond by transferring their electrons to an available acceptor, such as molecular oxygen. In vivo, however, the major mechanism for the formation of protein disulfide bonds is a thiol-disulfide exchange reaction of free thiols (-SH) with an already disulfide-bonded species. A thiol-disulfide exchange reaction can occur between a protein and any sulphydryl-containing substrate, including small thiol-containing compounds, such as glutathione, or a protein containing a disulfide bond. This process is catalysed by a class of proteins commonly known as thiol-disulfide oxidoreductases including DsbA (Bardwell et al., 1991), DsbC (Missiakas et al., 1993; Bardwell et al., 1993) in E.coli and protein disulfide isomerase and PDI-like proteins in eukaryotes. The activity of these proteins depends on a pair of cysteines that are often arranged in a –CXXC- motif within their thioredoxin domain (Sevier and Kaiser, 2003; Hiniker and Bardwell, 2003).
In the *E.coli* periplasm, disulfide bond formation and disulfide bond isomerization are catalysed by two separate pathways. The DsbA-DsbB pathway oxidizes thiol groups to form disulfides, while the DsbC-DsbD pathway isomerises mismatched disulfides. In the oxidative pathway, DsbA interacts with a folding protein containing reduced cysteines, oxidizing them to form disulfide bonds. In this process, DsbA is reduced and must donate its electrons to the inner membrane protein DsbB in order to be reoxidized. Under aerobic conditions, DsbB donates electrons to ubiquinone, which passes them to cytochrome oxidases and finally to molecular oxygen (Bader *et al*., 1998, 1999, 2000). Under anaerobic conditions, DsbB donates its electrons to menaquinone, which donates them to anaerobic electron acceptors such as fumarate reductase or nitrate reductase (Bader *et al*., 1998, 1999, 2000). In the DsbC-DsbD pathway, DsbC interacts with substrate proteins that contain non-native disulfide bonds and reshuffles these disulfide bonds to their native pairing (Zapun *et al*., 1995; Rietsch *et al*., 1996). DsbC requires DsbD in order to remain reduced in the highly oxidizing environment of the periplasm. The ultimate source of DsbD’s reducing potential is cytoplasmic NADPH, which transfers electrons to thioredoxin, the cytoplasmic protein that directly reduces DsbD (Katzen and Beckwith, 2000; Sevier and Kaiser, 2003; Hiniker and Bardwell, 2003).
In eukaryotes, although it has been known for a long time that PDI and PDI-like proteins can catalyse the formation and isomerisation of disulfide bonds of substrate proteins, it was not clear how they could be re-oxidized until the discovery of Ero1 (Frand and Kaiser, 1998; 1999). Ero1 is a glycosylated luminal ER protein that is tightly associated with the ER membrane. It delivers oxidizing equivalents directly to PDI by consuming oxygen in a FAD (flavin adenine dinucleotide)–dependent reaction, which, in turn oxidizes the substrate proteins (Tu and Weissman, 2000; Tu and Weissman, 2002. Bardwell, 2002). Recently, in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, Erv2, a new membrane associated ER protein with a luminal domain that is non-covalently bound to FAD was discovered. It was found that Erv2 could form a mixed-disulfide intermediate with PDI and it seems that Erv2 could also drive the oxidation of substrate proteins by transferring oxidizing equivalents to PDI (Gerber, 2001; Sevier, 2001). However, whether mammalian homologues of Erv2 exist is not clear. These findings and the observation that yeast mutants deficient in glutathione synthesis owing to mutations in the GSH1 gene have little or no defects in protein disulfide bond formation have led to a revised view that protein-oxidation pathways proceed by the direct transfer of oxidizing equivalents between enzymes and do not rely on oxidizing equivalents provided by glutathione, a long term candidate (Cuozzo and Kaiser, 1999). By the processes above, PDI can maintain its oxidized form which is dominant in the ER, although the reduced form is observed as well (Tu et al., 2000; Mezghrani et al., 2001). Interestingly, protein disulfide isomerase alone can act both as an oxidase to form disulfide bridges in newly synthesized proteins, as well as an isomerase to reshuffle wrongly formed disulfide bridges. In contrast, in *E.coli*, the two tasks are assigned to two proteins, namely DsbA and DsbC, respectively.

The redox activity of PDI is dependent on the redox potential of the medium, reflected in the ratio of reduced to oxidised glutathione in the ER (GSH:GSSG ca 1:1-3:1, whereas 30:1 in the cytosol) (Hwang et al., 1992). The standard redox potential of PDI is about –180 mV, much more oxidizing than that of thioredoxin (-260 mV) (which functions as a protein disulfide reductase in the cytosol) but less than that of bacterial DsbA (-100 mV) (Hawkins et al., 1991). The difference in redox potential is due mainly to the nature of the two intervening residues of the reactive –CXXC- sequences (Huber-Wunderlich and Glockshuber, 1998). In consistence with the redox potential, PDI is about 50-fold more active than thioredoxin at catalyzing the isomerization of disulfide bonds in scrambled RNase (Hawkins et al., 1991). Because it is clear now that oxidized glutathione is not required to re-oxidize PDI, it is speculated that glutathione functions as a net reductant in the ER to buffer the oxidizing
activity of Ero1. It is also possible that glutathione reduces the normally oxidized PDI, thus shifting PDI activity from oxidizing to isomerizing activity (Fassio and Sitia, 2002).

2.2.2 Chaperone function:
Molecular chaperones were originally defined as proteins that assist in the self-assembly of other polypeptide chains but are generally not part of the final functional units (Ellis and van der Vies, 1989). Thus, by definition, classical molecular chaperones interact only transiently with their substrate proteins. Within the ER, the term molecular chaperone has generally been used to describe chaperones that aid other proteins in folding and/or that retain unassembled proteins. However, the definition of an ER molecular chaperone is expanding and now includes roles for such proteins in the transport of substrate to a particular subcellular compartment as well as the modulation of substrate activity (Hendrick and Hartl, 1993; Bu and Schwartz, 1998). Roughly, there are four different types of proteins which adapt to this expanded definition. (1) classical ER chaperones like BiP, GRP94, Calreticulin, Calnexin; among them Calreticulin and Calnexin are primarily involved in the folding of glycoproteins; (2) Proteins such as PDI function as both classical ER chaperones and foldases; (3) Protein-specific ER chaperones such as RAP, MTP; (4) molecular escort proteins such as RAP which routinely accompany their substrate proteins out of the ER (Kim and Arvan, 1998; Bu and Schwartz, 1998).

The foldase activity of protein disulfide isomerase has been known well since it was shown to catalyse the formation and reshuffling of the disulfide bonds in substrates (Freedman et al., 1994). The chaperone activity of PDI is proven by its capacity to promote the folding of denatured D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and rhodanese (Cai et al., 1994; Song and Wang, 1995), which have no disulfide bonds. This shows that the chaperone activity of PDI can be independent of the redox/isomerase activity, although chaperone activity has also been shown with disulfide-containing proteins such as lysozyme (Puig and Gilbert, 1994). Indeed, the chaperone activity may be necessary for PDI to fulfil its redox/isomerase function, because in order to promote the joining of thiol groups distantly situated in the peptide sequence to form correct disulfides, the peptide chain has to be folded at least to some extent to bring the thiol groups together. However, it remains unclear to what extent the chaperone activity of PDI is necessary for the redox/isomerase activity. Recently, PDI has been described to function as a redox-driven chaperone in the unfolding of the A1 chain of cholera toxin in the ER lumen, prior to its transport to the cytoplasm (Tsai et al., 2001). In this hypothesis, PDI binds and unfolds the substrate in its
reduced state. The complex is then targeted to the ER membrane, where it binds to a protein at the lumenal side of the membrane. Oxidation of PDI by Ero1p releases the substrate, possibly directly into the retrotranslocon (Tsai et al., 2001; Tsai and Rapoport, 2002; Tsai et al., 2002). However, this redox-dependent mechanism has been questioned by Lumb and Bulleid (2002) who observed that PDI binding and release to two other substrates was not driven by the redox state of the protein.

2.2.3 Other functions:

2.2.3.1 Subunit association

The enzyme proly-4-hydroxylase (P4H), an ER-lumenal soluble protein that catalyses procollagen pro-α chain prolyl hydroxylation, is a heterotetrameric (α2β2) enzyme (Pihlajaniemi et al., 1987). Microsomal triacylglycerol transfer protein (MTP) is heterodimeric (αβ) and facilitates incorporation of triglycerides into lipoproteins (Wetterau et al., 1990). In both proteins, PDI acts as the β subunits and probably functions as a chaperone to retain or stabilize the complex in the ER, as irreversible inactivation and aggregation ensues upon removal of the β-subunit. However, the chaperone activity is not its only function, as coexpression of the P4H catalytic α-subunit with BiP can generate a soluble α-subunit-BiP complex, which, however, has no P4H activity (Veijola et al., 1996). In addition, for both enzymes, the redox / isomerase activity of PDI is dispensable since mutagenesis of both redox active sites of PDI subunit has no effects on activity (Lamberg et al., 1996).

2.2.3.2 Different Localization of PDI

Despite the integrity of the KDEL signal, some reports show additional localizations of PDI including the Golgi, secretory vesicles, plasma membrane, and even cytosol in some cell types (Akagi et al., 1988). In glial astrocytes, a significant amount (25%) of the total cellular PDI is claimed to be present in the cytosol (Safran and Leonard, 1991). Upon exposure of glial cells to thyroxine, the cytosolic PDI redistributes almost completely to become actin-associated, suggesting that PDI may acts as a mediator of thyroxine-induced actin nucleation (Farwell et al., 1990; Safran et al., 1992). Secretory cells such as hepatocytes and pancreatic acinar cells can saturate the –KDEL retrieval mechanism, resulting in the non-covalent binding of secreted PDI to the plasma membrane (Terada et al., 1995). The appearance of the secreted PDI at the cell surface may have significant physiological effects. First, localization of PDI to the plasma membrane may elicit an autoimmune response. The
Long Evans Cinnamon rat displays a spontaneous hereditary hepatitis and hepatic carcinoma. The autoimmune antibodies generated in the progression of this hereditary hepatitis bind PDI and calreticulin (Yokoi et al., 1993). Anti-PDI antibodies are also found in humans with liver disease and chronic alcoholism. Second, membrane-associated PDI may participate in the reduction of membrane receptors and / or ligands (Kroning et al., 1994). CD4 is a member of the immunoglobulin (Ig) superfamily of receptors that mediates cell-cell interactions in the immune system and is the primary receptor for HIV-1. HIV-1 binds to CD4 via its gp120 envelope protein. This binding leads to interaction of the complex with a chemokine receptor, triggering fusion of the viral and cell membranes, leading to HIV-1 entry and infection (Eckert and Kim, 2001). Thioredoxin cleaves the disulfide bond in the second Ig-like domain of CD4 and PDI can cleave two of the nine disulfide bonds in gp120 after the binding of gp120 to CD4 cells. These cleavages might be important for conformational changes in CD4 and gp120 which are required for fusion of the viral and cell membranes (Matthias and Hogg, 2003). This is highlighted by the finding that mono- and dithiol alkylation agents which inactivate thioredoxin and PDI, and react with reduced CD4 and gp120- inhibit HIV-1 entry and envelope-mediated cell-cell fusion (Ryser et al., 1994; Matthias et al., 2002). Anti-PDI monoclonal antibodies also inhibit HIV-1 entry and cell-cell fusion (Gallina et al., 2002). Cell surface PDI may also participate in cell signalling through interactions with membrane receptors as reported by Couet et al (1996) who showed that membrane PDI catalyses the shedding of the extracellular domain of the TSH receptor in the thyroid gland.

2.2.4 The structure of PDI and its functions

Since the first cDNA of PDI was sequenced, internal sequence homologies within the protein have been recognized and a multi-domain protein architecture proposed. The current model is based on the combination of data from proteolysis of native PDI and characterization of recombinant fragments, bioinformatic approaches, and NMR analysis. PDI comprises of four structural domains, a, b, b’, a’, plus a linker region between b’ and a’ and a C-terminal acidic region which is a putative low-affinity, high-capacity Ca$^{2+}$-binding site (fig 2.1) (Ferrari and Söling, 1999; Freedman et al., 2002). Both a and a’, with high sequence similarity to thioredoxin, contain a redox active site –CGHC-. b and b’ domains, without the –CXXXC- active site, show sequence similarity to each other but no obvious similarity to thioredoxin or to the a’ domain. However, NMR studies on the recombinant a and b domains of human PDI have clearly revealed that both of them form a characteristic thioredoxin fold (Kemmink et al., 1995, 1996, 1999).
2.2.4.1 Structural comparison between thioredoxin and a and b domains of PDI

Thioredoxin is a small (12 kDa), ubiquitous protein with a disulfide reductase function in the more reductive environment of the cytosol. It contains a –CGPC- site which is crucial for the reduction of disulfide bonds (Holmgren, 1985, 1989). Most, but not all proteins catalysing the redox reactions involving reactive dithiols in vivo belong to the thioredoxin superfamily. The large family accommodates thioredoxin-like, glutaredoxin-like and PDI-like proteins, as well as members of the bacterial Dsb family (Gilbert, 1998; Holmgren, 1989; Bardwell, 1994). The single domain members of the thioredoxin superfamily (thioredoxins and glutaredoxins) all have the same α/β fold, with the structure βαβαβαβαβα. A five-stranded β-sheet, with all of the strands except β4 being parallel, forms the central core, surrounded by four α-helices (Katti et al., 1990; Eklund et al., 1992). The –CXXC- redox active motif is found in an exposed turn linking β2 to α2. The sulfur atom of the more N-terminal Cys residue is at the N-terminal pole of the α2 and is exposed at the surface of the molecule, while the sulfur atom of the more C-terminal Cys residue is buried behind it. The core thioredoxin structure, βαβαβαβα, can also be detected in other members of the thioredoxin superfamily, including DsbA (a bacterial periplasmic oxidase) (Martin et al., 1993), and in a number of enzymes involved in glutathione or sulfur metabolism (Martin, 1995). In thioredoxin, the first cysteine of the –CXXC- site, C32 has a pKa of 7.1, much lower than that of the free cysteine (pKa of 8.7 at neutral pH), rendering it highly reactive. At neutral pH the reactive sulfur atom of C32 may share a hydrogen bond to the –SH hydrogen.

Figure 2.3: Comparison of the tertiary structure of thioredoxin with the thioredoxin fold of the a and b domains of PDI. (A) E. coli thioredoxin ribbon model of the crystal structure determined by Katti et al (1990). (B) The a-domain of human PDI, based on a model suggested by NMR (Kemmin et al., 1997). The Cys residues of the active site (blue), D26 (yellow) of thioredoxin (A) and E30 (yellow) of the a-domain of PDI (B) are shown as ball and stick representation. (C) The b-domain of human PDI, based on a model suggested by NMR (Kemmin et al., 1999). α-helical elements are shown in red, and β-strands in green. (see details in text)
of C35. The pKa of C32 is thought to be decreased by a nearby buried partial charge on D26 (Chivers et al., 1996), which may serve as a general acid/base in thioredoxin-catalysed redox reactions. The C32 thiolate can make a nucleophilic attack on disulfides, generating a mixed disulfide that is then disrupted by C35 to produce a reduced substrate protein. The reactivity of C35 follows upon its loss of a proton to D26 (fig 2.3 A). The thiolate species generated is well poised to attack the intermolecular disulfide-bonded C32. Thioredoxin is then recycled to the reduced state by thioredoxin reductase and NADPH. In close proximity to the reactive-site pocket are the hydrophobic residues G33, P34, I75, P76, G92 and A93, which may be important for protein-protein interaction (Holmgren, 1995).

The α domain of human PDI, in addition to adopting the small overall α/β fold, shares many common features with thioredoxin (fig 2.3 B); first, the active site motif is located at the N-terminus of helix α2, which is distorted by a proline residue; second, the peptide bond before the proline residue at the N-terminus of β4 is in the cis conformation; third, there is a buried acidic residue, E30, in analogous position in the α domain of PDI to that of D26 in thioredoxin (Kemmink et al., 1995; 1996) (fig 2.3 B). As in the α-domain, the β-domain of PDI also forms a thioredoxin fold (Kemmink et al., 1999), but the characteristic thioredoxin-like active site has been deleted and other residues associated with redox properties have been replaced (fig 2.3 C). Despite a lack of 3-D structural data, based on the sequence similarity between α and α’, β and β’, and the secondary structure prediction and alignment, the α’ and β’ domains of PDI should also adopt the thioredoxin fold (Freedman et al., 2002).

### 2.2.4.2 The importance of the β’ domain of PDI

The redox/isomerase activities of PDI, as in thioredoxin, are due to the reactivity of the –CXXC- redox active sites in the α and α’ domains. Redox assays using simple peptide substrates show that the isolated α and α’ domains retain nearly full redox activity (Darby and Creighton, 1995a,b), however, neither of them could fulfill the isomerase activity of the full length PDI, as neither is able to catalyse the isomerisation of the disulfide bonds in BPTI (the bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor), lysozyme and ribonuclease (Darby and Creighton, 1995c). This suggests that other parts of PDI are required for its full range of activities. Recently, the β’ domain of PDI attracted special attention. Through the intensive studies of a series of combinations of different domains, it was revealed that the simple thiol-disulfide reaction only requires the α or α’ domains, that simple isomerization requires one of these in a linear combination of domains including β’, while complex isomerization (isomerizations that would require substantive conformational change in the substrate as well as thiol-disulfide
reactions) requires all four of these PDI domains (but not the C-terminal acidic extension) (Darby and Creighton, 1995c; Darby et al., 1998). The b’ domain is also crucial for the peptide binding activity of PDI. For small peptides, the isolated b’ domain is essential and sufficient for the binding. However, the binding of larger peptide or non-native protein substrates requires at least the b’-a’-c fragment. Thus it appears that the b’ domain of PDI provides the principal peptide binding site of PDI, but that all domains contribute to the binding of larger substrates such as non-native proteins (Klappa et al., 1998). These observations explain the apparent importance of the b’ domain in the catalysis of complex isomerization reactions by PDI, suggesting that it holds the substrate protein in a partially unfolded conformation while the catalytic sites act synergetically to perform the chemical processes of thiol-disulfide exchange (Freedman et al., 2002).

2.3 PDI family or PDI-L (like) family

In the last few years, the PDI family has expanded by the addition of several new members. Currently, 12 known mammalian proteins are likely to belong to this family. The number is much bigger if the homologues from other species are included. Most of these proteins are redox active and involved in thiol-disulfide exchanges with the notable exception of the most recently found members. Although no direct structural information is available for all of them, by sequence alignment and secondary structure prediction,
Introduction

Mammalian PDI-like proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteins</th>
<th>Size (kDa)</th>
<th>Domain composition</th>
<th>Acidic region</th>
<th>Active-site sequence</th>
<th>ER-localization signal</th>
<th>Unique features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDI</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>a-b-b'-a''-c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CGHC</td>
<td>KDEL</td>
<td>Mixed disulfide with Ero1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERp57</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>a-b-b'-a'</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CGHC</td>
<td>QDEL</td>
<td>Interaction with amino-glycosylated proteins through calnexin/calreticulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDIp</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>a-b-b'-a'</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CGHC,</td>
<td>KEEL</td>
<td>Pancreas-specific expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERp72</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>c-a-o-a-b-b'-a'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CGHC,</td>
<td>KEEL</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaBP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERp72</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>c-a-o-a-b-b'-a'</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CGHC,</td>
<td>KEEL</td>
<td>Pancreas-specific expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaBP2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDIr</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>b-a-o-a-a'</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CSMC,</td>
<td>KEEL</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>a-o-a-b-c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CGHC,</td>
<td>KDEL</td>
<td>Involved in left/right asymmetric patterning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaBP1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERp18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CGAC</td>
<td>EDEL/QDEL</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERdj5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>J-a-b-b'-a'</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CSHC,</td>
<td>KDEL</td>
<td>Interaction with Bip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaBP1</td>
<td>ERp44</td>
<td>a-CSQ-U</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CRFS</td>
<td>RIEL</td>
<td>Mixed disulfide with Ero1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERp28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>b-D</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>KEEL</td>
<td>Ca²⁺ binding protein in SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERp46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>a-o-a-a'-c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CGHC,</td>
<td>KDEL</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Mammalian PDI-like proteins. a, a’, a” and a° represent redox-active thioredoxin domains; b, b’ and b° are referred to redox-inactive thioredoxin domains; c is the acidic region; J the DnaJ-like domain; CSQ is CSQ (calsequestrin)-like domain; U a unique region (domain) without similarity to other proteins; D the α-helix domain of the PDI-D subfamily.

their domain organization can be deduced. Based on the domain compositions, the PDI family can be divided into a classical PDI-L subfamily which contains only thioredoxin domains (redox active or inactive) and a unique PDI-L subfamily which contains other unique domains apart from the thioredoxin domains. These subfamilies can be divided further into α (redox active) and β (redox inactive) sub-subfamilies (reviewed by Ferrari and Söling, 1999).
2.3.1 ERp57

ERp57 has the same modular structure of active and inactive domains as PDI, but lacks the C-terminal acidic region. At the amino acid level, overall identity with PDI is 33%. Like PDI, ERp57 shows a ubiquitous distribution in a wide variety of cell types. However, ERp57 exhibits a low redox activity and cannot substitute for PDI as the β subunit of P4H. ERp57 interacts with nascent monoglycosylated glycoproteins, but not with non-glycosylated proteins, in a disulfide-independent manner (Oliver et al., 1997). This is in contrast with PDI, which interacts with proteins independently of their glycosylation status (Van der Wal et al., 1998). It is clear now that the specificity of this interaction with N-glycosylated proteins is not intrinsic to ERp57 as ERp57 itself lacks lectin-like properties, but instead is due to a long-term association between ERp57 and calnexin or calreticulin. These glycoprotein-specific chaperones of the ER are responsible for substrate recognition / binding in the multi-subunit chaperone / ERp57 complex (Zapun et al., 1998; High et al., 2000). Hence, it appears that ERp57 does not possess a general binding site for non-native proteins, but rather that it has a specialized binding site for specific partner proteins (calnexin and calreticulin).

