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## Abbreviations

| [ Au ] | Generic gold species | d | doublet (NMR) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ Ru ] | Generic ruthenium species | dd | doublet of doublets (NMR) |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | degrees Celsius |  | doublet of doublet of doublets |
| A | ångström ( $10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ ) | (NMR) |  |
| $A_{N}$ | Normalized absorption | $d$ | helical diameter |
| Ac | Acetyl | dba | dibenzylideneacetone |
| aq. | aqueous | DCD | Dewar-Chatt-Duncanson |
| Ar | Aryl | DCE | 1,2-dichloroethane |
| BINAP | 2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'- | DCM | Dichloromethane |
|  | binaphthalene | DDQ | 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4- |
| BINOL | 1,1'-bi-2-naphthol |  | benzoquinone |
| BIPHEP | 2,2'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)- | ddt | doublet of doublet of triplets (NMR) |
|  | biphenyl | DFT | Density Functional Theory |
| $B n$ | Benzyl | DID | Dispersion Interaction Density |
| br | broad (NMR) | DMA | Dimethylacetamide |
| Bu | Buthyl | DME | Dimethoxyethane |
| calcd | calculated | DMF | Dimethylformamide |
| cat. | catalytic | dppf | 1,1'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)- |
| CD | Circular Dichroism |  | ferrocene |
| Cp | Cyclopentadienyl | dq | doublet of quartets |
| Cy | Cyclohexyl | $d r$ | diastereomeric ratio |
| Cod | 1,5-cycloocadiene | dtbm | di-tert-buthylmethoxy |
| d | days | DUPHO <br> dimet <br> dimet | S 1-\{2-[(2R,5R)-2,5- <br> ylphospholan-1-yl]phenyl\}-2,5- <br> ylphospholane |


| E | Electrophile | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | isopropyl |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECD | Electronic Circular Dichroism | IR | Infrared spectroscopy |  |
| Egap | HOMO-LUMO energy gap | J | Coupling constant (NMR) |  |
| El | Electron Impact | J | Joule |  |
| equiv. | equivalents | K | Kelvin |  |
| ESI | Electrospray lonization | kcal | kilocalorie |  |
| Et | Ethyl | L | Ligand |  |
| ee | enantiomeric excess | L | Liter |  |
| $\mathrm{Ep}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (Ox.) | Electrochemical oxidation potential | LUMO | Lowest Unoccupied | Molecular |
| er | enantiomeric ratio |  | Orbital |  |
| eV | Electronvolt | M | multiplet (NMR) |  |
| et al. | et alii/and others | $m$ | meta |  |
| g | grams | mL | microliters |  |
| h | hours | M | Metal |  |
| $h v$ | Light irradiation | M | Molar ( $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ) |  |
| HOMO | Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital | Me | Methyl |  |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid | min | minutes |  |
| Chroma | atography | Mes | Mesityl |  |
| HR-MS | High Resolution Mass Spectrometry | MHz | Megahertz |  |
| i.e. | id est/that it | MOM | Methoxymethyl |  |
| IMes | 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1,3- | MS | Molecular sieve |  |
| dihydro | -2H-imidazol-2-ylidene | MW | Megawatt |  |
|  | 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)4,5- <br> yl-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene | $n$ | generic number |  |
| INT | Intermediate | N | (equiv. $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) |  |
| IPA | isopropyl alcohol | NBS | N-bromosuccinamide |  |


| NHC | N-heteroocyclic | rt | room temperature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n.d. | not determined | S | singlet (NMR) |
| nm | nanometres | sat. | saturated |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance | SEGPHOS 5,5'-Bis-(diphenylphosphino)-4,4'- |  |
| Nu | Nucleophile | bi-1,3-benzodioxol, [4(R)-(4,4'-bi-1,3-benzo-dioxol)-5,5'-diyl]-bis-[diphenylphosphin] |  |
| 0 | ortho |  |  |
|  |  | sext | sextet (NMR) |
| 0 | octet (NMR) |  |  |
|  |  | SFC | Supercritical Fluid Chromatography |
| OFET | Organic field-effect transistor |  |  |
|  |  | SPhos | 2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2',6'- |
| OLED | Organic light-emitting diode | dimethoxybiphenyl |  |
| OPV | Organic photovoltaic solar cell | sTD-DFT Simplified Time Dependent Density |  |
| ORD | Optical rotatory dispersion | Functional Theory formalism |  |
| ovn. | overnight | $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ | tert-butyl |
| $p$ | para | t | triplet (NMR) |
| $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ | $p$-Toluenesulphonic acid |  | triplet of doublets (NMR) |
| PAH | Polyaromatic hydrocarbons | $\text { TADDOL } \quad \alpha, \alpha, \alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \text {-tetraaryl-2,2- }$ <br> disubstituted 1,3-dioxolane-4,5-dimethanol |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Ph | Phenyl |  |  |
|  |  | TBF | Tetrabenzofluorene |
| pin | pinacol |  |  |
|  |  | TBS | Tributhylsilyl |
| ppm | parts per million |  |  |
|  |  | TCE | 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane |
| Pr | Propyl |  |  |
|  |  | td | triplet of doublets |
| psi | pound per square inch |  |  |
|  |  | TEP | Tolman Electronic Parameter |
| q | quartet (NMR) |  |  |
|  |  | Tf | Trifluoromethanesulfonyl |
| quant. | quantitative |  |  |
|  |  | THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| R | General residue |  |  |
|  |  | TIPS | Triisopropylsilyl |
| rac | racemic |  |  |
|  |  | TLC | Thin-layer chromatography |
| RMS | Root Mean Square deviation |  |  |


| TMEDA N,N,N', N'-Tetramethylethylene- | $\Delta$ | Heat |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| diamine | $\Delta E^{\ddagger}$ | Enantiomerization barrier |  |
| TMS | Trimethylsilyl | $\Delta \varepsilon$ | Molar circular dichroism |
| Tol | Toluene | $\varepsilon$ | extinction coefficient |
| tt | triplet of triplets | $\lambda$ | Wavelength |
| Ts | Tosyl | $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ | Wavelength at maxima |
| TS | Transition State | $v_{c o}$ | CO stretching frequency |
| UV | Ultraviolet light | $\Phi$ | Angle (solid-state studies) |
| UV-Vis | Ultraviolet and visible light | $\Phi_{F}$ | Fluorescence quantum yield |
| $\tilde{v}$ | wavenumbers | $\mu_{e}$ | Electric dipole moment |
| W | Watt | $\mu_{m}$ | Magnetic dipole moment |
| w/w | weight for weight | $\Theta$ | Interplanar angle |
| $[\alpha]$ | Specific rotation |  |  |
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## 1. Abstract

By combining the 3,3'-disubstituted BINOL-derived backbone and different cationic rests, a new family of $\alpha$-cationic chiral phosphonites has been synthesized. Coordination to $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ afforded the corresponding precatalysts. These novel compounds were applied as ancillary ligands in $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed synthesis of 1-arylbenzo[5]helicenes. High regio- and enantioselectivities were accomplished through the intramolecular hydroarylation of alkynylfunctionalized [4]helicenes. Hence, a family of 1-arylbenzo[5]helicenes containing a series of substituents at a diverse external positions of the helicene rim as well as by the modification of the hanging phenyl moiety has been prepared. The connectivities in these structures and their absolute configurations were confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis. Also, the chiroptical properties of the materials prepared were studied by UV-Vis absorption, fluorescence emission and circular dichroism.

In accordance with previous results, a number of thia[5]helicenes containing an embedded thiophene ring were obtained by the same methodology with BINOL- and TADDOL-based Au(I) precatalysts. The high versatility of these compounds enabled the obtention of a widely tuned series of thia[5]helicenes exhibiting exceptional regio- and enantioselectivities. This task was achieved in collaboration with Dr. Valentina Pelliccioli.

In collaboration with Dr. Samuel Suárez Pantiga, a group of expanded helicenes of different sizes and shapes with phenyl- and biphenyl-substituents at inner fjord region have finally been synthesized via sequential $A u(I)$-catalyzed hydroarylation of appropriately designed substrates. Along with Dr. Christopher Golz, single crystals of these compounds suitable for X-ray analyses were grown in order to measure and quantify several structural features. Additionally and in cooperation with Prof. Dr. Ricardo Mata and M. Sc. Xaiza Aniban, the conformational dynamics and racemization barriers of the obtained compounds were calculated via electronic structure methods. The estimated energetic profiles were compared to those of unsubstituted core architectures, observing noticeable differences in the relative energy values as well as in the shape of the profile, i. e. number of transition states and intermediates. Also photophysical studies of these expanded helicenes were performed in order to study the effect of the substitution pattern and the extension of the $\pi$-system on their chiroptical properties.

## 2. Introduction

### 2.1. Helicenes

### 2.1.1. General properties

Helical structures are widespread in nature and have intrigued mankind for centuries. In chemistry, such as geometry can be observed in helicenes among other helicoidal molecules.

Helicenes are a family of compounds containing ortho-fused aromatic rings in a helicoidal structure possessing a chiral axis. Such structure can be explained through the conformational distortion of the $\pi$-system imposed by a strong steric strain and, in a minor influence, by an electronic contribution. In comparison to their meta-fused homologues, acenes, helicenes show not only higher stability, but also their own notable chiroptical properties like optical rotation, high UV-Vis absorption and characteristic circular dichroism values. ${ }^{[1-3]}$



Figure 1. Representation of a helicene structure. Image modified from: N. Hoffmann, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev. 2014, 19, 1-19. ${ }^{[4]}$

Although the first helicene reports date from the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century, ${ }^{[5-7]}$ the first nomenclature was adopted by Newman and Lednicer in 1956. It was then stated that the helicene structure would be classified by the number of aromatic rings $[n$ ] in brackets and the prefixes penta, hexa, hepta, etc. would define those compounds containing five, six, seven, etc. aromatic rings. ${ }^{[8]}$

Depending on their composition, helicenes can be described as carbohelicenes, when their skeleton is merely formed by carbon atoms, or heterohelicenes, when containing at least one heteroatom in their rim such as N, O, S, P or Si. In this latter case, new denotations appear, and one can speak of aza-, oxa-, thia-, phospha- or sila[n]helicenes in order to differentiate them from the previously mentioned carbohelicenes (Figure 2). ${ }^{[9,10]}$ Within this class of compounds, thiahelicenes have recently acquired great importance. Their chiroptical properties associated
to their chiral structure, along with their extraordinary electronic properties due to their extensive $\pi$-conjugated system, have made them the research subject of numerous projects in the past decade. ${ }^{[10-16]}$


5-aza[5]helicene
1


5-oxa[5]helicene
2


5-thia[5]helicene
3


5-phospha[5]helicene
4


5-sila[5]helicene
5

Figure 2. Examples of different hetero[5]helicenes.
As a consequence of their geometry, helicenes can wind in opposite directions and have a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric axis, which is perpendicular to the helical axis. This feature confers them chirality, even though they have no asymmetric carbons or other chiral centers (Figure 3a), ${ }^{[2,17]}$ for this reason, helicenes undergo the Cahn-Ingold-Prelog rules. As chiral molecules, helicenes have as well the capacity to rotate linearly polarized light and exhibit large optical rotation values that are proportional to the number of rings present in the molecule. ${ }^{[18,19]}$

As for the enantiomers, helicenes containing a left-handed helix are designated "minus" and denoted by $M$, whereas right-handed ones are designated "plus" and denoted by $P$ (Figure 3b). ${ }^{[20,21]}$ Moreover, as has been disclosed by optical rotatory dispersion (ORD) and electronic circular dichroism (ECD) studies, the $(P)$-helicenes are dextrorotatory, whereas $(M)$-helicenes are levorotatory, demonstrating a direct relation between the absolute configuration and the chirality. ${ }^{[22-24]}$
a)

b)

$(P)-(+)$-6: [6]helicene

$(M)-(-)-6:[6]$ helicene

Figure 3. a) Image of helical axis and of $C_{2}$ axis as well as b) both enantiomers of [6] helicene (6) (b). Figure adopted from: P. Sehnal, I. G. Stará, D. Šaman, M. Tichý, J. Míšek, J. Cvačka, L. Rulíšek, J. Chocholoušová, J. Vacek, G. Goryl, M. Szymonski, I. Císařová, I. Starý, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2009, 106, 13169-13174.[17]

As the number of fused rings in a helicene increases, its structure tends to be cylindrical with a constant pitch in both the inner and outer surfaces of the helix. For helicenes formed by sixmembered aromatic rings, it takes six rings to cover a nearly complete $360^{\circ}$ turn of a screw. On the other hand, when helicenes contain five-membered rings embedded in their structure,
more building blocks are required since their smaller in-plane turn $\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ has a smaller contribution to the helical structure (Figure 4).


Figure 4. Comparison of the in-plane turns $\Theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ present in 6 - and 5-membered rings, respectively.

When discussing the helicity of these compounds, a new concept of helix pitch arises, which is the distance between two terminal rings. ${ }^{[25]}$ In terms of atomic arrangement, helicenes have two apparent helixes: one formed by atoms in the inner rim, like $a, b, c, d$, and the other formed by outer atoms, like A, B, C, D. Even if both of them have constant helical pitch, the latter is slightly larger than the former (Figure 5). ${ }^{[18,25]}$

(M)-helix

$(M)-(-)-6$ : [6]helicene

Figure 5. Examples of helical axis (left) as well as inner and outer pitch of [6] helicene [(M)-(-)-6] (right). Figure adopted from: C. Chen, Y. Shen, Helicene Chemistry: From Synthesis to Applications, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016. ${ }^{[18]}$

The length of the helical pitch can be explained by the differences in angles of the heliceneforming aromatic rings: whereas six-membered rings like benzene or pyridine possess angles of $60^{\circ}$, five-membered ones', like thiophene or furan, are considerably smaller ( $45^{\circ}$ and $32^{\circ}$ respectively). Ergo, in this latter case, more rings would be necessary to complete an entire rotation. ${ }^{[26]}$

The distortion of a helicene can be described by both the interplanar angle as well as the torsional one. The former is the angle between the two terminal benzene rings, whereas the latter is the dihedral angle between the four adjacent inner carbon atoms (Figure 6a). It is significantly influenced by the presence of functional groups in the inner part of the helicene rim. In Figure 6b this aspect is represented in different structures of 1-substituted [5]helicene: the bulkier the substituent becomes, the wider the torsional angle between all four adjacent inner carbon atoms. ${ }^{[18,27,28]}$


Figure 6. a) Depiction of interplanar and torsional angles and. b) torsional angles and crystal structures of several [5]helicenes (grey carbon atoms and red oxygen atoms; hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity). Figure taken from: C. Chen, Y. Shen, Helicene Chemistry: From Synthesis to Applications, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016.[18]

Another remarkable characteristic of helicenes is their racemization. Since most of helicenes possess chirality, their enantiomers can be interconverted into each other through a racemization process.

The crucial point in this context is the configurational stability: whereas the racemization barrier is considerably low for small helicenes, it increases as more aromatic rings are incorporated into the helical structure. This phenomenon becomes apparent when comparing the racemization barriers of different carbohelicenes (Figure 7). The first member of the carbohelicene family with a helicoidal structure, [4]helicene (7), is configurationally unstable under ambient conditions. ${ }^{[29]}$ By introducing a methyl group in its position 1, the situation is completely reversed, and the racemization barrier in 8 increases significantly up to 21.2 kcal kcal $\cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot{ }^{[30]}$ The next representative, [5]helicene (9), possesses a higher barrier than its parent analogue; nonetheless, it is not high enough to prevent racemization, which occurs after 36 hours. Again, this issue can be overturned by introducing a methyl moiety in the same position as before, increasing the racemization barrier up to $39.1 \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and facilitating the chiral resolution of this [5]helicene (10). ${ }^{[31]}$

$(P)-(+)-7:[4]$ Helicene $(P$ $4.0^{\text {a }}$

P)-(+)-8
$21.2^{\text {a }}$

$(P)-(+)-9:$ [5]Helicene
$24.1^{\text {b }}$
$39.1^{\text {b }}$

$(P)-(+)-6:[6]$ Helicene $36.2^{\text {b }}$

$(P)-(+)$-11: [7]Helicene $41.7^{\text {b }}$

$(P)-(+)-12:[8] H e l i c e n e$ $42.4^{\text {b }}$

Figure 7. [4]-, [5]-, [6]-, [7]-, [8]-Helicenes, some of their methyl-substituted derivates and their respective racemization barriers (in kcal•mol${ }^{-1}$ ). ${ }^{[32,33]}$ [a] Calculated values at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory; [b] experimental values $\Delta G^{\neq}$. ${ }^{32-34]}$

As have been determined in several studies, the mechanism of the racemization process involves participation of different transition states ( $\mathrm{TS}^{\neq}$) between both enantiomeric forms. In the case of [4]helicene, the $\mathrm{TS}^{\neq}$adopts a planar $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}$ configuration, which is higher in energy than the corresponding "helix", while the TS ${ }^{\neq}$for [5]-, [6]-, and [7]helicenes have the $\mathrm{C}_{s}$ symmetry, respectively. Even though Lindner suggested in 1975 a planar TS ${ }^{\neq}$for the [5]helicene, more recent reports agree that a $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{TS}^{\neq}$is more likely (Figure 8). ${ }^{[32,35-38]}$

b)

Lindner 1975

[5]Helicene $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$

Figure 8. a) Recomputed structures of TS of different carbohelicenes at the TPSS-D3BJ/6-331G(d,p) level; b) Planar TS of [5]helicene suggested by Lindner in 1975. Figure modified from: J. Barroso, J. L. Cabellos, S. Pan, F. Murillo, X. Zarate, M. A. Fernandez-Herrera, G. Merino, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 188-191.

The cases of helicenes containing eight or more rings in their helixes are completely different: due to their tangled topology, more than one TS ${ }^{\neq}$takes place in the racemization process of both enantiomers. ${ }^{[32]}$ This aspect becomes even more intricate when the helicene shows more complexities within its structure, such as multiple helixes, extensions of their $\pi$-system or multiple and bulky substituents in their inner pitch. ${ }^{[39-42]}$

Although one of the most recognizable features of helicenes is their ortho-fused aromatic rings, they can also experience other kind of structural expansions like lateral extensions of their $\pi$-system or successions of linear and angular ring fusions ("expanded helicenes"). The combination of these two can also generate laterally extended expanded helicenes, as the Tilley group mentioned for the first time in 2017 (Figure 9). ${ }^{[43]}$


Figure 9. Classification of larger helicenes according to Tilley. ${ }^{[43]}$
This family of helicenes has attracted a lot of interest in the past years because of their enhanced photophysical, electronic and supramolecular properties, especially by virtue of their expanded $\pi$-system. This might be also beneficial regarding semiconducting properties and the aggregation capacity of large conjugated systems. Moreover, their flexible structures could make them more soluble than analogous linear polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and their larger cavities are suitable for applications that depend on porosity (e.g., sensing or catalysis). ${ }^{[43-46]}$

Another interesting feature of helicenes is the $\pi$-interactions within their polyaromatic systems and the resulting absorbance and emission spectra. Although their entire structure is composed by aromatic rings, some local aromaticity is lost in comparison to their linear analogues due to their coiled geometry. For this reason, terminal rings remain the most
aromatic ones, whereas the main loss of aromaticity happen in the inner part of the helicene. ${ }^{[47-50]}$

Speaking of HOMO-LUMO transitions, it has been indicated in many computational studies that the transannular interaction between two superimposed terminal rings can reduce de HOMO-LUMO gap (Egap) via through-space delocalization. ${ }^{[51]}$ Nonetheless, the observed wavelength of maximum absorption from [4]- to [16]helicenes does not vary excessively, which proves that increasing the number of benzene rings in the structure is not the most suitable strategy in order to reduce the HOMO-LUMO gap. ${ }^{[18]}$

One well-known strategy to reduce this gap is the introduction of thiophene and furan subunits into the helicene structure, as long as these five-membered rings are intercalated with benzene ones in order to preserve the effective $\pi$-conjugation of the whole system. A remarkable support of this idea was reported by Kertesz et al., who calculated and compared the HOMO-LUMO band gaps of carbohelicenes, thiahelicenes and carbo-sulphur helicenes (Figure 10). ${ }^{[15]}$ As a result, thiahelicenes appeared to exhibit a lower gap ( 2.5 eV ) than carbo$(2.9 \mathrm{eV})$ and carbo-sulphur helicenes $(4.1 \mathrm{eV})$. The main rationale behind this observation could be a slight destabilization and increase in electron density due to the inclusion of a sulphur atom in the structure, leading to a diminution of the HOMO-LUMO gap. On the other hand, if the amount of thiophene units increases, the main consequence is a notable loss of aromaticity and therefore, an augmentation of this gap.


11: carbo[7]helicene
2.9 eV


13: tetrathia[7]helicene 2.5 eV


14: carbo-sulphur[7]Helicene
4.1 eV

Figure 10. Values of $E_{\text {gap }}$ in carbo- and heterohelicenes 11,13 and 14 , as calculated via DFT studies in gas phase.[15]
This hypothesis was corroborated by Górski et al. in their study of truxenes: the substitution of a carbon with a sulphur atom entailed a destabilization of the HOMO and an increment of its energy, whereas the LUMO remained unaltered. ${ }^{[52]}$

Other suitable methods for decreasing the $E_{\text {gap }}$ are the extension of the $\pi$-system, thus increasing the area of electron delocalization, and the formation of pull-push structures through the introduction of donor and acceptor substituents at opposite sides of the molecule. Thereby, absorption and emission spectra of these helicenes are shifted to longer wavelengths.

Both strategies were applied and tested by Itami and co-workers: Primarily, through the extension of the $\pi$-system of carbo[6]helicene (6) by adding more aromatic rings and an extra helix to produce the double [6]helicene 15 (Figure 11a), and secondly, through the chlorination of $\pi$-extended dithia[6]helicenes 16 to obtain the homologue 17 (Figure 11b). In both cases, these modifications meant remarkable increases in their $\lambda_{\max }$ and, consequently, a decrease of their $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap. }}{ }^{[53,54]}$
a)


6: [6]Helicene $\lambda_{\text {max }}=413 \mathrm{~nm}$
b)

$(P)$-16: Dithia[6]helicene \& enantiomer $\lambda_{\text {max }}=502 \mathrm{~nm}$

( $P, P$ )-15: Double [6]helicene
$\lambda_{\text {max }}=525 \mathrm{~nm}$

$(P)$-17: Dithia[6]helicene- $\mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ \& enantiomer $\lambda_{\text {max }}=518 \mathrm{~nm}$

Figure 11. a) $\lambda_{\max }$ for [6]helicene (6) and ( $P, P$ )-double [6]helicene 15. b) $\lambda_{\max }$ for $(P)$-dithia[6]helicene 16 and $(P)$ tetrachlorodithia[6]helicene 17.

Helicenes are also very fluorescent compounds. Nonetheless, their quantum yield ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) is relatively low as a consequence of the non-emissive quenching events that decrease the efficiency of fluorescence, and it drops as more aromatic rings are added to the helical structure. ${ }^{[55]}$ However, in many cases this can be reverted by several strategies, such as the introduction of alkyl chains, the embedment of high light-emitting functional groups or the formation of heterocycles among other methods. Kitamura, Kawase and colleagues demonstrated their efficacy first in the synthesis of 17,17-dialkyltetrabenzo[a, $c, g, i] f l u o r e n e s$ (dialkylTBFs) 18a-g. They observed a solid-state fluorescence trend for those helicenes containing alkyl groups at the position 17: the longer the alkyl chain, the higher the fluorescence quantum yield. Thus, the longer alkyl substituents acted as a spacer to prevent
molecules from aggregation. The $\pi-\pi$ interactions inside the helicenes proved to play an important role in the fluorescence as well (Figure 12). ${ }^{[56]}$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $18 \mathrm{a} R=\mathrm{H}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.009$ |
| $18 \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{Me}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.55$ |
| $18 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{Et}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.27$ |
| $18 \mathrm{~d}=n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.95$ |
| $18 \mathrm{e} R=n-\mathrm{Bu}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.95$ |
| $18 \mathrm{f} \mathrm{R}=n-\mathrm{Pen} \quad \Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=\sim 1.00$ |  |
| $18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{R}=n-\mathrm{Hex}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.87$ |

Figure 12. Quantum yield ( $\Phi_{F}$ ) of different 17,17-substituted dialkylTBFs 18.
Similarly, Matsuda and Nozaki's groups applied two other strategies, respectively: Matsuda enhanced the fluorescence quantum yield of carbo[5]helicene by introducing cyano- and 2,2dicyanovinyl groups (Figure 13a), while Nozaki achieved a remarkable quantum yield increase of carbo[7]helicene by replacing a benzene ring with a dimethylsilole one (Figure 13b). ${ }^{[57,58]}$
a)


9: [5]Helicene $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.04^{[\mathrm{a}]}$
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$\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.15^{[\mathrm{b}]}$

$\Phi_{F}=0.23^{[b]}$
b)

11: [7]Helicene $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.02^{[a]}$

21
$\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.17^{[\mathrm{cc}]}$

Figure 13. a) Enhancement of the quantum yields of [5]helicene (9) and [7]helicene (11) by introducing cyano-based functional groups and silole rings, respectively. Data taken from: [a] J. B. Birks, D. J. S. Birch, E. Cordemans, E. Vander Donckt, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1976, 43, 33-36 [55]; [b] H. Kubo, T. Hirose, K. Matsuda, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1776$1779{ }^{[57]}$ and [c] H. Oyama, K. Nakano, T. Harada, R. Kuroda, M. Naito, K. Nobusawa, K. Nozaki, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2104-2107. ${ }^{[58]}$

Finally, one of the most significant features of helicenes are their chiroptical properties. Chiral molecules are generally characterized by their ability to rotate linearly polarized light, and helicenes exhibit large optical rotation values in virtue of their helical chirality. The optical rotation value of [ $n$ ]helicenes is directly related to the number $n$ of aromatic rings present in the molecule: It increases with growing number of benzene rings, but the increments become continuously smaller as the structure continues to expand (Figure 14).

$(P)-(+)-7:[4]$ Helicene

$(P)-(+)-9:[5]$ Helicene $[\alpha]_{578}=1670^{\circ}$
( $26{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, iso-octane)

$(P)-(+)-6:[6]$ Helicene
$[\alpha]_{578}=3570^{\circ}$
$\left(22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, c=0.24, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$

$(P)-(+)-11$ : [7]Helicene
$[\alpha]_{578}=5900^{\circ}$ $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, c=0.06, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$

$(P)-(+)-12:[8]$ Helicene
$[\alpha]_{578}=7170^{\circ}$

Figure 14. Carbohelicenes: absolute configuration along with their corresponding optical rotation values. Data taken from: M. Gingras, G. Félix, R. Peresutti, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 1007-1050. ${ }^{[19]}$

Another important characteristic of helicenes is their circular dichroism (CD). It is the ability of enantioenriched chiral molecules to differently absorb left- or right-handed circularly polarized light. In particular, electronic circular dichroism (ECD) occurs in accordance with electronic transitions and represents the chiroptical counterpart of the more common UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. The spectra obtained, one for each enantiomer and each a mirror image of the other (Figure 15), are characteristic properties of chiral helicenes. Materials presenting circular dichroism activity are believed to be very valuable in multiple applications like advanced optical information storage, security ink, circularly polarized luminescence lasers or chiroptical probes in biological processes. ${ }^{[59-61]}$


Figure 15. CD spectra of $(P, P)-(+)-(b l a c k)$ and $(M, M)-(-)-22($ red $)$ in hexane. Taken from: X. Liu, P. Yu, L. Xu, J. Yang, J. Shi, Z. Wang, Y. Cheng, H. Wang, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 6316-6321.[62]

### 2.1.2. Applications of helicenes

Almost since the first helicene structure was reported, this family of compounds has been investigated in order to develop new and diverse applications. Since helicenes exhibit unique chiroptical and electronic properties, they have been involved in such varied fields like nanoscience, material science, macromolecular chemistry or asymmetric catalysis. Some of their most important applications will be highlighted in this Section.

### 2.1.2.1. Molecular machines

One noteworthy application of helicenes are molecular machines. Kelly and co-workers were pioneers in the use of helicenes as part of this kind of molecules when they synthesized one of the first molecular ratchets from a carbo[4]helicene in 1997. Here, a triptycene substituent acted as a gear, and the [4]helicene moiety as the pawl and the spring (Figure 16). The slow rotation of the triptycene was detected via high temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR studies, as well as a bidirectional rotation via spin polarization transfer NMR. ${ }^{[63]}$



23
Figure 16. Kelly's molecular ratchet 23 and its different components. a) gear, b) pawl, c) spring. Image modified from: T. R. Kelly, I. Tellitu, J. P. Sestelo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1866-1868.[63]

### 2.1.2.2. Optoelectronics

In the last decades, numerous organic $\pi$-conjugated semiconductors have been employed in the growing field of organic electronic devices, particularly in organic field-effect transistors (OFETs), organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) and organic photovoltaic solar cells (OPVs). ${ }^{[64-67]}$ Helicenes are not an exception and have taken part in multiple studies by virtue of their optimal packing motif compared to that of their planar analogues, since their helical structure enables a wide range of possible intermolecular contacts. Miao and co-workers have been outstanding contributors to this field. Among their most important discoveries, it is worth to highlight their report about the use of double helicenes as p-type semiconductors in OFETs. Through X-ray crystallography, they revealed an unusual arrangement of double carbo[6]helicene $\mathbf{2 4}$ (Figure 17a), in which the $P$ enantiomer stacks with the $M$ enantiomer in a 1:4 proportion, as represented in Figure 17b, forming a bidirectional $\pi-\pi$ stacking. OFETs produced from monolayers containing this helicene exhibited hole mobilities as high as 0.076 $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}$. Moreover, they also carried out the synthesis of the double thia[6]helicene 25 (Figure 17c), in which a similar brickwork arrangement of twisted $\pi$ faces was observed (Figure 17d). As a result of this packing motif, these layers were arranged into processed films working as a p-type semiconductor with a hole mobility of $0.033 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1} .{ }^{[68-71]}$
a)

b)

d)


Figure 17. a) Structure of double carbo[6]helicene 24 and double thia[6]helicene 25. b) Corresponding molecular packing. (The $M$ enantiomers are shown in light blue and the $P$ enantiomers are shown in yellow; the alkyl substituents in the $M$ and $P$ enantiomers are shown in blue and orange, respectively). Figure adapted from: C. Li, Y. Yang, Q. Miao, Chem. Asian J. 2018, 13, 884-894. ${ }^{[71]}$

### 2.1.2.3. Asymmetric catalysis

Although helicenes are widely employed in multiple and various practical fields, such as dye materials, polymers, molecular recognition or even biologically active objects, their most intriguing application remains asymmetric catalysis. ${ }^{[72-77]}$

Since Bredig managed to prepare mandelonitrile in 1912 by utilizing quinine as a catalyst, the field of asymmetric catalysis has not ceased growing. ${ }^{[78]}$ Many groups developed ligands with central, planar or axial chirality, but it was not until the mid-1980s when Martin and coworkers first used substituted carbo[7]helicenes as chiral auxiliaries or chiral ligands in a variety of diastereoselective reactions such reduction of $\alpha$-keto esters, hydroxyamination and epoxidation of olefins, synthesis of atrolatic ester and the ene reaction. ${ }^{[79-83]}$

Later, in 1997, Reetz and co-workers first demonstrated the utility of optically active helical diphosphanes in enantioselective transition metal catalysis. They reproduced the synthesis of 2,15-bis(diphenylphosphino)hexahelicene (PHelix) (27) in enantioselective form with the help of chiral HPLC and used this compound in the hydrogenation of itaconic acid ester 26, obtaining the (S)-diester $\mathbf{2 8}$ with $39 \%$ ee (Scheme 1). ${ }^{[84]}$


Scheme 1. Use of 2,15-bis(diphenylphosphino)hexahelicene (27) as a chiral ligand in the enantioselective hydrogenation of itaconic acid ester.

A more recent example was reported by Yamamoto and co-workers in 2016. They synthesized a series of optically active [5]helicene-derived phosphine ligands 29 and 30 with a 7,8dihydro[5]helicene or a fully aromatic [5]helicene core structure, respectively (Scheme 2a). After satisfactory results were obtained in the study of the catalytic ability of both ligands in a model asymmetric allylation reaction (94 and $71 \%$ ee, respectively; Scheme 2 b ), a number of different Pd-catalyzed asymmetric transformations were carried out. ${ }^{[85]}$
a)


(M)-29

(M)-30
b)
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With (M)-29: 99\% yield, 94\% ee
With (M)-30: 99\% yield, $71 \%$ ee
Scheme 2. a) Structures of ligands ( $M$ )-29 and ( $M$ )-30. b) Alkylation of racemic 1,3-diphenylallyl acetate (32) as a model reaction. ${ }^{[85]}$

Structural differences between both ligands proved to be crucial in the mechanism of the different reactions that were tested: Whereas 29 showed high effectiveness in the asymmetric allylation of indoles 34 with 1,3-diphenylallyl acetate (32) (up to $99 \%$ ee) and in the etherification of alcohols 36 (up to $96 \%$ ee) (Scheme 3a,b), 30 was more efficient in controlling the enantioselectivity of several Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions (up to $99 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) (Scheme $3 \mathrm{c})$. Thus, the excellent yields and high ee's observed in the transformation of these substrates supported the utility of carbohelicenes as chiral ligands in asymmetric reactions.

a)


34

ROH

36


38


Scheme 3. a) Allylation of indoles 34. b) Etherification of alcohols 36. c) Suzuki-Miyaura couplings. Reactants and conditions: $(\mathrm{A})\left[\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%),(M)-29(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt. (B) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2},(M)-30, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, toluene, 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.1.2.4. Applications of thiahelicenes

Finally, based on the unequal distribution of their electron density, heterohelicenes also show numerous applications, especially in the fields of optoelectronics, material science, catalysis, polymers or biology. In particular, thiahelicenes are among the most versatile forms of helicenes for which a huge number of applications have been envisaged and investigated. ${ }^{[86]}$

In 2008, Nishide et al. reported the synthesis of thiahelicene-based polymer 44 and measured its electrical properties. It was observed that the polymerization process had enhanced the intrinsic electric conductivity of this compound (Scheme 4). Further experiments proved that this conductivity could be improved up to 30 times by doping with iodine. ${ }^{[87]}$


Scheme 4. Synthesis of Nishide's thiahelicene-based polymer 44. ${ }^{[87]}$
Since thiahelicenes have exceptional chiroptical properties, ${ }^{[81 a]}$ many studies have been performed in order to find new applications for them in nanoscience. A noteworthy case was reported by Katz et al. in 2001 when they discovered a self-aggregation phenomenon in their enantiopure thiahelicene sample into columnar arrays. Not only these aggregated species showed distinctive chiroptical properties (circular dichroism, specific rotations and fluorescent emission), but also a much higher circular polarization of their fluorescent emission after excitation by unpolarized light (Figure 18). ${ }^{[88,89]}$


Figure 18. a) Schematic representation of the arrangement of thiahelicene fibers. b) Transmission electron micrograph of fibers of thiahelicene. Taken from: K. E. S. Phillips, T. J. Katz, S. Jockusch, A. J. Lovinger, N. J. Turro, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11900-11907. ${ }^{[88]}$

### 2.1.3. Racemic synthesis of helicenes

The first reported helicene dates back to 1912, when Weitzenböck achieved the synthesis of a racemic carbo[4]helicene employing a Pschorr reaction followed by a twofold decarboxylation. This was a rudimentary process, but nonetheless the first milestone in such a broad research field like the synthesis of helicenes. ${ }^{[6]}$

### 2.1.3.1. Oxidative photocyclization

Some decades later, in 1955, Newman et al. carried out the synthesis and resolution of [6]helicene with a charge-transfer complex, ${ }^{[8]}$ but it was not until 1967 when the first photochemical synthesis of a helicene took place: Schölz and co-workers obtained 1,12benzoperylene 46 from the photocyclization of (Z)-1,2-di(naphthalen-2-yl)ethene (45). ${ }^{[90]}$ It is strongly believed that this product came from an internal rearrangement of [5]helicene 9 (Scheme 5a). Furthermore, Martin and his group reported some weeks later the first synthesis of [7]helicene 11 in a racemic manner from stilbene-type derivatives (Scheme 5b). ${ }^{[91]}$ These two discoveries were extremely significant in the history of helicene chemistry, because they set the stage for new methods for the preparation of polyaromatic hydrocarbons, among others, by photocyclization reactions. ${ }^{[92-94]}$


Scheme 5. a) Schölz's photosynthesis of carbo[5]helicene intermediate by an oxidative photocyclodehydrogenation and conversion into 1,12-benzoperylene $\left.46 .{ }^{[91]} \mathrm{b}\right)$ Martin's synthesis of [7]helicene ( rac )-11 by oxidative photocyclization. ${ }^{[91]}$

Further studies in this methodology focused on the improvement of regioselectivity since the yield of this last step in the synthesis of helicenes remained still very low. In an attempt to overcome this issue, Katz and co-workers became the main contributors in the late 1980's and early 1990's (Scheme 6). First, they developed a bromine-directed method in which [7]helicene 11 could be produced in a $70 \%$ total yield, improving the $20 \%$ reported to that date. ${ }^{[95]}$ They also introduced new reaction conditions, such as the use of propylene oxide as a scavenger and a stoichiometric amount of iodine in inert conditions, which not only improved the yield, but also prevented photoreduction or photooxidative side reactions of double bonds. Moreover, the remaining bromine atom could allow further functionalization through $\mathrm{Br} / \mathrm{Li}$ exchange. ${ }^{[96,97]}$

## Martin et al. (1968)



Scheme 6. Summary of Katz et al. contributions to the photosynthesis of carbohelicenes. ${ }^{[95-97]}$
Oxidative photocyclizations have also been applied to synthesize a broad variety of thiahelicenes containing multiple thiophene rings. Despite the obvious structural references with carbohelicenes, this technique was a key step in the synthesis of this family of helicenes. Wynberg and co-workers carried out pioneering work on mono- and double photocyclization reactions towards various thiahelicenes in the early 1970's. Precursors were obtained mainly through well-known Wittig reactions before undergoing photocyclization processes. Thiahelicenes were generally obtained after short synthesis routes in moderate and good yields, sometimes even in the absence of an oxidant. Some of the most relevant examples are depicted in Scheme 7. ${ }^{[98,99]}$
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Scheme 7. a) Monophotocyclyzation of stilbenes 52 and 54 to afford thiahelicenes 53 and 55, respectively. b) Twofold photocyclization of diene 56 to achieve tetrathia[6]helicene 57. ${ }^{[98,99]}$

Although these synthetic routes are relatively simple and excellent results can be achieved by oxidative photocyclization, this method involves certain difficulties: it requires photochemical equipment as well as very specific conditions such as extremely pure and carcinogenic solvents and high dilution. Moreover, it turned out that it lacks tolerance to certain functional groups, like $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. For this reason, other important alternatives to this method of helicene synthesis are worth mentioning.

### 2.1.3.2. Diels-Alder reactions

Diels-Alder reactions have been widely used in organic chemistry since their discovery in 1928 and have demonstrated to be a prominent strategy to form six-membered rings in the synthesis of polyaromatic compounds. ${ }^{[2,100]}$ One of the first reports on the synthesis of carbohelicenes by using Diels-Alder conditions belongs to Weidlich, when he carried out the formation of defunctionalized carbo[5]helicene (rac)-9 by applying this strategy on the first step (Scheme 8, as modified by Altman and Ginsburg). ${ }^{[101]}$ Weidlich's work inspired many further researchers, and many various examples of employing this methodology have since been reported. ${ }^{[102-105]}$


Scheme 8. First reported use of a Diels-Alder reaction in the synthesis of helicenes ${ }^{[101]}$ modified by Altman and Ginsburg. ${ }^{[104]}$

It was not until 1990 when the first multi-gram preparation of helicenes via Diels-Alder reaction took places. Katz and Liu utilized a double cycloaddition of an excess of commercially available 1,4-benzoquinone (61) and 1,4-divinylbenzene (62) in refluxing toluene to produce 2.93 g of [5]helicenebisquinone 63 in $17 \%$ yield (Scheme 9). ${ }^{[106]}$ This low yield might be explained by participation of the energetically unfavorable transition state due to the use of vinylic aromatic compounds as dienes. Nonetheless, the simplicity of this method incentivized the production of large helicenes on a gram-scale. Nowadays, the Diels-Alder strategy in helicene chemistry is by far the main synthetic choice among the non-photochemical methods.


Scheme 9. Multi-gram Diels-Alder reaction to generate [5]helicenebisquinone 63, as reported by Katz and Liu. ${ }^{[106]}$

### 2.1.3.3. Friedel-Crafts-type reactions

The first synthesis of helicenes involving Friedel-Crafts-like intramolecular cyclizations dates back to 1938, when Newman et al. obtained carbo[4]helicene 7 after carrying out a double ring closure of acid chlorides, subsequent Wolff-Kishner deoxygenation of 65 and final catalytic dehydrogenation (Scheme 10). ${ }^{[107]}$ This work inspired further synthesis of carbohelicenes, as Friedel-Crafts reactions proved to be very useful, reliable and straightforward tools when performing internal cyclizations. ${ }^{[108-110]}$


Scheme 10. First employment of intramolecular Friedel-Crafts reaction in helicene chemistry. ${ }^{\text {[107] }}$

### 2.1.3.4. Metal-catalyzed syntheses

Transition metal catalysis has been of great importance in the synthesis of helicenes in the last century. Because of its versatility and capacity to form new $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds, this kind of reactions became a great resource and, in many cases, a key step in the formation of helicenes.

Some of the most significant examples rely on the use of palladium as a catalyst. Since this is an extremely versatile metal in cross-coupling reactions, multiple studies describing syntheses of helicenes via these methods have been reported. ${ }^{[111-114]}$ On the other hand, Pd catalysts can also promote $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond activations: thus, Kamikawa and co-workers exploited this tool in their synthesis of [5]helicene 67 by double intramolecular C-H arylation reaction (Scheme 11). ${ }^{[115]}$


Scheme 11. Twofold intramolecular arylation via C-H activation for making functionalized helicene (rac)-67. ${ }^{[115]}$
Palladium has been used as well in ingenious $[2 \pi+2 \pi+2 \pi]$ cyclotrimerizations for the synthesis of polyaromatic compounds. These are frequently very favorable processes, since the driving force towards the final products originates from the strong conjugation and resonance, which overcomes an unfavorable distortion of the $\pi$-system over many carbon atoms. A very original synthesis of a $D_{3 h}$-symmetric triple [5]helicene $\mathbf{7 0}$ via cyclotrimerization
of intermediate 9,10-didehydrophenanthrene was reported by Guitán, Pérez and co-workers in 2000 (Scheme 12). ${ }^{[116]}$


Scheme 12. Cyclotrimerization of 9,10-didehydrophenanthrene to obtain triple [5]helicene 70 reported by Guitán and Perez. ${ }^{[116]}$

Besides palladium, many other transition metals have been utilized in the racemic syntheses of helicenes. For example, Collins et al. reported in 2006 the first synthesis of [5]carbohelicene $(r a c)-9$ by ring-closing metathesis in 71 with a modified ruthenium Hoveyda-Grubbs catalysts 72, 73 (Scheme 13a) ${ }^{[117]}$ Storch et al. and other researchers studied the synthesis of polysubstituted carbo[6]helicene 75 through platinum-catalyzed twofold intramolecular hydroarylation (Scheme 13b). ${ }^{[118]}$ Moreover, other metals like titanium have been employed in some cases, for example, in the synthesis of carbo[5]helicene (rac)-9 via McMurry reductive coupling of dicarbonyl compound 77, as reported by Gingras and Dubois (Scheme 13c). ${ }^{[119]}$
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Scheme 13. Alternative metal-catalyzed synthesis of carbohelicenes. a) Synthesis of [5]helicene (rac)-9 via ringclosing metathesis with a modified ruthenium Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst. [117] b) Synthesis of 7,11dimethyl[6]helicene (75) via double hydroarylation. ${ }^{[118]}$ c) Synthesis of [5]helicene (rac)-9 via McMurry reaction. ${ }^{[119]}$

Due to the wide versatility of this last olefination, other types of helicenes have been synthesized, such as the various aforementioned thiahelicenes. Rajca and co-workers reported a representative example in 2004 with the obtention of hexathia[7]helicene 79 from diketone 78 in a modest yield of $38 \%$ (Scheme 14a). ${ }^{[120]}$ Six years later, in 2010, inspired by this work, Cheng, Wang and colleagues accomplished the synthesis of double helicene $\mathbf{2 2}$ under different reaction conditions. This simultaneous formation of two ortho-fused arene rings was realized in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 14b). ${ }^{[121]}$ Thereafter, in 2013, this research team carried out the chiral resolution of both enantiomers of 22 and reported their CD spectra, which were previously depicted in Figure 15. ${ }^{[62]}$
a)

b)


Scheme 14. a) Synthesis of thiahelicene 79. ${ }^{[120]}$ b) Synthesis of double thiahelicene 22. ${ }^{[121]}$

### 2.1.3.5. Synthesis of chiral expanded helicenes

Although the enantioselective synthesis of expanded helicenes has not yet been reported, multiple examples of chiral structures can be found in the literature.

In 2017, Tilley and co-workers carried out the synthesis of the first expanded helicenes $\mathbf{8 2}$ combining angular and linear ring fusion by means of [ $2+2+n$ ( $n=1$ or 2 ) cycloaddition (Scheme 15). ${ }^{[43]}$


Scheme 15. Synthesis of Tilley's expanded helicene via [ $2+2+n$ ] cycloaddition. ${ }^{[43]}$
However, although the terminal rings of these compounds overlapped each other's, their racemization barriers were extremely low compared to those of similar structures like [7]helicene or [7]heliphene, and no separation of both enantiomers was possible. This might be attributed to the enormous flexibility of the whole structure and the fact that the distortion required for a clear racemization was spread over a large number of bonds and angles.

In order to overcome this difficulty, they introduced two modifications in their expanded helicene's structure: an arylene-bridge meant to increase configurational stability in 83 and the transformation into figure-eight dimer 84 again via [2+2+2] cycloaddition (Figure 19).

Unfortunately, these modifications did not have a significant effect on the enantiomerization barrier, and no chiral resolution of their enantiomers at room temperature was possible by any means.
a)


83
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{RC}=\mathrm{CR}$, Se or $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}$ $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}$


Figure 19. a) Arylene-bridged expanded helicene. b) Figure-eight expanded helicene.
Among other researchers, Matsuda and co-workers contributed to the expansion of this field. They achieved the synthesis of potentially chiral helical analogue of kekulene 86 via ringclosing metathesis as a key step and looked into the study of its structure, luminescent properties and racemization process. However, the excessive flexibility of the structure could not provide a high enough racemization barrier, and no separation method to isolate both enantiomers could be found (Figure 20). ${ }^{[45]}$


Figure 20. a) Kekulene (85) and Matsuda's kekulene analogue 86. b) Key steps in the synthesis of the helically twisted analogue of kekulene 86.

### 2.1.4. Asymmetric synthesis of helicenes

Although numerous reports were published since the first helicene was produced in 1912, it was not until many decades later in the 1970s, when the first asymmetrical syntheses of helicenes came to light. A pioneer in this topic was Weissman, who carried out the enantioselective reduction of racemic [6]helicene with potassium metal in (+)-2,3dimethoxybutane. The resulting radical anion also demonstrated enough configurational stability to show optical activity by circular dichroism spectroscopy. ${ }^{[122]}$ However, the challenge of producing helicenes in a stereoselective manner was carried out through different methodologies.

### 2.1.4.1. Chemically induced asymmetric photocyclization

A very efficient method for generating enantioenriched helicenes is the introduction of bulky substituents at an already existing chiral center. This strategy was developed by Katz and Sudhakar in 1986 when they introduced a bulky tert-butyl-dimethylsilyloxy group in a chiral center at the position 1 . This influenced strongly the further photocyclization by keeping the bulky substituent outside to lower the activation energy and, as a result, a big excess of carbo[7]helicene 92 in its $M$ form was obtained (Scheme 16). ${ }^{[95]}$


Scheme 16. Enantioselective photosynthesis of a carbo[7]helicene developed by Katz and Sudhakar. ${ }^{[95]}$

### 2.1.4.2. Asymmetric Diels-Alder reactions

In 2001, Carreño and Urbano, who were experienced in the stereoselective synthesis of carbohelicenes, ${ }^{[123]}$ reported on the first enantioselective synthesis of a $(P)$-[5]helicene $(P)-(+)$ 96 via Diels-Alder reactions with ee superior to $98 \%$. To achieve this, they clarified four key conditions: low temperatures to enhance enantioselectivity, the presence of electron-donating substituents in the dienes to lower the activation energy, the use of (+)-(SS)-(2-p-tolylsulfinyl)-1,4-benzoquinone 94 as the dienophile and, finally, employment of electron-rich dienes to facilitate the aromatization procedure (Scheme 17a). ${ }^{[124]}$ Their success in this project encouraged them to apply the same strategy in order to obtain [7]helicene 99 with excellent ee as well (Scheme 17b). ${ }^{[125]}$



(+)-(SS)-(2-pbenzoquinone): 94

Scheme 17. Enantioselective Diels-Alder reactions for the synthesis of [5]- and [7]helicenes. Reactants and conditions: (A) (SS)-94 (2 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~d}$. (B) DDQ, benzene, reflux, 4 d . (C) (SS)-94 (4 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (D) DDQ, toluene, $\Delta$.

### 2.1.4.3. Metal-catalyzed asymmetric synthesis

Starý and Stará reported one of their first experiences in the enantioselective synthesis of helicenes in 2003 when they performed an stereoselective [2+2+2] cycloisomerization of triynes 100a and 100b in the presence of a $\mathrm{Ni}(0)$ catalyst and Hayashi's ligand to obtain tetrahydro[6]helicenes 102a-b with moderate ee (Scheme 18a). ${ }^{[126,127]}$ Two years later, they updated their methodology through a new approach: the transference of central to helical chirality. This time the key of the $[2+2+2]$ cycloisomerization of aromatic triynes was the presence of a chiral center and its influence on the Co(I)-mediated cyclization. A scope of different substrates $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ containing such pre-defined center alongside a $p$-tolyl group in the alkyne moieties underwent photocyclization under a wide range of temperature and time conditions, affording [7]helicene-like frameworks 104a-b in diastereomeric ratios up to 100:0 (Scheme 18b). ${ }^{[128]}$
a)

b)


Scheme 18. Cycloisomerization of triynes to provide tetrahydro[6]helicenes $102{ }^{[126]}$ and [7]helicene-like scaffolds 104. ${ }^{[128]}$

Although $\pi$-acid-catalyzed cycloisomerization is widely used in the synthesis of helicenes, its application in their enantioselective synthesis is relatively recent.

One of the most remarkable achievements in this area dated back to 2013, when Stará, Stary and co-workers reported the first enantioselective synthesis of a carbo[6]helicene by Nicatalyzed [2+2+2] cycloaddition of aryl alkynes. ${ }^{[129]}$ Later, in 2018, Tanaka's group investigated the enantioselective synthesis of helicenes bearing varied structures via either $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed intramolecular cycloadditions ${ }^{[130]}$ or $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation (Scheme 19). ${ }^{[131]}$


Scheme 19. Enantioselective synthesis of double [6]helicenes 107a-b by Tanaka and co-workers. ${ }^{[131]}$
In this latter case, the formation of single and double carbo[6]helicenes in moderate ee was achieved by using $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-based catalyst $\mathrm{AuCl}\left(\mathrm{SMe}_{2}\right)$ alongside the chiral ligand (R)-BINAP 106. This was the first ever reported enantioselective synthesis of completely benzenoid multihelicenes.

### 2.1.4.4. Asymmetric synthesis of thiahelicenes

As with the carbohelicenes, the preparation of the first enantio-enriched samples of thiahelycenes was a major synthetic challenge that had been worked on for more than four decades. The first preparations consisted of the application of photochemical techniques to olefin-based substrates embedding multiple sulfur atoms and subsequent enantiomers resolution. ${ }^{[99,132]}$ However, the poor chiral separations achieved prompted further researchers to seek for more effective methods like the introduction of chiral auxiliaries or chiral elements (Scheme 20). Such changes should enhance the stereoselectivity of the reaction and, therefore, facilitate further separation of the resulting diastereomers.


Scheme 20. Photocyclization of 108 to furnish tetrathia[7]helicene 109.

It was not until 1997 that successful asymmetric syntheses of thiahelicenes came to light. The most remarkable case was reported by Tanaka and co-workers, who accomplished a novel and efficient synthesis of thiahelicenes based on biaryl- and carbonyl-coupling reactions. ${ }^{[133]}$ Soon after, they applied this methodology in the synthesis of similar adducts containing chiral centers. Because these had the capacity to influence the helical chirality, highly enantioenriched samples of thiahelicenes 112 were obtained, giving rise to the first reported stereoselective synthesis of this kind of polyaromatic compounds (Scheme 21). ${ }^{[134]}$


Scheme 21. Tanaka's stereoselective synthesis of thia[7]helicenes. ${ }^{[134]}$
In summary, despite all these advancements in the last century, the asymmetric synthesis of helicenes is yet to be developed and investigated, especially in the field of heterohelicenes. Moreover, the synthesis of expanded helicenes remains enormously intriguing and most recent studies have demonstrated the existence of suitable tools to achieve the generation of configurationally stable examples of these molecules. As it will be discussed in the following Section, one elegant way to achieve this goal is the use of such transition metals like platinum, palladium or gold.

### 2.2. Gold catalysis

Gold has had for millennia an unquestionable presence in popular culture. Due to its rareness, it has been widely used in the production of valuable items like coins or jewelry. Nevertheless, gold possesses as well great mechanical properties: it is a highly ductile and durable metal, and it shows great resistance towards oxidation or light. For this reason, its usage has been extended to the production of materials suitable for such varied fields like medicine or electronics. When speaking of its role in chemistry, gold had been ignored for decades as a potential catalyst despite owning a rich coordination and organometallic chemistry.

It was not until 1973, when it was first used as such in the heterogeneous catalysis of olefins by Bond and co-authors. ${ }^{[135]}$ This report became a landmark for further research, in which this metal was used in the hydrochlorination of acetylene ${ }^{[136]}$ and the oxidation of carbon
monoxide. ${ }^{[137]}$ Since then, gold has gained increasing importance amongst other transition metals in catalytic research.

### 2.2.1. Gold as a carbophilic Lewis acid

Gold is a Group 11 element and its oxidation states ranges from -1 to +5 , but it is most seen as either $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ or $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{III})$. In coordination chemistry, the former is mostly observed forming linear complexes, whereas the latter tends to form square planar ones. When speaking about $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes, geometrical constraint generally places the ligand (L) and the substrate on opposite sides of the metal center. On the other hand, mono-coordination enables a wide a free rotation of all components around the existing single bonds (Figure 21). ${ }^{[138]}$


Figure 21. Coordination mode for $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ complexes. ${ }^{[138]}$
Due to its low lying, large and polarizable empty orbitals, gold is considered a reliable, carbophilic and chemically soft Lewis acid, with $\pi$-acid catalysis being its most important application. ${ }^{[139-141]}$
$\pi$-Acid catalysis is the electrophilic activation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \pi$ bonds with a Lewis acid. It is a useful and elegant way to convert alkyne, alkene or allene derivatives into useful building blocks. Although other elements such as platinum, indium of even gallium have been extensively used in this area, gold is still one of the most demanded Lewis acids because of its unique properties. ${ }^{[142]}$

The basic mechanism of this reaction performed by a $A u(I)$ complex is depicted in Figure 22. ${ }^{[143-145]}$ Such species is initially present as $L \cdot A u X$, where $L$ represents an auxiliary ligand and $X$ is a counterion, usually $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.


Figure 22. General mechanism of gold-mediated $\pi$-acid catalysis reaction.
In general, the $\pi$-acid catalytic cycle of $A u(I)$ begins with the activation of the gold species through silver-mediated abstraction. The $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$cation, in this case coming from $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$, reacts with the gold's counterion, forming an insoluble salt, and releases the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ activated species. This latter one possesses a coordination vacancy, which is then filled by the formation of a $\pi$ complex with a suitable donor, such as an alkyne, allene or alkene. The following step takes place via $\pi$-slippage, this step involves the movement of the metal fragment along the axis of the ligand which, in turn, results in enhanced electrophilicity. This slippage depends on the substituents of the alkyne as well: their electronic or steric distribution among other factors can determine the different regioisomers that can be originated. ${ }^{[140]}$ Then, an external nucleophile attacks the gold- $\pi$-system in an outer sphere mechanism and forms an antioriented gold carbenoid intermediate. Once this step has taken place, the created species can undergo either a protodeauration process to form the desired product and to re-originate the active gold catalyst, or alternatively, several further cascades, polymerizations or rearrangements. ${ }^{[146-148]}$

The bonding situation in transition metal complexes with alkenes or alkynes as $\pi$-ligands is usually explained through the Dewar-Chatt-Duncanson (DCD) model, which interprets the bond as a donor-acceptor interaction between two closed-shell fragments. ${ }^{[149,150]}$


Scheme 22. Schematic representation of the Dewar-Chatt-Duncanson model. Image taken from: C. J. V. Halliday, J. M. Lynam, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 12611-12626.[151]

It is generally accepted that a $\sigma$-bond is formed by electron donation of the ligand to an empty metal orbital of suitable symmetry, on the contrary, a $\pi$ interaction takes place through the back donation of electron density from an occupied metal d orbital into an antibonding $\pi^{*}$ orbital of a ligand.

In Figure 23, four possible interactions contributing to the bonding of alkynes as ligands have been represented. In the first place, the alkyne can form a $\mathrm{M} \leftarrow \mathrm{L} \sigma$-complex by donating electron density of its in-plane $\pi_{\|}$orbital into the empty $d_{z}{ }^{2}$ metal orbital. In the same way, $\pi-$ symmetric $M \rightarrow$ L back-donation can take place between the $d_{\mathrm{xz}}$ metal orbital and the $\pi_{\| ।}{ }^{*}$ orbital of the alkyne. Besides, other remarkable metal-alkyne interactions are the $\mathrm{M} \leftarrow \mathrm{L} \pi$ donation from the orthogonal, out-of-plane alkyne $\pi_{\perp}$ orbitals to the empty $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$ metal orbital, in which the ligand acts as a four-electron donor. Lastly, $M \rightarrow L \delta$ symmetry interactions between the filled metal $d_{x y}$ orbital and the empty $\pi^{*}{ }_{\perp}$ orbital of the alkyne are also possible. The $\delta$ symmetry of this latter interaction results in only a weak overlap, and therefore leads to a minor contribution to the bonding. ${ }^{[140]}$


Figure 23. Qualitative orbital diagram displaying the interaction between a transition metal and an alkyne in the framework of $\pi$-acid catalysis. Modified image from: A. Fürstner, P. W. Davies, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3410-3449.[140]

Furthermore, the contributions of these four interactions to the Au-alkyne bond were calculated by high level computational methods. For the $A u^{+}$-acetylene complex $\left[\mathrm{Au}^{+}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]$, the $\delta$-interaction proved to provide the largest contribution (ca. 65\%), followed by the in-plane $\pi_{\|}$back-donation (ca. $27 \%$ ), the effect of the orthogonal $\pi_{\perp}$ term, and lastly, that of $M \rightarrow L \delta$ term (ca. 1\%). As a conclusion, alkynes (as well as alkenes) are strong two-electron $\delta$-donors and provide the biggest contribution to the bond energy, but at the same time, they are weak $\pi$-acceptors towards $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$. Nonetheless, the back-donation from the gold center has as well an important involvement in the bond that should be considered. ${ }^{[152-154]}$

As expected, the formation of the complex entails a change in the bond length and in its geometry: According to the DCD model, an elongation of the double or triple bond takes place due to the net shift of electron density from the bonding $\pi$ orbital into the antibonding $\pi^{*}$ orbital. Additionally, as a consequence of rehybridization, a deformation in the ligand takes place: alkynes experience bending in their geometry, whereas alkenes undergo partial pyramidalization.

### 2.2.2. Applications of $A u(I)$-mediated $\pi$-acid catalysis

Since the early report from Bond et al. in 1973, ${ }^{[135]}$ the use of gold has experienced an exponential growth in the field of homogeneous catalysis and has showed multiple and important virtues: operationally safe, simple and practical reaction conditions, elegant and notably atom-economical catalyses or an exceptional chemoselectivity towards C-C $\pi$-systems, leaving most of other existing functional groups intact. Hundreds of reports concerning such varied topics like carbonyl activation, oxidations, reductive and dehydrogenative processes or group transfer reactions have been published in the last decades, ${ }^{[155-162]}$ nevertheless, this Section will be focused on the addition of carbon nucleophiles to electrophilic $\pi$-systems.

### 2.2.2.1. Cascade reactions

One example of this latter case is the cascade reaction. In 2004, Toste and co-workers reported the catalytic isomerization of 1,5-enynes to form bicyclo[3.1.0]hexenes. ${ }^{[163,164]}$ After optimization of catalysis conditions, they found out that $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) A u \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ was the most suitable catalyst for such rearrangement. A wide range of 1,5-enyne substrates 113 underwent this $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed transformation. Despite the presence of different and numerous substituents along the enyne structure, yields up to $99 \%$ and excellent $d r$ as well as ee were obtained, proving the aforementioned selectivity of this kind of reactions (Scheme 23a). Based on the obtained results, they proposed the following mechanistic rationalization depicted in Scheme 23b: Coordination of the active species of $A u(I)$ to the alkyne moiety in $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ followed by 5-exo-
dig-cyclization via nucleophilic addition of the pendant olefin in the intermediate $\mathbf{A}$ produces positively charged cyclopropyl gold carbene B1, which may be considered in resonance form of bicyclobutonium-type intermediate B2. Finally, the bicyclo[3.1.0]hexene product is generated by a 1,2-hydrogen shift onto a cationic or carbenoid center. The final bicyclic product 114 is formed upon release of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalytic active species. Regarding stereoselectivity and stereospecificity, the reaction can be accounted for by considering half-chair transition states, with the large groups occupying pseudoequatorial positions, similar to those proposed for the acetylenic Cope rearrangement. ${ }^{[165]}$
a)
 $R^{n}=H$, alkyl, Bn, Ar
b)


Scheme 23. a) Scope of $A u(I)$-Catalyzed synthesis of bicyclo[3.1.0]hexenes 114. b) Proposed mechanism for the Au(I)-catalyzed cycloisomerization. ${ }^{[163]}$.

Another interesting example was reported by Toste in the same year as well. In full swing of Au(I)-mediated catalysis, Toste developed a suitable methodology for propargyl Claisen rearrangements replacing common transition metal cations like $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ with $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I}) .{ }^{[166]}$ An optimization of the catalytic conditions was carried out in the first place (Scheme 24a). Out of the three tested $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PAu}\right)_{3} \mathrm{O}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ demonstrated to be the most suitable one showing the best yield and regioselectivity without barely modifying the existing chiral center in the allene 116. Once the optimal catalyst was identified, a complete scope with 13 examples containing different substituents was accomplished in very good yields and short reaction times (Scheme 24b). Not only this catalyst was compatible with mild conditions and low moisture, but it proved to be suitable for enantioenriched samples as well.
a)

b)


Scheme 24. a) Comparison between different $A u(I)$ catalysts. b) Scope of $A u(I)$-catalyzed propargyl Claisen rearrangement. ${ }^{[166]}$

### 2.2.2.2. Asymmetric $A u(I)$-catalysis

Asymmetric catalysis is typically a strategy where a transition metal complex containing a chiral ligand catalyses the transformation of a prochiral substrate into one enantiomer as a major product. ${ }^{[167]}$ The selective synthesis of a single stereoisomer over others has been a challenge of great importance in industry over the past century, not only in the pharmaceutical area, but also in others like material science or agrochemicals. With this in mind, the direct enantioselective functionalization of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds has become a prominent tool towards the production of enantioenriched samples of chiral compounds, very occasionally based on transition metal catalysis.

Regarding gold catalysis, the development of effective asymmetric catalytic transformations is still a laborious task. The main difficulty is related to the linear geometry of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes: since the gold reactive center is placed at the opposite side of the chiral complex, the induction of stereoselectivity can be extremely hard. ${ }^{[168]}$ In this context, Au (III) complexes might be more appealing due to their square planar structures capable of bringing the substrate closer to the chiral ligand, but the synthesis of stable and catalytically active species containing this cation remains very difficult. ${ }^{[169,170]}$

The first report on asymmetric gold catalysis dates back to 1986, when Ito, Hayashi and colleagues performed an asymmetric aldol reaction between pivalaldehyde and isocyanidoacetate catalysed by a $A u(1)$-ferrocenylphosphine complex 120, which has a planar
chirality (Scheme 25). The presence of the bulky amino group was one of the keys to achieve high diastereo- and enantioselectivities. ${ }^{[162]}$


Scheme 25. Asymmetric aldol reaction performed by Ito, Sawamura and Hayashi. ${ }^{[162]}$
Since this early discovery, asymmetric $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysis has been broadly used in the C-H functionalization chemistry. Starting with $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization, this application of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysis has experienced a considerable growth, despite the difficulties associated with it (e.g., low acidity of $\mathrm{Csp}^{3}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds or high bond dissociation energy). In 2011 Zhang et al. reported the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed asymmetric synthesis of furan-fused azepines 124 from yne-enones 122. ${ }^{[171]}$ They presented the first example of enantioselective redox-neutral domino reaction catalyzed by $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ resulting in the direct functionalization of unreactive $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. After screening different phosphine ligands, six examples of this family of compounds were obtained in good yields and excellent ee by using different bulky ( $R$ )-MeO-dtbm-BIPHEP 123 as chiral ligands alongside AgOTf (Scheme 26a). The proposed mechanism suggests that the reaction proceeds via 5-endo-dig cyclization to generate cationic intermediate which would undergo 1,5-hydride shift and further cyclization to form the desired furan-fused azepines 124 (Scheme 26b).


Scheme 26. a) Scope of the asymmetric gold(I)-catalyzed redox-neutral domino reactions. b) Proposed mechanism. [171]

However, the hydroarylation reactions through enantioselective gold-catalyzed $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ functionalization has been the most fruitful method in comparison to that involving $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right)$ or $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{sp})$. These transformations turned out to be a convenient key step in the formation of new $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$ - $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)$ bonds, which determine the chirality of a molecule. The most notorious applications of this strategy are among others the enantioselective intramolecular hydroarylation of allenes and alkynes. In the framework of the latter, Tanaka and co-workers became pioneers in 2011 when they reported the atroposelective synthesis of axially chiral 4-aryl-2-quinolinones and 4-arylcoumarins 126 via hydroarylation of alkynes 125. The species chosen for this task were $(R)$-dtbm-SEGPHOS- and $(R)$-BINAP-based gold catalysts 128 and 129, two dinuclear complexes which afforded the desired products in moderate ee's up to 61\%. ${ }^{[172]}$ Later, in 2016, they extended this strategy to all-benzenoid derivatives by using ( $R$ )-xylyl-BINAP 130 as a chiral ligand, obtaining seven different products in up to $70 \%$ ee (Scheme 27). ${ }^{[173]}$


(R)-128
$\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{2}-4-\mathrm{OMeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$

( $R$ )-129, $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
(R)-130, $\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$

Scheme 27. Gold(I)-catalyzed asymmetric synthesis of axially chiral biaryls via intramolecular hydroarylation of alkynes. Reactants and conditions: (A) $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AuCl} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-128$ or ( $R$ )-129, $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AgBF}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}$, $40-72 \mathrm{~h}$; (B) $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AuCl} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}, 12 \mathrm{~mol} \%(R)-130,20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ AgOTf, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{[172,173]}$

Other researchers have exploited the usage of binuclear complexes in the activation of alkynes with $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ as well. Sanz and co-workers investigated the catalytic applications of (S)-MeO-BIPHEP-based ancillary ligands like 132 containing Au(I) centers. When bearing xylyl groups, these ligands proved to afford the desired products in high enantioselectivities, again due to these bulky substituents (Scheme 28a). ${ }^{[174]}$ In another example, Gagné's group reported the
enantioselective ring-expanding cycloisomerization of 1,5-enynes 134 to provide bicyclo[4.2.0]octadienes 136 in excellent yields and good er's (Scheme 28b). ${ }^{\text {[175] }}$
a)

$R^{1}=H ; R^{2}=H, F ; R^{1}-R^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$;
$R^{3}=H ; R^{4}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}-\mathrm{R}^{4}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$;
$\mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{Ph}, 3-\mathrm{Th}, n-\mathrm{Bu}$
b)


(R,R)-i-Pr-DuPHOS: 135

(S)-132
$\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
(R)-133: 20-86\% ee




Scheme 28. a) Sanz's enantioselective cycloisomerization of 2-Alkynylstyrenes $110 .{ }^{[174]}$ b) Gagné's enantioselective ring-expanding cycloisomerization of cyclopropylidene 1,5-Enynes 113. ${ }^{[175]}$

Lastly, regarding the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed enantioselective functionalization of $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{sp})-\mathrm{H}$ bond, only one report from the group of Toste was published in 2011. ${ }^{[176]}$ Additionally, the utilization of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-based phosphine ligands was found applications in the synthesis of natural products, showing in many cases excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivity. ${ }^{[177,178]}$

### 2.2.3. Cationic phosphines

As noted in the previous Section, phosphorus-based ligands are a powerful tool of great relevance in organometallic chemistry and catalysis. In particular, phosphines have proven to serve as ancillary ligands for an impressive variety of homogenous transition metal catalyzed transformations. ${ }^{[179]}$ Their peculiarity lies in the easy modification of their electronic and steric parameters by simply varying the substitution pattern in the phosphorus center, facilitating the construction of large ligand libraries to be screened for specific catalytic processes. ${ }^{[180-182]}$

### 2.2.3.1. Properties of cationic phosphines

Phosphines have proven to be extremely reactive and selective in transition metal-mediated catalysis, for this reason, in addition to those mentioned above, their use as ancillary ligands has spread to asymmetric homogeneous catalysis. ${ }^{[183,184]}$ In general, electron-poor metals
readily undergo reductive elimination reactions, whereas oxidative addition is favored on electron-rich metal centers, which can be in part obtained by the application of strongly electron-donating ligands. Both opposite cases, potent donor and strong acceptor ligands, can be achieved in phosphines by proper selection of substituents on the phosphorus core. ${ }^{[185,186]}$

Phosphines are considered as $\sigma$-donor $\pi$-acceptor ligands: they interact with the vacant metal orbital of adequate symmetry through their lone pair of electrons, but at the same time experience $\pi$-backbonding due to the interaction between their $\sigma^{*}$ antibonding orbitals and the occupied metal orbitals (Figure 24). ${ }^{[187,188]}$

$\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$
M

$\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$
M

Figure 24. $\sigma$-Bonding and $\pi$-backbonding in phosphines-metal interactions. Image adapted from: A. G. Orpen, N. G. Connelly, Organometallics 1990, 9, 1206-1210. ${ }^{[187]}$

While neutral phosphines are commonly regarded as weak $\pi$-acidic ligands, an increase of the electronegativity within the molecule results in a lowering of the LUMO-energy, rendering them mild $\pi$-acceptor ligand properties. ${ }^{[181,185,187]}$ One such strategy to increase the electronegativity is the introduction of cationic moieties in the $\alpha$-position to the phosphorus atom, transforming neutral phosphines in $\alpha$-cationic ones. This phenomenon can be explained through frontier orbitals, since the HOMO is primarily located at the phosphorus lone pair, and therefore providing the $\sigma$-donation, and the LUMO is composed by the $\sigma^{*}$-orbitals related to the three phosphorus substituents, providing the ligand with $\pi$-accepting properties. This formal increment of electronegativity is expected to lower the energy of all molecular orbitals of the phosphine at the same time, especially those with a significative component of the atomic orbitals of this particular R group (Figure 25, orbitals 1-5a'). As a consequence, the phosphine ligand behaves as an even poorer $\sigma$-donor and as a better $\pi$-acceptor. ${ }^{[185]}$


Figure 25. Depiction of the simplified molecular orbital diagram of a phosphine $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ and the resulting perturbation of replacing one R group with an electron-withdrawing substituent (green). Adapted from: Y. Jean, Molecular Orbitals of Transition Metal Complexes, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005. [189]

In addition to this basis, it is important to remark that most positively charged substituents that are introduced in a phosphine ligand are aromatic rings that may also contain empty lowlying $\pi^{*}$-orbitals capable of overlapping with those of the phosphine that possess adequate symmetry. Concerning these secondary interactions, two are especially important: the overlap between the phosphorus lone pair and the vacant $\pi^{*}$-orbitals of the cationic rest (Figure 26 a ) and the interaction between the $\pi^{*}$-orbitals of the $R$ group and the one of the $\sigma^{*}(P-C)$ orbitals (Figure 26b). ${ }^{[181,185]}$ The influence of this interaction has a noteworthy dependence on the LUMO energy of the positively charged rest and its spatial orientation. For this reason, those fragments conferred with the lowest LUMO energy will be able to maximize these secondary interactions and will present a higher tendency to behave as poor electron-releasing ligands.


Figure 26. Secondary interactions between phosphines and positively charged aromatic substituents. a) interaction of the $P$ lone pair with a $\pi^{*}$-orbital. b) overlap of $a-\sigma^{*}(P-C)$ orbital with $a \pi^{*}$ - orbital of the cationic substituent. Adopted from: M. Alcarazo, Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7868-7877. ${ }^{[185]}$

One way to evaluate the donor and acceptor properties of cationic phosphines in a semiquantitative manner is the Tolman analysis. It consists of the study of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$-symmetrical CO stretching frequency in compounds of general formula $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{~L})$ in which L represents the phosphine concerned by comparing it with the stretching frequency of free $\mathrm{CO}\left(2143 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{[190]}$ Thus, the so called Tolman electronic parameter (TEP) can be used to compare the net $\sigma$-donor and $\pi$-acceptor properties, not only for phosphorus-based ligands, but also for arsines and carbenes. ${ }^{[191]}$

Strong $\sigma$-donors increase the electron density at the metal core, simultaneously weakening the C-O bond of the probe ligand and therefore, decreasing the energy of its stretching vibration. On the other hand, $\pi$-acceptor ligands compete with CO molecules for electron density of the metal and as a consequence, the difference in energy of the stretching vibration is less pronounced compared to that of free CO. This method implies nonetheless the important disadvantage of handling the highly volatile and extremely toxic $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ to prepare the carbonyl ligands. Moreover, the substitution of a carbonyl group by another weakly releasing ligand is not an especially favored process and may take long reaction times. For this reason, other suitable alternatives like the use of $\left[\mathrm{MX}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Ir} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I})$ and $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right](\mathrm{X})_{2}$ have been used to analyze the overall $\sigma$-donor and $\pi$-acceptor properties. ${ }^{[190,192]}$ However, steric bulkiness of the ligands might distort the square planar geometry of the resulting complexes and, as a consequence, to alteration of the overlap between the orbitals of the metal and CO moieties. Because of this slight miscalculation, comparison of the resulting stretching frequencies is not always possible. ${ }^{[180,193]}$

An important tool in the formation of coordination complexes is the Tolman stereoelectronic map, which facilitates the choice of an appropriate ancillary ligand regarding steric and electronic properties. It contains a great variety of ligands sorted by their cone angle ( $\Theta$ ) and their CO stretching frequency ( $v_{c o}$ ) (Figure 27). ${ }^{[194]}$


Figure 27. Tolman's stereoelectronic map for neutral and cationic phosphines. Experimentally determined values shown as points in red, calculated in blue. Adopted from: M. Alcarazo, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1797-1805. [194]

The cone angle $(\theta)$ is a measure introduced by Tolman that predicts the contribution of every ligand to the total bulk of the phosphine. It is the solid angle formed by the metal core at the vertex and the outermost edge of the van der Waals spheres of the ligand atoms at the surface of the cone (Figure 28). ${ }^{[195,196]}$


Figure 28. Description of Tolman's cone angle. ${ }^{[197]}$ Image taken from: M. Dalal, A Textbook of Inorganic Chemistry Vol. 1, Dalal Institute, 2017.

This parameter is defined by the following equation, in which $\Theta_{i}$ represents the angle between every $R$ residue and the tangential line:

$$
\theta=\frac{2}{3} \sum_{i} \frac{\theta_{i}}{2}
$$

In addition to the use of Tolman's stereoelectronic map, the determination of oxidation potentials $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{Ox}$.) by cyclic voltammetry is a suitable experimental technique to rank the donor
properties of phosphines. ${ }^{[194,198]}$ This method is directly carried out on the free phosphine and reflects its TEP, since electron-rich phosphines can be oxidized more easily than electrondeficient ones and, therefore, the former exhibit lower oxidation potentials than the latter. Even though this method is used to a lesser extent than the Tolman analysis, it implies considerable advantages: it does not require the preparation of any toxic metal-carbonyl complex to perform the measurements, and it is also formally independent of steric factors, as it is directly carried out on the free ligand.

### 2.2.3.2. Applications in catalysis

Based on their saline nature, their high stability and their polarity, $\alpha$-cationic phosphines were initially utilized in recyclable phase-transfer catalysts in polar or biphasic media. Many studies concerning the use of these compounds in greener media such as ionic liquids have proven to be a greener alternative to other more volatile and toxic solvents. ${ }^{[182,199-202]}$

As mentioned above, the $\sigma$-donor properties of $\alpha$-cationic phosphines have a significant influence on the electronic properties of the metals they coordinate. Furthermore, cationic ligands, as strong $\pi$-accepting substituents, can play a key role in catalysis: Either the unique electronic nature of the catalyst can influence the outcome of the reaction or their enhanced electrophilicity can alter the rate determining step.

Much of the pioneering works in this area were focused on different processes including hydrogenations ${ }^{[203]}$, hydrosilylations ${ }^{[204]}$ or hydroformylation of olefins ${ }^{[205]}$ as well as transition metal-mediated reactions such as Suzuki, Negishi, Sonogashira or Heck cross-couplings. ${ }^{[199,206]}$ However, the most interesting application of these ligands is the aforementioned $\pi$-acid catalysis involving $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I}), \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$, which outperformed in many cases other commercially available catalysts. ${ }^{[181,193,207-212]}$ The point is that in these transformations, the nucleophilic attack proceeding from an external nucleophile is generally rate determining. Consequently, the additional electrophilicity induced at the metal is translated into faster reaction rates. ${ }^{[182]}$

In the last decade, the Alcarazo group has taken an active interest in this field, applying a variety of structurally different $\alpha$-cationic ligands to diverse catalytical processes. A reported example described the cycloisomerization of 1,6-enyne 137 to afford cyclopropa[de]hexahydroquinoline 138 using Pt(II) with different sorts of neutral and cationic ligands (Scheme 29). ${ }^{[213]}$
a)

b)


Scheme 29. a) $\pi$-Acid catalyzed synthesis of 138 from enyne 137. b) Ligand effect on the Pt-catalyzed cycloisomerization. Reactants and conditions: 137 ( 0.05 M ), Pt precatalyst, $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%, \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6} 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, $\mathrm{DCE}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Image adopted from: J. W. Dube, Y. Zheng, W. Thiel, M. Alcarazo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6869-6877.[213]

In a preliminary study, the $A u(I)$ complexes proved to be unsuitable catalysts and afforded very low yields with the concomitant formation of polymerization side products. Besides, those reactions catalyzed by platinum neutral species proceeded extremely slowly even when heated. Despite these suboptimal results, Pt(II)-based complexes with cationic phosphines demonstrated a catalytic activity far superior to that of any other catalyst tested. These results displayed how the modulation of the electrophilicity at the Pt atom can be translated into higher reactivities.

As stated in previous Sections, hydroarylation of alkynes are a reaction of great importance in the functionalization of $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. One elegant and efficient manner to achieve this is through $\pi$-acid catalysis with the use of strong $\pi$-acceptor ligands. Hence, our group explored this issue through the catalyzed cyclization of 2-ethynylbiphenyls 139 into sterically hindered 4,5-disubstituted phenanthrenes $141 .{ }^{[181]}$ In these substrates, the difficulties in forming the desired six-membered ring from the alkyne is due to the noteworthy torsion imposed by the internal substituents at positions 6 and $6^{\prime}$, which undoubtedly hindered the approach of the aromatic ring to the gold-activated alkyne. In an initial screening, it was observed that cyclizations using $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes containing polycationic moieties like 140 proceeded with an exceptionally high rate in comparison to other commercially available ligands (Scheme 30). It was assumed that a stronger activation of the alkyne moiety was due to higher Lewis acidity of the metal center. This change might have provided an earlier transition state for this step in which steric factors were less determining. This observation proved that simply replacing the ancillary ligand with a more $\pi$-acceptor one could turn the low-yielding reaction into a useful
tool for the synthesis of 4,5-disubstituted phenanthrenes and probably other polyaromatic structures as well.
a)

b)


Scheme 30. a) Gold-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation of o-alkynylbiphenyl 139 to afford 4,5-disubstituted phenanthrene 141. b) Plot of conversion versus time comparing the catalytical activity of different $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ -phosphorus-based complexes. Image adapted from: J. Carreras, G. Gopakumar, L. Gu, A. Gimeno, P. Linowski, J. Petuškova, W. Thiel, M. Alcarazo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 18815-18823. ${ }^{[181]}$

The synthetic potential of cationic phosphines as ancillary ligands was once again confirmed by the Alcarazo group in the Pt(II)-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation of binaphtalene 142 to form racemic [5]helicene 9. ${ }^{[214]}$ This reaction was chosen as a model due to the low nucleophilicity of the naphthalene moiety. Thus, the nucleophilic attack on the activated alkyne, facilitated by the $\pi$-acidity of the metal center, became rate-determining (Scheme 31a). Commercially available phosphanes like $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P},\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ were employed as auxiliary ligands showing moderate accelerations. Unlike these neutral species, cationic phosphine 143 demonstrated a higher and more rapid conversion rate, especially when combined with the less coordinating and more soluble carborane-based silver salt $\mathrm{Ag}\left[\mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}\right]$ (Scheme 31b). With this late experiment, it was demonstrated that cationic phosphines were promising ancillary ligands in the $\pi$-acid catalyzed synthesis of helicenes and other PAH. With the optimized catalyst in hand, a library consisting of 22 biaryl-substituted alkynes was prepared and submitted to this procedure. The results demonstrated not only great conversions and regioselectivities, but also high functional group compatibility. The promising results of this report encouraged the group to continue their research on this topic and on more applications to this family of ligands.
a)

b)
(rac)-9
143


Scheme 31. a) Cyclization of 2-ethynyl1,1'-binaphthalene 121 into [5]helicene 9. b) Ligand effect on the $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II})$ mediated catalysis. Reactants and conditions: (A) Conditions for ligand $=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OPh})_{3}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}: 121(0.05 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{PtCl} 2_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and ligand ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (B) Conditions for precatalyst 122: 121 ( 0.05 M ), 122 ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Ag}\left[\mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}\right](5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Image adapted from: J. Carreras, M. Patil, W. Thiel, M. Alcarazo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16753-16758. ${ }^{[214]}$

In conclusion, gold-mediated $\pi$-acid catalysis has demonstrated to be an easy and elegant way to modify and functionalize C-C conjugated $\pi$-systems. One effective way to carry out this reaction is the use of cationic phosphines as ancillary ligands due to their excellent electronic properties as $\pi$-acceptor ligands withdrawing electron density from the metal center. Even though the use of these species extended to asymmetric catalysis in the synthesis of multiple $\pi$-systems, the employment of ligands containing novel tunable chiral backbones in the synthesis of helicenes remains a challenge.

## 3. Previous research in our group

Besides the study of $A u(I)$-mediated catalysis and the use of cationic phosphines as ancillary ligands, the Alcarazo group has additionally focused their research on the development of a series of chiral cationic phosphonites. These ligands were the next step in the development of chiral versions of cationic phosphines, specifically, cationic phosphonites of general formula 144 were chosen as target structures because of the chiral information provided by wellprecedented TADDOL-derived moieties, their low price, their ease to be tuned and their suitability in asymmetric $A u(1)$ catalysis. ${ }^{[202,215,216]}$


Figure 29. General design of cationic phosphonites.
These ligands demonstrated exceptional characteristics in the $\mathrm{Au}(I)$-catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of carbohelicenes, exhibiting not only a great enhancement of the reaction rate, but also a remarkable control of stereoselectivity by virtue of their tunable chiral skeleton. ${ }^{[217-219]}$

### 3.1. Enantioselective synthesis of [4]- and carbo[6]helicenes

The first studies carried out in our group targeted the enantioselective synthesis of carbo[6]helicenes by well-known sequential Au-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation of diynes. To achieve this task, the chosen cationic phosphonites 144a-g were firstly synthesized from their corresponding diols 145 and the N -heterocyclic carbene moieties (Scheme 32a) and then coordinated to the $A u(1)$ center to afford the desired gold complexes 147 (Scheme 32b). ${ }^{[217]}$
a)



144a; $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$
144b; $\mathrm{Ar}=4-t-\mathrm{Bu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
144c; $\mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
144d; $\mathrm{Ar}=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
144e; $\mathrm{Ar}=$ 2-naphthyl
b)


Scheme 32. a) Synthesis and structures of chiral cationic phosphonites 144a-g. b) Synthesis of $A u(I)$ complexes 147ag. Reactants and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$, Pyridine, $\mathrm{ToI}, 0 \rightarrow 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (B) IMes carbene, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78 \rightarrow 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$. (C) $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{AuCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}$. ${ }^{[217]}$

An initial screening was performed on diyne 148a in order to choose the most effective catalyst in terms of both regio- and enantioselectivity as well as conversion rate (Scheme 33a). With all the results in hand, $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ g was designated as the model catalyst for the following screening on substrates 148a-k. Yields between 76 and 94\% and ee's up to $99 \%$ confirmed the efficiency of this novel series of cationic phosphonites as ancillary ligands in the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of PAH (Scheme 33b). ${ }^{[217]}$

b)

149
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}, p$-tolyl, $p-\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), p-\mathrm{BnO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, up to $99 \%$ ee $p-\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \quad p-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \quad p$-(TIPSO- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Ph}$ $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{Ph}$

Scheme 33. a) Screening of chiral $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-phosphonite complexes 147a-g. b) Scope of diynes 148a-k to afford enantioenriched samples of [6]helicenes 149a-k. ${ }^{[217]}$

Hereinafter and in a similar manner, a new series of TADDOL-based cationic phosphonites were synthesized and tested as chiral ancillary ligands on the Au-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation of diynes to synthesize a new set of carbo[6]helicenes containing different substitution patterns. The second generation of ligands synthesized in this report exhibited not only novel tuning motifs in their chiral backbone, but also a new series of N -heterocyclic cationic rests attached to the phosphorus atom (Scheme 34a). The corresponding $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})-$ complexes 147 were obtained and tested in an initial screening and, once the most appropriate catalyst was identified, the synthesis of the desired trisubstituted carbo[6]helicenes 148 with ee up to $95 \%$ was performed. (Scheme 34b). Again, new bulky cationic moieties and substitution patterns proved to be reliable factors when inducing chirality to the resulting carbo[6]helicenes 151. ${ }^{[218]}$
a)


$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{MeCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}
$$

$$
\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}, t-\mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{Ph}
$$

13 new examples: 147h-t

b)


Scheme 34. a) Second generation of cationic phosphonites and structural design. b) Substrate scope on a new set of diynes 127. ${ }^{[217]}$

Along the same lines, TADDOL-based chiral cationic phosphines were once again a key component in the enantioselective synthesis of 1,12-disubstituted carbo[4]helicenes. Intramolecular hydroarylation of alkynes 152-154 catalyzed with different $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes of general formula 147 afforded configurationally stable [4]helicenes $155-157$ with excellent ee's up to $99 \%$. (Scheme 35). ${ }^{[219]}$
 $p-(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, p-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

$153 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$, $p-(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

$154 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$

Scheme 35. Enantioselective synthesis of carbo[4]helicenes 155-157. Reactants and conditions: 147 (10 mol\% for 152 and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ for 153 and 154), $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ for 152 and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ for 153 and 154), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}$ for 152 and 153, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for $154 ;-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 96 h. ${ }^{[219]}$

### 3.2. Enantioselective synthesis of dithia[5]helicenes

Aware of the validity of this family of ligands 147 as a key tool in the assembly of chiral polyarenes, our group later investigated and reported the first highly enantioselective synthesis of dithiahelicenes. ${ }^{[220]}$ Herein, 19 enantioenriched samples of dithia[5]helicenes 159 containing varied substitution motifs were obtained from diyne precursors 158 by $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyzed alkyne hydroarylation with $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 147i. The functional group at the other side of the alkyne highly influenced the enantioselectivity of the reaction, since those substrates containing $p$-substituted hanging phenyls afforded products with ee's around $90 \%$. On the other hand, those products bearing weaker activating groups, like $o$ - and $m$-substituted phenyls or alkyl chains, showed from poor to mediocre ee's. Furthermore, the presence of an embedded sulphur atom in the helicene rim enabled the possibility of postsynthetic functionalization via S-oxidation and bromination among other processes to afford dithia[9]helicenes 160 without hardly damaging the enantiopurity of the original adduct (Scheme 36).




Scheme 36. Enantioselective synthesis of dithia[5]helicenes 159 and their further transformation into dithia[9]helicenes 160. ${ }^{\text {[220] }}$

## 4. Objectives of the project

In the light of the group's previous research in $\alpha$-cationic phosphonites and their application in the enantioselective of helicenes, three main objectives were proposed:
a) The enantioselective synthesis of benzo[5]helicenes

A novel series of BINOL-based cationic phosphonites of general formula 162 should be synthesized and tested as ancillary ligands in the $A u(I)$-catalyzed enantioselective synthesis of benzo[5]helicenes 163 in collaboration with Dr. Jianwei Zhang and Dr. Thierry Hartung. Based on the methodology of our group, an initial screening will be performed in a model reaction in order to find the most suitable gold catalyst. After this, a set of substrates of general formula 161 bearing different substituents should be prepared in order to evaluate the scope of the reaction and its enantioselectivity (Scheme 37).



Scheme 37. Au(I)-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation reaction for the assembly enantioenriched benzo[5]helicenes 163.
b) The enantioselective synthesis of thia[5]helicenes

Given our group's experience in enantioselective syntheses of dithia[5]helicenes ${ }^{[220]}$ and the use of varied chiral cationic phosphonites as ancillary ligands ${ }^{[217-221]}$, a highly enantioselective synthesis of thia[5]helicenes should be worked out in collaboration with Dr. Valentina Pelliccioli and Wei Fu, M.Sc. To achieve this objective, both TADDOL- and BINOL-based Au(I) complexes of general formula 162 and 147 will be evaluated in the transformation of adducts 164 into chiral thia[5]helicenes 165 (Scheme 38). This project should be included in the same framework as parallel enantioselective syntheses of oxa[5]-, [6]- and [7]helicenes with the same $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts.



Scheme 38. Enantioselective synthesis of thia[5]helicenes 165 and $\mathrm{Au}(1)$-complexes employed in the key step of alkyne hydroarylation.
c) In-fjord substitution in expanded helicenes and its consequences

Aware of the latest progress reported in the field of expanded helicenes ${ }^{[45]}$, the synthesis of in-fjord-substituted expanded helicenes (Figure 30) was envisioned in collaboration with Dr. Samuel Suárez Pantiga. To achieve configurational stability in all molecules, phenyl and biphenyl groups will be utilized as internal substituents. Given the already proven versatility of $\mathrm{Au}(1)$ catalysis to assemble complex polyaromatic architectures via alkyne hydroarylation, this strategy can be employed as the key tool for the final assembly of the desired structures.

In collaboration with Dr. Christopher Golz, helicenes 166-169 should further be subjected to Xray crystallography studies as well as electronic structure calculations in order to deeply study
their structural complexity and the racemization processes that this series of compounds may experience.

Additionally, and in collaboration with Prof. Dr. Ricardo Mata and co-workers, it was planned to conduct photophysical studies of compounds 166-169 aiming to analyze the consequences of the extension of the $\pi$-system on the chiroptical properties of these compounds.
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$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
Figure 30. Target in-fjord substituted expanded helicenes synthesized in this study

## 5. Results

### 5.1. Enantioselective synthesis of benzo[5]helicenes

### 5.1.1. Synthesis of novel BINOL-derived cationic phosphonites and gold complexes

As discussed above, a novel family of BINOL-derived $\alpha$-cationic phosphines was envisaged as potential precursors of $A u(I)$ complexes in collaboration with Dr. Jianwei Zhang with a purpose to promote the formation of chiral PAH via alkyne hydroarylation. Since 1979 BINOL has been used as a profitable chiral backbone in multiple ligands due to its versatile architecture and ease to tune. ${ }^{[222]}$ It has been demonstrated that further modifications in their structure affect not only the reaction environment, but also the electronic properties of phosphorus and metal cores. Furthermore, its $C_{2}$-symmetry ensures an efficient asymmetric induction. For these reasons, BINOL emerges as a promising candidate for the formation of new cationic phosphonites. ${ }^{[223]}$

The initial steps for the synthesis of the precursors of BINOL-based ligands are depicted in Scheme 39. Two pathways were envisioned to produce 3,3'-disubstituted diols 173a-b from commercially available ( $R$ )-BINOL 170 employing procedures reported in the literature (Scheme 39). ${ }^{[224-228]}$ Thus, after initial protection of the hydroxyl groups in 170 with methyl or methoxymethyl groups and lithiation, compounds 171 and 174 were either borylated or brominated furnishing intermediates 172 or 175, respectively.




Scheme 39. Synthesis of (R)-diols 173a,b. Reactants and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.3 equiv.), Mel (5.5 equiv.), acetone, reflux, 24 h . (B) 1. TMEDA, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; 2. n-BuLi (3 equiv.), rt, $30 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~h} ; 3 . \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ ( 7 equiv.) $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}$, overnight; 4. $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}$ (aq.), rt, 2 h . (C) 1. Ar-I (3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 equiv.), dioxane: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 3: 1$, overnight. 2. $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (6 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. (D) $\mathrm{NaH}(2.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{MOMCl}(2.5$ equiv.). (E) $1 . \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (2.4 equiv.), hexane, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}$, 1 h ; 2. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, pentane, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}$, 15 min ; 3. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ (aq.). ( F ) Ar- B (pin) ( 2.8 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (12 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{DME}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h} .(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~N})$, dioxane $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Compound 172 after Suzuki coupling with 4-iodo-1,1'-biphenyl and deprotection with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ afforded p-biphenyl-disubstituted BINOL 173a (Scheme 39a; 72\% yield over 3 steps). In the second pathway, a Suzuki reaction of 175 with pyrene-2-boronic acid pinacol ester followed by deprotection of adduct 176 with diluted HCl gave pyrenyl-disubstituted BINOL 173b in 31\% overall yield (Scheme 39b).

BINOL-derived cationic phosphonites 178 were prepared through a two-step process starting from 3,3'-disubstituted diols 173a-b. The first step consisted in the formation of the crude chlorophosphites 177 by heating solutions of the BINOL derivative, phosphorus trichloride, pyridine and molecular sieves $4 \AA$ in toluene, followed by filtration to remove the pyridinium chloride along with other by-products and concentration in vacuo. