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Clathrin is a self-assembling protein involved in intracellular trafficking. Since its discovery in the early 1960s, much has been learned about its structure, assembly, and regulation mechanisms. The three legged monomers, called triskelia, are known to form cages in order to invaginate the cell’s plasma membrane and, thus, create coated vesicles which contain incorporated extracellular molecules. So far, clathrin research has mostly been focused on these clathrin cages and clathrin-coated vesicles. However, already in the 1980s researchers observed another form of clathrin assembly, namely flat hexagonal lattices attached to the plasma membrane. Little is known about these structures, and whether these flat lattices are involved in clathrin cage formation has been highly debated.

The atomic force microscope (AFM) allows the study of biological samples in liquid and, therefore, near their native state. In this work, we took advantage of this unique capability of the AFM to study the 3D structure of flat clathrin lattices at nanometer resolution. The susceptibility of the lattice to mechanical forces, a common issue when investigating soft biomaterials with AFM, was tested using different scan techniques exerting scanning forces from tens of pN to several nN.

After discussing the assembly of the flat clathrin lattice itself, the first part of this thesis shows how the AFM can be used to study biological questions in the clathrin field. First, a highly featured image of a hexagonal lattice pore was reconstructed from multiple low force AFM scans. The obtained image was used to investigate the triskelion orientation inside the lattice, and to determine a change in the pucker angle when compared to triskelia in a cage. Secondly, force maps were acquired to investigate the mechanical influence of the clathrin light chains (CLC) on the stability of the lattice. The findings suggest that the CLC has a role in rigidifying the structure of the triskelia.

Beyond the investigation of biological properties and mechanisms of clathrin, this thesis additionally explores the application of the flat lattices in bionanotechnological designs. Besides the very regular spacing of the 30nm-sized pores, clathrin assemblies provide the possibility of specific functionalization, which turns them into useful matrices for sensors and biosynthetic reactors. Towards this goal, we
were able to show that the lattice can be assembled on various surface materials and can be stabilized into durable matrices. AFM experiments showed that the stabilized lattice structure remains intact even after a dehydration and rehydration cycle. Finally, a first demonstration to functionalize the lattice with inorganic particles and biologically active molecules is presented.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFM</td>
<td>Atomic force microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>(3-Aminopropyl)-triethoxysilane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Adenosine Triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>Bovine serum albumine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHC</td>
<td>Clathrin Heavy Chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLC</td>
<td>Clathrin Light Chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA</td>
<td>Ethylene Glycol Tetraacetic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Electon Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENTH</td>
<td>Epsin Amino-Terminal Homology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS15</td>
<td>Epidermal Growth Factor Substrate 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFT</td>
<td>Fast Fourier Transform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FZ</td>
<td>Force Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>Glutathione S-Transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-Piperazineethanesulfonic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOPG</td>
<td>Highly Ordered Pyrolytic Graphite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsc70</td>
<td>Heat Shock Cognate 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k_{eff}</td>
<td>Effective Spring Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_{eff}</td>
<td>Effective Mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES</td>
<td>2-(N-Morpholino) - Ethanesulfonic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Molecular Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaSCN</td>
<td>Sodium Thiocyanate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate-Buffered Saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>Power Spectral Density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ</td>
<td>Pucker Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtdIns(4,5)P2</td>
<td>Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVF</td>
<td>Polyvinyl Formal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Standard Error of the Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA</td>
<td>Small interfering RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>Suspended Microchannel Resonator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Scanning Tunneling Microscope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA</td>
<td>Uranyl Acetate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part I

INTRODUCTION
MOTIVATION

Since many years the atomic force microscope (AFM) has been used to study biological samples, ranging from DNA [1] and proteins, such as collagen [2] and microtubules [3], to whole cells [4]. Hereby, the AFM offers certain advantages over other imaging techniques, such as light- and electron microscopy. One of the main advantages of the AFM for the investigation of biological samples is certainly the possibility to image unlabeled samples in a liquid environment [5], allowing observations at almost native conditions. Furthermore, the AFM allows to acquire 3D images with resolution far beyond the Abbe diffraction limit. However, the atomic resolution that can be achieved for hard and flat materials [6, 7], is unfortunately not accessible for biological samples. Here, the resolution is mainly limited by the size of the AFM-probe (tip) on one hand, and by the properties of the sample itself on the other [8, 9]. A stiffer biological sample, such as collagen or DNA, can be imaged with high resolution (nm regime). In contrast, it is more difficult to acquire detailed images of soft samples, such as whole cells. The main obstacle for the examination of soft samples via AFM are the scanning forces exerted and, therefore, the deformations of soft samples [9] represent one of the major drawbacks for this imaging technique.

The aim of this project was to examine the possibilities of using the AFM to study soft protein complexes, which led to the investigation of several protein assemblies (see also appendix 1). This thesis will be focused on discussing the studies of the hexagonal protein lattice assembled by clathrin. As discussed later on, different attempts were used to obtain high resolution images of the clathrin network as well as to study its mechanical behavior.

All work concerning the AFM experiments as well as the quantitative data analysis of electron micrographs was performed by me. The sample purification, protein functionalization and electron microscopy was performed by our collaborators from the group of Prof. Dr. Ernst Ungewickell (Hannover Medical School). Major parts of the results presented in this work were published in Traffic [10] and Nature Nanotechnology [11].
2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE ATOMIC FORCE MICROSCOPE

As a successor of the scanning tunneling microscope (STM), Binnig and Quate introduced the atomic force microscope in 1986. Unlike the STM, which was limiting surface investigations to conductive samples, the AFM was now able to expand the variety of samples by also allowing the analysis of insulators. Nowadays, the AFM is used to study diverse surfaces with near atomic resolution and finds application in major scientific fields such as electronics, material science (including soft polymers), and biology.

The AFM setup is straightforward and consists of a cantilever with a sharp tip, controlled by a piezoelectric actuator. The deflection of the cantilever is measured via a laser beam focused onto the cantilever’s end, which is reflected onto a position

Figure 1: a) Illustration of the AFM setup. b) Schematic of how the AFM responds to an error signal, occurring due to sample features. c) Control loop of a scanning AFM.
2.2 Imaging with the AFM

The critical component of this system is the cantilever, which needs to be soft enough to bend while scanning soft materials but in the same time it needs a high resonance frequency, to allow for high scan rates [12].

\[ f_0 = \frac{1}{2} \pi \left( \frac{k}{m_0} \right)^{1/2} \] (1)

With \( f_0 \) being the resonance frequency, \( k \) the spring constant and \( m_0 \) the effective mass of the cantilever, equation 1 shows that a sufficient frequency can be obtained by keeping the ratio \( k/m_0 \) large [12]. Another way to describe the resonance frequency of rectangular cantilever is to consider the dimension of the cantilever as in equation 2 [15, 16].

\[ f_0 = \frac{1.02t}{2\pi l^2} \left( \frac{E}{\rho_{\text{cantilever}}} \right)^{1/2} \] (2)

Here, \( t \) represents the thickness of the cantilever, \( l \) its length, \( E \) the cantilever’s Young’s modulus and \( \rho_{\text{cantilever}} \) its density. While analogous to equation 1, the ratio between cantilever stiffness and density is crucial, it additionally shows that cantilevers with high resonance frequency can be produced by increasing their thickness or by shortening them.

In order to acquire images with an AFM, the cantilever tip is moved across the surface. During the scanning process, the error signal, which is the difference between the recorded signal (e.g. deflection or amplitude; see Tab. 1) and the corresponding set-point, is monitored. This error is then used in a feedback loop that restores the distance between tip and sample (Fig. 1 b,c), and allows the AFM tip to follow the surface topography. The resulting Z-piezo movement, which is necessary to keep a constant distance between tip and surface, is used to create the topographical height image of the sample [14].

Due to the scanning, exerting a certain imaging force on the sample is inevitable and, therefore, the need for gentle scanning techniques is high. This applies especially to fragile samples, such as biological ones. One major factor, on which the scanning force depends, is the stiffness of the cantilever. Still, even a very soft cantilever,
barely in contact with the sample, exerts a force onto it. This is demonstrated in figure 2, displaying the forces resulting from thermal fluctuations of the cantilever, for different cantilevers with varying resonance frequencies and spring constants. In order to establish stable scanning conditions, the set-point during imaging has to exceed this thermal noise level and, therefore, figure 2 depicts the uttermost minimum scanning forces for those specific cantilevers. Besides the choice of the cantilever, the amount and type of force, which is exerted onto the sample during scanning, can be majorly controlled choosing different imaging or operating modes. As mentioned before, these imaging modes work via different error signals, and, furthermore, in different force regimes (attractive/repulsive) (Tab. 1) [13, 14].

The first two imaging modes implemented for AFM were the contact- and non-

![Figure 2: a) Forces which already occur due to thermal fluctuations of different cantilevers. AC10, AC40 and BL150 are different cantilever types available by Olympus.](image)

contact mode [17]. Albeit certain efforts to make the non-contact mode a feasible option for experiments in liquid [18], its difficult feedback conditions [17, 19] render

---

Table 1: Error signals and force regimes of different imaging modes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error Signal</th>
<th>Force Regime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Mode</td>
<td>deflection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude Modulation</td>
<td>amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jumping Mode</td>
<td>deflection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Error Signal Force Regime

Contact Mode deflection repulsive
Amplitude Modulation amplitude repulsive/attractive
Jumping Mode deflection repulsive/attractive
this imaging mode to be inconvenient for biological studies. Hence, this mode is not further discussed in this work.

2.2.1 Contact Mode

The second historically implemented imaging mode is the contact mode \([17]\). This mode works mainly in the repulsive regime (Fig. 2 b) and the cantilever tip stays in permanent contact with the sample \([14, 19]\). The monitored error signal is based on the deflection of the cantilever itself and it can be used in two different ways (constant height or constant force). In the constant height version, the cantilever remains at the same height during the whole scanning process and only the deflection is recorded. More commonly the constant force version is used, in which the bending of the cantilever and, therefore, the imaging force is kept constant by using a feedback loop. This feedback ensures a constant distance between the tip and the sample surface by restoring the cantilever deflection to match the set-point via the extension or retraction of the Z-piezo \([14]\). The major drawback of the contact mode is the destruction and deformation of soft samples, which occur due to the constant contact during the scan motion, generating high lateral forces (Fig. 3) \([5, 13]\). Therefore, the contact mode is generally not well suited for the investigation of biological and polymer samples \([20]\), with the exception of complete cell imaging with soft cantilevers \([21, 22]\) and the imaging of protein crystals \([23]\).

Figure 3: Inside the boxed area a destroyed lipid bilayer is visible, caused by the lateral forces during contact mode scanning, scale bar 2 \(\mu m\).
2.2.2 Amplitude Modulation

The intermittent contact mode, also called the tapping mode or amplitude modulation, overcomes the problems occurring in contact mode, and is the most commonly used imaging mode to obtain scans of fragile samples [13, 20]. Here, the cantilever is excited near its resonance frequency and its oscillation amplitude (typically 20-100 nm) is monitored [5, 19]. While oscillating, the cantilever tip impacts the surface only for a very limited duration, which largely eliminates lateral forces during the scanning process [13, 20]. The monitored error signal in this mode is based on the oscillation amplitude, which is reduced when the cantilever is brought close to the surface (Fig. 4 a). The observed amplitude reduction is due to energy losses, caused by the interaction with the surface [5, 13]. Using a feedback loop, a constant amplitude can be maintained by ensuring a constant distance between the cantilever tip and the surface [19].

Despite the elimination of the lateral force, there is a minimum normal force which needs to be applied to the sample. Besides overcoming the above discussed thermal noise force, the restoring cantilever force after impact needs to exceed the adhesion force between sample and tip, in order to ensure proper sampling [5, 13]. Hence, a minimum free amplitude is necessary to obtain an adequate image of the surface topography. Controlling the amount of force, which is applied to the sample during amplitude modulation, is challenging due to a large number of variables (e.g. sample elasticity, viscosity, adhesion and surface charge) [20], and represents one
of the major drawbacks of this mode. Furthermore, the actual force applied to the sample is difficult to estimate. In 1994, C. Putman described one strategy to estimate an average scanning force \( F_{av} \). The average force can be obtained by describing the cantilever movement via a harmonic oscillator and reducing it to equation 3, by considering the system at the time of impact [5].

\[
F_{av} = k A_0 \frac{4 \pi^2}{3} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^2
\]  

(3)

In this formula \( k \) is the spring constant, \( A_0 \) the amplitude, \( T \) the oscillation period and \( \tau \) represents the period where the tip is in contact with the sample [5]. Still, the applied force during imaging with tapping mode remains only an estimation. Nonetheless, amplitude modulation is commonly used to image soft materials and allows the imaging of a wide variety of biological samples, e.g. DNA (Fig. 4 b).

2.2.3 Jumping Mode

Jumping mode represents a compromise between contact mode and the dynamic modes (frequency- and amplitude modulation). The idea is to use fast force-distance (FZ) curves (Fig. 5 a and section 2.4) throughout the scan area [24]. This allows great control over the imaging force because, similar to the contact-mode, the error signal is based on the cantilever bending and, therefore, the exact imaging force can be determined. In fact, even the normal force drift, which can appear in contact mode
due to slow changes in the deflection signal, is overcome, since the zero force voltage for the unbend cantilever can be reset for each point of the scan [24]. In order to establish fast scanning and to reduce piezoelectric resonances, a sinusoidal wave is used to drive the piezo elements during the FZ curves (Fig. 5 b) [24]. Analogous to amplitude modulation, the jumping mode eliminates lateral forces because the cantilever is moved in X and Y direction when it is at its furthest Z distance and, therefore, out of contact with the sample [24].

2.3 AFM MEASUREMENTS IN LIQUID

One major benefit of using atomic force microscopy for biological studies is the possibility to scan unlabeled samples in liquid and, therefore, close to physiological conditions [25, 26]. Moreover, the operation in liquid eliminates capillary forces and reduces van der Waals forces [25, 27]. However, compared to the cantilever behavior in air or vacuum, the oscillatory characteristics change dramatically in liquid [28]. When driven by a piezo actuator, one can observe not only a single peak at the cantilever’s resonance frequency, but a forest of peaks (Fig. 6) [28]. The additional peaks are thought to appear due to the so called fluid drive spectrum, which represents the hydrodynamic modes of the liquid when the cantilever is oscillated [28, 29]. Major changes in the cantilever’s oscillatory behavior can be observed while looking at its resonance frequency and quality factor (Q). In contrast to operation in air or vacuum, the resonance frequency is highly influenced by the size of the cantilever [30]. Due to liquid drag during cantilever oscillation, the effective mass is increased

![Figure 6: A cantilever excitation spectrum of the same cantilever in air and in liquid shows a change from a single resonance frequency peak to a forest of peaks. In addition, the resonance frequency shifts by roughly one-third in the liquid environment.](image-url)
by a factor of $10^{-40}$, which in turn reduces the resonance frequency \cite{26, 28, 30} (compare to Equation 1 and Fig. 6). An estimation of the resonance frequency reduction in liquid can be described as follows \cite{30}:

$$\frac{f_{\text{water}}}{f_{\text{air}}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{m_{\text{lever}}}{m_{\text{lever}} + m_{\text{water}}}}$$

Furthermore, the strong hydrodynamic interactions between the cantilever and the liquid are reducing the Q factor by around two orders of magnitude \cite{26}. The dependency of the Q factor can be described by equation 5 \cite{30}:

$$Q = \frac{m \omega}{\gamma} = \frac{(m_{\text{lever}} + m_{\text{added}}) \omega}{\gamma},$$

where $\omega$ describes the cantilever pulsation and $\gamma$ represents the damping coefficient, which scales with liquid density \cite{30}. The low Q factor reveals also one major drawback of the imaging in liquid using dynamic modes (i.e. non-contact and tapping mode), since it indicates high forces between the tip and the sample due to reduced sensitivity \cite{26}.

### 2.4 Probing Elasticity with the AFM

Additionally to the utilization of AFM for imaging surfaces with high resolution, another wide spread use is probing of sample mechanics via the recording of force-distance (FZ) curves. An example FZ curve is shown in figure 5 a), representing the cantilever movement towards the sample and its deflection during sample indentation \cite{31}. Hereby, the abscissa shows the movement of the Z-piezo and the ordinate displays the signal detected by the photodiode, which is proportional to the applied force \cite{32, 33}. Additionally to the indentation (extension) curve, the retraction from the sample is also recorded (retraction curve). The retraction curve holds important information, such as information about the adhesion force, and it can be used to investigate whether a sample is elastically or plastically deformed, when comparing it with the extension curve. The different shapes of those curves and the information one can receive from them was described in details by Burnham, Colton and Pollock in 1993 \cite{34}.

The slope of the extension curve is often used for material characterization, more
precisely, it is used to obtain the sample elasticity \[35\], which is represented via the Young’s modulus (E), defined by equation \[36\].

\[
E = \frac{F}{A} \frac{\Delta l}{l_0}
\]  

(6)

Hereby, \(F/A\) represents the stress (force over area) and \(\Delta l/l_0\) is the change in length with respect to the original length, called strain \[36\]. A main challenge in these investigations is the non-linearity of the recorded FZ curves from soft samples. This is due to the fact that the AFM tip is conical for a few 100nm and, therefore, the contact area increases while indenting the sample \[31\] (Fig. 7). This problem was discussed by Hertz already in 1882 \[37\], and his model is now the most commonly used one to deduce the Young’s modulus from the recorded FZ curves by fitting of equation 7 for conical indentations \[31\].

\[
F = \delta^2 \frac{\pi E}{2 (1 - \nu^2)} \tan(\alpha)
\]  

(7)

In this equation \(\delta\) represents the indentation depth, \(\nu\) the Poisson ratio and \(\alpha\) the opening angle of the tip \[31\].

![Figure 7: Simulation of a soft sample indentation with a spherical object. Note that the stress vs strain relation is not linear due to the increasing load applying surface area with extending indentation.](image-url)
The compartmentalization of eukaryotic cells generates a need for transport vesicles, which allows controlled endo- and exocytosis to internalize and secrete specific molecules, respectively (Fig. 8) [38]. In the case of endocytosis the cell membrane needs to be invaginated to form transport vesicles. Apart from certain exceptions [39, 40], this is established by coated pits and vesicles [41]. These coated pits occupy around 2% of the plasma membrane area [42], and the main coat forming protein is clathrin [41, 43]. Barbara M. F. Pearse was the first one to successfully isolate the coated vesicles and also suggested the name “Clathrin” (lat. clathratus, lattice like) [44, 45]. However, the discovery of the coated vesicles goes back to the early 1960s. By looking at small vesicles or pits at the cell surface in 1962, Roth and
Porter discovered ordered “spines” around these structures. Interestingly, already then they speculated that these “spines” might play a role in membrane infolding and in pinching off the vesicles [46]. Shortly after this discovery Roth and Porter reported that these coated vesicles indeed are involved in protein uptake [47, 48], which was confirmed by Bowers in 1964 [49], who furthermore suggested that this uptake might be selective. Additionally, he observed that the coat was polygonal and suggested a honeycomb-like or alveolate structure. Such basket-like structure was confirmed by Kanaseki and Kadota in 1969 [50], who revealed a network of pentagons and hexagons (Fig. 9). This icosahedral network was further analyzed by Pearse in 1976, discussing different types of cages which were based on structures consisting of hexagons and 12 pentagons [45, 51].

3.1 Structure

The clathrin network is composed of a three legged structure, called triskelion, identified and named by E. Ungewickell in 1981 [52] (Fig. 10, inset). This structure is a trimerization of three clathrin heavy chains (CHC), radiating from a hub [52, 53, 54]. Continuing from the hub, which is formed by the C-termini of the CHC [55], each triskelion leg consists of several segments: the proximal segment, knee, distal segment, ankle and terminal domain (Fig. 10) [54]. Each CHC forms a relatively uniform leg [55] with a molecular weight (MW) of 190 kDa [55], a preferred direction of curvature [52], and a length of around 44 nm [52, 56] to around 47 nm [57].

Figure 9: a) AFM image of the clathrin cage in liquid, scale bar 50 nm. b) Sketch of the icosahedral clathrin cage.
Associated to the CHC are three clathrin light chains (CLC) [52]. These CLC represent α-helices with a MW of 25 kDa [54], which become ordered after specifically binding to the proximal leg (Fig. 10) [58]. Exceptions are the N- and C-termini of the CLC, which remain unstructured [55]. There are two different types of CLC (a and b), their distinct role, however, is so far unknown [53].

At concentrations above 0.05 mg/ml, these triskelia start to self-assemble into the above mentioned polyhedral basket structures, which are involved in cell membrane invagination [53, 56]. Each vertex in this structure consists of a triskelion hub [54]. Radiating from these vertices are the proximal segments, which extend towards the neighboring triskelia. Following the proximal segments are the knees, from which the legs gradually protrude inwards the forming cage by extending the distal segments towards the neighboring triskelia (see Fig. 11). At the end of each leg, the terminal domain which is found at the cage interior, forms a hook-like structure that connects the cage with the appropriate adaptor proteins (described in section 3.2) [54, 55]. Since the proximal segments are well aligned in the clathrin network and the legs begin to disperse after the knee [54], the knee is considered the most variable part of the triskelion [55].

The cages have a diameter between 50 nm and approximately 100 nm [50] and consist of hexagons and pentagons. In addition to clathrin cages, flat clathrin lattices can also be found at the inner membrane of the cell, which predominantly consist of hexagons (Fig. 13 a) [59]. In order to form a cage, the clathrin network must contain 12 pentagons, to create the curvature, and a variable number of hexagons,
Figure 11: Structure of three triskelia forming a partial pore inside a clathrin cage. The structure reveals clearly the adjacent proximal segments of neighboring triskelia and the inward protrusion of triskelia legs from the knees on (green triskelion labeled). Adaptation from PDB structure 3IYV, CLC were removed for clarification.
which scale the vesicle size [56]. The average diameter of a polygonal pore inside the network is 30 nm [59], with a distance between two vertices of around 18 nm [56]. Inside the cell a clathrin network can span more than 300 nm [59].

3.2 Clathrin coat formation and disassembly

The cycle of endocytosis via clathrin coated vesicles is depicted in figure 12 and can be divided into three different phases: initiation, propagation, and detachment and uncoating phase. Already the initiation phase raises unsolved questions in clathrin-mediated vesicle formation. Besides clathrin coated pits, in 1980 Heuser discovered also flat hexagonal clathrin lattices at the plasma membrane [59]. This spurred a debate on whether the budding vesicles are formed via the rearrangement of flat lattices, or via free triskelia from the cytosol (Fig. 13). A good argument against the hypothesis of vesicle formation via the lattice, is in fact that the rearrangement needed to introduce pentagons into the hexagonal lattice, in order to introduce curvature, is energetically unfavorable [60]. In addition, membrane patches without any signs of hexagonal lattices are also able to form clathrin coated pits [59]. On the other hand, simulations by Nossal suggested that the remodeling of the lattice might occur due to thermal

Figure 12: Schematic of lipid vesicle formation in endocytosis by the clathrin pathway.
3.2 CLATHRIN COAT FORMATION AND DISASSEMBLY

Figure 13: a) Electron micrograph of the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane from HeLa cells shows hexagonal arrays and icosahedral clathrin coated buds, scale bar 100 nm; kindly provided by P. Dannhauser. b) The observation of hexagonal lattices generates two hypothesis for the clathrin cage initiation process: I) formation of cages from the flat lattice, II) formation of cages from free triskelia.

fluctuations [61]. An interesting mechanism was proposed by Kirchhausen, who states that the flat clathrin lattices might serve as a triskelion reservoirs, and via the lattice disassembly at its sides, a high local concentration of triskelia can be achieved [62].

In order for clathrin to interact with the lipid bilayer, adaptor proteins are necessary. One of the most dominant proteins from this group is the heterotetrameric adaptor protein (AP) complex [62, 63]. Depending on which membrane and, therefore, in which pathway the coated vesicle is formed, a different AP complex links the specific membrane to clathrin (AP1 binds clathrin to the membrane of the trans golgi network, and AP2 to the plasma membrane) [64, 65]. So far it is not clear whether APs are needed for initiation of the pit or for driving the polymerization [62]. In 1999 Huang et al. showed that even without functional AP complexes, coated vesicle formation can still occur [66]. In contrast, in 2001 Ford et al showed that the minimal components needed for successful membrane invagination are AP2, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(4,5)P2) and AP180 [67]. The factor AP180 is known to drive the polymerization [68] and is also thought to regulate the size of the coated vesicles [69].

Another adaptor protein family, which can bind clathrin to epidermal growth factor substrate 15 (EPS15, which binds to AP2) and which can furthermore bind clathrin
3.2 CLATHRIN COAT FORMATION AND DISASSEMBLY

directly to AP2, is epsin [70, 71, 72, 73]. A highly conserved part of epsin proteins is the epsin amino-terminal homology (ENTH) domain, which can bind to PtdIns(4,5)P_2 [67, 74] on one hand, and can interact with the membrane due to its amphipathic helix on the other. Therefore, it was thought to largely contribute to membrane invagination [75]. However, by removing the ENTH domain from epsin, Dannhauser & Ungewickell showed that clathrin polymerization alone is able to provide the driving force for creating coated vesicles [76].

At the very end of the vesicle formation process, a GTPase containing protein called dynamin, is necessary to separate the vesicle from its membrane of origin [77, 78]. Finally, after scission of the coated vesicle, the clathrin network needs to be disassembled in order to be recycled, as well as to provide a free lipid vesicle, which can then fuse with the endosomal system [79]. Under physiological conditions this uncoating step is facilitated by a member of the heat shock cognate 70 (Hsc70) protein family, via consumption of ATP (therefore also called “uncoating ATPase”) [80]. These Hsc70 proteins are known to shift the unfolding equilibrium of other proteins by stabilizing their unfolded state [62]. To obtain sufficient vesicle uncoating an additional protein, named auxilin, is needed, in order to recruit and activate Hsc70 [79, 81]. More precisely, the J-domain of auxilin, which spans residues 547-910, is needed to promote Hsc70 activity [82, 83]. Auxilin is known to bind to the C-terminus of clathrin and is thought to recruit Hsc70 to sites of critical clathrin interactions [84, 85]. Moreover, Fotin showed that local changes to the clathrin contacts occur upon auxilin binding, which promote coat disassembly [84]. After successful uncoating, the triskelia can be recycled for the next membrane invagination.

3.2.1 Clathrin and Membrane Bending

In order to produce transport vesicles for intracellular trafficking, lipid bilayers need to be bent for a limited time period. The essential bending can be performed, as discussed above, by scaffold proteins, which do not attach directly to the membrane [86, 87]. In addition to clathrin [61], COPI and COPII are also cage forming proteins [88]. Phosphoinositides play an important role in the connection of coat proteins to the membrane since their headgroups can be easily modified [86]. For clathrin, as mentioned before, PtdIns(4,5)P_2 represents one of the key linkage points, and enables the connection of clathrin to the membrane via adaptor proteins [67, 89, 90]. In order to form vesicles, there are two energy barriers which need to be overcome;
the energy for membrane bending and for membrane stretching [91, 92]. The energy needed for bending a certain membrane area is 10–100 times higher than for stretching, and bending rigidities were determined to be around $10 k_B T$ for soft fluid membranes, and $50 k_B T$ for membranes with 50% cholesterol [87, 93]. In general it is thought that the bending energy needed to form a naturally occurring 100 nm lipid vesicles is between $0.008 k_B T/nm^2$ and $0.04 k_B T/nm^2$ [87].

Simulations by Otter & Briels in 2011 predicted a binding energy of $25 k_B T$ per triskelion [94], which was experimentally confirmed by Saleem et al. in 2015, who measured a binding energy per triskelion of around $23 k_B T$ [95]. Considering that one triskelion occupies a surface area of around $300 nm^2$ [54], this would indicate that a single triskelion contributes approximately $0.08 k_B T/nm^2$ to membrane bending [87].