2.3.2 PDIp

PDIp, the pancreas-specific PDI family member, is the only known family member to date to show a highly specific tissue expression, being exclusively expressed in the acinar cells of the pancreas (Desilva et al., 1997). It is also the only member of the PDI family known to be glycosylated. It has the same domain organization as human PDI, but like ERp57, lacks the acidic region. It shares 40-45% amino acid identity with PDI. The specific tissue distribution suggests that PDIp may be involved in the folding of only a subset of secreted proteins (e.g. pancreatic zymogens). Using cross-linking and competitive binding studies, PDIp has been shown to bind misfolded proteins (scrambled RNase A) and peptides (including zymogen-derived peptides) in vitro, and the motif defining the specificity of binding of peptides and other small ligands by PDIp has also been identified (Ruddock et al., 2000; Klappa et al., 2001). PDIp binds specifically to peptides that include either a Y or a W residue (except where the Y or W is C-terminal or where there is an adjacent negatively charged residue). Interestingly, the peptide binding interaction could be inhibited by competition using stochiometric concentrations of oestrogens, such as 17 β-oestradiol (Klappa et al., 1998).

It should be emphasized that PDI, PDIp and ERp57 all share a similar organization, with a linear sequence of four thioredoxin-like domains in an a-b-b’-a’ pattern. The strong
overall homology between these three proteins suggests that they share a similar function, but there are significant sequence differences in the b’ domains, indicating that PDIp and ERp57 are isoforms of PDI with specialized substrate binding properties.

### 2.3.3 ERp72 (CaBP2)

ERp72 contains three active thioredoxin domains rather than two as PDI. Like PDI, it is an abundant, ubiquitous, stress-inducible protein with calcium-binding capacity (Van et al., 1988, 1993). Although ERp72 possesses significant redox and disulfide isomerase activity and can complement PDI-deficient yeast (Gunther et al., 1993), the information about its concrete functions in vivo is still elusive. ERp72 and BiP have been shown to coprecipitate with an overexpressed, mutated substrate protein lacking glycosylation sites (human chorionic gonadotropin β-subunit) in a process that probably involves disulfide-bond formation and which is independent of calnexin (Feng et al., 1996). The protein interacts in vitro with denatured proteins in association with molecular chaperones, including PDI, Bip and GRP94 (Nigam et al., 1994). Similar findings have been made in vivo for thyroglobulin and another secretory protein, thrombospondin (Kuznetsov et al., 1996). According to these findings, ERp72 resembles PDI rather than ERp57.

### 2.3.4 PDIR

PDIR is preferentially expressed in cells actively secreting proteins and that the expression of PDIR is stress-inducible (Hayano and Kikuchi, 1995). Although little is known about the function of PDIR, its domain structure (b-a°-a’) is interesting. Each a-type domain has a different active-site sequence: -CSMC-, -C-GHC- and –C-P-H-Cys-. It is speculated that each a domain has a different rate of catalysis, which may be optimal for the particular target substrates (Ferrari and Söling, 1999). Very recently, a new member ERdj5 with a specific DnaJ binding domain was identified that also contains several –CXXC- with different residues between the two cysteines (Hosoda et al., 2003; Cunnea. et al., 2003). Further studies are required to establish the role of the different active-site sequence, and to determine the extent to which they remain redox activity.

### 2.3.5 ERdj5 (JPDI)

ERdj5, a newly characterized member of PDI family, contains domains resembling DnaJ, PDI and thioredoxin. ERdj5 is a ubiquitous protein and is particularly abundant in secretory cells like most members of PDI family. In vitro experiments demonstrated that
ERdj5 interacts via its DnaJ domain with BiP in an ATP-dependent manner. Using the standard thioredoxin activity assays of insulin reduction, recombinant ERdj5 did not show any activity. However, this does not exclude that ERdj5 can act as a reductase on other substrates. The expression level of ERdj5 is upregulated during ER stress, suggesting potential roles for ERdj5 in protein folding and translocation across the ER membrane. It is postulated that the DnaJ domain of ERdj5 could stimulate the ATPase activity of BiP, allowing BiP to dissociate from interacting polypeptide, thus leaving the cysteine residues of the polypeptide available for ERdj5 (Hosoda et al., 2003; Cunnea et al., 2003).

2.3.6 ERp44

By co-immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry, a novel UPR induced ER protein, ERp44, was identified. ERp44 can form mixed disulfides with both human Ero1 homologues, Ero1-Lα and Ero1-Lβ, as well as with partially unfolded Ig subunits. It contains a thioredoxin-like domain followed by a fragment displaying weaker similarities with the second domain of calsequestrin and a long sequence with no obvious sequence homologies with other proteins. In the thioredoxin-like domain, the second cysteine in the canonical –CXXC- motif is replaced by a serine, yielding the sequence –CRFS-. The CRFS motif and the surrounding sequences are extremely conserved in all species, suggesting an important functional role for this region. MS analysis indicates that the cysteine of the CRFS motif is involved in the formation of mixed disulfides with Ero1-Lα. It has been shown that Ero1-Lα displays two dominant redox isoforms, OX1 and OX2, which possibly represent different structural conformers of Ero1-Lα in its functional cycle. Overexpression of ERp44 alters the relative amounts of the two isoforms, shifting the equilibrium towards OX2. By favouring the accumulation of OX2, ERp44 may play an important role in controlling the function of human Ero1 and hence the redox state of the ER (Anelli et al., 2002).

2.3.7 ERp18

The recently identified ERp18 (Alanen et al., 2003) is the smallest member of the PDI family. It contains a single thioredoxin domain and the –CGAC- motif resembles the –CGPC-site of thioredoxin instead of –Cys-Gly-His-Cys of PDI. Due to this fact, it was assumed that ERp18 is likely to be a reductase in the ER rather than an oxidase. However, the reduced form of ERp18 is more stable than its oxidised form, similar to PDI. From this point, it seems to be an oxidase. It is worth noting that there is a very acidic region within its thioredoxin domain.
This feature is unique among the PDI family although PDI has an acidic region at the C-terminus outside the a’ domain.

### 2.3.8 Calsequestrin (CSQ)

Calsequestrin (CSQ), an acidic protein, resides in the lumen of the junctional terminal cisternae of the sarcoplasmic reticulum at high concentration (MacLennan and Wong, 1971). CSQ is the major Ca$^{2+}$ storage protein of muscle and is capable of binding and releasing large quantities of Ca$^{2+}$ rapidly. Each molecule of CSQ binds about 40 to 50 Ca$^{2+}$ ions with a binding constant of about 1 mM under physiological conditions (MacLennan et al., 2002). CSQ is the first member of PDI family whose crystal structure was solved (Wang et al., 1998). Although sequence similarity between CSQ and thioredoxin or PDI is low, the structure shows that CSQ is made up of three domains, each with a redox inactive thioredoxin fold. The core of each of the three thioredoxins domains is a 5-strand $\beta$-sheet surrounded by 4 $\alpha$-helices which contain the bulk of the acidic residues. However, the individual thioredoxin domain is not the Ca$^{2+}$ binding unit. Ca$^{2+}$ is largely bound through cross bridges which form in clefts between each of the three domains. Thus the $\beta$-sheets in the thioredoxin-fold domains provide the platform on which a large number of negative charges can be brought together so that rapid Ca$^{2+}$ binding and release are possible. Ca$^{2+}$ ions are bound on the protein surface and between protein domains, rather than in a loop as in EF-hand proteins. The Ca$^{2+}$ binding sites in CSQ contain numerous acidic residues that comprise over 1/3 of the total residues in the protein. In line with the sequence differences in each domain, the number of acidic residues involved in Ca$^{2+}$ binding in each domain ranges from 13 to 32. The most acidic and most variable sequence in different CSQs is the C-terminus, with the C-terminus of skeletal muscle calsequestrin containing 14 contiguous acidic amino acids. This sequence, however, is disordered and is only folded into the Ca$^{2+}$ binding domain when dimers form between two calsequestrin molecules, likely creating cross bridges that stabilize the dimer interface (Wang et al., 1998; MacLennan et al., 2002). As the major function of CSQ is Ca$^{2+}$ binding, it is not surprising that it contains many acidic residues in the sequence which distinguishes it from other PDI members. However, the structural composition of the three thioredoxin domains still provides very helpful information for understanding the relationships between structure and function of other members.
2.3.9 P5 (CaBP1)

Using purified recombinant proteins, the redox/isomerase activity of human P5 and its rat homologue (CaBP1) have been confirmed, but the activity is lower than that of human PDI (Rupp et al., 1994; Kikuchi et al., 2002; Kramer et al., 2001). Moreover, human P5 was observed to have peptide-binding ability, and its chaperone activity was revealed with rhodanese and citrate synthase as substrates, but not with D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, indicating that hP5 has substrate specificity with respect to chaperone activity (Kramer et al., 2001; Kikuchi et al., 2002). Mutation of two thioredoxin-related motifs in hP5 revealed that the first motif is more important than the second for isomerase activity and that the first cysteine in each motif is necessary for isomerase activity (Kramer et al., 2001). Like PDI, the isomerase and chaperone activities of hP5 are probably independent, since mutation of the thioredoxin motif of hP5 retains its chaperone activity but lacks isomerase activity. Very interestingly, the zebrafish homologue of P5 was recently reported to be specifically involved in the process of establishing the left/right asymmetry in zebrafish embryos. The gene for zebrafish p5 is expressed at high levels in the organizer and axial mesoderm and is essential for establishing left/right asymmetries. Depletion of p5 production with morpholino oligonucleotides results in loss of the asymmetric development of the heart, liver, pancreas and gut. In addition, p5 depletion results in bilateral expression of all genes known to be expressed asymmetrically in the lateral plate mesoderm and the brain during embryogenesis (Hoshijima et al., 2002). The Drosophila homologue DmCaBP1 is also expressed in a specific spatiotemporal pattern during embryogenesis. In particular, it is expressed in midline precursor cells in the developing CNS (Li et al., 1998). These data indicate that p5, similar to windbeutel (see below), is very important during development, although it is not clear how p5 carries out its functions.

2.4 PDI-D subfamily

The classical members of the PDI family consist of thioredoxin-like domains, redox active or inactive. Recently, several members with domains different from thioredoxin-like domains have been identified including ERp28 (ERp29), ERp44 and ERdj5 (JPDI) in mammalian cells. ERp28 was discovered some years ago (Demmer et al., 1997; Ferrari et al., 1998). It contains not only a redox inactive thioredoxin-like domain at the N-terminus but also a novel domain, the D domain at the C-terminus. This D domain with about 100 amino acids in length is composed only of 5 α-helices (Ferrari et al., 1998; Ferrari and Söling, 1999). Later on, homologues of ERp28 were found in many species. What is very interesting is that
in different species, the redox activity of these homologues are obviously different. For example, in mammals, ERp28 (ERp29) is definitely redox inactive because of the lack of a –CXXC- active site, in contrast, mpd2, the *S.cerevisiae* homologue, is redox active (Tachikawa *et al.*, 1997). Redox active homologues also exist in plants (Monnat *et al.*, 1997). These proteins are grouped into the PDI-D subfamily due to the presence of similar D domains at the C-termini in their sequences (Ferrari *et al.*, 1998; Ferrari and Söling., 1999). According to their redox activity, they are further divided into PDI-D\(\alpha\) (redox active) and PDI-D\(\beta\) (redox inactive). Although the *Dictyostelium* homologue of ERp28 has no KEEL at its C-terminus, it can be efficiently retained in the ER (Monnat *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, the last 57 C-terminal residues of Dd-PDI can sufficiently localize a green fluorescent protein (GFP) chimera to the ER (Monnat *et al.*, 2000). This indicates that the D domain may carry out a retention/retrieval function.

**PDI-D subfamily**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteins</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Domain structure</th>
<th>ER localization sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDI-D(\alpha)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cerevisiae</em> mpd2</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>a-D</td>
<td>HDEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. niger</em> Tig A</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>a(\circ)-a-D</td>
<td>KDEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. crassa</em> ER38</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>a(\circ)-a-D</td>
<td>KEEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. sativa</em> P5</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>a(\circ)-a-D</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. tabacum</em> PDI</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>a(\circ)-a-D</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. discoideum</em> Dd-PDI</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>a(\circ)-a-D</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PDI-D\(\beta\)            |      |                  |                          |
| *H. sapiens* ERp28/29     | 216  | b-D              | KEEL                     |
| *R. norvegicus* ERp28/29  | 260  | b-D              | KEEL                     |
| *M. musculus* ERp28/29    | 260  | b-D              | KEEL                     |
| *D. melanogaster* Wind    | 257  | b-D              | KEEL                     |
| *Anopheles* Ano-ERp28     | 270  | b-D              | RAEL                     |

**Table 2.2: PDI-D subfamily.** PDI-D\(\alpha\) proteins are typically 360 amino acids in length and have two redox active thioiredoxin domains followed by a D-domain except that mpd2 has only one. PDI-D\(\beta\) proteins, in contrast, are composed of a single, redox inactive thioiredoxin domain followed by a D-domain. Sizes are given in amino acids residues. ? means that an ER retrieval signal is missing, so that ER-localization may solely depend on the D-domain.
2.4.1 ERp28

Human ERp28 (rat ERp29) is ubiquitously expressed in tissues and cells. It shows higher expression levels in secretory tissues and cells (Shnyder et al., 2002). As mentioned above, it is composed of two distinct domains, the N-terminal thioredoxin domain and the C-terminal D-domain. As the thioredoxin domain lacks the –CXXC- redox active motif, apparently, ERp28/ERp29 does not have the redox/isomerase activity that PDI has. However, although ERp28 cannot assist the refolding of denatured GAPDH and denatured, reduced RNase, it does coprecipitate with overexpressed HBS (hepatitis B small antigen) (Ferrari et al., 1998). The results suggest that ERp28 is not likely to be a general chaperone but that it may be a specific chaperone with a narrow substrate specificity or perhaps function as an escort protein like RAP (Bu et al., 1995; 1998; 2001). This is supported by the recent finding that the rat homologue, ERp29 is associated with proteins forming the thyroglobulin folding complex in the ER of the thyroid epithelial cells (Sargsyan et al., 2002). Folding and post-translational modification of the thyroid hormone precursor, thyroglobulin, is facilitated by several molecular chaperones and folding enzymes, such as BiP, GRP94, calnexin, protein disulfide isomerase, ERp72, and others. They have been shown to associate simultaneously and/or sequentially with thyroglobulin in the course of its maturation, thus forming large heterocomplexes in the ER of thyrocytes. In addition, during differentiation of mouse testicular carcinoma F9 cells, ERp28 protein levels rise at least 12-fold (Ferrari et al., 1998). This upregulation during differentiation indicates that ERp28/ERp29 may be involved in the process of development similar to its Drosophila homologue Wind (Konsolaki and Schupbach, 1998).

2.4.2 Wind and the Dorsal-Ventral patterning of the Drosophila embryo

In Drosophila, the establishment of dorsoventral (DV) polarity occurs during oogenesis and requires communication between the germ-line derived oocyte and the somatically derived follicle cells of the ovary. The DV patterning process is launched by the communication from oocyte to the follicle cells, called the Gurken-EGFR pathway. Initially, the oocyte nucleus first moves to the anterior dorsal part of the cell and the gurken mRNA is synthesized between the oocyte and follicle cells. The product of gurken, Gurken (Neuman-Silberberg and Schupbach, 1993) which is homologous to epidermal growth factor (EGF), accumulate around the oocyte nucleus and is then secreted to the follicle cells, which differentiate to a dorsal morphology later. This signal is received by the follicle cells via
Torpedo, the homologue of the human epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) (Wadsworth et al., 1985). Torpedo (EGFR) is expressed in all follicle cells, however, it is only activated in the dorsal follicle cells receiving the Gurken signal. This signal transduction leads to two major consequences: a change in the properties of follicle cells that prevents them from acquiring ventral fates and regulates the second pathway, especially by restricting the expression of the pipe gene (in the second pathway) in ventral follicle cells.

Figure 2.5: Dorsal-Ventral patterning of the Drosophila embryo (excerpted from Molecular biological course of Fritz Aberger and modified by Dr. Qingjun Ma) (See details in text).

The second pathway, which sends signals from the follicle cells to the embryo, requires the actions of a dorsal group including at least 11 genes and ultimately leads to the specification of the dorsal-ventral axis of the embryo. This process is realized via a proteolytic cascade (Morisato and Anderson, 1995), which results in the formation of a nuclear gradient.
of the transcription factor Dorsal. Dorsal mRNA is supplied by the mother but protein gradient is generated after fertilization.

Windbeutel, pipe and nudel are the only three genes expressed by mother follicle cells. The gene, windbeutel, encodes a putative ER resident PDI-related protein, Wind, which is required to localize Pipe to the Golgi apparatus (Konsolaki and Schüpbach, 1998; Sen et al., 2000). The pipe gene encodes the protein Pipe, the homologue of mammalian 2-O-sulfotransferase (2-OST) (Sergeev et al., 2001). Pipe is the critical factor to define the DV symmetry (Sen et al., 1998). The gene nudel encodes a modular protein with an extracellular matrix domain and a serine protease domain (Hong and Hashimoto 1995). It has been suggested that Nudel is secreted by the follicle cells and may possibly be incorporated in the vitelline membrane, thus specifying the site of generation of the active Spätzle ligand, after fertilization of the oocyte. Wind and Nudel are not spatially restricted to the ventral follicle and expressed in all follicle cells. However, the expression of Pipe is negatively regulated by EGFR. Thus Pipe is spatially restricted to the ventral follicle cells and its expression in dorsal follicle cells is inhibited by EGFR which is only activated in the dorsal side (Sen et al., 1998).

In the Golgi apparatus, Pipe modifies an as yet unidentified proteoglycan x, which combines Nudel to trigger a protease cascade leading to the DV polarization of the embryo. Thus, Pipe plays a pivotal role in the process that defines the DV axis of the embryo and its spatially regulated activity may provide the link between the establishment of DV polarity in the follicle and the transmission of DV patterning information to the developing egg and future embryo (Sen, 1998).

Gastrulation-defective (Gd), Snake, Easter are expressed in the oocyte and are all serine proteases. They are released into the perivitelline space. Gd is cleaved by Nudel/x and activated. Subsequently, Gd cleaves Snake, and Snake cleaves Easter. At the end of the protease cascade, Easter cleaves Spätzle (Morisato and Anderson, 1994). This reaction apparently occurs shortly after fertilization and only on the ventral side of the embryo. Cleaved Spätzle is the ligand for Toll (Hashimoto et al., 1988), a receptor on the egg membrane. The uniformly distributed Toll is thus only activated in the ventral side. Dorsal is held in the egg cytoplasm by Cactus. An entire pathway is then "designed" to separate Cactus from Dorsal in the ventral region. Toll signaling activates Pelle (protein kinase) and tube (function unknown yet). Pelle phosphorylates Cactus. Phosphorylated Cactus is degraded and Dorsal is free to enter the nucleus. This regulatory process leads to the high nucleus gradient in the ventral side and low gradient in the dorsal side. Fate of the cells is determined by the gradient of nucleus Dorsal protein. Genes that have a low affinity Dorsal binding region are
activated. Genes that have a high affinity Dorsal binding region are inhibited in conjunction with other enhancer regions. Target genes also influence one another. All of these finally lead to the formation of dorsal and ventral tissues.

The *windbeutel* transcript is present in ovaries, early embryos (0-4 hr) at the time when the dorsalventral pattern is established and in adult males but is almost undetectable in female carcasses. Careful examination of the wind expression pattern revealed that only the follicle cells that are located over the oocyte express the wind transcript, in contrast to the follicle cells covering the nurse cells (Konsolaki and Schupbach, 1998). In female windbeutel mutants, Pipe localization is altered from the Golgi to the ER. This was further confirmed by a heterologous expression system, using COS7 monkey cells (Sen *et al.*, 2000). When Pipe alone is expressed in COS7 cells, it clearly exhibits an ER distribution. However, when Wind is co-expressed, Pipe is translocated to the Golgi. These results clearly show that Wind is required for and enables the correct subcellular distribution of Pipe to facilitate its pattern-forming activity. The protein, Wind, like its mammalian homologue ERp28/29 is an ER luminal protein and belongs to the PDI-D subfamily. It contains 257 residues including a putative signal sequence at the N-terminus, and a KEEL ER-localization sequence at the C-terminus. The similarity between Wind and its mammalian homologue ERp28/29 is about 30%, however, both Wind and ERp28 show a similar domain organization, a N-terminal redox inactive thioredoxin domain and a C-terminal D-domain. Although Wind has a –CTGC- sequence at the very N-terminus, the position is obviously different from that of the –CXXC-motif in the redox active thioredoxin domain of other members of the PDI family. The requirement of Wind for the proper localization of Pipe suggests that Wind may function as a chaperone for the folding of Pipe in the ER. It could also be an escort protein to accompany Pipe from the ER to the Golgi like RAP (receptor associated protein) for the LDL receptor (Bu *et al.*, 1995; Bu and Schwartz, 1998; Bu, 2001).
3. Aims of the work

First, although some data support the idea that ERp28 may function as a putative chaperone, and possibly plays a role in the development process as its *Drosophila* homologue Wind does, we are still far from a clear understanding of the functions of ERp28, particularly *in vivo*. To gain further insight, ERp28 knock out mice would be very useful, especially as approaches using antisense technology (small interfering RNA) have so far proved unsuccessful (D.M.Ferrari, unpublished data).

The aim of the first part of my work is to generate ERp28 knock out mice. For this purpose, first, a mouse genomic DNA library will be screened with $[^{32}\text{P}]$ labelled cDNA probe to obtain positive clones that contain the mouse ERp28 genomic locus. Then an ERp28 knock out vector will be made and transfected into ES cells. Positive homologous recombinant ES clones will be introduced into mouse blastocysts by microinjection to generate chimeric mice. Male chimeric mice are used to produce heterozygotes by mating with wild type female mice. These mice will be used for further breeding to generate -/- homozygotes. Phenotypic and functional analysis will be then carried out on these mice to clarify the function of ERp28.

Second, it is known that Wind is important for the dorsal-ventral patterning of the *Drosophila* embryo and it is clear that Wind is required for the proper localization of Pipe, another crucial protein for the dorsal-ventral patterning, to the Golgi. However, many questions still remain to be answered. For example, what are the concrete actions of Wind in the transport of Pipe? Is it a chaperone just for Pipe folding or an escort chaperone for the transport or both? How does Wind fulfill its functions to fold or transport Pipe? Is it necessary for other proteins to participate in the process of the folding or transporting of Pipe? A 3-dimensional structure would be very important not only for understanding the functions of Wind but also for other members of PDI-D family (for example, mammalian ERp28) and even for other PDI-related proteins. The aim of the second part of my work, therefore, is first to express and purify the His-tagged recombinant protein of Wind in *E.coli* and then crystallization of the purified protein will be attempted in order to solve the 3-dimentional structure of the protein. In addition, biochemical experiments and mutagenesis work will be performed to elucidate the function of Wind.
4. Materials

Chemicals

All chemicals were, if not mentioned elsewhere, analytical grade and were purchased from Boehringer, Merk, Sigma, Serva, Gibco BRL, New England Biolabs (NEB), etc; $[^\alpha-32P]dCTP$ was bought from Amersham Pharmacia, Hartmann;

Restriction Enzymes, T4 ligase, CIP and DNA polymerase

Restriction Enzymes: NEB, MBI, and Roche
T4 DNA ligase: MBI and NEB
CIP: NEB
Taq DNA polymerase: Roche
Pfu DNA polymerase: Stratagen, Promega
TakRaLa-Taq: TaKaRa

Bacterial strains

DH5$\alpha$: Gibco-BRL
XL1 Blue: Stratagene
BL21: Stratagene

Plasmids

PQE30, PQE60: Qiagen
PGEX-6p-2: Pharmacia
pBluescript II: Stratagene
TOPO TA cloning vectors: Invitrogen
pGEM-T-easy vector: Promega
Other plasmids used for making the ERp28 knock out vector were mentioned in the methods and/or results sections

Mouse strains

C57BL6N, NMRII and S129 were obtained from and raised in the Animal Facility of Max-Planck-Institute of Biophysical Chemistry.
Materials

Kits

Random primers DNA labelling system: Invitrogen
Rediprime™II random prime labelling system: Amersham
Qiagen Spin Miniprep, Midiprep, Maximum kit Qiagen
QIAquick Gel Extraction kit Qiagen
PCR purification kit Qiagen
Nucleotide Removal kit Qiagen
The SuperSignal Substrate kit Pierce

Membranes, Ni-NTA agarose, X-ray films

Nitrocellulose membrane Sartorius
QIABRANE nylon membranes Qiagen
Ni-NTA agarose Qiagen
GST-Agarose beads Sigma
X-ray film Kodak

Primers

All of the primers were synthesized by MWG-Biotech AG

Frequently used medium, buffers and solutions

LB medium: 10g Bacotryptone, 5 g Yeast extract,
5 g NaCl, and 1 litre with distilled water
PBS (phosphate buffered saline): 137 mM NaCl
2.7 mM KCl
8.1 mM Na₂HPO₄
1.4 mM KH₂PO₄ (pH 7.4)
TE (Tris/EDTA): 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5
1 mM EDTA
TBS (Tris-buffered saline) 0.9% NaCl (w/v)
50 mM Tris, pH 7.4
5. Methods

5.1 General methods:

5.1.1 PCR (polymerase chain reaction)

5.1.1.1 For DNA amplification from plasmid templates and short fragments from genomic DNA, the following reaction mixtures and conditions were used. The annealing temperature, extension time and the number of cycles were adjusted according to primers used and the size of the product expected.

The reaction mixture:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Concentration/Enzyme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Template DNA</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>(10-100 ng)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10×buffer</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mM dNTP</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forward primer</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>(10 pmol/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reverse primer</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>(10 pmol/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA polymerase (Taq; Pfu)</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>(2.5 u/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
<td>36 µl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total volume 50 µl

Typical reaction programme used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-60°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>2 sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeat 30-35 cycles

72°C 10 min

5.1.1.2 For long PCR amplification from genomic DNA, the reaction below was used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Concentration/Enzyme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genomic DNA</td>
<td>2 µl</td>
<td>(200 ng-1µg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10× buffer</td>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mM dNTP</td>
<td>8 µl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forward primer</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>(10 pmol/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reverse primer</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>(10 pmol/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaKa Ra LA Taq</td>
<td>1 µl</td>
<td>(2.5 u)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
<td>32 µl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total volume 50 µl

Typical reaction program used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98°C</td>
<td>20 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>10-15 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeat 35 cycles
Methods

5.1.2 Purification of PCR products

PCR products were run on an agarose gel, the desired bands were excised and purified by using a QIAGEN PCR purification kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR products could be purified directly if it was not necessary to separate the PCR products on the agarose gel.

5.1.3 DNA extraction from agarose gel

DNA was isolated using a QIAGEN gel extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

5.1.4 Estimation of DNA purity and quantitation

Quantification of DNA was performed on a Gene Quant II (Pharmacia) photometer. Both DNA and protein absorb light of wavelength 260 nm. However, proteins (aromatic side chains) absorb much stronger at 280 nm. Therefore, the ratio A260/280 gives an estimation of the degree of contamination of a DNA sample with protein. For pure DNA, the A260/280 is about 1.8. For DNA quantitation, the approximate relationship was used that an A260 of 1.0 corresponds to ca. 50 µg/ml double-stranded DNA, 40 µg/ml single-stranded DNA and 31 µg/ml oligonucleotide DNA.

5.1.5 Plasmid extraction

Small-, medium- and large-scale plasmid extractions were performed using plasmid mini/midi- and maxi-prep kits from QIAGEN according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

5.1.6 Restriction enzyme digestion, dephosphorylation, preparation of blunt-ended DNA and ligation

Restriction enzyme digestion was carried out according to a standard procedure. The restriction enzyme solution was kept below 10% total volume to prevent inactivation and star activity. Digested DNA was purified either by gel electrophoresis and extraction with a QIAGEN gel extraction kit or directly with a PCR purification kit.

To avoid undesired self ligation of plasmid DNA, the 5’ phosphate groups of the vector DNA were dephosphorylated using calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP, NEB), employed at a concentration of 0.5u/µg DNA at 37°C for 60 min using the appropriate buffer delivered with the enzyme. Dephosphorylated DNA was purified by gel electrophoresis and gel extraction.
For ligations of incompatible ends of DNA, blunt-ended DNA was first prepared using the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase according to standard procedure, followed by purification with the QIAGEN PCR purification kit.

T4 DNA ligase catalyses the ATP-dependent ligation of blunt or complementary sticky ends of DNA. The enzyme was used according to the supplier’s instruction. Sticky-end ligations were carried out at room temperature for 2-4 hours or overnight, typically a 1:1 to 5:1 or higher insert:vector molar ratio and roughly 50-500 ng insert. Blunt-ended ligations were carried out at 14°C or room temperature overnight, with a 5:1 or even higher molar ratio of insert:vector.

**Typical reaction mixture:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>insert DNA</td>
<td>50-500 ng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector DNA</td>
<td>(about 100 ng)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1×ligase buffer</td>
<td>(including ATP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 DNA ligase</td>
<td>1-2.5 U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH2O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>20µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7. Transformation of *E. coli*

5.1.7.1 Preparation of competent *E. coli* for heat shock transformation

A single colony of *E. coli* cells (XL1 Blue, DH5α) was inoculated into 50 ml LB medium and cultured overnight at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm). 4 ml of the overnight culture was transferred into 400 ml LB medium in a 2-liter flask, and grown at 37°C, with shaking (200 rpm), to an OD600 of 0.375. The culture was aliquoted into eight 50-ml prechilled, sterile polypropylene tubes and the tubes were incubated on ice 5 to 10 min. The cells were centrifuged 7 min at 1600 × g, 4°C and each pellet was resuspended (gently) in 10 ml ice-cold CaCl2 solution. The samples were spun down at 1100 × g for 5 min at 4°C and the pellet was again resuspended in 10 ml cold CaCl2 solution. Then the cells were incubated on ice for 30 min and spun down at 1100 × g, 5 min, 4°C. Each pellet was resuspended completely in 2 ml of ice-cold CaCl2 solution. The cells were aliquoted 250 µl each into prechilled, sterile polypropylene tubes. The cells were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

**CaCl2 solution:**

- 60 mM CaCl2
- 15% (v/v) glycerol
- 10 mM PIPES, pH 7.0
5.1.7.2 Preparation of electro-competent *E. coli*

A 10 ml overnight culture of *E. coli* (XL1 Blue, DH5α) cells was used to inoculate in 1 l of fresh LB medium. The culture was grown at 37°C with agitation for about 2.5 h until OD$_{600}$ of 0.5-0.7 was achieved. The flask was cooled on ice for about 30 min, and the cells were collected by centrifugation at 4000 g, 4°C, for 20 min. The cells were resuspended in 1 l of ice-cold, sterile HEPES buffer, spun again as above, resuspended a second time in 500 ml HEPES buffer, spun, resuspended a third time in 20 ml HEPES buffer with 10% (v/v) glycerol, centrifuged again and finally resuspended in 2-3 ml sterile 10% (v/v) glycerol. The cells were then dispensed in 50-100 µl aliquots and frozen on dry ice or liquid nitrogen. The frozen samples were stored at -80°C.

**HEPES buffer:** 1mM HEPES (pH 7.0)  
**HEPES/glycerol:** 1mM HEPES (pH7.0)  
10% (v/v) glycerol  
10% glycerol: 10% (v/v) glycerol  
in distilled water

All solutions were sterilized by autoclaving before use.

5.1.7.3 Transformation by heat shock

50-100 µl of competent *E. coli* were thawed on ice and added to 10-50 ng of plasmid mixture or to the ligation reaction. Cells were incubated for 30 min on ice, then heat shocked for 1.5 min (XL1 Blue) or for 45 sec (DH5α) at 42°C in a water bath. The cells were then placed on ice for 1-2 min. Thereafter, 1 ml LB was added and the samples were incubated at 37°C for 45 min to allow initiation of the expression of selection marker proteins. 100-200 µl was plated on agar plates containing selection antibiotics and incubated overnight at 37°C.

5.1.7.4 Transformation by electroporation

50 µl of the electro-competent cells were thawed and 1-10 ng of salt free plasmid or 1 µl of ligation reaction was added to the cells. The samples were mixed and transferred to a chilled 0.2 cm electroporation cuvette. Then the cuvette was transferred to a Gene Pulser electroporation chamber (Bio-Rad) and pulsed once with 25 µF, 2500 V, 200 ohms. The time constant was usually about 4.5-5 ms. 1 ml LB medium was added immediately after the pulse and the sample transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and incubated with agitation at 37°C for 45min-1h. 10-100 µl of the samples was then plated onto LB plates containing the selection antibiotic and incubated overnight at 37°C.
5.1.8 DNA gel electrophoresis

At neutral pH, the negatively charged DNA molecules migrate under the influence of an electrical field from the cathode to the anode. The distance migrated depends on fragment size and is fairly independent of base or sequence composition. The bands are made visible under ultraviolet light of 302 nm by staining the gel in an ethidium bromide solution. Alternatively, ethidium bromide can be included in the gel (0.5 µg / ml).

10×TBE 500 mM Tris 5×Blue marker: 20% (w/v) Ficoll
10 mM EDTA 0.125% (w/v) Bromophenol blue
500 mM H₃BO₃ 0.125% (w/v) Xylene-cyanol
pH 8.0

Ethidium bromide stock solution: 10 mg/ml

Agarose gel powder was added to an appropriate volume of 1 × TBE and boiled in a microwave oven until the agarose had dissolved. The liquid was then allowed to cool to ~50°C, whereupon the gel was cast. To increase the density of the applied sample and to allow monitoring of the progress of the electrophoresis, 0.2 volume blue marker was added to the samples. All gels were run in TBE at ~15 V/cm. Documentation was performed with the aid of a CCD camera, after staining for 10-15 min in ethidium bromide solution.

5.1.9 Protein gel electrophoresis

5.1.9.1 Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)

The principle of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis is separation of proteins of varying molecular weights under the influence of an electrical field in a continuous, cross-linked polymer matrix from the cathode to anode. Here, the polymer is polyacrylamide and the cross-linking agent bis-acrylamide. Cross-linking is effected through a radical-induced pathway by the addition of ammonium peroxide and TEMED (1,2-Bis (dimethylamino)-ethane).

Two gels are employed: a stacking gel with a low level of cross-linkage and low pH, allowing protein bands to enter the gel and collect without smearing, and a separating gel with a higher pH, in which the proteins are separated on the basis of size.

5.1.9.2 SDS-PAGE gel

The detergent sodium dodecyl sulphate is known to bind to proteins at an average of one SDS molecule per two amino acid residues, or roughly 1.4 g per g proteins, when present
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at concentrations above 0.8 mM SDS is employed to effect denaturation of the proteins, to
dissociate protein complexes and to impart upon the polypeptide chains net negative charge
densities proportional to the length of the molecule. A reducing agent such as dithiothreitol
(DTT) or β-mecaptoethanol (2-ME) is used to reduce any existing cystines (disulfide bonds).
The electrophoretic mobility of such SDS-protein complexes is inversely proportional to the
logarithm of the molecular mass of the protein. For an 8×6×0.1 cm gel, the following volumes
were used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5% gels (Stacking)</th>
<th>10% gels (Resolving)</th>
<th>12.5% gels (Resolving)</th>
<th>15% gels (Resolving)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5 ml</td>
<td>1.5 ml</td>
<td>1.5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution B</td>
<td>625 µl</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution C</td>
<td>375 µl</td>
<td>2.0 ml</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>3.0 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>1.25 ml</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>2.0 ml</td>
<td>1.5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS (15%)</td>
<td>60 µl</td>
<td>60 µl</td>
<td>60 µl</td>
<td>60 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Solution A:** 0.4% (w/v) SDS
1.5 M Tris-Cl pH 8.9

**Solution C:** 29.2% (w/v) acrylamide
0.8% (w/v) bis-acrylamide

**Solution B:** 0.4% (w/v) SDS (stacking gel 0.5 M Tris-Cl pH 8.9 buffer)

**Running buffer:** 25 mM Tris-base
0.1% (w/v) SDS
192 mM glycine pH 8.75

**APS:** 15% (w/v)
Ammonium persulphate

**Sample loading:** 62.5mM Tris-Cl pH 6.8
buffer 3% (w/v) SDS
10% (v/v) glycerol
10% (v/v) 2-ME
0.0001% bromophenol blue

Solutions for separating gels were mixed according to the above table and poured
immediately after addition of TEMED and APS, up to within 2 cm of the top of the gel
cassette. A few drops of isopropanol were added to prevent dessication of the gel. After
polymerisation was complete, the isopropanol was rinsed off and a freshly prepared stacking
gel solution added. A constant 20 mA current was applied for electrophoresis of small
samples. Large sample volumes were run first at 15 mA until the bromophenol blue bands reached the separating gel, then at 20 mA until the bands reached the bottom of the gel.

5.1.9.3 Native gel (non-denaturing gel)

Recombinant His- wind and Wind-His protein were run on 7.5% native gels, using samples treated with 100 mM DTT was added to the samples.

The basis of separation of native gel or non-denaturing gel is as described in the section 5.1.9.2, with the exception that proteins now separate according to an apparent molecular weight based on the overall size and shape of the molecule. SDS is not added to such gels, and they are run at lower voltages and temperatures to reduce the risk of heat-denaturation of the proteins. In this work, the gel solutions used were identical to those used for SDS-PAGE, with the exception that SDS was omitted from all the solutions. 2-ME or DTT was still present to preserve the reduced state of the proteins.

Sample loading buffer: 62.5 mM Tris/HCl
(non-denaturing gel) 10% (v/v) glycerol
0.001% (w/v) bromophenol blue

7.5% native gel

Solution A: 1.5 M Tris-Cl (pH 8.9) 1.5ml
Solution C: 29.2% acrylamide
0.8% bis-acrylamide
water: 3 ml
TEMED: 3 µl
40% APS: 22.5 µl

5.1.10 Coomassie brilliant blue staining

Gels were stained in staining solution for 0.5-1 h at room temperature followed by reduction of the background using destaining solution for several hours at room temperature.

Staining solution: 0.5% (w/v) Commassie brilliant blue R 250
45% (v/v) ethanol
10% (v/v) acetic acid

Destaining solution: 45% ethanol
10% acetic acid
5.1.11. Western blotting

5.1.11.1 Transferring of proteins onto nitrocellulose membranes

**Blot buffer:** 25 mM Tris-Cl; pH 8.3
192 mM glycine
20% (v/v) methanol

A semi-dry set-up (Millipore Milli-Blot SDE-system) was employed. Upon completion of electrophoresis, the polyacrylamide gel was removed and placed on top of two sheets of Whatman filter paper and a nitrocellulose membrane (0.2 µm pore diameter), cut to size and pre-equilibrated in Blot buffer. After carefully removing any air bubbles present, a further two sheets of Whatman filter paper, similarly pre-equilibrated, were applied. The transfer sandwich, which was set up on the cathodal plate of the chamber, was covered with a lid comprising the anode. For an 8×6×0.1 cm gel, transfer was carried out at 100 mA constant current for 1 hour.

5.1.11.2 Antibody staining of Western blots

**Ponceau S solution:** 0.1 % (v/v) Ponceau S

**antibody incubation:** 0.5% (w/v) low fat milk powder in PBS

**Blocking solution:** 5% (w/v) low fat milk powder in PBS

**PBS** (phosphate buffered saline): 137 mM NaCl
2.7 mM KCl
8.1 mM Na₂HPO₄
1.4 mM KH₂PO₄; pH: 7.4

Briefly adding Ponceau S solution to the membrane allowed visualisation of the transferred bands. The blotted membrane was washed with PBS, treated with blocking solution for at least 2 h at RT or with antibody incubation buffer overnight at 4°C, then with blocking buffer 1-2 h at RT to saturate protein binding sites on the membrane and then incubated with primary antibody (generally at 1:1000 dilution) in 10 ml antibody incubation buffer for 1 h at RT. After three washes in PBS or antibody incubation buffer, the membrane was similarly incubated with the second, horseradish peroxidase-coupled antibody (diluted 1:2000-1:40,000 in incubation buffer).
5.1.11.3 Detection with peroxidase/diamino benzidine

**Staining solution:**
- 0.1% (w/v) CoCl$_2$
- 0.05% (w/v) diamino benzidine/HCl
- 0.2% (v/v) H$_2$O$_2$

Horseradish peroxidase converts 3,3’-diaminobenzidine into a brown precipitate in the presence of its substrate peroxide. Adding nickel or cobalt ions enhances the sensitivity of the reaction. Here, the secondary antibody was used at 1:2000 dilution.

After three or four washes in PBS or PBST (PBS with 0.05% Tween-20) for 10 min. the blocked nitrocellulose membranes were stained for up to 1 min using 10 ml freshly prepared staining solution. The staining solution was removed by washing in PBS, and the blots were stored air-dried.

5.1.11.4 Chemiluminescence

Detection by chemiluminescence was performed using the SuperSignal Substrate kit (Pierce) as recommended by the manufacturer. The signal was recorded on Cronex 4 X-ray film (DuPont) or on a Fujifilm LAS-1000 cooled CCD instrument using Image Reader and Raytest Aida image analysis software (Fuji). Preparation of the blot was essentially as in section 11.3, apart from that HRP-coupled secondary antibody was used at a concentration of 1:10 000-1:40 000 and that Tween-20 was added to the TBS or PBS wash buffers to a final concentration of 0.05%. Because of the high sensitivity of the method, at least four wash steps of 15 min each were performed before application of the detection solution.

5.1.12 Protein quantitation

Protein concentration were estimated according to the method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976). Briefly, the absorption of a protein-specific dye, Commassie Brilliant Blue, is measured near 595 nm. This absorption, which is due to interactions with basic or aromatic residues, yields a relatively good linear concentration dependence for most soluble proteins. Protein solutions of unknown concentration are measured and the absorbance plotted against a reference curve obtained with a protein of known concentration. From this an approximate concentration is determined.

**Dye solution:**

- 100 mg Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250
- 50 ml 100% ethanol
- 100 ml 85% (v/v) phosphoric acid
- Ad 1000 ml with double distilled water
The dye was first dissolved in ethanol before addition of the other components. The solution was filtered before use. A few µl of the protein sample were added to 1 ml dye solution. The mixture was incubated for 5 min at room temperature and then measured spectrophotometrically at 595 nm or 578 nm. As reference, an identical amount of protein solvent solution was treated similarly. The absorbance value was compared to that of a BSA standard curve to obtain protein concentrations.

5.2 Generation of knock out mice

5.2.1 A brief introduction of the knock out strategy and the knock out vector

Gene knock out in mice is a powerful tool for studying the functions of a gene in vivo. The aim of this approach is to delete a gene of interest in mice, thus causing a loss of the functions of the gene. By analyzing the phenotype of the knock out mice, the functions of the gene of interest can be clarified or deduced. Knock out is based on and is the most popular usage of gene targeting. Gene targeting, defined as the introduction of site-specific modifications into the mouse genome by homologous recombination, is generally used for the production of mutant animals to study gene function in vivo. Since homologous recombination of foreign DNA with endogenous genomic sequences is a relatively infrequent event in mammalian cells, compared to random integration, the only efficient gene targeting method presently established utilizes pluripotent murine embryonic stem cell lines. Using these cells, the selection of rare, homologous recombinant ES cell clones in vitro can be accomplished. When such genetically modified ES cells are introduced into a preimplantation embryo they can contribute, even after extensive in vitro manipulation, to all cell lineages (including germ cells) of the resulting chimeric animal. The breeding of germline chimeras, which transmits an ES cell derived mutant chromosome(s) to their progeny, allows the establishment of an animal heterozygous for the derived genetic alteration and, more importantly, by further breeding a homozygous mutant mouse strain. The necessity of chimera production may be bypassed in the future when spermatogonial stem cell lines become available.

As a substrate for homologous recombination, vectors of the replacement type (here referred as knock out vector) are most frequently used for gene targeting in ES cells and are intended to simply inactivate gene function. A typical replacement vector consists of two regions of DNA (4-10 kb in total) homologous to the genomic target locus which are interrupted by a positive selection marker such as the bacterial aminoglycoside phosphotransferase (neo) gene which is selected for with the potent ribosome binding drug,
G418. The positive marker may serve two functions. Its primary purpose is as a selection marker to isolate the rare transfected cells that have integrated DNA (which occur at a frequency of about one in $10^4$). Secondly, it can serve as a mutagen, for instance if it is cloned into a coding exon of the gene or replaces coding exons. If recombinant ES clones will be identified by PCR, one of the vector arms must be kept relatively short (1-2 kb) to ensure efficient amplification. A thymidine kinase (tk) gene is often included at the end of the long homology arm of the vector to serve as an additional negative selection marker (using the drug ganclovir) against ES clones which have randomly integrated the targeting vector. Thus, homologous recombinants can be enriched by both positive and negative selection.

