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## Zusammenfassung

Die Aufklärung der relativen Stereochemie von organischen Kleinmolekülen ist eine große Herausforderung in der Chemie, da gleichzeitig die Konformation sowie Konfiguration bestimmt werden müssen. Während konventionelle NMR-parameter wie NOEs und ${ }^{3}$ J-Kopplungen, die Informationen über Distanzen und Dihedralwinkel beinhalten, die Konfiguration von Stereozentren starrer Moleküle liefern, kann diese Vorgehensweise schwierig oder unmöglich werden bei flexiblen Molekülen oder Molekülen die Brüche in dem erforderlichen Protonennetzwerk aufweisen. Residuale dipolare Kopplugen (RDCs) haben bewiesen, dass sie Stereozentren sehr erfolgreich bestimmen können und dass dies auch für flexible Moleküle zutreffen kann. In den letzten Jahren wurden eine Reihe von neuen Orientierungsmedien für organische Lösungsmittel entwickelt, zu denen auch das PH-gel und PPH-gel zählen, die in dieser Arbeit vorgestellt werden. Diese Entwicklung erlaubte es, RDCs als wichtigen strukturbestimmeneden Parameter für Kleinmoleküle zu etablieren.
In der vorliegenden Arbeit werden konformationelle und konfigurationelle Studien einer Reihe von Kleinmolekülen und Naturstoffen präsentiert. Für das zyklische Depsipeptid Hormaomycin konnten RDCs konformationelle Unklarheiten aufklären. Weiters wurde die Konformation des zyklischen Octapeptides Hymenistatin in DMSO, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ und THF bestimmt unter Einbeziehung von NOEs, J-Kopplungen und RDCs. Zusätzlich wurde die konfigurationelle Zuordnung der prochiralen Stereozentren dieses Moleküls mittels RDCs untersucht. Letztlich wurde noch die relative Konfiguration von ((-)-menthyl)-(5-oxo-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-diphtalate (DiaA) bestimmt. Dieses Molekül konnte weder kristallisiert werden, noch führten die koventionellen NMRparameter zu einer Lösung.
Zwei neue paramagnetische "tags" wurden in unserem Arbeitskreis entwickelt und fanden Anwendung bei dem diamagnetischen Protein trigger factor. Mit Hilfe der zusätzlichen Orientierungstensoren konnte die Struktur genauer bestimmt werden. Zusätzlich wurde eine neue HSQC-Pulssequenz entwickelt, welche ein ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-stopfilter Element enthält. Dadurch wurde es möglich, Pseudokontakt Verschiebungen (PCS) und RDCs des nicht isotopen markierten Liganden suc-AAPF-pNA komplexiert mit dem ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-markierten Protein zu messen.

## Abstract

The elucidation of the relative stereochemistry of asymmetric centers of organic molecules is an important challenge in chemistry since it requires the simultaneous determination of conformation and configuration. While the conventional NMR parameters like NOE and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ coupling constants, which provide internuclear distances and dihedral angles, yield the configuration of stereocenters in rigid compounds, this approach is difficult or impossible in cases where the molecule is flexible or the stereocenters are remote in the bonding network. Residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) have proven to be very efficient in the stereochemical assignment of moieties and hold the promise of defining the stereochemistry even in non-rigid molecules. Over the past few years a series of new alignment media compatible with organic solvents have been introduced, including the two polyacrlyamide-based DMSO-compatible alignment media (PH-gel and PPH-gel) described in this thesis, which established the use of RDCs within the small molecule community.
The present work provides information about conformational and configurational studies of a series of small molecules and natural products. For the cyclic depsipeptide hormaomycin RDCs could resolve conformational ambiguities in DMSO. The solvent dependent conformation of the cyclic octapeptide hymenistatin for $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and THF could be resolved by the combined use of NOEs, J couplings and RDCs. Furthermore the applicability for the use of RDCs in the configurational assignmnet of prochiral centers of this peptide has been addressed. Finally the relative configuration of the quite flexible ((-)-menthyl)-(5-oxo-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-diphtalate (DiaA), which could neither be crystallized nor solved by traditional NMR-parameters could be established with RDCs.

Two new paramagnetic tags have been developed in our group and applied to the diamagnetic protein trigger factor. The additional alignment tensors allowed us to improve the precision of the structure. Additionally a new HSQC experiment using a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-stop-filter element has been introduced to measure pseudocontact shifts (PCS) and RDCs of the non-labeled ligand suc-AAPF-pNA in complex with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ labeled trigger factor.
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## Abbreviations

## A

$\mathbf{A}_{\lambda} \quad$ absorption at wavelength $\lambda$

Ala
AMPS
Ap
APS
APTMAC
Arg
Asn
Asp
B
$\mathbf{B}_{0} \quad$ magnetic field strength
BIS
BMRB
C
CaM $\underline{\text { calmodulin }}$
CD
COSY
D
$\mathbf{D}_{a}$
DMAA
alanine ( A )
ampicillin
ammonium persulphate
arginine ( R )
asparagine ( N )
aspartic acid (D)

N,N'-methylenbisacrylamide
Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank
circular dichroism
correlation spectroscopy
magnitude of the alignment tensor
N,N-dimethylacrylamide

2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid
(3-acrylamidopropyl)trimethylammonium chloride

| DMF | dimethylformamide |
| :---: | :---: |
| DMSO | $\underline{\text { dimethylsulfoxide }}$ |
| DQF | double quantum filtered |
| DTPA | diethylentriaminepentagactic acid |
| DTT | dithiothreitol |
| $\delta$ | chemical shift |
| E |  |
| E. coli | Escherichia coli |
| EDTA | $\underline{\text { ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid }}$ |
| ESI | electrospray ionization |
| F |  |
| FPLC | $\underline{\text { fast protein liquid chromatography }}$ |
| G |  |
| Gln | glutamine (Q) |
| Glu | glutamic acid (E) |
| Gly | glycine (G) |
| $\gamma$ | nuclear gyromagnetic ratio |
| H |  |
| h | Planck's constant ( $=6.6260693 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{Js}$ ) |
| $\hbar$ | reduced Planck's constant ( $=1.05457168 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{Js}$ ) |
| His | $\underline{\text { histidine ( }} \mathrm{H}$ ) |
| HMBC |  |
| HPLC | $\underline{\text { high pressure liquid chromatography }}$ |
| HSQC | $\underline{\text { heteronuclear single-quantum coherence }}$ |
| I |  |
| Ile | isoleucine (I) |
| INEPT | $\underline{\text { insensitive }}$ nuclei enhancement by polarization transfer |
| IPAP | $\underline{\text { in }} \underline{\underline{p}}$ - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ / anti-phase |
| IPTG | $\underline{\text { isopropyl }-\beta \text {-D-thiogalactopyranoside }}$ |
| IR | inverted repeat |

## K

| $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ | dissociation constant |
| :---: | :---: |
| kDa | $\underline{\text { kilo-Dalton }}\left(=10^{3} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ |
| L |  |
| LB | Luria Bertani |
| Leu | leucine (L) |
| Lys | $\underline{\text { lysine ( }} \mathrm{K}$ ) |
| M |  |
| M | mol/l |
| Met | methionine (M) |
| MOPS | 3 -(N-morpholino)propane-sulfonic acid |
| MS | $\underline{\text { mass }}$ spectrometry |
| MW | molecular weight |
| MWCO | molecular weight cutoff |
| N |  |
| Ni-NTA | $\underline{\text { nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid }}$ |
| NMR | $\underline{\text { nuclear magnetic resonance }}$ |
| NOE | $\underline{\text { nuclear Overhauser effect }}$ |
| NOESY | $\underline{\text { nuclear }} \underline{\text { Overhauser effect spectroscopy }}$ |
| O |  |
| OD | optical density |
| P |  |
| PAGE | $\underline{\text { polyacrelamide }}$ gel electrophoresis |
| PAN | $\underline{p}$ oly(acetonitrile) |
| PCS | $\underline{\text { pseudocontact shift }}$ |
| PDMS | poly(dimethylsiloxane) |
| pdb | Protein Data Bank |
| PBLG | $\underline{\text { poly- }}$ - -benzyl-L-g-glutamate |
| PELG | $\underline{\text { poly- }}$ - -ethyl-L-glutamate |
| PH | $\underline{\text { Peter Haberz }}$ |


| Phe | phenylalanine (F) |
| :---: | :---: |
| PMSF | phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride |
| PPH | $\underline{\text { positive }} \underline{\text { Peter Haberz }}$ |
| ppm | $\underline{\text { parts per million }}\left(=10^{-6}\right)$ |
| Pro | proline (P) |
| R |  |
| R | Pearson's correlation factor |
| Rh | rhombicity of the alignment tensor |
| RDC | $\underline{\text { residual dipolar coupling }}$ |
| RMSD | root mean square deviation |
| ROE | $\underline{R}$ otating frame OVerhauser effect |
| ROESY | $\underline{R}$ otating frame $\underline{\text { Overhauser effect }}$ - |
| S |  |
| SDS | sodium dodecylsulfate |
| Ser | serine (S) |
| suc-AAPF- | ( $N$ - |
| pNA |  |

## 1

## Theory

### 1.1 Residual dipolar couplings

Dipolar couplings of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ nuclei are the dominant term in solid state NMR, but are averaged to zero for isotropically reorienting molecules in the liquid state. This allows high resolution spectra in liquid state NMR, but a wealth of information is lost, if dipolar couplings vanish. Therefore a series of alignment media (for details see 2.1 and 3.1) have been developed for liquid state NMR applications. They introduce a slight preferential orientation of the molecule in order to reintroduce a 'residual' dipolar coupling, that can be measured relatively easily by comparison of line splittings in the isotropic and in the aligned sample (for further details see 5.5.1.1). The alignment of a molecule can be described by an alignment tensor, which is a real symmetric traceless matrix (often also referred to as Saupe matrix [1]) and defined by its diagonal elements $\mathrm{A}_{z z}, \mathrm{~A}_{y y}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{x x}$. Defining $\left|\mathrm{A}_{z z}\right|>\left|\mathrm{A}_{y y}\right|>\left|\mathrm{A}_{x x}\right|$, the residual dipolar coupling between two coupled nuclei A and B can be described by Eqn.1.1, where $\theta$ denotes the polar angle between the interatomic vector A-B and the z-axis of the alignment tensor and $\phi$ is the angle of the projection of the interatomic vector onto the $x-y$ plane relative to the x -axis (Fig 1.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{A B}(\theta, \phi)=D_{a}\left[\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)+\frac{3}{2} R h \sin ^{2} \theta \cos 2 \phi\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqn.1.1 finds its maximum for a $\theta$ angle of zero, which yields the relationship between the maximum dipolar coupling $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\text {max }}^{A B}\right)$ and the axial component of the alignment tensor $\left(D_{a}\right)($ Eqn. 1.2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\text {max }}^{A B}=2 D_{a} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D_{a}$ is also referred to as magnitude of the alignment tensor and $R h$ is the rhombicity,


Figure 1.1: Interatomic vector A-B and its relationship to the principal axis system of the alignment tensor
reflecting the axial symmetry of the alignment tensor as can be seen in Fig.1.2. They are defined in Eqn, 1.3 and Eqn.1.4. Note that the rhombicity reaches a maximum value of $\frac{2}{3}$ when $\mathrm{A}_{z z}=-\mathrm{A}_{y y}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{x x}=0$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{a}=\frac{1}{2} D_{\max }^{A B} A_{a} \text { and } R h=\frac{A_{r}}{A_{a}}  \tag{1.3}\\
A_{a}=\frac{3}{2} A_{z z} \text { and } A_{r}=\left(A_{x x}-A_{y y}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

For a given value of $\mathrm{D}^{A B}$ there is an entire cone of solutions for $\theta$ and $\phi$. Furthermore the alignment tensor is of $2^{n d}$ rank and the inverted cone is also part of the solution. For a better understanding three alignment tensors with a magnitude $\left(D_{a}\right)$ of 3 Hz and different rhombicities have been calculated from Eqn.1.1 (Fig 1.2). The red and blue circles represent possible orientations for internuclear vectors, that start from the origin of the coordinate system of the principal axis for a 4 Hz and -2 Hz RDC. By definition the interatomic vector of two coupling nuclei in a molecule, that give rise to the RDC with the biggest absolute value, points along the $\mathrm{A}_{z z}$ axis and the
one with the biggest absolute value and opposite sign to $\mathrm{A}_{z z}$ along $\mathrm{A}_{y y}$. The values for the RDCs along the principal axis system of the alignment tensors depicted in Fig 1.2 are given in Tab, 1.1.


Figure 1.2: Graphical representation of the alignment tensor with a $D_{a}$ of 3 Hz and different rhombicities . A) $R h=0$ B) $R h=1 / 3$ C) $R h=2 / 3$. Red and blue circles represent possible orientations of the internuclear vector, that starts from the origin of the coordinate system of the principal axis for a 4 Hz and -2 Hz RDC

### 1.2 Paramagnetic NMR

Molecules with an unpaired electron spin possess a considerable magnetic susceptibility, that is anisotropic and can be described by a magnetic susceptibility tensor ( $\chi$ ).

Table 1.1: Biggest RDC possible for the three principal axis of the alignment tensor with a fixed $D_{a}$ and varying rhombicities.

| $\boldsymbol{D}_{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{h}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{z z}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{y y}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{z z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 Hz | 0 | 6 Hz | -3 Hz | -3 Hz |
| 3 Hz | $1 / 3$ | 6 Hz | -4.5 Hz | -1.5 Hz |
| 3 Hz | $2 / 3$ | 6 Hz | -6 Hz | 0 Hz |

The interaction between a static magnetic field and this anisotropic susceptibility leads to a preferential orientation of the molecules. The energy of this interaction is given by Eqn 1.5 where $\overrightarrow{B_{0}}$ denotes the magnetic field vector.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=-\frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{B_{0}} \cdot \chi \cdot \overrightarrow{B_{0}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it is possible to derive a orientational probability distribution function from Eqn.1.5, the Saupe matrix can be rewritten in terms of the magnetic susceptibility tensor. Subsequently the residual dipolar coupling for a magnetically ordered molecule is formulated in Eqn. 1.6

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{A B}=-\frac{B_{0}^{2}}{15 k T} \frac{\gamma_{A} \gamma_{B} h}{16 \pi^{3} r_{A B}^{3}}\left(\Delta \chi_{a x}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)+\frac{3}{2} \Delta \chi_{r h} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos 2 \phi\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$B_{0}$ is the magnetic field strength, $\gamma_{A}$ and $\gamma_{A}$ are the gyromagnetic ratios of the resonating nuclei A and B and $\Delta \chi_{a x}$ and $\Delta \chi_{r h}$ are the axial and rhombic components of the magnetic susceptibility tensor, that can be expressed via the principal components of the Saupe matrix (Eqn 1.7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \chi_{a x}=\chi_{z z}-\frac{\chi_{x x}-\chi_{y y}}{2} \text { and } \Delta \chi_{r h}=\chi_{x x}-\chi_{y y} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the dipolar interaction is proportional to the square of the magnetic field strength, it is preferable to use the highest field strength possible to increase the range of observed RDCs.

Furthermore, NMR resonances experience an extra contribution to the chemical shift in the presence of unpaired electrons (e.g. a lanthanide ion). This hyperfine shift is either called contact shift $\left(\delta^{c}\right)$, if the unpaired electron can delocalize on the
resonating nulcei, or pseudocontact shift $\left(\delta^{p c}\right)$ in case the electron magnetic moment is anisotropic (e.g. in a static magnetic field) and the number of chemical bonds is larger than four and do not include $\pi$ bonds. The structural information contained in contact shifts is not easily accessible as it is hidden inside the particular mechanism of unpaired electron delocalization and require quantomechanical calculations. Therefore we will focus here on the pseudocontact shifts as they rely on the same alignment tensor as the RDCs. They are given by Eqn. 1.8 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{p c}=\frac{1}{12 \pi r_{A B}^{3}}\left(\Delta \chi_{a x}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)+\frac{3}{2} \Delta \chi_{r h} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos 2 \varphi\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pseudocontact shifts provide a distance map of the metal ion (A) to the shifted nuclei (B), where $\Delta \chi_{a x}$ and $\Delta \chi_{r h}$ set the radius of the sphere where hyperfine shifts are measureable. Subsequently a value of pseudocontact shift corresponds to a whole sphere of possible coordinates with the metal ion being positioned at the center of the magnetic susceptibility tensor Fig. 1.3.


Figure 1.3: Graphical representation of the isopseudocontact shift surfaces with different rhombicities. A) $\Delta \chi_{r h}=0$ B) $\Delta \chi_{r h}=1 / 3 \Delta \chi_{a x}$ C) $\Delta \chi_{r h}={ }^{2} / 3 \Delta \chi_{a x}$.

## 2

# Determination of the conformation and configuration of small molecules with residual dipolar couplings 

### 2.1 Introduction

The elucidation of the relative stereochemistry of asymmetric centers of organic molecules is an important challenge in chemistry since it requires the simultaneous determination of conformation and configuration. The classical NMR approach for the determination of stereochemistry of small molecules uses a combination of the nuclear overhauser effect (NOE) and scalar J couplings. Therefore a so called proton bonding network is required. If two protons are more than $5 \AA$ apart and no ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{H H}$ or heteronuclear long-range $\mathrm{J}_{X H}$ can be measured this method fails. That is why, residual dipolar couplings (RDCs), which do not rely on an uninterrupted proton bonding network gained more and more importance over the past few years. They rely on the weak alignment of molecules in solution and provide angular as well as distance information that are not contained in the NOE or J couplings. Originally RDCs have been used as restraints in the conformational refinement of biomolecules and various alignment media have been developed that are compatible with water. The most widely used media for water soluble compounds are bicelles [2, 3, 4, bacteria phages [5, 6] and polyacrylamide gels [7, 8], among others. The development of alignment media compatible with organic solvents and therefore feasible for small molecules and
natural products was carried out only over the past few years. These new alignment media can generally be divided in two groups: liquid crystalline phases and polymer gels.

At first liquid crystalline media like poly- $\gamma$-benzyl-L-glutamate (PBLG) or poly- $\gamma$ -ethyl-L-glutamate (PELG) were successfully used to align small molecules in organic solvents [9, 10, 11]. However liquid crystalline media are restricted to very apolar solvents and a minimal concentration is necessary, which yields a minimal alignment of the molecules. This minimal orientation tends to be too strong already, which results in broadened resonances due to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ dipolar couplings and leads to problems in the analysis of standard spectra. This problem has recently been solved by two completely different approaches. The first one incorporates a Flip-Flop decoupling during detection of an HSQC [12]. Thus, unwanted homonuclear couplings are removed and chemical shifts as well as heteronuclear couplings are scaled for a better dispersion of the NMR resonances. The second one simply decreases the amount of alignment and therefore scales the RDCs by variable angle sample spinning (VASS) in a solid-state spectrometer [13]. Hereby the sample is spun at an angle close to the magic angle of $54.7^{\circ}$ at which dipolar interactions would be averaged to zero.

At present the most widespread approach for the orientation of small molecules is the use of the second group of alignment media, the polymeric gels. Over the past few years a series of new cross-linked polymers have been introduced that are compatible with apolar and polar solvents. They are summarized along with their applications in

Table 2.1: Polymer based alignment media

| Polymeric gel | Compatible solvents | Investigated molecules |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polystyrene (PS) 14 15 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dioxane, benzene | menthol, strychnine, norcamphor |
| Poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) 16 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, THF, benzene, nhexane | spiroindene, cyclosporin A, hymenistatin |
| Poly(vinyl acetate) (PVAC) 17 | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dioxane, benzene, ethyl acetate, acetone, acetonitrile, methanol, DMSO | norcamphor, sphaeropsidin A |
| Negatively charged polyacrylamide (PH) 18 | DMSO, DMF, water | menthol, decasaccharide, hormaomycin, hymenistatin, DiaA, archazolid A |
| Positively charged polyacrylamide (PPH) 19 | DMSO, water | menthol, hymenistatin |
| Poly(acetonitrile) (PAN) [20] | DMSO, DMF | hymenistatin |

Tab.2.1. Most of them can be easily synthesized and therefore uniquely architectured through variation of their monomer composition, polymerization conditions and gel diameter to any alignment strength.


Figure 2.1: Superposition of the fitting curves for the observed $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\text {obs }}\right)$ and backcalculated ( $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$ ) of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{C H}$ of $(-)$-menthol in PBLG/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ when the diastereotopic protons at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ position are exchanged. Dashed curve and circles are used for the wrong diastereotopic assignment. (This figure has been used with the permission of the authors of [11])

RDCs together with order matrix calculations have proven to be a very efficient tool in the determination of the conformation and relative configuration of small molecules and natural products. It could be shown for a series of relatively rigid molecules like dihydropyridone [9] and others [11, 21, 22, 23, 24] that RDCs could unambigously determine the relative stereochemistry. This could be achieved by either backcalculating the measured RDCs to a crystal structure or a structure derived from MD simulations with different configurations and subsequent comparison of the fitting quality between experimental and backcalculated RDCs. In cases of molecules, which are symmetric according to their internuclear vector orientations like in sixmembered chair-like rings, where all axial substituents are roughly parallel and therefore have similar RDC values, this differentiation can be made by simple inspection
of the size of RDCs without the determination of an alignment tensor. Furthermore RDCs have been successfully exploited to probe the diastereotopicity of methylene protons of strychnine [25] and menthol [11. As can be seen by the correlation plots of experimental versus backcalculated RDCs of (-)-menthol in PBLG/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Fig.2.1), a wrong assignment of the methylene protons at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ position yields a much worse fit.

The determination of the conformation for small molecules and natural products with RDCs is carried out in analogy with the conformational refinement of proteins. A set of distance restraints (NOEs or ROEs) as well as angular restraints (J couplings) is determined in addition to RDCs and subsequently used as restraints in simulating annealing protocols to refine the structure. It has been demonstrated that including RDCs into refinement protocols of cyclic natural products could improve the accuracy of their backbone structure [26], solve conformational ambiguities [27] and refine conformations for different solvents [28].

### 2.2 Results and discussion

### 2.2.1 Properties of the PH- and PPH-gel

The negatively charged PH-gel and the positively charged PPH-gel have been synthesized as described in 5.3. NMR-samples prepared according to this procedure exhibit a quadrupolar deuterium splitting of the solvent of up to 4.3 Hz (Fig.2.2). The two


Figure 2.2: 400 MHz deuterium spectrum of $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ - DMSO in PH -gel
resonances have about the same intensities and lineshapes, which indicates a excellent homogeneity of the sample. As a first test molecule (+)-menthol was dissolved in DMSO and the solution was introduced with a PH- or PPH-gel stick into an NMR tube. After reswelling and equilibration of the gels, RDCs in the range from -0.84.8 Hz (PH-gel) and $-0.6-5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ could be measured. The single value decomposition module (SVD) [29] of the program PALES [30] was used to fit the twelve experimental dipolar couplings (Tab.B.1, excluding the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{C H}$ of $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{eq}$ due to an uninterpretable coupling pattern in the anisotropic HSQC spectrum) to a reference structure. We used the crystal structure of $(+)$-menthol for which the proton positions have been optimized by conjugate gradient minimization. A correlation factor R of 0.98 could be obtained for both RDC data sets (Fig 2.4). The RDCs derived from the PH-gel and PPH-gel are different due to different steric and electrostatic interactions of the solute with the polymer matrix [31, 32]. Therefore linearly independent alignment for the two gels can be obtained and essential structural information can be deduced. That is illustrated by the poor correlation between the experimental RDCs of ( + )-menthol



Figure 2.3: Formula (left) and structure (right) of (+)-menthol


Figure 2.4: Correlation between observed (RDC(obs)) and backcalculated (RDC(calc)) dipolar couplings for (+)-menthol in A) PH-gel/DMSO and B) PPHgel/DMSO
measured in the two gels (Fig 2.5 A$)$ ) and the comparison of the principal axis system of the alignment tensor in Fig 2.5 B). Additionally an intertensor 5D space angle of $23.8^{\circ}$ could be determined.

PH- and PPH-gel are very versatile and have been applied to various molecule/solvent systems. For (+)-menthol in PH-gel/DMF dipolar couplings range from $-0.7-2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and a similar fit ( $\mathrm{R}=0.95$ ) was obtained ( see B.1). In a further application we investigated a decasaccharide with a molecular weight of $1804 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. It was dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, aligned in PH-gel and the measured ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{C H}$ ranged from -22 to 28 Hz , a range in which they can be evaluated easily and accuratly. The cyclic peptides hormaomycin $(\mathrm{MW}=1130 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ and hymenistatin $(\mathrm{MW}=894 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ were oriented in PHgel/DMSO and gave rise to RDCs from $-22-35 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $-20-22 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respectively. For an accordingly prepared PPH-gel/DMSO sample of hymenistatin RDCs range from -18


Figure 2.5: Independent alignments in PH- and PPH-gel. A) Correlation between the observed RDCs of (+)-menthol in PH- and PPH-gel. B) Comparison of the principal axis system of the alignment tensors for PH-gel (blue) and PPH-gel (red). The principal axis system is drawn within the molecular frame of $(+)$-menthol.
to 22 Hz . Finally ((-)-menthyl)-(5-oxo-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-diphtalate (DiaA) with a molecular weight of $400 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ derived from chemical synthesis was successfully aligned in PH-gel/DMSO and the extracted RDCs range from $-11-8 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

In contrast to liquid crystalline media (e.g. PBLG, PELG etc.), which can only be used in a certain temperature and concentration range 9], alignment media prepared through polymerization can be influenced easily in many different ways. First of all it is important to understand the mechanism of the alignment, which is schematically illustrated in Fig.2.6. On the left the isotropic case is pictured. The solute (blue bars) can adopt all possible orientation in the gel pores, which leads to an averaging of the dipolar couplings to zero. On the right side the anisotropic case is shown. Here the gel pores are radially compressed leading to an preferential orientation of the solute, which allows the measurement of RDCs. Therefore the way to scale the size of the dipolar coupling is to engineer the size and shape of the gel pores accordingly. The size can be easily changed by chemical means, simply varying the total monomer concentration and/or the concentration of the linker. The shape can be manipulated either by changing the diameter of the gelcylinder used for the polymerization or by changing the diameter of the NMR-tube. The latter effect is shown for the RDCs of (+)-menthol measured in a 3.5 mm PH-gel (Fig 2.7 A)) and PPH-gel (Fig 2.7 B)). Missing resonances can be explained by the different spectral quality of the


Figure 2.6: Cartoon of a polyacrylamide-based gel before (left) and after (right) radial compression. The gel-matrix is coloured in grey; the solute is denoted as blue bars and the gel pores are drawn as circles and ellipsoids respectively.
gel samples. Resonances only appear, if the RDCs could be extracted for all three diameters.

The quality of the NMR-spectra obtained in PH- and PPH-gel samples is illustrated in the following. The amount of alignment in PH-gel is largely reduced compared to PBLG as can be appreciated by the narrow ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-resonances for the PH gel/DMSO (Fig.2.8) and PPH-gel/DMSO sample (Fig.2.9). The alignment in PBLG


Figure 2.7: Scalability of 3.5 mm PH- (left) and 3.5 mm PPH-gel (right). Plots show the change of the measured RDC with respect to the inner diameter of the NMR-tube.


Figure 2.8: $400 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectra of a $1 \mathrm{M}(+)$-menthol sample in A) PBLG/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, B) in PH-gel/DMSO and C) in DMSO.


Figure 2.9: Comparison of excerpts of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectra of a $1 \mathrm{M}(+)$-menthol sample in A ) PBLG/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}$ ) in PPH-gel/DMSO and C) in DMSO.
is too strong and therefore ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ dipolar couplings cause extensive line broadening. That is why liquid crystalline media are only applicable to natural products with higher molecular weight if sophisticated NMR experiments [12, 13] are used.

On the other hand RDCs obtained from PH- and PPH-gel samples can easily be scaled as described above and subsequently are amenable to natural products of any size. The minimum concentration used to date for the two gels was 20 mM . The $\mathrm{t}_{2^{-}}$


Figure 2.10: 600 MHz t - -coupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of a 20 mM hymenistatin sample in PH-gel/DMSO. The insert shows traces of the $\operatorname{Pro}(1) \mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ resonances (red) compared to the biggest PH-gel resonance (green). 8192 complex data points in $t_{2}$ and 1024 experiments in $t_{1}$ were aquired with 16 scans. The formula of hymenistatin can be found in Fig.2.19.
coupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra of those 20 mM samples of hymenistatin at natural abundance that were acquired for 12 h ( 16 scans and 1024 experiments in $t_{1}$ ) are shown in Fig 2.10 and Fig 2.11. By looking at the trace of the biggest PH-gel resonance and the trace of the $\operatorname{Pro}(1) \mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ resonances one can clearly see, that the signals have about the same intensity and therefore RDCs could be measured accurately. The biggest resonance arising from the PPH-gel in the 2D spectrum is about twice as intense as the hymenistatin resonances, due to the higher gel concentration needed to achieve alignment. Again RDCs could be measured accurately. The comparison of the two spectra shows, that the minimum solute concentration to get enough spectral resolution for the extraxtion of RDCs can be lower in the PH-gel.


Figure 2.11: 600 MHz t - -coupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of a 20 mM hymenistatin sample in PPH-gel/DMSO. The insert shows traces of the $\operatorname{Pro}(1) \mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ resonances (red) compared to the biggest PPH-gel resonance (green). 8192 complex data points in $t_{2}$ and 1024 experiments in $t_{1}$ were aquired with 16 scans. The formula of hymenistatin can be found in Fig 2.19.

### 2.2.2 Conformational refinement of hormaomycin

### 2.2.2.1 Introduction

Hormaomycin is a secondary metabolite produced by Streptomyces griseoflavus (strain W-384) [33]. This depsipeptide contains (S)-isoleucine [(S)-Ile] as the only proteinogenic amino acid along with two units of ( $2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{R}$ )-3-methylphenylalanine [ $\beta$ $\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{Phe}$ ], one of R -allo-threonine [ $a$ - Thr ] as well as two moieties of ( $\left.1^{\prime} \mathrm{R}, 2^{\prime} \mathrm{R}\right)$-3-(2'nitrocyclopropyl)alanine [(3-Ncp)Ala, the (2S)-diastereomer in the bulky side chain and the (2R) diastereomer in the macrocyclic ring of the molecule] and one residue of (2S,4R)-4-(Z)-propenylproline [(4-Pe)Pro] (Fig.2.12). The bulky side chain of hor-


Figure 2.12: Molecular formula of hormaomycin with numbering used for calculations
maomycin is terminated by an amide bound 5-chloro-1-hydroxypyrrole-2-carboxylic acid [Chpca]. The latter three components have never been found in any natural product before. Besides challenging structural features, hormaomycin possesses an interesting spectrum of biological activities, including a marked influence on the secondary metabolite production of other streptomycetes, an exceptionally selective an-
tibiotic activity against coryneforme bacteria [33] and also an antimalarial activity [34].

The total synthesis became possible after the correct absolute configurations of all stereocenters especially of the two (3-Ncp)Ala moieties had been established [35, 36]. Recently a structure of hormaomycin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ [37] has been published. Also a crystal structure (50/50 mixture of hexylene glycol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ buffered at $\mathrm{pH}=8.0$ with the addition of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ ) has been obtained lately [38].

Since the conformation of the depsipeptide differs significantly in solution and in the crystal, its solvent dependence has been investigated here. DMSO was chosen as solvent, because its solvent properties are in between the crystallization medium and those of chloroform. RDCs were obtained in the PH-gel.

### 2.2.2.2 DMSO assignment

Spin systems were identified by DQF-COSY, TOCSY and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{HMBC}$ experiments (Tab.2.2). Smaller chemical shift dispersion within the set of HN (7.18-8.45 ppm) and $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ (3.95-4.91 ppm) protons compared with hormaomycin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{HN}: 6.54-$ $9.13 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}: 3.51-5.16 \mathrm{ppm})$ indicates a less rigid structure. Especially the missing long-range NOEs between aromatic protons of the distal Chpca and $(\beta-\mathrm{Me})$ Phe I component further support this finding. A second conformation (using the same batch the ratios between minor and major resonances differed for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO) could be detected when dissolving the depsipeptide in DMSO independently of whether the sample was in the isotropic or anisotropic phase. The concentration of this minor conformer did not exceed $8 \%$ relative to the major conformer and was not considered further.

### 2.2.2.3 NOE and J-coupling refined structures of hormaomycin

A total number of 85 NOEs together with 8 dihedral angle restraints were used for structure calculations. 900 structures were calculated using only the NOE and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ derived restraints, by using protocol 2 in 5.6.1.2, starting from 900 different structures calculated without restraints with protocol 1 (5.6.1.1). The resultant NJ ensemble

Table 2.2: Chemical shift assignments of hormaomycin in DMSO

| Carbon, proton or group | $\sigma_{H}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ | $\sigma_{C}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ | Carbon, proton or group | $\sigma_{H}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ | $\sigma_{C}[\mathrm{ppm}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chpca C9 |  | 158.2 | C10 | - | 116.2 |
| C12-H1 | 6.70 | 108.5 | C10 | - | 116.2 |
| C14 | - | 108.5 | C13-H2 | 6.10 | 102.1 |
| (3-Ncp)Ala II |  |  |  |  |  |
| C72 | - | 170.0 | C73-H64 | 4.51 | 51.8 |
| C1-H20/H21 | 1.80 (proS), 1.85 (proR) | 32.3 | C2-H22 | 1.98 | 22.6 |
| C3-H23 | 4.52 | 58.6 | C4-H25/H24 | 1.25 (proS), 1.93 (proR) | 17.6 |
| H26 | 8.23 | - |  |  |  |
| $a-\mathrm{Thr}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C36 | - | 167.4 | C37-H34 | 4.50 | 57.8 |
| C39-H35 | 5.00 | 70.7 | C70-H61/H62/H63 | 1.21 | 16.9 |
| H19 | 8.40 | - |  |  |  |
| (4-Pe)Pro |  |  |  |  |  |
| C41 | - | 170.7 | C42-H36 | 3.95 | 59.0 |
| C44-H38/H37 | 1.51 (proR), 2.27 (proS) | 34.4 | C46-H41 | 3.24 | 36.1 |
| C45-H39/H40 | 3.16 (proS), 3.90 (proR) | 51.1 | C78-H65 | 5.33 | 128.5 |
| C79-H66 | 5.54 | 126.6 | C80-H67/H68/H69 | 1.65 | 12.8 |
| Ile |  |  |  |  |  |
| C19 | - | 169.8 | C18-H28 | 4.49 | 53.9 |
| C47-H42 | 1.89 | 35.5 | C49-H47/H46 | 0.99 (proR), 1.42 (proS) | 22.5 |
| C50-H48/H49/H50 | 0.84 | 10.7 | C48-H43/H44/H45 | 0.92 | 15.4 |
| H3 | 7.18 | - |  |  |  |
| ( $\beta$-Me)Phe I |  |  |  |  |  |
| C21 | - | 169.5 | C24-H29 | 4.20 | 58.9 |
| C51-H51 | 3.55 | 38.4 | C52-H52/H53/H54 | 1.23 | 13.3 |
| C53 | - | 38.4 | C58-H11 and C54/H7 | 7.23 | 127.3 |
| C57-H10 and C55-H8 | 7.16 | 126.1 | C56-H9 | 7.02 | 127.5 |
| H4 | 8.42 | - |  |  |  |
| (3-Ncp)Ala |  |  |  |  |  |
| C26 | - 0.68 (pros) 0.88 (proR) | 170.9 | C28-H30 | 4.51 | 50.4 |
| C29-H31/H32 | 0.68 (proS), 0.88 (proR) | 33.1 | C59-H55 | 1.21 | 21.9 |
| C61-H13 | 4.00 | 58.2 | C60-H56/H12 | 0.28 (proR), 1.28 (proS) | 18.2 |
| H5 | 8.45 | - |  |  |  |
| ( $\beta$-Me)Phe II |  |  |  |  |  |
| C31 | - | 169.2 | C32-H33 | 4.91 | 56.0 |
| C62-H57 | 2.89 | 43.8 | C69-H58/H59/H60 | 1.01 | 18.1 |
| C63 | - | 142.5 | C64-H14 and C68-H18 | 7.12 | 127.6 |
| C65-H15 and C67-H17 | 7.07 | 127.5 | C66-H16 | 6.95 | 125.6 |
| H6 | 7.81 | - |  |  |  |

comprises the 40 lowest experimental energy structures (total experimental NOE and J-coupling energy term $<17.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$, minimum energy $13.3 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}, 5.4$ $\pm 0.9$ restraint violations beyond $0.15 \AA$ and $1.1 \pm 0.2$ beyond $0.3 \AA$ ). Two families can be resolved in this ensemble (representatives of which are shown in blue and red in Fig 2.13 A) and B)), family NJa comprising 23 structures and family NJb comprising 17 structures. Family NJa forms a tighter bundle ( $0.1 \pm 0.1 \AA$ RMSD). The average pair-wise RMSD over all atoms between members of the two different groups is $3.63 \AA$ while the average intra-family is $1.34 \AA$ for NJa and $2.94 \AA$ for NJb . For the macrocyclic ring atoms, these numbers fall to $0.2 \AA$ for NJa and 0.7 $\AA$ for NJb, and $1.4 \AA$ average pairwise RMSD between members of the two different families. We can conclude that although both families are in agreement with the NOEs and J-couplings to essentially the same extent, the structure of the macrocyclic ring is significantly different. This structural difference occurs around the $\psi$ dihedral angle of $(\beta-\mathrm{Me})$ Phe II (Fig 2.14). There are therefore two conformations of the


Figure 2.13: Comparison of the NOE and J-coupling (NJ) and the NOE/J-coupling and RDC (NJR) refined ensembles. A) 40 lowest energy structures from the NJ ensemble (all atom superposition). The two families of macrocyclic ring conformations are coloured red and blue. B) 40 lowest energy structures from the NJ ensemble (macrocyclic ring superposition). C) 40 lowest energy structures from the NJR ensemble (all atom superposition). D) 40 lowest energy structures from the NJR ensemble (macrocyclic ring superposition).
macrocycle that are essentially in equal agreement with the NOE and J-coupling restraints. This is not necessarily due to molecular flexibility, it is much more likely that the experimental data are not sufficient to define a single conformation of the macrocycle. In order to further refine this structural ambiguity we have introduced RDCs into the structure calculation. The orientational information present in these restraints is complementary to the distance and dihedral angle restraints used for the NJ ensemble. In order to facilitate the use of RDCs in structure calculation it is useful to have some initial idea of the molecular alignment tensor resulting from the partial alignment of the molecule. As this is difficult to predict without a known structure we have used the extensive conformational sampling present in the NOE/J-coupling (NJ) and the restraint-free (RF) ensembles to represent the available conformational


Figure 2.14: Representation of the two major families in the NOE/Jcoupling ensemble (NJ). Lowest energy members of the two families show major differences about the ( $\beta$ Me)Phe II $\psi$ dihedral angle
sampling for the molecule, and attempted to identify the alignment tensor that fits best to members of this ensemble of 900 structures. If the conformational sampling of this ensemble is sufficiently large this procedure should simultaneously identify the best-fitting conformers with respect to the RDCs, and determine the most appropriate alignment tensor. As the side-chains are potentially more flexible than the macrocyclic ring, we have restricted this analysis to the 16 RDCs present on the backbone.

The entire NOE/J coupling refined NJ ensemble (both families) was also used in the initial RDC analysis. Each of the 900 structures was used to fit the RDCs from the macrocyclic ring (4 NH RDCs and 12 CH RDCs). Although there is a very broad spectrum of fit quality, illustrating the diversity of the entire ensemble, a family of structures does actually fit these RDCs quite well (Fig 2.16 and Fig 2.15). The 40 structures that best-fit the macrocyclic ring RDCs all give very similar alignment tensor parameters $\left(\mathrm{A}_{a}=(8.71 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}, \mathrm{~A}_{r}=(5.69 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-4}\right)$, and are in fact very similar with respect to their RMSD. This sub-ensemble only contains members of family NJb, and none from family NJa, and therefore already demon-


Figure 2.15: Experimental versus back-calculated RDCs for NJ1, NJ19 and NJR19. Comparison of the 16 RDCs of the macrocyclic ring for NJ1 (A) the equation of the fitting curve is Dcalc $=0.95 * \operatorname{Dexp}-0.85$ and for NJ19 (B) : $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}=0.95 * \operatorname{Dexp}-1.2$ and with the 42 RDCs for NJR19 (C) : Dcalc $=0.99^{*} \operatorname{Dexp}-0.04$, for NJR1 (D) : Dcalc $=0.99^{*}$ Dexp -0.02 and NJ19 (E) : Dcalc $=0.44^{*}$ Dexp -1.26 .
strates the power of RDCs to resolve structural ambiguity resulting from NOE-based analysis. This stage of fitting RDC values over the structures obtained by NOE and J analysis allows to exclude the NJa family.


Figure 2.16: Structure selection to create the NJ ensemble based on NOE/J coupling terms with respect to the RDC fitting. Only RDCs originating from the macrocyclic core structure are used in the fitting procedure. Structures with experimental energies $\mathrm{E}_{\text {NOE }}<17 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$ were selected for inclusion in the ensemble NJ. Notice that conformers that fulfill the NOE/J coupling restraints to a similar extent reproduce the macrocycle ring RDCs to very different degrees.

### 2.2.2.4 Refining the structure of hormaomycin using RDCs

The RDC derived structure of hormaomycin was determined using an identical protocol to that used for the NJ ensemble, with additional steps allowing for initial determination of the optimal orientation of the alignment tensor for the respective structure using the 16 macrocyclic ring RDCs. The eigenvalues $\mathrm{A}_{a}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{r}$ were tethered to the values determined as described above and the orientation was allowed to evolve freely throughout the calculation (protocol 3 in 5.6.1.3). During the 80 ps sampling period 42 RDCs (except those measured in the aromatic and methyl groups) were used with equal weighting. Again the 40 structures with the lowest combined experimental energy term were taken to form the final NJR ensemble (Fig.2.13 C) and D$)$ ). Not surprisingly the average RMSD of the macrocyclic ring coordinates of this ensemble is lower than those measured for the NJ ensemble $(1.9 \pm 0.3 \AA$ for heavy atoms compared to $2.6 \pm 0.7 \AA$ and $0.32 \pm 0.16 \AA$ for the macrocyclic ring atoms compared to $0.60 \pm 0.22 \AA$ ).

Table 2.3: Pairwise RMSDs of superimposed structures

|  | NJ1 | NJ19 | NJ1 | NJ19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A) whole molecule |  |  |  |  |
| NJR19 | 2.75 | 2.68 | 2.28 |  |
| NJR1 | 1.58 | 1.48 |  |  |
| NJR19 | 0.60 |  |  |  |
| Structures number 1 of family NJa | 5.54 | 5.54 | 4.36 | 4.36 |
| Structures number 19 of family NJa | 5.69 | 5.66 | 4.49 | 4.49 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| B) macrocyclic ring NJR19 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.38 |  |
| NJR1 | 0.31 | 0.31 |  |  |
| NJR19 | 0.05 |  | 1.22 | 0.98 |
| Structures number 1 of family NJa | 1.37 | 1.36 | 1.23 | 0.99 |
| Structures number 19 of family NJa | 1.38 | 1.37 |  |  |

The refinement procedure results in a structural ensemble (NJR) whose macrocycle ring conformation strongly resembles the NJb family determined from the NOE/Jcoupling data only (Tab 2.3). This is not surprising, as the NJa family was found to agree less well with the RDCs than the NJb family. It therefore appears that the structural ambiguity present in the NJ ensemble was indeed due to a lack of sufficient restraints, and that the RDCs have provided the necessary complementary orientational information to clearly distinguish between the two families. The average pair-wise RMSD of the macrocyclic ring conformations of the NJR ensemble is $1.2 \AA$ with respect to the NJa family and only $0.75 \AA$ with respect to the NJb family. From the reduction of the RMSD, it is evident that the RDCs have defined both backbone and side-chain conformations significantly. In this case the average number of NOE violations above $0.15 \AA$ is $9.1 \pm 0.9$ and $1.4 \pm 0.6$ beyond $0.3 \AA$. The total experimental NOE and J-coupling energy term is $19.6 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$, (minimum energy $15.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$ ). Although the NJR ensemble is in slightly worse agreement with the NOE and J couplings, this effect is quite small and apparently not significant.

### 2.2.2.5 Comparison of refined structures

The pairwise RMSDs of the representatives structures of the ensembles (NJ, NJR and the family A of the NJ ensemble) are listed in Tab.2.3 A) and B). Except for the closely defined NJa family ( RMSD of $\mathrm{NJ} 19-\mathrm{NJ} 1=0.60 \AA$ ), the RMSD for the

Table 2.4: Different R and Q factors for different structures of hormaomycin comparing the RDCs both of the macrocyclic ring and of the side chains with the experimentally observed values

| Refined <br> structures | $\mathbf{R}$ <br> backbone $^{a}$ | all $^{b}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ <br> backbone $^{a}$ | all $^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NJR1 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| NJR19 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| NJR1 | 0.96 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 0.81 |
| NJR19 | 0.96 | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.84 |
| ${ }^{a} 16$ RDCs used, ${ }^{b} 42$ RDCs used |  |  |  |  |

whole molecule is higher than $1.5 \AA$. The latter can be explained by the different side chain conformations. In contrast, the RMSD of the macrocyclic ring is similar for the structure pairs between the NJR ensemble and family NJb.

Two structures of the NJb ensemble (NJ1 and NJ19) were analysed by comparing the calculated RDCs from the structure and the experimental ones. The 16 backbone RDCs are in good agreement $(\mathrm{R}=0.96, \operatorname{Tab}, 2.4$, Fig 2.15 A$)$ and B$)$ ) with the backcalculated ones from these structures. However the entire 42 experimental RDCs (excluding methyl groups and the aromatic ortho and meta RDCs) do not fit very well with the ones calculated from the NOE structures (Tab.2.4, Fig 2.15E)).

In order to show the benefit of using RDCs for structural refinement, the experimental RDCs were compared with the back calculated by using representative structures of the NJR ensemble (NJR1 and NJR19). For the structure NJR1 as well as NJR19, the fitting factor R is close to 1 when the 16 backbone RDCs are used,

Table 2.5: The most different dihedral angles in the bulky side chains of the two RDC structures NJR1 and NJR19

|  | NJR1 | NJR19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NH (3-Ncp)Ala II)-H $(a$-Thr $)$ | $137^{\circ}$ | $-174^{\circ}$ |
| $\psi$ of (3-Ncp)Ala II | $41^{\circ}$ | $-27^{\circ}$ |
| N-CO-C-N (Chpca) | $165^{\circ}$ | $-17^{\circ}$ |
| N-C $_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ (3-Ncp)Ala II | $61^{\circ}$ | $-89^{\circ}$ |

which indicates a perfect fit between the calculated structure and the RDC values that had been used to refine the structure (Tab,2.4). Moreover with 42 RDCs the fitting factors are again very good: $\mathrm{R}=0.99(\mathrm{Tab} .2 .4, ~ \mathrm{Fig} 2.15 \mathrm{C})$ and D$)$ ), even if the side-chains have different conformations. A similar fitting factor has been obtained for the other structures of the NJR ensemble (data not shown).

### 2.2.2.6 Flexibility of hormaomycin in DMSO

Within the NJR ensemble the most prominent structural differences are found in the bulky side chain starting at the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ of $a$-Thr. Due to severe overlap only a limited number of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ couplings and NOE signals could be extracted unambiguously for this part of the molecule. The comparison with the NMR structure in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ indicated a more disordered conformation ensemble because long range NOEs of the pyrrole ring protons are missing. A detailed analysis was undertaken using the RDC structures NJR1 and NJR19 as representatives. In the bulky side chain four dihedrals differ, two of which substantially (N-CO-C-N of Chpca and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ of (3-Ncp)Ala II (Tab.2.5). The first dihedral amounts to a value of $165^{\circ}$ in the NJR1 structure and to $-17^{\circ}$ in NJR19, which constitutes a pyrrole ring, flip. Both positions can be stabilized by a hydrogen bond (Chpca (O)H and Chpca (C)O or (3-Ncp)Ala II (N)H and Chpca $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H})$ ). The measured NOE between Chpca 3-H and (3-Ncp)Ala II NH (see Tab.B.4 in the appendix) is intermediate between the distances in the two structures (NJR1: $2.0 \AA$ NJR19: $4.4 \AA$. The same holds for all proton pairs for which a reliable NOE could be measured and which have different distances in the two structures. The spatial proximity between the NH of ( $3-\mathrm{Ncp}$ )Ala II and the NH of $(\beta$-Me)Phe II indicated by the measured NOE integrals is achieved by a different set of dihedrals in the two structures NJR19 and NJR1 (Tab.2.5). In the two structures there are two combinations of the $\phi$ dihedral of $a$-Thr and the $\psi$ of (3-Ncp)Ala II which direct in both cases the NH of (3-Ncp)Ala II in the vicinity of the NH of the $(\beta-\mathrm{Me})$ Phe II component. We therefore conclude that the bulky side chain of hormaomycin is sampling the conformational space with the concomitant change in several dihedral angles.

### 2.2.2.7 Structural characterization of the macrocyclic ring of the RDC structure NJR19

The structure of hormaomycin exhibits one type II' and one type III $\beta$-turn (Fig.3.3). The III $\beta$-turn comprises the four amino acids Ile (i), (4-Pe)Pro (i+1), a-Thr (i+2) and ( $\beta$-Me)Phe II ( $\mathrm{i}+3$ ) while the II' $\beta$-turn comprises the amino acids $(\beta$-Me)Phe II (i), (3-Ncp)Ala I (I+1), ( $\beta$-Me)Phe I (i+2) and Ile $(\mathrm{i}+3)$. For the two $\beta$-turns a $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{i})-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{i}+3)$ distance of $6.5 \AA$ is found for the components Ile and $(\beta-\mathrm{Me})$ Phe II. Assignment of the turns to their respective types is done based on the values of the observed dihedral angles of the residues $\mathrm{i}+1$ and $\mathrm{i}+2$ (Tab 2.6). These are indicative for type II' and III $\beta$-turns. For the identification of the two $\beta$-turns we took the general criterium that the distance between $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{i}+3)$ is less than $7 \AA$ [39]. There is no hydrogen bond between CO (Ile) and NH ( $a$-Thr) in accordance with the observation that a $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{i})-\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{i}+3)$ hydrogen bond is not necessary for the stabilization of a $\beta$-turn.

Backbone chirality plays an important role in defining the conformational space for $\beta$-turn formation [40]. L-Xaa-D-Yaa and D-Xaa-L-Yaa dyads have a high tendency to be in the corner positions of type II and type II' turns, respectively. Indeed, the type II' $\beta$-turn in hormaomycin is formed with the D-diastereomer of (3-Ncp)Ala I in the corner position followed by the L-diastereomer of ( $\beta$-Me)Me I. In an idealised type III and type II' $\beta$-turn all four $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ atoms lie within one plane. In hormaomycin both turns are twisted when compared to the ideal structure of type III and II' $\beta$-turns.

Table 2.6: Dihedral angles of ideal $\beta$-turns of types III and II' of the components of NJR19

|  | $\phi(\mathrm{i}+1)$ | $\psi(\mathrm{i}+1)$ | $\phi(\mathrm{i}+2)$ | $\psi(\mathrm{i}+2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ideal type III | $-60^{\circ}$ | $-30^{\circ}$ | $-60^{\circ}$ | $-30^{\circ}$ |
| ideal type II | $60^{\circ}$ | $-120^{\circ}$ | $-80^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ |
| ideal type II | $-60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $80^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ |
| (4-Pe)Pro, $a$-Thr | $-66^{\circ}$ | $41^{\circ}$ | $-68^{\circ}$ | $-80^{\circ}$ |
| (3-Ncp)Ala I, $(\beta-\mathrm{Me})$ Phe I | $116^{\circ}$ | $-133^{\circ}$ | $-83^{\circ}$ | $-7^{\circ}$ |

### 2.2.2.8 Comparison of the NMR solution structures of hormaomycin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO, and of the crystal structure from hexylene glycol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (50/50)

The structures in the three solvents are characterized by two $\beta$-turns, which in DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ are formed by the same amino acids supporting the observation that $\beta$ turns are rather stable [39. The comparison of the dihedral angles of the macrocyclic ring clearly shows considerable similarities between the three structures (Tab.2.7, Fig (2.17). Nevertheless, the RMSD for the macrocyclic ring between the DMSO structure and the crystal structure is high $(2.3 \AA)$, in contrast to a low value between the DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ structure ( $0.66 \AA$ ). The same components form two $\beta$-turns


Figure 2.17: Stereoview of the RDC structure NJR19
in the DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ structure resulting in the low RMSD for the macrocyclic ring. One of the turns is classified in both cases as type II' and the other is different: type III in DMSO and type II in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. This is confirmed by an average fitting factor $\mathrm{R}=0.80$ between the back-calculated RDCs from the chloroform structure

Table 2.7: Dihedral angles of ideal $\beta$-turns of types III and II' of the components of NJR19

|  | $\phi$ |  |  | $\psi$ |  |  | $\omega$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DMSO | CDC | crystal |  |  |  | Ile to $(\beta-\mathrm{Me})$ Phe I DMSO | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | crystal |
| ( $\beta$-Me)Phe I ( $\beta$ II') | -83 | -90 | -80 | -7 | -47 | -15 | 180 | 173 | 173 |
| (3-Ncp)Ala I ( $\beta$ II') | 116 | 69 | 131 | -133 | -135 | -163 | 178 | -168 | -179 |
| ( $\beta$-Me)Phe II | -98 | -67 | -103 | 126 | 180 | 133 | 170 | 166 | 172 |
| $a-\mathrm{Thr}$ ( $\beta$ IIII) ( $\mathrm{OCC} \alpha \mathrm{C} \beta \mathrm{O}$ ) | -80 | 43 | 54 |  |  |  | -173 | 170 | 180 |
| $a$-Thr ( $\beta$ IIII) ( $\mathrm{HNCOC} \alpha \mathrm{C} \beta$ ) |  |  |  | -57 | 170 | -89 |  |  |  |
| Ester ( $\beta$ IIII) ( $\mathrm{C} \alpha \mathrm{C} \beta \mathrm{OCO}$ ) |  |  |  | -68 | 90 | 158 |  |  |  |
| Ester ( $\beta$ IIII) ( $\mathrm{C} \beta \mathrm{OCOC} \alpha)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143 | -173 | 168 |
| (4-Pe)Pro | -66 | -61 | -58 |  |  |  | 174 | -176 | 179 |
| (4-Pe)Pro ( $\mathrm{OCOC} \alpha \mathrm{N}$ ) |  |  |  | 41 | 143 | 152 |  |  |  |
| Ile | -128 | -93 | -110 | 160 | 152 | 167 |  |  |  |

Table 2.8: R factors for different structures of hormaomycin, comparing the calculated RDCs of the macrocyclic ring alone and of the ring plus the side chains with the values determined experimentally in DMSO

| Structures | R <br> backbone $^{a}$ | all $^{b}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chloroform | 0.80 | 0.41 |
| NJR19 | 0.74 | 0.52 |
| 16 RDCs used, ${ }^{b} 42$ RDCs used |  |  |

and the experimental ones (Tab. 2.8 and Fig.B.2 A) in the appendix). Nevertheless a poor fit $(\mathrm{R}=0.40)$ is obtained with 42 RDCs ( Tab 2.8 and Fig B.2 B) in the appendix). In the crystal structure the positions of the amino acids i and i+3 are (3-Ncp)Ala I and (4-Pe)Pro which amounts to a rotation of the secondary structure by one residue as compared to the secondary structure found in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO (Fig 2.18). The respective distance between $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha},(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha},(\mathrm{i}+3)$ is $6.7 \AA$. Assuming the same secondary structure in the crystal as in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO the distance of the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha},(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha},(\mathrm{i}+3)$ would be $7.3 \AA$ which is beyond the allowed distance for a $\beta$-turn. Thus, the secondary structure is indeed rotated in the crystal compared to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO. Because of this rotation the pairwise RMSD of the crystal structure with both NMR structures is very large. Not surprisingly, for the same reason, the RDCs calculated from the crystal structure are in poor agreement (Tab.2.8 and Fig.B. 2 A) and B) in the appendix) with the experimental RDCs.

B)


Figure 2.18: $\beta$-turns in the NMR solution structures. A) chloroform and DMSO and B) in the crystal.

These structural dissimilarities could be rationalized by the different $\varepsilon$ values and different hydrogen bond characteristics of the three solvents: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ is a weak donor and acceptor, DMSO is a strong acceptor, and hexylene glycol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a strong donor and acceptor.

In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ the conformation of the side chain is very well defined by long range NOEs between Chpca/( $\beta$-Me)Phe I and Chpca/(3-Ncp)Ala I [37]. The pyrrole ring of Chpca is in a stacking interaction with the phenyl ring of ( $\beta$-Me)Phe II. This type of interaction does not occur in the DMSO structures indicating a flexible and/or under-determined bulky side chain. The crystal structure of the monomer would lead to the observation of ROEs between Chpca and ( $\beta$-Me)Phe II, which are not visible neither in DMSO nor in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (see Tab.B. 3 in the appendix). Furthermore the observed ROE signals in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ between Chpca/(c-Me)Phe I and Chpca/(3-Ncp)Ala I could also not occur in the crystal structure which shows too long distances (see Tab.B. 4 in the appendix).

Consequently, the RMSDs between the RDC structure NJR19 compared with the crystal structure and the NMR structure in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ are substantial: $6.91 \AA$ and $4.10 \AA$ respectively. The RMSD between the DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ structure reflects the higher flexibility of the bulky side chain, whereas the further increased value with the crystal structure indicates a substantial influence of the solvent and/or a methodological component.

### 2.2.3 Conformational and configurational refinement of hymenistatin

The aim of this project was to determine the conformation of hymenistatin in DMSO, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and THF and the configuration of its thirteen prochiral centers. The refinement protocols used are described in 5.6.2.

### 2.2.3.1 Introduction

Hymenistatin is a cyclo octapeptide, that can be synthesized [41] or extracted from Hymeniacidon sponge collected in the Pacific Ocean [42]. The amino acid sequence has been determined to be cylco-(-Pro1-Pro2-Tyr3-Val4-Pro5-Leu6-Ile7-Ile8-) and its formula can be found in Fig 2.19. The substance shows an interesting cytostatic activity on murine lymphoblastic leukaemia. Due to its cyclic nature and solubility in DMSO, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and THF hymenistatin presents itself as an ideal test case for our new approach to probe conformation and configuration with RDCs.


Figure 2.19: Formula of hymenistatin

### 2.2.3.2 Comparison of the five different sets of RDCS

For hymenistatin we could measure five different data sets of RDCs in three different solvents. The alignment medium/solvent combination together with their intertensor

Table 2.9: Comparison of the alignment tensors obtained for hymenistatin in five different alignment media and three different solvents

|  |  | Intertensor angle [ $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alignment medium/ | PH-gel/ | PPH-gel/ | PAN-gel/ | PDMS-gel/ | PDMS-gel/ |
| solvent | DMSO | DMSO | DMSO | CDCl $_{3}$ | THF |
| PH-gel/DMSO | 0 | 53.2 | 76.6 | 84.0 | 87.6 |
| PPH-gel/DMSO | 53.2 | 0 | 117.6 | 46.4 | 59.8 |
| PAN-gel/DMSO | 76.6 | 117.6 | 0 | 125.8 | 105.6 |
| PDMS-gel/DMSO | 84.0 | 46.4 | 125.8 | 0 | 42.1 |
| PDMS-gel/DMSO | 87.6 | 59.8 | 105.6 | 42.1 | 0 |

5D space angles are summarized in Tab.2.9. All the intertensor angles are notably different from another and therefore the structural information, which can be deduced is independent. In Fig 2.20 the principal axis coordinate system of all five sets of RDCs are depicted and are drawn next to the molecular frame of the illustrated backbone structure of hymenistatin. They have been determined by fitting the measured backbone RDCs on a hymenistatin structure, that had been previously refined with the respective NOE and RDC dataset.


Figure 2.20: Comparison of the principal axis component system of the alignment tensors of A) PH-gel/DMSO B) PPH-gel/DMSO C) PAN-gel/DMSO D) PDMS-gel/CDCl ${ }_{3}$ and E) PDMS-gel/THF drawn next to the molecular frame of hymenistatin

### 2.2.3.3 DMSO conformation

Each of the three RDC data sets for DMSO have been used individually to refine the conformation of hymenistatin as described in 5.6.2. The resulting twenty best

Table 2.10: Average correlation factors (R) of the refined RDC data set (bold) and the backbone RDCs of the omitted datasets

| Refined data set | $\mathbf{R}$ [ ] PH-gel | $\mathbf{R}$ [] PPH-gel | $\mathbf{R}$ [] PAN-gel |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PH-gel | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9}$ | 0.90 | 0.97 |
| PPH-gel | 0.95 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 9}$ | 0.99 |
| PAN-gel | 0.96 | 0.95 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$ |

energy structures for each set have then been cross-validated by back-calculating the backbone RDCs of the two data sets not included in the refinement onto those structures. The resulting average correlation factors (R) are listed in Tab 2.10 and indicate a good agreement of the backbone structures of hymenistatin refined with three different RDC data sets. The overall backbone conformation of hymenistatin in DMSO can be described as pseudo- $\beta$ strand, extending from Pro2-Pro5 on one side and Leu6-Pro1 on the other side. The pseudo- $\beta$ strand is not flat and extended, but adopts a 'twisted banana' conformation (Fig.2.21). The turns formed at each ends are a $\beta$ VIa around Pro1-Pro2 and a $\beta$ II around Pro5-Leu6. The average value for the according $\phi$ and $\psi$ angles for the twenty best energy structures of the NOE and J-coupling (NJ) ensemble as well as the twenty best energy structures for the NOE, Jcoupling and RDC (NJR) ensembles for the PH-gel, PPH-gel and PAN-gel are listed in Tab 2.11along with the values of the ideal type $\beta$ turn. These results are in accordance with a previously solved DMSO conformation of hymenistatin [41]. In Fig 2.21, the twenty lowest energy structures of NJ and NJR ensembles are superimposed. The RMSD to the mean of the backbone atoms is $0.185 \AA$.

### 2.2.3.4 Chloroform conformation

The overall backbone conformation of hymenistatin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ is similar to that found in DMSO ( $\mathrm{RMSD}=0.233 \AA$ ). There is a $\beta$ VIa turn formed around Pro1-Pro2 for the twenty best energy structures found in the NJ ensemble as well as the NJR ensemble of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Tab 2.12). The major difference in the conformation, however, is found around Leu6. While the NJ ensemble forms a $\beta$ II turn around Pro5-Leu6, which compares quite well with the conformation in DMSO, two families of structures

Table 2.11: Dihedral angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of ideal $\beta$ turns of type II and VIa and the ones found for the hymenistatin in DMSO

|  | $\phi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1})$ | $\psi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{2})$ | $\phi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{2})$ | $\psi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{2})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ideal type II | -60 | 120 | 80 | 0 |
| ideal type VIa | -60 | 120 | -90 | 0 |
| Pro1-Pro2 |  |  |  |  |
| NOE/J | -70 | 155 | -84 | 17 |
| PH-gel | -53 | 171 | -81 | 21 |
| PPH-gel | -64 | 148 | -89 | 21 |
| PAN-gel | -54 | 145 | -90 | 17 |
| Pro5-Leu6 |  |  |  |  |
| NOE | -56 | 140 | 63 | -1 |
| PH-gel | -50 | 135 | 77 | -14 |
| PPH-gel | -55 | 140 | 66 | -11 |
| PAN-gel | -47 | 148 | 68 | -18 |



Figure 2.21: Conformation of hymenistatin in DMSO. The twenty best structures of the NJ ensemble (grey) and the NJR ensembles from PH-gel (blue), PPH-gel (red) and PAN-gel (yellow) have been superimposed. A) Backbone representation including amide protons, B) All atom representation in which the backbone atoms a drawn slightly thicker to guide the eye.
are found within the NJR ensemble (referred here as C61 and C62), which differ in the orientation of the amide bond of Leu6 (Fig.2.22). The population within the twenty best energy structures in the C61 and C62 subensembles is 5:15. The orientation of the Leu6 amide proton in the C61 conformation is very similar to that found in DMSO corresponding to a $\beta$ II turn around Pro5-Leu6. For conformation C62, the NH-bond is tilted about $100^{\circ}$ out of the ring compared to C61 and the $\phi$

Table 2.12: Dihedral angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of ideal $\beta$ turns of type II and VIa and the ones found for the hymenistatin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

|  | $\phi \mathbf{( i + 1 )}$ | $\psi \mathbf{( i + 2 )}$ | $\phi \mathbf{( i + 2 )}$ | $\psi \mathbf{( i + 2 )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ideal type II | -60 | 120 | 80 | 0 |
| ideal type VIa <br> Pro1-Pro2 | -60 | 120 | -90 | 0 |
| NOE/J | -72 | 163 | -72 | 1 |
| PDMS-gel | -56 | 163 | -86 | 18 |
| Pro5-Leu6 |  |  |  |  |
| NOE/J | -42 | 148 | 74 | -33 |
| PDMS-gel (C61) | -45 | 142 | 61 | -43 |
| PDMS-gel (C62) | -45 | -118 | -48 | -5 |



Figure 2.22: Conformation of hymenistatin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The twenty best structures of the NJ ensemble (grey) and the NJR ensemble from PDMS-gel (red) have been superimposed. A) Backbone representation including amide protons, B) All atom representation in which the backbone atoms a drawn slightly thicker to guide the eye.
and $\psi$ angles do not allow to interpret a standard $\beta$ turn. Fig 2.23 shows the heavy atoms of Pro5 and Leu6 along with the Leu6 amide proton of NJ and NJR ensemble. The blue and green circles indicate the two subensembles C61 and C62. Within the less populated C61 structures the amide proton of Leu6 is more solvent exposed than for the structures of the subensemble C62, where it is better protected by the neighbouring side chains. For a detailed discussion of the solvent effect see 2.2.3.6. The two conformations found for the NJR ensemble do not agree with the chloroform conformation previously published Konat et al. [41]. The major difference is that the $\gamma$ turn around Ile7 stabilized by the intramolecular H-bond between Ile8-NH


Figure 2.23: Pro5 and Leu6 of hymenistatin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The structures of the NOE ensemble are drawn in grey and the for the NJR ensemble in red. The blue and green circles indicate the amide protons for the two subensembles C61 and C62 for the NJR ensemble
and Leu6-CO could not be observed in any of our lowest energy ensembles. In these conformations the Ile8-NH is forming a H-bond with Val4-CO. Konat et al. state, that the NOE distance-restraint violation during their restraint MD simulations are 62 pm with only three NOEs violated more than 100 pm . A closer inspection of the published NOE data lead to the fact, that actually six out of eight NOEs involving Ile8-NH are violated by more than 50 pm . Therefore we performed a calculation in XPLOR-NIH using the protocol described in 5.6.2 and the NOE data set from Konat et al.. Among the resulting twenty lowest energy structures, no NOE is violated and none of conformations exhibits a $\gamma$ turn around Ile7, but formed a H-bond between Ile8-NH and Val4-CO, which is in accordance with our findings. In a second test calculation, we included the published $\phi$ and $\psi$ as restraints to mimic the previously found chloroform conformation. All the twenty best energy structures of this simulation showed six NOE violations bigger than 50 pm of which five involved Ile8-NH.

Furthermore we found a solvent accessible surface for Ile8-NH of $\sim 1.1 \AA^{2}$, whereas all other amide protons are buried. In contrast to the conformations found in the NJR ensemble were Leu6-NH is the only solvent accessible amide proton (see Tab.2.14 below), which suits the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectrum, where only this amide proton shows a solvent dependent high field shift. Therefore we can conclude, that in the correct chloroform conformation Ile8-NH is forming a intramolecular H-bond with Val4-CO.

### 2.2.3.5 THF conformation

The overall backbone conformation of hymenistatin in THF is again very similar to those found in DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \mathrm{RMSD}=0.295 \AA$ and $\mathrm{RMSD}=0.300 \AA$, respectively). A $\beta$ VIa turn around Pro-Pro2 can be deduced from the $\phi$ and $\psi$ angles listed in Tab 2.13 and the overall 'twisted banana' conformation is observed as shown in Fig 2.24. The difference in conformation arises around Leu6. In contrast to DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, THF structures of the NJR ensemble are forming a $\beta \mathrm{I}$ turn around Pro5Leu6 (Tab.2.13). In the NOE ensemble, the Leu6-NH is tilted about $80^{\circ}$ out of the ring compared to the NJR ensemble and therefore no standard $\beta$ turn can be assigned. It is important to note, that no NOE is violated for this $80^{\circ}$ flip of Leu6-NH, which makes the increase of the precision of the structure apparent, when RDCs are used in the structural refinement.

Table 2.13: Dihedral angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] of ideal $\beta$ turns of type II and VIa and the ones found for the hymenistatin in THF. The $\phi$ and $\psi$ angles reflect the similarity of the NOE and NR ensembles for the $\beta$ turn formed around Pro1-Pro2 and the difference for the one around Pro5-Leu6 (this conformational difference is in accordance with the NOE data)

|  | $\phi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{1})$ | $\psi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{2})$ | $\phi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{2})$ | $\psi(\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{2})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ideal type I | -60 | -30 | -90 | 0 |
| ideal type VIa | -60 | 120 | -90 | 0 |
| Pro1-Pro2 | -80 | 170 | -89 | -1 |
| NOE | -75 | 166 | -83 | 16 |
| PDMS-gel |  |  |  |  |
| Pro5-Leu6 | -72 | 80 | -171 | -49 |
| NOE | -34 | -15 | -125 | 7 |
| PDMS-gel |  |  |  |  |



Figure 2.24: Conformation of hymenistatin in THF. The twenty best structures of the NJ ensemble (grey) and the NJR ensemble from PDMS-gel (yellow) have been superimposed. A) Backbone representation including amide protons, B) All atom representation in which the backbone atoms a drawn slightly thicker to guide the eye.

### 2.2.3.6 Comparison of the DMSO, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and THF conformations of hymenistatin

As noted previously, the overall conformations of hymenistatin in $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and THF are very similar, which can be seen in Fig 2.25 where the twenty best energy structures of each NJR ensembles of the PH-gel/DMSO, PDMS-gel/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and PDMS-gel/THF are superimposed $(\operatorname{RMSD}=0.312 \AA)$. The major effect of the solvent on the conformation has been found for the orientation of the amide proton


Figure 2.25: Two different views of the superposition of the twenty best energy backbone structures including amide protons of hymenistatin of the three NJR ensembles of PH-gel/DMSO (blue), $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (red) and THF (yellow).
of Leu6. The solvent effect on this proton can be appreciated by the comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectra of hymenistatin in the three different solvents (Fig 2.26). The amide


Figure 2.26: Comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectra of the amide region of hymenistatin in DMSO, THF and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ recorded on a 600 MHz spectrometer.
proton of Leu6 is very solvent exposed in the DMSO-conformation (Tab.2.14), forms intermolecular H -bonds and therefore unveils an downfield shift in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectrum compared to the other amide protons. In contrast, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, which is less polar than DMSO, subsequently can not form intermolecular H-bonds with hymenistatin, which leads to an upfield shift in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectrum of the amide proton of Leu6 compared to the other amide protons. Furthermore the shifted amide resonance is broadened, which might reflect the conformational flexibility of this proton in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The solvent accessible surface of the two conformations found for the NJR ensemble of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ are listed in Tab. 2.14. The solvent accessibility for the highly-populated conformation C62 is significantly lower, which is reasonable as a conformation of hymenistatin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ where the protons are very solvent accessible is unfavourable due to the

Table 2.14: Solvent accessible surface of the Leu6 amide proton in the different NJR ensembles of hymenistatin. The surfaces have been calculated using the program Macromodel 43]

| solvent | DMSO | DMSO | DMSO | CDCl $_{3}$ | THF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| alignment medium | PH-gel | PPH-gel | PAN-gel | PDMS-gel | PDMS-gel |
| Solvent accessible surface $\left[\AA^{2}\right]$ | $2.6 \pm 0.3$ | $3.6 \pm 0.3$ | $4.1 \pm 0.5$ | $3.3 \pm 0.6(\mathrm{C} 61)$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
|  |  |  |  | $1.2 \pm 0.8(\mathrm{C} 62)$ |  |

solvents lack to form intermolecular H-bonds. In THF no solvent effect could be observed on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of the amide proton of Leu6 as all amide protons resonate in the same chemical shift region. This can be explained by the fact that no solvent accessible surface could be detected for the Leu6 amide proton in the THF conformation of the NJR ensemble.

### 2.2.3.7 Configuration of hymenistatin

Residual dipolar couplings are a very powerful tool to provide the correct assignment of diastereotopic protons as has been abundantly shown for compounds with rigid conformation [10, 11]. Therefore it was our aim to simultaneously determine the conformation and configuration of the thirteen prochiral centers of hymenistatin making combined use of NOEs, J-couplings and RDCs as restraints in a simulated annealing calculation. In our refinement protocol (described in 5.6.2), we used a special force field that allowed methylene protons to switch positions during the first high temperature stage of the calculation which is known as floating chirality [44. In the second high temperature stage the geometries of the prochiral centers were fixed, before the structures were further refined in a cooling stage and powell minimization. The twenty lowest energy structure for the NJR ensembles of PH-gel/DMSO, PPH-gel/DMSO, PAN-gel/DMSO and PDMS-gel/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and the NR ensemble for PDMS-gel/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, the two NJ ensembles for DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and the NOE ensemble of THF were then inspected, if the correct assignments of all thirteen prochiral centers could be achieved. A prochiral center was considered assigned, if $80 \%$ out of the twenty lowest energy structures converged to the same attribution.

Tab 2.15 lists all measured RDC data sets. Values in bold indicate that for these prochiral centers no assignment could be achieved, values with a grey background indicated that they could be stereospecifically assigned in the NJ ensembles or the NOE ensemble and for values with a yellow background the assignment from the NOE and NR ensemble differs.

There are various reasons, why the assignment of a prochiral center will fail. First of all, if the difference in the value of the two RDCs for a prochiral center is small compared to the range of the measured RDCs, the orientations of the internuclear vectors with respect to the alignment tensor are very similar and a differentiation becomes impossible.

Secondly, if the difference in the RDCs of a prochiral center is large and the assignment fails, the following considerations have be taken into account. Conformational flexibility of a residue will lead to an increased averaging of the RDC values compared to more rigid parts in the molecule on one hand and to multiple solutions in the refinement procedure due to the increased conformational space, that can be sampled by flexible moieties on the other hand. For example the RDCs of the prochiral center $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ of Ile7 in the PAN-gel/DMSO data set are reasonably different and therefore one would expect to achieve a clear assignment for this moiety. Unfortunately this prochiral center is two rotatable bonds away from the more rigid backbone and multiple solutions for the orientation of the internuclear bond vectors are found during the structural refinement.

Thirdly, an unfavourable orientation of the alignment tensor can yield multiple solutions for a prochiral center even if the difference in the RDCs is large. This is often the case when prochiral centers in proline residues or the prochiral $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ of Tyr and Leu fail to show an assignment. This fact can best be explained by inspection of the prochiral $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ of Pro3 for the NJR ensemble of the PPH-gel data set (Fig 2.27). This moiety has a pseudo-assignment, meaning although the prochiral assignment is mixed up, the RDC with the positive value has been attributed to the axial protons within the two conformations and the RDC with the negative to the equatorial protons. That happens because the axial protons have the same orientation with respect to


Figure 2.27: Pro3 of the NJR ensemble of PPH-gel along with the principal axis system of the alignment tensor
the alignment tensor (indicated by the $\theta$ angle in Fig 2.27 A)) on the one hand and the equatorial protons are able to fulfill different orientations on the cone of solutions around the $\mathrm{A}_{y y}$ principal axis on the other hand. The use of a second RDC data set is a possible solution for the diversity of prochiral assignments in the refinement procedure due to conformational flexibility and the unfavourable tensor orientations. The cone of solutions for one alignment tensor then reduces to the intersecting regions of the two cones of two alignment tensors, which drastically reduces the possible orientations of the internuclear vectors. Calculations with multiple RDC data sets are currently underway for DMSO and will hopefully resolve the configurational ambiguities for the DMSO configuration in the future.

Finally, some moieties like $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ Pro5 fail to show an assignment in the NJR and NR ensembles, although the NJ or NOE attribution is provided. Surprisingly this moiety could only be assigned in the NJ ensemble of DMSO, but in non of its NJR ensembles even if no RDC was provided for the structural refinement. Closer inspection of the NOE data revealed, that an NOE between one $\mathrm{H}_{\gamma}$ and the $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ of Pro5 causes the difference. In the NOE ensemble Pro5 adopts a single conformation, while in the NJR ensemble this NOE is fulfilled with a pucker of $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ or a tolerable NOE violation if a single conformation is found as the difference in the distance between the $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ and the two $\mathrm{H}_{\gamma}$ in a trans-proline is just $0.2 \AA$. For DMSO eight out of eleven
prochiral centers for which at least one RDC was extracted could be assigned with our structural refinement protocol. These are three additional attributions compared to the NJ-ensemble. The missing assignments concern the two $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ moieties of Ile7 and Ile8 and the $\mathrm{C}_{\gamma}$ of Pro5, which have been discussed above. For chloroform, five out of ten possible assignments could be achieved, which is an increase of four attributions compared to the NJ ensemble. Again an unfavourable tensor orientation and/or the small difference of the RDC compared to the range of RDCs measured account for the failed assignments. For THF eight of the nine possible prochiral assignments could be achieved. That are two additional assignments compared to the NOE ensemble, but in contrast to the DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ configuration the assignment for the two prochiral centers of Pro2-C $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ and Pro5- $\mathrm{C}_{\delta}$ differed in the NOE and NR ensemble. It is important to note, that no significant difference in the NOE violations could be observed upon closer inspection of those two moieties. To resolve this problem an E.COSY experiment to obtain ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{H H}$ will be measured to obtain more insights in the conformation of these proline residues. It will be interesting to see in the future wether the NOE or NR ensemble resolved the configuration of those prochiral centers correctly.

Table 2.15: Residual dipolar couplings of hymenistatin. Values in bold represent prochiral centers where the configurational assignment could not be achieved. Values with a grey background have a prochiral assignment in the NJ ensemble or NOE ensemble, respectively. For values with a yellow background the assignment from the NOE ensemble differs from the NR ensemble

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { PH-gel/ } \\ \text { DMSO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PPH-gel/ } \\ \text { DMSO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PAN-gel/ } \\ \text { DMSO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { PDMS-gel/ } \\ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { PDMS-gel/ } \\ \text { THF } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pro1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | -6.4 | 20.9 | 40.7 | -8.1 | 5.2 |
| HB1 | 0.0 | -8.8 | 3.7 | -11.7 | -4.8 |
| HB2 | 14.1 | -4.9 | -9.2 | 23.0 | 5.8 |
| HG1 | - | - | - | -12.0 | - |
| HG2 | - | - | - | -24.9 | - |
| HD2 | -2.1 | - | -20.9 | -10.6 | -11.7 |
| HD1 | -4.1 | - | 32.3 | -14.0 | 4.6 |
| Pro2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | -13.0 | -18.0 | -22.4 | -32.7 | -13.9 |
| HB1 | - | - | - | -14.5 | 2.8 |
| HB2 | - | - | - | 31.0 | 16.0 |
| HG1 | 9.0 | -16.6 | 10.5 | 16.5 | -1.1 |
| HG2 | 7.5 | 15.9 | -14.1 | 12.9 | 6.1 |
| HD1 | -10.4 | - | - | 21.6 | 11.3 |
| HD2 | 7.1 | - | - | 10.6 | 7.7 |
| Tyr3 ${ }^{\text {rem }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | -8.6 | 8.3 | 37.1 | -13.3 | -0.5 |
| HB1 | -3.1 | 17.3 | 5.1 | 23.6 | -2.8 |
| HB2 | -11.2 | 3.2 | 15.0 | -11.3 | 15.3 |
| Val4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | -7.1 | 18.3 | 42.5 | - | 4.4 |
| HB | - | - | - | -14.0 |  |
| Pro5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | 6.6 | 0.7 | -11.1 | 17.0 | 5.0 |
| HB1 | - | 3.6 | -20.6 | 34.9 | 12.5 |
| HB2 | -17.0 | -13.0 | -25.2 | -13.2 | -7.0 |
| HG2 | -8.8 | - | - | - | - |
| HG1 | 5.2 | - | - | - | - |
| HD2 | 13.2 | - | - | -37.3 | 5.7 |
| HD1 | -5.4 | - | - | 32.9 | -15.5 |
| Leu6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | 21.8 | 18.5 | -6.9 | 36.4 | 16.0 |
| HB2 | 16.8 | 17.7 | -3.0 | 28.1 | 13.2 |
| HB1 | -19.2 | 4.7 | 18.7 | -13.2 | -4.6 |
| HG | - | 4.7 | 12.8 | -14.3 | -0.7 |
| Ile7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | -20.4 | 7.4 | 23.6 | -23.5 | -9.4 |
| HB | -16.3 | 4.2 | 20.6 | -27.1 | -9.8 |
| HG12 |  | 2.8 | 16.3 | , | - |
| HG11 | -8.1 | 6.5 | -2.0 | - | - |
| Ile8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HA | -5.3 | 21.6 | 33.9 | -5.7 | 5.9 |
| HB | -15.5 | 7.0 | 25.1 | -14.9 | -0.9 |
| HG12 | -8.5 | 0.4 | 13.4 | - | - |
| HG11 | -10.1 | 6.1 | 1.0 | - | - |

### 2.2.4 Determination of the relative configuration of diastereomers

### 2.2.4.1 Introduction



Figure 2.28: Formula of ((-)-menthyl)-(5-oxo-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-diphtalate (DiaA) with numbering. The unknown stereocenter is marked with an asterisk, stereocenters known are indicated with their correct configuration.
((-)-menthyl)-(5-oxo-5,6-dihydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-diphtalate (DiaA) (Fig 2.28) presented itself to us as a very challenging test molecule for the elucidation of its relative configuration. This compound could neither be crystallized nor is it possible to elucidate its relative stereochemistry with traditional NMR parameters like NOE and J-couplings as the ester moieties form breaks in the H-bonding network. Generally one can divide this molecule into three subunits. A menthyl-subunit for which the configuration is known, an aromatic-subunit and a pyranyl-subunit with unknown stereochemistry at position 19. These three subunits are linked with two ester bonds each bearing three rotatable bonds providing DiaA with more flexibility than the previous examples of hymenistatin or menthol. Therefore the aim of this project is to reaveal by means of the "real case" DiaA, that it is possible to determine the relative configuration of a quite flexible small molecule.

### 2.2.4.2 Determination of the relative configuration

Two NOE ensembles for the R- and S-diastereomer were created using eighteen NOEs and 54 non-NOEs with the refinement protocol described in 5.6.3. The non-NOEs
were used to prevent the refinement to position protons in close proximity for which no NOEs were observed. Furthermore two sets of RDCs were measured in DMSO. One in PH-gel and the other in PAN-gel. Attempts to measure a third RDC data set in PPH-gel failed, because DiaA showed an accelerated degradation process in this gel compared to isotropic DMSO solution, which made the measurement of RDCs impossible. The RDCs derived from the PH-gel (listed in C.3.3.1) and the PAN-gel (listed inC.3.3.2) were fitted with the SVD module of PALES to every single structure of the NOE ensembles for the R- and the S-diastereomer. Correlation plots of the observed versus backcalculated RDCs for the best fitting structure of each ensemble can be found in Fig 2.29 .

The correlation factors of 0.98 and 0.94 for the S-diastereomer compared to 0.91 and 0.93 for the R-diastereomer for the PAN-gel and PH-gel RDCs indicate that the S-configuration is the correct one. However, this molecule is flexible due to the six rotatable bonds connecting its three subunits so that NMR observables like NOEs and RDCs generally do not reflect the measurement of a single conformer, but rather a collection of interconverting structures. Therefore comparing the best fit to a single structure can only be an indication for the right configuration. To get a more dynamic picture we decided to fit the RDCs to an ensemble of four structures, that are randomly picked out of the NOE ensembles of the R- or S-diastereomer. Hereby the RDCs do not have to fulfill a single structure, but the ensemble of structures. The ten best overall Q-factors for both RDC data sets are listed in Tab.2.16. The significantly lower Q-factors for the NOE ensembles of the S-diastereomers again evidence the better correlation of the RDCs. Due to the sparse data, that can be obtained for this molecule it will be necessary to cross-validate these results in the future. The approach will be to generate an additional conformational ensemble for both diastereomers from quantum-chemical calculations using a solvent box to ensure the correctness of the NOE ensembles derived from NOEs and non-NOEs.


Figure 2.29: Correlation plots between the observed (RDC(obs)) and backcalculated RDCs (RDC(calc)) of DiaA. A) PAN-gel RDCs fitted on the structure of the S-diastereomer, B) PH-gel RDCs fitted on the structure of the S-diastereomer, A) PAN-gel RDCs fitted on the structure of the R-diastereomer and A) PH-gel RDCs fitted on the structure of the R -diastereomer.

Table 2.16: Best ten overall Q-factors for the PH- and PAN-gel RDCs for the R- and S-diastereomers of DiaA

|  | Q-factor [] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ensemble | R-diastereomer | S-diastereomer |
| 1 | 0.206 | 0.151 |
| 2 | 0.216 | 0.154 |
| 3 | 0.217 | 0.172 |
| 4 | 0.230 | 0.176 |
| 5 | 0.234 | 0.182 |
| 6 | 0.234 | 0.182 |
| 7 | 0.235 | 0.186 |
| 8 | 0.240 | 0.187 |
| 9 | 0.242 | 0.187 |
| 10 | 0.244 | 0.191 |

### 2.3 Conclusion and outlook

### 2.3.1 Properties of the PH- and PPH-gel

In summary, we have shown that reliable alignment can be obtained by using PH- and PPH-gel with DMSO and other solvents for complex organic molecules of various sizes. The magnitude of the dipolar couplings is in a range where they can be evaluated accurately since they are large enough to be measured with an acceptable spectral resolution since ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ dipolar couplings do not cause extensive line broadening. The alignment of the two gels is independent and can be scaled by the use of NMR-tubes with different diameters.

### 2.3.2 Conformational refinement of hormaomycin

We have shown that the structure of hormaomycin in DMSO differs from the NMR structure determined in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the crystal structure. Especially the bulky side chain is flexible in DMSO which is not the case in chloroform. The secondary structure of hormaomycin adopting two $\beta$-turns is identical in DMSO and chloroform but differs from the crystal structure obtained from ethanol in which the two $\beta$-turns are rotated by one residue. The structure in DMSO could be refined using residual dipolar couplings obtained from the PH-gel. Without RDC refinement two major conformations for the macrocyclic ring were derived, whereas after refinement with RDCs from the backbone and the side chains, only one of the two macrocyclic ring conformations remained valid. Since there is a strong dependence of the conformation adopted on the solvent system in the absence of knowledge about interaction partners it remains unclear which conformation is the biologically active. The bulky sidechain is quite unstructured in the refined NJR ensemble. Future work will investigate the mobility of the bulky sidechain via measurements of RDCs in a second alignment medium and subsequent structure calculations.

### 2.3.3 Conformational and configurational refinement of hymenistatin

We could obtain five sets of RDCs in three different solvents. The RDC data sets were used to refine the conformation in $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and THF and we could show that the conformation changes locally at residue Leu6 in the different solvents. For DMSO the conformation previously published by Konat et al. could be reproduced, whereas the chloroform conformation differed significantly. Thorough analysis of the previously published NOE data and solvent accessible surfaces could confirm that Ile8-NH is forming a H-bond with Val4-CO and not with Leu6-CO as stated by Konat et al.. The conformation of hymenistatin in THF has been elucidated for the first time. The overall fold has been found to be similar to the conformation in DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. A $\beta$ VIa turn is formed around Pro1-Pro2 like in DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, but around Pro5-Leu6 a $\beta$ I turn was found, whereas in DMSO and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\mathrm{C} 61)$ a $\beta \mathrm{II}$ and in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(\mathrm{C} 62)$ no turn could be assigned. RDCs proved to be a helpful tool to assign the configuration of the thirteen prochiral centers of hymenistin. Refinement protocols including one RDC data set lead to additional assignments of one to four prochiral moieties compared to structures only refined with NOE and J-couplings. Prochiral centers for which RDCs could be obtained sometimes failed to show configurational assignment due to conformational flexibility and/or an unfavourable tensor orientation. Therefore, future investigations will focus on refinement protocols with multiple RDC data sets to reduce orientational ambiguities of internuclear bondvectors.

### 2.3.4 Determination of the relative configuration of diastereomers

Two sets of RDCs could be extracted for DiaA, which have been fitted to NOE ensembles generated for both diastereomers. The single structure, that fulfilled both sets of RDCs best, exhibited a S-configuration for the unknown configuration of the stereocenter at position 19. To account for the flexibility of this molecule the RDCs were fitted on an ensemble of four structures randomly picked from the NOE ensembles of
each configuration. The overall Q-factors for both alignment media again indicated a better correlation for the S-configuration. Future work concerns quantum chemical calculations with a solvent box to obtain an independent conformational ensemble and cross-validate our findings.

# Structural investigations of PPlase domain of trigger factor and its complex to suc-AAPF-pNA with paramagnetic tagging 

The aim of our investigations was to test new paramagnetic tags, that have been developed in our group, and to obtain the structure of the complex between the artificial ligand suc-AAPF-pNA ( $N$-succinyl-alanine-alanine-proline-phenylalanine- $p$ nitroanilide) and the PPIase domain of trigger factor from Mycoplasma genitalium. All the studies presented here were carried out on the central domain of trigger factor, which was found to be responsible for the PPIase activity of the protein.

### 3.1 Introduction

### 3.1.1 Biological background of trigger factor

A peptide chain folding into its native conformation undergoes many transitions. One of those, the cis-trans isomerization of the peptidyl-prolyl bond is catalyzed by the peptidyl-prolyl isomerases (PPIases) [45]. The cis and the trans conformation are separated by a high energetic barrier [46] leading to a slow interconversion between them. For proline, different from all other aminoacids, the cis-peptidyl bond plays a significant role in the peptide backbone, making the peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerization a crucial step in the folding process of proteins. Supporting this assumption
are results showing the impact of PPIases on the rate of in-Vitro protein folding [47]. Three structurally unrelated subclasses of PPIases are identified so far depending, on their binding to different drugs. The FK506 binding proteins (FKBPs), the parvulins and the cyclophilins [45], of which parvulins and FKBPs adopt a similar fold of their PPIase domain (FKBP fold) [48], while cyclophilins are structurally unrelated. Another PPIase is the trigger factor, a 59 kDa modular protein with three domains, a N-terminal ribosome binding domain [49], a central PPIase domain and a C-terminal domain of unknown function [50]. It was initially found to be involved in the transport of secretory export proteins in Escherichia coli [51], were it seems to stabilize their partially unfolded states [52]. Trigger factor shows high affinity to unfolded proteins independent of proline residues and has the highest in-Vitro folding activity of known PPIases 53]. The current view of its function in-Vivo is therefore that trigger factor is a multifunctional enzyme having PPIase and chaperone activity [53]. Its importance is outlined by the fact that in the minimalistic genome [54] of the bacterium Mycoplasma genitalium, trigger factor is the only known enzyme having PPIase function so far 555. Beside the evidence for the significant role of trigger factor, this singularity might lead to new treatments against the pathogenic bacterium. Recently the structure of the trigger factor PPIase domain from Mycoplasma genitalium was solved by NMR [56] and x-ray [57] and allowed explanations why the trigger factor despite its strong homology to the FKBP class does not bind FK506 [56].

### 3.1.2 Paramagnetic tagging

External alignment media are very popular for the determination of structures and dynamics, but there are certain aspects of biomolecular structures and dynamics that are difficult to address using these media and that have led to the introduction of paramagnetic alignment even of diamagnetic molecules. While metal binding proteins often self align for example using lanthanides instead of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, proteins without metal binding sites need to be tagged in order to achieve sufficiently large alignment. Then not only dipolar couplings can be observed but also pseudocontact shifts that depend on the same magnetic susceptibility tensor as the dipolar couplings.

All contributions from self-alignment for the dipolar couplings can be subtracted by comparing the paramagnetic species with the spectrum of a diamagnetic species.

The first problem using external alignment media is the use of dipolar couplings for the characterization of complexes of small molecules and proteins with medium or low affinity. Small molecules tend to be rather hydrophobic and therefore are incompatible with many or all alignment media. Thus, so far, only sugar/protein complexes were successfully studied due to the large hydrophilicity of oligosaccharides [58].

Another aspect is the study of the structure of symmetrical homodimers [59]. While external alignment can only reveal the axis of symmetry as one of the three principal axes of the alignment tensor, incomplete labeling of a homodimer with a paramagnetic tag leads to two sets of signals that contain information about the relative orientation and contact surfaces of the homodimer. A third aspect is the study of domain motion, since it is enhanced using paramagnetic alignment as compared to external alignment [60, 61]. This is due to the fact that a reduction of the alignment tensor of the non-tagged domain versus the tagged domain can only result from interdomain motion. In contrast to external alignment media, for paramagnetic tagging, the alignment tensors for two domains can only be the same if they are static with respect to each other. However, identical alignment tensors induced by external alignment, must not be interpreted as a proof for lack of interdomain motion.

Approaches for the alignment of diamagnetic proteins are summarized in Fig.3.1. The approach that has been used is to fuse paramagnetic protein domains to align diamagnetic proteins. Pseudocontact shifts of only 0.05 ppm and RDCs below 1 Hz were obtained in a zinc finger protein where zinc had been replaced by $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ [62]. A larger alignment was observed using EF hands loaded with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}$ if the EF hand was attached to a peptide that inserts into a micelle (Fig 3.1 A)). The linker length had to be carefully optimized to observe dipolar couplings of the order of 8 Hz [63]. Pseudocontact shifts were not reported in this publication, maybe due to the large distance of the EF hand from the peptide. A similar approach was taken for diamagnetic proteins extending them by a cognate peptide of CaM and binding lanthanide $\left(\mathrm{Tb}^{3+}\right)$ loaded CaM to this construct (Fig.3.1 B)). Dipolar


Figure 3.1: Different strategies for paramagnetic tagging. The metal is indicated by orange spheres: A) Tagging by a N - or C-terminal extension. Normally, the extension will be labeled in the same way as the protein of interest. B) Extension by a peptide that is recognized by a metal binding protein such as CaM. The metal binding protein need not be isotopically labeled. C) Attaching a paramagnetic tag to the protein of interest, preferably via a cysteine
couplings of up to 8 Hz and pseudocontact shifts of below 0.05 ppm were observed 64]. While the approach is very elegant, the increase in molecular weight of the complex by approximately 16 kDa called for the reduction of the paramagnetic tag. Also, the metal binding sites of CaM do not have exceedingly high affinities to lanthanides which makes the study of metal binding proteins with this technique impossible. Peptide tags with yet even less affinity to the metal have been developed in the groups of Imperiali and Schwalbe. A seventeen amino acid long peptide attached to the C- or N-terminus of the protein yields dipolar couplings and pseudocontact shifts (Fig 3.1 A)) after careful optimization of the linker length [65]. Different alignment tensors
were observed for different lanthanides as had been observed also for paramagnetic proteins [66].

Small paramagnetic tags that are attached to proteins via cysteines have been introduced in order to reduce the increase in the molecular weight of the protein upon tagging 60] (Fig.3.1 C)). The first chelator was based on DTPA which was attached to the N-terminus of a peptide that bound to CaM (Fig 3.2A)). Loading of the DTPA with lanthanides could be achieved selectively due to the enormous affinity $\left(10^{-21} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of this compound to lanthanides. However, it turned out that DTPA exists in different diastereomeric forms that have different alignment tensors [67]. DTPA has been recently used for paramagnetic tagging to two cysteine residues via a double linker [68] (Fig.3.2 B)). However, as observed also for the DTPA alone, there are five
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Figure 3.2: Different paramagnetic tags that have been used together with paramagnetic ions. A) DTPA amide, B) the linker used in Ref. [68], C) the linker used in Refs. [59, 62, 69, 70, 71, D) and E) diastereomeric linkers that adopt only one stereoisomer when loaded with lanthanides explored in [69, 72] F) and G) with reduced number of rotatory bonds used in 73]
paramagnetic species in slow exchange. They all lead to different dipolar couplings and different pseudocontact shifts which render the spectrum rather complicated. While in principle the different species can be assigned due to different populations of the diastereomers, it is not practical to work with these highly overlapped spectra. In addition, for resonances that are far away from the tag, the cross peaks for the different species overlap such that only an averaged dipolar coupling can be extracted which would be difficult to analyse.

EDTA derived tags have been used as alternative to DTPA since they are stereochemically less problematic. Several compounds have been proposed that are summarized in Fig 3.2 (C-G). The compound in Fig. 3.2 C) is easily sythesized from EDTA anhydride and can be linked to single, accessible cysteines in proteins. However, upon binding of lanthanides, the nitrogen atom indicated with a star in Fig.3.2 C) becomes chiral and therefore, at least two different alignment tensors are observed which yield very complicated spectra (vide infra) [69]. At acidic pH and using only bivalent ions $\left(\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right)$, the exchange rate between the two chiral forms is fast enough so that only one set of signals is observed (Byrd R.A., personal communication); however, these conditions also loosen the binding of the metal to the tag which can cause other complications. Nevertheless, the orientation of homodimeric proteins could be studied conclusively by inducing pseudocontact shifts and dipolar couplings after substoichiometric addition of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ to the homodimer. Then, three resonances are observed for each of the homodimers, the isotropic one when there is no loading, and two if one of the tags is loaded, namely from the loaded monomer and from the non-loaded monomer. Peaks from the doubly loaded homodimer were not observed at the low concentrations of the lanthanide used [70]. In addition, the same authors showed that paramagnetic tagging is useful for the improvement of large structures [71]. The paramagnetic tag in Fig. 3.2 C) was investigated in a recent study [69] and tagged to trigger factor. A duplication of cross peaks was observed for this tag when loading the tag with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$ (Fig.3.3) after full loading of the tag which was proven by the absence of the isotropic cross peaks in the HSQC spectrum. The reason for this behavior of the tag could be traced back to the chirality introduced at the nitrogen center


Figure 3.3: Doubling of resonances of a ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ spectrum of trigger factor S100C upon loading of the attached tag of Fig. 3.2 C) with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$. The right spectrum is an expansion of the left spectrum
(Fig 3.4) by attaching the tag to a small chiral compound 2-phenyl-propaneamine which yielded only one set of signals in the absence of metal but two in the presence of $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ 69. Thus, the tag should be designed in a way that no additional stereocenter forms upon complexation with the lanthanide. The paramagnetic tags described in Figs.3.2(D-G) [69, 72, 73] do not form new stereocenters when tagged with lanthanides. They have dissociation constants in the $10^{-18} \mathrm{M}$ range [72] and can therefore be used for the investigation of metal binding proteins [73]. They strongly align proteins at 800 MHz and lead to NH dipolar couplings exceeding 8 Hz . The tags are uniquely suited to align metal binding proteins. Apo-calmodulin (Apo-CaM) as depicted in Fig. 3.5 was tagged with the compounds of Fig. 3.2 F) and G). The


Figure 3.4: The top trace shows the spectrum of the tag of Fig. 3.2 C) attached to the chiral compound 2-phenyl-propaneamine. There is only one set of signals. Binding of $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ leads to doubling of the resonances indicating the formation of the chiral nitrogen centre



Figure 3.5: Apo-calmodulin (Apo-CaM) tagged with the tags of Fig 3.2 F) and G). The positions of the metals and the tensor orientations are indicated. The single cysteine residue is marked in yellow
observed tensor orientation as well as the metal positions are indicated in the figure. NH dipolar couplings up to 8 Hz were observed in this case at 800 MHz .

### 3.2 Results and discussion

### 3.2.1 Paramagnetic tags $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$

The tags $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ have been attached to the trigger factor mutant TFS100C via a covalent sulfide bond and loaded with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$ as described in 5.2.4. An $\omega_{1}$-coupled ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ spectrum of trigger factor is shown in Fig 3.6 for tag 6a loaded with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$. The overview spectrum indicates the high quality of the sample. No isotropic peaks were detected and NH resonances even in close proximity to the tag site (C100) can be observed, as for example Q99. This is due to the fact that the metal is farther away from the protein backbone for $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}(16 \AA)$ than for the tags $\mathbf{3 a}$ and 3b (13 $\AA$ ). The assignment of the peaks is straight-forward, since the anisotropic peaks are shifted on a $45^{\circ}$ diagonal ( ppm scale) compared to the isotropic cross peaks (indicated in the insert of Fig 3.6). The previously published tags $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$ and


Figure 3.6: Anisotropic ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-IPAP-HSQC spectrum (high-field cross peak) in the $\omega_{1}$-dimension. The spectrum only shows isotropic peaks indicating the total loading of the tag with the lanthanide. The insert shows A52 isotropic in red and anisotropic in blue (both cross peaks) with a dipolar coupling of 5 Hz and a pseudocontact shift of -0.12 ppm
the new tags 6a and $\mathbf{6 b}$ induce alignment tensors that have different orientation and locate the metal at different positions as indicated in Fig 3.7 and Tab.3.1. The size of the measured RDCs ranges from -6 Hz to 8 Hz for all four tags (see C.4.5). The expected increase of the size of the RDCs for the new tags $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ due to their shorter linker could therefore not be observed as the conformational averaging of the RDCs is probalbly dominated by the rotation around the disulphide bond.


Figure 3.7: Trigger factor S100C mutant tagged with the tags shown in Fig.3.2(D-G). The metal positions (green for tag $\mathbf{3 b}$, orange for $\mathbf{3 a}$, red for $\mathbf{6 b}$ and blue for $\mathbf{6 a}$ ) as well as the tensor orientations ( $\mathrm{A}_{x x}=$ green, $\mathrm{A}_{y y}=$ blue and $\mathrm{A}_{z z}=$ red $)$ are indicated.

Table 3.1: Axial $\left(D_{a}-\mathrm{HN}\right)$ and rhombic ( $R h$ ) components of the alignment tensors and angles between them achieved with four paramagnetic tags for trigger factor.

| tag1/tag2 | angle $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | tag | $\boldsymbol{D}_{a}$ - $\mathbf{H N}[\mathrm{Hz}]$ | $\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{h}$ | distance $^{a}[\AA]$ | $\mathbf{R ( P C S})^{b}[]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{3 a} \mathbf{3 b}$ | 69 | $\mathbf{3 a}$ | 4.1 | 0.56 | 13 | 0.96 |
| $\mathbf{3 a} \mathbf{6 a}$ | 147 | $\mathbf{3 b}$ | 4.4 | 0.38 | 13 | 0.86 |
| 3a/6b | 157 | $\mathbf{6 a}$ | 4.3 | 0.35 | 16 | 0.82 |
| 3b/6a | 120 | $\mathbf{6 b}$ | 4.1 | 0.47 | 16 | 0.86 |
| 3b/6b | 119 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 a} \mathbf{6 b}$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Distances from the tagged sulfur atom to the metal position.
${ }^{b}$ Correlation factors between the observed and backcalculated PCS

It has been shown for external alignment media, that it is possible to determine intradomain mobility [74, 75, [76, [77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84] and that it is possible to define structures more precisely when more than one alignment medium is used [85]. Similarly, using different tags for the same binding site improves the precision of the structure determination of trigger factor. When the dipolar couplings induced by the four tags of Fig 3.2 (D-G) were used for trigger factor, we obtained a structural ensemble with a RMSD to the mean structure in the backbone of only $0.202 \AA$ (Fig 3.8) while we obtained a RMSD of $0.33 \AA$ to the mean structure using only the dipolar couplings of the tag of Fig. 3.2 F). A detailed description of the structural calculations can be found in 5.6.4.


Figure 3.8: Comparison of the structures of trigger factor obtained. A) including the RDCs from from the tag of Fig 3.2 F) and B) all four tags shown in Fig 3.2(D-G). The improvement of the precision of the structure is obvious

### 3.2.2 Determination of the $\mathrm{K}_{d}$

The trigger factor/suc-AAPF-pNA complex formation was monitored by recording a series of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ spectra of a $0.3 \mathrm{mM}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled NMR-sample of trigger factor with a stepwise increasing suc-AAPF-pNA concentration. suc-AAPF-pNA was added from a 20 mM stock solution $\left(\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and final suc-AAPF-pNA concentrations were $50,100,200,300,400,500,1000$ and $2000 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The dissociation constants shown in Tab 3.2 were determined as described in 5.5.2. As example, the fitting curve of residue E71 is shown in Fig $\sqrt[3.9]{ }$. All other fitting curves can be found in the appendix (B.5.2). The $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ of the trigger factor/suc-AAPF-pNA complex has


Figure 3.9: $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ fitting curve of residue E 71 of trigger factor. For this residue a $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ of $0.033 \pm 0.017$ could be determined.
been investigated with a $\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution before [56]. Results yielded a $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ of 0.1 mM , but an affinity of DMSO itself $\left(\mathrm{K}_{d}=200 \mathrm{mM}\right)$ to trigger factor was reported as well, which made a reinvestigation of this parameter necessary. The $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ found for the $\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is about a factor of two smaller than the data previously published. This might be due to the lack of competition between the ligand and DMSO or more likely because of the inaccuracy of the $\mathrm{K}_{d}$-determination by NMR. The errors for the $\mathrm{K}_{d}$-determination via NMR titration usually range from $33 \%$ to $75 \%$ [86, 87].

Table 3.2: Experimental $\mathrm{K}_{d}$-values for the ten residues exhibiting the biggest chemical shift differences upon ligand titration

| Residue | $\mathbf{K}_{d}[\mathrm{mM}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{L} 49$ | 0.011 |
| N56 | 0.097 |
| N64 | 0.038 |
| S65 | 0.027 |
| F66 | 0.045 |
| E71 | 0.033 |
| T72 | 0.027 |
| F105 | 0.000 |
| E106 | 0.037 |
| L109 | 0.052 |
| Average | $0.037 \pm 0.026$ |

### 3.2.3 ${ }^{13}$ C-filtered HSQC pulse sequence

A review on the most general principles and filter elements used in NMR pulse sequences can be found in [88]. Protein-ligand complexes can be tackled by labeling either the ligand or the protein. Labeling the ligand may be preferred if the main interest lies with the ligand-bound conformation. Drawbacks of this approach are that only limited information on the protein partner is likely to be available, and that, unless the ligand can readily be prepared in the desired labeled form by biosynthetic means or by over-expression, synthesis may be technically challenging or excessively costly. In many cases, labeling the protein rather than the ligand, and performing isotope-filtered experiments is then the preferred option for a couple of reasons. Firstly, if the protein is obtained by overexpression, labeling is often reasonably straightforward and usually not prohibitively expensive. Secondly, provided the spectrum of the bound ligand is interpretable, much more information can be obtained from such a system, than if the protein is unlabeled. Thirdly, if the study is part of a drug discovery program, it is usually desired to obtain structural information on complexes with a number of different ligands, and this is most economically done if the ligands are unlabeled. The disadvantage of the labeled protein-approach is
that the spectral information of isotope-filtered experiments on the unlabeled bound ligand are rarely as 'clean' as that from labeled ligands using isotope-editing. Here we introduce a new ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered HSQC pulse sequence (Fig.3.10). It is based on a standard sensitivity enhanced and gradient selective HSQC [89, 90] pulse sequence and extended by a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C C}$-stop-filter (The sequence in BRUKER language can be found in the appendix B.5.3). Below, the filter mechanism is described in terms of product


Figure 3.10: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ filtered pulse sequence. Narrow and thick bars represent $90^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$ RF-pulses, respectively. Narrow and thick shaped bars correspond to $90^{\circ}$ Q5 and $180^{\circ}$ Q3 gaussian pulse cascades if not indicated differently. The pulse lengths were calibrated to the desired excitation bandwidth. sp1 is a $180^{\circ}$ smoothed adiabatic pulse ( 60 kHz ) with a pulse length of $500 \mu \mathrm{~s}$; sp2 is a 1 ms water flip-back pulse; sp3 is a $180^{\circ}$ composite adiabatic pulse ( 60 kHz ) with a pulse length of 2 ms . The pulse phases were along x if not indicated otherwise. Phase cycles were: $\phi_{1}=\mathrm{x},-\mathrm{x}, \phi_{1}=\phi_{R}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x},-\mathrm{x}$, -x . The delays were set to the reciprocal of the aliphatic ${ }^{1} J_{C H}$ coupling for $\tau(7.14 \mathrm{~ms})$, the aliphatic ${ }^{1} J_{C C}$ coupling for $\tau_{1}(28.57 \mathrm{~ms})$ and the aromatic ${ }^{1} J_{C C}$ coupling for $\tau_{2}$ $(18.18 \mathrm{~ms})$. PFG durations and strengths were: $\mathrm{g}_{1}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$ at $30 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{g}_{2}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$ at $-27.5 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{g}_{3}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$ at $40 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{g}_{4}=500 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ at $5.5 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{g}_{5}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$ at $-2.5 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{cm}$, $\mathrm{g}_{6}=1 \mathrm{~ms}$ at $10.05 \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{cm}$.
operator formalism. The first $90_{x}^{\circ}(H)$ pulse turns the equilibrium magnetization $\mathrm{H}_{z}$ into the transversal plane.

$$
H_{z}+C_{z} \quad \xrightarrow{90_{x}^{\circ}(H)} \quad-H_{y}+C_{z}
$$

The following two delays $\tau / 2$ that are set to $1 /\left(2^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C H}\right)$ and flank two $180^{\circ}$ pulses on each nucleus, transfer the magnetization into antiphase magnetization.

$$
-H_{y}+C_{z} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \pi J_{C H}(\tau / 2) H_{z} C_{z}-180_{x}^{\circ}(H), 180_{x}^{\circ}(C)-\pi J_{C H}(\tau / 2) H_{z} C_{z} \\
& 2 H_{x} C_{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

A $90_{y}^{\circ}(\mathrm{H})$ and $90_{x}^{\circ}(\mathrm{C})$ complete the INEPT-transfer (Insensitive Nucleus Enhanced Polarization Transfer) and transform the proton antiphase magnetization onto the carbon.

$$
2 H_{x} C_{z} \xrightarrow{90_{y}^{\circ}(H), 90_{x}^{\circ}(C)} \quad 2 H_{z} C_{y}
$$

At this stage one has to differentiate between magnetization of the unlabeled ligand and the labeled protein. For the unlabeled ligand the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C C}$-stop-filter has no effect as the natural abundance of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ is only $1.01 \%$ and therefore the probability $\left(\mathrm{P}_{C C}\right)$ of two neighbouring ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ atoms in a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{n}$ moiety (with n being the number of neighbouring carbon atoms) is given by Eqn 3.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{C C}=n\left(1.01 \cdot 10^{-2}\right)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently the probability of two neighbouring ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ atoms in the ligand is in the order of $10^{-4}$ and therefore the loss in magnetization can be neglected.

$$
2 H_{z} C_{y} \quad \pi J_{C C^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{1} / 2\right) C_{z} C_{z}^{\prime}-180_{x}^{\circ}(C)-\pi J_{C C^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{1} / 2\right) H_{z} C_{z} \quad 2 H_{z} C_{y}
$$

The labeled protein evolves a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C C}$ coupling and therefore magnetization is transformed to $-4 H_{z} C_{x} C_{z}^{\prime}$ with $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ being the neighbouring carbon and $\tau$ set to $1 /\left(2{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C C}\right)$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered HSQC pulse sequence in Fig 3.10 magnetization evolving an aromatic or aliphatic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C C}$ coupling is filtered simultaneously by setting $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ accordingly.

$$
2 H_{z} C_{y} \xrightarrow{\pi J_{C C^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{1} / 2\right) C_{z} C_{z}^{\prime}-180_{x}^{\circ}(C)-\pi J_{C C^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{1} / 2\right) H_{z} C_{z}} \quad-4 H_{z} C_{x} C_{z}^{\prime}
$$

The following $90_{x}^{\circ}(\mathrm{C})$ pulse concludes the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C C}$-stop-filter and turns magnetization from the protein into unobservable multiple quantum coherence and magnetization arising from the unlabeled ligand onto the z -axis.

$$
\begin{array}{rcc}
2 H_{z} C_{y} & 90_{x}^{\circ}(C) & 2 H_{z} C_{z} \\
-4 H_{z} C_{x} C_{z}^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{90_{x}^{\circ}(C)} & 4 H_{z} C_{x} C_{y}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

A cartoon of the suppression pathway for magnetization that evolves an aromatic and aliphatic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C C}$ coupling can be found in Fig 3.11 As one can clearly see from


Figure 3.11: Scheme of the suppression pathway
the traces through the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered HSQC spectrum shown in Fig. 3.12 RDCs of the ligand are detectable in the range of -2.8 to 1.1 Hz . The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered HSQC spectrum (Fig B.7) could not be perfectly phased in the direct dimension $\left(t_{2}\right)$, which lead to an opposite phase of the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ resonances. That is most probably due to chemical shift evolution of the carbons during the filter element so that a pure phase at the start of the evolution time $\left(t_{1}\right)$ is not present. For the extraction of RDCs the traces of the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ resonances were phased seperately. Unfortunately only two out of four $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ and two out of six $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ resonances could be extracted due to the rather poor quality of the filtered HSQC spectrum, which can be found in Fig.B. 7 and due to the signal overlap with resonances from the solution buffer and protein signals that "survived" the filter element. Due to the poor quality of filtered HSQC spectrum a discussion on the error of the measured RDCs has to be carried
out, which depends on a number of factors [91. The two most important ones are the ratio of the signal line width to the dipolar splitting and the signal to noise ratio. The first factor can be neglected in our case as the line width at half-hight of our signal is about 6-7 times smaller than the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C H}$ coupling. For the evaluation of the error that is introduced by the signal to noise ratio of the spectrum, the following test has been performed. To the isotropic and anisotropic trace of Ala1 $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ ten different traces with pure noise have been added and subsequently the RDC was extracted. The list of RDCs along with its mean value and standard deviation can be found in Tab.3.3.

Table 3.3: Evaluation of the error on the extracted RDC introduced by the signal to noise ratio

| Trial | RDC $[\mathbf{H z}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | -3.3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | -2.6 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | -2.8 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -2.1 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | -2.5 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | -2.5 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | -3.3 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | -2.2 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | -2.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | -2.0 |
| Average | $-2.6 \pm 0.5$ |

By incident, a two-fold excess of ligand has been added to trigger factor. This results in a decrease of the size of the measured RDCs by a factor of two as only the ligand bound to the aligned trigger factor gives rise to RDCs. H-N RDCs for trigger factor bound to the ligand range from -5.4 to 10.0 Hz at 900 MHz (data not shown). Taking into account the different gyromagnetic ratios of carbon and nitrogen, the different bond lengths as well as the fact that the ligand RDCs have been measured at $800 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{RDCs}$ can be expected in the range of -8.6 to 15.8 Hz . The smallest RDC measured for the ligand has a value of -2.8 Hz and would be -5.6 Hz taking the concentration ratio into account. As only four RDCs could be obtained, which by


Figure 3.12: Traces trough the $800 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered HSQC spectra of suc-AAPFpNA bound to trigger factor. The isotropic trace is shown in blue and the anisotropic one in red.
no means samples all the conformational space one can conclude that the measured RDCs are in the correct range.

Ala1 $-\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ showed the biggest pseudocontact shift (PCS) with $0.011 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{Tab}, 3.4)$ of the extracted resonances ( 0.022 ppm taking the concentration ratio into account). For comparison the PCS of the ten residues exhibiting the biggest chemical shift upon ligand titration range from $-0.135-0.237 \mathrm{ppm}$ (see Tab.B.6). As the structure of the suc-AAPF-pNA bound to trigger factor is not known, the internuclear distances of the shifted nuclei to the metal ion are also unknown, which makes a conclusion about our measured PCS difficult. In Fig 3.13A) all amide protons exhibiting a positive

Table 3.4: Extracted pseudocontact shifts for suc-AAPF-pNA

|  | PCS [ppm] |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ala1-H | 0.011 |
| Ala1-H | 0.005 |
| Ala2-H | 0.001 |
| Pro3 $_{\beta}-H_{\alpha}$ | 0.000 |

PCS are drawn as red spheres and all negative ones as blue spheres. In Fig.3.13B) only PCS in the range of $0.00-0.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ are indicated. By looking at the distribution of the PCS in Fig 3.13 and their trend (biggest PCS for Ala1 and smallest for Pro3) there is a slight indication, that in the complex structure of trigger factor/suc-AAPFpNA the ligand is oriented as such that residue Ala1 is positioned deeper inside the binding pocket, where only positive PCS could be measured, and Phe4 towards the outside. Future investigations will focus on improving the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered pulse sequence to measure sufficient RDCs and PCS of the ligand to prove this binding mode.


Figure 3.13: Backbone structure of trigger factor tagged with 6a and loaded with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$. A) All amide protons showing a positive PCS are drawn as red spheres and negative ones as blue spheres. B) Only amide protons exhibiting a PCS in the range of $0.00-0.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ are indicated.

### 3.3 Conclusion and Outlook

We developed two new tags, inducing new alignments that are linearly independent from those induced by previously published tags. In contrast to metal binding protein domains that normally have binding affinities only in the $\mu \mathrm{M}$ to nM range and considerably increase the molecular weight of the protein, paramagnetic tags based on EDTA have a small molecular weight that is comparable to an extension of the protein by only three amino acids. The tags are introduced at a single cysteine site that can be incorporated at any given protein position. For trigger factor the additional alignment tensors allowed us to improve the precision of the structure. The extremely large affinity of the tag to lanthanides allows to investigate proteins that have tight metal binding sites such as apo-CaM and is therefore a versatile tag for all kinds of proteins. Furthermore work towards the investigation of the protein/ligand complex trigger factor/suc-AAPF-pNA, that could not have been carried out with external alignment media due to unwanted interactions of the ligand, has been shown. A new ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered pulse sequence has been introduced to measure RDCs of the non-labeled ligand in complex with the labeled protein. Future work will focus on improving the filter efficiency to accurately measure sufficient ligand RDCs and PCS, which will yield the complex structure by means of structural calculations.

## Materials

### 4.1 Culture media and antibiotics

All culture media were prepared according to Table 4.1 followed by autoclave sterilisation. Before use, $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ of ampicillin and $34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ of kanamycin was added to every medium. For agar plates 15 g agar per 1 l medium were added before autoclaving.

Table 4.1: Culture media and antibiotics

| NAME | AMOUNT | CHEMICAL | REMARK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LB-medium | 10 g | tryptone |  |
|  | 5 g | yeast extract |  |
|  | 10 g | NaCl |  |
|  | up to 11 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| M9-minimalmedium | 6.8 g | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ |  |
|  | 3 g | $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ |  |
|  | 0.5 g | NaCl |  |
|  | 1 g | $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ or ${ }^{15} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ |  |
|  | 4 g | glucose or ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{6}$-glucose |  |
|  | $2 \mathrm{ml}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ |  |
|  | $50 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ |  |
|  | 0.03 g | thiaminechloride hydrochloride |  |
|  | 10 ml | trace elements |  |
|  | up to 11 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| trace elements | 0.6 g | $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
|  | 0.094 g | $\mathrm{MnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
|  | 0.08 g | $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
|  | 0.07 g | $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
|  | 0.03 g | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
|  | 0.002 g | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ |  |
|  | 0.025 g | $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{24} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
|  | up to 100 ml | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | stir 10 min |
|  | 0.5 g | EDTA | stir over night |
| ampicillin stock solution | $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | ampicillin sodium salt | steril filtrated, stored at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| kanamycin stock solution | $34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ | kanamycin | steril filtrated, stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| IPTG stock solution | 1 M | IPTG | steril filtrated, stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

### 4.2 Buffers and solutions

The buffers and solutions used for the methods and protein preparations described in this work are subsumed in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2: Buffers and solutions

| METHOD | NAME | AMOUNT | CHEMICAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affinity chromatography | wash buffer | 50 mM 300 mM 15 mM 0.5 mM 10 mM | NaPi pH 8.0 <br> NaCl <br> $\beta \mathrm{ME}$ <br> Pefabloc <br> imidazole |
|  | elution buffer | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 300 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 15 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 250 \mathrm{mM} \end{aligned}$ | NaPi pH 8.0 <br> NaCl <br> $\beta$ ME <br> Pefabloc <br> imidazole |
| Cell lysis | lysis buffer | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 300 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 15 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \end{aligned}$ | NaPi pH 8.0 <br> NaCl <br> $\beta$ ME <br> Lysozym <br> Pefabloc |
| Ion exchange | ion exchange buffer A | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 5 \mathrm{mM} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NaPi pH } 6.5 \\ & \text { DTT } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ion exchange buffer A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 5 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 1 \mathrm{M} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { NaPi pH } 6.5 \\ & \text { DTT } \\ & \text { NaCl } \end{aligned}$ |
| NMR | NMR buffer | 50 mM <br> 50 mM <br> $10 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOPS pH7.0 } \\ & \mathrm{NaCl} \\ & \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \end{aligned}$ |
| SDS-PAGE | APS | 10 \% | (w/v) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
|  | destaining solution | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \mathrm{ml} \\ & 900 \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { acetic acid } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4 x protein loading buffer | 1.7 g $7.5 \mathrm{ml}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ 23 ml 50 mg 0.5 ml up to 50 ml | SDS <br> Tris/HCl pH 6.8 <br> glycerol <br> bromphenol blue <br> $\beta$-mercaptoethanol <br> $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
|  | running buffer | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \mathrm{~g} \\ & 3.03 \mathrm{~g} \\ & 14.4 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \text { up to } 1 \mathrm{l} \end{aligned}$ | SDS <br> Tris <br> glycine <br> $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
|  | staining solution | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.2 \mathrm{~g} \\ & 100 \mathrm{ml} \\ & 250 \mathrm{ml} \\ & 650 \mathrm{ml} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```Coomassie Brilliant blue G250 acetic acid isopropanol H2O``` |
| TEV-cleavage | TEV-buffer | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 1 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \end{aligned}$ | Tris/HCl pH 8.0 DTT <br> EDTA <br> PMSF |
| Pre-gel solution | PH-gel | 365 mM 365 mM 20 mM | AMPS DMAA BIS |
|  | PPH-gel | 730 mM 730 mM 30 mM | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AMPS } \\ & \text { DMAA } \\ & \text { BIS } \end{aligned}$ |
| Ligand titration | Ligand stock solution | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \mathrm{mM} \\ & 1: 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | suc-AAPF-pNA <br> NMR Buffer / $99 \% \mathrm{~d}_{6}$-DMF |

### 4.3 Enzymes and Chemicals

Enzymes and chemicals used in this thesis can be found in Table 4.3.
Table 4.3: Enzymes and chemicals

| CHEMICALS/ENZYMES | COMPANY |
| :---: | :---: |
| ammonium chloride ( $>98 \%{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ ) | Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, USA |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{6}$-D-glucose ( $>98 \%{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Spectra Stable Isotopes, Columbia, USA |
| $99.9 \% \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 99.9 \% \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ - $\mathrm{DMSO}, 99.9 \% \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ - $\mathrm{DMF}, 99.8 \% \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | Deutero GmbH, Germany |
| ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate, ascorbic acid, Coomassie Brillant Blue R-250, copper chloride dihydrate, iron (II) sulfate heptahydrate | Fluka, Neu-Ulm, Germany |
| DTT | Gerbu, Gaiberg, Germany |
| agarose, kanamycin sulphate | GibcoBRL, Karlsruhe, Germany |
| Bench Mark protein ladder | Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany |
| acetic acid, $\alpha$ - $\mathrm{D}(+)$-glucose monohydrate, ammonium acetate, ammonium chloride, ammonium hydrocarbonate, boric acid, disodium hydrogen phosphate, ethanol, glycerol, glycine, hydrochloric acid, manganese chloride dihydrate, magnesium sulfate heptahydrate, sodium chloride, sodium dihydrogen phosphate, sodium hydroxide, thiaminechloride hydrochloride, Tris | Merck, Darmstadt, Germany |
| Ni-NTA Agarose | Qiagen, Hilden, Germany |
| ampicillin sodium salt, APS, dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, EDTA, imidazole, magnesium chloride hexahydrate, MOPS, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, TEMED, Pefabloc, yeast extract | Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany |
| SDS | Serva, Heidelberg, Germany |
| suc-AAPF-pNA, 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid, N,N-dimethylacrylamide, <br> (3-acrylamidopropyl)trimethylammonium chloride solution $75 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, ammonium persulphate, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-methylenbisacrylamide, poly- $\gamma$-ethyl-L-glutamate, poly- $\gamma$-benzyl-L-glutamate | Sigma-Aldrich, Schnellendorf, Germany |

### 4.4 Equipment

In the following, laboratory instruments and consumables are tabulated.
Table 4.4: Equipment and supplier

| COMMON NAME | IDENTIFIER/COMPANY |
| :--- | :--- |
| Balances | Sartorius B 3100 S, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany |
|  | Sartorius AC 210 S, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany |
| Centrifuges | Beckmann-Coulter Avanti J-20 and J-301, rotors: JLA 8.100, JLA 9.100, JLA 16.250, |
|  | JA 25.50 Ti, JA 30.50 Ti, Krefeld, Germany |
|  | Eppendorf Centrifuge 5415D, Wesseling-Berzdorf, Germany |
|  | Eppendorf Centrifuge 5804, Wesseling-Berzdorf, Germany |
|  | Heraeus Biofuge primo, Kendro, Hanau, Germany |

### 4.5 Software

The software used for processing and analysing NMR spectra, for calculating and analysing structures and for preparing figures is summarized in Table 4.5.

Table 4.5: Software

| PROGRAM | REFERENCE/ORIGIN |
| :--- | :---: |
| InsightII | MSI 2000 release, San Diego, CA, USA |
| MOLMOL | 92 |
| Macromodel | NMRPipe/NMRDraw |
| NMRView 5.0.4 | Pttp://www.pymol.org, 97 |
| PALES | T. D. Goddard and D. G. Kneller, University of California, San Francisco |
| PROCHECK | 98 |
| PROCHECK-NMR | 93 |
| PyMOL | Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany |
| Sparky 3 | Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany |
| XPLOR-NIH 2.9.7 |  |

## Methods

Here, all general methods applied in this thesis are presented. Components of the required buffers and solutions can be found in Table 4.2.

### 5.1 Protein expression

### 5.1.1 Cultivation and storage of $E$. coli

Trigger factor was expressed in the E. coli strain BL21(DE3). Agar plates and all liquid nutrient media contained $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ of ampicillin and $34 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ of kanamycin. Cells were grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single colonies of E. coli BL21(DE3) harbouring the plasmid $\mathrm{pJC}_{\text {Tev }}$ TFS100C were grown on an agar plate and used to inoculate two 3 ml LB , which were incubated for 5 h . They were then transferred into two 50 ml M9-minimal media overnight precultures containing the required nitrogen and carbon sources. The 100 ml overnight preculture was then used to inoculate a 1 l M9-minimal medium culture. Cell growth was monitored by measuring the $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$. At an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of 0.6-0.8 the protein expression was induced by addition of 1 M IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM . The cells were harvested at an $\mathrm{OD}_{600}$ of 1.2-1.6 by centrifugation at 7500 x g and $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The cell pellets were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For long term storage of the E. coli strains, $350 \mu \mathrm{l}$ stationary LB culture were mixed with $150 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sterile $50 \%$ glycerol and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.1.2 Cell lysis

For cell lysis cell pellets from 11 cultures were thawed on ice and suspended in 3040 ml lysis buffer. The suspension was sonicated $8 \times 20 \mathrm{~s}$ on ice with 2 minute breaks. The lysate was centrifuged for 45 min at 48000 xg and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 5.2 Protein methods

### 5.2.1 Nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose affinity chromatography

Overexpressed trigger factor contained a N-terminal His-tag and was purified via a Ni-NTA agarose column. 10 ml of resin were used per protein solution from 1 l of expression culture. The resin, equilibrated with wash buffer, was incubated with the supernatant from the cell lysis (see 5.1.2) for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After washing the column with 10 column volumes of wash buffer, bound proteins were eluted by increasing the imidazole concentration using 5 column volumes of elution buffer.

### 5.2.2 Ion exchange chromatography

Ion exchange chromatography was performed on a ÄKTA prime low pressure liquid chromatography system with HiTrap columns. Before each run, the HiTrap column was prepared by washing at first with 5 bed volumes of buffer A and then with 5 bed volumes of buffer B. Finally, the column was equilibrated with 5-10 bed volumes of buffer A. Samples were loaded at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ onto the equilibrated column. Unbound protein was washed with 5-10 column volumes of buffer A. The elution was performed applying a linear gradient of 0-100 \% buffer B at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ and collecting 0.5 ml fractions. Protein elution was detected by measuring the absorption at $280 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{280}\right)$.

### 5.2.3 Cleavage with TEV-protease

The N-terminal His-tag was cleaved with the TEV-protease. At first, His-tagged trigger factor that was prepurified by affinity chromatography was dialysed at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
against 5 l TEV-buffer (see 5.2.1). Then the protein concentration was determined (see 5.4.1) and 1 mg of TEV-protease per 100 mg of protein was added. The cleavage reaction was performed for 24 h at room temperature. As the TEV-protease contains a His-tag it was removed via a second affinity chromatography step. After completed cleavage, the protein solution was dialysed against 5 l of wash buffer and applied to a 2 ml Ni-NTA Agarose column. The flow through and the first 5 ml from wash step were collected for further purification.

### 5.2.4 Tagging reaction

The protein solution was concentrated to a volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ using a concentrator with MWCO 3000 Da . The sample was then washed twice by addition of 1.8 ml NMR-buffer and concentrated to $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in the same concentrator. For the tagging reaction a 6 fold molar excess of the tag was dissolved in 1 mL NMR-buffer and added to the protein sample and subsequently shaken at room temperature for 1.5 h .

### 5.2.5 Concentrating of proteins

Protein solutions were concentrated by ultrafiltration using $0.5,2$ or 20 ml concentrators with the appropriate molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) of 3500 Da. The centrifugation was performed at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as recommended by the supplier (Table 4.4).

### 5.2.6 Sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

The discontinuous Tris-glycine buffer system as described by Laemmli [100] was used to separate proteins by molecular weight. The components of the stacking and separating gel are given in Table 5.1. All gels had a separating gel with an acrylamide concentration of $15 \%$ and a $3 \%$ acrylamide stacking gel. Protein samples were mixed with 4 x protein loading buffer and denatured at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . Gels were run at 25 mA and stained with Coomassie blue R-250 by heating for 90 s in the microwave. For destaining, several 90 s heating steps in the microwave were performed and gels
were completely destained by shaking overnight. Finally, the SDS-gels were imaged and digitized for documentation.

Table 5.1: Composition of the $17.5 \%$ SDS-gels

|  | Seperating gel | Stacking gel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Rotiphorese Gel 30 | 3 ml | $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| 1 M or 2 M Tris/HCl pH 8.8 | 1.88 ml | - |
| 1 M Tris/HCl pH 6.8 | - | $313 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | 1.88 ml |
|  |  |  |
| $10 \%$ SDS | $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| TEMED | $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $10 \%$ APS | $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ | $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

### 5.3 Preparation of the polyacrylamide-based alignment media

The deionized water as well as all solutions were degassed for at least 15-30 min to get rid of solubilized oxygen, that functions as an inhibitor during polymerization. This step is therefore very important to ensure the homogeneity as well as the quality of the produced gels. A general scheme of the radical polymerisation carried out is shown in Figure 5.1

### 5.3.1 Preparation of the negatively charged PH-gel

For 10 ml of pre-gel solution the amounts of the reactands are listed in Table 5.2, AMPS, DMAA and BIS were dissolved in 9 ml water. Sometimes it was necessary to heat the solution a bit to dissolve everything of BIS. After the solution cooled down

Table 5.2: Composition of the PH-gel pre-gel solution
2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS)
757 mg
N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMAA)
N,N'-methylenbisacrylamide (BIS)
APS stock solution ( $0.015 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ )
30 mg
1 ml

Acrylamide






Bisacrylamide



Figure 5.1: Radical polymerization of acrylamide to polyacrylamide gels
to room temperature 1 ml of APS stock solution was added and carefully mixed. The pre-gel solution was inserted into a gel cylinder with an inner diameter of 3.5 mm , 5.4 mm or 6.4 mm and polymerized for 2 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a heatable dessicator. Therefore gels are later referred to as $3.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 5.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ or 6.4 mm gels. Extreme care has to be taken drilling the gels out of the cylinder, because they are very sensitive at this stage of polymerization. It can take up to 10 min to drill out one gel and it is favourable to keep its top end lubricated with water. The PH-gels were then washed once with NaOH solution $(0.02 \mathrm{M})$ and at least 4 times with water, each time for several hours to remove not polymerized monomers and the starter APS. The swollen gels were now
cut with a scalpel in 3.7 cm pieces, which corresponds to a filling height of $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ in a 5 mm NMR-tube. Only the most homogeneous part of the gels are cut out, parts that bear bubbles or other deficiencies were discarded. The cut gels were pierced with a glass capillary (diameter $0.3-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), which is used to position the gel later in the middle of the fill height in the NMR-tube. The drying was carried out at room temperature on a petri dish, that was covered with regular household foil to reduce friction between the gel and the glass surface. The gels were usually completely dried after 12-24 h. Drying at higher temperatures and reduced pressure also possible, but bears the risks, that the dry gels crack and subsequently can't be used for NMR measurements. The gels in different states during polymerization and the equipment used for it can be seen in Figure A.1. For NMR measurements the dried gels were put in a 5 mm NMR tube, that had been treated with Repelsilan, which renders the glass surface hydrophobic and therfore facilitates the equilibration of the gel. With the help of the capillary the gel can be placed in the middle of the fill height. Now one of the compatible solvents DMSO, DMF or water containing the compound of interest is added and NMR tube then sealed with a Shigemi plunger. If no Shigemi plunger is used, the gel can rip on top. After five days the gel has reswollen and is ready for use. If possible equilibration times of 7-10 days are recommended.

### 5.3.2 Preparation of the positively charged PPH-gel

Table 5.3: Composition of the PPH-gel pre-gel solution

| (3-acrylamidopropyl)trimethylammonium <br> chloride solution $75 \mathrm{wt} \\ ).\(% (APTMAC)$ | $2014 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMAA) | $754 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| N,N'-methylenbisacrylamide (BIS) | 45 mg |
| APS stock solution $(0.015 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l})$ | 1 ml |

The procedure was exactly the same as for the PH-gel, except for the different components and amounts used, that are listed in Table 5.3. The first washing step was carried out with a 0.02 M HCl solution instead of NaOH .

### 5.4 Spectroscopic methods

### 5.4.1 Determination of protein concentration

The concentration of proteins in solution was determined by measuring the absorption at $280 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{280}\right)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\lambda}=\epsilon_{\lambda} \cdot c \cdot d \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{A}_{\lambda}: \quad$ absorption at wavelength $\lambda$
$\epsilon_{\lambda}: \quad$ molar extinktion coefficient at wavelength $\lambda$ in $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
c: $\quad$ protein concentration ( M )
$\mathrm{d}: \quad$ thickness of the cuvette $(\mathrm{cm})$

### 5.4.2 Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS)

ESI-MS was performed after the final purification of the first preparation of each protein sample to confirm the identity by the molecular weight and verify the purity. All samples were transferred into $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NH} \mathrm{NA}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ by dialysis.

### 5.5 NMR spectroscopy

All NMR experiments were acquired at 400, 600, 700 , 800 or 900 MHz on Bruker AVANCE 400, 600, 700, and 900 or DRX 600 and 800 spectrometers running under X-WINNMR 3.5 or TOPSPIN 1.3. All NMR spectra were processed using X-WINNMR 3.5, TOPSPIN 1.3 or NMRPipe/NMRDraw [93] and analysed using NMRView 5.0.4 [94] or Sparky 3 (T. D. Goddard and D. G. Kneller, University of California, San Francisco) or TOPSPIN 1.3.

### 5.5.1 Residual dipolar couplings (RDCs)

Anisotropic media for measurement of RDCs were prepared as described in A. 1 . RDCs were back-calculated from X-ray or NMR structures using singular value decomposition (SVD) as implemented in PALES [101]. The Pearson's correlation factor
$(\mathrm{R})$ and the quality or Q -factor were used to evaluate the agreement between a structure and the observed RDCs. The Q-factor [102] was determined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r m s\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{H N}^{\text {measured }}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{H N}^{\text {calculated }}\right)}{r m s\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{H N}^{\text {measured }}\right)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.5.1.1 Measurement

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ splittings for trigger factor were measured under isotropic and anisotropic conditions using 2D IPAP- ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC experiments [103]. For all other samples ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ splittings were measured under isotropic and anisotropic conditions using sensitivity enhanced gradient selective HSQC [104, 105, 106] or $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-TROSY HSQC [107, 108] experiments. RDCs were extracted by subtraction of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{N H}$ or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{C H}$ scalar coupling measured for the isotropic sample [109].

### 5.5.2 Determination of protein-ligand dissociation constants

Chemical shift changes observed in a series of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ spectra during the titration of a ligand to a protein can be used to calculate the dissociation constant $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ if the exchange rate is much faster than the difference between the chemical shifts of the free and bound protein. In this case of fast exchange on the NMR time scale, the $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ is larger than $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$.

Considering a complex with 1:1 stoichiometry composed of a protein $(\mathrm{P})$ which binds a ligand $(\mathrm{L})$, the complex formation and dissociation is described as follows: and $\mathrm{K}_{d}$

$$
P+L \frac{k_{o f f}}{k_{o n}} P L
$$

is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{d}=\frac{k_{o n}}{k_{o f f}}=\frac{[P][L]}{[P L]}=\frac{\left([P]_{0}-X_{P L}[P]_{0}\right)\left([L]_{0}-X_{P L}[P]_{0}\right)}{X_{P L}[P]_{0}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $[\mathrm{P}]_{0}=[\mathrm{P}]+[\mathrm{PL}]$ and $\mathrm{X}_{P L}+\mathrm{X}_{P}=1$.
$\mathrm{k}_{\text {off }}$ : rate of dissociation
$\mathrm{k}_{\text {on }}$ : rate of association
$[\mathrm{P}]_{0}$ : total or starting concentration of the protein
$[\mathrm{P}]: \quad$ concentration of the protein
$[\mathrm{L}]_{0}: \quad$ total or starting concentration of the ligand
[L]: concentration of the ligand
[PL]: concentration of the complex
$\mathrm{X}_{P}: \quad$ fraction of the free protein
$X_{P L}: \quad$ fraction of the bound protein
The observed chemical shift $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{o b s}=X_{P} \delta_{P}+X_{P L} \delta_{P L} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with $\mathrm{r}=\frac{[L]_{0}}{[P]_{0}}$ the following equation can be derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{o b s}=\delta_{P}+\left(\delta_{P L}-\delta_{P}\right) \frac{\left(K_{d}+(1+r)[P]_{0}\right)-\sqrt{\left(K_{d}+(1+r)[P]_{0}\right)^{2}-4[P]_{0}^{2} r}}{2[P]_{0}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\delta_{P}: \quad$ chemical shift of the protein
$\delta_{P L}: \quad$ chemical shift of the complex
Fitting the experimental data to equation 5.5 yields the $\mathrm{K}_{d}[110$.

### 5.6 Structure calculation

### 5.6.1 Hormaomycin

All NMR restraints and the SCULPTOR input file (has to be modified accordingly for the three protocols) can be found in the appendix C. 1 on page 125 and D. 1 on page 165)

### 5.6.1.1 Restraint-free ensemble (protocol 1)

In this protocol no experimental restraints were used. An initial molecular model was first minimized with a gradient criterion of less than $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The molecule is then heated up to a temperature of 1000 K over a period of 5 ps , in steps of 1 fs. The molecule evolves at this temperature for 80 ps ( 80000 steps), thus sampling conformational space extensively. This is followed by a 3 ps cooling period to 100 K and energy minimisation. This protocol was repeated 500 times and the resulting conformers were placed in the RF (restraint free) ensemble.

### 5.6.1.2 NOE- and J coupling-refined ensemble (NJ) (protocol 2)

Pseudo-atoms were used for the methyl protons and unresolved methylene protons. Distance restraints derived from NOE cross peaks integrals were applied as biharmonic restraints with lower and upper bounds of $2.0-2.5,2.0-2.8,2.0-3.5,2.0-4.0$ and 2.0-5.0 $\AA$, respectively. The timing of this protocol is identical to that described for protocol 1. The only difference is that during the 80 ps ( 80000 steps), sampling period NOE and J-coupling-derived dihedral angle terms are raised from their initial weighting ( $0.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$ and $1.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{deg}^{-2}$ ) to their final weighting of ( $20.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-2}$ and $1000 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{deg}^{-2}$ ) respectively. This protocol was repeated 900 times and the resulting lowest energy conformers were placed in the NJ (NOE/J-coupling) ensemble, which consists of two families, NJa and NJb. For further characterization two structures of the NJb family were arbitrarily chosen and designated NJ1 and NJ19. We always checked that the other structures in the same ensemble would have shown the same behavior as these two representative structures.

### 5.6.1.3 NOE/J coupling/RDC ensemble (NJR) (protocol 3)

The determination of molecular structures using RDC restraints applied the following protocols that were required to optimize the treatment of the alignment tensor. The parameters Aa and Ar are restrained to be close to predetermined values using a harmonic potential function. Starting structures are taken from the NJ ensemble derived
from step 2. The molecular coordinates are initially fixed, while the orientation of the alignment tensor evolves under the influence of RDCs measured from sites present in the macrocyclic ring of hormaomycin. This step is composed of a sampling period of 4 ps at 300 K , followed by 3 ps at 200 K and conjugate gradient minimization. For each structure, the most appropriate orientation for the tensor is thus defined. The molecule is then released, and the tensor orientation and molecular structure evolve under the influence of 42 RDC restraints. Remaining parameters are identical to those described in protocols 2 and 3. This protocol was repeated 900 times and the resulting lowest energy conformers were placed in the NJR (NOE/J-coupling/RDC) ensemble. For further characterization two representatives structures were arbitrarily selected : structures NJR1 and NJR19 and similarly to the NJ structures all the conclusions were found to be independent of this selection.

### 5.6.2 Hymenistatin

All NMR restraints and the XPLOR-NIH input file (has to be modified accordingly for the three protocols) can be found in the appendix (C. 2 on page 129 and D. 2 on page 173).

The aim of the structural calculations of hymenistatin was to determine its conformation as well as the configuration of the thirteen prochiral centers at the same time. The experimental restraints used in the structural calculations of hymenistatin in the three solvents $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and THF are summarized in Tab.5.4. At

Table 5.4: Experimental restraints of hymenistatin for the structural calculations in XPLOR-NIH

|  | DMSO | CDCl $_{3}$ | THF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOEs | 113 | 78 | 136 |
| Dihedrals | 6 | 2 | - |
| RDCs/PH-gel | 35 | - | - |
| RDCs/PPH-gel | 29 | - | - |
| RDCs/PAN-gel | 32 | - | - |
| RDCs/PDMS-gel | - | 36 | 34 |

first a restraint-free ensemble was generated to sample the conformational space and scramble up the assignment of the prochiral centers. Therefore a modified simulating annealing protocol was used which consists of four stages. The variation of the force constant are summarized in Tab.5.5. In the first high temperature stage (40000 steps, $120 \mathrm{ps}, 2000 \mathrm{~K})$ all force constants attached to experimental restraints, parameters (angles, dihedrals and impropers) fixing the geometry of the prochiral centers in the forcefield (FC) and non-bonded energies (VdW) were set to zero. Subsequently the methylene protons of the prochiral centers could switch positions during the first high temperature stage, which is also often referred to as floating chirality. During the second high temperature stage ( 40000 steps, $120 \mathrm{ps}, 2000 \mathrm{~K}$ ) the force constants fixing the correct geometries of the prochiral centers were brought up, which finished the floating chirality. This was followed by a cooling stage ( 4000 steps, 12 ps ), which started at 2000 K and reached a final temperature of 100 K . The resulting structure was powell minimized for 4000 steps. This protocol was looped 700 times and the resulting structures were placed in the restraint-free (RF) ensemble. The structures

Table 5.5: Scaling factors for different restraints during the four major stages in the structure calculations of restraint-free ensemble
$\left.\begin{array}{llrllll}\hline \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1st high } \\ \text { ature } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { temper- } \\ \text { dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2nd high } \\ \text { ature } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { temper- } \\ \text { dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Cooling } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \text { stage }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Final minimiza- } \\ \text { tion }[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]\end{array}\right]$
from the RF ensemble were then taken as starting structures for the refinement with NOE and J-coupling (NJ). The timings and temperatures for the simulated annealing were indentical to those from the restraint-free protocol. In addition the force constants for the NOEs and dihedral restraints were increased during the first high temperature stage (see Tab.5.6). The resulting structures were subsequently put in the NJ ensemble. For the conformational refinement with RDCs it was necessary to know the magnitude $\left(\mathrm{D}_{a}\right)$ and rhombicity (Rh) of the alignment tensor. These

Table 5.6: Scaling factors for different restraints during the four major stages in the structure calculations of NOE and J-coupling ensemble
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}\hline \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1st high } \\ \text { ature } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { temper- } \\ \text { dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2nd high } \\ \text { ature } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { temper- } \\ \text { dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Cooling } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \text { stage }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Final minimiza- } \\ \text { tion }[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]\end{array}\right]$
parameters were derived by fitting the backbone RDCs to the structures of NJ ensemble. The average tensor values of the ten best fits, which were among the lowest energy structures were then taken for the NOE, J-coupling and RDC (NJR) refinement. The same protocol as for the NOE and J-coupling refinement was used taking the structures from the NJ ensemble as starting structures, but this time the module sani in XPLOR-NIH was used to introduce the RDCs in the first high temperature stage of the simulated annealing protocol (Tab,5.7). The resulting structures were subsequently placed in the NJR ensemble.

Table 5.7: Scaling factors for different restraints during the four major stages in the structure calculations of NOE, J-coupling and RDC ensemble
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}\hline \hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1st high } \\ \text { ature } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { temper- } \\ \text { dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 2nd } \\ \text { ature } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { temper- } \\ \text { dynamics }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Cooling } \\ {[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]}\end{array} & \text { stage }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Final minimiza- } \\ \text { tion }[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]\end{array}\right]$

### 5.6.3 DiaA

All NMR restraints and the XPLOR-NIH input file (has to be modified accordingly for the three protocols) can be found in the appendix C. 3 on page 138 and D. 3 on page 184).

For the structure calculations in XPLOR-NIH of DiaA a parameter set had to be generated, as XPLOR-NIH only provides parameter sets for standard biomolecules like proteins, RNA and DNA. Therefore the parameters of the R- and S-diastereomer of DiaA have been generated by the program XPLO2D [111] from a pdb-file. These parameters were then used to generate two restraint-free ensembles of 500 structures for each diastereomer. The weighting factors during the different stages of the structure calculation protocol are summarized in Tab.5.8. At first an initial powell minimization was carried out for 50 steps. Followed by a high temperature stage consisting of 8000 steps ( 4 ps ) at 2000 K . In the cooling stage the temperature was gradually reduced to a final temperature of 100 K ( 4000 steps, 4 ps ). The calculation was then concluded by a final powell minimization of 1000 steps. This protocol was looped 500 times and the resulting structures were put in the restraint-free (RF) ensembles for the two diastereomers. The structures of the RF ensembles were used as starting

Table 5.8: Scaling factors for different restraints during the four major stages in the structure calculations of the restraint-free ensemble

|  | Initial minimization <br> $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | High temperature <br> dynamics $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | Cooling <br> $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | stage | Final minimiza- <br> tion $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VDW | 0.002 | 0.002 | $0.003 \rightarrow 4$ | 1 |  |
| NOE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

structures for the generation of the NOE ensembles. The timing of this calculation is equal to the one from the RF ensemble and the scaling factors are listed in Tab.5.9. The distance restraints used consisted of eighteen NOEs and 54 non-NOEs interconnecting the three subunits of DiaA, the menthyl part, the aromatic part and the pyranone part. The resulting 500 structures for each diastereomer were placed in the according NOE ensemble.

### 5.6.4 Trigger factor

The following restraints were used for structural calculations with the CNS program package [112]: 1024 NOEs, 35 hydrogen bonds, $68{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{H N-H \alpha}$ coupling constants, 66 dihedral angle restraints, 54 RDCs (Fig.3.8(a)) and 200 RDCs (Fig.3.8(b)) respec-

Table 5.9: Scaling factors for different restraints during the four major stages in the structure calculations of the NOE ensemble

|  | Initial minimization <br> $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | High temperature <br> dynamics $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | Cooling <br> $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ | stage | Final minimiza- <br> tion $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| VDW | 0.002 | 0.002 | $0.003 \rightarrow 4$ | 1 |  |
| NOE | 0 | $1 \rightarrow 50$ | 50 | 50 |  |

tively and are listed in the appendix. All restraints were taken from [69] except the RDC data for tag $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$. The calculations started from a previously solved NMR-structure (PDB-ID 1HXV). Prior to the dynamics calculations the structure has been minimized in 2000 steps powell minimization. The actual dynamics has been done in three distinct stages followed by a final minimization. At first a 500 steps ( 7.5 ps ) high temperature dynamics stage at 10000 K has been performed. It was followed by a 1000 steps ( 15 ps ) cool down torsion angle dynamics stage reaching a final temperature of 0 K . A second cooling loop was done in cartesian coordinates, starting with 2000 K , for 5000 steps ( 25 ps ) reaching a final temperature of 0 K . The resulting structure was powell minimized with 2000 steps. The scaling factors are summarized in Tab5.10. All NMR restraints and CNS input files can be found in

Table 5.10: Scaling factors for different restraints during the four major stages in the structure calculations

|  | High temperature dynamics [kcal/mol] | 1st cooling stage [kcal/mol] | 2nd cooling stage [kcal/mol] | Final minimization $[\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VDW | 0.1 | $0.1 \rightarrow 1$ | $1 \rightarrow 4$ | 1 |
| NOE | 150 | 150 | 150 | 100 |
| ${ }^{3} \mathbf{J}_{H N-H \alpha}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Dihedrals | 10 | 200 | 200 | 400 |
| RDCs | 0.01 | $0.1 \rightarrow 1$ | $1 \rightarrow 6.5$ | 6.5 |

the appendix (C. 4 and D. 4 )
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## A

## Appendix: Sample preparation

## A. 1 Preparation of the polyacrylamide-based alignment media



Figure A.1: Photograph of the equipment used for the polymerization and of the PHgel in the different states during preparation. A) 5.4 mm piston B) 5.4 mm gel cylinder C) piston driver D) gel after polymerization E) gel after washing in water F) gel dried on a glass capillary.

## A. 2 Trigger factor



Figure A.2: Coomassie blue-stained SDS-PAGE documenting the expression and purification of trigger factor in $E$. coli BL21(DE3). Lane 1, before induction. Lane 2, after induction. Lane 3, supernatant proteins of the sonicated cells. Lane 4, after NiNTA column. Lane 5 after ion exchange chromatography. Lane 6, after cleavage of the 6 xHistag. Lane 7, flow through of the Ni-NTA column. Lane 8, molecular weight standard.


Figure A.3: ESI-MS spectrum of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labeled trigger factor after purification. The detected molecular mass is $11505.42 \pm 0.63$, what deviates $0.02 \%$ from the expected mass.


Figure A.4: ESI-MS spectrum of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ trigger factor tagged with 6. The detected molecular mass is $11986.15 \pm 0.35$, what deviates $0.01 \%$ from the mass of tagged ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ labeled trigger factor $+\mathrm{K}^{+}$.

## Appendix: NMR data

## B. 1 Menthol

Table B.1: Experimental ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ residual dipolar couplings of $(+)$-menthol in PHgel/DMSO and PPH-gel/DMSO and PH-gel/DMF recorded on a 400 MHz spectrometer at 298 K . The value in brackets is according to Fig 2.4 the backcalculated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{D}_{C C}$ dipolar couplings [11.

|  | PH-gel/DMSO | PPH-gel/DMSO | PH-gel/DMF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-H1 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 2.5 |
| C2-H2eq | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| C2-H2ax | 3.6 | 0.6 | 1.8 |
| C3-H3 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| C4-H4 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| C5-H5eq | 3.1 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| C5-H5ax | 3.6 | 0.3 | 2.6 |
| C6-H6ax | 3.6 | 5.3 | 2.1 |
| C7-H7 | $1.8(-0.5)$ | $1.2(-0.3)$ | $0.6(-0.2)$ |
| C8-H8 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 1.4 |
| C9-H9 | $-0.5(0.1)$ | $-0.4(0.1)$ | $-0.7(0.2)$ |
| C10-H10 | $-0.8(0.3)$ | $-0.6(0.2)$ | $-0.6(0.2)$ |



Figure B.1: Correlation between observed ( $\mathrm{RDC}(\mathrm{obs})$ ) and backcalculated (RDC(calc)) dipolar couplings for (+)-menthol in PH-gel/DMF

## B. 2 Hormaomycin

Table B.2: Distances and NOE intensities of proton pairs of the bulky side chain of NJR1 and NJR19 (in bold are the restraints that have been used)

|  | NJR1 | NJR19 | NOE-derived distances |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1-H26 | 2.0 | 4.4 | $<4.0$ |
| H1-H6 | 3.7 | 8.1 | no NOE measured |
| H26-H6 | 3.9 | 4.1 | $<4.0$ |
| H26-H22 | 3.5 | 3.7 | $<\mathbf{4 . 0}$ |
| H26-H24 | 3.2 | 5.8 | $<5.0$ |
| H26-H25 | 2.0 | 5.9 | $<5.0$ |
| H26-H19 | 3.0 | 2.1 | $<4.0$ |
| H26-H20 (overlay) | 3.8 | 2.8 | $<\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |
| H26-H64 | 3.1 | 3.1 | $<3.5$ |
| H26-H58/59/60 | 4.2 | 3.0 | $<4.0$ |
| H19-H6 | 2.7 | 2.4 | $<2.8$ |



Figure B.2: Correlation between experimental RDCs derived from PH-gel/DMSO and the ones calculated from the chloroform structure of hormaomycin. A) RDCs from the backbone of the macrocyclic ring are used. The equation of the fitting curve is Dcalc $\left.=0.59^{*} \operatorname{Dexp}+4.50 \mathrm{~B}\right)$ when 42 RDCs are used: Dcalc $=0.20^{*} \operatorname{Dexp}+0.54$


Figure B.3: Fitting between experimental RDCs and the ones calculated from the crystal structure of hormaomycin. A) Dcalc $=0.55^{*}$ Dexp +3.32 is obtained with RDCs from the backbone of the macrocyclic ring. B) Dcalc $=0.32^{*} \operatorname{Dexp}+0.03$ is obtained with 42 RDCs.

Table B.3: Distances between protons of Chpca with protons of ( $\beta$-Me)Phe II

|  | Distance $[\AA]$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| H1-H57 | 2.44 |
| H1-H14/H18 | 2.34 |
| H1-H58/59/60 | 4.26 |
| H2-H57 | 4.54 |
| H2-H14/H18 | 3.65 |
| H2-H58/59/60 | 5.97 |

Table B.4: Distances in the crystal structure for proton pairs that show ROE signals in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

|  | Distance $[\AA]$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Chpca with ( $\beta$-Me)Phe I |  |
| H2-H51 | 13.7 |
| H1-aromatic protons | 11.0 |
| H1-CH3 | 11.5 |
| Chpca with (3-Ncp)Ala I |  |
| H2-H13 | 8.7 |
| H2-H31 | 8.5 |
| H1-H31 | 7.1 |

## B. 3 Hymenistatin

## B.3.1 NMR spectra



Figure B.4: Assigned $600 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectrum of 20 mM hymenistatin sample in DMSO. The formula of hymenistatin can be found in Fig. 2.19 on page 32.

## B. $4 \quad$ DiaA

## B.4.1 NMR-spectra



Figure B.5: Assigned $600 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{t}_{2}$-coupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC of DiaA. The insert shows the aromatic region. The formula of DiaA can be found in Fig 2.28 on page 46.

## B. 5 Trigger factor

## B.5.1 Pseudocontact shifts

Table B.5: Pseudocontactshifts of trigger factor tagged with 6a and loaded with Dy ${ }^{3+}$

| Residue | PCS [ppm] | Residue | PCS [ppm] | Residue | PCS [ppm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L30 | 0.066 | L49 | -0.131 | K78 | 0.061 |
| A31 | 0.071 | A52 | -0.198 | V79 | 0.043 |
| N32 | 0.081 | S53 | -0.149 | N80 | 0.042 |
| G33 | 0.063 | Q55 | -0.040 | K83 | 0.100 |
| D34 | 0.051 | N56 | -0.002 | T84 | 0.163 |
| I35 | 0.028 | V57 | -0.011 | L85 | 0.195 |
| A36 | 0.034 | E58 | -0.019 | K96 | -0.394 |
| I38 | 0.014 | L59 | 0.017 | E97 | -0.455 |
| D39 | 0.021 | T60 | 0.011 | L98 | -0.775 |
| F40 | -0.013 | I61 | 0.061 | Q99 | 0.080 |
| T41 | -0.003 | G62 | 0.120 | E106 | 0.019 |
| G42 | -0.095 | S63 | 0.055 | V107 | 0.093 |
| I43 | -0.121 | S65 | -0.011 | V108 | 0.047 |
| V44 | -0.161 | E71 | 0.376 | L109 | 0.056 |
| D45 | -0.188 | I74 | 0.290 | K110 | 0.034 |
| N46 | -0.032 | I75 | 0.208 | A111 | 0.023 |
| E47 | -0.075 | A76 | 0.111 | I112 | 0.018 |
| K48 | -0.050 | M77 | 0.102 | K113 | 0.018 |

Table B.6: Pseudocontactshifts of trigger factor tagged with $\mathbf{6 b}$ and loaded with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$

| Residue | PCS [ppm] | Residue | PCS [ppm] | Residue | PCS [ppm] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L30 | 0.007 | S53 | -0.121 | N80 | 0.008 |
| N32 | -0.003 | Q55 | -0.053 | K83 | 0.058 |
| G33 | -0.016 | N56 | -0.028 | T84 | 0.143 |
| I35 | -0.021 | V57 | -0.046 | L85 | 0.155 |
| A36 | -0.027 | E58 | -0.049 | K96 | -0.345 |
| I38 | -0.031 | L59 | -0.041 | E97 | -0.313 |
| D39 | -0.010 | T60 | -0.058 | L98 | -0.630 |
| F40 | -0.029 | I61 | -0.020 | Q99 | -1.073 |
| T41 | -0.010 | G62 | -0.055 | F105 | 0.093 |
| G42 | -0.065 | S63 | -0.061 | E106 | 0.024 |
| I43 | -0.046 | S65 | -0.122 | V107 | 0.053 |
| V44 | -0.064 | E71 | -0.017 | V108 | 0.011 |
| D45 | -0.034 | I74 | -0.015 | L109 | 0.020 |
| N46 | 0.083 | I75 | -0.023 | K110 | -0.004 |
| E47 | 0.017 | A76 | 0.011 | A111 | -0.010 |
| K48 | 0.006 | M77 | 0.009 | I112 | -0.019 |
| L49 | -0.075 | K78 | 0.020 | K113 | -0.031 |
| A52 | -0.150 | V79 | 0.005 |  |  |

## B.5.2 $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ determination











Figure B.6: $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ fitting curves of the ten residues exhibiting the biggest chemical shift upon ligand titration of trigger factor

## B.5.3 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered pulse sequence

```
;13C filter
;hsqcetgpsisp2.2
;avance-version (02/12/09)
;HSQC
;2D H-1/X correlation via double inept transfer
; using sensitivity improvement
;phase sensitive using Echo/Antiecho-TPPI gradient selection
;with decoupling during acquisition
;using trim pulses in inept transfer
;using shaped pulses for all 180degree pulses on f2 - channel
;with gradients in back-inept
;
;A.G. Palmer III, J. Cavanagh, P.E. Wright & M. Rance, J. Magn.
; Reson. 93, 151-170 (1991)
;L.E. Kay, P. Keifer & T. Saarinen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114,
; 10663-5 (1992)
;J. Schleucher, M. Schwendinger, M. Sattler, P. Schmidt, O. Schedletzky,
; S.J. Glaser, O.W. Sorensen & C. Griesinger, J. Biomol. NMR 4,
; 301-306 (1994)
#include <Avance.incl>
#include <Grad.incl>
#include <Delay.incl>
"p2=p1*2"
"d0=3u"
"d4=1s/(cnst2*4)"
"d11=30m"
"d5=1s/(cnst3*4)"
"d6=1s/(cnst4*4)"
"d9=d5+p12/2"
"d7=d6-d9-p10"
"d8=d6-p12-p10-5u-5u"
"DELTA=p16+d16+p2+d0*2-4u"
"DELTA1=p16+d16+8u"
"DELTA2=d4-larger (p2,p14)/2-4u"
```

```
"DELTA3=d24-cnst17*p24/2-p19-d16-4u"
"DELTA4=d4-larger(p2,p14)/2-p16-d16-4u"
1 ze
    d11 pl12:f2
2 d1 do:f2
3 (p1 ph1)
        DELTA2 pl0:f2
        4u
        (center (p2 ph1) (p14:sp3 ph6):f2 )
        4u
        DELTA2 pl2:f2 UNBLKGRAD
        (p1 ph2)
        4u pl0:f1
        (p9:sp9 ph1:r):f1
        4u
        p17:gp5
        d17 pl1:f1
        (p13:sp13 ph1):f2
        d9
        (p10:sp10 ph10):f2
        d7
        (p11:sp11 ph11):f2
        d8
        (p10:sp10 ph10):f2
        5u
        (p12:sp12 ph12):f2
        10u
        (p15:sp14 ph13):f2
        5u
        p18:gp6
        d17
        (p13:sp13 ph3):f2
        d0
        (p2 ph7)
        d0
        p16:gp1*EA
        d16 pl0:f2
        (p11:sp11 ph11):f2
        4u
        DELTA pl2:f2
        (p15:sp14 ph4):f2
        5u
        (p1 ph1)
        4u
        p19:gp3
        d16
        DELTA3 pl0:f2
        (center (p2 ph1) (p24:sp7 ph9:r):f2 )
        4u
        DELTA3 pl2:f2
        p19:gp3
        d16
        (center (p1 ph2) (p3 ph5):f2 )
        4u
        p16:gp4
        d16
        DELTA4 pl0:f2
        (center (p2 ph1) (p14:sp3 ph1):f2 )
        4u
        DELTA4
        p16:gp4
        d16
        (p1 ph1)
        DELTA1
        (p2 ph1)
        4u
```

```
    p16:gp2
    d16 pl12:f2
    4u BLKGRAD
    go=2 ph31 cpd2:f2
    d1 do:f2 mc #0 to 2
    F1EA(igrad EA & ip5*2, id0 & ip3*2 & ip6*2 & ip31*2)
exit
ph1=0
ph2=1
ph3=0 ;2
ph4=0 ;0 2 2
ph5=1 ;1 3 3
ph6=0
ph7=0 ;0 2 2
ph8=0 ;0 2 2
ph9=0
ph10=0
ph11=0
ph12=0 2
ph13=0 0 2 2
ph31=0 0 2 2
;pl0 : 120dB
;pl1 : f1 channel - power level for pulse (default)
;pl2 : f2 channel - power level for pulse (default)
;pl3 : f3 channel - power level for pulse (default)
;pl12: f2 channel - power level for CPD/BB decoupling
;sp3: f2 channel - shaped pulse (180degree inversion)
;spnam3: Crp60,0.5,20.1
;sp7: f2 channel - shaped pulse (180degree refocussing)
;sp9: powerlevel for water flipback
;spnam7: Crp60comp.4
;p1 : f1 channel - 90 degree high power pulse
;p2 : f1 channel - }180\mathrm{ degree high power pulse
;p3 : f2 channel - }90\mathrm{ degree high power pulse
;p14: f2 channel - 180 degree shaped pulse for inversion
; = 500usec for Crp60,0.5,20.1
;p9: waterflipback puls
;p10: 180 degree Q3 for arom, carbonyl
;p11: }180\mathrm{ degree Q3 for aliph
;p12: 90 degree Q5 for arom, carbonyl
;p13: 90 degree Q5 for aliph
;p15: 90 degree Q5tr for aliph
;p16: homospoil/gradient pulse [1 msec]
;p19: gradient pulse 2 [500 usec]
;p22: f3 channel - }180\mathrm{ degree high power pulse
;p24: f2 channel - 180 degree shaped pulse for refocussing
; = 2msec for Crp60comp.4
;p28: f1 channel - trim pulse
;d0 : incremented delay (2D) [3 usec]
;d1 : relaxation delay; 1-5 * T1
;d4 : 1/(4J)XH
;d11: delay for disk I/O [30 msec]
;d16: delay for homospoil/gradient recovery
;d24: 1/(8J)XH for all multiplicities
; 1/(4J)XH for XH
;cnst2: = J(XH)
;cnst3: = J(CC) arom., carbonyl
;cnst4: = J(CC) aliph.
;cnst17: = -0.5 for Crp60comp.4
;in0: 1/(2 * SW(X)) = DW(X)
;nd0: 2
;NS: 1 * n
;DS: >= 16
;td1: number of experiments
```

```
;FnMODE: echo-antiecho
;cpd2: decoupling according to sequence defined by cpdprg2
;pcpd2: f2 channel - 90 degree pulse for decoupling sequence
```



```
;for z-only gradients:
;gpz1: 80%
;gpz2: 20.1% for C-13, 8.1% for N-15
;gpz3: 11%
;gpz4: -5%
;use gradient files:
;gpnam1: SINE.100
;gpnam2: SINE. }10
;gpnam3: SINE.100
;gpnam4: SINE. }10
```

```
;cnst17: Factor to compensate for coupling evolution during a pulse
; (usually +1). A positive factor indicates that coupling
; evolution continues during the pulse, whereas a negative
    factor is necessary if the coupling is (partially) refocussed.
```

;\$Id: hsqcetgpsisp2.2,v 1.1 2003/01/02 16:31:23 ber Exp \$

## B.5.4 NMR spectra



Figure B.7: Expansion of an $800 \mathrm{MHz}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-filtered HSQC spectrum of a 2 mM suc-AAPF-pNA bound to 1 mM of trigger factor tagged with $\mathbf{6 a}$ and loaded with $\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$. The traces of the indicated residues can be found in Fig 3.12, 2048 complex data points in $t_{2}$ and 256 experiments in $t_{1}$ were aquired with 128 scans.

## Appendix: NMR restraints

## C. 1 Hormaomycin

## C.1.1 NOEs, Dihedrals and Residual dipolar couplings

| !BIOSYM restraint 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ! |  |
| ! |  |
| \#chiral |  |
| 19:MON_1:C73 S |  |
| 19:MON_1:C2 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C3 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C37 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C32 S |  |
| 19:MON_1:C62 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C28 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C59 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C61 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C24 S |  |
| 19:MON_1:C51 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C18 S |  |
| 19:MON_1:C47 S |  |
| 19:MON_1:C46 R |  |
| 19:MON_1:C42 S |  |
| 19:MON_1:C39 R |  |
| ! |  |
| ! |  |
| \#distance |  |
| 19:MON_1:H26 | 19:MON_1:H6 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H26 | 19:MON_1:H1 2.044020 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H26 | 19:MON_1:H22 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H26 | 19:MON_1:H2O 2.03 .520 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| ! ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| 19:MON_1:H6 | 19:MON_1:H14 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H6 | 19:MON_1:H35 2.02 .820 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H6 | 19:MON_1:H33 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H6 | 19:MON_1:H57 2.03 .520 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| ! |  |
| 19:MON_1:H7 | 19:MON_1:H29 2.0 2.8 20.00 20.0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H7 | 19:MON_1:H13 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H7 | 19:MON_1:H51 2.02 .520 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| ! |  |
| 19:MON_1:H14 | 19:MON_1:H33 2.0 2.820 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| 19:MON_1:H14 | 19:MON_1:H57 2.0 2.520 .0020 .0050 .0 |
| ! |  |
| ! |  |
| 19:MON_1:H1 | 19:MON_1:H2 2.02 .820 .0020 .0050 .0 |



| 19:MON_1:H43 | 19:MON_1:H48 2.0 2.8 20.0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H6 | 19:MON_1:H5 2.0 4.0 20.00 20.0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H4 | 19:MON_1:H3 2.0 4.020 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H19 | 19:MON_1:H6 2.02 .520 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H26 | 19:MON_1:H19 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H6 | 19:MON_1:H34 2.03 .520 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H5 | 19:MON_1:H33 2.0 2.520 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H3 | 19:MON_1:H29 2.0 4.0 20.00 20.00 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H19 | 19:MON_1:H35 2.0 2.8 20.00 20.0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H26 | 19:MON_1:H20 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H26 | 19:MON_1:H21 2.0 4.0 20.0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1: H 3 | 19:MON_1:H28 2.02 .820 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1: Н3 | 19:MON_1:H42 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H4 | 19:MON_1:H29 2.0 3.5 20.00 20.0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H4 | 19:MON_1:H51 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H5 | 19:MON_1:H3O 2.03 .520 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H5 | 19:MON_1:H31 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H5 | $\text { 19:MON_1:H32 } 2.04 .020 .0020 .0050 .0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H57 | 19:MON_1:H6 2.03 .520 .0020 .0050 .0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H33 | $\text { 19:MON_1:H6 } 2.04 .020 .0020 .005$ | $50.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#NMR_dihedral |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H20 | 19:MON_1:C1 19:MON_1:C2 | 19:MON_1:H22 | -80 | -40 50 | 5050 | 500 |  |
| 19:MON_1:H42 | 19:MON_1:C47 19:MON_1:C18 | 19:MON_1:C19 | 40 | 80505050 | 500 |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H29 | 19:MON_1:C24 19:MON_1:C51 | 19:MON_1:H51 | 40 | 80505050 | 500 |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H34 | 19:MON_1:C37 19:MON_1:C39 | 19:MON_1: H 35 | 170 | -170 | 10 |  | 100 |
| 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H33 | 19:MON_1:C32 19:MON_1:C62 | 19:MON_1:H57 | 120 | -120 50 | 5050 | 500 |  |
| 19:MON_1:H36 | 19:MON_1:C42 19:MON_1:C44 | 19:MON_1:H37 | -50 | -10 50 | 5050 | 500 |  |
| 19:MON_1:H36 | 19:MON_1:C42 19:MON_1:C44 | 19:MON_1:H38 | -179 | -140 50 | 5050 | 500 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H42 | 19:MON_1:C47 19:MON_1:C49 | 19:MON_1:C50 | 120 | -120 50 | 5050 | 500 |  |
| ! - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! trans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C42 | 19:MON_1:N23 19:MON_1:C19 | 19:MON_1:C18 | 170 | -170 100 | 100 | 500 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C28 | 19:MON_1:N30 19:MON_1:C31 | 19:MON_1:C32 | 170 | -170 100 | 100 | 500 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C18 | 19:MON_1:N20 19:MON_1:C21 | 19:MON_1:C24 | 170 | -170 100 | 100 | 500 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1: C24 | 19:MON_1:N25 19:MON_1:C26 | 19:MON_1:C28 | 170 | -170 100 | 100 | 500 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C32 | 19:MON_1:N35 19:MON_1:C36 | 19:MON_1: C37 | 170 | -170 100 | 100 | 500 |  |
| 19:MON_1: C37 | 19:MON_1:N71 19:MON_1:C72 | 19:MON_1:C73 | 170 | -170 100 | 100 | 500 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! 4-Pe Pro propenyl configuration cis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H65 | 19:MON_1:C78 19:MON_1:C79 | 19:MON_1:H66 | -20 | 20100100 | 500 |  |  |
| $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! Ala-Ncp I ring configuration: cis H12-H13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:H12 | 19:MON_1:C60 19:MON_1:C61 | 19:MON_1:H13 | -20 | 20100 | 100 | 500 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! Ala-Ncp II ring configuration: cis H23-H25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1: H23 | 19:MON_1:C3 19:MON_1:C4 | 19:MON_1:H25 | -20 | 20100 | 100 | 500 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! ring Phe Me |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $19:$ MON_1:C53 | 19:MON_1:C58 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 19:MON_1:H11 | $19:$ MON_1:C58 |
| 19:MON_1:C58 | $19:$ MON_1:C57 |
| 19:MON_1:C57 | $19:$ MON_1:C56 |
| 19:MON_1:C56 | $19:$ MON_1:C55 |
| 19:MON_1:H8 | $19:$ MON_1:C55 |
| 19:MON_1:H7 | $19:$ MON_1:C54 |
| 19:MON_1:H7 | $19:$ MON_1:C54 |
| 19:MON_1:H11 | $19:$ MON_1:C58 |

19:MON_1:C57
19:MON_1:C57
19:MON_1:C56
19:MON_1:C55
19:MON_1:C54
19:MON_1:C54
19:MON_1:C53
19:MON_1:C53
19:MON_1:C53
19:MON_1:H10
19:MON_1:H10
19:MON_1:H9
19:MON_1:H8
19:MON_1:H7
19:MON_1:H7
19:MON_1:C58
19:MON_1:C51
19:MON_1:C51

| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

! ring Phe Me II 19:MON_1:C68 19:MON_1:C67 19:MON_1:C62 19:MON_1:C63 19:MON_1:C64 19:MON_1:C62 19:MON_1:H14
19:MON_1:C67
19:MON_1:C68
19:MON_1:C63
19:MON_1:C64
19:MON_1:C65
19:MON_1:C63
19:MON_1:C64
19:MON_1:C66
19:MON_1:C63
19:MON_1:C64
19:MON_1:C65
19:MON_1:C66
19:MON_1:C64
19:MON_1:C65
19:MON_1:H16
19:MON_1:C62
19:MON_1:C65
19:MON_1:C66
19:MON_1:H16
19:MON_1:H14
19:MON_1:C66

| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 0 | 0 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 180 | 180 | 500 | 500 | 500 |

! ring Chpca 19:MON_1:C13 500 19:MON_1:H1 19:MON_1:C10 19:MON_1:C14 19:MON_1:C13 19:MON_1:CL17 19:MON_1:CL17 19:MON_1:CL17


| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#dipnh1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:N8 | 19:MON_1:H26 |  | 5.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |
| 19:MON_1:N30 | 19:MON_1: H5 | 11.9 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:N20 | 19:MON_1: H3 | -7.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:N71 | 19:MON_1:H19 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:N25 | 19:MON_1:H4 | 20.6 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:N35 | 19:MON_1:H6 | 10.4 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#dipch1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C73 | 19:MON_1:H64 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 00 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C1 | 19:MON_1:H2O | -3.2 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C1 | 19:MON_1:H21 | 10.3 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1: C2 | 19:MON_1:H22 | 18.7 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C3 | 19:MON_1:H23 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C4 | 19:MON_1:H24 | -10.2 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C4 | 19:MON_1:H25 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C28 | 19:MON_1:H30 | 28.8 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C29 | 19:MON_1:H32 | 18.2 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1: C 29 | 19:MON_1:H31 | -0.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C59 | 19:MON_1:H55 | 6 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C61 | 19:MON_1:H13 | 18.2 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1: C3 | 19:MON_1:H23 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C60 | 19:MON_1:H56 | -6.1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C60 | 19:MON_1:H12 | 19.6 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C18 | 19:MON_1:H28 | -0.9 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |


| 19:MON_1:C47 | 19:MON_1:H42 | -6.1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:MON_1:C49 | 19:MON_1:H46 | -4.7 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C49 | 19:MON_1:H47 | 1.6 | 1.0 | -1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C37 | 19:MON_1:H34 | 26.9 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C39 | 19:MON_1:H35 | 29.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C42 | 19:MON_1:H36 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C44 | 19:MON_1:H38 | -5.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C44 | 19:MON_1:H37 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C46 | 19:MON_1:H41 | 10.1 | 1.0 | 1.000 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C45 | 19:MON_1:H40 | -21.9 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C45 | 19:MON_1:H39 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 1.000 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C78 | 19:MON_1:H65 | 11.6 | 1.0 | 1.000 |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C79 | 19:MON_1:H66 | -10.3 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C12 | 19:MON_1:H1 |  | -0.9 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |
| 19:MON_1:C13 | 19:MON_1:H2 | 3.50 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C24 | 19:MON_1:H29 | 35.0 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C51 | 19:MON_1:H51 | -6.3 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C54 | 19:MON_1:H7 |  | 9.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |
| 19:MON_1:C58 | 19:MON_1:H11 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 1.000 |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C55 | 19:MON_1:H8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C57 | 19:MON_1:H10 | -21.4 | 1.0 | 1.000 |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C56 | 19:MON_1:H9 | 18.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C32 | 19:MON_1:H33 | 26.3 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C62 | 19:MON_1:H57 | 19.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C68 | 19:MON_1:H18 |  | 17.5 | 51.0 | 1.00 | 0 |
| 19:MON_1:C64 | 19:MON_1:H14 | 17.5 | 1.0 | 1.000 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C67 | 19:MON_1:H17 | 18. | 51.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| 19:MON_1:C65 | 19:MON_1:H15 | 18.5 | $1.0 \quad 1$. | 1.000 |  |  |
| 19:MON_1:C66 | 19:MON_1:H16 | -3.2 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#dipcc1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| !19:MON_1:C50 | 19:MON_1:C49 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| !19:MON_1:C48 | 19:MON_1:C47 | -1.8 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| !19:MON_1:C69 | 19:MON_1: C62 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| !19:MON_1:C52 | 19:MON_1:C51 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| !19:MON_1: C80 | 19:MON_1: C79 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |
| !19:MON_1:C70 | 19:MON_1:C39 | 3.9 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 0 |  |

## C. 2 Hymenistatin

## C.2.1 NOEs (DMSO)

assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HB1) 2.4 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HB2) 2.7 . 5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HD1) 3.3 .5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 3 and name HD2) 4.2 .5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HB1) (residue 2 and name HB2) 1.9 .5 .5 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 2 and name HD1) 1.8 .5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.8 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HA) 2.3.5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HB1) 2.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HB2) 2.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HA) (residue 3 and name HD1) 3.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HA) (residue 6 and name HA) 3.1 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 3 and name HD2) 1.8 .5 .5 assign (residue 3 and name HD2) (residue 3 and name HG2) 2.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HD*) (residue 3 and name HD2) 3.4 .5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HD*) (residue 4 and name HA) 2.7 . 5 . 5
assign (residue 4 and name HD*) (residue 4 and name HB1) 2.7 .5 1.5 assign (residue 4 and name HD*) (residue 4 and name HB2) 2.6 . 5 1.5 assign (residue 4 and name HD*) (residue 1 and name HG2*) 3.5 .5 1.5 assign (residue 4 and name HE*) (residue 3 and name HD2) 2.8 .5 1.5 !assign (residue 4 and name HE*) (residue 6 and name HD2) 2.8 .5 1.5 assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HA) 2.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 3 and name HA) 3.3 . 5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 3 and name HD2) 2.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 3 and name HG2) 3.2 . 5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HD*) 2.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HB1) 3.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HB2) 2.7 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HB2) 1.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HB2) (residue 1 and name HG2*) 3.3 . 51.0 assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HA) 2.9 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HD*) 3.7 .5 1.5 assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HA) 3.4 .5 1.0 assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HB1) 3.1 . 51.0 assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HB2) 2.5 .5 0.5 assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 6 and name HA) 4.0 .5 1.0 assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 6 and name HD1) 3.8 .5 1.0 assign (residue 5 and name HN ) (residue 1 and name HG2*) 3.5 .5 1.0 !assign (residue 5 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HA) 2.9 .5 0.5 assign (residue 5 and name HA) (residue 6 and name HA) 3.6.5 1.0 assign (residue 5 and name HA) (residue 6 and name HD2) 2.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HA) (residue 6 and name HD1) 2.2 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HB1) 3.0 .5 1.0 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HD1) 2.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 6 and name HB1) 2.4 .5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 6 and name HG1) 3.1 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HB1) (residue 6 and name HB2) 2.0 .5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HD2) (residue 6 and name HB2) 2.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 6 and name HD1) (residue 6 and name HB2) 3.2 .5 1.0 assign (residue 6 and name HD1) (residue 6 and name HG1) 2.6 .5 1.0 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HB1) 3.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HD1) 3.7 .5 1.5 !assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.2 .5 1.0 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 6 and name HA) 2.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 6 and name HB1) 3.7 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 6 and name HB2) 3.3 .5 1.0 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 7 and name HB2) 2.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HD1) 3.3 .5 . 5 !assign (residue 8 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.0 .5 1.0 assign (residue 8 and name HN) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.4 .5 1.0 assign (residue 8 and name HN) (residue 6 and name HA) 3.7 .5 1.0 assign (residue 8 and name HN) (residue 7 and name HB2) 3.2 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HG11) 3.0 .5 1.0 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HD1*) 3.1 . 51.0 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HG11) 2.8 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HA) 4.0 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HD2) 3.8 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 2 and name HD1) 3.1 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.2 .5 .5 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HA) 3.4 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 1 and name HG2*) 3.2 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HD2) 2.4 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HD1) 2.7 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HD2) 2.4 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HG11) 3.2 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HG2*) 2.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 2 and name HB1) 4.1 .5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 2 and name HB2) 3.3.5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB) or
(residue 3 and name $H B *$ ) or (residue 2 and name $H G *$ ) ) 2.6 .5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 2 and name HB2) 3.6 .5 1.0

```
assign (residue 2 and name HD1) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB)
    or (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 2.6 .5 1.0
assign (residue 3 and name HA) (residue 3 and name HG2) 3.5 .5 1.0
assign (residue 4 and name HN) (residue 4 and name HA) 3.1 .5 1.0
assign (residue 5 and name HN) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.9 .5 1.0
assign (residue 6 and name HG1) (residue 6 and name HG2) 1.8 .5 1.0
assign (residue 8 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HA) 3.0 .5 1.0
assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 1 and name HA) 3.0 .5 1.0
assign (residue 3 and name HD1) ((residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HG) or (residue 3 and name HG*)) 2.5 .5 1.0
assign (residue 3 and name HD1) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB)
    or (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 2.8 .5 1.0
assign (residue 3 and name HD2) ( (residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HG) or (residue 3 and name HG*) ) 2.9 .5 .5
assign (residue 3 and name HD2) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB)
    or (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 3.4 . 5 . 5
assign (residue 4 and name HB1) ( (residue 7 and name HD1*) or (residue 7 and name HD2*)
    or (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*) or (residue }8\mathrm{ and name HG2*)
    or (residue 1 and name HD1*) ) 3.1 .5 1.0
assign (residue 4 and name HB2) ( (residue 7 and name HD1*) or (residue 7 and name HD2*)
    or (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*) or (residue 8 and name HG2*)
    or (residue 1 and name HD1*) ) 3.1 .5 1.0
assign (residue 4 and name HB2) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB)
    or (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 3.5 .5 1.0
assign (residue 5 and name HN) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB)
    or (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 2.6 .5 . 5
assign (residue 5 and name HA) ( (residue 7 and name HD1*) or (residue 7 and name HD2*)
    or (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*) or (residue }8\mathrm{ and name HG2*)
    or (residue 1 and name HD1*) ) 2.7 .5 1.0
assign (residue 6 and name HG*) ( (residue 8 and name HB) or (residue 8 and name HG1*) ) 2.9 .5 1.0
assign (residue 7 and name HN) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB)
    or (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 3.7 .5 1.5
assign (residue 7 and name HN) ( (residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HG) or (residue 3 and name HG*) ) 2.9 .5 .5
assign (residue 7 and name HN) ( (residue 7 and name HD1*) or (residue 7 and name HD2*)
    or (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*) or (residue }8\mathrm{ and name HG2*)
    or (residue 1 and name HD1*) ) 3.4 .5 1.0
assign (residue 7 and name HB2) ( (residue 8 and name HB) or (residue 8 and name HG1*) ) 2.9 .5 1.0
assign (residue 3 and name HG1) (residue 3 and name HG2) 1.9 .5 .5
assign ( (residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*) or (residue 7 and name HG)
    or (residue 3 and name HG*) ) (residue 1 and name HG*) 3.0 .5 1.0
assign (residue 8 and name HN) ( (residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HG) or (residue 3 and name HG*) ) 3.4 .5 1.0
assign (residue 8 and name HN) ( (residue 8 and name HB) or (residue 8 and name HG1*) ) 2.6 .5 .5
assign (residue 8 and name HN) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB) or
    (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 3.1 .5 1.5
assign (residue 8 and name HA) ( (residue 7 and name HD1*) or (residue 7 and name HD2*)
    or (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*) or (residue }8\mathrm{ and name HG2*)
    or (residue 1 and name HD1*) ) 2.8 .5 1.0
assign ( (residue 8 and name HB) or (residue 8 and name HG1*) ) ( (residue 7 and name HD1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HD2*) or (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*) or
    (residue 8 and name HG2*) or (residue 1 and name HD1*) ) 2.2 .5 1.0
assign (residue 1 and name HN) ( (residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HG) or (residue 3 and name HG*) ) 3.4 .5 .5
assign (residue 1 and name HN) ( (residue 7 and name HD1*) or (residue 7 and name HD2*)
    or (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*) or (residue }8\mathrm{ and name HG2*)
    or (residue 1 and name HD1*) ) 3.2 .5 1.0
assign (residue 1 and name HN) ( (residue 8 and name HB) or (residue 8 and name HG1*) ) 2.5 .5 .5
assign (residue 1 and name HN) ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB)
    or (residue 3 and name HB*) or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) 2.4 .5 .5
assign (residue 1 and name HA) ( (residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HG) or (residue 3 and name HG*) ) 2.9 .5 .5
assign ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB) or (residue 3 and name HB*)
    or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) ( (residue 7 and name HB1) or (residue 1 and name HG1*)
    or (residue 7 and name HG) or (residue 3 and name HG*) ) 2.1 .5 .5
assign ( (residue 1 and name HB) or (residue 5 and name HB) or (residue 3 and name HB*)
    or (residue 2 and name HG*) ) ( (residue 8 and name HB) or (residue 8 and name HG1*) ) 2.4 .5 1.0
```


## C.2.2 NOEs ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

assign (residue 6 and name HD1) (residue 5 and name HN) 4.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 1 and name HN) 4.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 5 and name HN) 4.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HN) 4.2 .5 .5 assign (residue 8 and name HD1*) (residue 5 and name HN) 4.1 .5 1.0 assign (residue 8 and name HD1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 4.1 . 5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HD1*) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.7 . 5 1.0 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.7 .5 .5 !assign (residue 2 and name HB 2 ) (residue 4 and name HA) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HG) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.6.5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.6 .5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HB1) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.5 .5 .5 assign (residue 4 and name HA) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 2 and name HA) 3.5 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.4 .5 .5 assign (residue 1 and name HB) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.4 .5 . 5 assign ( (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*)) (residue 6 and name HD1) 3.4 . 5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.3.5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HB) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HA) 3.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HB2) (residue 2 and name HD2) 3.3 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.3 .5 .5 assign (residue 7 and name HD1*) (residue 7 and name HA) 3.2 . 51.0 !assign (residue 3 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HA) 3.2 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HB2) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.1 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HG1*) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.1 .5 .5 assign (residue 8 and name HG2*) (residue 1 and name HA) 3.1 .5 1.0 !assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 5 and name HA) 3.0 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.0.5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HG1*) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.0 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.0 .5 .5 assign (residue 3 and name HD2) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.0 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.0 .5 . 5
assign (residue 8 and name HG2*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.0 .5 1.0
assign (residue 7 and name HB2) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.0 .5 . 5
assign ( (residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*)) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.9 . 51.0
assign (residue 8 and name HG1*) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.9 .5 . 5
assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.9 .5 . 5
assign (residue 4 and name HN ) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.9 .5 . 5
assign (residue 8 and name HB) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.9 .5 .5
assign (residue 4 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HN) 2.9 .5 .5
assign (residue 8 and name HG2*) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.9 .5 1.0
assign (residue 5 and name HA) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.9 .5 . 5
assign (residue 1 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HN) 2.9 .5 . 5
assign (residue 8 and name HD1) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.9 .5 . 5
assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.8 .5 . 5
assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HN) 2.8 .5 . 5
assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.8.5 . 5
assign (residue 5 and name HB) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.8 .5 . 5
assign (residue 8 and name HG1*) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.8 .5 . 5
assign (residue 2 and name HB2) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.7 . 5 . 5
assign ((residue 5 and name HG1*) or (residue 5 and name HG2*)) (residue 6 and name HD2) 2.7 . 5 1.0
assign (residue 5 and name HB) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.7 .5 . 5
assign (residue 7 and name HA) (residue 7 and name HN) 2.7 .5 .5
assign (residue 8 and name HB) (residue 1 and name HN) 2.7 .5 .5
assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HA) 2.7.5 . 5
assign (residue 4 and name HB2) (residue 4 and name HN) 2.6 .5 . 5
assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.6 .5 .5
assign (residue 8 and name HB ) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.6 .5 . 5
assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 7 and name HN) 2.6 .5 .5
assign (residue 7 and name HD2) (residue 7 and name HA) 2.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HB) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.5 .5 .5 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HB ) (residue 1 and name HN) 2.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HG2*) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HB2) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.4 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HB1) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.4 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.4 .5 1.0 assign (residue 6 and name HB1) (residue 6 and name HA) 2.3 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HD2) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.3 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HB2) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.2.5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HA) 2.2 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.2 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.1 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HD1) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.1 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HD2) (residue 6 and name HD1) 1.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HB2) (residue 4 and name HB1) 1.8 .5 .5

## C.2.3 NOEs (THF)

assign (residue 8 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 4.6 .5 .5 assign (residue 5 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HD*) 4.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 2 and name HA) 4.2 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 7 and name HN) 4.0 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HN) 4.0 .5 .5 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 7 and name HA) 4.0 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HB2) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.9 .5 .5 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HD2) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HE*) 3.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HE*) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.9 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HG*) (residue 3 and name HA) 3.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HA) 3.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HD1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.8 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.8 .5 .5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HG1*) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.8 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 4 and name HD*) 3.7 . 5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.7 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HB1) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.7 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HG*) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.7 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 2 and name HD2) 3.7 .5 1.3 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HG1*) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.6 .5 1.5 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HD2) (residue 2 and name HA) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HD1*) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.6 .5 1.5 assign (residue 6 and name HB2) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.6 .5 .5 assign (residue 4 and name HB2) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HD2) (residue 3 and name HA) 3.6 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.5 .5 1.5 assign (residue 7 and name HB1) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.5 .5 .5 assign (residue 6 and name HB1) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.5 .5 .5 assign (residue 7 and name HG) (residue 6 and name HA) 3.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HD1) (residue 4 and name HA) 3.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 8 and name HA) 3.5 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 2 and name HA) 3.5 .5 1.5 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 4 and name HE*) 3.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 4 and name HD*) 3.4 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HD1) (residue 3 and name HA) 3.4 . 5 . 5
assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.4 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.4 1.0 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 8 and name HA) 3.4 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 4 and name HE*) 3.4 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.4 .5 1.5 assign (residue 5 and name HG1*) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.4 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 2 and name HD2) 3.4 .5 1.5 assign (residue 7 and name HD2*) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.4 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HB) (residue 4 and name HD*) 3.4 .5 1.0 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.3 .5 1.5 assign (residue 7 and name HB1) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.3 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.3.5 .5 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HE*) 3.3 .5 1.0 assign (residue 3 and name HB2) (residue 3 and name HD2) 3.2 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 2 and name HA) 3.2 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 2 and name HA) 3.2 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 3 and name HA) 3.2 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HG*) (residue 4 and name HN) 3.1 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HB2) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.1 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HA) (residue 5 and name HN) 3.1 .5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HG1*) (residue 6 and name HD1) 3.1 .5 1.5 assign (residue 2 and name HG*) (residue 2 and name HA) 3.1 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HG1*) (residue 8 and name HN) 3.1 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HD1*) (residue 1 and name HA) 3.0 .5 1.5 assign (residue 5 and name HB) (residue 4 and name HB1) 3.0 .5 1.0 assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 1 and name HN) 3.0 .5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HB2) (residue 7 and name HN) 3.0 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 4 and name HD*) 3.0 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 4 and name HB1) 2.9 .5 1.5 assign (residue 8 and name HA) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.9 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HD2) (residue 4 and name HE*) 2.9 .5 1.0 assign (residue 7 and name HD1*) (residue 7 and name HA) 2.8 .5 1.5 assign (residue 3 and name HG*) (residue 3 and name HB1) 2.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HA ) (residue 1 and name HN) 2.8.5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.8 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 7 and name HB2) (residue 7 and name HA) 2.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HG*) (residue 2 and name HD1) 2.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HA) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HD2) (residue 4 and name HN) 2.8 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.7 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HA ) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.7 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HB1) (residue 2 and name HD1) 2.7 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HD1*) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.7 .5 1.5 assign (residue 4 and name HD*) (residue 4 and name HN) 2.7 .5 1.0 assign (residue 5 and name HG2*) (residue 4 and name HB2) 2.7 . 51.5 assign (residue 5 and name HG1*) (residue 6 and name HD2) 2.7 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HB) 2.7 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name HB2) (residue 3 and name HD1) 2.7 . 51.0 assign (residue 4 and name HN ) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 3 and name $H G *$ ) (residue 3 and name HD1) 2.6 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HB2) (residue 2 and name HA) 2.6 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HB1) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.6 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 8 and name HG1*) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HN) 2.6 .5 .5 assign (residue 8 and name HB) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.6 .5 .5 assign (residue 3 and name HG*) (residue 3 and name HD2) 2.6 . 5 . 5 assign (residue 5 and name HG2*) (residue 4 and name HB1) 2.6 . 5 1.5 assign (residue 5 and name HB) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.6 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HB1) (residue 5 and name HN) 2.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 5 and name HB) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.5 .5 .5 assign (residue 1 and name HG1*) (residue 1 and name HB) 2.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 1 and name HN) (residue 8 and name HN) 2.5 .5 .5 assign (residue 6 and name HA) (residue 2 and name HA) 2.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 2 and name HB2) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.5 .5 . 5 assign (residue 4 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HN) 2.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 4 and name HA) (residue 4 and name HD*) 2.5 .5 1.0 assign (residue 7 and name HD1*) (residue 7 and name HB2) 2.4 .5 1.5 assign (residue 1 and name HG2*) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.4 .5 1.5

```
assign (residue 3 and name HB1) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.4 .5 .5
assign (residue 2 and name HG*) (residue 2 and name HD1) 2.4 .5 .5
assign (residue 5 and name HG2*) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.4 .5 1.5
assign (residue 1 and name HB) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.4 .5 .5
assign (residue 1 and name HB) (residue 1 and name HN) 2.4 .5 .5
assign (residue 8 and name HG2*) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.4 .5 1.5
assign (residue 2 and name HD1) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.4 .5 .5
assign (residue 7 and name HD2*) (residue 7 and name HA) 2.4 .5 1.5
assign (residue 8 and name HB) (residue 8 and name HA) 2.3 .5 .5
assign (residue 4 and name HB2) (residue 4 and name HD*) 2.3 .5 1.0
assign (residue 3 and name HG*) (residue 3 and name HD1) 2.3 .5 .5
assign (residue 3 and name HG*) (residue 3 and name HD2) 2.3 .5 .5
assign (residue 7 and name HB1) (residue 7 and name HA) 2.3 .5 .5
assign (residue 5 and name HG1*) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.3 .5 1.5
assign (residue 4 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HD*) 2.3 .5 1.0
assign (residue 2 and name HB1) (residue 2 and name HA) 2.2 .5 .5
assign (residue 3 and name HB2) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.2 .5 .5
assign (residue 6 and name HD2) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.1 .5 .5
assign (residue 2 and name HD2) (residue 1 and name HA) 2.1 .5 .5
assign (residue 2 and name HA) (residue 3 and name HA) 2.0 . 5 . 5
assign (residue 6 and name HD1) (residue 5 and name HA) 2.0 .5 .5
assign (residue 4 and name HB1) (residue 4 and name HB2) 1.8 .5 .5
assign (residue 2 and name HB2) (residue 2 and name HB1) 1.8 .5 .5
```


## C.2.4 Dihedrals (DMSO)

| assign | (resid <br> (resid | 3 and name HD1 ) <br> 3 and name CG ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (resid } \\ & \text { (resid } \end{aligned}$ | 3 and name CD ) <br> 3 and name HG2 ) | $1.0$ | 180.010 .02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| assign | (resid | 4 and name HA ) | (resid | 4 and name CA ) |  |  |
|  | (resid | 4 and name CB ) | (resid | 4 and name HB2 ) | 1.0 | 180.010 .02 |
| assign | (resid | 5 and name HA ) | (resid | 5 and name CA ) |  |  |
|  | (resid | 5 and name CB ) | (resid | 5 and name HB ) | 1.0 | 180.010 .02 |
| assign | (resid | 7 and name HA ) | (resid | 7 and name CA |  |  |
|  | (resid | 7 and name CB ) | (resid | 7 and name HB2 ) | 1.0 | 180.010 .02 |
| assign | (resid | 8 and name HA ) | (resid | 8 and name CA) |  |  |
|  | (resid | 8 and name CB ) | (resid | 8 and name HB ) | 1.0 | 180.010 .02 |

## C.2.5 Dihedrals ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



## C.2.6 Residual dipolar couplings

## C.2.6.1 PH-gel/DMSO

| 2 | PRO | CA | 2 | PRO | HA | -6.390 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB1 | 0.020 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB2 | 14.050 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD2 | -2.090 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD1 | -4.050 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CA | 3 | PRO | HA | -13.020 | 2.000 | 0.50 |


| PRO | CG | 3 | PRD | HG1 | 9.020 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| PRO | CG | 3 | PRD | HG2 | 7.480 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD1 | -10.380 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD2 | 7.080 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| TYR | CA | 4 | TYR | HA | -8.560 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB1 | -3.080 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB2 | -11.180 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| VAL | CA | 5 | VAL | HA | -7.090 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CA | 6 | PRO | HA | 6.640 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB2 | -17.030 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CG | 6 | PRD | HG2 | -8.770 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CG | 6 | PRD | HG1 | 5.160 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CD | 6 | PRO | HD2 | 13.160 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| PRO | CD | 6 | PRO | HD1 | -5.410 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| LEU | CA | 7 | LEU | HA | 21.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB2 | 16.780 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB1 | -19.190 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| ILE | CA | 8 | ILE | HA | -20.380 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| ILE | CB | 8 | ILE | HB | -16.320 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| ILE | CG1 | 8 | ILE | HG11 | -8.090 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| ILE | CA | 1 | ILE | HA | -5.290 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| ILE | CB | 1 | ILE | HB | -15.540 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| ILE | CG1 | 1 | ILE | HG12 | -8.510 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| ILE | CG1 | 1 | ILE | HG11 | -10.080 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| TYR | N | 4 | TYR | HN | -3.990 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| VAL | N | 5 | VAL | HN | -4.330 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| LEU | N | 7 | LEU | HN | 6.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| ILE | N | 8 | ILE | HN | -9.900 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| ILE | $N$ | 1 | ILE | HN | -4.780 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C.2.6.2 PPH-gel/DMSO

| 2 | PRO | CA | 2 | PRO | HA | 20.860 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB1 | -8.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB2 | -4.890 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CA | 3 | PRO | HA | -17.950 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CG | 3 | PRO | HG1 | -16.580 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CG | 3 | PRO | HG2 | 15.870 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CA | 4 | TYR | HA | 8.250 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB1 | 17.260 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB2 | 3.180 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CE1 | 4 | TYR | HE1 | 4.780 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CD2 | 4 | TYR | HD2 | 4.250 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 5 | VAL | CA | 5 | VAL | HA | 18.290 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CA | 6 | PRO | HA | 0.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB1 | 3.560 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB2 | -12.950 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CA | 7 | LEU | HA | 18.540 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB2 | 17.660 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB1 | 4.710 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CG | 7 | LEU | HG | 4.650 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CA | 8 | ILE | HA | 7.440 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CB | 8 | ILE | HB | 4.170 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CG1 | 8 | ILE | HG12 | 2.760 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CG1 | 8 | ILE | HG11 | 6.540 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CA | 1 | ILE | HA | 21.640 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CB | 1 | ILE | HB | 6.990 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CG1 | 1 | ILE | HG12 | 0.380 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CG1 | 1 | ILE | HG11 | 6.080 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | N | 4 | TYR | HN | 11.010 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 5 | VAL | N | 5 | VAL | HN | 14.350 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 8 | ILE | N | 8 | ILE | HN | 4.700 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | ILE | N | 1 | ILE | HN | 11.300 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C.2.6.3 PAN/DMSO

| 2 | PRO | CA | 2 | PRO | HA | 40.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB1 | 3.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB2 | -9.200 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD1 | -20.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD2 | 32.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CA | 3 | PRO | HA | -22.400 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD1 | 10.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD2 | -14.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CA | 4 | TYR | HA | 37.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB1 | 5.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB2 | 15.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 5 | VAL | CA | 5 | VAL | HA | 42.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CA | 6 | PRO | HA | -11.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB1 | -20.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB2 | -25.200 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CA | 7 | LEU | HA | -6.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB2 | -3.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB1 | 18.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CG | 7 | LEU | HG | 12.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CA | 8 | ILE | HA | 23.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CB | 8 | ILE | HB | 20.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CG1 | 8 | ILE | HG11 | 16.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CG1 | 8 | ILE | HG12 | -2.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CA | 1 | ILE | HA | 33.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CB | 1 | ILE | HB | 25.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CG1 | 1 | ILE | HG11 | 13.400 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CG1 | 1 | ILE | HG12 | 1.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | N | 4 | TYR | HN | 17.400 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 5 | VAL | N | 5 | VAL | HN | 13.900 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 7 | LEU | N | 7 | LEU | HN | -6.000 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 8 | ILE | N | 8 | ILE | HN | 14.800 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | ILE | N | 1 | ILE | HN | 17.000 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C.2.6.4 PDMS/ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| 2 | PRO | CA | 2 | PRO | HA | -8.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB1 | -11.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB2 | 23.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD2 | -10.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD1 | -14.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CG | 2 | PRO | HG1 | -12.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CG | 2 | PRO | HG2 | -24.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CA | 3 | PRO | HA | -32.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD1 | 21.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD2 | 10.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CB | 3 | PRO | HB1 | -14.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CB | 3 | PRO | HB2 | 31.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CG | 3 | PRO | HG1 | 16.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CG | 3 | PRO | HG2 | 12.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CA | 4 | TYR | HA | -13.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB1 | 23.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB2 | -11.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 5 | VAL | CA | 5 | VAL | HA | -12.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 5 | VAL | CB | 5 | VAL | HB | -14.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CA | 6 | PRO | HA | 17.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CD | 6 | PRO | HD1 | -37.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CD | 6 | PRO | HD2 | 32.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB1 | 34.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB2 | -13.200 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CA | 7 | LEU | HA | 36.400 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB2 | 28.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB1 | -13.200 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CG | 7 | LEU | HG | -14.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CA | 8 | ILE | HA | -23.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |


| 8 | ILE | CB | 8 | ILE | HB | -27.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ILE | CA | 1 | ILE | HA | -5.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CB | 1 | ILE | HB | -14.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | N | 4 | TYR | HN | -9.400 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 5 | VAL | $N$ | 5 | VAL | HN | -2.200 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 8 | ILE | $N$ | 8 | ILE | HN | -10.600 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | ILE | N | 1 | ILE | HN | -6.200 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C.2.6.5 PDMS/THF

| 2 | PRO | CA | 2 | PRO | HA | 5.200 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB1 | -4.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CB | 2 | PRO | HB2 | 5.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD1 | -11.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 2 | PRO | CD | 2 | PRO | HD2 | 4.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CA | 3 | PRO | HA | -13.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD1 | 11.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CD | 3 | PRO | HD2 | 7.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CB | 3 | PRO | HB2 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CB | 3 | PRO | HB1 | 16.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CG | 3 | PRO | HG1 | -1.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 3 | PRO | CG | 3 | PRO | HG2 | 6.100 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CA | 4 | TYR | HA | -0.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB1 | -2.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | CB | 4 | TYR | HB2 | 15.300 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 5 | VAL | CA | 5 | VAL | HA | 4.400 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CA | 6 | PRO | HA | 5.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CD | 6 | PRO | HD1 | 5.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CD | 6 | PRO | HD2 | -15.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB1 | 12.500 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 6 | PRO | CB | 6 | PRO | HB2 | -7.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CA | 7 | LEU | HA | 16.000 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB2 | 13.200 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CB | 7 | LEU | HB1 | -4.600 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 7 | LEU | CG | 7 | LEU | HG | -0.700 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CA | 8 | ILE | HA | -9.400 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 8 | ILE | CB | 8 | ILE | HB | -9.800 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CA | 1 | ILE | HA | 5.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 1 | ILE | CB | 1 | ILE | HB | -0.900 | 2.000 | 0.50 |
| 4 | TYR | N | 4 | TYR | HN | 1.100 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 5 | VAL | N | 5 | VAL | HN | 2.500 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 7 | LEU | N | 7 | LEU | HN | 2.400 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 8 | ILE | N | 8 | ILE | HN | -1.800 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | ILE | N | 1 | ILE | HN | 2.100 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C. 3 DiaA

## C.3.1 NOEs

```
assign ( name H4 ) ( name H9 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H4 ) ( name H19 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H4 ) ( name H11 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H4 ) ( name H8 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H9 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H8 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H9 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H19 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H11 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H8 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H9 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H8 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
```

```
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H1 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H1 ) ( name H26 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H19 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H11 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H9 ) 4.0 1.0 1.0
assign ( name H28 ) (( name H2O ) or ( name H21 ) or ( name H22 )) 4.0 1.0 2.0
```


## C.3.2 non-NOEs

```
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H7 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H8 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H10 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H11 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H12 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H13 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H14 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H15 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) ( name H19 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) (( name H16 ) or ( name H17 ) or ( name H18 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) (( name H2O ) or ( name H21 ) or ( name H22 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H5 ) (( name H23 ) or ( name H24 ) or ( name H25 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H7 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H10 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H11 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H12 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H13 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H14 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H15 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) ( name H19 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) (( name H16 ) or ( name H17 ) or ( name H18 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) (( name H2O ) or ( name H21 ) or ( name H22 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H6 ) (( name H23 ) or ( name H24 ) or ( name H25 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H7 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) (name H10 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H12 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) (name H13 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H14 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) ( name H15 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) (( name H16 ) or ( name H17 ) or ( name H18 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) (( name H2O ) or ( name H21 ) or ( name H22 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H26 ) (( name H23 ) or ( name H24 ) or ( name H25 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H7 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H8 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H9 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H10 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H11 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H12 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H13 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H14 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H15 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) ( name H19 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) (( name H16 ) or ( name H17 ) or ( name H18 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) (( name H2O ) or ( name H21 ) or ( name H22 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H27 ) (( name H23 ) or (name H24 ) or (name H25 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H7 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H10 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H12 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H13 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) (name H14 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) ( name H15 ) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) (( name H16 ) or ( name H17 ) or ( name H18 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
```

```
assign ( name H28 ) (( name H2O ) or ( name H21 ) or ( name H22 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
assign ( name H28 ) (( name H23 ) or ( name H24 ) or ( name H25 )) 5.0 1.0 6.0
```


## C.3.3 Residual dipolar couplings

## C.3.3.1 PH-gel/DMSO

| MET | C1 | 1 | MET | H7 | 6.630 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| MET | C2 | 1 | MET | H9 | -6.720 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C2 | 1 | MET | H8 | 5.730 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C3 | 1 | MET | H10 | 5.400 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C4 | 1 | MET | H11 | 7.750 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C5 | 1 | MET | H12 | -3.990 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C6 | 1 | MET | H14 | 3.340 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C6 | 1 | MET | H15 | 7.750 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C8 | 1 | MET | H19 | 0.730 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C13 | 1 | MET | H4 | -11.710 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C16 | 1 | MET | H1 | -6.300 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C19 | 1 | MET | H26 | -2.460 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C20 | 1 | MET | H6 | 7.620 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C21 | 1 | MET | H5 | -1.090 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C23 | 1 | MET | H28 | 5.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| MET | C23 | 1 | MET | H27 | 3.190 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C.3.3.2 PAN/DMSO

| 1 | MET | C1 | 1 | MET | H7 | 13.960 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | MET | C2 | 1 | MET | H9 | 5.310 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C2 | 1 | MET | H8 | 12.080 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C3 | 1 | MET | H10 | 15.130 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C4 | 1 | MET | H11 | 12.210 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C5 | 1 | MET | H12 | 6.190 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C5 | 1 | MET | H13 | 15.470 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C6 | 1 | MET | H14 | -10.860 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C6 | 1 | MET | H15 | 15.110 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C8 | 1 | MET | H19 | -9.610 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C13 | 1 | MET | H4 | -14.220 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C16 | 1 | MET | H1 | -10.500 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C19 | 1 | MET | H26 | 14.490 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C20 | 1 | MET | H6 | 2.390 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C21 | 1 | MET | H5 | -3.470 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C23 | 1 | MET | H28 | -11.250 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 1 | MET | C23 | 1 | MET | H27 | 0.720 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C. 4 Triggerfactor

## C.4.1 NOEs

[^0]
assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 109 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 111 and name HN) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 112 and name HN) (resid 111 and name HN) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 112 and name HN) (resid 113 and name HN) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 27 and name HN) (resid 26 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 28 and name HN) (resid 27 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 31 and name HN) (resid 30 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 33 and name HN) (resid 32 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 34 and name HN) (resid 33 and name HA\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 35 and name HN) (resid 34 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 36 and name HN) (resid 35 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 37 and name HN) (resid 36 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 38 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 39 and name HN) (resid 38 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 40 and name HN) (resid 39 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 41 and name HN) (resid 40 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 42 and name HN) (resid 41 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 43 and name HN) (resid 42 and name HA\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 44 and name HN) (resid 43 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 45 and name HN) (resid 44 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 46 and name HN) (resid 45 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 47 and name HN) (resid 46 and name HA) 3.03 .00 .8 assign (resid 48 and name HN ) (resid 47 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 49 and name HN) (resid 48 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 54 and name HN) (resid 53 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 56 and name HN) (resid 55 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 57 and name HN) (resid 56 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 58 and name HN) (resid 57 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 59 and name HN) (resid 58 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 55 and name HN) (resid 54 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 61 and name HN) (resid 60 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 63 and name HN) (resid 62 and name HA\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 66 and name HN) (resid 65 and name HA) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 69 and name HN) (resid 68 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 70 and name HN) (resid 69 and name HA\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 71 and name HN) (resid 70 and name HA) 4.0 4.01 .6 assign (resid 72 and name HN) (resid 71 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 74 and name HN) (resid 73 and name HA\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 75 and name HN) (resid 74 and name HA) 4.0 4.01 .6 assign (resid 76 and name HN) (resid 75 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 77 and name HN) (resid 76 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 80 and name HN) (resid 79 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 85 and name HN) (resid 84 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 86 and name HN) (resid 85 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 88 and name HN) (resid 87 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 89 and name HN) (resid 88 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 92 and name HN) (resid 91 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 93 and name HN) (resid 92 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 94 and name HN) (resid 93 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 96 and name HN) (resid 95 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 97 and name HN) (resid 96 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 98 and name HN) (resid 97 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 99 and name HN) (resid 98 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 100 and name HN) (resid 99 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 101 and name HN) (resid 100 and name HA) 3.03 .00 .8 assign (resid 104 and name HN) (resid 103 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 105 and name HN) (resid 104 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 108 and name HN) (resid 107 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 109 and name HN ) (resid 108 and name HA) 2.5 2.5 0.4 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 109 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 111 and name HN) (resid 110 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 112 and name HN) (resid 111 and name HA) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 26 and name HN) (resid 25 and name HB) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 28 and name HN) (resid 27 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 32 and name HN) (resid 31 and name HB\#) 2.52 .50 .4 assign (resid 33 and name HN) (resid 34 and name HB\#) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 33 and name HN) (resid 32 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 40 and name HN) (resid 39 and name HB\#) 3.63 .61 .4

assign (resid 99 and name HN) (resid 96 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 99 and name HN) (resid 97 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 101 and name HN) (resid 100 and name HB\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 101 and name HN) (resid 99 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 97 and name HN) (resid 99 and name HN) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 98 and name HN) (resid 100 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 101 and name HN) (resid 99 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 101 and name HN) (resid 89 and name HN) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 89 and name HN) (resid 100 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 89 and name HN) (resid 103 and name HN) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 103 and name HN) (resid 87 and name HN) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 105 and name HN) (resid 87 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 57 and name HN) (resid 40 and name HE\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 58 and name HN) (resid 57 and name HD\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 59 and name HN) (resid 57 and name HD\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 65 and name HN) (resid 63 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 74 and name HN) (resid 72 and name HN) 4.24 .21 .6 assign (resid 73 and name HN) (resid 75 and name HN) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 70 and name HN) (resid 72 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 73 and name HN) (resid 71 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 78 and name HN) (resid 81 and name HN) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 61 and name HN) (resid 35 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 59 and name HN) (resid 35 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 65 and name HN) (resid 64 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 66 and name HN) (resid 64 and name HA) 4.24 .21 .6 assign (resid 77 and name HN) (resid 75 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 74 and name HN) (resid 75 and name HA) 4.04 .01 .6 assign (resid 24 and name HN) (resid 23 and name HB) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 24 and name HN) (resid 23 and name HG1\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 26 and name HN) (resid 25 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 28 and name HN) (resid 26 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 31 and name HN ) (resid 30 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 31 and name HN) (resid 30 and name HG) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 36 and name HN) (resid 35 and name HB) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 38 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HD1\#) 3.6 3.61 .4 assign (resid 38 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 39 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HG1\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 40 and name HN) (resid 54 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 39 and name HN) (resid 38 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 42 and name HN) (resid 41 and name HG2\#) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 56 and name HN) (resid 55 and name HG\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 56 and name HN) (resid 55 and name HB\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 53 and name HN) (resid 54 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 52 and name HN) (resid 50 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 52 and name HN) (resid 53 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 52 and name HN) (resid 51 and name HA) 4.0 4.01 .6 assign (resid 53 and name HN) (resid 51 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 46 and name HN) (resid 44 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 47 and name HN) (resid 44 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 47 and name HN) (resid 45 and name HA) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 70 and name HN) (resid 68 and name HA) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 73 and name HN) (resid 72 and name HB) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 73 and name HN) (resid 69 and name HA\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 106 and name HN) (resid 105 and name HN) 4.0 4.01 .6 assign (resid 107 and name HN) (resid 106 and name HN) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 39 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 101 and name HN) (resid 89 and name HD\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 95 and name HN) (resid 93 and name HE\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 41 and name HN) (resid 40 and name HD\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 42 and name HN) (resid 105 and name HE\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 54 and name HN ) (resid 40 and name HE\#) 4.24 .21 .6 assign (resid 54 and name HN) (resid 40 and name HA) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 52 and name HN ) (resid 49 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 71 and name HN) (resid 70 and name HD\#) 4.0 4.01 .6 assign (resid 81 and name HN) (resid 109 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 86 and name HN) (resid 87 and name HN) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 107 and name HN) (resid 83 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 108 and name HN) (resid 40 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6



assign (resid 109 and name HN) (resid 107 and name HB) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 109 and name HN) (resid 79 and name HA) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 109 and name HN) (resid 80 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 109 and name HN) (resid 82 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 38 and name HA) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 111 and name HN) (resid 36 and name HA) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 108 and name HB) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 109 and name HN) (resid 82 and name HG\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 109 and name HN) (resid 108 and name HG1\#) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 109 and name HG) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HG1\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 108 and name HG1\#) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 110 and name HN) (resid 109 and name HD1\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 112 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HB) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 112 and name HN) (resid 35 and name HG1\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 111 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HG1\#) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 111 and name HN) (resid 79 and name HG2\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 111 and name HN) (resid 37 and name HD1\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 113 and name HN) (resid 112 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 113 and name HN) (resid 112 and name HB) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 113 and name HN) (resid 35 and name HG1\#) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 113 and name HN) (resid 35 and name HD1\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 107 and name HN) (resid 105 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 81 and name HN) (resid 110 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 81 and name HN) (resid 109 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 38 and name HN) (resid 57 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 44 and name HN) (resid 47 and name HN) 4.24 .21 .6 assign (resid 82 and name HN) (resid 81 and name HE2\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 82 and name HN) (resid 81 and name HG\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 82 and name HN) (resid 81 and name HB\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 83 and name HN) (resid 107 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 83 and name HN) (resid 107 and name HB) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 83 and name HN) (resid 82 and name HG\#) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 83 and name HN) (resid 107 and name HG2\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 83 and name HN) (resid 107 and name HG1\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 106 and name HN) (resid 42 and name HA\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 106 and name HN) (resid 41 and name HB) 4.24 .21 .6 assign (resid 106 and name HN) (resid 41 and name HG2\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 106 and name HN) (resid 104 and name HG2\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 106 and name HN) (resid 43 and name HD\#\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 26 and name HN) (resid 25 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 25 and name HN) (resid 24 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 25 and name HN) (resid 24 and name HB\#) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 41 and name HN) (resid 106 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 74 and name HN) (resid 73 and name HN) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 73 and name HN) (resid 72 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 74 and name HN) (resid 72 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 28 and name HN) (resid 29 and name HN) 3.63 .61 .4 assign (resid 29 and name HN) (resid 26 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 27 and name HN ) (resid 26 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 54 and name HN) (resid 40 and name HD\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 67 and name HN) (resid 66 and name HD\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 67 and name HN) (resid 66 and name HA) 3.0 3.0 0.8 assign (resid 67 and name HN) (resid 70 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 67 and name HN ) (resid 66 and name HB\#) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 70 and name HN) (resid 68 and name HN) 4.2 4.21 .6 assign (resid 72 and name HN) (resid 69 and name HN) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 26 and name HN) (resid 24 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 29 and name HN ) (resid 27 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 29 and name HN) (resid 28 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 29 and name HN) (resid 26 and name HB\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 29 and name HN) (resid 26 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 29 and name HN) (resid 27 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 25 and name HN) (resid 26 and name HA) 3.6 3.6 1.4 assign (resid 23 and name HN) (resid 24 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 1.6 assign (resid 22 and name HN) (resid 21 and name HA) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 22 and name HN) (resid 21 and name HG2\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6 assign (resid 52 and name HN) (resid 42 and name HA\#) 4.2 4.2 1.6

assign (resid 36 and name HA) (resid 61 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 41 and name HB) (resid 106 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 43 and name HB) (resid 104 and name HB) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 43 and name HB) (resid 104 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 113 and name HA) (resid 35 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 103 and name HB) (resid 44 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 103 and name HG\#\#) (resid 87 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 103 and name HG\#\#) (resid 44 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 103 and name HG\#\#) (resid 42 and name HA\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 104 and name HA) (resid 86 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 105 and name HA) (resid 42 and name HA\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 76 and name HA) (resid 31 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 70 and name HA) (resid 87 and name HD1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 66 and name HA) (resid 59 and name HD\#\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 106 and name HA) (resid 84 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 31 and name HA) (resid 75 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 32 and name HB\#) (resid 75 and name HD1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 43 and name HD\#\#) (resid 104 and name HB) 4.04 .00 .5 assign (resid 52 and name HB\#) (resid 49 and name HD2\#) 4.0 4.00 .5 assign (resid 52 and name HB\#) (resid 103 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 52 and name HB\#) (resid 42 and name HA\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 53 and name HB\#) (resid 41 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 54 and name HB\#) (resid 57 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 56 and name HA) (resid 37 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 56 and name HB\#) (resid 37 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 60 and name HA) (resid 35 and name HB) 4.04 .00 .5 assign (resid 60 and name HA) (resid 35 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 73 and name HA\#) (resid 85 and name HD2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 74 and name HD1\#) (resid 107 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 74 and name HD2\#) (resid 107 and name HB) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 84 and name HG2\#) (resid 106 and name HA) 4.04 .00 .5 assign (resid 86 and name HA) (resid 104 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 107 and name HA) (resid 40 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 108 and name HA) (resid 82 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 79 and name HA) (resid 109 and name HD1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 71 and name HA) (resid 74 and name HG) 4.04 .00 .5 assign (resid 61 and name HD1\#) (resid 30 and name HD2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 46 and name HA) (resid 43 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 32 and name HA) (resid 61 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 75 and name HG\#\#) (resid 72 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 75 and name HB) (resid 72 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 57 and name HA) (resid 54 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 84 and name HA) (resid 106 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 72 and name HA) (resid 75 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.00 .5 assign (resid 72 and name HA) (resid 75 and name HD1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 96 and name HA) (resid 99 and name HG\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 109 and name HD\#\#) (resid 79 and name HA) 4.0 4.00 .5 assign (resid 109 and name HD2\#) (resid 30 and name HD2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 110 and name HA) (resid 108 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 99 and name HA) (resid 91 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 97 and name HB\#) (resid 95 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 30 and name HA) (resid 61 and name HD1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 38 and name HD\#\#) (resid 57 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 31 and name HA) (resid 75 and name HD1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 39 and name HA) (resid 55 and name HG\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 39 and name HA) (resid 55 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 39 and name HA) (resid 107 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 39 and name HB\#) (resid 108 and name HB) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 39 and name HB\#) (resid 108 and name HG1\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 42 and name HA\#) (resid 103 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 62 and name HA\#) (resid 61 and name HG2\#) 4.0 4.01 .0 assign (resid 66 and name HB\#) (resid 59 and name HD\#\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 67 and name HB) (resid 90 and name HD\#) 4.0 4.0 0.0 assign (resid 67 and name HB) (resid 90 and name HG\#) 4.0 4.0 0.0 assign (resid 70 and name HB\#) (resid 87 and name HD2\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 79 and name HA) (resid 109 and name HB\#) 4.0 4.0 0.5 assign (resid 79 and name HG2\#) (resid 111 and name HA) 4.04 .00 .5 assign (resid 106 and name HG\#) (resid 84 and name HA) 4.0 4.0 0.5






## C.4.2 Hydrogen bonds

assign (resid 34 and name HN) (resid 61 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 34 and name $N$ ) (resid 61 and name 0) 3.00 .70 .5 assign (resid 34 and name 0) (resid 61 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 34 and name 0) (resid 61 and name N) 3.00 .70 .5 assign (resid 36 and name HN) (resid 59 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 36 and name N) (resid 59 and name 0) 3.00 .70 .5 assign (resid 36 and name 0) (resid 59 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 36 and name 0) (resid 59 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 38 and name HN) (resid 57 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 38 and name N) (resid 57 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 38 and name 0) (resid 57 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5 assign (resid 38 and name 0) (resid 57 and name N) 3.00 .70 .5 assign (resid 40 and name HN ) (resid 54 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5

```
assign (resid 40 and name N) (resid 54 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 44 and name HN) (resid 47 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 44 and name N) (resid 47 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 44 and name 0) (resid 47 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 44 and name 0) (resid 47 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 35 and name HN) (resid 112 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 35 and name N) (resid 112 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 35 and name 0) (resid 112 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 35 and name 0) (resid 112 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 37 and name HN) (resid 110 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 37 and name N) (resid 110 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 37 and name 0) (resid 110 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 37 and name 0) (resid 110 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 39 and name HN) (resid 108 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 39 and name N) (resid 108 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 39 and name 0) (resid 108 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 39 and name 0) (resid 108 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 41 and name HN) (resid 106 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 41 and name N) (resid 106 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 41 and name 0) (resid 106 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 41 and name 0) (resid 106 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 43 and name HN) (resid 104 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 43 and name N) (resid 104 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 43 and name 0) (resid 104 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 43 and name 0) (resid 104 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 101 and name HN) (resid 89 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 101 and name N) (resid 89 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 101 and name 0) (resid 89 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 101 and name 0) (resid 89 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 103 and name HN) (resid 87 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 103 and name N) (resid 87 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 103 and name 0) (resid 87 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 103 and name 0) (resid 87 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 105 and name HN) (resid 85 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 105 and name N) (resid 85 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 105 and name 0) (resid 85 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 105 and name 0) (resid 85 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 107 and name HN) (resid 83 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 107 and name N) (resid 83 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 107 and name 0) (resid 83 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 107 and name 0) (resid 83 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 109 and name HN) (resid 81 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 109 and name N) (resid 81 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 109 and name 0) (resid 81 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 109 and name 0) (resid 81 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 74 and name HN) (resid 70 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 74 and name N) (resid 70 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 75 and name HN) (resid 71 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 75 and name N) (resid 71 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 76 and name HN) (resid 72 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 76 and name H) (resid 72 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 77 and name HN) (resid 73 and name 0) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 77 and name H) (resid 73 and name 0) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 95 and name 0) (resid 98 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 95 and name 0) (resid 98 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 96 and name 0) (resid 99 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 96 and name 0) (resid 99 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 97 and name 0) (resid 100 and name HN) 2.0 0.7 0.5
assign (resid 97 and name 0) (resid 100 and name N) 3.0 0.7 0.5
```


## C.4.3 ${ }^{3} \mathbf{J}_{H N-H \alpha}$ coupling constants

```
    (resid 16 and name ca ) (resid 16 and name ha ) 3.3 2.1
assign (resid 17 and name hn ) (resid 17 and name n )
            (resid 17 and name ca ) (resid 17 and name ha ) 5.5 2.1
assign (resid 19 and name hn ) (resid 19 and name n )
            (resid 19 and name ca ) (resid 19 and name ha ) 5.1 2.1
assign (resid 23 and name hn ) (resid 23 and name n )
            (resid 23 and name ca ) (resid 23 and name ha ) 6.8 2.1
assign (resid 24 and name hn ) (resid 24 and name n )
            (resid 24 and name ca ) (resid 24 and name ha ) 5.6 2.1
assign (resid 25 and name hn ) (resid 25 and name n )
            (resid 25 and name ca ) (resid 25 and name ha ) 6.3 2.1
assign (resid 26 and name hn ) (resid 26 and name n )
            (resid 26 and name ca ) (resid 26 and name ha ) 1.3 2.1
assign (resid 27 and name hn ) (resid 27 and name n )
            (resid 27 and name ca ) (resid 27 and name ha ) 3.1 2.1
assign (resid 28 and name hn ) (resid 28 and name n )
                            (resid 28 and name ca ) (resid 28 and name ha ) 3.7 2.1
assign (resid 29 and name hn ) (resid 29 and name n )
                            (resid 29 and name ca ) (resid 29 and name ha ) 3.2 2.1
assign (resid 31 and name hn ) (resid 31 and name n )
    (resid 31 and name ca ) (resid 31 and name ha ) 8.3 2.1
assign (resid 34 and name hn ) (resid 34 and name n )
    (resid 34 and name ca ) (resid 34 and name ha ) 5.4 2.1
assign (resid 35 and name hn ) (resid 35 and name n )
    (resid 35 and name ca ) (resid 35 and name ha ) 8.2 2.1
assign (resid 36 and name hn ) (resid 36 and name n )
    (resid 36 and name ca ) (resid 36 and name ha ) 7.8 2.1
assign (resid 37 and name hn ) (resid 37 and name n )
    (resid 37 and name ca ) (resid 37 and name ha ) 8.1 2.1
assign (resid 38 and name hn ) (resid 38 and name n )
    (resid 38 and name ca ) (resid 38 and name ha ) 10.1 2.1
assign (resid 39 and name hn ) (resid 39 and name n )
    (resid 39 and name ca ) (resid 39 and name ha ) 8.8 2.1
assign (resid 40 and name hn ) (resid 40 and name n )
    (resid 40 and name ca ) (resid 40 and name ha ) 6.2 2.1
assign (resid 41 and name hn ) (resid 41 and name n )
    (resid 41 and name ca ) (resid 41 and name ha ) 8.9 2.1
assign (resid 43 and name hn ) (resid 43 and name n )
    (resid 43 and name ca ) (resid 43 and name ha ) 8.8 2.1
assign (resid 44 and name hn ) (resid 44 and name n )
    (resid 44 and name ca ) (resid 44 and name ha ) 8.1 2.1
assign (resid 45 and name hn ) (resid 45 and name n )
    (resid 45 and name ca ) (resid 45 and name ha ) 3.6 2.1
assign (resid 46 and name hn ) (resid 46 and name n )
    (resid 46 and name ca ) (resid 46 and name ha ) 6.0 2.1
assign (resid 47 and name hn ) (resid 47 and name n )
    (resid 47 and name ca ) (resid 47 and name ha ) 8.2 2.1
assign (resid 48 and name hn ) (resid 48 and name n )
    (resid 48 and name ca ) (resid 48 and name ha ) 3.9 2.1
assign (resid 49 and name hn ) (resid 49 and name n )
    (resid 49 and name ca ) (resid 49 and name ha ) 8.2 2.1
assign (resid 52 and name hn ) (resid 52 and name n )
    (resid 52 and name ca ) (resid 52 and name ha ) 9.5 2.1
assign (resid 53 and name hn ) (resid 53 and name n )
            (resid 53 and name ca ) (resid 53 and name ha ) 8.5 2.1
assign (resid 54 and name hn ) (resid 54 and name n )
            (resid 54 and name ca ) (resid 54 and name ha ) 4.6 2.1
assign (resid 55 and name hn ) (resid 55 and name n )
            (resid 55 and name ca ) (resid 55 and name ha ) 8.7 2.1
assign (resid 57 and name hn ) (resid 57 and name n )
            (resid 57 and name ca ) (resid 57 and name ha ) 6.6 2.1
assign (resid 58 and name hn ) (resid 58 and name n )
            (resid 58 and name ca ) (resid 58 and name ha ) 7.3 2.1
assign (resid 59 and name hn ) (resid 59 and name n )
            (resid 59 and name ca ) (resid 59 and name ha ) 8.0 2.1
assign (resid 60 and name hn ) (resid 60 and name n )
            (resid 60 and name ca ) (resid 60 and name ha ) 5.6 2.1
assign (resid 63 and name hn ) (resid 63 and name n )
```

(resid 63 and name ca ) (resid 63 and name ha ) 5.82 .1 assign (resid 65 and name $h n$ ) (resid 65 and name $n$ )
(resid 65 and name ca ) (resid 65 and name ha ) 6.62 .1
assign (resid 66 and name $h n$ ) (resid 66 and name $n$ )
(resid 66 and name ca ) (resid 66 and name ha ) 7.4 2.1
assign (resid 74 and name hn ) (resid 74 and name $n$ )
(resid 74 and name ca ) (resid 74 and name ha ) 6.0 2.1
assign (resid 77 and name hn ) (resid 77 and name n )
(resid 77 and name ca ) (resid 77 and name ha ) 4.12 .1
assign (resid 78 and name hn ) (resid 78 and name n )
(resid 78 and name ca ) (resid 78 and name ha ) 9.0 2.1
assign (resid 80 and name hn ) (resid 80 and name n )
(resid 80 and name ca ) (resid 80 and name ha ) 3.62 .1
assign (resid 81 and name hn ) (resid 81 and name n )
(resid 81 and name ca ) (resid 81 and name ha ) 6.4 2.1
assign (resid 82 and name $h n$ ) (resid 82 and name $n$ )
(resid 82 and name ca ) (resid 82 and name ha ) 8.0 2.1
assign (resid 83 and name $h n$ ) (resid 83 and name $n$ )
(resid 83 and name ca ) (resid 83 and name ha ) 7.52 .1
assign (resid 84 and name $h n$ ) (resid 84 and name $n$ )
(resid 84 and name ca ) (resid 84 and name ha ) 8.7 2.1
assign (resid 85 and name hn ) (resid 85 and name $n$ )
(resid 85 and name ca ) (resid 85 and name ha ) 8.42 .1
assign (resid 86 and name $h n$ ) (resid 86 and name $n$ )
(resid 86 and name ca ) (resid 86 and name ha ) 8.3 2.1
assign (resid 87 and name $h n$ ) (resid 87 and name $n$ )
(resid 87 and name ca ) (resid 87 and name ha ) 9.12 .1
assign (resid 88 and name $h n$ ) (resid 88 and name $n$ )
(resid 88 and name ca ) (resid 88 and name ha ) 7.62 .1
assign (resid 89 and name $h n$ ) (resid 89 and name $n$ )
(resid 89 and name ca ) (resid 89 and name ha ) 3.12 .1
assign (resid 95 and name hn ) (resid 95 and name n )
(resid 95 and name ca ) (resid 95 and name ha ) 7.3 2.1
assign (resid 104 and name hn ) (resid 104 and name n )
(resid 104 and name ca ) (resid 104 and name ha ) 5.3 2.1
assign (resid 105 and name hn ) (resid 105 and name n )
(resid 105 and name ca ) (resid 105 and name ha ) 7.52 .1
assign (resid 106 and name hn ) (resid 106 and name n )
(resid 106 and name ca ) (resid 106 and name ha ) 6.02 .1
assign (resid 107 and name hn ) (resid 107 and name n )
(resid 107 and name ca ) (resid 107 and name ha ) 8.72 .1
assign (resid 108 and name $h n$ ) (resid 108 and name n )
(resid 108 and name ca ) (resid 108 and name ha ) 8.72 .1
assign (resid 109 and name hn ) (resid 109 and name n )
(resid 109 and name ca ) (resid 109 and name ha ) 6.62 .1
assign (resid 110 and name hn ) (resid 110 and name $n$ )
(resid 110 and name ca ) (resid 110 and name ha ) 6.2 2.1
assign (resid 111 and name $h n$ ) (resid 111 and name $n$ )
(resid 111 and name ca ) (resid 111 and name ha) 4.72 .1
assign (resid 112 and name $h n$ ) (resid 112 and name $n$ )
(resid 112 and name ca ) (resid 112 and name ha ) 8.62 .1
assign (resid 113 and name hn ) (resid 113 and name $n$ )
(resid 113 and name ca) (resid 113 and name ha ) 7.3 2.1


## C.4.4 Dihedral angles

assign (resid 29 and name c) (resid 30 and name n) (resid 30 and name ca) (resid 30 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 30 and name c) (resid 31 and name n) (resid 31 and name ca) (resid 31 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 31 and name c) (resid 32 and name n) (resid 32 and name ca) (resid 32 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 32 and name c) (resid 33 and name n) (resid 33 and name ca) (resid 33 and name c) 1.080 .020 .02 assign (resid 33 and name c) (resid 34 and name n) (resid 34 and name ca) (resid 34 and name c) $1.0-85.040 .02$ assign (resid 34 and name c) (resid 35 and name n) (resid 35 and name ca) (resid 35 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .0$ assign (resid 35 and name c) (resid 36 and name n) (resid 36 and name ca) (resid 36 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 36 and name c) (resid 37 and name n) (resid 37 and name ca) (resid 37 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 37 and name c) (resid 38 and name n) (resid 38 and name ca) (resid 38 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 38 and name c) (resid 39 and name n) (resid 39 and name ca) (resid 39 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 39 and name c) (resid 40 and name n) (resid 40 and name ca) (resid 40 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 40 and name c) (resid 41 and name n) (resid 41 and name ca) (resid 41 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 41 and name c) (resid 42 and name n) (resid 42 and name ca) (resid 42 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 42 and name c) (resid 43 and name n) (resid 43 and name ca) (resid 43 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 43 and name c) (resid 44 and name n) (resid 44 and name ca) (resid 44 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .01$ assign (resid 44 and name c) (resid 45 and name n) (resid 45 and name ca) (resid 45 and name c) 1.060 .020 .02 assign (resid 45 and name c) (resid 46 and name n) (resid 46 and name ca) (resid 46 and name c) 1.080 .040 .02 assign (resid 46 and name c) (resid 47 and name n) (resid 47 and name ca) (resid 47 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 47 and name c) (resid 48 and name n) (resid 48 and name ca) (resid 48 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 48 and name c) (resid 49 and name n) (resid 49 and name ca) (resid 49 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 49 and name c) (resid 50 and name n) (resid 50 and name ca) (resid 50 and name c) $1.0-80.040 .02$ assign (resid 50 and name c) (resid 51 and name n) (resid 51 and name ca) (resid 51 and name c) $1.0-65.040 .02$ assign (resid 51 and name c) (resid 52 and name n) (resid 52 and name ca) (resid 52 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 52 and name c) (resid 53 and name n) (resid 53 and name ca) (resid 53 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 53 and name c) (resid 54 and name n) (resid 54 and name ca) (resid 54 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 54 and name c) (resid 55 and name n) (resid 55 and name ca) (resid 55 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 55 and name c) (resid 56 and name n) (resid 56 and name ca) (resid 56 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 56 and name c) (resid 57 and name n) (resid 57 and name ca) (resid 57 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 57 and name c) (resid 58 and name n) (resid 58 and name ca) (resid 58 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 58 and name c) (resid 59 and name n) (resid 59 and name ca) (resid 59 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 59 and name c) (resid 60 and name n) (resid 60 and name ca) (resid 60 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 60 and name c) (resid 61 and name n) (resid 61 and name ca) (resid 61 and name c) $1.0-65.040 .02$ assign (resid 61 and name c) (resid 62 and name n) (resid 62 and name ca) (resid 62 and name c) 1.0120 .020 .02 assign (resid 62 and name c) (resid 63 and name n) (resid 63 and name ca) (resid 63 and name c) $1.0-90.040 .02$ assign (resid 63 and name c) (resid 64 and name n) (resid 64 and name ca) (resid 64 and name c) 1.060 .040 .02 assign (resid 64 and name c) (resid 65 and name n) (resid 65 and name ca) (resid 65 and name c) $1.0-90.040 .02$ assign (resid 65 and name c) (resid 66 and name n) (resid 66 and name ca) (resid 66 and name c) $1.0-90.040 .02$ assign (resid 66 and name c) (resid 67 and name n) (resid 67 and name ca) (resid 67 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 67 and name c) (resid 68 and name n) (resid 68 and name ca) (resid 68 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 69 and name c) (resid 70 and name n) (resid 70 and name ca) (resid 70 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 70 and name c) (resid 71 and name n) (resid 71 and name ca) (resid 71 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 71 and name c) (resid 72 and name n) (resid 72 and name ca) (resid 72 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 74 and name c) (resid 75 and name n) (resid 75 and name ca) (resid 75 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 75 and name c) (resid 76 and name n) (resid 76 and name ca) (resid 76 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 76 and name c) (resid 77 and name n) (resid 77 and name ca) (resid 77 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 77 and name c) (resid 78 and name n) (resid 78 and name ca) (resid 78 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 78 and name c) (resid 79 and name n) (resid 79 and name ca) (resid 79 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .0$ assign (resid 79 and name c) (resid 80 and name n) (resid 80 and name ca) (resid 80 and name c) 1.060 .040 .02 assign (resid 81 and name c) (resid 82 and name n) (resid 82 and name ca) (resid 82 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 82 and name c) (resid 83 and name n) (resid 83 and name ca) (resid 83 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 83 and name c) (resid 84 and name n) (resid 84 and name ca) (resid 84 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 84 and name c) (resid 85 and name n) (resid 85 and name ca) (resid 85 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 85 and name c) (resid 86 and name n) (resid 86 and name ca) (resid 86 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 86 and name c) (resid 87 and name n) (resid 87 and name ca) (resid 87 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 87 and name c) (resid 88 and name n) (resid 88 and name ca) (resid 88 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 90 and name c) (resid 91 and name n) (resid 91 and name ca) (resid 91 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 91 and name c) (resid 92 and name n) (resid 92 and name ca) (resid 92 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 92 and name c) (resid 93 and name n) (resid 93 and name ca) (resid 93 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 93 and name c) (resid 94 and name n) (resid 94 and name ca) (resid 94 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 94 and name c) (resid 95 and name n) (resid 95 and name ca) (resid 95 and name c) $1.0-100.020 .02$ assign (resid 95 and name c) (resid 96 and name n) (resid 96 and name ca) (resid 96 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 96 and name c) (resid 97 and name n) (resid 97 and name ca) (resid 97 and name c) $1.0-65.020 .02$ assign (resid 97 and name c) (resid 98 and name n) (resid 98 and name ca) (resid 98 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 110 and name c) (resid 111 and name n) (resid 111 and name ca) (resid 111 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 111 and name c) (resid 112 and name n) (resid 112 and name ca) (resid 112 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$ assign (resid 112 and name c) (resid 113 and name n) (resid 113 and name ca) (resid 113 and name c) $1.0-100.040 .02$

## C.4.5 Residual dipolar couplings

## C.4.5.1 Tag 3a loaded with Dy ${ }^{3+}$

| 30 | LEU | N | 30 | LEU | HN | 3.900 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 31 | ALA | N | 31 | ALA | HN | -3.400 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 32 | ASN | N | 32 | ASN | HN | -3.900 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 33 | GLY | N | 33 | GLY | HN | 0.900 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 34 | ASP | N | 34 | ASP | HN | -5.200 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 35 | ILE | N | 35 | ILE | HN | 3.900 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 36 | ALA | N | 36 | ALA | HN | 0.300 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 37 | ILE | N | 37 | ILE | HN | 0.500 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 38 | ILE | N | 38 | ILE | HN | -4.700 | 1.000 | 1.00 |


| 39 | ASP | N | 39 | ASP | HN | -6.600 | 1.0001 .00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | PHE | N | 40 | PHE | HN | -5.800 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 41 | THR | N | 41 | THR | HN | -5.500 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 42 | GLY | N | 42 | GLY | HN | -5.600 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 43 | ILE | N | 43 | ILE | HN | 0.900 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 44 | VAL | N | 44 | VAL | HN | 2.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 46 | ASN | N | 46 | ASN | HN | 4.100 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 47 | LYS | N | 47 | LYS | HN | 4.800 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 52 | ALA | N | 52 | ALA | HN | 5.600 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 53 | SER | N | 53 | SER | HN | -4.30 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 54 | ALA | N | 54 | ALA | HN | -6.600 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 55 | GLN | N | 55 | GLN | HN | -5.400 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 56 | ASN | N | 56 | ASN | HN | -5.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 57 | TYR | N | 57 | TYR | HN | -4.300 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 58 | GLU | N | 58 | GLU | HN | -4.600 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 59 | LEU | N | 59 | LEU | HN | -1.400 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 60 | THR | N | 60 | THR | HN | -2.900 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 61 | ILE | N | 61 | ILE | HN | 0.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 62 | GLY | N | 62 | GLY | HN | 3.400 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 63 | SER | N | 63 | SER | HN | 5.100 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 73 | GLY | N | 73 | GLY | HN | -3.300 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 74 | LEU | N | 74 | LEU | HN | -0.400 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 75 | ILE | N | 75 | ILE | HN | 0.300 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 76 | ALA | N | 76 | ALA | HN | -5.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 77 | MET | N | 77 | MET | HN | -1.700 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 78 | LYS | N | 78 | LYS | HN | 0.400 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 79 | VAL | N | 79 | VAL | HN | 2.700 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 80 | ASN | N | 80 | ASN | HN | -4.300 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 82 | LYS | N | 82 | LYS | HN | -3.000 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 83 | LYS | N | 83 | LYS | HN | -6.600 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 86 | ALA | N | 86 | ALA | HN | 0.500 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 89 | PHE | N | 89 | PHE | HN | 4.300 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 103 | VAL | N | 103 | VAL | HN | 6.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 104 | THR | N | 104 | THR | HN | 3.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 106 | GLU | N | 106 | GLU | HN | -3.800 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 107 | VAL | N | 107 | VAL | HN | -6.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 108 | VAL | N | 108 | VAL | HN | -5.700 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 109 | LEU | N | 109 | LEU | HN | -5.900 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 110 | LYS | N | 110 | LYS | HN | 0.900 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 111 | ALA | N | 111 | ALA | HN | 0.600 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 112 | ILE | N | 112 | ILE | HN | 0.400 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 113 | LYS | N | 113 | LYS | HN | 0.200 | 1.0001 .00 |

## C.4.5.2 Tag 3b loaded with Dy ${ }^{3+}$

| 30 | LEU | N | 30 | LEU | HN | 7.930 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | ASN | N | 32 | ASN | HN | -5.550 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 36 | ALA | N | 36 | ALA | HN | -3.960 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 40 | PHE | N | 40 | PHE | HN | -5.550 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 41 | THR | N | 41 | THR | HN | -4.360 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 42 | GLY | N | 42 | GLY | HN | -5.550 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 44 | VAL | N | 44 | VAL | HN | -6.730 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 47 | LYS | N | 47 | LYS | HN | -2.380 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 48 | LYS | N | 48 | LYS | HN | 0.800 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 49 | LEU | N | 49 | LEU | HN | -0.400 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 52 | ALA | N | 52 | ALA | HN | 5.940 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 53 | SER | N | 53 | SER | HN | -1.590 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 55 | GLN | N | 55 | GLN | HN | -3.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 56 | ASN | N | 56 | ASN | HN | 3.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 57 | TYR | N | 57 | TYR | HN | 1.590 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 58 | GLU | N | 58 | GLU | HN | -1.580 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 59 | LEU | N | 59 | LEU | HN | 0.400 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 60 | THR | N | 60 | THR | HN | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 61 | ILE | N | 61 | ILE | HN | -1.190 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 73 | GLY | N | 73 | GLY | HN | 0.800 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 75 | ILE | N | 75 | ILE | HN | -3.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |


| 76 | ALA | N | 76 | ALA | HN | -3.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 77 | MET | N | 77 | MET | HN | 1.590 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 78 | LYS | N | 78 | LYS | HN | 6.340 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 79 | VAL | N | 79 | VAL | HN | 5.950 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 80 | ASN | N | 80 | ASN | HN | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 84 | THR | N | 84 | THR | HN | -3.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 85 | LEU | N | 85 | LEU | HN | -5.940 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 86 | ALA | N | 86 | ALA | HN | -0.790 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 93 | VAL | N | 93 | VAL | HN | -3.170 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 95 | VAL | N | 95 | VAL | HN | -0.800 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 96 | LYS | N | 96 | LYS | HN | -3.960 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 98 | LEU | N | 98 | LEU | HN | -1.980 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 105 | PHE | N | 105 | PHE | HN | -5.150 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 107 | VAL | N | 107 | VAL | HN | -6.340 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 109 | LEU | N | 109 | LEU | HN | -1.980 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 110 | LYS | N | 110 | LYS | HN | -1.580 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 111 | ALA | N | 111 | ALA | HN | -2.780 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 112 | ILE | N | 112 | ILE | HN | -1.580 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 113 | LYS | N | 113 | LYS | HN | -3.960 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C.4.5.3 Tag 6a loaded with Dy ${ }^{3+}$

| 30 | LEU | N | 30 | LEU | HN | -3.140 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | ASN | N | 32 | ASN | HN | 5.700 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 33 | GLY | N | 33 | GLY | HN | -3.560 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 34 | ASP | N | 34 | ASP | HN | 8.160 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 35 | ILE | N | 35 | ILE | HN | -4.110 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 36 | ALA | N | 36 | ALA | HN | -3.940 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 38 | ILE | N | 38 | ILE | HN | -0.240 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 39 | ASP | N | 39 | ASP | HN | 5.180 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 40 | PHE | N | 40 | PHE | HN | 6.770 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 41 | THR | N | 41 | THR | HN | 4.680 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 42 | GLY | N | 42 | GLY | HN | 7.890 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 43 | ILE | N | 43 | ILE | HN | 1.020 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 44 | VAL | N | 44 | VAL | HN | 1.860 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 45 | ASP | N | 45 | ASP | HN | 0.750 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 46 | ASN | N | 46 | ASN | HN | -2.420 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 47 | LYS | N | 47 | LYS | HN | -3.880 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 48 | LYS | N | 48 | LYS | HN | -3.690 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 49 | LEU | N | 49 | LEU | HN | 1.640 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 52 | ALA | N | 52 | ALA | HN | -5.840 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 53 | SER | N | 53 | SER | HN | 4.740 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 55 | GLN | N | 55 | GLN | HN | 4.560 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 56 | ASN | N | 56 | ASN | HN | 3.870 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 57 | TYR | N | 57 | TYR | HN | 4.600 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 58 | GLU | N | 58 | GLU | HN | 4.200 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 59 | LEU | N | 59 | LEU | HN | -3.390 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 60 | THR | N | 60 | THR | HN | -1.830 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 61 | ILE | N | 61 | ILE | HN | -1.120 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 62 | GLY | N | 62 | GLY | HN | -3.670 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 63 | SER | N | 63 | SER | HN | -4.670 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 65 | SER | N | 65 | SER | HN | -4.120 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 71 | GLU | N | 71 | GLU | HN | 1.350 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 74 | LEU | N | 74 | LEU | HN | -2.8300 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 75 | ILE | N | 75 | ILE | HN | -1.820 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 76 | ALA | N | 76 | ALA | HN | 3.490 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 77 | MET | N | 77 | MET | HN | -2.010 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 78 | LYS | N | 78 | LYS | HN | 0.160 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 79 | VAL | N | 79 | VAL | HN | -2.670 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 80 | ASN | N | 80 | ASN | HN | -0.740 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 83 | LYS | N | 83 | LYS | HN | 6.360 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 84 | THR | N | 84 | THR | HN | 4.640 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 85 | LEU | N | 85 | LEU | HN | 5.890 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 96 | LYS | N | 96 | LYS | HN | 8.530 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 97 | ILE | N | 97 | ILE | HN | 7.800 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 98 | LEU | N | 98 | LEU | HN | 3.560 | 1.000 | 1.00 |


| 105 | PHE | N | 105 | PHE | HN | 2.520 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 106 | GLU | N | 106 | GLU | HN | 3.930 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 107 | VAL | N | 107 | VAL | HN | 8.260 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 108 | VAL | N | 108 | VAL | HN | 5.350 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 109 | LEU | N | 109 | LEU | HN | 4.620 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 110 | LYS | N | 110 | LYS | HN | -3.280 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 111 | ALA | N | 111 | ALA | HN | -3.200 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 112 | ILE | N | 112 | ILE | HN | -3.350 | 1.000 | 1.00 |
| 113 | LYS | N | 113 | LYS | HN | -1.660 | 1.000 | 1.00 |

## C.4.5.4 Tag 6b loaded with Dy ${ }^{3+}$

| 30 | LEU | N | 30 | LEU | HN | -4.250 | 1.0001 .00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | ALA | N | 31 | ALA | HN | 0.000 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 32 | ASN | N | 32 | ASN | HN | 6.360 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 33 | GLY | N | 33 | GLY | HN | -2.100 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 35 | ILE | N | 35 | ILE | HN | -0.590 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 36 | ALA | N | 36 | ALA | HN | -3.220 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 38 | ILE | N | 38 | ILE | HN | 0.120 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 39 | ASP | N | 39 | ASP | HN | 4.830 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 40 | PHE | N | 40 | PHE | HN | 6.490 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 41 | THR | N | 41 | THR | HN | 5.480 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 42 | GLY | N | 42 | GLY | HN | 7.580 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 43 | ILE | N | 43 | ILE | HN | 0.800 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 44 | VAL | N | 44 | VAL | HN | 0.050 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 45 | ASP | N | 45 | ASP | HN | -1.000 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 46 | ASN | N | 46 | ASN | HN | -2.150 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 47 | LYS | N | 47 | LYS | HN | -5.350 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 48 | LYS | N | 48 | LYS | HN | -5.610 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 49 | LEU | N | 49 | LEU | HN | 0.820 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 52 | ALA | N | 52 | ALA | HN | -5.020 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 53 | SER | N | 53 | SER | HN | 4.300 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 55 | GLN | N | 55 | GLN | HN | 4.650 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 56 | ASN | N | 56 | ASN | HN | 3.870 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 57 | TYR | N | 57 | TYR | HN | 4.820 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 58 | GLU | N | 58 | GLU | HN | 4.450 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 59 | LEU | N | 59 | LEU | HN | -1.900 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 60 | THR | N | 60 | THR | HN | -0.590 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 61 | ILE | N | 61 | ILE | HN | -2.030 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 62 | GLY | N | 62 | GLY | HN | -3.480 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 63 | SER | N | 63 | SER | HN | -5.940 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 65 | SER | N | 65 | SER | HN | -1.830 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 73 | GLY | N | 73 | GLY | HN | -1.130 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 74 | LEU | N | 74 | LEU | HN | -2.4200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 75 | ILE | N | 75 | ILE | HN | -1.580 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 76 | ALA | N | 76 | ALA | HN | 3.180 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 77 | MET | N | 77 | MET | HN | -2.030 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 78 | LYS | N | 78 | LYS | HN | 0.200 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 79 | VAL | N | 79 | VAL | HN | -2.700 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 80 | ASN | N | 80 | ASN | HN | 0.050 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 83 | LYS | N | 83 | LYS | HN | 6.610 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 84 | THR | N | 84 | THR | HN | 5.670 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 85 | LEU | N | 85 | LEU | HN | 4.620 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 96 | LYS | N | 96 | LYS | HN | 6.930 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 97 | ILE | N | 97 | ILE | HN | 7.860 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 98 | LEU | N | 98 | LEU | HN | 3.110 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 99 | GLN | N | 99 | GLN | HN | 1.750 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 105 | PHE | N | 105 | PHE | HN | 3.810 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 106 | GLU | N | 106 | GLU | HN | 3.680 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 107 | VAL | N | 107 | VAL | HN | 7.780 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 108 | VAL | N | 108 | VAL | HN | 4.700 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 109 | LEU | N | 109 | LEU | HN | 4.720 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 110 | LYS | N | 110 | LYS | HN | -2.010 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 111 | ALA | N | 111 | ALA | HN | -2.350 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 112 | ILE | N | 112 | ILE | HN | -2.630 | 1.0001 .00 |
| 113 | LYS | N | 113 | LYS | HN | -1.710 | 1.0001 .00 |

Appendix: Structure calculation input
files

## D. 1 SCULPTOR input file for hormaomycin

```
    set dsl output off
    reduce
    overlap = 0.0
    igrpck = -1
    itrap = 1
    dseed = 1707
```

    begin templ="mon.car"
    * add-automatic bond torsion valence out-of-plane
$!$
fkchir = 100
set dielectric= $46.7 * r$
method $=2$
nocrash
inertia
deltgrad $=0.00001$
ormass $=1000.0$
eigvmass $=10000.0$

| gh | $=267669000$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| gn | $=-27125000$ |
| gc | 67302700 |
| csaval | 170.0 |
| rnhcst |  |
| rnh0 | 1.04 |
| rchest |  |
| rch0 | $=1.08$ |
| rencst |  |
| rcn 0 | $=1.33$ |
| rccost |  |
| rcco | $=1.53$ |
| damin | -0.0 |
| dascale | 20.0 |
| dbmin | -0.0 |
| dbscale | 20.0 |
| order |  |
| noeigvrst |  |
| daamin | 0.00 |


| daamax | $=$ | 30.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| daascale | $=$ | 100000 |
| dramin | $=$ | 1.00 |
| dramax | $=$ | 20.00 |
| drascale | $=$ | 100000 |
| dabmin | $=$ | 12.50 |
| dabmax | $=$ | 14.50 |
| dabscale | $=$ | 100000 |
| drbmin | $=$ | 6.40 |
| drbmax | $=$ | 7.20 |
| drbscale | $=$ | 100000 |

assign the torsion name T 1 to

* molecule 19 atom C70, atom C39, atom C37, atom H34
assign the torsion name I1 to
* molecule 19 atom 022, atom C19, atom C18, atom H28
assign the torsion name P1 to
* molecule 19 atom H29, atom C24, atom C51, atom H51
assign the torsion name P2 to
* molecule 19 atom H33, atom C32, atom C62, atom H57
assign the torsion name 12 to
* molecule 19 atom H28, atom C18, atom C47, atom H42
assign the torsion name T 2 to
* molecule 19 atom H34, atom C37, atom N71, atom H19 Main loop to generate multiple structures
nstructures $=1000$
xstructures $=1$
ystructure $=2$
pframe $=1$
set output filename number pstructure
set orput filename number pstructure continue pstructure $=1$
$!$
intermolecular interaction is off among
* molecule 1 to 19
set nonbond normal

| cutoff | $=$ | 6.0000000 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| cutdis | $=$ | 5.00000000 |
| swtdis | $=$ | 1.500000 |


| cutoff | $=$ | 7.5000000 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| cutdis | $=$ | 6.000000 |
| swtdis |  | 1.500000 |
|  |  |  |
| fix nothing |  |  |
|  |  |  |

fix residues

* molecule 1
* molecule 2
* molecule 3
* molecule 4
* molecule 5
* molecule 6
* molecule 7
* molecule 8
* molecule 9
* molecule 10
* molecule 11
* molecule 12
* molecule 13
* molecule 14
* molecule 15
* molecule 16
* molecule 17
* molecule 18
$!$
checkor
pror
!
$!$
constrain nothing
$!$
$!$
$!$

| scale nothing |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| fkchir |  | 1.0 |
| scale bond | by | 1.0 |
| scale 1-4 | by | 0.5 |
| scale theta | by | 1.0 |
| scale phi | by | 1.0 |
| scale out-of-plane | by | 1.0 |
| cscale | $=$ | 1.0 |
| dscale | $=$ | 1.0 |
| fscale | $=$ | 1.0 |
| scale nonbond term | by | 1.0 |
| scale_noe | $=$ | 0.0 |
| fkchir = fkchir | $*$ | 100.0 |
| scale_dnh1 = |  | 0.10 |
| scale_dch1 $=$ |  | 0.10 |
| scale_dcc1 $=$ |  | 0.10 |
| scale_dcn1 $=$ |  | 0.10 |
| scale_dnh2 $=$ |  | 0.10 |
| scale_dch2 $=$ |  | 0.100 |
| scale_dcc2 $=$ |  | 0.100 |
| scale_dcn2 $=$ |  | 0.100 |

```
!
pror
            checkor
!
!
            minimize using steepest descents
        * no morse
    * no cross
    * for 300 cycles
    * until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100
!
            minimize using conjugate gradients
        * no morse
    * no cross
    * for 300 cycles
    * until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100
!
!
!
!
! Phase 2 -- Minimization
!
i
        temp = 1000.0000
        demax = 80000000000.000000
!
!
    initialize dynamics at temp K for }1000\mathrm{ steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
    * write averages every 1000 steps
    * write history every }1000\mathrm{ steps
        print energy summary
        checkor
!
    iframe = 1
!
loo2 resume dynamics at temp K for 1000 steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
        if status .ne. 0.0 then nexx
        scale_dnh1 = scale_dnh1 * 1.0798
        scale_dch1 = scale_dch1 * 1.0798
        scale_dcc1 = scale_dcc1 * 1.0798
        scale_dcn1 = scale_dcn1 * 1.0798
        scale_dnh2 = scale_dnh2 * 1.0798
        scale_dch2 = scale_dch2 * 1.0798
        scale_dcc2 = scale_dcc2 * 1.0798
        scale_dcn2 = scale_dcn2 * 1.0798
!
! scale nonbond term by 1.2589254
!
    iframe = iframe + 1
!
    print energy summary
    checkor
    if iframe .le. }30\mathrm{ then loo2
!
!
!
    scale_dnh1 = 1.0
    scale_dch1 = 1.00
    scale_dcc1 = 1.00
    scale_dcn1 = 1.0
    scale_dnh2 = 1.00
    scale_dch2 = 1.00
```

```
        scale_dcc2 = 1.00
        scale_dcn2 = 1.00
!
        resume dynamics at temp K for 1000 steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
        if status .ne. 0.0 then nexx
!
desc resume dynamics at temp K for }800\mathrm{ steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
        if status .ne. 0.0 then nexx
        print energy summary
        temp = fact*temp
        if temp .gt. }100\mathrm{ then desc
!
!
!
        minimize using steepest descents
    * no morse
    * no cross
    * for 300 cycles
    * until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100
!
!
            minimize using conjugate gradients
        * no morse
        * no cross
    * for 1000 cycles
    * until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100
!
!
    print noe_dist violations exceeding 0.100000
print distance violations
print dihedral violations
!
!
            archive as file number pframe
pframe = pframe + 1
!
            fix nothing
!
!
        fix residues
    * molecule 1
    * molecule 2
    * molecule 3
    * molecule 4
    * molecule 11
    * molecule 12
    * molecule 13
    * molecule 14
    * molecule 15
    * molecule 16
    * molecule 17
    * molecule 18
!
            fixed atom list generation
        * add all
        * molecule 5 residue DIPA 1 atom O1
        * add all
        * molecule 6 residue DAA 1 atom A1
        * add all
        * molecule 7 residue DRA 1 atom A1
```

* add all
* molecule 8 residue DIPB 1 atom 01
* add all
* molecule 9 residue DAB 1 atom A1
* add all
* molecule 10 residue DRB 1 atom A1
$!$
checkor
pror
$!$
$!$
constrain nothing
constrain using "alldist.rstrnt"
$!$
print noe_dist violations exceeding 0.100000
print distance violations
print dihedral violations
!
,

* no morse
* no cross
* for 300 cycles
* until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100
$!$
1
minimize using conjugate gradients
* no morse
* no cross
* for 300 cycles
* until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100

```
!
    temp = 1000.0000
    demax = 80000000000.000000
!
    initialize dynamics at temp K for 5000 steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
    * write averages every 1000 steps
    * write history every }1000\mathrm{ steps
        print energy summary
        checkor
!
            iframe = 1
!
loo1 resume dynamics at temp K for 2500 steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
        if status .ne. 0.0 then nexx
cscale = cscale * 1.166
fscale = fscale * 1.166
dscale = dscale * 1.166
        scale_dnh1 = scale_dnh1 * 1.0798
        scale_dch1 = scale_dch1 * 1.0798
        scale_dcc1 = scale_dcc1 * 1.0798
        scale_dcn1 = scale_dcn1 * 1.0798
        scale_dnh2 = scale_dnh2 * 1.0798
        scale_dch2 = scale_dch2 * 1.0798
        scale_dcc2 = scale_dcc2 * 1.0798
        scale_dcn2 = scale_dcn2 * 1.0798
!
! scale nonbond term by 1.2589254
!
    iframe = iframe + 1
!
        print energy summary
    checkor
    if iframe .le. }30\mathrm{ then loo1
!
!
    scale_dnh1 = 0.0
    scale_dch1 = 1.00
    scale_dcc1 = 1.00
    scale_dcn1 = 1.0
    scale_dnh2 = 0.00
    scale_dch2 = 1.00
    scale_dcc2 = 1.00
    scale_dcn2 = 1.00
cscale = 1.0
fscale = 1.0
dscale = 1.0
!
    resume dynamics at temp K for 5000 steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
        if status .ne. 0.0 then nexx
!
!
des3 resume dynamics at temp K for }800\mathrm{ steps of 1.0 fs
    * no morse
    * no cross
        if status .ne. 0.0 then nexx
        print energy summary
        temp = fact*temp
        if temp .gt. }100\mathrm{ then des3
!
```

```
!
        minimize using steepest descents
    * no morse
    * no cross
    * for 300 cycles
    * until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100
!
    minimize using conjugate gradients
    * no morse
    * no cross
    * for 1000 cycles
    * until the maximum derivative is less than 0.0100
!
!
    print noe_dist violations exceeding 0.100000
print distance violations
print dihedral violations
!
!
!
!
    pror
!
! checkor
    savor
    archive as file number pframe
pframe = pframe + 1
nexx continue
!
    scale nothing = 1.0
    scale bond 
    scale theta by 1.0
    scale phi by 1.0
    scale out-of-plane by 1.0
cscale \(=1.0\)
dscale \(=1.0\)
    fscale = 1.0
    scale nonbond term by 1.0
    scale_noe = 0.0
    fkchir = fkchir * 100.0
    scale_dnh1 = 1.00
    scale_dch1 = 1.00
    scale_dcc1 = 1.00
    scale_dcn1 = 1.00
    scale_dnh2 = 1.00
    scale_dch2 = 1.00
    scale_dcc2 = 1.00
    scale_dcn2 = 1.00
    print energy summary
    pstructure = pstructure + 1
    if pstructure .lt. ystructures then stly
!
!
!
    xstructure = xstructure + 1
    if xstructure .lt. nstructures then stlp
!
!
    end
```


## D. 2 XPLOR-NIH input file for hymenistatin

```
!************************************************************************************
! SA.INP
! First round simulated annealing to include NOE, dihedral and direct
! coupling restraints (optional). Written by MC 2001 (After Nilges, see below).
! Hbonds defined with a bond length and angle via a patch
!
! RDCs added 2003. See psfgen.inp for notes on seting up for RDCs.
! +++++++++ Notes on input structures ++++++++++
! The following are the remarks from the original version from Nilges.
! Simulated annealing protocol for NMR structure determination.
! The ing structure for this protocol can be any structure
! with a reasonable geometry, such as randomly assigned torsion
! angles or extended strands.
! Author: Michael Nilges
! Please cite the following papers when using this protocol:
!
! 1. Nilges, M., Clore, G.M., and Gronenborn, A.M. (1988).
! Determination of three-dimensional structures of proteins from
! interproton distance data by dynamical simulated annealing
! from a random array of atoms, FEBS Lett. 239, 129-136.
! 2. Nilges, M., Kuszewski, J., and Brunger, A.T. (1991).
! In: Computational Aspects of the Study of Biological
! Macromolecules by NMR, (J.C. Hoch, ed.). New York: Plenum Press.
!
! A "regularised random structure" can be used as an input structures
! such as that derived from generate_.inp. Otherwise a structure
! from a previous run can be used. What works well is the regularised
! average structure from a previous structure family.
! Well defined structures such as a crystal structure or a homology
! model can of course also be used.
!
!++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
```

```
!============================ Restraint Potentials ====================================
```

!============================ Restraint Potentials ====================================
!======================== Run options and set-up ==================================
!======================== Run options and set-up ==================================
!
!
! The following section selects which restraint potentials are to be used.
! The following section selects which restraint potentials are to be used.
! The NOE and dihedral restrain potential are always used. The proton and
! The NOE and dihedral restrain potential are always used. The proton and
! carbon potentials and ramachandran potentials are not used here. Direct
! carbon potentials and ramachandran potentials are not used here. Direct
! J-coupling and RDC refinement are optional.
! J-coupling and RDC refinement are optional.
! Turn this on for direct coupling-constant refinement.
! Turn this on for direct coupling-constant refinement.
!
!
evaluate ($couplings = 1.0)
evaluate ($couplings = 1.0)
! Turn this on for residual dipolar coupling refinement.
! Turn this on for residual dipolar coupling refinement.
!
!
evaluate (\$rdcs = 1.0)

```
evaluate ($rdcs = 1.0)
```

```
!================== Force constants and floating chiralities
! The improper maintaining peptide bond planarity is set to 500 Kcal/rad^2
! to avoid cis-trans flipping of the peptide bonds at high temps. Note that
! the real value is 8-10 Kcal/rad^2!! In later protocols this value is lowered
!
evaluate ($kpept=500)
! The force constants on Hbond length and angles. These should generally
! not be changed. Values of 50, 12 can be used for initial structures, but
! 14, 4 is recommended for refined structures.
!
evaluate ($khb_bond=14) ! force constant on Hbond length
evaluate ($khb_angl=4) ! force constant on Hbond angle
! Uses optional floating chirality. Set $float = 1 for general floating chirality
! for all metylene groups. Otherwise there is specific floating chirality
! for glycine and leucine which can be set with a patch.
evaluate ($float = 0.0)
! Floating proline cis-pro isomerisation can be used. Set $cispro = 1.0
! for floating isomerism, then define a CIPP patch for all prolines which
! should float. Otherwise, if $cispro = 0.0, all prolines will stay trans
! except for those patched with CIPP, which will remain cis.
evaluate ($cispro = 0.0)
!======================= Input and Output Files ============================================
!
! Next the name and number of the output structure family
!
! evaluate ($directory = "./pph_dmso/all_rdc/") !! NOTE: "./" is allowed
! evaluate ($outfamily = "pphall")
! evaluate ($endcount= 20 )
!=============================== Structure
! Next is the molecular structure file (from psfgen.inp)
structure
        @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/parameters/Hymenistatin.psf
        @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/parameters/axis_new_500.psf
            ! @axis_new_600.psf
                end
!================================== Run Set-up =======================================
!
! A seed for the random number generator can be set. This will change
! the randomisation of atom velocities.
!
set seed=7478978723259 end
!
! The following are the conditions for the molecular dynamics steps
! The defaults work well for most proteins
!
! Temperature for high-temp dynamics and initial SA temperature.
!
evaluate ($init_t = 2000 )
```

```
! Number of steps in high temp dymanics
evaluate ($high_100steps= 4000 )
! Total number of steps, the final temperature and the
! temperature step in the SA cooling.
evaluate ($cool_steps = 4000 )
    evaluate ($final_t = 100) 
! Number of steps in final minimisation
evaluate ($minimise_steps = 2000 )
!=============================== Parameters =======================================
!
! The parameters. These are from the general SA (or DG) set, modified
! with a few fixes. This script will communicate with these files (for
! the floating chirality and peptide bond force constant etc.) and other
! parameter files will probably not work.
! The extra parameters for Hbond patches are read first, then the
! general parameters.
evaluate ($kmene = 25)
parameter
! @XP:/library/hbond.pro
    @XP:/library/parallhdg.pro
    @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/parameters/parallhdg_vf.pro
    @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/parameters/par_axis.pro !peha
end
!================================ Patches =====================================
! All the extra patches for floating proline pucker, Hbonds etc. are in
! this file.
topology
! peha @XP:/library/extra_patches.pro
end
! Insert all patches for floating proline pucker, proline isomerism
! here.
! Apply floating pucker for all prolines
! patch fpro reference=1=( residue 5 ) end
! patch fpro reference=1=( residue 10 ) end
! patches specifying cis peptide bond 9-10
! patch cipp reference=+=( resid 10 ) reference=-=( resid 9 ) end
! patch cipp reference=+=( resid 5 ) reference=-=( resid 4 ) end
! patch LTOD reference=NIL=( residue 4 ) end
! patch LTOD reference=NIL=( residue 9 ) end
! patch PEPT reference=-=( residue 10) reference=+=( residue 1 ) end
!!================================ H-Bonds ====================================
! Read the Hbond patches
```

```
! @Restraints/HBonds.tbl
!!============================= Restraints
! +++++++++ NOE Restraints +++++++++++
    set message on echo on end
noe
! Estimate greater than the actual number of NOEs.
nres=10000
! Read NOE restraint files
class int @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/restraints/DMSO_NOE_peha_all.tbl
! Averaging and Scales for each class. Averaging has to be consistent
! with the choice of psuedo-atom.
averaging int sum
scale int 0.0
! Parameters for NOE effective energy term (should not need changing).
ceiling=1000
potential * soft
sqoffset * 0.0
sqconstant * 1.0
sqexponent * 2
soexponent * 1
asymptote * 0.1 ! Initial value, modified later.
rswitch * 0.5
end
! +++++++++ Dihedral Restraints +++++++++++
! Read the dihedral restraint files
restraints dihedral
            reset
            nass = 100
            scale = 0
        @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/restraints/DMSO_dihe.tbl
end
! ++++++++ J-Coupling restraints +++++++++++
! Only used if $couplings = 1. Use the Karplus coefficients of
! your choice. Note that gly restraints are given separately.
if($couplings=1.0) then
couplings
    nrestraints = 300
    potential harmonic
    class phi
    degen 1
```

```
    force 1.0
    coefficients 6.98 -1.38 1.72 -60.0
! @j_nh_ca.tbl
    class gly
    degen 1
    force 1.0
    coefficients 6.98 -1.38 1.72 60.0
! @@j3hnha_gly.tbl
end
end if
if($rdcs=1.0) then
    sani
        reset
        nres=1200
            class CH_a
potential harmonic
force 0.000
coefficients 0.00 13.2 0.36
            @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/restraints/DMSO_PPH_rdc_oNH_500.tbl
            class CH_b
```

potential harmonic
force 0.000
coefficients 0.006 .60 .36
@/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/restraints/DMSO_PPH_rdc_NH_500.tbl
! class CH_g
! potential harmonic
! force 1.000
! coefficients $0.00-16.80 .54$
! @@DipolCoup/xp_rdc_cghg_jk.txt
! class CH_d
! potential harmonic
! force 1.000
! coefficients $0.00-18.00 .55$
! @@DipolCoup/xp_rdc_cdhd_jk.txt
! class C_am
! potential harmonic
! force 1.000
! coefficients $0.00-18.00 .55$
! @@DipolCoup/xp_rdc_camethyl_jk.txt
! class C_gm
! potential harmonic
! force 1.000
! coefficients 0.00 -18 0.55
! @@DipolCoup/xp_rdc_cgmethyl_jk.txt
! class C_dm
! potential harmonic
! force 1.000
! coefficients $0.00-180.55$
! @@DipolCoup/xp_rdc_cdmethyl_jk.txt
end
end if

```
!=========================== Disulphide Bridges
! Disulphide bridges are eplaced by psuedo-noes. There is a
! constraints interaction statement below which turns off the
! S-S bond interaction. The bridges should be defined in the psf file
! (see psfgen.inp).
! This distance restraint is used in place of the cyclising peptide bond
! during dynamics.
noe
    class dis
!peha assign(resid 1 and name N)(resid 10 and name C) 1.43 0.1 0.1
    averaging dis center
    scale dis }1
end
!++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
! Nothing below this line should need to be changed
! +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
```

flags exclude * include bonds angle impr vdw cdih end
if (\$couplings $=1.0$ ) then
flags include coup end
end if
if (\$rdcs = 1.0 ) then
flags include sani end
end if
! Friction coefficient for MD heatbath, in 1/ps.
vector do (fbeta=10) (all)
! Uniform heavy masses to speed molecular dynamics.*\}
vector do (mass=100) (all)
vector do (vx=maxwell(\$init_t)) (all)
vector do (vy=maxwell(\$init_t)) (all)
vector do (vz=maxwell(\$init_t)) (all)
! Parameters for the repulsive energy term.
parameter
nbonds
repel=1. ! Initial value for repel, modified later.
rexp=2 irexp=2 rcon=1.
nbxmod=3
wmin=0.01
cutnb $=4.5$ ctonnb $=2.99$ ctofnb $=3$.
tolerance $=0.5$
end
end

! Read the structure and copy the coordinates into the buffer.
coor @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/dmso_noe/start_structures/start100.pdb ! peha end

```
coor @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/parameters/axis500.pdb ! peha end
!coor @axis600.pdb ! peha end
coor copy end
set message on echo on end
! evaluate ($count = 0)
! while ($count < $endcount ) loop main
! evaluate ($count=$count+1)
```

! Setup the names for the violations files
! Swap the coordinates from the buffer to the main coordinate set, then
! copy them back to the buffer. This means the structure coordinates
! are reloaded for each run.
coor swap end
coor copy end
if(\$rdcs = 1.0) then
constraints
fix=(resname ANI)
end
end if
!====================== High-temperature dynamics ===========================
flags exclude * include bonds angle impr vdw cdih noe sani end
constraints
interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights $* 0$ end
end ! peha
noe
scale int 0
end
! The dynamics are divided into two parts of two-thirds one-third
evaluate (\$ncycle = 100)
evaluate (\$ini_sani = 0.01)
evaluate (\$fin_sani $=1.0$ )
evaluate (\$sani_fac = (\$fin_sani/\$ini_sani)^(1/\$ncycle))
evaluate (\$ksani = \$ini_sani)
evaluate (\$ini_sani1 = 0.01)
evaluate ( $\$$ fin_sani1 $=0.5$ )
evaluate (\$sani1_fac = (\$fin_sani1/\$ini_sani1)^(1/\$ncycle))
evaluate (\$ksani1 = \$ini_sani1)
evaluate (\$ini_noe = 1.)
evaluate (\$fin_noe = 50.)
evaluate (\$noe_fac = (\$fin_noe/\$ini_noe)^(1./\$ncycle))
evaluate (\$knoe = \$ini_noe)
evaluate (\$ini_dihe = 5.)
evaluate (\$fin_dihe = 200.)
evaluate (\$dihe_fac = (\$fin_dihe/\$ini_dihe) ${ }^{\text {( }(1 . / \$ n c y c l e)) ~}$
evaluate (\$kdihe = \$ini_dihe)
evaluate (\$i_cool = 0)
while (\$i_cool < \$ncycle) loop hot2

```
        evaluate ($i_cool=$i_cool+1)
        evaluate ($ksani=$ksani*$sani_fac)
        evaluate ($ksani1=$ksani1*$sani1_fac)
        evaluate ($knoe=$knoe*$noe_fac)
        evaluate ($kdihe=$kdihe*$dihe_fac)
evaluate ($nstep1=int($high_100steps * 2. / 3. ) )
evaluate ($nstep2=int($high_100steps * 1. / 3. ) )
sani
force $ksani1 class CH_a
end
sani
force $ksani class CH_b
end
noe
scale int $knoe
end
rest dihe
scale $kdihe
end
dynamics verlet
    nstep=$nstep1 timestep=0.003 iasvel=current tcoupling=true
    tbath=$init_t nprint=$nstep2 iprfrq=0
end
end loop hot2
```

!========= Tilt the NOE asymptote and increase weights on geometry =======
noe asymptote * 1.0 end
constraints
interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0.0001 end
interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights $* 0$ end
end !peha
dynamics verlet
nstep=\$nstep2 timestep=0.003 iasvel=current tcoupling=true
tbath=\$init_t nprint=\$nstep2 iprfrq=0
end
!===================== High-temperature dynamics $2========================$
flags exclude * include bonds angle impr vdw cdih noe sani end
constraints
interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0.001 end
interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights * 0 end
end ! peha
! The dynamics are divided into two parts of two-thirds one-third
evaluate (\$ncycle = 100)
evaluate (\$ini_mene = 25.)
evaluate (\$fin_mene $=500$. )
evaluate $\left(\$ m e n e \_f a c=\left(\$ f i n \_m e n e / \$ i n i \_m e n e\right)^{\wedge}(1 . / \$ n c y c l e)\right)$

```
evaluate ($kmene = $ini_mene)
    evaluate ($i_cool = 0)
    while ($i_cool < $ncycle) loop hot3
    evaluate ($i_cool=$i_cool+1)
evaluate ($kmene=$kmene*$mene_fac)
evaluate ($nstep1=int($high_100steps * 2. / 3. ) )
evaluate ($nstep2=int($high_100steps * 1. / 3. ) )
parameter
reset
@/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/parameters/parallhdg_vf.pro @/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/parameters/pa
end
dynamics verlet
    nstep=$nstep1 timestep=0.003 iasvel=current tcoupling=true
    tbath=$init_t nprint=$nstep2 iprfrq=0
end
end loop hot3
!========= Tilt the NOE asymptote and increase weights on geometry ========
noe asymptote * 1.0 end
constraints
        interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0.0001 end
        interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
        interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
        interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights * O end
end !peha
dynamics verlet
    nstep=$nstep2 timestep=0.003 iasvel=current tcoupling=true
    tbath=$init_t nprint=$nstep2 iprfrq=0
end
!============================ Cooling loop ===================================
flags exclude * include bonds angle impr vdw cdih noe sani end
!restraints dihedral scale=200. end
coupling force=1.0 end
evaluate ($ncycle = ($init_t-$final_t)/$tempstep)
evaluate ($nstep = int($cool_steps/$ncycle))
evaluate ($ini_rad = 0.9) evaluate ($fin_rad = 0.75)
evaluate ($ini_con= 0.003) evaluate ($fin_con= 4.0)
evaluate ($bath = $init_t)
evaluate ($k_vdw = $ini_con)
evaluate ($k_vdwfact = ($fin_con/$ini_con)^(1/$ncycle))
evaluate ($radius= $ini_rad)
evaluate ($radfact = ($fin_rad/$ini_rad)^(1/$ncycle))
evaluate ($i_cool = 0)
while ($i_cool < $ncycle) loop cool
    evaluate ($i_cool=$i_cool+1)
    evaluate ($bath = $bath - $tempstep)
```

```
    evaluate ($k_vdw=min($fin_con,$k_vdw*$k_vdwfact))
    evaluate ($radius=max($fin_rad,$radius*$radfact))
    parameter nbonds repel=$radius end end
    constraints
        interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw $k_vdw end
        interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
        interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
        interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights * O end
    end
    dynamics verlet
nstep=$nstep time=0.002 iasvel=current firstt=$bath
tcoup=true tbath=$bath nprint=$nstep iprfrq=0
    end
    evaluate ($critical=$temp/$bath) ! Abort condition. Should never be violated
! if ($critical > 10. ) then
! display ****&&&& rerun job with smaller timestep (i.e., 0.003)
! stop
! end if
end loop cool
!=========================== Final minimization setup ==================================
constraints
            interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 end
            interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights * O end
end !peha
```

parameter
nbonds
repel=0.80
rexp=2 irexp=2 rcon=1.
nbxmod=3
wmin=0.01
cutnb=6.0 ctonnb=2.99 ctofnb=3.
tolerance $=1.5$
end
end

minimize powell nstep=\$minimise_steps drop=10.0 nprint=\$minimise_steps end
! ======================== Calculate Violations =======================1
! Setup the names for the violations files
evaluate(\$printfile = "/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/pph/sani_J/structures/high_100.viol")
set print-file = \$printfile end
set message on echo on end

```
{* NOE Data Analysis *}
    print threshold = 0.20 noe
    evaluate ($noe2 = $violations)
    evaluate ($rms_noe = $result)
{* CDIH Data Analysis *}
    print threshold = 3.0 cdih
    evaluate ($cdih3 = $violations)
    evaluate ($rms_cdih = $result)
{* BOND Data Analysis *}
    print thres=0.05 bond
    evaluate ($bond5=$violations)
    evaluate ($rms_bond = $result)
{* ANGLE Data Analysis *}
    print thres=5.0 angle
    evaluate ($angle5=$violations)
    evaluate ($rms_angle = $result)
{* IMPROPER Data Analysis *}
    print thres=5.0 improper
    evaluate ($improper5=$violations)
    evaluate ($rms_improper = $result)
{* J-coupling constant analysis *}
if ($couplings = 1.0 ) then
    couplings print threshold 0.0 class phi end
    evaluate ($rms_coup_phi = $result)
    evaluate ($viol_coup_phi = $violations)
    couplings print threshold 0.0 class gly end
    evaluate ($rms_coup_gly = $result)
    evaluate ($viol_coup_gly = $violations)
    evaluate ($viol_coup = $viol_coup_gly + $viol_coup_phi)
end if
if ($couplings = 0.0 ) then
    evaluate ($rms_coup_phi = 0.0)
    evaluate ($rms_coup_gly = 0.0)
    evaluate ($viol_coup = 0.0)
    evaluate($COUP = off)
end if
if ($rdcs = 1.0 ) then
    sani print threshold 0.0 end end
    evaluate ($rms_rdc = $result)
    evaluate ($viol_rdc = $violations)
end if
if ($rdcs = 0.0 ) then
    evaluate ($rms_rdc = 0.0)
    evaluate ($viol_rdc = 0.0)
    evaluate($SANI = off)
end if
{* ENERGY Data Analysis *}
    energy end
```

```
! ==================== Write out the final structure =================
remarks =====================================================================
remarks Violations : noe cdih coup rdcs bonds angles improp
remarks : $noe2[I5] $cdih3[I5] $viol_coup[I5] $viol_rdc[I5] $bond5[I5] $angle5[I5] $improper5[I5]
remarks RMSD rest. : noe cdih coup (phi) coup (gly) rdcs
remarks : $rms_noe[F6.3] $rms_cdih[F6.3] $rms_coup_phi[F6.3] $rms_coup_gly[F6.3] $rms_rdc[F6.3]
remarks RMSD geom. : bonds angles improp
remarks : $rms_bond[F6.3] $rms_angle[F6.3] $rms_improper[F6.3]
remarks ==================================================================
remarks Energies
remarks
remarks overall = $ener
remarks noe = $NOE
remarks cdih = $CDIH
remarks vdw = $VDW
remarks bon = $BOND
remarks ang = $ANGL
remarks imp = $IMPR
remarks coup = $COUP
remarks sani = $SANI
remarks ======================================================================
close $printfile end
set print=OUTPUT end
! Put together the output filenames
evaluate ($filename="/md3/peha/hymenistatin/xplor/new/MAIN/pph/sani_J/structures/high_100.pdb")
    write coordinates output =$filename end
!end loop main
stop
```


## D. 3 XPLOR-NIH input file for DiaA

```
!============================== Structure
!
! Next is the molecular structure file (from psfgen.inp)
structure
@/md3/peha/DiaA/restraints/pyr_S.psf
    ! @axis_new_500.psf
    ! @axis_new_600.psf
        end
!================================== Run Set-up =======================================
!
set seed=7478978723259 end
!
! The following are the conditions for the molecular dynamics steps
! The defaults work well for most proteins
!
! Temperature for high-temp dynamics and initial SA temperature.
!
```

```
evaluate ($init_t = 2000 )
! Number of steps in high temp dymanics
evaluate ($high_10steps= 400 )
! Total number of steps, the final temperature and the
! temperature step in the SA cooling.
evaluate ($cool_steps = 4000 )
    evaluate ($final_t = 100) { K }
    evaluate ($tempstep = 50) { K }
! Number of steps in final minimisation
evaluate ($minimise_steps = 1000 )
!============================= Parameters =======================================
!
parameter @/md3/peha/DiaA/restraints/pyr_S.par
    @par_axis_3.pro !peha
end
!!============================ Restraints =====================================
! +++++++++ NOE Restraints +++++++++++
    set message on echo on end
noe
! Estimate greater than the actual number of NOEs.
nres=10000
! Read NOE restraint files
clas gut
    nres 500
    pote gut square
    scale gut 0
    @/md3/peha/DiaA/restraints/NOE_DiaA_new_020906.tbl
    clas mittel
    pote mittel square
    scale mittel 0
    @/md3/peha/DiaA/restraints/non_NOEs_DiaA1.tbl
    clas ambi
    pote ambi square
    scale ambi 10
    ! @NOE_DiaA_ambi.tbl
! Averaging and Scales for each class. Averaging has to be consistent
! with the choice of psuedo-atom.
! averaging int sum
! scale int 50.0
! Parameters for NOE effective energy term (should not need changing).
ceiling=1000
potential * soft
```

```
sqoffset * 0.0
sqconstant * 1.0
sqexponent * 2
soexponent * 1
asymptote * \(0.1 \quad\) ! Initial value, modified later.
rswitch * 0.5
end
```

$1++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++$
! Nothing below this line should need to be changed
$!++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++$
flags exclude * include bonds angle impr vdw noe dihe cdihe end
if (\$couplings = 1.0 ) then
flags include coup end
end if
if (\$rdcs = 1.0 ) then
flags include tenso end
end if
! Friction coefficient for MD heatbath, in $1 / \mathrm{ps}$
vector do (fbeta=10) (all)
! Uniform heavy masses to speed molecular dynamics.*\}
vector do (mass=100) (all)
! Parameters for the repulsive energy term.
parameter
nbonds
repel=1. ! Initial value for repel, modified later.
rexp=2 irexp=2 rcon=1.
nbxmod=3
wmin=0.01
cutnb=4.5 ctonnb=2.99 ctofnb=3.
tolerance $=0.5$
end
end

! Read the start10 structure and copy the coordinates into the buffer.
coor @/md3/peha/DiaA/xplo2d/S_pyr/nores_S/start10.pdb ! peha end
! coor @axis500.pdb ! peha end
!coor @axis600.pdb ! peha end
coor copy end
set message on echo on end
! evaluate (\$count = 0)
! while (\$count < \$endcount ) loop main
! evaluate (\$count=\$count+1)
! Setup the names for the violations files
! Swap the coordinates from the buffer to the main coordinate set, then
! copy them back to the buffer. This means the start10 structure coordinates
! are reloaded for each run.
coor swap end
coor copy end
if (\$rdcs = 1.0) then
constraints
fix=(resname ANI)
end
end if
!=======================1nitial minimization $==============================$
restraints dihedral scale=5. end
coupling force =0.1 end
noe asymptote * 0.1 end
parameter nbonds repel=1. end end
! Note: this statement means that the $S-S$ bond in disulphides will
! be ignored.
constraints
interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights * 0 end
end !peha
minimize powell nstep=50 drop=10. nprint=50 end
!====================== High-temperature dynamics ==========================
flags exclude * include bonds angle impr vdw dihe noe tenso cdihe end

```
constraints
```

            interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights \(* 0\) end
    end ! peha
noe
scale gut 0
end
! The dynamics are divided into two parts of two-thirds one-third
evaluate (\$ncycle = 20)
evaluate (\$ini_tenso = 0.001)
evaluate (\$fin_tenso = 1.0)
evaluate (\$tens_fac = (\$fin_tenso/\$ini_tenso)^(1/\$ncycle))
evaluate (\$ktenso = \$ini_tenso)
evaluate (\$ini_noe = 1)
evaluate ( $\$$ fin_noe $=50$ )
evaluate (\$noe_fac = (\$fin_noe/\$ini_noe) ^(1/\$ncycle))
evaluate (\$knoe = \$ini_noe)
evaluate ( $\$ i_{-}$cool = 0)
while (\$i_cool < \$ncycle) loop cool

```
    evaluate ($i_cool=$i_cool+1)
    evaluate ($ktenso=$ktenso*$tens_fac)
evaluate ($knoe=$knoe*$noe_fac)
evaluate ($nstep1=int($high_10steps * 2. / 3. ) )
evaluate ($nstep2=int($high_10steps * 1. / 3. ) )
tenso
force $ktenso class CH_a
end
noe
scale gut $knoe
end
noe
scale mittel $knoe
end
dynamics verlet
    nstep=$nstep1 timestep=0.00005 iasvel=maxwell firstt=$init_t
    tcoupling=true tbath=$init_t nprint=50 iprfrq=0
end
        end loop cool
!========= Tilt the NOE asymptote and increase weights on geometry =======
noe asymptote * 1.0 end
constraints
            interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
            interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights * O end
end !peha
dynamics verlet
        nstep=$nstep2 timestep=0.0005 iasvel=current tcoupling=true
        tbath=$init_t nprint=$nstep2 iprfrq=0
end
l============================ Cooling loop ====================================
restraints dihedral scale=200. end
coupling force=1.0 end
evaluate ($ncycle = ($init_t-$final_t)/$tempstep)
evaluate ($nstep = int($cool_steps/$ncycle))
evaluate ($ini_rad = 0.9) evaluate ($fin_rad = 0.75)
evaluate ($ini_con= 0.003) evaluate ($fin_con= 4.0)
evaluate ($bath = $init_t)
evaluate ($k_vdw = $ini_con)
evaluate ($k_vdwfact = ($fin_con/$ini_con)^(1/$ncycle))
evaluate ($radius= $ini_rad)
evaluate ($radfact = ($fin_rad/$ini_rad)^(1/$ncycle))
evaluate ($i_cool = 0)
while ($i_cool < $ncycle) loop cool
```

```
evaluate ($i_cool=$i_cool+1)
evaluate ($bath = $bath - $tempstep)
evaluate ($k_vdw=min($fin_con,$k_vdw*$k_vdwfact))
evaluate ($radius=max($fin_rad,$radius*$radfact))
parameter nbonds repel=$radius end end
```

constraints
interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 vdw \$k_vdw end
interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights $* 0$ end
end
dynamics verlet
nstep=\$nstep time=0.001 iasvel=current firstt=\$bath
tcoup=true tbath=\$bath nprint=\$nstep iprfrq=0
end
evaluate (\$critical=\$temp/\$bath) ! Abort condition. Should never be violated
! if (\$critical > 10. ) then
! display $* * * * \& \& \& \&$ rerun job with smaller timestep (i.e., 0.003)
! stop
! end if
end loop cool
$!=========================$ Final minimization setup =================================
constraints
interaction (all) (all) weights * 1 end
interaction (resid 500) (not resid 500) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (not resid 600) weights * 1 vdw 0.002 end
interaction (resid 600) (resid 500) weights * 0 end
end ! peha
parameter
nbonds
repel=0.80
rexp=2 irexp=2 rcon=1.
nbxmod=3
wmin=0.01
cutnb $=6.0$ ctonnb $=2.99$ ctofnb=3.
tolerance=1.5
end
end

minimize powell nstep=\$minimise_steps drop=10.0 nprint=\$minimise_steps end
! ========================= Calculate Violations =======================
! Setup the names for the violations files
evaluate(\$printfile = "/md3/peha/DiaA/pyr_S/non_NOE1/structures/high_10.viol")

```
set print-file = $printfile end
```

```
{* NOE Data Analysis *}
    print threshold = 0.20 noe
    evaluate ($noe2 = $violations)
    evaluate ($rms_noe = $result)
{* DIHE Data Analysis *}
    print threshold = 0.0 dihe
    evaluate ($dihe3 = $violations)
    evaluate ($rms_dihe = $result)
```

\{* BOND Data Analysis *\}
print thres=0.05 bond
evaluate (\$bond5=\$violations)
evaluate (\$rms_bond = \$result)
\{* ANGLE Data Analysis *\}
print thres=5.0 angle
evaluate (\$angle5=\$violations)
evaluate (\$rms_angle = \$result)
\{* IMPROPER Data Analysis *\}
print thres=5.0 improper
evaluate (\$improper5=\$violations)
evaluate (\$rms_improper = \$result)
\{* J-coupling constant analysis *\}
if (\$couplings $=1.0$ ) then
couplings print threshold 0.0 class phi end
evaluate (\$rms_coup_phi = \$result)
evaluate (\$viol_coup_phi = \$violations)
couplings print threshold 0.0 class gly end
evaluate (\$rms_coup_gly = \$result)
evaluate (\$viol_coup_gly = \$violations)
evaluate (\$viol_coup = \$viol_coup_gly + \$viol_coup_phi)
end if
if (\$couplings $=0.0$ ) then
evaluate (\$rms_coup_phi $=0.0$ )
evaluate (\$rms_coup_gly = 0.0)
evaluate (\$viol_coup = 0.0)
evaluate (\$COUP $=$ off)
end if
if (\$rdcs $=1.0$ ) then
tenso print threshold 0.0 end end
evaluate (\$rms_rdc = \$result)
evaluate (\$viol_rdc = \$violations)
end if
if (\$rdcs $=0.0$ ) then
evaluate (\$rms_rdc = 0.0)
evaluate (\$viol_rdc = 0.0)
evaluate(\$TENS = off)
end if
\{* ENERGY Data Analysis *\}
energy end

```
! ==================== Write out the final structure ==================
remarks ==================================================================
remarks Violations : noe dihe coup rdcs bonds angles improp
remarks : $noe2[I5] $dihe3[I5] $viol_coup[I5] $viol_rdc[I5] $bond5[I5] $angle5[I5] $improper5[I5]
remarks RMSD rest. : noe dihe coup (phi) coup (gly) rdcs
remarks : $rms_noe[F6.3] $rms_dihe[F6.3] $rms_coup_phi[F6.3] $rms_coup_gly[F6.3] $rms_rdc[F6.3]
remarks RMSD geom. : bonds angles improp
remarks : $rms_bond[F6.3] $rms_angle[F6.3] $rms_improper[F6.3]
remarks =======================================================================
remarks Energies
remarks
remarks overall = $ener
remarks noe = $NOE
remarks dihe = $DIHE
remarks vdw = $VDW
remarks bon = $BOND
remarks ang = $ANGL
remarks imp = $IMPR
remarks coup = $COUP
remarks tenso = $TENS
remarks ==================================================================
close $printfile end
set print=OUTPUT end
! Put together the output filenames
    evaluate ($filename= "/md3/peha/DiaA/pyr_S/non_NOE1/structures/high_10.pdb")
    write coordinates output =$filename end
!end loop main
stop
```


## D. 4 CNS input file for trigger factor

The following input file can be used with up to four different sets of RDCs.

```
{+ file: anneal.inp +}
{+ directory: nmr_calc +}
{+ description: dynamical annealing with NOEs, coupling constants,
    chemical shift restraints starting from extended
    strands or pre-folded structures. +}
{+ authors: Gregory Warren, Michael Nilges, John Kuszewski,
    Marius Clore and Axel Brunger +}
{+ copyright: Yale University +}
{+ reference: Clore GM, Gronenborn AM, Tjandra N, Direct structure refinement
    against residual dipolar couplings in the presence of rhombicity
    of unknown magnitude., J. Magn. Reson., 131, In press, (1998) +}
{+ reference: Clore GM, Gronenborn AM, Bax A, A robust method for determining
    the magnitude of the fully asymmetric alignment tensor of
    oriented macromolecules in the absence of structural
    information., J. Magn. Reson., In press (1998) +}
{+ reference: Garrett DS, Kuszewski J, Hancock TJ, Lodi PJ, Vuister GW,
    Gronenborn AM, Clore GM, The impact of direct refinement against
    three-bond HN-C alpha H coupling constants on protein structure
    determination by NMR., J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B, 104(1),
    99-103, (1994) May +}
```

```
{+ reference: Kuszewski J, Qin J, Gronenborn AM, Clore GM, The impact of direct
    refinement against 13C alpha and 13C beta chemical shifts on
    protein structure determination by NMR., J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B,
    106(1), 92-6, (1995) Jan +}
{+ reference: Kuszewski J, Gronenborn AM, Clore GM, The impact of direct
    refinement against proton chemical shifts on protein structure
    determination by NMR., J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B, 107(3), 293-7,
    (1995) Jun +}
{+ reference: Kuszewski J, Gronenborn AM, Clore GM, A potential involving
    multiple proton chemical-shift restraints for
    nonstereospecifically assigned methyl and methylene protons.
    J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B, 112(1), 79-81, (1996) Jul. +}
{+ reference: Nilges M, Gronenborn AM, Brunger AT, Clore GM, Determination
    of three-dimensional structures of proteins by simulated
    annealing with interproton distance restraints: application
    to crambin, potato carboxypeptidase inhibitor and barley
    serine proteinase inhibitor 2. Protein Engineering 2,
    27-38, (1988) +}
{+ reference: Nilges M, Clore GM, Gronenborn AM, Determination of
    three-dimensional structures of proteins from interproton
    distance data by dynamical simulated annealing from a random
    array of atoms. FEBS LEtt. 239, 129-136. (1988) +}
{+ reference: Rice LM, Brunger AT, Torsion Angle Dynamics: Reduced Variable
    Conformational Sampling Enhances Crystallographic Structure
    Refinement., Proteins, 19, 277-290 (1994) +}
{+ reference: Stein EG, Rice LM, Brunger AT, Torsion angle molecular
    dynamics: a new efficient tool for NMR structure calculation.,
    J. Mag. Res. Ser. B 124, 154-164 (1997) +}
{+ reference: Tjandra N, Garrett DS, Gronenborn AM, Bax A, Clore GM, Defining
    long range order in NMR structure determination from the
    dependence of heteronuclear relaxation times on rotational
    diffusion anisotropy. Nature Struct. Biol., 4(6), 443-9,
    (1997) June +}
{+ reference: Tjandra N, Omichinski JG, Gronenborn AM, Clore GM, Bax A, Use of
    dipolar 1H-15N and 1H-13C couplings in the structure
    determination of magnetically oriented macromolecules in
    solution. Nature Struct. Biol., 4(9), 732-8, (1997) Sept +}
! Data taken from: Qin J, Clore GM, Kennedy WP, Kuszewski J, Gronenborn AM,
! The solution structure of human thioredoxin complexed with
! its target from Ref-1 reveals peptide chain reversal.,
! Structure, 4(5), 613-620, 1996 May 15.
{- Guidelines for using this file:
    - all strings must be quoted by double-quotes
    - logical variables (true/false) are not quoted
    - do not remove any evaluate statements from the file -}
{- begin block parameter definition -} define(
{======================== molecular structure ============================}
{* parameter file(s) *}
{===>} par.1="CNS_TOPPAR:protein-allhdg.param";
{===>} par.2="CNS_TOPPAR:axis.param";
{===>} par.3="";
{===>} par.4="";
{===>} par.5="";
{* structure file(s) *}
{===>} struct.1="tgf_start2.mtf";
{===>} struct.2="axis_500.mtf";
{===>} struct.3="axis_501.mtf";
{===>} struct.4="axis_700.mtf";
{===>} struct.5="axis_800.mtf";
{* input coordinate file(s) *}
{===>} pdb.in.file.1="resultsanilast_3.pdb";
```

```
{===>} pdb.in.file.2="axis.pdb";
{===>} pdb.in.file.3="";
{===>} pdb.in.file.4="";
{===>} pdb.in.file.5="";
{=========================== atom selection ===============================}
{* input "backbone" selection criteria for average structure generation *}
{* for protein (name n or name ca or name c)
    for nucleic acid (name 05' or name C5' or name C4' or name C3'
                            or name 03' or name P) *}
{===>} pdb.atom.select=(name n or name ca or name c);
{======================= refinement parameters ==========================}
{* type of molecular dynamics for hot phase *}
{+ choice: "torsion" "cartesian" +}
{===>} md.type.hot="torsion";
{* type of molecular dynamics for cool phase *}
{+ choice: "torsion" "cartesian" +}
{===>} md.type.cool="torsion";
{* refine using different initial velocities or coordinates
    (enter base name in "input coordinate files" field) *}
{+ choice: "veloc" "coord" +}
{===>} md.type.initial="veloc";
{* seed for random number generator *}
{* change to get different initial velocities *}
{===>} md.seed=182364;
{* select whether the number of structures will be either trial or
    accepted structures and whether to print only the trial, accepted,
    both sets of structures. *}
{+ list: The printing format is as follows:
    trial = pdb.out.name + _#.pdb , accepted = pdb.out.name + a_#.pdb +}
{* are the number of structures to be trials or accepted? *}
{+ choice: "trial" "accept" +}
{===>} flg.trial.struc="trial";
{* number of trial or accepted structures *}
{===>} pdb.end.count=200;
{* print accepted structures *}
{+ choice: true false +}
{===>} flg.print.accept=false;
{* print trial structures *}
{+ choice: true false +}
{===>} flg.print.trial=true;
{* calculate an average structure for either the trial or
    accepted structure. If calculate accepted average is false then
    an average for the trial structures will be calculated. *}
{* calculate an average structure? *}
{+ choice: true false +}
{===>} flg.calc.ave.struct=false;
{* calculate an average structure for the accepted structures? *}
{+ choice: true false +}
{===>} flg.calc.ave.accpt=false;
{* minimize average coordinates? *}
{+ choice: true false +}
{===>>} flg.min.ave.coor=false;
{=================== torsion dynamics parameters ======================}
{* maximum unbranched chain length *}
```

```
{* increase for long stretches of polyalanine or for nucleic acids *}
{===>} md.torsion.maxlength=50;
{* maximum number of distinct bodies *}
{===>} md.torsion.maxtree=8;
{* maximum number of bonds to an atom *}
{===>} md.torsion.maxbond=6;
{=========== parameters for high temperature annealing stage ==========}
{* temperature (proteins: 50000, dna/rna: 20000) *}
{===>} md.hot.temp=10000;
{* number of steps (proteins: 1000, dna/rna: 4000) *}
{===>} md.hot.step=500;
{* scale factor to reduce van der Waals (repel) energy term *}
{===>} md.hot.vdw=0.1;
{* scale factor for NOE energy term *}
{===>} md.hot.noe=150;
{* scale factor for dihedral angle energy term (proteins: 100, dna/rna: 5) *}
{===>} md.hot.cdih=10;
{* molecular dynamics timestep *}
{===>} md.hot.ss=0.015;
{========= parameters for the first slow-cool annealing stage =========}
{* temperature (cartesian: 1000, torsion: [proteins: 50000, dna/rna: 20000]) *}
{===>} md.cool.temp=10000;
{* number of steps *}
{===>} md.cool.step=1000;
{* scale factor for final van der Waals (repel) energy term
    (cartesian: 4.0, torsion: 1.0) *}
{===>} md.cool.vdw=1.0;
{* scale factor for NOE energy term *}
{===>> md.cool.noe=150;
{* scale factor for dihedral angle energy term *}
{===>} md.cool.cdih=200;
{* molecular dynamics timestep (cartesian: 0.005, torsion: 0.015) *}
{===>} md.cool.ss=0.015;
{* slow-cool annealing temperature step (cartesian: 25, torsion: 250) *}
{===>} md.cool.tmpstp=100;
{========= parameters for a second slow-cool annealing stage ===========}
{* cartesian slow-cooling annealing stage to be used only with torsion
    slow-cool annealing stage *}
{* this stage is only necessary when the macromolecule is a protein
    greater than }160\mathrm{ residues or in some cases for nucleic acids *}
{* use cartesian cooling stage? *}
{+ choice: true false +}
{===>} md.cart.flag=true;
{* temperature *}
{===>} md.cart.temp=2000;
{* number of steps *}
{===>} md.cart.step=5000;
{* scale factor for initial van der Waals (repel) energy term *}
{===>} md.cart.vdw.init=1.0;
{* scale factor for final van der Waals (repel) energy term *}
{===>} md.cart.vdw.finl=4.0;
{* scale factor for NOE energy term *}
{===>> md.cart.noe=150;
{* scale factor for dihedral angle energy term *}
{===>} md.cart.cdih=200;
{* molecular dynamics timestep *}
{===>} md.cart.ss=0.005;
{* slow-cool annealing temperature step *}
{===>} md.cart.tmpstp=25;
```

```
{================ parameters for final minimization stage ===============}
{* scale factor for NOE energy term *}
{===>} md.pow.noe=100;
{* scale factor for dihedral angle energy term *}
{===>} md.pow.cdih=400;
{* number of minimization steps *}
{===>} md.pow.step=200;
{* number of cycles of minimization *}
{===>} md.pow.cycl=10;
{============================== noe data ==================================}
{- Important - if you do not have a particular data set then
    set the file name to null ("") -}
{* NOE distance restraints files. *}
{* restraint set 1 file *}
{===>} nmr.noe.file.1="15nnoe.tbl";
{* restraint set 2 file *}
{===>} nmr.noe.file.2="aliphnoe.tbl";
{* restraint set 3 file *}
{===>} nmr.noe.file.3="aromnoe.tbl";
{* restraint set 4 file *}
!!{===>} nmr.noe.file.4="ambiguous.tbl";
{* restraint set 5 file *}
{===>} nmr.noe.file.5="";
{* NOE averaging modes *}
{* restraint set 1 *}
{+ choice: "sum" "cent" "R-6" "R-3" "symm" +}
{===>} nmr.noe.ave.mode.1="sum";
{* restraint set 2 *}
{+ choice: "sum" "cent" "R-6" "R-3" "symm" +}
{===>} nmr.noe.ave.mode.2="sum";
{* restraint set 3 *}
{+ choice: "sum" "cent" "R-6" "R-3" "symm" +}
{===>} nmr.noe.ave.mode.3="sum";
{* restraint set 4 *}
{+ choice: "sum" "cent" "R-6" "R-3" "symm" +}
{===>} nmr.noe.ave.mode.4="sum";
{* restraint set 5 *}
{+ choice: "sum" "cent" "R-6" "R-3" "symm" +}
{===>} nmr.noe.ave.mode.5="sum";
{========================= hydrogen bond data ============================}
{* hydrogen-bond distance restraints file. *}
{===>} nmr.noe.hbnd.file="hbonds.tbl";
{* enter hydrogen-bond distance averaging mode *}
{+ choice: "sum" "cent" "R-6" "R-3" "symm" +}
{===>} nmr.noe.ave.mode.hbnd="R-3";
{======================== 3-bond J-coupling data ========================}
{* the default setup is for the phi dihedral *}
{* Class 1 *}
{* 3-bond J-coupling non-glycine restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.file.1="jcoup.tbl";
{* 3-bond J-coupling non-glycine potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" "multiple" +}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.pot.1="harmonic";
{* 3-bond J-coupling non-glycine force value *}
```

```
{===>} nmr.jcoup.force.1.1=2;
{* 3-bond j-coupling multiple class force second value *}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.force.2.1=0;
{* 3-bond j-coupling Karplus coefficients *}
{* the default values are for phi *}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.1.1=6.98;
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.2.1=-1.38;
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.3.1=1.72;
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.4.1=-60.0;
{* Class 2 *}
{* 3-bond j-coupling glycine restraints files *}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.file.2="jcoup2.tbl";
{* 3-bond J-coupling glycine potential *}
{* The potential for the glycine class must be multiple *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" "multiple" +}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.pot.2="multiple";
{* 3-bond J-coupling first force value *}
{===>> nmr.jcoup.force.1.2=1;
{* 3-bond j-coupling glycine or multiple force second value *}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.force.2.2=0;
{* 3-bond j-coupling Karplus coefficients *}
{* the default values are for glycine phi *}
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.1.2=6.98;
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.2.2=-1.38;
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.3.2=1.72;
{===>} nmr.jcoup.coef.4.2=0.0;
{================= 1-bond heteronuclear J-coupling data =================}
{* Class 1 *}
{* 1-bond heteronuclear j-coupling file *}
{===>} nmr.oneb.file.1="";
{* 1-bond heteronuclear j-coupling potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" +}
{===>} nmr.oneb.pot.1="harmonic";
{* 1-bond heteronuclear j-coupling force value *}
{===>} nmr.oneb.force.1=1.0;
{================ alpha/beta carbon chemical shift data =================}
{* Class 1 *}
{* carbon, alpha and beta, chemical shift restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.carb.file.1="";
{* carbon, alpha and beta, chemical shift restraint potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" +}
{===>} nmr.carb.pot.1="harmonic";
{* carbon, alpha and beta, chemical shift restraint force value *}
{===>} nmr.carb.force.1=0.5;
{====================== proton chemical shift data ======================}
{* Class 1 *}
{* class 1 proton chemical shift restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.prot.file.1="";
{* class 1 proton chemical shift potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" "multiple" +}
{===>} nmr.prot.pot.1="harmonic";
{* class 1 proton chemical shift force value *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.1.1=7.5;
{* 2nd class 1 proton chemical shift force value for multi *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.2.1=0;
{* class 1 proton chemical shift violation cutoff threshold *}
{===>} nmr.prot.thresh.1=0.3;
```

```
{* Class 2 *}
{* class 2 proton chemical shift restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.prot.file.2="";
{* class 2 proton chemical shift potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" "multiple" +}
{===>} nmr.prot.pot.2="harmonic";
{* class 2 proton chemical shift force value *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.1.2=7.5;
{* 2nd class 2 proton chemical shift force value for multi *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.2.2=0;
{* class 2 proton chemical shift violation cutoff threshold *}
{===>} nmr.prot.thresh.2=0.3;
{* Class 3 *}
{* class 3 proton chemical shift restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.prot.file.3="";
{* class 3 proton chemical shift potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" "multiple" +}
{===>} nmr.prot.pot.3="harmonic";
{* class 3 proton chemical shift force value *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.1.3=7.5;
{* 2nd class 3 proton chemical shift force value for multi *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.2.3=0;
{* class 3 proton chemical shift violation cutoff threshold *}
{===>} nmr.prot.thresh.3=0.3;
{* Class 4 *}
{* class 4 proton chemical shift restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.prot.file.4="";
{* class 4 proton chemical shift potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" "multiple" +}
{===>} nmr.prot.pot.4="multiple";
{* class 4 proton chemical shift force value *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.1.4=7.5;
{* 2nd class 4 proton chemical shift force value for multi *}
{===>} nmr.prot.force.2.4=0;
{* class 4 proton chemical shift violation cutoff threshold *}
{===>} nmr.prot.thresh.4=0.3;
{================= diffusion anisotropy restraint data ==================}
{* fixed or harmonically restrained external axis *}
{+ choice: "fixed" "harm" +}
{===>} nmr.dani.axis="harm";
{* Class 1 *}
{* diffusion anisotropy restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.dani.file.1="";
{* diffusion anisotropy potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" +}
{===>} nmr.dani.pot.1="harmonic";
{* diffusion anisotropy initial force value *}
{===>} nmr.dani.force.init.1=0.01;
{* diffusion anisotropy final force value *}
{===>} nmr.dani.force.finl.1=1.0;
{* diffusion anisotropy coefficients *}
{* coef: <Tc> <anis> <rhombicity> <wh> <wn> *}
{* Tc = 1/2(Dx+Dy+Dz) in <ns> *}
{===>>} nmr.dani.coef.1.1=7.35;
{* anis = Dz/0.5*(Dx+Dy) *}
{===>} nmr.dani.coef.2.1=2.69;
{* rhombicity = 1.5*(Dy-Dx)/(Dz-0.5* (Dy+Dx)) *}
```

```
\{===>\} nmr.dani.coef.3.1=1.78;
\{* wH in <MHz> *\}
\(\{===>\} \mathrm{nmr}\).dani.coef.4.1=600.13;
\{* wN in <MHz> *\}
\(\{===>\} \mathrm{nmr}\).dani.coef.5.1=60.82;
\{============= susceptability anisotropy restraint data ===============\}
\{* fixed or harmonically restrained external axis *\}
\{+ choice: "fixed" "harm" +\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.axis="harm";
\{* Class 1 *\}
\{* susceptability anisotropy restraints file *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.file.1="test2.tbl";
\{* susceptability anisotropy potential *\}
\{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" +\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.pot.1="harmonic";
\{* susceptability anisotropy initial force value *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.force.init.1=0.01;
\{* susceptability anisotropy final force value *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.force.finl.1=6.5;
\{* susceptability anisotropy coefficients *\}
\{* coef: <DFS> <axial > <rhombicity>;
    \(\mathrm{a} 0+\mathrm{a} 1 *(3 * \cos (\) theta \() \wedge 2-1)+\mathrm{a} 2 *(3 / 2) * \sin (\) theta \() \wedge 2 * \cos (2 * \mathrm{phi}) *\}\)
\(\{*\) DFS \(=\mathrm{aO} *\}\)
\{===>\} nmr.sani.coef.1.1=-0.0601;
\(\{*\) axial \(=\) a0-a1-3/2*a2 *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.coef.2.1=4.147;
\{* rhombicity \(=\) a2/a1 *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.coef.3.1=0.488;
\{* Class 2 *\}
\{* susceptability anisotropy restraints file *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.file.2="tgf_pk2_sani_last.tab";
\{* susceptability anisotropy potential *\}
\{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" +\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.pot.2="harmonic";
\{* susceptability anisotropy initial force value *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.force.init.2=0.01;
\{* susceptability anisotropy final force value *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.force.finl.2=6.5;
\{* susceptability anisotropy coefficients *\}
\{* coef: <DFS> <axial > <rhombicity>;
    \(\mathrm{a} 0+\mathrm{a} 1 *(3 * \cos (\) theta \(\left.) \wedge 2-1)+\mathrm{a} 2 *(3 / 2) * \sin (\text { theta })^{\wedge} 2 * \cos (2 * \mathrm{phi}) ~ *\right\}\)
\{* DFS = a0 *\}
\(\{===>\}\) nmr.sani.coef.1.2=-0.0601;
\{* axial = a0-a1-3/2*a2 *\}
\(\{===>\} \mathrm{nmr}\).sani.coef.2.2=4.041;
\{* rhombicity = a2/a1 *\}
\(\{===>\}\) nmr.sani.coef.3.2=0.416;
\{* Class 3 *\}
\{* susceptability anisotropy restraints file *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.file.3="rdc_43D_Dy.tbl";
\{* susceptability anisotropy potential *\}
\{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" +\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.pot.3="harmonic";
\{* susceptability anisotropy initial force value *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.force.init. \(3=0.01\);
\{* susceptability anisotropy final force value *\}
\{===>\} nmr.sani.force.finl.3=6.5;
\{* susceptability anisotropy coefficients *\}
```
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{* coef: <DFS> <axial > <rhombicity>;
```



```
{* DFS = a0 *}
{===>} nmr.sani.coef.1.3=-0.0601;
{* axial = a0-a1-3/2*a2 *}
{===>} nmr.sani.coef.2.3=4.245;
{* rhombicity = a2/a1 *}
{===>} nmr.sani.coef.3.3=0.353;
{* Class 4 *}
{* susceptability anisotropy restraints file *}
{===>} nmr.sani.file.4="rdc_43L_Dy.tbl";
{* susceptability anisotropy potential *}
{+ choice: "harmonic" "square" +}
{===>} nmr.sani.pot.4="harmonic";
{* susceptability anisotropy initial force value *}
{===>} nmr.sani.force.init.4=0.01;
{* susceptability anisotropy final force value *}
{===>} nmr.sani.force.finl.4=6.5;
{* susceptability anisotropy coefficients *}
{* coef: <DFS> <axial > <rhombicity>;
    a0+a1*(3*\operatorname{cos}(theta)^2-1)+a2*(3/2)*sin(theta)^2*\operatorname{cos}(2*phi) *}
{* DFS = a0 *}
{===>} nmr.sani.coef.1.4=-0.0601;
{* axial = a0-a1-3/2*a2 *}
{===>} nmr.sani.coef.2.4=4.106;
{* rhombicity = a2/a1 *}
{===>} nmr.sani.coef.3.4=0.426;
{========================= other restraint data ===========================}
{* dihedral angle restraints file *}
{* Note: the restraint file MUST NOT contain restraints
    dihedral or end *}
{===>} nmr.cdih.file="dihedral.tbl";
{* DNA-RNA base planarity restraints file *}
{* Note: include weights as $pscale in the restraint file *}
{===>} nmr.plan.file="";
{* input planarity scale factor - this will be written into $pscale *}
{===>} nmr.plan.scale=150;
{* NCS-restraints file *}
{* example is in inputs/xtal_data/eg1_ncs_restrain.dat *}
{===>} nmr.ncs.file="";
{========================= input/output files ===========================}
{* base name for input coordinate files *}
{===>} pdb.in.name="";
{* base name for output coordinate files *}
{===>} pdb.out.name="TGFall4";
{================================================================================}
{ things below this line do not normally need to be changed }
{ except for the torsion angle topology setup if you have }
{ molecules other than protein or nucleic acid }
{==================================================================================}
flg.cv.flag=false;
flg.cv.noe=false;
flg.cv.coup=false;
flg.cv.cdih=false;
flg.dgsa.flag=false;
nmr.cv.numpart=10;
```
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) {- end block parameter definition -}
!checkversion 1.0
evaluate ($log_level=silent)
!evaluate ($log_level=verbose)
structure
    if (&struct.1 # "") then
        @@&struct.1
    end if
    if (&struct.2 # "") then
        @@&struct. }
    end if
    if (&struct.3 # "") then
        @@&struct.3
    end if
    if (&struct.4 # "") then
        @@&struct.4
    end if
    if (&struct.5 # "") then
        @@&struct.5
    end if
end
if ( &BLANK%pdb.in.file.1 = false ) then
    coor @@&pdb.in.file.1
end if
if ( &BLANK%pdb.in.file.2 = false ) then
    coor @@&pdb.in.file.2
end if
if ( &BLANK%pdb.in.file.3 = false ) then
    coor @@&pdb.in.file.3
end if
parameter
    if (&par.1 # "") then
        @@&par.1
    end if
    if (&par.2 # "") then
        @@&par.2
    end if
    if (&par.3 # "") then
        @@&par.3
    end if
    if (&par.4 # "") then
        @@&par.4
    end if
    if (&par.5 # "") then
        @@&par.5
    end if
end
if ( $log_level = verbose ) then
    set message=normal echo=on end
else
    set message=off echo=off end
end if
parameter
    nbonds
        repel=0.80
        rexp=2 irexp=2 rcon=1.
        nbxmod=3
        wmin=0.01
        cutnb=6.0 ctonnb=2.99 ctofnb=3.
        tolerance=1.5
```
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    end
end
{- Read experimental data -}
    @CNS_NMRMODULE:readdata ( nmr=&nmr;
        flag=&flg;
        output=$nmr; )
{- Read and store the number of NMR restraints -}
    @CNS_NMRMODULE:restraintnumber ( num=$num; )
!!read in vector angles
!vean
! nres = 6000
! class allvean @dipo2.tbl
! set echo on message on end
1end
!! define prochiral methyl groups
aria
    analyse_restraints
        equivalent
                initialize
                methyle
            end
    end
end
{- Set mass values -}
do (fbeta=10) (all)
do (mass=100) (all)
evaluate ($nmr.trial.count = 0) {- Initialize current structure number -}
evaluate ($nmr.accept.count = 0) {- Initialize number accepted -}
evaluate ($nmr.counter = 0)
evaluate ($nmr.prev.counter = -1)
!! vean stuff - initially adapted from jens meiler - changed!!
    evaluate ($ini_cen = 0.1)
    evaluate ($fin_cen = 2.0)
    evaluate ($ini_bor = 0.1)
    evaluate ($fin_bor = 8.0)
!! end of vean stuff
@CNS_NMRMODULE:initave ( ave=$ave;
        ave2=$ave2;
        cv=$cv;
        ener1=$ener1;
        ener2=$ener2;
        flag=&flg;
        nmr.prot=&nmr.prot; )
{- Zero the force constant of disulfide bonds. -}
parameter
    bonds ( name SG ) ( name SG ) 0. TOKEN
end
```

```
{- define a distance restraints for each disulfide bond, i.e.,
    treat it as if it were an NOE. -}
for $ss_rm_id_1 in id ( name SG ) loop STRM
    for $ss_rm_id_2 in id ( name SG and
    bondedto ( id $ss_rm_id_1 ) ) loop STR2
        if ($ss_rm_id_1 > $ss_rm_id_2) then
            pick bond ( id $ss_rm_id_1 ) ( id $ss_rm_id_2 ) equil
            evaluate ($ss_bond=$result)
            noe
                    assign ( id $ss_rm_id_1 ) ( id $ss_rm_id_2 ) $ss_bond 0.1 0.1
            end
        end if
    end loop STR2
end loop STRM
{- Count the number of residues and determine molecule type -}
identify (store9) (tag)
evaluate ($nmr.rsn.num = $SELECT)
identify (store9) ( tag and ( resn THY or resn CYT or resn GUA or
                    resn ADE or resn URI ))
evaluate ($nmr.nucl.num = $SELECT)
{- Improve geometry for torsion angle molecular dynamics -}
evaluate ($flag_tad=false)
if ( &md.type.hot = "torsion" ) then
    if ($nmr.nucl.num > 0) then
        flag exclude * include bond angl impr dihed vdw end
        minimize powell nstep=2000 drop=10. nprint=100 end
    else
        flag exclude * include bond angl impr vdw end
        minimize powell nstep=2000 drop=10. nprint=100 end
    end if
    evaluate ($flag_tad=true)
end if
if ( &md.type.cool="torsion") then
    evaluate ($flag_tad=true)
end if
if (&nmr.dani.axis = "harm") then
    do (harmonic=20.0) (resid 500 and name 00)
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 500 and name Z )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 500 and name X )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 500 and name Y )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (not (resid 500))
    restraints harmonic exponent=2 end
elseif (&nmr.sani.axis = "harm") then
    do (harmonic=20.0) (resid 500 and name 00)
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 500 and name Z )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 500 and name X )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 500 and name Y )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (not (resid 500))
    do (harmonic=20.0) (resid 501 and name 00)
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 501 and name Z )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 501 and name X )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (resid 501 and name Y )
    do (harmonic=0.0) (not (resid 501))
    restraints harmonic exponent=2 end
end if
do (refx=x) ( all )
do (refy=y) ( all )
do (refz=z) ( all )
set seed=&md.seed end
{- Begin protocol to generate structures -- loop until done -}
while (&pdb.end.count > $nmr.counter) loop main
```
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{- Set parameter values -}
parameter
    nbonds
            repel=0.80
            rexp=2 irexp=2 rcon=1.
            nbxmod=3
            wmin=0.01
            cutnb=6.0 ctonnb=2.99 ctofnb=3.
            tolerance=1.5
    end
end
evaluate ($nmr.trial.count = $nmr.trial.count + 1)
if (&md.type.initial = "coord") then
    if ($nmr.trial.count < &pdb.end.count) then
        evaluate ($coor_count = $nmr.trial.count)
        evaluate ($coor_count_init=0.)
    else
            evaluate ($coor_count_init=$coor_count_init+1)
            evaluate ($coor_count = $coor_count_init)
            if ($coor_count_init > &pdb.end.count ) then
                evaluate ($coor_count = 1)
            end if
    end if
    set remarks=reset end
    evaluate ($in_file = &pdb.in.name + "_" + encode($coor_count) + ".pdb")
    coor @@$in_file
else
    do (x=refx) ( all )
    do (y=refy) ( all )
    do (z=refz) ( all )
end if
if (&nmr.dani.axis = "fixed" ) then
    fix
        select=(resname ANI)
    end
elseif (&nmr.sani.axis = "fixed" ) then
    fix
        select=(resname ANI)
    end
end if
do ( vx = maxwell(0.5) ) ( all )
do ( vy = maxwell(0.5) ) ( all )
do ( vz = maxwell(0.5) ) ( all )
flags exclude *
    include bond angle dihe impr vdw
                noe cdih coup oneb carb ncs dani
                    sani vean harm end
{- scaling of nmr restraint data during hot dynamics -}
@CNS_NMRMODULE:scalehot ( md=&md;
                        nmr=&nmr;
                            input.noe.scale=&md.hot.noe;
                        input.cdih.scale=&md.hot.cdih; )
evaluate( $k_bor = $fin_bor )
vean class allvean force = $k_bor 0 end
{- Zero the force constant of disulfide bonds. -}
parameter
    bonds ( name SG ) ( name SG ) 0. TOKEN
end
```
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    if ($flag_tad=true) then
    {- initialize torsion dynamics topology for this iteration -}
    dyna torsion
        topology
            maxlength=&md.torsion.maxlength
            maxtree=&md.torsion.maxtree
            maxbond=&md.torsion.maxbond
            {- All dihedrals w/ (force constant > 23) will be locked -}
            {- This keeps planar groups planar -}
            kdihmax = 23.
            @CNS_TOPPAR:torsionmdmods
        end
        end
    end if
{- High temperature dynamics -}
if ( &md.type.hot = "torsion" ) then
    igroup
    interaction (chemical h* ) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0. elec 0. end
    interaction (not chemical h* ) (not chemical h*) weights * 1 vdw &md.hot.vdw
    end
    end
    dyna torsion
        cmperiodic=500
        vscaling = false
        tcoupling = true
        timestep = &md.hot.ss
        nstep = &md.hot.step
        nprint = 50
        temperature = &md.hot.temp
        end
else
    evalutate ($md.hot.nstep1=int(&md.hot.step* 2. / 3. ))
    evalutate ($md.hot.nstep2=int(&md.hot.step* 1. / 3. ))
    noe asymptote * 0.1 end
    parameter nbonds repel=1. end end
    igroup
    interaction (chemical h* ) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0. elec 0. end
    interaction (not chemical h* ) (not chemical h*) weights * 1 angl 0.4 impr 0.1
                vdw &md.hot.vdw end
    end
    dynamics cartesian
        cmperiodic=500
        vscaling = true
        tcoupling=false
        timestep=&md.hot.ss
        nstep=$md.hot.nstep1
        nprint=50
        temperature=&md.hot.temp
    end
    noe asymptote * 1.0 end
    igroup
    interaction (chemical h* ) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0. elec 0. end
    interaction (not chemical h* ) (not chemical h*) weights * 1 vdw &md.hot.vdw end
    end
    dynamics cartesian
        cmperiodic=500
        vscaling = true
        tcoupling=false
        timestep=&md.hot.ss
```
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        nstep=$md.hot.nstep2
        nprint=50
        temperature=&md.hot.temp
        end
    end if
{- The first slow-cooling with torsion angle dynamics -}
    flags include plan end
    {- Increase the disulfide bond force constants to their full strength -}
    parameter
        bonds ( name SG ) ( name SG ) 1000. TOKEN
    end
    evaluate ($final_t = 0)
    evaluate ($ncycle = int((&md.cool.temp-$final_t)/&md.cool.tmpstp))
    evaluate ($nstep = int(&md.cool.step/$ncycle))
    evaluate ($ini_vdw = &md.hot.vdw)
    evaluate ($fin_vdw = &md.cool.vdw)
    evaluate ($vdw_step = ($fin_vdw-$ini_vdw)/$ncycle)
    if (&md.type.cool = "cartesian") then
        evaluate ($vdw_step = (&md.cool.vdw/&md.hot.vdw)^(1/$ncycle))
        evaluate ($ini_rad = 0.9)
        evaluate ($fin_rad = 0.8)
        evaluate ($rad_step = ($ini_rad-$fin_rad)/$ncycle)
        evaluate ($radius= $ini_rad)
        do (vx=maxwell(&md.cool.temp)) ( all )
        do (vy=maxwell(&md.cool.temp)) ( all )
        do (vz=maxwell(&md.cool.temp)) ( all )
    end if
    {- set up nmr restraint scaling -}
    evaluate ($kdani.inter.flag=false)
    evaluate ($ksani.inter.flag=false)
    evaluate ($kdani.cart.flag=false)
    evaluate ($ksani.cart.flag=false)
    if (&md.cart.flag=true) then
        evaluate ($kdani.inter.flag=true)
        evaluate ($ksani.inter.flag=true)
        @CNS_NMRMODULE:scalecoolsetup ( kdani=$kdani;
                                    ksani=$ksani;
                                    nmr=&nmr;
                                    input.noe.scale=&md.cool.noe;
                                    input.cdih.scale=&md.cool.cdih;
                                    input.ncycle=$ncycle; )
        evaluate ($kdani.cart.flag=true)
        evaluate ($ksani.cart.flag=true)
    else
        @CNS_NMRMODULE:scalecoolsetup ( kdani=$kdani;
                        ksani=$ksani;
                        nmr=&nmr;
                        input.noe.scale=&md.cool.noe;
                input.cdih.scale=&md.cool.cdih;
                input.ncycle=$ncycle; )
    end if
    evaluate ($k_cen = $ini_cen)
    evaluate ($cen_fac = ($fin_cen/$ini_cen)^(1/$ncycle))
```
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    evaluate ($bath = &md.cool.temp)
    evaluate ($k_vdw = $ini_vdw)
    evaluate ($i_cool = 0)
    while ($i_cool <= $ncycle) loop cool
    evaluate ($i_cool = $i_cool + 1)
!vean - now the wall is erected
evaluate( $k_cen = $k_cen * $cen_fac )
vean class allvean force = $k_bor $k_cen end
!end of vean
!flip leucine methyl groups
!aria flip (bondedto ((resid 30 or resid 49 or resid 74 or resid 85 or resid 87 or resid 98 or
!resid 109) and (name cd1 or name cd2)) and name h*) end
!flip valine methyl groups
!aria flip (bondedto ((resid 44 or resid 79 or resid 95 or resid 103 or resid 107) and
!(name cg1 or name cg2)) and name h*) end
    igroup
        interaction (chemical h*) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0. elec 0. end
        interaction (not chemical h*) (not chemical h*) weights * 1 vdw $k_vdw end
    end
    if ( &md.type.cool = "torsion" ) then
    dynamics torsion
        cmremove=true
        vscaling = true
        tcoup = false
        timestep = &md.cool.ss
        nstep = $nstep
        nprint = $nstep
        temperature = $bath
    end
        else
dynamics cartesian
            cmremove=true
            vscaling = true
                tcoup = false
        timestep = &md.cool.ss
        nstep = $nstep
            nprint = $nstep
            temperature = $bath
end
end if
if (&md.type.cool = "cartesian") then
        evaluate ($radius=max($fin_rad,$radius-$rad_step))
parameter nbonds repel=$radius end end
            evaluate ($k_vdw=min($fin_vdw,$k_vdw*$vdw_step))
        else
            evaluate ($k_vdw= $k_vdw + $vdw_step)
        end if
        evaluate ($bath = $bath - &md.cool.tmpstp)
```

        @CNS_NMRMODULE:scalecool ( kdani=\$kdani;
                                    ksani=\$ksani;
    
## $\mathrm{nmr}=\& \mathrm{nmr} ; ~)$

```
end loop cool
{- A second slow-cooling with cartesian dyanmics -}
evaluate ($flag_cart=false)
if (&md.cart.flag=true) then
    if (&md.type.cool = "torsion") then
        evaluate ($flag_cart=true)
        dynamics torsion
        topology
            reset
        end
    end
        evaluate ($cart_nucl_flag=false)
        if ($nmr.nucl.num > 0) then
            evaluate ($cart_nucl_flag=true)
            parameter
                    nbonds
                        repel=0
                        nbxmod=5
                        wmin=0.01
                            tolerance=0.5
                            cutnb=11.5 ctonnb=9.5 ctofnb=10.5
                            rdie vswitch switch
                    end
            end
            flags include elec end
        end if
    evaluate ($ncycle=int((&md.cart.temp-$final_t)/&md.cart.tmpstp))
    evaluate ($nstep=int(&md.cart.step/$ncycle))
    evaluate ($vdw_step=(&md.cart.vdw.finl/&md.cart.vdw.init)^(1/$ncycle))
    evaluate ($ini_rad=0.9)
    evaluate ($fin_rad=0.8)
    evaluate ($rad_step=($ini_rad-$fin_rad)/$ncycle)
    evaluate ($radius=$ini_rad)
```

            @CNS_NMRMODULE:scalecoolsetup ( kdani=\$kdani;
                        ksani=\$ksani;
                        \(n m r=\& n m r\);
                                    input.noe.scale=\&md.cart.noe;
                                    input.cdih.scale=\&md.cart.cdih;
                                    input.ncycle=\$ncycle; )
    do (vx=maxwell(\&md.cart.temp)) ( all )
    do (vy=maxwell(\&md.cart.temp)) ( all )
    do (vz=maxwell(\&md.cart.temp)) ( all )
    evaluate (\$bath=\&md.cart.temp)
    evaluate (\$k_vdw=\&md.cart.vdw.init)
    evaluate (\$i_cool = 0)
    while (\$i_cool <= \$ncycle) loop cart
        evaluate ( \(\$ i_{\text {_cool }}=\$ i_{\text {_cool }}+1\) )
        igroup
            interaction (chemical h*) (all) weights * 1 vdw 0. elec 0. end
            interaction (not chemical h*) (not chemical h*) weights * 1 vdw \$k_vdw
            end
        end
    ```
    dynamics cartesian
        vscaling = true
        tcoup = false
        timestep = &md.cart.ss
        nstep = $nstep
        nprint = $nstep
        temperature = $bath
    end
        if ($cart_nucl_flag=false) then
        evaluate ($radius=max($fin_rad,$radius-$rad_step))
        parameter nbonds repel=$radius end end
        end if
        evaluate ($k_vdw=min(&md.cart.vdw.finl,$k_vdw*$vdw_step))
        evaluate ($bath=$bath-&md.cart.tmpstp)
!! vean
            evaluate( $k_cen = $k_cen * $cen_fac)
!! vean end
            @CNS_NMRMODULE:scalecool ( kdani=$kdani;
                                    ksani=$ksani;
                                    nmr=&nmr; )
        end loop cart
        end if
    end if
    {- reset torsion angle topology -}
    if ( $flag_tad=true ) then
        if ($flag_cart=false) then
            dynamics torsion
                topology
                        reset
                end
            end
        end if
    end if
{- Final minimization -}
    {- turn on proton chemical shifts -}
    flags include prot end
    noe
        scale * &md.pow.noe
    end
    restraints dihedral
        scale = &md.pow.cdih
    end
    igroup interaction ( all ) ( all ) weights * 1 end end
    evaluate ($count=0 )
    evaluate ($nmr.min.num=0.)
    while (&md.pow.cycl > $count) loop pmini
        evaluate ($count=$count + 1)
        minimize powell nstep=&md.pow.step drop=10.0 nprint=25 end
        evaluate ($nmr.min.num=$nmr.min.num + $mini_cycles)
```
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    end loop pmini
    {- translate the geometric center of the structure to the origin -}
    if ($num.dani > 0. ) then
    elseif ($num.sani > 0. ) then
    else
        show ave ( x ) ( all )
        evaluate ($geom_x=-$result)
        show ave ( y ) ( all )
        evaluate ($geom_y=-$result)
        show ave ( z ) ( all )
        evaluate ($geom_z=-$result)
        coor translate vector=( $geom_x $geom_y $geom_z ) selection=( all ) end
    end if
    @CNS_NMRMODULE:printaccept ( ave=$ave;
                ave2=$ave2;
                cv=$cv;
                ener1=$ener1;
                ener2=$ener2;
                flag=&flg;
                md=&md;
                nmr=&nmr;
                num=$num;
                    output=$nmr;
                        pdb=&pdb; )
end loop main
    @CNS_NMRMODULE:calcave ( ave=$ave;
                        ave2=$ave2;
                cv=$cv;
                ener1=$ener1;
                ener2=$ener2;
                flag=&flg;
                md=&md;
                nmr=&nmr;
                num=$num;
                output=$nmr;
                pdb=&pdb; )
```

stop
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[^0]:    ! noes for protein with hydrogens
    ! an assumed half-width of 0.1 ppm
    ! frequencies binned to allow quantitative measurement:
    ! vweak < 4.2, upper limit 6 (pkVol < 400212.18)
    ! weak < 3.6, upper limit 5 (pkVol < 1009185.58)
    ! medium < 3, upper limit 3.6 (pkVol < 3013411.99)
    ! strong < 2.5, upper limit 2.7 (pkVol < 8998000.00)
    ! Non-ambiguous Restraints:
    ! ==========================1