Regarding the biological function of clathrin, this work will use an artificial clathrin lattice as a minimal system to study the triskelion orientation inside a single pore, as well as to shed light into the role of the CLC in clathrin stability.
BIO-NANOTECHNOLOGY

The field of nanotechnology exists since several decades and describes systems with dimensions on the order of $10^{-9} \text{m}$ \cite{96}. Here, the demand is high for new materials \cite{97, 98}, in order to create designs for applications such as data storage, efficient synthesis, drug transport and nano-electronics. To tackle these tasks, merging the fields of physics, chemistry and biology came a long way and showed tremendous advances. Although a lot of promising non-biological systems, such as carbon nanotubes \cite{99}, were developed, this work focuses on biological approaches in the field of bionanotechnology.

4.1 DNA BASED NANOTECHNOLOGY

Unsurprisingly, throughout the recent years a great part of the bionanotechnology research has been based on the employment of DNA. By taking the advantage of single stranded-overhangs at the sides of double-stranded DNA, so called sticky ends, many beneficial properties, such as predictability and diversity are achieved \cite{100}. Furthermore, synthesizing the proper sequence enables to design branched DNA molecules \cite{101}, which can be used to generate 3D topographies \cite{102, 103}. Additionally to 3D structures, DNA can be used to form 2D lattices \cite{104} and aperiodic patterns \cite{105}.

In a more advanced approach, in 2006 Rothemund demonstrated a way to use a long single-stranded DNA and short “staple strands” to produce 2D nano shapes and patterns, which can later on be used to form larger assemblies \cite{106}. This method, widely known as “DNA origami”, was later on extended to form 3D objects \cite{107, 108} and dynamic designs \cite{109}.

These DNA structures have found various uses, such as transport vesicles for drugs, and it was shown in numerous attempts that these designs can be used to immobilize and organize nanoparticles \cite{110, 111, 112, 113} as well as proteins \cite{114, 115, 116}. However, the efficient integration of proteins remains a particular challenge because
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Although DNA has traditionally found more attention as biological building blocks in bionanotechnology [118], peptides and proteins also provide promising properties for nanotechnological designs. In comparison to DNA the vast variety of proteins represents an obvious advantage. Moreover, they offer additional benefits, such as their high specificity towards target binding and their self-assemble capability into large scale shapes (e.g. S-layers, discussed below) [118]. Despite the more focused research on using DNA for bionanotechnological approaches, several attempts have been made to apply proteins for such use.

One of these approaches uses viral envelopes (capsids) as a nanotechnological tool. It has been shown that capsids of different viruses can be facilitated as scaffolds to create nanomaterials [119], as nanoreactors [120] or as packaging and transport vesicles [121, 122].

Aside from capsids, β-structured fibers of viruses have also been used, which in nature enable the attachment of the virus to its host cell [123]. A major benefit of these molecules is their evolutionary adaptation to harsh extracellular environments, rendering these proteins more stable than the majority of other proteins [124]. However, their structural complexity, as well as their potential to aggregate, makes them difficult to handle [125].

Other fibrous protein assemblies, which are thoroughly discussed in literature, are amyloid fibrils (Fig. 14 a). These fibrils are protein products that occur due to misfolding and aggregation, and can be associated to several diseases such as Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s [126, 127, 128]. Comparable to the virus filaments, amyloid fibrils tend to be extremely stable in solution. Furthermore, they form organized structures of β-strands with a diameter of around 8 nm and a length of up to several µm [129, 130, 131]. Their ability to bind to both, hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces [132, 133], makes them naturally adhesive [131, 134], which renders them to interesting building blocks for material functionalization. Recently Li et al., for example, showed that by using amyloid fibrils together with graphene a biodegradable composite can be produced [133]. Nonetheless, the same authors reveal in a later review that to form these functional composites is far from trivial because changes in the
carbon architecture can either promote or inhibit fibrillation [135]. Besides the above mentioned proteins, one of the most promising protein structures for usage in material functionalization are the so called S-layer proteins [138]. This very regular and highly porous (glyco)protein layer is expressed by a variety of prokaryotic organisms, and it covers their surfaces (Fig. 14 b) [139]. These arrays can self-assemble with different lattice symmetries, showing unit cell dimensions of 3 - 30 nm and a thickness between 5 nm and 20 nm [137, 140]. Weigert & Sára showed already in 1995 that these arrays can be used as filters with extremely small pores (2 nm – 8 nm [137]) and, utilizing their periodically spaced carboxyl-groups [141], these filters can be additionally functionalized [142]. Furthermore, these layers have been shown to be a versatile tool for many nanotechnological approaches, e.g. for material functionalization, as matrices for biosensors, and as matrices for biomineralization [143]. An entirely different strategy for the utilization of proteins for nanotechnological applications was reported by Sinclair et al. in 2011. They presented a completely engineered approach in which they designed proteins with specific linker sequences, enabling them to assemble into big protein arrays (1D – 3D) and named them “Crysalins” [144].
4.2.1 Clathrin in Nanotechnology

So far only little has been done to take advantage of the highly structured and self-assemblying protein clathrin for nanotechnological uses. Schoen et al. demonstrated in 2011 a so called “Template Engineering Through Epitope Recognition” strategy, which relies on the binding of engineered peptides to epitopes of the clathrin cage. These peptides enable in turn the coverage of the cages with specific inorganic materials, which turns the clathrin cages into nanoparticles (Fig. 15) [145]. Another approach was to use CHC with hexahistidines attached to their N-terminus,

Figure 15: Clathrin cages, together with engineered peptides, can act as scaffolds. The peptides serve as seeding points to form inorganic nanoparticles; Illustration based on [145]

in order to control the formation of uniform gold nanocrystals along the cage structure [146].

The bionanotechnology related part in this work diverges from using the whole cage as a template for nanomaterials and focuses instead on the use of a 2D lattice for the modification and functionalization of surfaces. The kinetics, stabilization and controlled disassembly of the clathrin array, as well as the coverage of the lattice with inorganic particles and active biomolecules are described.
Part II

MATERIALS & METHODS


IMAGING & MECHANICAL MEASUREMENTS

1.1 ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

All electron microscopy images in this thesis were recorded and kindly provided by P. Dannhauser.

The freshly assembled clathrin lattices were fixed with 3 % glutaraldehyde for 10 min and negatively stained with 5 % uranyl acetate, analogous to lattice stabilization (section 4.2.1). Exceptions were the samples on glass and aluminum, which were critical point dried and processed by PT/C- shadowing, as described by Hinrichsen et al. [147]. After sample preparation, the images were obtained using a Tecnai™ G2 (FEI) electron microscope with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV or a Morgagni™ (FEI) electron microscope at 80 kV.

1.2 AFM IMAGING

To investigate the clathrin lattices using AFM, the samples were generally assembled onto HOPG as described in section 4.2, and fixed with 0.1 % glutaraldehyde for 20 min. The fixed samples were imaged using a “Cervantes Full Mode AFM System” (Nanotec, Spain). The standard cantilever for imaging in liquid was AC40TS \((f_0 = 100\, \text{kHz}, k = 0.1\, \text{N/m}; \text{Olympus, Japan})\). To record the images used for superposition and averaging of the pore the MSNL, cantilever E \((f_0 = 38\, \text{kHz}, k = 0.1\, \text{N/m}, \text{tip} < 2\, \text{nm}; \text{Bruker, USA})\) was used. To image the dehydrated lattice in air, the OMCL-AC240TS cantilever was used \((f_0 = 70\, \text{kHz}, k = 1.7\, \text{N/m}; \text{Olympus, Japan})\). A controllable imaging force was achieved by using the “Jumping Mode Plus” [148] (jump off: 100 nm, sample points: 50, control cycles: 4). This allowed to image with forces between 0.2 nN and several nN. A usual scan area had a size of 1 \(\mu\text{m} \times 1\, \mu\text{m}\) and was recorded with 256 x 256 points and lines.
1.3 Calibration of the AFM Cantilever

In order to calibrate the AFM system and to calculate the cantilever’s spring constant, a force distance curve on a hard, non-deformable substrate (e.g. glass) was recorded. A linear fit to its extension curve represents the sensitivity of the system (nm/V). To further determine the spring constant of the cantilever the thermal noise spectrum was used, as described in more detail by Burnham et al. [149]. Here, the recorded thermal noise of the cantilever away from the surface, was transformed into its power spectral density (PSD). To the first peak of the PSD, representing the first eigenmode of the cantilever, we fitted the following modified Lorentzian function (Fig. 16):

$$S(f) = \frac{A}{f} + B + \frac{S_0 f_0^4}{Q^2(f_0^2 - f^2)^2 + (f_0 f)^2},$$

(8)

where $A$ and $B$ are pink and white noise, respectively, $S_0$ is the amplitude, $f_0$ the resonance frequency and $Q$ represents the quality factor of the resonance peak. The obtained fit parameters can be used to calculate the cantilever’s spring constant via:

$$k = \frac{2k_B T Q}{\pi S_0 f_0},$$

(9)

where $k$ is the spring constant, $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant and the temperature is represented by $T$.

1.4 Force Maps

Force maps were recorded from clathrin lattices on graphite coated electron microscopy grids. For this investigation the “Cervantes Full Mode AFM System” (Nanotec, Spain) and MSNL, cantilever E ($f_0 = 38$ kHz, $k = 0.1$ N/m, tip < 2 nm; Bruker, USA) were used. Force maps with 128 x 128 force curves were obtained by using sinusoidal accelerations of the Z-piezo, which allowed relatively fast scanning. A complete scan of a 500 nm x 500 nm area was acquired in approximately 3 min to 4 min using a ramp of -80 nm, 40 points and a set point of 1.2 nN.

The recorded force maps were used to calculate topographical height images at specific forces by extracting the contact part from each curve (point at which cantilever
starts to bend). Subsequently, the Z-piezo movement was interpolated to find the best point in the deflection representing the desired force.

1.5 Cage Mechanics

The mechanics of, onto HOPG adsorbed, native and CHC clathrin cages were probed using an Asylum Research MFP 3D AFM and OMCL-TR400PSA cantilever ($f_0 = 34$ kHz, $k = 0.08$ N/m; Olympus, Japan). The cages were identified by scanning $1 \mu m \times 1 \mu m$ areas, and in a second step a single cage was centered in a $300 \text{nm} \times 300 \text{nm}$ scan (using amplitude modulation). The centered cage was indented with a trigger force of $3 \text{nN}$, and with two different indentation speeds ($0.9 \mu m/s$ and $2 \mu m/s$), in order to rule out viscous effects.

1.5.1 Analysis of Cage Mechanics

The recorded force distance curves were converted to force-indentation curves by subtracting the slope of an extension curve on an indeformable substrate. This conversion enables to correlate the applied forces with the cage indentations/ deformations. Subsequently, a linear function was fitted to the extension curves of the cages from contact to $1 \text{nN}$. The resulting slope represents the effective spring constant of the clathrin cages.
To analyze the area between the extension curve and the retraction curve, a MATLAB routine was written (appendix 2.3). Here the binary *.ibw files were read in using a function written by Jason Bemis. The data was plotted and the lower and upper limits for the area calculation were selected. Trapezoidal numerical integration was used to calculate the area under the extension and retraction curves. To calculate the final area in between the two curves, the area under the retraction curve was subtracted from the area under the extension curve.
IMAGE ANALYSIS

2.1 LATTICE HEIGHT

The height analysis of the clathrin lattices was performed via a home written MATLAB script (appendix 2.1). Namely, the height values, extracted from each image, were sorted and 2.5% of the data was cut off from each side (lowest and highest points), in order to dismiss outliers. The remaining data was plotted into a histogram of 100 bins and the height of the lattice was defined as the difference between the maximum and the minimum height values of the histogram.

2.2 SURFACE CURVATURE

To quantify the surface curvature, on which native clathrin is able and CHC clathrin is unable to form lattices, we used AFM images from clathrin networks on carbon coated electron microscopy grids. These grids show partially uneven areas from which surface scans with concave curvature were selected. MATLAB was used to smoothen the height-profiles across the concave areas and a parabola was fitted into the obtained curve (appendix 2.2). Equation 10 was used to calculate the radius of curvature ($R$).

$$R = \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{dy}{dx} \right)^2 \right]^{3/2} \left| \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} \right|$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

For a parabolic function,

$$y = ax^2 + bx + c$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
the radius of curvature was calculated around the minimum of the parabola via:

\[ R = \frac{\left[1 + (2ax + b)^2\right]^{3/2}}{|2a|} \] 

(12)

2.3 SUPERPOSITION AND AVERAGING

The images of clathrin lattices for the averaging were obtained by using an Asylum Research MFP3D AFM and MSNL, cantilever E \( f_0 = 38 \text{ kHz}, k = 0.1 \text{ N/m}, \text{ tip} < 2 \text{ nm; } \text{Bruker, USA} \) in amplitude modulation with an amplitude of around 5 nm and low forces (around 30 pN), as described by Schaap et al. [150]. Sections displaying a single hexagon in the middle, surround by six further hexagons, were isolated. Six of these selections were used, and from each of these sections six copies were created via rotation by 60° (using the pores sixfold symmetry).

The images were superimposed in an iterative process in which each image was shifted and rotated to find the least square error with respect to the first (template) image (see equation 13).

\[ f := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{N} (b[i,j] - c[i,j])^2 \right\} \] 

(13)

Hereby, \( b[i,j] \) represents the pixel information of the template image and \( c[i,j] \) are the image values of the current image, which is superimposed to the template. After 15 iterations all superimposed images were averaged to generate a final, high resolution image.

2.4 RELATIVE QUALITY OF CLATHRIN LATTICES

To quantify the quality of a lattice, in order to be able to determine best assembly conditions, assembly kinetics, and to quantify degradation processes, multiple electron micrographs of each condition were selected. The selection was based on the overall contrast of each image which had to be comparable to all other images in the set. The software WSxM [151] was used for image flattening and fast fourier transformation (FFT). Depending on the quality of the lattice, the FFT shows a ring at around 0.035 nm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 17), which corresponds to the periodicity of the hexagonal lattice.
(30 nm pore diameter). Further, the radial average of this FFTs was calculated, show-

ing a distinct peak at 0.035 nm\(^{-1}\) for lattices of high quality. To this peak a modified
Lorentzian function (equation 8) was fitted to obtain the peak height with respect
to the background noise (\(S_0\)), using Igor Pro (WaveMetrics). The height values were
averaged for each condition, and the resulting highest value was considered to be
an optimal lattice and lower values were normalized with respect to it.

2.5 Gold particle quantification

To quantify the density of gold nanoparticles on the clathrin lattice, 14 to 19 200 nm\(\times\)200 nm areas of electron micrographs with different CLC to particle ratios were
selected. The number of gold particles in these areas was determined and an average
density of the particle coverage for an area of 1 µm was estimated. These numbers were compared to the estimated number of triskelions inside a lattice as described in section 4.6.
All suspended microchannel resonator experiments were conducted in the group of Dr. Thomas Burg, together with Dr. Yu Wang.

3.1 Short Introduction to SMR

The SMR setup shows similarities to the AFM setup and consists of a cantilever which deflection is measured by a laser system. Unlike in AFM, these cantilevers are hollow and can be used to weigh single nanoparticles, bacteria and sub-monolayers with very high resolution (fg regime) [152]. While particles pass through or attach to the cantilever’s surface, they introduce a change in mass inside the micro-channel [152, 153, 154], which results in a change of the cantilever’s resonance frequency according to equation 14 (Fig. 18):

\[
f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m^* + \alpha \Delta m}},
\]

with \( k \) representing the spring constant of the cantilever, \( m^* \) being the effective mass, \( \Delta m \) the added mass and \( \alpha \) is a numerical constant (depending on the localization of \( \Delta m \)).

With respect to biological assembly processes, it was shown by Modena et al. and Wang et al. that SMR can be used to study the formation of amyloid fibrils [136, 155].

3.2 Experimental Procedure

To analyze the kinetics of the clathrin lattice assembly, as well as to study the amount of bound molecules after the self-assembly, a SMR setup with a channel size of 3 \( \mu \text{m} \times 8 \mu \text{m} \times 144 \mu \text{m} \) (height, width, length) was used. For calibration, NaCl solutions with different densities were used and fitted with a linear regression, yielding the response in fg/(\( \mu \text{m}^2 \) Hz). The channels were cleaned and charged by flushing them with piranha solution (2:1 H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) / H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) until a steady signal.
was obtained. After equilibration with dH$_2$O, we subsequently injected buffer G, H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ (0.83 µM), native (0.08 µM) or CHC triskelia (0.016 µM), followed by CLC triskelia (3.8 mM), and finally NaSCN (1 M), with a flow rate of around 1 nL/s. For each step the decrease in frequency was monitored until a steady state was reached.

3.3 DATA ANALYSIS

3.3.1 Calculation of Masses and Number of Molecules

To handle the huge amount of acquired data points, the data was down-sampled 100 times to 20 points per second. The mass changes were analyzed in MATLAB by calculating the frequency shifts between the corresponding baselines (e.g. difference between H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ baseline and triskelia baseline). These frequency shifts were multiplied with the calibration value (here 0.016 fg/Hz) to calculate the change in mass per µm$^2$. The change in mass per area could now be used to calculate the number of molecules per area.

For the calculation of the number of molecules per area, the buoyancy masses ($m_b$)
of the different molecules involved had to be calculated. For this purpose the widely accepted average density for proteins \( (\rho_p) \) of 1.35 g/cm\(^3\) \([156, 157, 158]\) was used. With \( \rho_p \), the volumes of the molecules were calculated as follows:

\[
V = \frac{m_m}{\rho_p},
\]

where \( m_m \) represents the mass of a single molecule with respect to table 2. The buoyancy mass was then calculated by multiplying the molecule’s volume with the density of the buffer \( (\rho_{\text{liquid}}) \), see equation 16.

\[
m_b = V \times \rho_{\text{liquid}}
\]

Finally, the number of molecules per area was calculated by dividing the measured mass per area by the calculated buoyancy mass per molecule.

### 3.3.2 Determination of Time Constants

To access the time scales of clathrin assembly, the corresponding part of the curve was fitted with an double exponential function (equation 17) using Igor Pro.

\[
f(x) = y_0 + A_1 \exp \left[ \frac{-(x - x_0)}{\tau_1} \right] + A_2 \exp \left[ \frac{-(x - x_0)}{\tau_2} \right]
\]

The time constant \( \tau_2 \) was considered to represent the assembly times.
PROTEIN & SAMPLE PREPARATION

4.1 PROTEIN PURIFICATION

All protein expression and purification was performed by P. Dannhauser, H. Böning and E. Ungewickell as described in \cite{10, 11}.

Rat brain H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ without ENTH domain was expressed and purified as described by Kalthoff et al. \cite{159}. Clathrin light chain b with His-tag was created using a cDNA clone, provided by Frances Brodsky (UCL). Pig brain clathrin was purified by gel filtration \cite{160}. The purified and reassembled clathrin cages were stored in 100 mM 2-(N-morpholino) - ethanesulfonic acid (MES), 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 2 mM CaCl$_2$ and 0.02% NaN$_3$ at pH 6.4. After disassembly of the purified cages, triskelia were stored in 10 mM Tris-HCl and 0.02% NaN$_3$ at pH 7.5. CHC triskelia and CLC from pig brain were purified as described by E. & H. Ungewickel \cite{161} and by Winkler & Stanley \cite{162}. The GST-CLC and GST-axulin$^{813-910}$ were expressed and purified as described before \cite{163, 164, 165}. Hsc70 from pig brains was purified as described by Schlossman et al. \cite{80}.

4.2 CLATHRIN LATTICE ASSEMBLY ON 2D SURFACES

Several substrates were investigated for clathrin lattice assembly: carbon coated formvar on copper grids (Science Services) \cite{10}, highly ordered pyrolytic graphite (HOPG, µmash), aluminum foil (Rubin, Rossmann), polyvinyl formal (formvar, PVF, Agar Scientific), glass (Thermo Scientific), and mica (Science Services).

These surfaces were charged by exposing them to air plasma (HARRICK PLASMA, USA) at highest RF level for approximately 5 min, with exception of the electron microscopy samples on modified copper grids. These samples were only shortly charged at an air pressure of 13 Pa and a current of 13 mA (Leybold - Hereaus Combitron CM30 and a Balzers BSV 080 Evaporation control unit). The charged surfaces were incubated for 30 min on top of a drop containing 0.83 µM H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ in
25 mM HEPES, 125 mM potassium acetate, and 5 mM magnesium acetate at pH 7.2 (buffer G). After a washing step, transferring the sample to two drops of buffer G, the H$_6$–epsin$^{144–575}$ covered surfaces were transferred to a drop of buffer G containing 0.08 µM native triskelia or 0.016 µM CHC-only triskelia. Finally, the sample was washed as described before and further processed according to the experiment.

4.2.1 Lattice Stabilization, Dehydration & Rehydration

After a first fixation of the clathrin lattice with 0.1 % glutaraldehyde in buffer G, the samples were excessively rinsed with 100 mM MES, 1 mM EGTA, and 0.5 mM MgCl$_2$ at pH 6.4 (buffer A), in order to remove all acetate. Excess buffer A was aspirated and the sample was incubated with 5% uranyl acetate (Polysciences) in H$_2$O for 1 min. Finally, excess uranyl acetate was aspirated using a moist filter paper and the sample was dried in air for several minutes, or under nitrogen flow.

In order to rehydrate the lattice, the sample was transferred to two drops of buffer A and incubated in an additional drop of buffer A for 2 min. Subsequently, the lattice was fixed once more with 0.1 % glutaraldehyde.

To modify the lattice with gold particles (described below, section 4.4.1), an extended incubation with buffer A was necessary to ensure complete uranyl acetate removal, and the fixation with 0.1 % glutaraldehyde was neglected.

4.3 Clathrin Lattice Disassembly

Disassembly experiments were performed by P. Dannhauser.

In order to remove the clathrin lattice completely from the surface, the sample was incubated with 1 M NaSCN for 5 min. The surface was washed by transferring the sample subsequently to three droplets of buffer G. On the second drop, the sample was incubated for approximately 1 min.

To reassemble the lattice onto the uncoated surface, it was essential to excessively rinse it by transferring the sample to three droplets of buffer G. Finally, the cleaned surface could be incubated with 0.08 µM clathrin and 1 mg/ml BSA to reassemble the lattice.
The functionalization of clathrin lattices and imaging by electron microscopy was performed by P. Dannhauser und H. Böhning [11].

4.4.1 Functionalization with Gold Nanoparticles

Histidine tagged CLC b, in different concentrations (0.24 µM, 0.59 µM, 1.78 µM and 3.28 µM), were incubated with 0.078 µM of 5 nm gold nanoparticles (BBI-solutions, Cardiff, UK) in PBS for 5 min on ice. In order to remove excess CLC, the resulting constructs were diluted in 945 µl PBS with 2 mg/ml BSA and centrifuged at 90720 g (Optima TL100 ultracentrifuge, Beckman Coulter, Germany) for 15 min at 4 °C. After a second centrifugation step, the pellet was resuspended in 60 µl PBS containing 1 mg/ml BSA.

CHC lattices were fixed with 0.1 % glutaraldehyde in buffer G (10 min) and, subsequently quenched using 10 mM NH₄SO₄ and 0.1 % BSA in buffer G. The fixed lattices were incubated with the CLC coupled gold particles in buffer G, containing 10 mM NH₄SO₄ and 0.1 % BSA for 30 min, and subsequently processed for electron microscopy.

4.4.2 Functionalization with J-Domain

Using 1.25 M guanidinium hydrochloride (GndHCl), 42 mM sodium phosphate, 2 mM DTT and 2 mM EDTA at pH 7.0, GST-CLC and GST-auxilin813−910 homodimers were dissociated. GST-auxilin813−910 and GST-CLC monomers were mixed in a 2:1 ratio and the GndHCl was exchanged with PBS and 1 mM DTT using a PD10 desalting column. A Superdex 200 (GE Life Science) gel filtration column was used to enrich the GST-CLC/GST-auxilin813−910 heterodimers.

The auxilin813−910 modified CHC lattices were incubated with 1 µM Hsc70, 2 mM ATP, 5 mM creatine phosphate, 5 u/ml creatine phosphokinase and 1 mg/ml BSA in buffer G, for 10 min at 25°C. The reaction was stopped by transferring the sample onto a droplet containing 3 % glutaraldehyde in buffer G. Subsequently, the sample was further processed for electron microscopy.
4.5 CLATHRIN CAGE ADSORPTION

Clathrin cages were adsorbed on HOPG (pre-treated with air plasma for approximately 5 min). 0.05 mg/ml cages in buffer A were incubated on the surface for 1 min, washed by transferring the sample to two drops of buffer A and fixed with 0.2 % glutaraldehyde in buffer A for 10 min. Finally, the sample was washed once more by subsequently transferring it to two drops of buffer A.

4.6 ESTIMATION OF TRISKELIA PER µm²

In order to estimate the coverage efficiency for the clathrin lattice functionalization with gold particles as well as to estimate the assembly efficiency in the SMR experiments, the number of lattice ribs and triskelia per µm² was calculated. Since the flat-to-flat distance in our hexagons is known (30 nm), equation 18 was used for this purpose:

\[ A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} d^2, \]  

with \( A \) as surface area and \( d \) being the flat-to-flat distance. Considering that a hexagon has 6 sites (here 6 ribs) and each rib is composed of 2 hexagons, each hexagon provides 3 ribs to the overall structure of the lattice, and hence:

\[ \frac{3}{A} = \frac{6}{\sqrt{3} d^2}, \]  

which results in 3849 ribs / µm².

To calculate the number of triskelia per µm² we consider that 1 triskelion contributed to 3 ribs and 1 rib consists of 2 triskelia. Hence, \( \frac{1}{3} \times 2 = \frac{2}{3} \) triskelia are necessary to build up one rib. Therefore:

\[ \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{A} = \frac{2}{A} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} d^2, \]  

resulting in 2566 triskelia / µm².
Part III

RESULTS
LATTICE ASSEMBLY

In order to study biological properties and mechanisms of clathrin protein networks in a minimal system, Philip Dannhauser and Ernst Ungewickell designed a protocol to produce a flat lattice on 2D substrates \[10, 76\]. Apart from their use for fundamental studies, these lattices inspired new directions for nanotechnological utilization \[11\].

1.1 ASSEMBLY OF CLATHRIN LATTICES

The formation of clathrin lattices requires a charged surface, which can generally be achieved by treating the desired surface with air plasma. The clathrin binding motifs of epsin (H\(_6\) – epsin\(^{144-575}\)) are adsorbed onto this surface, which enables self-assembly of a clathrin network upon addition of purified triskelia (Fig. 19).

1.2 CLATHRIN ASSEMBLY CONCENTRATIONS

As shown by Pearse in 1981, a minimum concentration of 0.05 mg/ml is needed for the self-assembly of clathrin baskets to take place \[56\]. In addition, a regulating effect of the CLC on clathrin cage assembly has been demonstrated \[161, 166\]. In order to study the effect of different triskelia concentrations (native and CHC-only), electron micrographs of lattices assembled with varying concentrations were recorded by P. Dannhauser (Fig. 20). Images with comparable contrast were analyzed by extracting the radial averages of their Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) (see Fig. 21). A Lorentzian function was fitted to the peak at 0.035 nm\(^{-1}\) to determine the height of the peak with respect to the background noise. The peak represents the periodicity of the clathrin lattice and, therefore, it is more pronounced for high quality lattices. The normalized height was considered as relative quality of the lattice (Fig.
Figure 19: Assembly scheme of the clathrin lattice. a) Scheme of the assembly protocol. b) Sketch of the lattice cross-section [11]. c) AFM image of the clathrin lattice in air, inlay: FFT of the image representing the 30 nm pores, scale bar 200 nm.

Figure 20: Electron microscopy images of native lattices assembled from different triskelia concentrations, scale bar 200 nm.
1.2 Clathrin Assembly Concentrations

Figure 21: Electron micrographs with similar contrast were converted by FFT. From the resulting FFT images the radial average was calculated, which reveals a peak near $0.035 \text{ nm}^{-1}$, representing the lattice periodicity. Scale bar electron micrograph 200 nm, FFT image $0.08 \text{ nm}^{-1}$.

This analysis revealed that a minimum concentration slightly above $0.01 \text{ mg/ml}$ is essential in order to form a full clathrin lattice with native triskelia (CHC + CLC). When using higher concentrations, only a slight increase in lattice quality was observed. Interestingly, when triskelia lacking CLC were used, a maximum lattice quality was reached at a concentration of $0.01 \text{ mg/ml}$. In this case an increase in the triskelia concentration resulted in a drop in lattice quality, supporting the previously shown regulating role of CLC in clathrin cage assembly [161, 166].
1.3 Clathrin Assembly Kinetics

The next step was to study the kinetics of the lattice assembly. For this purpose electron micrographs of the lattice state (native and CHC-only) for different time points were recorded (Fig. 23). The data analysis was analogous to that of the concentration dependency. In order to be able to gain the best possible CHC lattice, the previously determined optimum concentration of 0.01 mg/ml CHC triskelia was used for the assembly kinetics investigations.

Figure 24 shows that a native lattice requires approximately 10 min to be fully assembled. After that time, only minor increases in lattice quality can be observed. The self-assembly of the CHC lattice appears to be slightly slower. Furthermore, the lattice quality remains slightly inferior to the one observed for native lattices.

In order to not only investigate static points in time but to follow the clathrin lattice assembly dynamically, a suspended microchannel resonator was used to temporally follow the assembly kinetics. Hereby, the change in effective mass ($m_{\text{eff}}$) of the resonator can be tracked by monitoring its resonance frequency (additional mass causes a reduction of the frequency, see methods 3.1) \cite{152, 153, 154}. Figure 25 shows a usual recording from these experiments. In the beginning the baseline at 0 Hz represents the resonance frequency (or effective mass) of the hollow can-
tilever when filled with buffer G. Upon addition of H₆ – epsin₁₄₄–₅₇₅, the frequency slowly drops by 30 Hz and, hence, represents an increase in $m_{\text{eff}}$ of 0.5 fg/µm² (with 0.016 fg/Hz). Under consideration of H₆ – epsin₁₄₄–₅₇₅’s buoyancy mass (methods 3.3.1), this corresponds to a coverage of the cantilever surface with approximately 8800 H₆ – epsin₁₄₄–₅₇₅ molecules/µm². Addition of native triskelia reduces the frequency for another 50 Hz (additional $m_{\text{eff}}$ increase of 0.8 fg/µm²).

Averaging four of these experiments revealed a coverage of 760 native triskelion molecules/µm² ($m_{\text{eff}} = 0.61$ fg/µm²), suggesting that approximately 30% of the resonator surface is covered with a clathrin lattice, compared to the theoretical value of 2566 molecules/µm² for a complete lattice (see methods 4.6).

In order to obtain the time needed for the triskelia to self-assemble into clathrin lattices, a double exponential function was fitted to the curve of frequency reduction upon triskelion addition (Fig. 26 a). The measured time constants for lattice self-assembly correlate very well with the kinetics observed in the electron microscopy analysis (Fig. 24). For the native lattice, a time constant of 20 min ± 13 min (SEM) was determined, and investigating the assembly of CHC-only lattices revealed a time constant of 31 min ± 6 min (SEM).

Interestingly, a single exponential fit was insufficient to successfully fit the curve of the frequency decay during triskelion adhesion and clathrin assembly (Fig. 26), and
Figure 25: SMR experiments enable to follow the assembly kinetics by monitoring the decrease in resonance frequency due to changes in the effective mass ($m_{\text{eff}}$) of the resonator. The experiments were conducted in the group of Dr. Thomas Burg, together with Dr. Yu Wang.

Figure 26: Fitting of the clathrin assembly curves. a) Double exponential fit follows the recorded data very well. b) Whereas, a single exponential fit is unable to trace the data.
raised suspicion for a two step process. Unfortunately, a single exponential fit is also insufficient to fit the curve obtained during the adhesion of H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ to the resonator’s surface. Nonetheless, analyzing the trend of both curves, ignoring the first steep part, reveals a clear discrepancy between them (Fig. 27). While the shape of the frequency shift curve during H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ adhesion resembles closer to an exponential function, the clathrin assembly shows a different behavior between 100 s and around 400 s. This irregularity might be due to a mixture of adhesion, detachment and reorganization processes and, therefore, might indicate a two-step assembly process (first adhesion to the surface and, subsequently, a phase to organize the lattice).

Figure 27: Comparison of a clathrin assembly curve to an epsin curve in the SMR experiments. The clathrin curve diverges drastically from a normal exponential curve and might therefore reveal a two step assembly mechanism.
Clathrin lattices are very convenient as minimal system to study some of the remaining fundamental biological questions in its field. To complement the already existing electron micrographs, the first objective was to establish high resolution AFM images. Finally the mechanical properties of the clathrin lattice were studied.

2.1 Variations in the Pucker Angle

The AFM image quality was highly dependent on the imaging force (Fig. 28). The image obtained, while scanning the lattice at high force (in the nN range), displayed a well visible, albeit highly deformed hexagonal lattice. In contrast, scanning at low force (<100 pN) resulted in an image depicting a more natural lattice structure; however, its features appeared not well defined, due to the soft and flexible structure itself.

These results were insufficient for a close study of the hexagonal pore structure and the triskelion orientation within it. Therefore, the following processing had to be employed. To avoid deformations of the clathrin’s native state the low scanning force images were used as a starting point. In order to increase the level of details and visible features of the hexagonal pore, the obtained 500 nm x 500 nm images of the lattice were cropped in a way that each fragment contains a single hexagon in the middle, surrounded by six adjacent hexagons. These image fragments were superimposed in iterative steps by shifting and rotating the individual fragments, in order to obtain the least square error between each image and the template (Fig. 29 a). The resulting averaged image displays a hexagonal pore with a height of approximately 12 nm. One of the most notable features were the clearly distinguishable vertices, which represent the hubs of the integrated triskelia. Between two vertices a dent of approximately 1 nm in depth could be measured, which allowed for calculations of the angle between the vertices and their legs, the so called pucker angle (Ψ) (Fig. 30). This angle, due to its fixed structure in the clathrin cage, as well as in single
Figure 28: a) Imaging the clathrin lattice at high scanning forces (nN regime) results in a more pronounced, however, highly deformed structure. The deformation at lower forces (<100 pN) is reduced but the hexagonal structures are not as clear, scale bar 100 nm. b) Height histograms of the lattices at different scanning force.
Figure 29: a) Superposition of AFM image fragments depicting a hexagonal pore: (0) raw image (0 iterations), (1) averaged image after 1 iteration, (15) final image after 15 iterations, scale bar 15 nm. b) & c) Depicting the height profiles as indicated in the final image. b) shows the profile across the pore, indicating a lattice height of 12 nm. The height profile in c) illustrates the dent between two vertices with a depth of 1 nm [10].
triskelia in solution, is generally thought to be invariant [54]. However, there are also suggestions that the pucker angle might change in a flat lattice [55]. Using a reconstruction of the clathrin cage from cryo-electron microscopy (PDB: 3IYV [54]) and triskelia reconstructions from X-ray diffraction data (PDB: 3LVG [167]), a pucker angle of around 70° was determined in these structures. A pucker angle of 70° however, would result in a dent between the vertices of approximately 3 nm, which is inconsistent with the findings from the averaged lattice pore. To accommodate a height difference of 1 nm between two vertices, the pucker angle needs to be around 83° (Fig. 30). This clearly indicates a certain flexibility of the pucker angle, which

![Diagram of two triskelia in the lattice with indication of the dent between the vertices and the pucker angle between vertex and leg.](image)

most probably plays a role in the ability of clathrin to assemble into both, 2D flat lattices, and 3D vesicle coats on the membrane.

2.2 INFLUENCE OF THE CLATHRIN LIGHT CHAINS ON LATTICE MECHANICS

The roles of the CLC in clathrin networks are still highly debated. As mentioned above, the CLC is associated with proper clathrin lattice assembly (i.e. decrease in CHC-only lattice quality at high triskelia concentrations, Fig. 22) [161, 166]. Nonetheless, it has been shown that endocytosis and vesicle coat formation can still occur after CLC depletion [168, 169]. It is even considered that the role of CLC is rather related to the communication with cytoplasmic structures than with a direct effect on the clathrin array [54]. However, considering that CLC depletion does have an effect on the pinwheel-like structure of the triskelia [170], in this work a role of the CLC in the lattice mechanics was assumed.

In order to investigate this, native lattices (CHC + CLC) as well as lattices consisting of solely clathrin heavy chains were created. Already during the process of imaging the CHC-only lattice using AFM, a difference in comparison to the native
lattice was observed. While it was possible to obtain relatively clear images of the native clathrin network at low imaging force, the CHC-only lattice exhibited a movement in scanning direction (Fig. 31 a). This deformation was a first indication for

Figure 31: Influence of the CLC on the lattice mechanics. a) AFM image of a “smeared out” CHC-only lattice in liquid, indicating a more flexible lattice, scale bar 100nm. b) Height recalculation of force maps. The CHC-only lattice can not be pushed down, indicating a stabilizing role for the CLC, which allows the lattice to stand on its terminal domains [10].

a higher lattice flexibility. To investigate this hypothesis in more detail, force maps of 128x128 force curves with a trigger point of >1 nN were recorded. Topographical height images for imaging forces between 0 pN and 1200 pN were reconstructed from the force maps. These images reveal a native lattice height of around 12 nm, which was in good agreement with the lattice height obtained from the averaged pore. With increasing force, the height of the lattice drops drastically to around 6 nm (Fig. 31 b). In contrast, the CHC-only lattice shows already at 0 pN imaging force a height of around 5 nm, and is indeformable with increasing force, i.e. it is impossible to push the lattice closer to the substrate. This suggests that the CHC-only lattice is unstable and collapses, indicating a clear stabilizing influence of the CLC on the lattice, allowing it to stand on its terminal domains.

2.2.1 Mechanics of Clathrin Cages

In subsequent experiments, P. Dannhauser & E. Ungewickell could show that CLC-lacking clathrin is unable to bud vesicles at low temperatures [10]. Therefore, another aim of this work was to investigate CLC-lacking clathrin cages. To examine
the mechanical properties of native and CHC-only cages, several force curves for each subset of cages (heights between 60 nm and 70 nm) were recorded (Tab. 3). While there was no significant difference in the effective spring constant ($k_{\text{eff}}$) between native and CHC-only cages, the difference between trace and retrace in native clathrin cages was more pronounced than in CHC-only cages (Fig. 32 a & b).

Insignificant differences in $k_{\text{eff}}$ when indenting the cages with high- ($2 \mu m / s$) and low- speed ($0.9 \mu m / s$) (Tab. 3) ensure that the divergence between trace and retrace
are not due to viscous effects. To further quantify these deviations, the area between the trace and retrace curves was calculated, as indicated in figure 32 a), which indeed shows a higher divergence for native cages (Fig. 32 d). This might indicate an increased breakage in crosslinking points, when indenting CLC-associated native cages.
CLATHRIN LATTICES FOR BIO-NANOTECHNOLOGY

Considering clathrin’s highly ordered structure as well as its feature to span vast surface areas, the clathrin network represents a promising tool for bionanotechnological designs. In this work the first steps to introduce clathrin to this developing field were made.

3.1 FORMATION OF CLATHRIN LATTICES ON VARIOUS MATERIALS

In order for the clathrin lattice to be suitable for a variety of applications, it has to have the ability to assemble on different materials. In figure 33 a selection of surface materials that were investigated for clathrin assembly (including graphene, silicon, polymers, glass and metals) are shown. The clathrin lattice is able to successfully self-assemble on all tested surfaces, with the exception of mica, which seemed to [11].
rather favor cage formation on slightly curved parts of the surface, than formation of flat lattices.

3.2 Lattice formation on curved surfaces

Imaging of the clathrin lattice on graphene-coated electron microscopy grids, revealed that native clathrin networks not only assemble on convex curved surfaces, but also on slightly concave parts (Fig. 34 a). In contrast, the assembly on areas with concave curvature appeared to be challenging for CHC-only triskelia. To investigate this in more detail, concave areas from AFM scans on which the CHC-only lattice was unable to assemble were examined. Each profile across these located areas was smoothed and fitted with a parabola (Fig. 34 b). The calculated radius of curvature around the parabolas’ minimum shows clearly that at a radius of curvature of ap-
approximately 400 nm the CLC-lacking clathrin networks was unable to form (Fig. 34 c). Whereas, the native clathrin was found to be able to assemble arrays at concave surface areas with a similar radius of curvature.

3.3 DISASSEMBLY OF CLATHRIN LATTICES

To show that the lattice can be dynamically changed, and to make it suitable for cyclic applications, such as batch reactors, easy ways to uncoat the clathrin covered surfaces were investigated. A very straightforward method to disassemble the lattice is incubation with sodium thiocyanate (NaSCN). This chaotrophic salt is able to remove the assembled lattice; however, the surface bound H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ remains intact. Therefore, it is possible to immediately assemble a new lattice upon addition of fresh triskelia (Fig. 35 a). The disassembly of the clathrin network using NaSCN could also be observed via the SMR measurements (Fig. 35 b). Here, injection of 1 M NaSCN leads to a reduced effective mass due to clathrin unbinding and, hence, the frequency returns to the value measured after H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ binding. However, measuring subsequent binding of fresh triskelia was unsuccessful so far.

3.4 STABILIZATION & REHYDRATION OF CLATHRIN LATTICES

Freshly prepared clathrin lattices are only stable for tens of minutes at room temperature, if not further modified. Fixation with glutaraldehyde (0.1 %) can extend the stability up to weeks in solution. Here, we show that these glutaraldehyde fixed lattices can be further stabilized using 5 % uranyl acetate (UA). This enables the dehydration of the clathrin arrays and, therefore, makes them suitable for storage over several months. The dried clathrin lattices can be rehydrated using acetate free buffer (Fig. 36 a). As shown in figure 36 b, the lattice returns to its native state, illustrated by its height recovery after rehydration. Upon rehydration the lattice can be again stabilized using UA and it is possible to dehydrate it for a second time. However, the lattice appears to lose some stability, indicated by its reduced height, compared to the first dehydration step.
Figure 35: a) Electron micrographs of a clathrin lattice treated with NaSCN and reassembled with new triskelia, scale bar 200 nm. b) SMR measurement of clathrin assembly and a subsequent disassembly with NaSCN. Obtained in the group of Dr. Thomas Burg, together with Dr. Yu Wang.
Figure 36: a) AFM images of uranyl acetate stabilized and dried lattices as well as a rehydrated lattice, scale bar 200 nm. b) Lattice height variations of a freshly prepared and hydrated lattice, stabilized and dried, then rehydrated again, and finally dried and stabilized for a second time [11].
3.4.1 Native Stability of the Lattice

Even without UA stabilization, the clathrin lattice has a tremendous stability itself. Forces above 10 nN are necessary to plastically deform the network (Fig. 37 a). Applying lower forces than that causes an elastic deformation and the clathrin lattice returns to its original height after removing the load (Fig. 37 b).

3.5 Functionalization of Clathrin Lattices

In order to make efficient use of the clathrin lattice for practical applications, one goal was to investigate the functionalization of these networks with inorganic parti-
3.5 Functionalization of Clathrin Lattices

3.5.1 Inorganic Gold Nano-Particles

Since the coverage of clathrin cages with gold nano-particles has already been shown [145, 146], the first logical approach was, to arrange colloidal gold particles on the clathrin lattice. For this purpose, gold particles were bound to the CLC via histidine residues. The binding of CLC to the gold particles is unspecific and, therefore, different CLC per particle ratios were tested to determine the most efficient ratio to cover CHC lattices (Fig. 38 & Fig. 39). An obvious drop in binding efficiency can be observed at higher ratios and the optimal ratio to cover the lattice with gold particles was found to be 3 CLC per particle (Fig. 39). At this ratio, 1525 gold particles bind per µm², which corresponds to a coverage of 0.4 particles per lattice rib. Assuming a coverage of two CLC per rib in the lattice [54, 167], this results in a decoration efficiency of around 20%.

Furthermore, it is possible to cover the clathrin lattice with gold particles even after a dehydration and rehydration cycle. In this case, as expected, it is essential to stabilize the lattice with 5% UA before dehydration.
3.5 Functionalization of Clathrin Lattices

The number of gold particles per µm² lattice depends on the CLC to gold particle ratio. The CHC lattice can still be functionalized after stabilization and rehydration [11].

3.5.2 Active Biomolecules (Auxilin’s J-Domain)

The final aim was to functionalize the clathrin lattice with active biomolecules. A self-destructive system was designed by P. Dannhauser in which auxilin’s J-domain was crosslinked to the CLC via GST-GST (glutathione-S-transferase) heterodimerization (Fig. 40). Biologically, the J-domain’s function is the recruitment and activation of Hsc70 protein, which disassembles the vesicle coats [82, 83]. Hence, after functionalization of the CHC-only lattice, with J-domain tagged CLC, the assembled network was able to self-destruct upon addition of Hsc70 and ATP (Fig. 41 a). The relative efficiency of the lattice disassembly was quantified by the peak height of
Figure 41: a) Electron micrographs of clathrin lattice disassembly via J-domain recruited and activated Hsc70, scale bar 200 nm. b) Quantification of Hsc70 activity based on the amount of J-domains immobilized on the clathrin lattice. The control represents a 100% modified lattice in absence of Hsc70.
the FFT’s radial average (Fig. 41 b), as discussed before (quantification of assembly concentrations and kinetics, section 1.2). Here we could show that the efficiency indeed correlates with the amount of functionalized CLC used (percentage modified triskelia mixed with native triskelia). The effectiveness of the Hsc70 recruitment and activation is comparable, if not slightly higher, compared to the effectiveness of full auxilin in solution.

The remaining question of how many biomolecules bind to the CHC-only lattice via the modified CLC was addressed by SMR. In a first attempt, a CHC lattice was assembled inside the resonator and native CLC was added subsequently (Fig. 42). Preliminary results show that approximately 2900 CLC/µm² bind to 900 CHC triskelia.
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**Figure 42:** SMR experiment monitoring a CHC lattice assembly with subsequent addition of CLC. A small drop occurs when CLC are added which can be used to quantify how many CLC bind to the lattice (1.1 CLC / triskelion). Performed in the group of Dr. Thomas Burg, together with Dr. Yu Wang.

3 CLC / µm² (3 CLC / triskelion).
Part IV

DISCUSSION
LATTICE ASSEMBLY

Since the early 1960s scientists extensively studied clathrin’s structure and mechanisms of function [44, 46, 50]. The experimental research was mainly focusing on the icosahedral clathrin cage or its monomers, the triskelia [53]. Although a flat clathrin lattice on the inner plasma membrane of cells was discovered already in 1980 [59], it has not been further investigated, with exception for few theoretical studies [61, 94]. This work represents a first detailed study of flat clathrin lattices, including the investigation of its biological properties and explores its potential for the application in nanotechnological designs.

1.1 Clathrin Assembly Concentrations and Kinetics

In 2012 P. Dannhauser and E. Ungewickell showed that ENTH domain missing epsin (H₆−epsin₁₄₄−₅₇₅) can be used to form a flat clathrin lattice on liposomes [76] and extended this protocol to form flat lattices on negatively charged carbon-coated electron microscopy grids [10]. Using this artificial system, triskelion concentration dependencies and kinetics for the self-assembly of hexagonal clathrin lattices were studied in this work. Fully formed lattices, using native (CHC + CLC) triskelia, could already be observed at a concentration of approximately 0.01 mg/ml, which is lower than the previously reported minimum concentration of 0.05 mg/ml for clathrin cage assembly [56]. With increasing triskelia concentrations only minor improvements in the clathrin lattice quality were observable. Fully formed lattices could also be observed using CHC-only triskelia at the same concentration (0.01 mg/ml). With increasing concentrations, however, the relative CHC-only lattice quality drops drastically. These findings support the well known inhibitory effect of the CLC in clathrin cage assembly under physiological conditions, which most probably allows the adaptor proteins to regulate the self-assembly process of clathrin [161, 166]. The rapid drop
in the quality of the CHC-only lattice might be due to triskelia aggregation, which has been known to occur in CLC lacking clathrin assemblies [170]. Therefore it was shown that proper organization between the triskelia inside the clathrin lattice is highly dependent on their bound CLC.

Both, the analysis of the EM time series images and the SMR measurements, show comparable kinetics. A time of approximately 10 min to 20 min is sufficient for the native clathrin lattice to assemble, whereas the CHC-only lattice requires slightly more time to do so (20 min to 30 min). This might seem counter-intuitive at first, keeping in mind the inhibitory effect of the CLC on clathrin assembly. The low concentration used to assemble the CHC-only lattices, however, as well as their overall lower assembly quality, might account for their slower assembly.

Furthermore, the SMR experiments monitoring the self-assembly of clathrin lattices suggest a two-step process for the formation of the lattice. The first hint towards this two-step process was the need for a double exponential function to fit the recorded data sufficiently during clathrin assembly (Fig. 26). Unfortunately, the necessity for a double exponential fit does not conclusively prove that the clathrin assembly is a two-step process because the same necessity can also be observed for the adsorption of H$_6$ - epsin$^{144-575}$. The insufficiency of a single exponential function to fit those curves might be due to a diffusion limited step. By considering the resonance frequency decay over time in the first seconds of the adhesion process, an adsorption time for single triskelia was estimated to be in the microseconds regime. Considering a diffusion coefficient of 9*$10^{-8}$ cm$^2$/s for triskelia [171], a much longer diffusion time in the regime of tens of milliseconds was calculated for the 3 $\mu$m high SMR channel. The indicated diffusion limited adhesion process might explain the necessity for a double exponential fit to successfully follow the H$_6$ - epsin$^{144-575}$ and triskelia recordings in the SMR experiments.

Nonetheless, the high irregularity in the observed trend for the clathrin lattice assembly during the SMR experiments, compared to the H$_6$ - epsin$^{144-575}$ binding (Fig. 27), correlates well with the previously stated two-step process hypothesis. Namely, in a first step, the triskelia bind to the surface in a random manner, during a rather fast process (seconds regime). In a second phase, the triskelia start to organize themselves into the 2D lattice (Fig. 43 a). Thereby, certain triskelia can detach to assure a proper structure as well as further triskelia can be recruited, in order to fill in gaps.

In contrast, a one-step process in which the triskelia immediately assemble into the flawless lattice is highly unlikely. This notion is further supported by the time series
of electron micrographs, which clearly shows random binding of triskelia during the first minute (Fig. 43 b). A two-step process, however, raises the question of how interchangeable or strong the binding between $H_6 – \text{epsin}^{144–575}$ and the triskelia is, in order to allow their reorganization. While the epsin binding motifs were studied extensively [172, 173], the actual binding affinity is still unknown. However, in this work it was observed that for a stable lattice in solution, either a fixation with glutaraldehyde was necessary, or an excess of triskelia in solution, allowing for constant triskelia exchange. This speaks for a rather interchangeable connection between $H_6 – \text{epsin}^{144–575}$ and the triskelia in the lattice.

In addition to the kinetics studies of clathrin lattice assembly, the SMR experiments allow for estimations of the number of molecules bound to the surface of the hollow cantilever. An average of 8800 adsorbed $H_6 – \text{epsin}^{144–575}$ molecules per $\mu m^2$ were calculated and only 760 native triskelia per $\mu m^2$ that bind to them. A higher number of $H_6 – \text{epsin}^{144–575}$ molecules compared to the number of triskelia is not surprising considering that the $H_6 – \text{epsin}^{144–575}$ fragment is only a peptide of 430 amino acids and, therefore, extremely small. The prediction that around 2500 native triskelia are needed to assemble a flawless lattice onto a surface of 1 $\mu m^2$, leads to the estimation that approximately 30% of the cantilever’s surface is covered with a clathrin lattice.

![Figure 43: a) Hypothesis that triskelia are first randomly adsorbed to the surface and later reorient into a clathrin lattice. b) Electron micrograph of randomly bound triskelia after 1 min incubation, scale bar 200 nm.](image-url)
This ratio seems to be a fair estimation, considering fabrication defects or artifacts which might occur on the cantilever’s surface, as well as remains from prior experiments, which could not be removed by cleaning with piranha-solution. Nonetheless, it might be of interest to improve the assembly-efficiency inside the resonators in near future.
2.1 Variations in the Pucker Angle

To investigate the structure and properties of the clathrin lattice in more detail, multiple cropped images of AFM scans containing hexagonal pores were averaged. This averaging resulted in a highly featured image of the hexagonal pore (Fig. 29), later referred to as the “averaged pore”. The topographical height image of this pore revealed a height of approximately 12 nm from the base to the vertices. Unsurprisingly, this diverges from the 24 nm height shown by Musacchio et al. [55] for triskelia in cages. The divergence is due to the fact that the legs of the triskelia need to bend inwards to form the cage and, furthermore, the degree of bending needs to change to scale the dimensions of the cage, which are dictated by the cargo size [174]. Two models exist which would allow this bending. One states that the pucker angle ($\Psi$), the angle between leg and vertex, is flexible. The second one relies on the variation of CHC contacts, which allow the change of the angle between the crossing legs of neighboring triskelia (Fig. 44) [54]. By analyzing structures of different-sized clathrin cages, Fotin et al. showed a constant $\Psi$ and suggested an invariable pucker angle [54]. Already five years before Fotin’s work, Musacchio et al. proposed a fixed pucker angle for cage-forming triskelia and suggested the knees and distal legs to be the variable parts. Nonetheless, they assumed that in large cages and flat lattices the pucker angle might decrease [55]. The fact that the vertices are clearly visible features of the averaged pore structure enabled a detailed investigation of the pucker angle in flat clathrin lattices. By measuring $\Psi$ in previously published structures,
obtained by cryo-electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction [54, 167], allowed to calculate the depth of the dent between two vertices (Fig. 30). For triskelia in solution and in average sized cages a $\Psi$ of approximately $70^\circ$ can be measured, which results in a dent of 3 nm. This is three times deeper than the depth measured in the averaged pore (1 nm), which correlates to a $\Psi$ difference between cages and flat lattices of around $13^\circ$. This result shows that the pucker angle indeed provides a certain flexibility and, furthermore, suggests that the lattice might not be the most favorable state of the clathrin network, considering that $\Psi$ is invariant in single triskelia and naturally-sized cages. This notion is supported by the findings of P. Dannhauser, who showed that clathrin self-assembles much faster and is more stable on 100 nm polystyrene beads, compared to the assembly on flat surfaces [10]. This indicates that a $\Psi$ of $70^\circ$ corresponds to the most stable structure conformation. The need for energy in order to change the angle would, therefore, explain a more unfavorable state. The fact that the clathrin lattice is more unstable as compared to the clathrin cage, additionally supports the hypothesis by Kirchhausen, that the lattice represents a triskelion reservoir. This reservoir disassembles on its edges and, therefore, induces a high local concentration of triskelia [62]. Furthermore, considering that variations in the pucker angle induce less stable assemblies, and $\Psi$ might also change in very large clathrin cages [55], one might assume that this could be one of the reasons why clathrin cages are found only in a quite limited size range of 50 nm to 100 nm [50].

2.2 INFLUENCE OF CLATHRIN LIGHT CHAINS ON LATTICE MECHANICS

As mentioned briefly in the results section, the role and function of the CLC is still highly debated and of major interest in the clathrin field. It was shown that vesicle formation is still possible upon CLC depletion [168, 169], with the exception of the uptake of G-protein-coupled receptors, which was affected by the knockdown of CLC via siRNA [175]. Furthermore, Fotin et al. showed that the absence of CLC has no effect on the clathrin cage design and, therefore, suggested a more pronounced role of the CLC in the communication with cytoplasmic structures, based on the CLC location [54].

In this work we shed light onto the question whether CLC play a role in the mechanical properties of the flat clathrin lattices. This question was raised on one hand by the low-scanning force AFM images of CHC-only lattices, which seemed to show a
more flexible network, and on the other hand by various examples from the literature, which indicate a role of CLC in clathrin mechanics. For instance, by analyzing X-ray diffraction data from CLC mutants, Wilbur et al. have shown that CLC have an effect on the triskelion’s knee. This effect in return might help in the regulation of clathrin cage assembly by facilitating conformational changes in the CHC [167]. Other studies state that CLC are involved in stabilizing the CHC trimerization [176, 177, 178] and it was shown that CLC ensure the proper structure of the triskelia themselves [170].

The studies in this work concerning native as well as CHC-only lattice mechanics on flat solid surfaces, revealed that the native lattice has a natural height of around 12 nm, which is comparable to the previously measured height from the averaged pore image. This height is rapidly reduced when load is applied onto the lattice, to a value of around 6 nm. In contrast, the height of the CHC-only lattice at low force is comparable to the native lattice height under heavy load, and is not further compressible. This finding supports the hypothesis that clathrin-bound CLC are involved in the overall mechanics of the lattice. A conceivable mechanism, which can explain the experimental outcome, would be that CLC stabilize the knees and hubs inside the clathrin array and, thereby, enable the lattice to “stay” on the terminal domains of its triskelia. Whereas, a not-stabilized CHC-only lattice collapses already in the absence of any load (Fig. 45).

![Figure 45: Left: CHC + CLC (red) lattice; right: CHC-only lattice. CLC have a stabilizing effect on clathrin lattices. A lack of CLC causes the lattice to collapse [10].](Image)
2.2 INFLUENCE OF CLATHRIN LIGHT CHAINS ON LATTICE MECHANICS

2.2.1 Mechanics of Clathrin Cages

Intrigued by these findings, P. Dannhauser & E. Ungewickell additionally showed that CLC-lacking clathrin is unable to form vesicles from more rigid liposome membranes at 15°C [10]. This is comparable to findings from 2012, where a similar mechanism was observed for Sec13-missing COPII vesicles [179]. To further investigate any changes in the clathrin cage mechanics by the lack of CLC, force curves of native cages as well as CHC-only cages were recorded. Unfortunately, the analysis of effective spring constants showed no indication for a different stability between the two types of cages. However, a bigger deviation between the extension and retraction curves in native clathrin cages as compared to CHC-only cages was detected (see Tab. 3 and Fig. 32). The observed deviation between extension and retraction was not related to a viscous effect, as the effective spring constants in the recordings for a single cage remained constant for different indentation speeds. Since the CLC are shown to have no obvious effect on the overall cage structure [54], the increased hysteresis between the curves observed for native clathrin cages might be due to the, above discussed, inhibitory effect of the CLC on cage assembly [161, 166]. Considering this inhibitory effect, one might expect a less strong association between native triskelia in the clathrin network. This in turn would suggest an easier reversible disruption of the connections, which in the end might account for the stronger deviations between extension and retraction curves during the investigations of the native clathrin cage mechanics.
3.1 CLATHRIN LATTICE FORMATION & POSSIBLE SUBSTRATES

In a first step, to show that the hexagonal clathrin lattice could be useful for nanotechnological applications, it was assembled on different substrates. Previously it had been shown that this lattice can be assembled on liposomes [76] and, as demonstrated in our biological studies, a lattice assembly on graphene and graphene covered electron microscopy grids was successful [10]. Additionally to the above mentioned materials, a successful clathrin lattice assembly on polyvinyl formal, aluminum, glass and silicon was observed. For this, a surface charge was the only requirement. Mica surfaces were the only exception that did not allow a proper assembly of the lattice and rather promoted the formation of vesicles. This might be due to a different binding behavior of the H$_6$–epsin$^{144-575}$ to the naturally charged mica surface, similar to the different orientations of fibrinogen when bound to graphite and mica, as shown in simulations by S. Köhler [180]. Therefore, future simulations of the binding behavior of unstructured H$_6$–epsin$^{144-575}$ to different surface materials might provide further insights.

3.1.1 Assembly on Curved Surfaces

It seems trivial that clathrin lattices prefer to form on convex surfaces, since they represent the native bending direction of the cages. In fact it was shown that the assembly of clathrin lattices on polystyrene beads was faster than the assembly on flat surfaces and, furthermore, more stable [10]. In addition, native lattices are able to self-assemble even on concave curved substrates, such as small valleys in electron microscopy grids (Fig. 34). Interestingly, the formation on concave areas was more challenging for CHC-only lattices, resulting in a lack of CHC-only lattice on areas with a radius of curvature of approximately 400 nm. This difficulty in lattice assembly on concave surfaces is probably due to the lack of stabilization by the CLC, as discussed above. The curvature dependency should be kept in mind when the
lattice is assembled for usage on a concave structures, such as tubing, and a prior functionalization with CLC might be required in such cases.

3.2 Clathrin Lattice Disassembly

For dynamic applications, disassembling the clathrin lattice without destroying the underlying layer of H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ is necessary, in order to allow fast functional recovery. Nandi & Edelhoch have shown in 1984 that the chaotropic salt NaSCN is efficient in low concentration to impede the cage structure [181]. Already at very low concentrations (0.05 M) and short incubation times (5 s) a certain rearrangement in the overall lattice structure could be observed (data not shown) [11]. An increase in the concentration (1 M) and longer incubation times allowed for a complete removal of the clathrin network. Conveniently, the adhered H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ is able to withstand the NaSCN treatment and, therefore, a simple washing step and addition of fresh triskelia was enough to assemble a new lattice.

The complete removal of the clathrin lattice by NaSCN could also be shown via SMR experiments. However, in this case a reassembly of the lattice inside the SMR channel after NaSCN treatment was not achieved. A closer look at the baseline after NaSCN treatment (Fig. 35 b) shows a slightly increased frequency compared to the H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ baseline. This might indicate that the incubation with NaSCN could have removed a certain amount of H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$. On one hand, this might be due to the fact that treatments in microfluidic systems are in general more efficient than in batch processes because of their reaction limited rather than diffusion limited nature [182]. If so, the problem of H$_6$ – epsin$^{144-575}$ removal might be solved by using a lower concentration of NaSCN. On the other hand, the incubation times of the NaSCN treatment in the SMR were too long and, therefore, shorter incubation times additionally to lower concentrations need to be tested.

3.3 Clathrin Lattice Stability & Stabilization

Clathrin lattices, when not fixed, have a lifetime in the order of tens of minutes. To extend this time, the lattices can be treated with glutaraldehyde, which prevents disassociation of the triskelia and enables usage of the lattice for biological studies [10]. For rather harsh nanotechnological applications, such as filters, as well as for storage purposes, it would be beneficial to further stabilize the clathrin lattice and, addition-
ally, allow for rehydration without destroying the overall structural properties and its biological function. Both of these issues were addressed in this work. Regarding the stability issue, the lattice was found to be highly stabilized by using 5% of the heavy metal uranyl acetate (UA), a substance well known for negative staining in electron microscopy [183]. Only a minor drop in the lattice height was observed, when dehydrated after UA fixation. After rehydration with an acetate free buffer, the lattice height was fully recovered. This suggests the stabilization of the clathrin array with UA does not affect the overall structure of the lattice. The successful binding of CLC onto the rehydrated lattice (discussed below, section 3.4.1) also supports this notion and, furthermore, demonstrates that even the biological activity is restored. However, when the lattice is treated with 5% UA for a second time, the dehydrated lattice shows a reduction of 50% compared to its original height. This suggests that successive fixation might affect the interaction between the triskelia, weakens the CHC peptides or disrupts proper interaction between CLC and CHC, which results in a lattice collapse [10]. Therefore, depending on the application’s need for lattice rigidity, the reassembly of a new lattice, using NaSCN, might be a good strategy after the first fixation. Nonetheless, a successful first stabilization and rehydration renders the strategy of UA fixation very useful. Considering that an intact dehydrated lattice was observed even after several months, makes UA fixation invaluable for long time storage. We could also imagine that the fixation with uranyl acetate might help to stabilize a variety of proteins for other nanotechnological applications.

Regarding the natural stability of the clathrin lattice, it was shown that at moderate loads (here up to 1nN) the lattice deforms elastically and returns to its original height after the load is removed (Fig. 37 b). To plastically deform the lattice without UA treatment, a load of around 10nN is needed. These high forces result in only a minor drop in the lattice height compared to a collapsed lattice, but the overall structure is damaged (Fig. 37 a). This finding shows that the lattice is an extremely stable structure compared to other biological samples. For example, it has been shown that microtubules can only withstand force of up to 0.3nN to 0.5nN [184, 185]. The force that the flat lattice can withstand exceeds even the breaking forces of virus capsids (3nN to 6nN for Φ29 and adenoviruses) [186, 187]. However, it is difficult to directly compare the mechanics of flat clathrin lattices with hollow structures, such as microtubules and viruses. Unfortunately, there is not much information on the rupture force of other flat protein networks in the literature. A rupture force in
the same regime can be observed for 1,2-Dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC) lipid bilayers, namely 4 nN to 15 nN, depending on the salt conditions [188]. Additionally, it was shown that S-layers withstand loads of at least 2 nN [189], but most likely this force is far below their breaking points.

3.4 CLATHRIN LATTICE FUNCTIONALIZATION

Finally, the possibilities and the efficiency of the clathrin lattice functionalization with inorganic particles and active biomolecules was investigated. Modifications of the lattice would not only allow to tune the array for a certain purpose but, furthermore, they might in certain cases enhance the enzymatic activity since the exact spacing of individual enzymes on the lattice, might reduce spatial hindrance. Since it is possible to purify CHC and CLC separately, and to later reattach the CLC onto the clathrin network, this seemed to be a good strategy to take advantage of for clathrin lattice functionalization.

3.4.1 Functionalization with Gold Particles

The immobilization of colloidal nano-gold particles on clathrin cages has already been established [145, 146] and, therefore, the first approach was to couple gold-particles to the lattice. For this purpose, histidine-tagged CLC in various concentrations were incubated together with gold particles, which resulted in different ratios of CLC per particle. These “gold CLC” were subsequently attached to CHC lattices. Here, a most efficient binding was observed when the CLC concentration was low and, statistically, only three CLC were bound to one gold particle (fig. 39). This leads to the assumption that binding of more CLC per particle might hinder the attachment of other beads, since one bead would then occupy multiple adjacent CLC binding sites at once. At maximum coverage, a decoration efficiency of around 0.4 beads per lattice rib (1525 particles per µm²) was estimated. This decoration density is certainly not inefficient, however, assuming theoretically one or two CLC per lattice rib [54, 167], improvements might be possible. To increase the efficiency one might envision a strategy which would enable to couple only a single CLC to a single particle.
The approach of gold particle immobilization was also used to show that after rehydration of UA-fixed lattices, not only its structure but also the biological activity is restored, as discussed above. Binding of CLC-coupled particles to the rehydrated lattice revealed a comparable efficiency to the one of the constantly hydrated lattice. In contrast, a dried and rehydrated lattice without UA fixation, showed almost no CLC binding. Combined with our structural analysis, this confirms the utility of the UA-fixation approach for storage purposes.

3.4.2 Functionalization with Auxilin’s J-Domain

In order to examine the possibility of modifying the clathrin lattice with bioactive molecules, auxilin’s J-domain was used. As discussed in the introduction, the J-domain plays an essential part in the disassembly of clathrin cages in vivo, by recruiting and activating the uncoating ATPase Hsc70 \[82, 83\]. Unlike for the gold particles, here a specific approach was used to link a single J-domain to a single CLC by GST-GST heterodimerization \[164\].

The quantification of the lattice disassembly efficiency for different ratios of J-domain coupled CLC and native CLC (33%, 50% and 100% J-domain coupled CLC) shows a clear dependency on the amount of immobilized J-domains (Fig. 41). Interestingly, the efficiency of the disassembly with immobilized J-domains might be even slightly higher compared to the efficiency of full auxilin in solution. This phenomenon might indicate a slight hindrance for the whole auxilin molecule to bind to the lattice, when it is attached to a solid surface.

The disassembly of the clathrin lattice via the immobilized J-domain & Hsc70 system shows a simple strategy for functionalization. However, a crucial remaining question is the decoration efficiency of this approach, since the small J-domain cannot be easily visualized. From preliminary SMR data a binding efficiency of approximately 3 native CLC per triskelion was calculated. Although more data needs to be acquired in order to confirm this result, the preliminary calculations suggest a full coverage of the CHC-lattice by CLC. In addition to the necessity for better statistics in this regard, it also must be shown that these numbers hold for the modified CLC, which will be examined in near future.
Part V

CONCLUSIONS & OUTLOOK
CONCLUSIONS & OUTLOOK

The goal of this project was to use AFM to expand the knowledge concerning the self-assembling protein network named clathrin. While clathrin was so far almost exclusively studied using its cage structure, this thesis investigates in details 2D clathrin lattices and describes methods how to study these lattices using atomic force microscopy.

The clathrin lattices represent a tremendous asset to biological researchers studying the clathrin structure and functionality. Here the clathrin lattice was used to study the triskelion orientation inside the hexagonal pores by averaging low force AFM images. These averaged images provided insight into the variability of the pucker angle in flat clathrin arrays, the angle between triskelion hub and leg, when compared to the pucker angle inside cages or triskelia in solution. In addition this strengthens the suggestion that the pucker angle also differs in very large clathrin cages (» 100 nm in diameter). In the future this might be investigated by assembling clathrin onto mica surfaces, which were shown to drive huge (> 200 nm), vesicle-like assemblies (Fig. 33).

Furthermore, the clathrin lattice was used to study the role of clathrin light chains. Investigation of the lattice quality when assembled with different triskelia concentrations supported the assumption that CLC inhibit cage formation and, therefore, unspecific accumulation. Additionally, the role of CLC in clathrin lattice mechanics was studied by probing the lattice’s compressibility using AFM. It could be shown that CLC play an important role in stabilizing the lattice, and preventing its collapse. This suggests that clathrin without CLC is unable to form vesicles if the membrane rigidity is increased. These approaches can be used in future experiments to further extend the knowledge about the CLC. For instance, in analogous experiments, it could be investigated whether the C- or N-terminal part of the CLC has a more important role in the stabilization process, by using mutated CLC. In other experiments one could imagine to gain further insight in the debate whether the clathrin lattices themselves are able to form cages and bud vesicles. These investigations might be possible by assembling the clathrin lattice onto soft gels.
The clathrin lattice is not only a new and exciting way to study biological mechanisms but it also shows tremendous potential for the field of bionanotechnology. In order to introduce the clathrin lattice to this field of research, the clathrin lattice properties and the possibilities for its modification were thoroughly investigated. It was found that it is possible to assemble this unique protein network on various surface materials, as well as to easily disassemble and reassemble the lattice and, furthermore, the lattice can be dried and rehydrated, without losing its structure and functionality. All these properties make the clathrin lattice a very interesting building block and matrix for research and industrial nanotechnology designs alike. The possibility for disassembly enables its usage in versatile and dynamic applications, while the possibility for dehydration & rehydration enables longtime storage and even uncomplicated shipment. Another key feature of the clathrin lattice is the shown potential to functionalize the lattice with inorganic materials and active biomolecules. This renders the lattice to a versatile matrix, which can be specifically tailored to the needs of a nanotechnological design. The functionalization can be easily performed using protocols to attach the CLC, either specifically or unspecifically, to the desired particle or molecule. However, the efficiency of the lattice decoration with biomolecules, and whether it depends on the size of the molecule, is one of the important characterizations that are still missing, and should be addressed in the near future. The ratios between triskelia and the attached modified light chains can most likely be accessed via suspended microresonator experiments. Furthermore, the question whether the binding of biomolecules to the clathrin lattice influences their lifetime remains. This question could be addressed by immobilizing enzymes that catalyze reactions which allow easy monitoring (e.g. β-galactosidase). In this way, the efficiency of catalysis and the lifetime of immobilized enzymes can be directly compared to enzymes in solution.

For future applications, the clathrin lattice could enable designs which provide a strategy to immobilize molecules in a specific sequence (e.g. for enzyme cascades). These systems would allow a more effective catalysis by efficiently passing the reaction product from one enzyme to the next one in line. Another application could be the parallel screening of immobilized biomolecules when exposed to different conditions via a microfluidic system with various inlets (Fig. 46). This setup would allow to efficiently investigate the influence of variable buffer conditions, ATP concentrations, and different cofactors, on the properties of
biomolecules. For instance, it could be used to investigate biomolecule-dynamics. By labeling the dynamic parts of the active molecule with FRET pairs, a constant monitoring of the FRET intensity might provide insights into inhibitory or activating conditions.
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APPENDIX
ADDITIONAL PROJECTS

1.1 CLATHRIN CAGES

While studying the mechanics of clathrin cages, with and without clathrin light chains, the idea arose to also examine the effect of different adaptor proteins on the cage stability. Since it was suggested that AP180 stabilizes and auxilin destabilizes the cage, these two adaptor proteins were the first choice. While scanning the cage in amplitude modulation (Cypher: Asylum Research; OMCL-TR400PSA: Olympus) it was observed that images of cages without adaptor proteins as well as cages with AP180 can be obtained without problems. In contrast, cages with auxilin were breaking during the scan (Fig. 47).

Malte Warias studied the mechanics of this cages in more detail during his bachelor thesis, and confirmed that adaptor proteins influence clathrin cage mechanics. Cages with attached AP180 seem to be almost twice as stable as cages without any adaptor proteins. In contrast, cages with auxilin seem slightly less stable (Fig. 48). Force

Figure 47: Images of clathrin cages with and without adaptor proteins. Cages with auxilin break half way during scanning (red profile).
curves were recorded with an MFP3D microscope (Asylum Research) and OMCL-TR400PSA cantilever (Olympus).

![Stiffness graph](image)

**Figure 48**: Mechanical investigations of clathrin cages show that cages with AP180 are more stable, while cages with auxilin are less stable, compared to cages without any adaptor proteins.

### 1.2 Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Complex

Another fundamental project during the period of this PhD project was the investigation of the pyruvate dehydrogenase multienzyme complex (PDHc) with AFM.

The PDHc links glycolysis to the citric acid cycle by converting the central metabolite pyruvate into acetyl-CoA, a building block for many fundamental metabolic pathways. The core of the human PDHc consists of 60 dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase enzymes (E2), which assemble into a dodecahedral structure (50 nm in diameter). A key trait vital to PDHc function is the flexibility of the N-terminal "swinging lipoic domain" of E2, which is capable of reaching the active sites of all proximal enzyme components. Although low resolution structural information about the PDHc core is available, the underlying dynamics of catalysis, in particular substrate channeling, is not understood.

Here, one idea was to examine the effect of Coenzyme A (CoA) binding on the dynamics of the lipoic domains (swinging arms) of the PDHc core. The hypothesis is that upon binding of CoA, these arms protrude inside the core and remain
there for an extended time period. Therefore, we assumed that the height of the core should decrease in the AFM images. Figure 49 indicates such a shift in height, when CoA was added to the sample. However, after optimization of the PDHc purification, the protein complexes seemed in general smaller compared to these results. The images were acquired with a MFP3D (Asylum Research) using BL-RC-150VB cantilevers (Olympus) in tapping mode. The cores were fixed on N-(3-trimethoxysilylpropyl)diethylenetriamine (DETA)-coated coverslips and heights were analyzed using a MATLAB routine by Maria Kilfoil, which allows to obtain the particle center positions. The core heights were averaged with respect to their radius of gyration.

Later on the height variations of different PDHc assemblies (human PDHc core (hPDHc), mixed hPDHc core (E2/E3 subunits), truncated hPDHc core (without swinging arms) and *E. coli* PDHc core (table 4) were analyzed. As expected, the *E. coli* PDHc core is much smaller (14 nm) compared to the human core (28 nm). The intro-
duction of the E3 subunit to the human E2 core might compact the hPDHc core and removing the lipoyl domains reduces the height further to approximately 23 nm. The full hPDH complex (containing all subunits) shows a height of around 30 nm in the AFM measurements. Hereby, the adhesion to the surface might reduce the height of the PDHc drastically. Additionally, the cores might be deformed via scanning forces due to their extremely soft nature (effective spring constants: hPDHc core 0.03 N/m and mixed hPDHC core 0.04 N/m). The height analyses were performed using a home written MATLAB script (appendix 2.4).

### 1.3 SNARE CLUSTERS

In this project we were trying to complement results observed in stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy. Here, Dragomir Milovanovic was able to show that upon addition of Ca\(^{2+}\), the transmembrane domain of the SNARE protein Syntaxin 1 (Sx1), in presence of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (Pip2), aggregates to form clusters of around 160 nm in diameter.

We could show a flat membrane with total internal reflection (TIRF) microscopy and AFM, when lipid sheets of only phosphatidylserine (PS) lipids were created. Whereas, protein clusters could be observed when Sx1 and Pip2 were added in presence of Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 50). Furthermore, the mean diameter of these clusters appears to be 160 nm (Fig. 51). While this mean diameter correlates very well with the previously obtained STED data, one needs to consider the problem of tip dilation in AFM. Using some simple geometrical calculations and taking into account a tip diameter of approximately 20 nm, an error of around 20 nm to 30 nm was estimated (based on Fig. 52 and MATLAB script 2.5).

Images were obtained using a MFP3D AFM (Asylum Research) with a home-build
Figure 50: TIRF and AFM images of PS-only sheets and PS sheets containing Sx1 and Pip2 with Ca$^{2+}$. Protein clusters are visible under latter conditions.

Figure 51: Histogram of the diameters obtained from Sx1 protein clusters in AFM measurements.
Figure 52: Estimation of the tip dilation when imaging the Sx1 clusters.

TIRF setup and BL-RC-150VB cantilever (Olympus).

In further experiments, we attempted to visualize the binding of the C2AB domain of synaptotagmin to the Sx1 transmembrane domain. The observations during this series of experiments might be explained by the phenomenon known as hydrophobic mismatch. Here, the transmembrane domain is shorter than the thickness of the lipid bilayer. Therefore, the hydrophobic parts in the membrane “squeeze” together to accommodate the length of the transmembrane domain, resulting in dents inside the membrane. In the AFM measurements dents of approximately 1 nm in depth were observed, which disappeared and changed to bulges after C2AB was added to the sample. Therefore, we speculate that the observed dents occur due to the hydrophobic mismatch caused by the short Sx1 domain, and upon binding of C2AB to this domain, the dents were filled (Fig. 53). Unfortunately, this experiment was hardly reproducible and, therefore, remains only a speculation. Images were obtained using a “Cervantes Full Mode AFM System” (Nanotec) and BL-RC-150VB cantilever (Olympus).
Figure 53: Sx1 is speculated to cause an hydrophobic mismatch and, therefore, introduces dents in the membrane surface. These dents are filled after binding of C2AB to the Sx1 domain.
2.1 Lattice Height Calculation

% Calculates heights by using 95% of the data (cuts off 2.5% at both sides)
% average height = max - min of resulting histogram values

% read in all txt file names in current directory
files = dir('*.txt');
filenames = extractfile(files, 'name');
results = [];

for i = 1:length(filenames) % loop through all files
    name = filenames{i};

    data = importdata(name); % import data from text file
    data = data.data;

    data = sort(data(:)); % sort data ascended
    cutoff = round(0.025*length(data)); % calculate 2.5% of all data

    for ii = 1:cutoff % remove 2.5% of smallest values
        data(1) = [];
    end

    data = sort(data, 'descend'); % sort data descended

    for ii = 1:cutoff % remove 2.5% of heighest values
        data(1) = [];
    end

    [n,xout] = hist(data,100); % create histogram of remaining data
    figure(i), hist(data(:,100))
height = max(xout) - min(xout); %calculate average height

if height > 90 %no values higher than 90nm expected
    height = height/10; %if higher convert scale from A to nm
end

results = [results; {name num2str(height)}];
end
results %print results

2.2 RADIUS OF CURVATURE

y = smooth(a(:,2))
cftool(a(:,1),y)
RofCurv(a(:,1), fitted)
% fitted is output [constants] of polynomial fit

% function to calculate R of curvature
function R = RofCurv(x, f)
y = f.p1.*x.^2 + f.p2.*x + f.p3; % new y for parabola
[m n] = min(y); % get element number of minimum (n)

% calculate radius of curvature around minimum
R = (1 + (2*f.p1*x(n))^2)^(3/2) / abs(2*f.p1);
end

2.3 AREA BETWEEN EXTENSION AND RETRACTION CURVES

function results = ForceAreas(springConst)
files = dir('*ibw');
filenames = extractfield(files, 'name');
results = [];

for i = 1:length(filenames) %loop through all files
    name = filenames{i};

    [data, e, v] = read_wave(name, 'whatever', 'whatever');
%read_wave function by Jason Bemis
    clear e;
    clear v;

    X = data(:,1);
    Y = data(:,2);
    conv = springConst * 0.001; % pN/nm to N/m
    Y = Y * conv; % converts nm deflection into force
    [N I] = max(Y); %split data to trace and retrace by finding max deflection
    extX = X(1:I);
    retX = X(I:length(X));
    extY = Y(1:I);
    retY = Y(I:length(Y));
    clear X;
    clear Y;
    clear N;

    retX = flipud(retX); %retraction data was upside down
    retY = flipud(retY);

    if extX(1) < retX(1) % get rid of beginning of too long ext curve
        while extX(1) < retX(1)
            extX(1) = [];
            extY(1) = [];
        end
    end

    figure(1), hold on
    plot(extX, extY, 'r')
    plot(retX, retY)
% Determines the mean of the 10% highest pixels from picked particles from WsXM *.txt files

function heights = particleHeight(fname)

    I = [];
    heights = [];

    data = importdata([fname '.txt']);
data = data.data;

    data = rot90(data,2); %rotates image to original orientation
    maximum = max(data(:));
bitstep = maximum/255; % calculating the 8bit pixel value/nm

imOFdata = []; 
for i = 1:length(data(1,:))
    for ii = 1:length(data(:,1))
        imOFdata(ii,i) = round(data(ii,i)/bitstep);
    end
end

imwrite(uint8(imOFdata),[fname '.tif'], 'tiff'); % save as tif 
I = imread([fname '.tif']); % read in tif 

figure; imshow(I); caxis auto; hold on; % colormap(jet(256)) ;
another = true;
while another == true % another round?
    try 
        rect = getrect(); % rect(1) = xmin, rect(2) = ymin, rect(3) = width, rect(4) = height 
        rectangle('Position',rect,'LineWidth',1.5,'LineStyle','--', 'EdgeColor', 'g'); 
    end

    % check whether rectangular is within image boundaries 
    % and translate rect(x) into x/y coordinates 
    if rect(1) < 1
        xmin = 1; 
    else 
        xmin = round(rect(1));
    end
    if rect(2) < 1
        ymin = 1; 
    else 
        ymin = round(rect(2));
    end
    if xmin + rect(3) > length(I(1,:))

...
2.5 ESTIMATION OF AFM TIP DILATION

% Calculates an estimation of the real feature size of AFM images

```
xmax = length(I(1,:));  
else 
    xmax = xmin + round(rect(3)); 
end 
if ymin + rect(4) > length(I(:,1)) 
    ymax = length(I(:,1)); 
else 
    ymax = ymin + round(rect(4)); 
end 

% get pixel values from rectangle and calculate height 
pxValues = []; 
for i = xmin:xmax  
    for ii = ymin:ymax 
        pxValues = [pxValues; data(ii,i)]; 
    end 
end 
pxValues = sort(pxValues, 'descend'); 
% calculate mean height for particle and store it 
elementHeight = mean(pxValues(1:round((10*length(pxValues))/100))), %mean of the highest 10%
heights = [heights; elementHeight]; 

% cancel by e.g. right clicking 
catch 
    another = ~another; 
end 

end 
hold off; 
end 
```
% returns the angle alpha and the calculated "real" size
% input:
% alpha start: start angle
% alpha_end: stop angle
% r: tip radius
% size: measure diameter of feature

function [alpha, realSize] = dilation(alpha_start, alpha_end, r, size)

alpha=[];
realSize=[];

for i = alpha_start:1:alpha_end
    alpha = [alpha i];
    d = sqrt(2*r^2*(1-cosd(i)));
    beta = (180-i)/2;
    gamma = 90- beta;
    x = cosd(gamma) * d;
    realSize = [realSize (size-(2*x))];
end
realSize = fliplr(realSize);
figure
plot(alpha, realSize,'b','LineWidth',3)
title('estimated size reduction vs. contact point')
xlabel('alpha [deg]')
ylabel('Estimated feature size [nm]')
end
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