In summary, the whole knock out procedure can be divided into the following steps: preparing the knock out vector; transfecting the ES cells, and screening the ES cells to get the positive recombinant ES cells; microinjecting the positive ES cells into the mouse blastocyst to generate chimeric mice; crossing the chimeric mice with wild type mice to get heterozygotic mice; recrossing the heterozygotic mice to produce homozyotic mice; finally, analysing the phenotype of knock out mice to work out the function of the knocked out gene.

5.2.2 Searching and alignment of the mouse ERp28 ESTs from the database

Using the cDNA sequence of human ERp28 to search the mouse EST database in Genbank, several mouse ESTs were found. By alignment and comparison of these ESTs using the programme DNASTAR, nearly full length mouse ERp28 cDNA including all of the coding region was obtained.

5.2.3 The exon distribution of mouse ERp28

The cDNA sequence of ERp28 obtained above was used to search the database and look for fragments of known mouse genomic DNA that contained pieces of mouse ERp28 cDNA. Comparing the cDNA with these genomic DNA fragments, the exon distribution of mouse ERp28 could be deduced. To find out the distance between the exons (intron regions), PCR was performed using primers (P278/P293, P294/P279) designed from different exons and using mouse genomic DNA as template.

P293 5’ CTGCCAGACGCTTGAACTCATCTTGCTTCTCTCCATAG 3’
P294 5’ CTATGGAGAGAAGCAAGATGAGTTCAAGCGTCTGGCAG 3’

The sequences of P278 and P279 are shown in section 5.2.5.
5.2.4 RNA extraction

Total RNA was extracted from the brain of an E12.5 mouse embryo and cultured F9 cells using the RNeasy Mini Kit from Qiagen according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer.

5.2.5 RT-PCR to obtain a cDNA probe for mouse Genomic DNA library screening

Two primers for RT-PCR were designed from the sequences of the first and second exons, respectively (as shown in fig 6.1.2)

Upstream primer (P278) 5’TGCTCCTGGGCTGCTGCTCCTCTTTGCTCCGCAC3’;
Downstream primer (p279) 5’GCATCTCACCAGCCATCTTGACTGAGCACACAGGC 3’.

The template RNA used is total RNA extracted either from E12.5 mouse brain, or cultured teratocarcinoma F9 cells.

**Reaction mixture:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNase-free water</td>
<td>17.0 µl</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x QIAGEN OneStep RT-PCR Buffer</td>
<td>10.0 µl</td>
<td>1x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP Mix (containing 10 mM of each dNTP)</td>
<td>2.0 µl</td>
<td>400 µM of each dNTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5x Q-Solution</td>
<td>10.0 µl</td>
<td>1x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P278 (upstream primer)</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
<td>0.6 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P279 (downstream primer)</td>
<td>3 µl</td>
<td>0.6 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QIAGEN OneStep RT-PCR Enzyme Mix</td>
<td>2.0 µl</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase inhibitor (optional)</td>
<td>1.0 µl</td>
<td>5–10 units/reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template RNA</td>
<td>2.0 µl</td>
<td>1–2 µg/reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total volume 50.0 µl –

**Program used:** 50°C, 30 min for reverse transcription; 95°C, 15 min to activate the Enzyme Mix and inactivate the reverse transcriptases; followed by PCR: 94°C, 30 sec; 60°C, 30 sec; 72°C, 2 min; 35 cycles in total and then 72°C, 10 min.

Products from the RT-PCR reaction were purified and cloned into TOPOII TA cloning vectors (Invitrogen).
5.2.6 TA cloning

The PCR products amplified by Taq DNA polymerase can be cloned into the TA cloning vectors due to an extra A at the 3’ terminus of the PCR products. For high fidelity DNA polymerase like Pfu, the extra A can be added by incubating the products with Taq polymerase for 10-20 minutes at 72°C after the PCR reaction. The TA cloning vectors were used according to the instructions of the manufacturers (TOPO TA cloning vector from Invitrogen; pGEM-T-Easy TA cloning vector from Promega).

5.2.7 Genomic DNA library screening

5.2.7.1 Library titre determination (mouse S129 genomic DNA library in Lambda Fix II, Stratagene, kindly provided by Professor Nils Brose, Max-Planck-Institute of Experimental Medicine, Göttingen).

1. Preparation of bacterial culture for infection (XL1-MRA)

A single colony of XL1-MRA was picked and put into 3 ml LB with 0.2% maltose and 10 mM MgSO₄, cultured at 37°C, 180 rpm, until an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-0.8 (about 5 hours).

2. Titration of packaged phages on LB plates

**Phage buffer:** 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4

100 mM NaCl

10 mM MgSO₄

A series of dilutions were made in phage buffer, 1:10³, 1:10⁴, 1:10⁵, 1:10⁶. 100 µl of the diluted phages was added to 100 µl of prepared bacterial cells in a 1.5 ml tube, mixed gently, incubated, 37°C, 30 min. The mixture was transferred to a 15 ml tube containing 6.5 ml prewarmed top agarose (45°C), mixed gently by inverting, and immediately poured on top of prewarmed LB plates (37°C). The plates were incubated at 37°C, overnight. The number of plaques on the plates was counted and the titre of the library was calculated.

5.2.7.2 The first round of screening

1. Preparation of the LB plates for screening

First, four big plates were prepared with 300-400 ml of LB agar, hardened and dried at 37°C overnight or room temperature for 2 days before using.

XL1-MRA host bacteria was prepared as before. 1 µl of the library was diluted to 1:10 and 1 µl hereof was used for each plate (corresponding to 2×10⁵ plaques per big plate, this contains almost a whole mouse genome according to the size). 1 µl of the diluted phages was added to 100 µl of prepared bacterial cells in a 1.5 ml tube, mixed gently and incubated,
at 37°C, for 30 min. The mixture was transferred a 50 ml tube containing 40 ml prewarmed top agarose (45°C), mixed gently by inverting, immediately poured on top of prewarmed LB plates (37°C). The plates were incubated at 37°C, overnight or until the plaques reached a suitable size without merging.

2. Transfer of phages to Hybond™-N membranes.

Hybond™-N membranes (two membranes for each plate) were placed onto the surface of plates with suitably sized plaques for 1 min. The membranes and plates were marked by puncturing with a needle to ensure correct orientation of colonies/plaques. After 1 min, the membranes were placed, colony side up, on a pad of absorbent filter paper soaked in denaturing solution, for 7 minutes. Then the membrane was placed, colony side up, on a pad of absorbent filter paper soaked in neutralizing solution, for 3 minutes, and then repeated with a fresh pad soaked in the same solution. The membranes were washed in 2×SSC, then air dried on the dry filter paper, colony side up. Finally the membranes were baked at 80°C for 2 hours to fix the DNA on the membranes.

Denaturing solution: 1.5 M NaCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1.5 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>0.5 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neutralizing solution: 1.5 M NaCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>1.5 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>0.5 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-Cl</td>
<td>0.5 M pH 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>0.001 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20×SSC stock solution: 3 M NaCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>3.0 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sodium citrate</td>
<td>0.3 M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Prehybridization

Solutions:

20×SSPE: 3.6 M NaCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>3.6 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sodium phosphate</td>
<td>0.2 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>0.02 M pH 7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5% SDS

0.2 M EDTA (pH 8.0)

100× Denhardt's Solution: 2% BSA (bovine serum albumin)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Filcolin™

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filcolin</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pvp</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polyvinylpyrrolidone

Sonicated non-homologous salmon sperm DNA (10 mg/ml) was first denatured by heating at 95-100°C for 5 min, then chilled on ice and added to the solution prepared as below to a final concentration 0.02 ng/ml. 25 ml of prehybridiztion solution was added to each of
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four hybridization bottles, to which the membranes were then added. The membranes were then prehybridized in a hybridization oven at 65°C, overnight.

Pre-hybridization solution was prepared as below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>Final Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20×SSPE</td>
<td>6.25 ml</td>
<td>5×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100×Denhardt’s solution</td>
<td>1.25 ml</td>
<td>5×Denhardt’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>0.5% SDS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| total                     | 25 ml (for one membrane in one hybridization bottle) |

4. Hybridization

DNA Probe was labeled using the Redprime™ II Kit (random primer labeling system) (Amersham Pharmacia). The cDNA insert from the TOPOII vector was digested by EcoRI and purified by gel electrophoresis and gel extraction, 25 ng of insert DNA in 45 µl of TE buffer (10mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA) was denatured by heating at 95-100°C for 5 min, cooled rapidly on ice for 5 min, then briefly centrifuged. The denatured DNA was transferred to the reaction tube, 5 µl (10 µCi/µl) of Redivue[^32P]dCTP (Amersham Pharmacia) was added and the solution was mixed by pipetting 12 times. After a 10 min incubation at 37°C, 5 µl of 0.2 M EDTA (pH 7.5-8.0) was added to stop the reaction. The labeled probe was denatured at 95-100°C for 5 min, then cooled on ice for 5 min and spun down briefly. One reaction mix was added to each of the hybridization bottles and hybridization was carried out overnight at 65 °C.

5. Wash

After hybridization, the membranes were washed with washing buffer (0.1×SSPE, 0.1% SDS) for 4 hours, changing the washing buffer every hour. The membranes were then wrapped in Saran wrap, and detected by autoradiography (exposed for 3.5 days, -80°C).
5.2.7.3 The second round of screening

The positive plaques were picked from the first round of screening using Pasteur pipettes, transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube containing 500 µl of SM buffer, and incubated at 4°C overnight to release the phages from agarose.

**SM buffer:**

- 0.1% gelatin
- 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 7.5)
- 100 mM MgSO₄

1 µl was used as template and PCR was performed to confirm the positive clones again and to check whether they included the full length of the ERp28 locus. Primer pairs used for PCR were P299/P279, P301/P293, P273/P323 (fig 6.1.7) designed from the known sequences of genomic DNA and the mouse ERp28 cDNA.

P299: 5’ GGAGCTGAGTGAGAAGTACAAGCTGGACAAGG AGAGCTAC 3’;

P279: 5’GCATCTCACCAGCCATCTTGACTGAGCACACAGGC 3’;

P301: 5’ GTGTTTACCGAGAAGGACCTCCTGAGATGGCAGACCTGTG 3’;

P293: 5’ CTGCCAGACGCTTGAACTCATCTTGCTTCTCCATAG 3’;

P273: 5’GCTGCAGCC ATGGGGAGCAAAGAGGAGCAGCAGGCCC 3’;

P323: 5’GGACGGGACTTAGATGTG GAAGGTACTAGTTCCAG 3’

The above solution was diluted to 1:10⁵ in phage buffer and 100 µl was transferred to 100 µl of XL1-MRA host bacteria, and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The mixture was added to 7 ml prewarmed top agarose, poured on top of the LB plates (15 cm), and incubated at 37°C, overnight.

Plates with 500-1000 plaques (plaques were clearly separated from each other) were chosen. Phages were transferred to membranes and the orientation of the membranes was marked as in the first round of screening.

The membranes were baked at 80°C, for 2 hours to fix the DNA on the membranes. Prehybridization, hybridization, wash, and autoradiography were carried out as previously described.

5.2.7.4 The third round of screening by PCR

Several single positive plaques from each of the plates from the second round of screening were picked and the phages were released from agarose as before. The phages were diluted to 1:10⁵ and fresh plaques were prepared (separated from each other) as before. Single
plaques were picked and the phages were released from the agarose by soaking the plaques in 500µl of SM buffer, at 4°C, overnight. PCR was performed as in the second round of screening.

5.2.8 Isolation of DNA from the positive plaques

The Wizard® Lambda Prep DNA purification System (Promega) was used to purify Lambda phage DNA by the liquid culture method according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

5.2.9 Restriction mapping

The phage DNA was either digested by NotI alone or double-digested by NotI and EcoRI to isolate the ERp28 locus. The digested fragments that contained the fragments of the gene locus of mouse ERp28 were then purified and cloned into the vector pBluescript II (Stratagene). The vectors were further digested by different combinations of restriction enzymes. A restriction map of the ERp28 locus could be determined from the digestion results.

5.2.10 Steps for building the knock-out vector

This will be described in detail in the results section (section 6.1.7).

5.2.11 Linearisation of the knock out vector

The knock out vector was digested by NotI to linearise the vector completely (linearised vectors increase the efficiency of recombination). Then the linearised vector was precipitated with 0.3 M NaAc (pH 5.2) and 3 volumes of 100% ethanol. Samples were centrifuged at maximum speed for 30 min, and the supernatant was discarded carefully. The pellet was washed with 500 µl of 70% ethanol and centrifuged again for 5 min. The supernatant was decanted carefully and the DNA was air dried. The linearised vector was dissolved in a suitable amount of autoclaved ddH₂O and the concentration (usually about 1 µg/µl) was determined.

5.2.12 ES cell transfection and selection

ES cells (MPI-II, passage 11) and anti-G418 fibroblast cells (feeder cells) were obtained from the Department of Molecular Cell Biology, Max-Planck-Institute of Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen.
Methods

Schedule for the ES-cell experiment

Day 1
Initiation of fibroblast feeder cell culture

Fibroblast medium (FB)  DMEM with 2 mM glutamine,

or Emfis medium:  10% serum and 0.9% (w/v) glucose.

9 ml of medium was added to a 50 ml Falcon tube. One vial of fibroblast cells was thawed, transferred to the tube, and then spun down at 1000 rpm for 10 min. The medium was aspirated and the pellet was resuspended in 10 ml fresh medium and pipetted up and down several times. The cells were transferred to a 15 cm dish which contained 14 ml fresh medium. The cells were mixed with medium and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂, overnight. Meanwhile, 3ml medium was added to a 3.5 cm dish as a control to monitor contamination.

Day 2-3
The medium was changed every day and the cells were allowed to grow until confluency.

Day 4
Subculture of feeder cells. The confluent feeder cells were split into 3 dishes. The cells were washed once with PBS, 5 ml trypsin was added and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. 10 ml of fresh medium was then added, and the cells were pipetted up and down to remove clumps. The cells were transferred to a sterile 50 ml Falcon tube, and spun down at 1000 rpm, for 5-10 min. The cells were resuspended in 5 ml of fresh medium and 5ml of the cells was transferred to each of three plates containing 15-20 ml of fresh medium (two of them would be inactivated and frozen for culturing ES cells, the third one for subculture on 6 new plates).

Trypsin solution: 8.0 g of NaCl, 0.4 g of KCl, 0.1 g of Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 1.0 g of glucose, 0.3 g of trizma base, 0.01 g of phenol red and 2.5g of trypsin were dissolved in H₂O, the pH was adjusted to 7.6 and H₂O was added to 1000 ml. The solution was filter-sterilized and kept in 10-ml aliquots at -20 °C. For use, the solution was diluted (1:4) with saline/EDTA and stored at -20 °C.

Saline/EDTA:  8.0 g of NaCl, 0.2 g of KCl, 1.15 g of Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 0.2 g of KH₂PO₄ and 0.2 g of EDTA were dissolved in distilled water, the pH was adjusted to 7.2 and H₂O was added to a total of volume of 1000 ml. The solution was autoclaved and stored at RT.
Day 5-8

Inactivation and freezing of fibroblast feeder cells. For 15 cm dishes, the old medium was aspirated, and 10 ml fresh medium containing 100 µg/ml of mitomycin C was added. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 2.5 hours to inactivate the feeder cells. Then the inactivation medium was aspirated and the cells were washed once with PBS. 5 ml trypsin was added and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 5 min. 10 ml of fresh medium was added and the cells were pipetted up and down to remove cell clumps. The cells were collected from the two dishes into a sterile 50 ml Falcon tube, and spun down at 1000 rpm for 5-10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 1.5 ml of fresh medium and 1.5 ml of freezing medium was added. The sample was mixed and the cells were aliquoted to 3 Eppendorf tubes (2 ml), 1 ml in each. The cells were first frozen at -20°C for 1h; then at -80°C, overnight; for long term storage, the cells were further frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –180°C to -200°C. The cells in the third dishes were split into 6 new big dishes as before and allowed to grow for two days until confluency, and inactivated as above.

Day 9

Preparation of gelatinized dishes. 3.5 cm dishes were gelatinized with 2 ml of 0.1% gelatin for at least 15-20 min at RT). The cells in big dishes were washed with PBS, then incubated with 5 ml trypsin at 37°C for 5 min. 10 ml of the fresh medium was added to a big dish of cells and pipetted up and down 10-20 times. The cells were transferred to a 50 ml Falcon tube, medium was added to 40 ml, and pipetted up and down. The gelatine was aspirated from the small dishes and 2 ml of the cells was added to each dish and incubated at 37°C overnight. Meanwhile, the cells of 5 other dishes were frozen for later usage as before.

Gelatin solution: 1 g of gelatine was dissolved into 1000 ml of H2O, autoclaved and stored at 4°C.

Day 10-12

Start of ES cell culture. One vial of ES cells was thawed quickly in a 37°C water bath. The thawed ES cells were transferred to a 15 ml Falcon tube containing 5 ml fresh F.B medium, and spun down at 1000 rpm for 5-10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 2 ml ES medium. Meanwhile, the medium in one 3.5 cm dish of inactivated feeder cells was removed. The ES cells were transferred to the dish and incubated at 37°C for two days without changing the medium.
ES medium: DMEM containing 4.5 g/L glucose, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 2 mM glutamine, 1% (v/v) stock solution of non essential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 15% (v/v) FCS, and 500 U/ml of LIF (leukemia inhibitor factor).

Day 13
Subculture of ES cells. ES cells were subcultured on 5 new 3.5 cm dishes containing the inactivated feeder cells. The ES cells were first washed with 2 ml PBS, 0.5 ml of trypsin was added and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. 1.5 ml of fresh ES medium was added to inhibit the activity of trypsin and the cells were pipetted up and down carefully to obtain single ES cells (important for preventing the differentiation of ES cells). The cells were transferred to a 50 ml Falcon tube and spun down. The cell pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of fresh ES medium and 2 ml was transferred to each of five 3.5 cm dishes. The ES cells were incubated at 37°C.

Day 14
Change of the ES cell medium and preparation of two 10 cm dishes of feeder cells for transferring ES cells for day 15. 5 ml gelatin was added to each of two 10 cm dishes and incubated at least 20 min at RT. One tube of inactivated fibroblast feeder cells prepared before was thawed, and the cells were transferred to a 50 ml Falcon tube containing 9 ml of fresh feeder medium, and spun down at 1000 rpm for 5-10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 20 ml fresh feeder medium and 10 ml was transferred to each of the two gelatinized dishes.

Day 15
Transfer of the ES cells in small dishes to the two 10 cm dishes containing feeder cells. The medium was aspirated from the small dishes and the cells were washed with 2 ml PBS. 0.5 ml trypsin was added to each of the 3.5 cm dishes, incubated at 37°C for 5 min. Then 1.5 ml fresh ES medium was added and the cells were pipetted up and down carefully to obtain single ES cells. The cells were transferred to a Falcon tube, spun, and the pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of fresh ES medium. 5 ml was transferred to each of 10 cm dishes containing 5 ml of fresh medium. The cells were incubated at 37°C.

Day 16
Change of the medium of ES cells and preparation of 2 × 15 cm dishes of feeder cells for electroporation. 2 × 5 cm dishes were gelatinized. Two tubes of fibroblast cells were thawed in a 37°C water bath, transferred to a Falcon tube containing 8 ml of feeder medium, and spun
down. The cell pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of fresh medium. 5 ml was transferred to each of the two 15 cm dishes containing 15 ml medium. The dishes were incubated at 37°C.

Day 17

Transfection of ES cells by electroporation. The feeder medium was aspirated from the two 15 cm feeder cell dishes and exchanged for 25 ml ES medium. The ES cells in the two 10 cm dishes were trypsinized by adding 2 ml trypsin to each dish, incubated at 37°C for 5 min, and then 6 ml of PBS (note: not medium) was added. The cells were pipetted up and down to obtain single cells and then transferred into a Falcon tube, spun down and the cell pellet was resuspended in 0.8 ml of PBS. 30 µg of linearized knock-out vector was added and mixed with approximately 1.5×10⁷ ES cells. The mixture was transferred to an electroporation cuvette (0.4 cm). Electroporation was performed under the following conditions: 250 V, 500 µF, time constant about 7 ms at room temperature. The electroporated cells were left at RT for 5-10 min, then transferred to 2×15 cm dishes containing feeder cells, and incubated at 37°C.

Day 18-25

Selection by Gancyclovir and G418

24 hours after electroporation, selection was started by changing the ES medium in the dishes with ES selection medium (25 ml). The ES cells were selected for 7-8 days (the last two days only selected by G418), changing the selection medium every day.

Selection medium: 200 µl of 2 mM Gancyclovir and 200 µl of 250 mg/ml G418 were added to 200 ml ES medium.

Day 24

Change of the selection medium (ES medium only with G418) on the sixth day of selection.

Preparation of some gelatinized 24-well plates (100-200 µl of 0.1% gelatin was added to each well, and the plates were incubated at 37°C overnight).

Day 25

Change of the selection medium (ES medium only with G418) on the seventh day of selection.
**Methods**

**Preparation of 5× 24-well plates of feeder cells:** 2 tubes of the frozen inactivated feeder cells were thawed at 37°C, transferred to a Falcon tube containing 8 ml of fibroblast cell medium (FB medium), and spun down at 1000 rpm for 5-10 min. The cells were resuspended in 15 ml of feeder cell medium, and 5 ml of the cells was transferred to each of three 50 ml Falcon tubes. More medium was added to 40.5 ml. Gelatin was aspirated from the 24-well plates, and 1ml of the cells was added to each well. Then the cells were incubated at 37°C.

**Day 26-28**

**Picking of surviving clones.** First, the clones that were big enough were marked, then the FB medium in the 24-well plates was replaced by 1 ml of ES medium. 30 µl of trypsin was added to each well of 96-well plates, the marked colonies were picked under a microscope with 10-µl micropipette tips, and transferred to 96-well plates. After 5-10 min of incubation at RT, 50 µl of ES medium was added to inhibit the activity of trypsin using a 12-channel micropipette. The cells were disaggregated by pipetting up and down and transferred to 24-well plates containing the inactivated fibroblast feeder cells in order.

**Day 28**

**Splitting of some clones in the 24-well plates picked on the first day.** The cells in the wells were split if they contained only few clones which were very big and compact, because they were derived from clumps of ES cells and not from single cells. These ES cells must be split, otherwise, they will tend to differentiate. If there were many ES cells in the well and the ES cells were flat and similar in size, they were allowed to grow until confluency.

**Day 29-42**

**Freezing of the surviving ES cells and subculture for genomic DNA extraction.** The cells were washed once with PBS, then trypsinized for 5 min with 100 µl of trypsin. 500 µl of fresh medium with G418 was added, the cells were pipetted up and down and 490 µl was transferred to freezing tubes marked according to the number of the wells, then 500 µl of 2× freezing medium was added to the freezing tubes. The rest of the ES cells was transferred to gelatinized 24-well plates (each well containing 1 ml ES medium with G418), and cultured at 37°C until confluency for genomic DNA extraction. The freezing tubes were first placed at -20°C overnight, then transferred to -80°C. For long term storage the cells were kept at -180°C.
Methods

**2×Freezing medium (10 ml):**
- 1 ml FCS
- 1 ml DMSO (dimethylsulfoxide)
- 8 ml ES medium

When the cells in 24 wells were confluent (usually after 2-3 days), the cells were washed once with PBS, then 500 µl of lysis buffer was added, incubated at 37°C, overnight (at least 6 hours). The next day, the solution was transferred from each well to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube for DNA extraction.

**Lysis buffer:**
- 100 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.5
- 5 mM EDTA
- 0.2% (W/V) SDS
- 200 mM NaCl
- 100 µg/ml Proteinase K

### 5.2.13 Extraction of genomic DNA from the surviving ES cells

500 µl of isopropanol was added to the Eppendorf tubes from step 5.2.12 (day 29-42) to precipitate the genomic DNA. The solution was well mixed and allowed to stand for a few minutes at RT, centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 min at RT, and the supernatants were carefully discarded. The DNA pellets were washed with 500 µl of 70% ethanol (v/v), and centrifuged at 13,000 g for 5 min at RT. The supernatants were discarded and the pellets air dried. Each pellet was dissolved in 100 µl of ddH₂O (or 1 × TE, pH 8.0) and incubated at 37°C overnight.

### 5.2.14 Screening of the recombinant ES cells by PCR

Primers used are shown in fig 6.1.12 of the results Section. P366/LacZ-3 are external primers designed from the region outside 5’ end of the left arm and the 5’ end of the sequence of LacZ, respectively; P373/P374 are external primers designed according to the 3’ end of the gene for neomycin resistance and the region outside 3’ of the right arm, respectively. Since both pairs of primers are not included in the arms, they can be used to distinguish homologous recombination from random recombination. These primers were also used for genotyping of chimeric, heterozygotic, and homozygotic mice.

- P366: 5’ CTGGCAACACTCCAATGGAGGCCTCTGGTG 3’
- LacZ-3: 5’ AATGCCTCCCAGACCGGCAACGAAAATCACG 3’
- P373: 5’ CATGGCGATGCCTGCTTGCCGAATATCATGG 3’
- P374: 5’ CTGTCAGCTGACCATGGTCCGTATGGACTGC 3’
Methods

The reaction mixture:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left fragment</th>
<th>Right fragment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2µl (200ng)</td>
<td>2µl (200ng)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10×Buffer</td>
<td>10×Buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5µl</td>
<td>5µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5mM dNTP</td>
<td>2.5mM dNTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8µl</td>
<td>8µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P366</td>
<td>P373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1µl (10pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl (10pmol/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LacZ-3</td>
<td>P374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1µl (10pmol/µl)</td>
<td>1µl (10pmol/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaKa Ra LA Taq</td>
<td>TaKa Ra LA Taq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5µl (5u/µl)</td>
<td>0.5µl (5u/µl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5µl</td>
<td>32.5µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50µl</td>
<td>50µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCR programme used: 98°C 20 sec
68°C 15 min
repeat 35 cycles
72°C 10 min

5.2.15 Preparation of positive ES cells for blastocyst injection

Several days (3-4 days) before blastocyst injection

The positive recombinant ES cells were thawed and a confluent 3.5 cm well of ES cells was prepared as mentioned earlier. At least 24 hours before blastocyst injection, the cells were split 1:2 and cultured until 70-80% confluency.

Day of blastocyst injection

The medium was changed 3-4 h before trypsinization. The cells were washed twice with PBS, 0.5 ml of trypsin was added, and the cells were then incubated at 37°C for 5 min. 0.5 ml of ES cell medium (with 15-20% serum) was added followed by one volume of serum (0.5 ml). The cells were pipetted 5-10 times to break up clumps and checked under the microscope to make sure there were mostly single cells. Finally, the cells were transferred to screw-cap tubes and placed on ice for blastocyst injection.

5.2.16 Generation of chimeric mice by microinjection

Microinjection was performed at the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine by Mrs. Monika Schindler and animal breeding was performed by the Animal Facility of the Max Planck Institute of Biophysical Chemistry.
5.2.17 **Preparation of genomic DNA from the tails of chimeric mice and genotyping by PCR**

A piece of tail (about 0.5 cm) was cut off from a 8-week-old mouse (ready for crossing) and put in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube. 500 µl of lysis buffer (prepared as in section 5.2.12) was added to cover the tail fragment and incubated at 55°C overnight. The sample was then centrifuged for 5 min at maximum speed and the supernatant was carefully transferred to a new Eppendorf tube. The genomic DNA was purified by extraction with an equal volume phenol/ chloroform, spun down at maximum speed for 5 min and the upper solution was carefully transferred to a tube containing 500 µl of isopropanol, mixed gently and allowed to stand at room temperature for a few minutes. After centrifugation for 5 minutes, the supernatant was discarded. The DNA pellet was washed with 500 µl of 70% ethanol, and centrifuged again for 5 minutes. The supernatant was aspirated and the samples air dried. The DNA pellet was dissolved in 100 µl ddH₂O, and incubated at 37°C overnight. The concentration of genomic DNA was determined and PCR performed as in the section ES cell screening (section 5.2.14).

5.2.18 **Crossing of the chimeric mice with wild type mice to generate heterozygotes**

Male chimera were used to mate with female wildtype mice to produce heterzyogtes. To this end, each male chimera was mated with two female wildtype mice.

5.2.19 **Preparation of genomic DNA from the tails of possible heterozygotic mice and verification by PCR**

Genomic DNA from the tails of offspring was extracted and genotyped by PCR as in section 5.2.17.

5.2.20 **Recrossing of male and female heterozygotes to produce homozygotes**

After genotyping, the heterozygotes were recrossed to produce homozygotes. Each male heterozygote was mated with two female heterozygotes.

5.2.21 **Genotyping of chimera, heterozygotes and homozygotes by Southern blotting**

Southern blotting was performed using an internal probe (cDNA fragment including exon 3 and half of exon 2 amplified with primers P294/P279) and an external probe as shown in the result section (fig 6.1.19).
**Methods**

**DNA digestion and transfer:**

15-20 µg of genomic DNA extracted from mice tails was digested by SpeI or HindIII, in order to digest completely, a 4-5 fold high concentration (compared with normal digestion) of SpeI or HindIII was used (Roche, 40 u/µl), and the reaction was incubated at 37°C overnight. The samples were run on 0.9% agarose gel at 50 V overnight to separate the digested products. The DNA on the gel was then transferred to QIABRANE membrane (QIAGEN) by the classical Southern transfer method.

The gel was stained in ethidium bromide solution and photographed. The gel was soaked in 0.25 mM HCl for 7 min with agitation to cleave high molecular weight DNA, and then soaked for 30 to 60 min in 1.5 M NaCl-0.5 M NaOH with shaking to denature DNA. The gel was further soaked for 30 to 60 min in 1.5 M NaCl-1.0 M Tris/HCl, pH 8.0 with shaking to neutralize the NaOH. A piece of Whatmann 3 MM paper was placed on a flat support in a dish (reservoir) which was then filled with 10 × SSC. Air bubbles were smoothed out with a glass rod. The gel was placed on damp 3 MM Whatmann paper, inverted. The membrane (Nitrocellulose or Nylon) was cut 1-2 mm wider and longer than the gel. The membrane was wet first with water, then with 2 × SSC for 2-3 min, and then placed on the gel. 2 pieces of 3 MM Whatmann paper in the same size of the gel, were wet in 2 × SSC and placed on top of the membrane. The gel was surrounded with Saran wrap to avoid short-circuiting. A stack of paper towels, 5-8 cm high, was placed on top of the Whatmann paper, followed by a glass plate and a 500 g weight. DNA was transferred for 12-24 hours, changing towels periodically. Towels were removed, the gel and membrane were inverted and gel slots were marked with ball point pen or pencil. The gel was stained in EB to be sure that DNA was transferred. Then the membrane was soaked in 6 × SSC for 5 min, air dried at RT and baked for 2 hours at 80°C (or cross-link by placing on Saran wrap with face down, on UV light for 2 to 5 min.

**Pre-hybridization:**

Sonicated non-homologous salmon sperm DNA (10 mg/ml) was denatured by heating at 95-100°C for 5min, chilled on ice and added to the solution prepared as below to a final concentration 0.02 ng/ml (50 µl). 25 ml of prehybridization solution was transferred to a hybridization bottle and the membrane was put into the bottle. Pre-hybridization was performed in a hybridization oven at 65°C overnight. Preparation of pre-hybridization solution as below
Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20×SSC</td>
<td>7.5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50×Denhardt’s solution</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% SDS</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddH₂O</td>
<td>12.5 ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

total 25 ml (for one membrane in one hybridization bottle)

Hybridization:

DNA probe was labelled with the Random Primers DNA Labeling System (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

25 ng of DNA was dissolved in 5-20 µl distilled water in a microcentrifuge tube and denatured by heating for 5 min at 95-100°C, then immediately cooled on ice. 2 µl dATP solution (0.5 mM dATP), 2 µl dGTP solution (0.5 mM dGTP), 2 µl dTTP solution (0.5 mM dTTP), 15 µl Random Primers Buffer Mixture, and 5 µl of [alpha-32P]dCTP (approximately 50 µCi) were added, and then distilled water to a total volume of 49 µl and mixed briefly. 1 µl (3 u/µl) Klenow Fragment was added to the above tube and mixed gently but thoroughly, and centrifuged briefly. The samples were then incubated at 25°C for 1 h and the reaction was stopped with 5 µl Stop Buffer (0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0). The labelled DNA probe was denatured at 95-100°C for 5 min, then placed on ice, spun down briefly and transferred to the pre-hybridization solution. Hybridization was carried out overnight at 65°C. The hybridised membrane was then washed as follows: 50 ml 2×SSC, 65°C, 15 min; 50 ml 2×SSC-0.1% SDS, 65°C, 30 min; 50 ml 0.1 × SSC, 65°C, 10 min To exposure, the washed membrane was wrapped in Saran wrap and transferred into a BAS 2040 cassette (FUJI), face upside, covered with BAS-MP2040 film (FUJI) and exposed for 4-5 days. Signals were scanned using a FUJIFILM BAS-2050 device (FUJI) and analyzed using the Basread program (FUJI).

5.2.22 Immunohistochemistry on paraffin sections

The E12 embryos were embedded in wax and cut into 6-8 µm serial sections. The sections were dewaxed in the following steps: 2 × 3-5 minutes in Histochoice clearing agent
Methods

(Sigma); 1 \times 2 \text{ minutes in } 100 \% \text{ ethanol}; 1 \times 2 \text{ minutes in each of } 90 \%, 70\% \text{ and } 50 \% \text{ ethanol}; 1 \times 5 \text{ minutes in PBS.}

**First Antibody:**

Slides were put into immuno cassettes and washed with PBS three times. Then the sections were blocked in blocking solution (10\% FCS in PBS) for 1 hour at room temperature. Liquid was removed from each section by aspiration or with tissue paper. Sections were framed with a "wax-pencil", covered with the primary antibody diluted in blocking solution (1:50) and incubated overnight at 4\°C.

**Second antibody:**

The first antibody solution was removed from the edge of each slide. The sections were washed, with PBST (0.1 \% Tween-20 in PBS) three times, 10 minutes for each with gentle rocking. Then the sections were covered with Cy3-coupled secondary antibody (diluted 1:100 in 10\% FCS), and incubated for 0.5-1 hour at RT.

**DAPI counter-staining**

Sections were washed with PBST three times for 5-10 minutes. Then 1 \times \text{bisbenzimide was added to the sections and the sections were allowed to stand at RT for 1 min for nucleus staining, and then washed with PBST twice, 5 minutes each. The slides were covered with DAKO fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO Corporation) and stored in the dark space at 4\°C. The sections were observed through a microscope (Olympus BS60) using suitable channels (red channel for Cys-coupled antibody staining and blue channel for DAPI counter-staining).**

\textbf{100\times Bisbenzimide: } 0.01\% (w/v) \text{ in PBS}
5.3 Methods for structural and functional analysis of Wind (This work was performed in collaboration with Dr. Qingjun Ma, Dr. Isabel Usón and Prof. G.M. Sheldrick at the Department of Structural Biology, University of Göttingen)

5.3.1 Construction of His-Wind and Wind-His expression vectors

*Drosophila windbeutel* cDNA encoding the full-length Wind was amplified by PCR from a lambda-ZAP library (kind gift of M. Takamori, Max Planck Institute of Biophysical Chemistry). For bacterial expression, a BamHI/SacI PCR product thereof, encoding mature Wind, was ligated into pQE30 (Qiagen), generating an N-terminal extension including a His\textsubscript{6}-Tag (MRGSHHHHHHGS). This construct is referred to as His-Wind. A further construct harbouring C-terminally tagged Wind (Wind-His) was prepared by ligating PCR products into pQE60 (Qiagen) using NcoI/BglII sites.

5.3.2 Expression of His-Wind and Wind-His in XL1-Blue

Vectors pQE30-Wind and pQE60-Wind were transformed into XL1-Blue cells. A single clone was picked into 5 ml LB medium with ampicillin and cultured at 37°C, 200 rpm, overnight. 5 ml of an overnight culture was transferred to a flask containing 500 ml LB medium with ampicillin, further cultured until OD\textsubscript{600}=0.7 (about 4 hours). IPTG was added to 1 mM final concentration to induce protein expression for 2-4 hours. The cells were spun down at 7000 g for 20 minutes. The pellet was resuspended with 40 ml of PBS containing 2 mM Pefabloc (proteinase inhibitor; BioMol), frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C for later protein purification.

5.3.3 Purification of His-Wind and Wind-His

Buffers used:

**Sonication buffer:** 20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0) (RT)  
150 mM NaCl

**Buffer I:** 0.1% Triton X-100 in sonication buffer

**Buffer II:** 0.35 M NaCl in sonication buffer

**Buffer III:** 50 mM Pipes (pH 5.7)  
100 mM NaCl

**Buffer IV:** 0.01% (v/v) Triton X-100  
8 mM Imidazole (fresh) in sonication buffer.
Elution buffer: 20 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0) (RT)
   50 mM NaCl
   100 mM Imidazol
   0.05% (v/v) Triton-X-100

Dialysis buffer: 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.5)
   50 mM NaCl
   0.01% 2-mercaptoethanol

Purification by Ni-NTA agarose

The cells were thawed in a water bath of RT. 2 ml of 2 M Tris-Cl (pH 8.0) was added to 40 ml of cell resuspension to adjust pH to nearly 8.0. Hen egg white lysozyme was added to a final concentration of 0.75 mg/ml. The cell suspension was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes to disrupt the cell wall. Then the cells were sonicated with four bursts of 30 seconds, each with 30 second intervals, followed by centrifugation at 12000 g for 20 minutes. Ni-NTA agarose (2 ml solution for 40 ml of cells) was prewashed with buffer I three times, then the supernatant was added to the agarose and incubated for 30-60 minutes at RT with end-over-end rotation. The samples were spun at 7000 g for 10 min and the resin was washed twice with 40 ml of buffer I. The resin was then transferred to a 12 ml MoBiTec gravity-flow column and washed with buffers in the following order: 3 × bed volumes of buffer I, 4 × bed volumes of buffer II; 3 × bed volumes of buffer I, 4 × bed volumes of buffer IV. Proteins were eluted with 5-10 bed volumes of elution buffer and fractions of the elution were collected. The protein concentration of each fraction was determined and the fractions with peak concentration were collected.

5.3.4 Dialysis and concentration

The purified recombinant protein was transferred into a suitable dialysis bag (cut off 8,000-12,000 Dalton) and dialysed thoroughly against the dialysis buffer at 4°C. After dialysis, the protein was concentrated to a final concentration of 24 mg/ml, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -20°C unless used immediately.

Dialysis buffer: 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5
   50 mM NaCl
   0.01% 2-mercaptoethanol
5.3.5 Immunisation of rabbits to generate antibodies against His-Wind

(Sample preparation and injection of rabbits were performed by the staff of the Animal Facility of Max-Planck-Institute of Biophysical Chemistry)

For the initial injection, 1 mg of recombinant protein, His-Wind, was used. Boosts, given after eight weeks and thereafter as required, contained 0.5 mg of recombinant protein, but were otherwise similarly prepared.

5.3.6 IgG purification using Protein A Sepharose

IgG fractions from serum were purified over Protein A Sepharose columns as follows: 1 ml of serum was spun for 30 min at 14,000 g in a tabletop centrifuge. The pH of the supernatant was set to 8.1 with 1 M Tris/HCl pH 9.0, and the sample was then applied to a column holding 1 ml of Protein A Sepharose pre-washed with 10 ml Pi buffer. Binding of IgG proteins was allowed to proceed overnight by slowly (about 0.25 ml/min) circulating the serum repeatedly over the column with the aid of a peristaltic pump. The column was then washed with buffer Pi until all unbound proteins had been washed out (measured at A280). Bound IgG was eluted in one step with Elution buffer. Eluted proteins were collected in 1.5 ml tubes already containing sufficient neutralization buffer (about 300 µl) for immediate neutralization. The fractions were further aliquoted and frozen or used for further purification as described below.

Pi buffer: 0.1 M sodium phosphate, pH 8.0
          0.01% NaN3

Neutralisation buffer: 1 M Tris/HCl, pH 9.0

Elution buffer: 0.2 M glycine, pH 3.5

5.3.7 Removal from anti-Wind antiserum of antibodies against E.coli protein using CNBr activated Sepharose 4B

Binding buffer: 100 mM NaBH3, pH 8.0
          1 M NaCl

This method was used for larger amount of antibody solutions. Cells from a 1 L culture of the appropriate E. coli strain (XL1-Blue or BL21), grown to stationary phase, were
collected by centrifugation, resuspended in 100 ml binding buffer and treated for 20 min at RT with 2 mg/ml lysozyme. For further solubilisation and to degrade DNA, Triton X-100 and DNase were added to 0.2% (w/v) and 10 µg/ml respectively, and further incubated at 4°C for 1 h. The cleared suspension was centrifuged at 8,000 g and 4°C for 20 min, and the pH of the supernatant was adjusted to 9.0 with NaOH. The solution was chilled on ice, and proteins were then coupled to CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B according to the manufacturers recommendations. The bound material was washed with 0.2 M glycine, pH 8.0, then with TBS containing 0.2% sodium azide, and stored at 4°C until required.

1 ml settled volume of this material was used per mg IgG prepared as in section 3.3.7. The IgG preparation was added to the coupled material and incubated with agitation for 8-12 h at RT. Purified antibodies were recovered by draining the slurry over a suitable column (e.g. a 5 ml MoBiTec column, MoBiTec). The column was washed with TBS until the A280 had dropped almost to zero (or monitored at A598 if Bradford method was used). 0.2 column volumes were collected. The antibodies were pooled, aliquoted and stored at -20°C.

5.3.8 Insulin reduction assay

Reduction of the interchain disulfide bonds in insulin leads to a precipitation of the free B-chain, while the A-chain remains in solution. The resulting turbidity can be followed spectrophotometrically at 600 nm. Here, the assay was used to test a possible reductase activity of purified, recombinant Drosophila proteins His-Wind and Wind-His. The PDI family CaBP2 was used as a positive control. Before the experiment, 2-ME was eliminated from the protein solutions by filteration over G-25 column (Pharmacia). The proteins were eluted from the column in PBS buffer, the same amount of PBS buffer was used as a negative control.

Potassium phosphate buffer: 0.1 M potassium phosphate; pH 6.5
2 mM EDTA

Tris/HCl buffer: 0.05 M Tris/HCl; pH 8.0

50 mg of insulin was dissolved in 4 ml Tris/HCl buffer, the pH adjusted to 2-3 with 1.0 M HCl and rapidly titrated back to 8 with 0.1 M NaOH. The solution, adjusted to 5 ml, was stored at -20°C. Insulin from the stock solution was diluted to 1 mg/ml with potassium phosphate buffer, His-Wind/Wind-His was added to a final concentration of 0.28 µM in 600
μl and the reaction started with 4 mM GSH (final concentration). The reaction was followed continuously at 600 nm in a Shimadzu UV 160A spectrophotometer.

5.3.9 Cross-linking of His-Wind/Wind-His

Cross-linking by D.M. Ferrari was performed to investigate the oligomerisation properties of recombinant His-Wind. The cross-linker used in this work was glutaraldehyde. About 71 μg of His–wind and Wind-His were diluted into 250 μl of 50 mM sodium borate (pH 7.4) and added to reaction tubes containing different amounts of NaCl (0, 50, 150 and 500 mM). Water was then added to a total volume of 500 μl. The reaction mix was incubated for 20-30 min at RT. 20 μl of 25% glutaraldehyde (final concentration 1%) was added to all samples. The samples were well mixed and incubated for 2 min at RT. The reactions were quenched with 12.5 μl of stop solution and incubated for 20 min at RT. Proteins were precipitated by adding 30% (w/v) TCA to a final concentration of about 8% and the pellets were washed with cold acetone. The protein pellets were dissolved in Laemmli loading buffer and samples were run on 10%SDS-PAGE gel.

**Solutions:** 50 mM sodium borate pH 7.4

**Stop solution:** 2 M NaBH4

0.1 M NaOH

5.3.10 Structure determination of Wind

5.3.10.1 Crystallization

The initial crystals appeared in No.24 of Hampton Screen 2 (Hampton). After optimizing protein concentration, precipitant, buffer, temperature, pH and additives, the best crystals usable for diffraction were grown with the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method. The drops consisted of 6ul [5.8mg/ml Wind in 5mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 25mM NaCl, 0.0025% (V/V) BME] and 3ul well solution [0.1M MES, pH6.1,0.1M CsCl, 2mM CaCl2, 16% PEG300]. 0.1M MES, pH 6.1, 0.1M CsCl (or NaCl), 20% PEG 300, 10% glycerol was used as cryo-solution for data collection at low temperature.
Methods

5.3.10.2 Heavy atom derivative

The Hg derivatives were prepared by growing crystals with the well solution of 0.1M MES pH 6.1, 0.08 M NaCl, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 16% PEG 300, and soaking them in 0.1 M MES pH 6.1, 0.1 M NaCl, 20% PEG300, 10% glycerol, 0.05 mM HgCl$_2$ for 2 weeks.

5.3.10.3 X-ray data collection and processing

The native data were collected by Q. Ma on a Mar CCD detector at the synchrotron beamline X11 in Hamburg at the EMBL outstation DESY. The Hg derivative data and another native data set were collected on the Mar345 detector with Cu rotating anode in the Department of Structural Chemistry, University of Göttingen.

5.3.10.4 Data analysis, model building, refinement, and structural analysis were performed in collaboration with Dr. Qingjun Ma, Prof. Dr. Isabel Uson, and Prof. Geroge.M. Sheldrick at the Department of Structural Biology, University of Göttingen and is reported elsewhere (Ma et al., 2003. J. Biol. Chem in press).
6. Results

6.1 Studies on ERp28

6.1.1 Gene structure of mouse ERp28

At the time when I started the project, the mouse ERp28 cDNA was unknown and the sequencing of the mouse genome had not been finished. Therefore the first step was to look for the cDNA sequence of mouse ERp28. The cDNA sequence of human ERp28 was used to search the mouse ESTs database and several ESTs with high homology to human ERp28 were found. Through alignment of these ESTs, almost the full length of mouse ERp28 cDNA including the complete coding region was obtained. Below is the cDNA sequence of mouse ERp28:

```
ggtagcttgctgtcttcctccgctccccacctcgggcgtgatggccccgccgccgc
cgggttgtctggtgcgtttctctctctccccacgtgctgcgtctctggtgctgtc
tctggcttttggctcgcacgggccgcacggctctacacaacaggtgcattccc
caccttggacacagtctactttctacaaaggtcatctttccaaagcaagtcctctt
ggtgaagttccacaccacacccacacccctctatatggagagacagagacagtt
tggggtgtctggcgcgttcccttggacacagtcactttctacaaggtgcattccc
ctcagactatggcacaagctgaatggagctgtagtgagagactgagagacagac
caggagagagcatccagctctctctctactatatccccggtggagatgggacttggagaacc
tgtgctgtacaaatgggcttgtaaggttgagacatacggcagctgtgctgggtac
cggccgggtctcggggtctacaattgagacatgagagacatcctgggcaggggt
tcccttgagttcaacgcgttggcagtttgagactgtgactgtgctctgggcacat
tgtgtctgctgtagatcactttagagacaccccttgagccagctctgtagagaggc
tgtgctgtacagatgtctagtcagctgtaacagatgtctgtagagagccaggtt
tgtgtctgctgtagatcactttagagacaccccttgagccagctctgtagagaggc
tgtgctgtacagatgtctagtcagctgtaacagatgtctgtagagagccaggtt
```

Figure 6.1.1: The cDNA sequence of mouse ERp28 containing three exons. Exon 2 is underlined and in italics. The translation start codon atg and the stop codon tga are in bold type.

The second step was to look for the gene structure (exon distribution in the ERp28 locus). For this purpose, the cDNA sequence of mouse ERp28 was used to search the mouse genomic DNA database, the exon/intron junctions were determined by comparing the cDNA sequence with some known genomic fragments containing the locus of ERp28. The gene of mouse ERp28 contains three exons: The first exon contains the translation initiation codon.
Results

ATG and a short 5’ untranslated region before the ATG; the third exon contains the stop codon TGA and the 3’ untranslated region after the TGA. Primers were designed from the first and the second exons (P278/P293) and the second and the third exons (P294/P279), respectively. The distance between the exons (introns) could be worked out according to the size of the PCR products. The picture below shows that the first intron is about 5 kb and the second about 2.5 kb in length.

![Figure 6.1.2: The gene structure of mouse ERp28](image)

**Figure 6.1.2: The gene structure of mouse ERp28.** Exons are depicted with filled boxes and the distances between exon1 and exon2, exon2 and exon3 are about 5kb and 2.5kb, respectively.

### 6.1.2 The amino acid sequence of mouse ERp28 and the similarity with the human protein

The mouse ERp28 cDNA was translated into amino acids and the PSORT II Prediction program ([http://psort.nibb.ac.jp/form2.html](http://psort.nibb.ac.jp/form2.html)) was used to predict the signal sequence, while the secondary structure was predicted by the PSIPRED Protein Structure Prediction Server ([http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/](http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/)). The results show that the full length protein has 262 amino acids (fig 6.1.3) and contains a signal peptide at the N-terminus (amino acid 1-34, underlined), with a cleavage site between G34 and L35. At the very C-terminus, as in human ERp28, is a KEEL (in italics) ER retrieval signal. The identity between human ERp28 and mouse ERp28 is about 90%. Interestingly, both human and mouse ERp28 carry a second internal KEEL motif (in bold). The function of this second motif remains to be established.
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MAAAAGVSGAASLSPLLAVLLGLLFFAPHGGSGLHTKGALPLDTVTFYKVIPK
SKFVLKVDFTQPYGEKQDEFKRLAENSASSEEELLVAVEGIDSXGDKLNMELSE
KYKLDKESYPVIFYLFRGDLENPVLYNGAVKVGAIQRWLTQGQVYGVLGMPGCLPA
YDALAGEFIKASISIERQAIIKQGQDGLLSVKETEKKWASQYLKIMKILDGGE
DFPASEMARIGKLIENKMSDSKKEELQKSLNILTAFRKKEAEKEEL

Figure 6.1.3: The amino acid sequence of mouse ERp28 protein. The signal sequence is underlined. The C-terminal ER retrieval signal KEEL is in bold italics and the internal KEEL in bold type.

A secondary structure analysis (data not shown) predicts that mouse ERp28 protein, like human ERp28, contains two domains; an N-terminal thioredoxin-like domain (hereafter referred to as the b domain) and a C-terminal D domain with five α-helices which is unique to the PDI-D subfamily.

6.1.3 RT-PCR and the possible alternative splicing form of mouse ERp28

The first step in knocking out a gene is to build a knock out vector. In the vector, two arms (left and right) allow specific homologous recombination with the mouse genome. To obtain the two arms, a mouse genomic DNA library (strain S129) was screened with a [α-^32P] labelled cDNA probe of mouse ERp28 prepared by RT-PCR. RT-PCR was performed using total RNA extracted from brain tissue of E12.5 mouse embryos as template, and P278/P294 as primers. Unexpectedly, the reaction produced two bands with distinct sizes running very close to each other on agarose gels (fig 6.1.4 A). The same results were also observed for the products using total RNA extracted from cultured F9 cells (fig 6.1.4 B). After TA cloning and sequencing, the upper band (arrows in fig 6.1.4) was proven to be the cDNA sequence of mouse ERp28; the lower band (arrowheads in fig 6.1.4) was shown to contain the first and third exon, but not the second exon of full length mouse ERp28 cDNA (AK009881). Searching the mouse EST database using the sequence of the lower band showed that several ESTs do exist which can well match the sequence of the lower band (data not shown). This indicates that the short form is not an artificial product and might be an alternative splicing form of mouse ERp28.

An alignment result between one of the short form EST (AK013303) and the full length cDNA of mouse ERp28 (AK009881) is shown in figure 6.1.5 [the upper line: alternative splicing form; the lower line: mouse ERp28 cDNA]. Only the sequences before the stop codon TGA are shown although the sequences after TGA also match very well. From the alignment, one can clearly see that the second exon containing a splicing signal (GT-AG, underlined) is spliced out from the cDNA of mouse ERp28.
Figure 6.1.4: RT-PCR.
A: RT-PCR from total RNA extracted from E12.5 brain; B: RT-PCR from total RNA extracted from F9 cells. The different lanes in both pictures are RT-PCR products under different conditions. The arrows and arrowheads represent the RT-PCR products of mouse ERp28 and the alternative splice form, respectively. The size of 100 bp marker (MBI) is shown on the left side of fig 6.1.4 B.

Figure 6.1.5: Alignment of the short form EST with cDNA of mouse ERp28. ATG and TGA are shown in bold type. The splicing signal GT-AG is underlined.
Interestingly, when I used the sequence of the short form of mouse ERp28 to search the human EST database, I found high similarity with several human ESTs. Analysis of these ESTs (for example: BG913429) showed that the second exon was also missing (data not shown). This result further confirmed the alternative splice form of ERp28 and indicates that it exists not only in the mouse but also in human, and probably in other species.

However, when the cDNA sequence of the alternatively spliced form was translated into amino acids, it turned out that this form was not likely to be translated into a protein if the same initiator ATG as in ERp28 was used due to several nearby translation stop codons. The translation result of the alternative splice form is shown in Figure 6.1.6. Clearly, the lack of exon2 causes a reading frame shift, thus it cannot be translated into a protein in the first (used in ERp28) and second frames. However, according to the third frame it may form a protein which has the same amino acid sequence as the C-terminal portion of ERp28 if a different initiator ATG is used.

Figure 6.1.6: Translation of the alternatively spliced form of mouse ERp28. The first methionine (the translation start point), which is used in ERp28 is in bold type and underlined as shown in the first translation frame. The first part of amino acids in mouse ERp28 is underlined in the first frame. In the third translation frame, the sequence that may be translated from the alternative splice form is underlined and the methionines which are possibly used as translation start point are in bold italics.
6.1.4 Mouse genomic DNA library screening

Three positive colonies were obtained from the first round of screening. They were further confirmed in a second and third round of screening. PCR results using different primers proved that two of them (No.2 and No.3) contained all of the three exons and introns, while the third (No.1) might not because no product could obtained with primers p323 and p273.

![Figure 6.1.7: primers used for screening](image)

Exons are shown as filled boxes.

![Figure 6.1.8: PCR results from the three positive clones to check whether they contain the whole locus of mouse ERp28](image)

PCR products could be obtained from all the three positive clone with primer pairs p301/p293 and p299/p279 (lane 4-9). However, with primer pair p273/p323, the expected products could be obtained from No.2 (lane 2) and No.3 (lane 3), in contrast, no product (lane 1) could be amplified from the first positive clone (No.1), indicating that No.1 might not contain the region around exon 1. The representative size (kb) of the 1 kb marker (MBI) is marked on the left side of the pictures.

6.1.5 Map of restriction sites in the mouse ERp28 locus

The ERp28 locus was isolated from the positive Lambda FIXII phage DNA (No.2) by digestion with NotI and EcoRI (fig 6.1.9). The resulting three fragments (6 kb, 5 kb, and 4 kb) from the ERp28 locus were cloned into the plasmid pBluescript KS II. Then different
Results

Restriction enzymes were used to digest these plasmids. The digestion results were combined to reveal the restriction map of the ERp28 locus. The map is shown in fig 6.1.10. With the map at hand, preparation of the knockout vector could be started. The restriction enzyme digestion and sequencing results showed that the 4 kb fragment contained the upstream portion of the first exon (the vector was named pBS-4kb); the 6 kb fragment included the second and the third exon (pBS-6kb); the 5 kb fragment was in between (pBS-5kb).

Figure 6.1.9: Isolated and digested ERp28 locus by Not I and EcoR I. Lane A represents a NotI digestion of the positive Lambda FIX II phage DNA. The two upper bands (29 and 20 kb) and lower band (9 kb) show NotI fragments from the vector, whereas the third band (15 kb) from the top contains the ERp28 genomic DNA insert which is further cleaved by EcoRI (lane B) to generate 6, 5 and 4 kb fragments.

Figure 6.1.10: Restriction map of mouse ERp28 locus. Filled boxes represent the three exons of the mouse ERp28 gene. Restriction enzyme sites are shown as long vertical lines. The scale bar below the picture represent 1 kb in length.

6.1.6 Mouse ERp28 knock out vector composition

The ERp28 knock out vector consists of five parts apart from the backbone: (1) the left arm and (2) the right arm will be used for homologous recombination with the mouse ERp28
Results

locus; (3) the reporter gene LacZ is cloned behind the left arm, and by using the endogenous
promoter of ERp28, it will indicate the expression pattern of mouse ERp28. After
recombination, LacZ will replace the translation start point ATG and the region behind ATG
in the first exon, thus ERp28 can not be translated and its function will be lost (as shown in
fig 6.1.11 and fig 6.1.12). (4) the neomycin resistance gene (loxpneoloxp) will be used as a
positive selection marker. It is reported that in some cases the neomycin resistance gene may
affect the phenotype of knock out mice and can even lead to lethality, therefore two loxp sites
flank the neomycin resistance gene so that if necessary, the neomycin resistance gene can be
deleted by the action of a recombinase which can be introduced into positive recombinant ES
cells by transfection with a recombinase expression vector. (5) TK (thymidine kinase) is used
as a negative selection marker.

The expected recombination is shown in fig 6.1.12.

6.1.7 Steps for making the ERp28 Knock out vector

The knock out vector is relatively complex and many restriction enzyme sites were
used. The following steps were made for creating the ERp28 knock out vector (composition
as shown in fig 6.1.11):

1. The vector pKO-Scrambler-NTKV-1908 (kindly provided by Dr. X.Zhou) was modified by
deletion of the multiple cloning sites between BamHI and SmaI and by insertion of a
polylinker from the plasmid pSL-1180 (kindly provided by Dr. X.Zhou) using HpaI and
EcoRI sites. This modification provided more suitable restriction enzyme sites for future
cloning.
2. The LacZ reporter gene was cloned into the modified pKO-Scrambler-NTKV-1908 using
BglIII and BamHI sites (pKO-LacZ).
3. The left arm (LA) was prepared and cloned into pKO-LacZ using KpnI and BglIII sites.
The left arm including the promoter region of mouse ERp28 was prepared in two steps: (1)
the plasmid pBS-4kb (section 6.1.5) was digested by KpnI and EcoRI and the product was cloned into pKO-Scrambler-NTKV-1908; (2) then the region between the EcoRI site (included) and the initiator ATG (not included) was amplified from pBS-5kb (section 6.1.5) by PCR and the PCR product was cloned into the vector above using EcoRI and BglII sites. The insert including both fragments (2 kb) was isolated from this vector by KpnI and BglII and recloned into pKO-LacZ to generate pKO-LacZ-LA.

4. The neomycin resistance gene of pKO-LacZ-LA was removed using SalI and replaced by the loxpneoloxp sequence from the vector pBSloxpneoloxp (kindly provided by Dr. X.Zhou) using BamHI and Sal I sites. This product was termed pKO-LacZ-LA-loxpneoloxp.

5. In the last step, the right arm (RA) was isolated from pBS-6kb (section 6.1.5) (the vector was first digested with EcoRI, blunted with Klenow fragment, then cut with NotI) and then the right arm was cloned into pKO-LacZ-LA-loxpneoloxp using a blunted SalI site and a NotI site (pKO-LacZ-LA-loxpneoloxp-RA). Since the original vector pKO-Scrambler-NTKV-1908 contained the negative selection marker (TK), it did not need to be recloned.

Figure 6.1.12: The wild type locus of mouse ERp28, the knock out vector, the expected recombination and primers for screening the ES cells, genotyping chimera, heterozygotes, and homozygotes. Line1: wild type locus; Line 2: the ERp28 knock out vector; Line 3: the expected recombination result; P366/LacZ-3 and P373/P374 are primers for screening and genotyping, primers P366/LacZ-3, P373/P374 will generate a fragment about 2.3 kb, and 7 kb in size respectively. Similarly colored boxes represent identical elements on all lines.
6.1.8 Selection of transfected ES cells by G418 and Gancyclovir and screening of ES cells by PCR

Transfected ES cells were first selected by simultaneous treatment with G418 and Gancyclovir. 193 surviving ES clones were obtained. Genomic DNA extracted from these ES clones were screened by PCR using two pairs of primers P366/LacZ-3 and P373/P374 (fig 6.1.12). P366 and LacZ-3 were designed from the upstream region of the left arm within the genome and the sequence of LacZ, respectively, and should produce a product of about 2.3 kb. P373/P374 were designed from the sequence of loxpneoloxp and the downstream region of the right arm in the genome, respectively, and should produce a product of about 7 kb. Therefore, primer pairs produce PCR products from positive homologous recombinant ES cells rather than from random recombinant or insertion ES cells. Figure 6.1.13 shows that PCR products could be generated from three ES clones (C27, C61 and C65). These PCR products were then cloned into the TA cloning vector and sequenced. The restriction enzyme digestion and sequencing results further proved that all three ES clones contained true positive recombinations. Genomic DNA from wild type ES cells served as negative control for all of these PCR reactions. The positive recombinant ES cells were confirmed later by Southern blot using external probe (fig.6.1.19 and fig.6.1.21).

6.1.9 Genotyping of chimeric mice by PCR

To produce chimeric mice, two of the positive ES clones (C27, C61) were microinjected into mouse blastocysts (strain: C57BL6N). In total, 17 chimeric mice (14 male and 3 female) were obtained. The mice were genotyped by PCR using the primers as above.
and genomic DNA extracted from the tails of these mice. PCR products could be amplified from all of the chimeric mice and a representative selection is shown in fig 6.1.14. However, the chimeric levels of these mice were quite different, reflected in their coat color. The stronger chimeric mice have a greater chance of producing germline cells which develop from the recombinant ES cells.

![Image of PCR products](image1.png)

**Figure 6.1.14: Genotyping of chimeric mice by PCR.** A: a 2.3 kb fragment could be amplified with P366/LacZ-3 from genomic DNA of all these chimeric mice. The marker size was shown on the left side of A; B: similarly, a 7 kb fragment could be amplified with primers P373/P374.

### 6.1.10 Genotyping of -/+ heterozygotes (F1) by PCR and Southern blot

The heterozygotes were genotyped by PCR using the primers P374/P374 as previously. From a total of 146 offspring, 34 (19 male and 15 female) were proven to be heterzygotes (-/+). The fig 6.1.15 shows the PCR products from some offspring.

![Image of PCR gel](image2.png)

**Figure 6.1.15: Genotyping of heterozygotes by PCR.**

Lane 1: 1 kb marker
Lane 2, 3, 5: 7 kb fragments from heterozygotes.
Lane 4, 6, 7: no products from these offspring (indicating that they are wild type)
Lane 8: PCR using genomic DNA from wild type mice as a negative control
Lane 9: PCR using genomic DNA from...

The genotype of chimeric mice and heterozygotes were further confirmed by Southern blot analysis using an internal probe (part of the mouse ERp28 cDNA including half of the second exon and most of the third exon amplified by primers P294/P278). As we see in...
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fig 6.1.19, since there is a SpeI site in the sequence of loxneoloxp, an extra SpeI site will be introduced into mouse genomic DNA if homologous recombination has occurred. The hybridisation of the labelled probe prepared above with mouse genomic DNA digested by SpeI should show a single 8.79 kb band in wild type, double bands (8.79/5.4 kb) in -/+ mice. As expected, the results shown in fig 6.1.16 further confirmed the PCR results and prove the genotype of the chimera and heterozygotes.

Lane 1, 9: Wild type (8.79 kb)
Lane 2, 4, 5, 7: heterozygotes
   (8.79/5.4 kb)
Lane 3, 6, 8: chimeric mice
   (8.79/5.4 kb)
Lane 10: 1 kb marker (the marker
   size on the right side)

Figure 6.1.16: Genotyping chimeric mice and heterozygotes by Southern blot.

6.1.11. Genotyping of -/- homozygotes (F2) by PCR and Southern blot

Homozygotes were genotyped by PCR and Southern blot. As shown in fig 6.1.17 and fig 6.1.18, PCR was performed with primers P379 (designed from the sequence before the initiator ATG of exon1) and P293 (from exon2) and as expected, a single product with a size of 5 kb was obtained from wild type mice. In contrast, a 7 kb fragment and two products of 5 kb and 7 kb were generated from homozygotes and heterozygotes, respectively. The homozygotes were further confirmed by Southern blot using internal (SpeI/probe II) (fig 6.1.20) and external (HindIII/probe I) probes (fig 6.1.21). The restriction sites (SpeI and HindIII) in the ERp28 locus of wild type mice and in the locus after recombination and the expected Southern blot results are shown in fig. 6.1.19.
Figure 6.1.17: Primers for genotyping of homozygotes and expected products. Exons are shown as filled boxes.

Figure 6.1.18: Genotyping of homozygotes by PCR
Lane 1: marker (the size of the marker is shown on the left side)
Lane 2, 5: heterozygotes (5/7 kb)
Lane 3, 4, 6, 7: homozygotes (7 kb)
Lane 8: wild type (5 kb)
Figure 6.1.19: HindIII and SpeI sites in wild type ERp28 locus and the additional HindIII and SpeI sites after homologous recombination. Probes and expected results. Exons (1-3) are shown as red filled boxes. HindIII and SpeI sites are presented as vertical lines.
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Figure 6.1.20: Genotyping of F2 offspring by Southern blot using an internal probe (SpeI/ probe II). Lane 1: 1 kb marker; Lane 2: chimera 16 as a control (double bands at 8.79/5.4 kb); Lanes 3, 5, 6, 9, 12, 23, 24, 25: wild type mice (+/+) (a single band at 8.79 kb); Lanes 4, 7, 10, 11, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 26: heterozygotes (−/+)(double bands at 8.79/5.4 kb); Lanes 8, 13, 15, 16, 21, 22: homozygotes (−−) (a single band at 5.4 kb). The arrowheads on the right side represent 8.79 kb and 5.4 kb, respectively. The size of 1 kb marker is marked on the left side.

Figure 6.1.21: (A) Genotyping of F2 offspring by Southern blot using an external probe (HindIII/Probe I; (B) The two positive recombinant ES cells (C27 and C61) were confirmed by Probe I. The size of 1 kb marker is marked on the left side. The 5.6 kb and 3 kb signals are marked as arrowheads on the right side.
6.1.12. Immunostaining on paraffin sections of E12 embryos

To check the expression pattern of mouse ERp28, immunostaining was performed on serial paraffin sections of E12 embryos. The sections were stained with anti-ERp28 antibody followed by Cy3-coupled secondary antibody. Control sections were stained only with the secondary antibody under the same conditions. The pictures shown below were taken from sagittal sections. Fig 6.1.22 shows distribution of the staining signals over the whole section. Despite widely distributed weak signals, ERp28 is expressed at relatively high levels in specific regions especially in the radial glial cells of brain stem raphe (medulla oblongata), and the epithelium of the choroid plexus of the fourth ventricle. Due to auto-fluorescence of blood cells, fluorescent signals could be observed even in the heart and liver of the control sections. However, comparing the intensity of fluorescent signals on the sample sections with that on the control section, showed the specific expression of ERp28 in the ventricle of the heart.
Figure 6.1.22: The expression of ERp28 at stage E12 shown by immunohistological staining. The degree of magnification is given below each figure.

A: whole section (sagittal section through the embryo midline)

B: Signals in radial glial cells of brain stem raphe (red arrow 1 in A), blue is DAPI staining and red is the Cy3 staining of ERp28

C: DAPI staining to show cell nuclei

D: Control section of C (stained only with secondary antibody)

E: Signals (red) in the epithelia of choroid plexuses in the fourth ventricle (red arrow 2 in A), blue is DAPI staining

F: Signals (red) in the heart (red arrow 3 in A) and DAPI staining (blue)

G: Control section of F (stained only with secondary antibody)
6.2 Structural and functional analysis of Wind

6.2.1 SDS-PAGE gel and native gel electrophoresis of purified recombinant proteins His-Wind and Wind-His

Both His-Wind and Wind-His could be expressed in XL1 Blue cell and purified with a high yield (50-100 mg from 1 L culture). The purity of both proteins is quite high (more than 95%) as shown by SDS-PAGE analysis (fig 6.2.1), and sufficient for crystallization and biochemical experiments. On native gel, His-Wind shows a single band with about 55 kD in size, indicating that His-Wind forms a homodimer in solution. Similar results were obtained with Wind-His (data not shown).

6.2.2 Cross-linking of His-Wind and Wind-His with glutaraldehyde

Cross-linking experiments were performed to confirm the dimerization of Wind. The same amount of His-Wind and Wind-His (about 71 µg) was cross-linked with glutaraldehyde...
Results

(final concentration 1%) in the presence of different concentration of NaCl (0, 50, 150 and 500 mM) at pH 7.4. The results (fig 6.2.3) showed that both proteins can form a dimer of 55 kD. However, under these conditions, Wind-His is more likely to form a dimer than His-Wind, probably due to some inhibition of dimer formation by the N-terminal His-tag. (These experiments were performed by D.M. Ferrari).

1: Wind-His/0 mM NaCl  
2: Wind-His/50 mM NaCl  
3: Wind-His/150 mM NaCl  
4: Wind-His/500 mM NaCl  
5: His-Wind/0 mM NaCl  
6: His-Wind/50 mM NaCl  
7: His-Wind/150 mM NaCl  
8: His-Wind/500 mM NaCl  
9: Wind-His without glutaraldehyde  
10: His-Wind without glutaraldehyde

Figure 6.2.3: Cross-linking of His-Wind and Wind-His with glutaraldehyde. The samples were run on 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The size (kD) of the protein marker is shown on the left side. Dimer and monomer of Wind are shown with the arrowheads on the right side.

6.2.3 Insulin reduction assay

The standard insulin reduction assay was performed to test the redox activity of the -CTGC- motif of Wind. As shown in fig 6.2.4, in contrast to the positive control with CaBP2, neither His-Wind nor Wind-His is able to reduce the disulfide bonds of insulin. Clearly, no difference could be observed between buffer, His-Wind and Wind-His.

Figure 6.2.4: Insulin reduction assay. The upper line refers to CaBP2. The lower lines represent buffer, His-Wind and Wind-His.
6.2.4 Crystal structure of His-Wind

(The structure analysis was primarily performed and most of the pictures of crystal structure were made in collaboration with Dr. Qingjun Ma, Prof. Dr. Isabel Uson, and Prof. Geroge.M. Sheldrick at the Department of Structural Biology, University of Goettingen at the Department of Structural Chemistry of University of Goettingen)

6.2.4.1 Overall structure

Wind crystallizes as a homodimer (here the two monomers are referred to as A and B respectively). Each of the monomers contains two distinct domains connected by a flexible short linker of 11 residues (residues 140-150). The secondary structure elements are arranged as β1-α1-β2-α2-β3-α3-β4-α4-β5-α5-α6-α7-α8-α9. The N-terminal domain from β1 to α4 is a thioredoxin-like domain (β-domain) with about 117 amino acids (residues 23-139). This domain forms a very characteristic thioredoxin fold which was first observed in the structure of thioredoxin. In this fold, five β strands form the core β sheet with β4 antiparallel to the other strands, surrounded by four α helices. The unique C-terminal D-domain is composed of 107 residues and has a 5-helix fold in which all helices are in anti-parallel arrangement. The missing C-terminal tail (including the KEEL signal) is probably disordered as a flexible loop.

Figure 6.2.5: Structure of the Wind homodimer. (a) Ribbon structure of a Wind monomer. The left represents the thioredoxin domain and the right part is the helical D-domain. The arrow indicates the pentapeptide loop unique to PDI-Dβ proteins. β strands are in yellow, helices in green. The three cysteines are shown in red, Tyr55 in blue. (b) Ribbon structure of the Wind dimer. The molecule is rotated 90° compared to the monomer in (a). Colors as in (a). Structural elements involved in dimer interaction are labelled. Pro106 is presented as a black stick model. (Image reproduced with permission from Ma et al., 2003. J. Biol. Chem. in press).
Results

6.2.4.2 Dimer structure

The crystal structure of Wind is a homodimer with dimensions of $106 \times 53 \times 37 \text{ Å}^3$, formed by a head-to-tail arrangement of the N-terminal b-domains. The D-domains are separated by the b-domains and lie on opposite sides. The dimer interface is formed mainly by the residues on either side of $\beta_1$ (residues 24-34), residues within and after $\alpha_1$ (residues 37-43) and residues within and between $\alpha_2$ and $\beta_3$ (residues 67-77) via hydrophobic interactions as well as hydrogen bonding. The buried area in the interface for each monomer is about 758 Å$^2$, corresponding to about 6.3% of the surface of the monomer. The two $\beta_1$ strands are roughly antiparallel, however they interact with each other indirectly through a hydrogen bond network mediated by 5 water molecules rather than through direct interactions to form a continuous beta sheet.

As shown in fig 6.2.8 (A), dimerization generates a deep hydrophilic cleft with approximate dimensions of $11 \times 11 \times 27 \text{ Å}^3$, between the b domains of the monomers. The residues from the loop between $\beta_2$ and $\alpha_2$ and residues from the end of $\beta_13$ and the following loop flank the cleft (hereafter referred to as the “dimer cleft”). The residues within and around $\beta_1$ are at the cleft’s base.

6.2.4.3 The conserved residues on the protein surface show a distinct pattern

The sequences of four members of the PDI-Dβ family (Wind, rat ERp29/28, human ERp28/29 and mouse 28/29) were aligned. In the b domain of Wind, the identical amino acids form three major clusters ($V_{47}K_{48}F_{49}D_{50}$ in $\beta_2$, $Y_{53}P_{54}Y_{55}G_{56}E_{57}K_{58}$ in the loop$\beta_2-\alpha_2$, and $\alpha_2$, and DYG in the loop$\beta_3-\alpha_3$). Interestingly (see from fig 6.2.6/6.2.7, it is obvious that on the surface of the protein, most of the identical residues are distributed on the side with the dimer cleft, in contrast to very few on the opposite surface. The two identical clusters (YPYGEK) and (D$_{87}$Y$_{86}$G$_{88}$) are exposed on the surface of the b domain. Meanwhile, D50 in the third identical cluster (VKFD), together with P106 preceding $\beta_4$ and $Y_{53}P_{54}Y_{55}G_{56}E_{57}K_{58}$, form a cluster which mimics the cluster around the active site of thioredoxin. On the surface of the D-domain, the identical residues belong mainly to $\alpha_8$ and $\alpha_9$. 
Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>β1</th>
<th>α1</th>
<th>β2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>- - AAAVPGAVLSPLLSVLGLLLLASSAPGSSGLHKTGALKALPTDFTYKVIPKSKFVLY</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>MAAAVGSGATLGLLVPVLGLLLLASSAPGSSGLHKTGALKALPTDFTYKVIPKSKFVLY</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td>- MAAAVPRAFLSPFLLLSVPVLGLLLLASSAPGSSGLHKTGALKALPTDFTYKVIPKSKFVLY</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>KFDIAYPYGHEAPAFSKSAAHTDKKALTGVEGDYGELNKLGDREVGTKDDFNPSS</td>
<td>107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>KFDIAYPYGHEAPPKFREGALPSYFRKKSRLKESVFYK</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td>KFDIAYPYGHEAPAFSKSAAHTDKKALTGVEGDYGELNKLGDREVGTKDDFNPSS</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a3</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a4</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a5</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a6</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a7</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a8</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>a9</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drosophila</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.2.6: Sequence alignment of PDI-Dβ proteins using ClustalW. Identical residues are red and marked with asterisks. Similar residues are marked with dots. Secondary structures are shown above the sequence and the range is marked with a blue bar. Signal sequences are in blue.

Figure 6.2.7: Conserved surface of Wind.

(A): Conserved surface on the top face around the dimer cleft. The molecule is rotated 90° compared to fig 6.2.5 (b). The residues identical in PDI-Dβ proteins (fig 6.2.6) are colored in magenta. The position of the CTGC motif is marked with a yellow circle; P106 with a small green triangle; Y55 with a black arrow.

(B): Conserved surface on the bottom face of Wind. Colour as in (A). The dimer is rotated 180° compared to (A).
6.2.4.4 The electrostatic potential and the hydrophobic patches on the surface

A very obvious and interesting feature is the negatively charged dimer cleft. This negative potential is contributed by residues D29, D31, E32 around β1 and E88, E90 in the loop β3-α3 from each monomer. However, only D31 is completely conserved in other PDI-Dβ proteins. The surface of b-domains are slightly negative, while the D-domains show a slightly positive potential. The negative potential in the dimer cleft again suggests that the dimer and dimer cleft may be important for the function of Wind.

Although no large hydrophobic patches are found on the dimer surface, some small patches on top of or beside the dimer cleft form a significant hydrophobic area. Three conserved tyrosines Y53, Y55 and Y86, together with residues I51, A52 and F105 from each monomer significantly contribute to this area.

Figure 6.2.8: Surface electrostatic potential.
(A): Orientation as in fig 6.2.7 (A). Negative potential is colored in red, positive potential in blue.
(B): Surface electrostatic potential. Orientation as in fig 6.2.7 (B). Colored as in (A).

Figure 6.2.9: Surface hydrophobic potential
(A): Hydrophobic patches (blue) are shown as volumes of the hydrophobic potentials contoured at about -2.48 Kcal/mol. Orientation as in fig 6.2.7 (A) The three conserved tyrosines, Y53, Y55, Y86 are marked with red arrows.
(B): Surface hydrophobic potential. Colors as in (A); Orientation as in fig 6.2.7 (B)
6.2.4.5 Other features of the structure

The N-terminal –CTGC- motif forms a disulfide bond in both monomers and both sulfur atoms in this motif are buried inside the protein and are not solvent-accessible. The other conserved cysteine (C149) is located in the linker region and has a free thiol which is solvent-accessible.

Another feature that should be mentioned is the presence of a conserved proline (P106) adopting a less common cis conformation, located in the loop preceding β4, which is conventionally called the cis-Pro loop found in many other TRX-like proteins. It is known that the corresponding cis-proline in other TRX-like proteins is important not only for maintaining the local structure in this region but also for their activities (Qin et al., 1996).

![Figure 6.2.10: Stereo view of the cis-Pro106. The electron density of F105-S107 are shown.](image)

6.2.4.6 Comparison of Wind and other PDI-related proteins

An alignment based on structure of the b-domains of Wind and ERp29, and the a- and b-domains of PDI is shown in fig 6.2.11. Compared with the PDI a- and b-domains, there are two major differences in Wind: first, the redox active site –CGHC- in the PDI a-domain is replaced by a cluster of identical residues in PDI-Dβ proteins (YPYGEK); second, a surface exposed pentapeptide insertion (DYGEL) which is partially conserved in PDI- Dβ proteins is located in the loop$\beta3$-α3, a region that is known to allow introduction of insertions in many thioredoxin-fold containing proteins such as DsbA (Martin et al., 1993), glutathione peroxidase (Epp et al., 1983. Martin, 1995), and DsbC (McCarthy et al, 2000).
Superposition of the structures of the b-domain of Wind to the a-domain of PDI renders r.m.s.d.(Cα) values of ~1.9Å and ~1.7Å to the b-domain (fig 6.2.13 A). The inserted pentapetide loop in Wind lacks a corresponding sequence in both domains of PDI.

In addition, when identical and similar residues in the thioredoxin domains of Wind and PDI were indicated on the structures, the conserved residues were seen to localize primarily within the β strand elements of the fold or on buried faces of helices (fig 6.2.12), indicating that they are likely to serve mainly a structural role.

Recently, NMR-structures of the individual domains of rat ERp29 were reported (Liepinsh et al., 2001). The b- and D-domains of ERP29 are superposed to the corresponding domains of Wind. Fig 6.2.13 B clearly shows the b-domains fit quite well with a r.m.s.d. value of 2.6 Å for all α carbons (Cα), however, the D-domains are different in their helical orientations that they cannot be superimposed although they have a similar fold. Another point to be mentioned is that the proline in rat ERp29 corresponding to the cis-proline (P106) in the b-domain of Wind was claimed to adopt a trans-conformation. The results are unexpected because generally, the homologue should adopt the similar structure or conformation (Liepinsh et al., 2001). Since the cis-proline is important for the function of thioredoxin superfamily Qin et al., 1996), whether the proline in rat ERp29 really adopts a trans-conformation needs to be studied further.

Figure 6.2.11 Alignment of wind with rat ERp29 and the a- and b-domains of PDI, based on structure. Secondary structure elements are marked at the top, residues within the elements are shadowed in yellow. The partially conserved pentapeptide insert found in PDI-Dβ proteins is colored in red. The position of Y55 is marked in blue. The –CTGC- motif is colored in pink. The arrow on the top of the sequence specifies the position of the sequence YPYGEK. An arrowhead shows the location of the cis-Pro106.
Figure 6.2.12: Identical and similar residues between the b domain of Wind and the a, b domains of PDI in the thioredoxin fold. Identical residues are colored in red, similar residues in blue. (data from D.M.Ferrari).

Figure 6.2.13: Stereo view of the superpositions of Wind and other PDI-related proteins. A: Superposition of Wind b-domain and PDI a- & b domain, respectively. Wind colored in blue, PDI a domain in red, PDI b domain in gold. The protruding loop in Wind (at the bottom of the map) is the additional pentapeptide in PDI-Dβ proteins. B: Superposition of Wind and ERp29. The Cα trace of Wind is colored in blue, ERp29 colored in red. The b-domain and the D-domain of ERp29 are superposed onto the corresponding part of Wind, respectively.
7. Discussion

7.1 Studies on ERp28

7.1.1 The gene of ERp28 contains three exons

Since the sequence of the mouse genome of some strains is already known at present, finding out a gene’s structure has become an easy task. However, when I started the project of ERp28 knock out mice, the intron/exon structure of ERp28 was still a mystery. The combinations of results from RT-PCR, PCR and the comparison between the cDNA sequence and the known sequences of some genomic clones, revealed that ERp28 contains three exons. The first exon contains the translation start codon ATG after a very short 5' untranslated region (UTR), while the third exon which is also the largest has the translation stop codon TGA before the 3' UTR. The first intron between exon 1 and exon 2 is about 5 kb in size, the second intron between exon 2 and exon 3 is about 2.5 kb long.

7.1.2 ERp28 may have an alternative splice form among mammalian cells

The RT-PCR results indicate that at least in mouse brain tissue of E12.5 embryos and in F9 cells, there is a possible alternative splice form of ERp28 in which the second exon is spliced out. Furthermore, searching the EST sequence database showed that the mammalian homologues of mouse ERp28 (human ERp28, rat ERp29) also have this alternative form. Therefore, it is likely that this alternative form is conserved among mammals. In Drosophila, windbeutel, the homologue of ERp28, contains only a very short intron (about 400 bp) (Konsolaki et al., 1998) and the corresponding region of the second exon of ERp28 does not possess the putative splicing signal GT-AG. This suggests that the alternative splicing form may exist only in a limited number of species.

It is known that alternative splicing can generate multiple transcripts encoding proteins (they may or may not be isoforms) with subtle or opposing functional differences that can have profound biological consequences (Kriventseva et al., 2003; Kondrashov et al., 2003). However, the alternative splice form of ERp28 cannot be translated into a protein if the same translation start point is used because of a reading frame shift caused by the lack of the second exon. This raises several possibilities and questions: (1) the splice form might be translated into a new protein using a different translation start point. For this, only the ATGs in the third exon can be used as the translation start point because the ATGs in the first exon can only give some very short peptides. If this is the case, the alternative splicing form will form a
protein whose amino acid sequence is identical to the C-terminal part of ERp28. The third exon encodes the last part of the thioredoxin domain and the complete D-domain of ERp28 protein. This means that the alternative splice product does not contain a signal sequence and is unlikely to locate to the ER. In addition, EST database (mouse and human) searches indicate that many tissues and cells have this alternative splice form. This indicates that this protein, like ERp28, is widely expressed. However, since the result from Western blotting were not conclusive (data not shown), further experiments need to be performed to verify the existence of this new protein. (2) the other possibility is that the translation start point of the alternative splice form is different from that of ERp28 mRNA, being located further upstream of the one used by ERp28. However, such an ATG could not be found in either rat, mouse or human genomic DNA sequences between the promoter and exon 1 of ERp28. (3) the alternatively spliced mRNA of ERp28 may not be translated into a protein, but might function at the mRNA level. (4) it can not be excluded that this alternative splice form is just a non-functional byproduct. As reported, more than a dozen cancers and inherited diseases in humans (and mice) are associated with abnormalities in alternative splicing (Dredge et al., 2001). However, the alternative splicing of ERp28 is not likely to fit this scenario because it can take place in normal animals and tissues (according to the source of ESTs).

7.1.3 ERp28 is expressed at high level in some tissues at stage E12 of mouse embryo development

The immunostaining results reveal that although ERp28 is widely expressed in mouse embryos at stage E12, in some tissues, it has a relatively higher expression level. However, it should be remembered that these data are preliminary and only from E12 stage sections. Further studies should be carried out to clarify the tissues and cell types in which ERp28 is expressed and to uncover the expression pattern of ERp28 throughout the developmental process of mouse embryos using sections of other stages or by whole mount staining.

The strongest signals are present in the raphe of the brain stem (medulla oblongata). Observation at high magnification clearly shows that the cells in this region have a very distinct morphology which is similar to that of radial glial cells. Radial glial cells are identified by their unique morphology and location in the developing brain. They consist of a bipolar soma, with one short process based on the ventricular surface, and a long radial fibre spanning the cerebral wall to the pial surface. Actually, the recognition of radial glial cell depends more on the morphology than use of marker proteins due to the lack of very specific markers for radial glial cells (Zhang, 2001). Traditionally, radial glial cells are believed to be astrocyte precursors and serve as a scaffold to support and direct neurons during their
migration. However, recent studies have provided strong support for their role as precursor cells in the ventricular zone that generate cortical neurons and glia, in addition to providing migration guidance (Garcia-Verdugo et al., 1998; Chanas-Sacre, 2000; Parnavelas and Nadarajah, 2001; Gregg et al., 2002; Liour and Yu, 2003; Fishell and Kriegstein, 2003). From the morphology, these cells with high expression of ERp28 look like radial glial cells, however it should be further confirmed by staining with relatively specific radial glial cell markers such as RC2 (radial cell 2) (Misson et al., 1988 a, b; Zhang, 2001).

The second tissue with stronger signals are the choroid plexuses of the fourth and lateral ventricles. At higher magnification, we can clearly see that the signals are present in the epithelial cells of choroid plexus. Mammalian choroid plexuses develop at four sites in the roof of the neural tube shortly after its closure, in the order IVth, lateral, and IIIrd ventricles (K.M. Dziegielewsaka, 2001). Choroid plexuses have two major functions: (1) they form one of the blood-brain barrier interfaces that control the brain’s internal environment. The mechanisms involved combine a structural diffusion restraint (tight junctions between the plexus epithelial cells) and specific exchange mechanisms. (2) the choroidal epithelium is the major producer of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). The secretion of CSF is fulfilled by a process that involves the movement of $\mathrm{Na}^+$, $\mathrm{Cl}^-$, and $\mathrm{HCO}_3^-$ from the blood to the ventricles of the brain. This creates an osmotic gradient, which drives the secretion of $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}$. Meanwhile, some proteins may also be transferred from the blood to the CSF by the choroid plexus epithelium In addition, the choroid plexus epithelium secretes many proteins and polypeptides (Speake et al., 2001; Chodobski and Szmydynger-Chodobska, 2001). Compared with that of progenitor cells, the ependymal cells, the signal of ERp28 in the epithelium of the choroid plexus is much higher. This is consistent with the fact that ERp28 usually has a higher expression level in secretory cells or tissues (Demmer et al., 1997; Shnyder and Hubbard, 2002). In addition, it should be noted that at the stage E12, the choroid plexuses of the fourth and lateral ventricles are just becoming evident. This suggests that ERp28 is likely to be expressed in the epithelial cells of the choroid plexuses even at the beginning of their differentiation from ependymal cells (Sarnat, 1998).

Due to the auto-fluorescence of blood cells, fluorescence signals could also be observed even in heart and liver of control sections. However, these signals are distributed evenly. In the sample sections, the signal intensity in the heart is much stronger than that of the control section and the ratio of the signal intensity between heart and liver or other tissues is much higher than that of the control section. This indicates that ERp28 is expressed in the heart (especially in the ventricles) at stage of E12.
In addition, some other tissues or organs, for example, tongue muscle, lung and kidney also express ERp28 at stage E12. Since a LacZ reporter gene was introduced into the genome of knock out mice, it will be much easier to analyse the expression pattern using the x-gal staining method in future experiments.

7.1.4 The phenotype of ERp28 knock-out mice, a mystery to be resolved

The aim of a gene knock out in mice is to elucidate the function by analyzing the phenotype of the knock out mice. Therefore, the phenotype analysis is the final and crucial step. Although females homozygous for wind mutations can survive, grow normally and produce eggs that have normal morphology, the deletion of windbeutel in Drosophila causes the loss of dorsal-ventral patterning of the embryos and eventually leads to lethality (Konsolaki and Schupbach, 1998). A putative reason for this phenotype is that Wind is essential for the translocation of Pipe to the Golgi through a chaperone/escort function. Without Wind, Pipe cannot exit the ER and thus cannot fulfill its function as a proteoglycan modifying enzyme (Sen et al., 2000). A possible phenotype of ERp28 deletion mice might be similar to Wind deficient Drosophila, if ERp28 is also involved in the folding and/or transport of the mammalian homologues of Pipe, heparan sulfate 2-O sulfotransferase. The deletion of ERp28 might then cause the loss of the function of heparan sulfate 2-O sulfotransferase. Heparan sulfate (HS) is a long unbranched polysaccharide found covalently attached to various proteins at the cell surface and in the extracellular matrix. It plays a central role in embryonic development and cellular function by modulating the activities of an extensive range of growth factors and morphogens (Selleck, 2000). HS 2-O-sulfotransferase (Hs2st) occupies a critical position in the succession of enzymes responsible for the biosynthesis of HS, catalysing the transfer of sulfate to the C2-position of selected hexuronic acid residues within the nascent HS chain. Previous studies have concluded that 2-O-sulfation of HS is essential for its involvement in many growth factor/receptor interactions. Surprisingly, Hs2st-/- mice made by the gene trap method did not show general tissue or organ defects as expected. However, although offspring lacking functional Hs2st can survive until birth, they die perinatally due to a complete failure to form kidneys. In addition, Hs2st-/- mice also exhibit defects in the eye and the skeleton (Bullock et al., 1998; Merry and Wilson, 2002). If the relationship between ERp28 and Hs2st is the same as or similar to that between Wind and Pipe, the deletion of ERp28 might lead to similar phenotypes.

However, the homozygotes that we obtained did not exhibit any obvious phenotype so far, at least no similar phenotype to Hs2st knock-out mice, indicating that the deletion of ERp28
in mice does not have severe effects on the function of Hs2st. This suggests that Hs2st is either not a substrate of ERp28 or the function of ERp28 can be compensated by other genes. On the other hand, since the severe effect of the deletion of windbeutel is shown on Drosophila embryos, without obvious effect on the development of female homozygotes and male homozygotes, the consequence of the functional loss of ERp28 may first become obvious in the next generation of knock out mice.

The rat homologue, ERp29 has been reported to be a component of thyroglobulin/chaperone complexes (Sargsyan et al., 2002). Expression of ERp29 bearing Q70A or C157A point mutations in the rat thyroid cell line resulted in the drastic reduction of thyroglobulin secretion (Mkrtchian, et al., 2003; personal communication). Since mouse ERp28 is highly similar to rat ERp29, we could speculate that in the ERp28-/− mice, the folding or secretion of thyroglobulin should be affected as well.

Because of the high expression level in the radial glial cells and the epithelium of choroid plexus, these cells will also be a focus for further analysis. However, as the phenotype of gene knock-out mice is not always consistent with the expression pattern of the gene in question, the defects of knock out mice may take place in other less obvious tissues or organs.

It should be noted that if the protein product of the alternative splice form exists, the generated knock-out mice will disrupt both the functions of ERp28 and those of the alternative splice form and the phenotype will represent the loss of functions of both. To distinguish the functions of them, an alternative splice form-specific knock out mouse would be helpful (Hammes et al., 2001).

7.2 Studies on Wind
7.2.1 Wind has two distinct domains and forms a homodimer

The expressed His-Wind in E. coli has a molecular weight around 27 kD as expected. The crystal structure of Wind clearly shows a dimer and each monomer contains two distinct domains, the N-terminal thioredoxin-like b domain and the C-terminal α helical D-domain connected by a flexible linker of 11 amino acids. The dimer interface was contributed only by the b domain without the participation of the D domain. It is very probable that in solution Wind also exists as a dimer. This point is supported by several assays: Wind runs as a single band with the size of 2×27 kD on the native gel; This 2×27 kD is further confirmed by the size exclusion chromatography, performed by D.M Ferrari using a Superdex 200 HR column (fig.7.2.1). Cross-linking experiments (performed by D.M Ferrari) of the purified His-Wind and Wind-His show that Wind can form a dimer, although significant amounts of monomer
Discussion

are also present (in the presence of 50-150 mM NaCl, the ratio (dimer:monomer is roughly 2:1). However, some of the monomer may be due to the low efficiency of the cross-linker under the conditions used. From the above results, we can say that Wind is dimerized both in the crystal and in solution.

Figure 7.2.1: Oligomeric state of Wind
(reproduced with permission from Ma et al, 2003. J. Biol. Chem. in press)

Wild type His-tagged Wind (Mw: 58 kDa) has a molecular mass very similar to Y55K mutant Wind (57 kDa), in contrast to D31N mutant Wind (28 kDa). This indicates that Wind forms a dimer in solution and Y55K mutant does not change the dimerization of Wind, whereas, D31N mutation significantly disrupts the dimerization of Wind. Aggregation could be observed for the D31N mutant (asterisks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>Interface ASA (Å²)</th>
<th>H-Bonds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYS 24</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THR 25</td>
<td>30.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLY 26</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYS 27</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAL 28</td>
<td>43.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP 29</td>
<td>22.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEU 30</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP 31</td>
<td>37.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEU 35</td>
<td>100.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER 34</td>
<td>37.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYS 37</td>
<td>32.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THR 38</td>
<td>29.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARG 41</td>
<td>120.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHE 42</td>
<td>83.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO 43</td>
<td>21.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYS 67</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIS 70</td>
<td>69.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THR 73</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYS 74</td>
<td>35.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASP 75</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEU 76</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2.1: Residues in the dimer interface as suggested by evaluation of x-ray crystallography.
(a):Residues in monomer A. (b):Residues in monomer B (the monomers A and B is referred to different mainchain in the dimer, respectively). ASA: accessible surface area. The most important residues for the interface are shadowed either in yellow (those forming hydrogen bonds) or pink (those having highly buried area).
The dimer interface of Wind is different from that of the recently reported ERp29 dimer revealed by NMR studies (Liepinsh et al., 2001). In Wind, the most important residues involved in the interface are G26, V28, D31, L33, H37, R41, F42, H70, H74, and D75. These residues can either form hydrogen bonds or are highly buried. They are located in β1, within or after α1, within or after α2. In contrast, the dimer interface suggested for rat ERp29 is on the opposite side of the TRX-like domain and mainly composed by residues around β5, loopβ5-α5, and α5. To confirm that the dimer interface of Wind in solution is the same as in the crystal, a D31N mutant was made by D.M Ferrari in our group that should probably disrupt the dimerization based on the crystal structure. In vitro experiments (multiangle light scattering of protein separated by size exclusion chromatography and cross-linking) show that D31N has a much smaller tendency to dimerize (fig.7.2.1) (Ma et al., 2003. J. Biol. Chem in press). This indicates that the dimer interface derived from the crystal structure exists in solution as well. Generally, homologues should have the same or similar structures. The differences between the dimer interface of Wind and rat ERp29 were unexpected. From our data, it clearly shown that the dimer of Wind exists both in the crystal and in solution and the dimer interface should be correct. Two possibilities can explain this discrepancy, either the dimer interface of rat ERp29 as given by Liepinsh et al is not correct or a different interface really exists in rat ERp29. In fact, in recent experiment, it could be shown that mutating D42 (the residue corresponding to D31 in Wind) in human ERp28 to N significantly inhibit dimer formation, indicating that ERp28 may indeed have a dimer interface similar (if not identical) to Wind. (experiments by D.M. Ferrari, data not shown).

### 7.2.2 Is the dimerization functional?

The cross-linking results of overexpressed Wind in COS7 cells (data not shown) have indicated that, as its mammalian homologues ERp28/29, Wind can form dimers as well as monomers and higher oligomers. As known, Wind is a dimer both in the crystal and in solution. A question we have asked is whether the dimer is essential for the function of Wind. From the crystal structure, we can see that the dimerization of Wind generates a significant cleft that is large enough to hold a small peptide. Interestingly, the cleft is hydrophilic and negatively charged. This fact lets us to speculate that the negative charge in the cleft may be necessary for the substrate binding. If so, it would be analogous to the mechanism suggested for the interaction of the sarcoplasmic reticulum protein calsequestrin with the membrane protein Junctin (Zhang et al., 1997). The putative substrate of Wind, Pipe, indeed has a region (S96-N139) with a high content of basic residues (pI=11.3) which is distinct from the more C-
terminally located sequences with net negative charge. Actually, one of the possible active-sites of Pipe (identified by sequence alignment with other sulfotransferases) is in the middle of this positive charged region. If this speculation is true, then we can imagine that the dimerization of Wind would be necessary for its function, as otherwise the negatively charged cleft would not be formed. In addition, since the residues that contribute to the negative potential of the dimer cleft are not conserved in ERp28/29 and there are no basic residue rich regions in mammalian heparan sulfate 2-O sulfotransferase and other isoforms of Pipe, this negative dimer cleft may provide the functional specificity of Wind.

However, the mutant D31N that could disrupt the dimerization significantly *in vitro* did not show obvious effects on the transport of Pipe to the Golgi *in vivo* (fig.7.2.3). This may be due to residual dimer that could not be disrupted completely *in vivo*. As indicated by cross-linking experiments with intact cells, it seems less likely that dimerization is not necessary for the function. This very interesting and important question needs to be finally clarified in the future.

### 7.2.3 Both the b and D domain are necessary for the localization of Pipe

Wind contains two distinct domains, b and D. The b domain is necessary for dimerization while the D-domain is not as shown in the crystal structure. We asked ourselves what the respective functions of the b- and D-domains could be and whether both domains are necessary for the localization of Pipe. To answer these questions, two truncated Wind constructs (Wind-N and its identical construct fused to a KEEL retention/retrieval sequence, Wind-N-KEEL) which only contain the N-terminal b domain, were co-expressed with Pipe in COS7 cells. Neither Wind-N nor Wind-N-KEEL was able to translocate Pipe to the Golgi although Wind-N-KEEL showed a clear ER distribution (fig.7.2.3, experiments by K. Barnewitz). This indicates that the D-domain also participates either in the folding or the transport of Pipe. The necessity of the D-domain was also supported in a Wind mutant fly. Two mutations resulting in severe developmental defects were identified in the D domain (Konsolaki and Schupbach, 1998). The wind^{M46} allele contained a single base change generating a stop code after Q-187 and leading to a truncated D-domain in which nearly all of the last three \( \alpha \)-helices were missing. The wind^{T6} allele had a single base change in the NIL motif, resulting in the conversion of L-239 to a proline. The L-to-P change might disrupt the structure of helix \( \alpha_9 \) although L239 is located at the very end of \( \alpha_9 \).
Interestingly, replacing the D-domain of Wind with that of mouse ERp28 (Wind-N-p28D) did not affect the capability of Wind to process Pipe although the full length mouse ERp28 failed to promote the translocation of Pipe to the Golgi (fig.7.2.3).

These results indicate that both the b- and D-domains are indispensable for the translocation of Pipe. However, as the function of the D-domain of Wind can be replaced by that of mouse ERp28, we can imagine that the D domains in both proteins play a very similar role and the functional specificity of Wind must be determined by the b domain.

7.2.4 The –CTGC- motif is redox inactive and not involved in the localization of Pipe

In contrast to ERp28/29, Wind possesses a –CTGC- motif at the very end of the N-terminus. From the crystal structure, we can clearly see that this motif is not located in the position of the classical redox-active –CXXC- site of the thioredoxin fold of thioredoxin and other members of PDI-family (normally it exists between the C-terminus of the loop β2-α2 and the beginning of α2). However, the position of the –CXXC- motif and the thioredoxin fold are not always essential for the redox activity as reported that some proteins having –CXXC-motifs but without a TRX-like fold (Langenbach and Sottile, 1999; O’Neil et al., 2000). Pipe, the putative substrate of Wind, contains 7 cysteines in total. Four of them are conserved in other 2-O sulfotransferases and they may form disulfide bonds. These facts prompt us to ask whether the –CTGC- motif is redox active and necessary for the localization of Pipe.

Using the standard insulin reduction assay, His-Wind was not able to reduce the disulfide bonds in insulin. Since the –CTGC- motif is at the very beginning of the Wind sequence and since, from the structure, we could see that the –CTGC- motif is at the bottom of the dimer cleft, the additional N-terminal His-tag sequences (MRGSHHHHHHGS) of the recombinant protein may have affected the activity of the –CTGC- motif. However, the C-terminal His-tagged Wind (Wind-His), in which the –CTGC- motif should be well exposed, showed the same result, indicating that this motif is not redox active. Moreover, Wind could not complement the activity of PDI in yeast, indicating that Wind lacks a general catalytic redox/isomerase activity (Ma et al., 2003. J.Biol.Chem in press).

Furthermore, a Wind mutant in which the –CTGC- motif was changed to STGS did not show any effects on the localization of Pipe compared with wild type Wind (fig.7.2.3). This suggests that at least in this process, the function of Wind does not require the –CTGC-motif and redox/isomerase activity. Therefore we can speculate that Wind may function as a redox-independent chaperone/escort protein.
In *Anopheles*, a homologue of Wind and ERp28 was discovered recently (EAA06716). The first cysteine of the –CTGC- motif of Wind is lost, in contrast, the second cysteine is still maintained. Considering that the closer relationship between *Anopheles* and *Drosophila* than that between *Drosophila* and mammals, we can not exclude the possibility that the –CTGC-motif is just an evolutionary residue without important function. Another interesting point is that although the identity of the b domain of the *Anopheles* homologue (compared to that of Wind) is higher than for mammalian ERp28/29 (43% in *Anopheles*-ERp28, 35% in mammalian ERp28), the D-domain of Wind shows the same degree of similarity with the Anopheles ERp28 (31%) as with the mammalian ERp28 (30%).

---

**Figure 7.2.2** Alignment of Anopheles-ERp28 (Ano-ERp28) with Wind. The identical residues are shown in red and marked with stars below. Similar residues are marked below with dots. The three tyrosines (Y53, Y55, Y86) are marked by arrows on the top. Y53 in Wind and the corresponding residue F in Anopheles-ERp28 are colored in green.

### 7.2.5 The possible substrate binding site on the surface of the b domain

The conserved residues of PDI-Dβ homologues show a very characteristic distribution on the surface of the crystal structure (fig.6.2.7). The surface around the cleft, which includes a loose cluster of three tyrosines (Y53, Y55, Y86) are marked by arrows on the top. Y53 in Wind and the corresponding residue F in Anopheles-ERp28 are colored in green.
the Golgi (fig. 7.2.3), indicating that the conserved surface around Y55 and/or the surface of the dimer cleft formed by the b domain is required for Pipe export from the ER. In fact, our preliminary data suggest that mutations of Y53 and Y86 also disable Wind to translocate Pipe. Furthermore, *in vitro*, we can show that the failure of the Y55K mutant is not due to the disruption of dimerization because multiangle light scattering assays showed that the recombinant protein of Y55K has a molecular mass 57 kD, corresponding to the size of the homodimer of Wind (Ma et al., 2003).

![Figure 7.2.3: Effects on the transport of Pipe of different forms of Wind (mutated or truncated).](image)

**Figure 7.2.3**: Effects on the transport of Pipe of different forms of Wind (mutated or truncated). Pictures in column 1 show the expression of different Wind mutants alone; Column 2: the expression of different Wind mutants in COS7 cells cotransfected with Pipe; Column 3: the expression and localization of Pipe in the cotransfected cells. (details in text). (image reproduced with permission from Ma et al., 2003. J. Biol. Chem in press).

The next question is whether the interaction is mainly a hydrophobic interaction or whether the polar group (-OH) is required as well, as in the *Anopheles* homologue, where Y53 in Wind is replaced by F. It is likely that the two homologues perform similar functions, because of the similarity between them. If the replacement of Y53 with F does not affect the
function of Wind, it will indicate that these tyrosines (at least Y53) may bind to the substrate without involvement of the polar group (-OH). Another interesting point is whether the *Anopheles* homologue could fulfill the function of Wind in folding and/or transport of Pip. If so, we could limit the indispensable residues for the function of Wind to regions conserved between Wind and Anopheles-ERp28.

Another interesting point is the position of the three tyrosines which are probably involved in substrate binding. Y53 (F58 in Ano-ERp28) and Y55 are located in the conserved cluster YPYGEK which corresponds to the –CXXC- motif in thioredoxin or the a domain of PDI. Y86 is in the conserved pentapeptide insertion located in the loop$_{3-\alpha3}$. In the thioredoxin fold, this region is a hotspot for insertion of amino acids or domains, for example in DsbA (Martin *et al.*, 1993; Martin, 1995), DsbC (McCarthy *et al.*, 2000) and the newly discovered ER protein, ERp18 (Alanen *et al.*, 2003).

### 7.2.6 The structure and the possible function of the D domain

As the D domain of Wind could be replaced with that of ERp28 without interfering with the function of Wind, it is likely that the D domains in both proteins adopt similar conformation or structure and have similar function. Furthermore, this raises the question whether the D domains in other homologues can also replace the function of the D-domain of Wind. If so, these D domains may possess general function and these functions may be performed by similar mechanisms.

However, the conformation of the D-domain of rat ERp29 is different from that of Wind (fig.6.2.13 B). If the same conformation of ERp29 was adopted by Wind, some hydrophobic residues would be exposed on the surface, which is unlikely to occur, in general. Moreover, the D-domain of Wind displays some flexibility suggested by the difference in B-factors of 26.9Å between the D domains of the two monomeric subunits and by the relative movement of the two domains, amounting to more than 30°, seen when the two domains are superimposed. Taking into consideration the low overall similarity between Wind and ERp29 (44% similarity, 33% identity), some species-specific differences in the structure of the D-domain cannot be ruled out (Ma *et al.*, 2003. J. Biol. Chem in press).

As reported, the truncated Wind without the C-terminal KEEL retention/retrieval sequence (Sen *et al.*, 200) and the *Dictyostelium* homologue, Dd-PDI which have no KEEL at all can be efficiently retained in the ER (Monnat *et al.*, 2000), indicating that the D-domain may carry out a retention/retrieval function and possibly that this function is independent of
the conformation or structure. Indeed, the last 57 C-terminal residues of Dd-PDI can sufficiently localize a green fluorescent protein (GFP) chimera to the ER (Monnat \textit{et al.}, 2000). However, the indispensability of the D-domain for Wind in translocation of Pipe to the Golgi indicates that the retention/retrieval of protein in the ER is not the only function of the D-domain and that it could also take part in substrate binding or processing although it is not clear how this happens.

The flexible linker between the b-domain and the D-domain provides the possibility that the D domain could move relative to the b domain. This movement may be important for substrate binding. When the substrate is present, the two D domains in the homodimer may move to the corresponding b domain, and thus may provide another binding region or mechanism to hold the substrate in addition to the surface of the b-domain. Similar domain movement is also observed in DsbA (Guddat \textit{et al.}, 1998) and DsbC (Mccarthy \textit{et al.}, 2000) structures, which may be important for their functions. In order to show the importance of the corresponding movement between the D-domain and b-domain, it is worthwhile finding out the effects on the function of Wind by shortening the linker region or mutating important residues (Y143, I144, G145) to decrease the flexibility of the linker.

Another point that should be mentioned is the internal KEEL motif which is completely conserved in mammalian homologues (ERp28/29). Interestingly, in \textit{Anopheles} homologue both the C-terminal and internal KEEL are replaced by RAEL. From the similarity, we can assume that the C-terminal RAEL is for ER localization. Whether the internal KEEL motif is important for function/localization of Wind remains to be established.

As discussed above, the D-domain is necessary for the translocation of Pipe and it may provide a binding site for Pipe. If so, then where could the binding site be? Based on the finding that the D domain of Wind could be replaced by that of mouse ERp28, we can imagine that the conserved residues should be the important candidates. Mutation of these residues may provide meaningful information.

\subsection*{7.2.7 Comparison of the b domain of Wind with the a- and b-domains of PDI}

Compared with the a domain of PDI, the b-domain of Wind has two major differences. First, the CGHC motif of the a domain is replaced by a potential substrate binding site (Y55 in the conserved residue cluster, YPYGEK) of Wind. Second, in the b domain of Wind, a conserved pentapeptide insertion is located in the loop \(\beta_3-\alpha_3\). On the other hand, they share some common features. Although the sequence similarity is not high, they all adopt the same characteristic thioredoxin fold. As in the a domain but not the b domain of PDI, the b domain
of Wind also contains a cis-proline (fig.6.2.10/11) which is assumed to form part of a hydrophobic substrate-binding site close to the active site in thioredoxin-like proteins (Nordstrand et al., 1999), and its cis conformation is important for maintaining the integrity of the thioredoxin fold. In addition, the conserved residues between the b-domain of Wind and the a- and b-domains of PDI are primarily within the β-strand elements of the fold or on buried faces of helices (fig.6.2.12), indicating that they are likely to serve a mainly structural role.

7.2.8 Wind provides very useful information for the study of ERp28

The research on Wind can provide much important information for studying and understanding the functions of ERp28. First, the involvement of Wind in the dorsal-ventral patterning of Drosophila embryos provides a hint that ERp28 may have the same or similar functions. Second, the result that the D-domain of Wind can be replaced by that of mouse ERp28 indicates that both D domains make the same contribution to the protein functions. Third, since the possible binding surface of Wind is conserved in ERp28/29, we can imagine that ERp28 may have the same substrate binding surface although at present we do not know the mechanism that controls the interaction specificity.

Finally, the structure of Wind reported here is the first crystal structure of a PDI-related protein of the ER to be determined. A closer analysis of the peptide-binding properties of Wind may reveal important clues on peptide-binding sites and mechanisms of function of other PDI proteins.
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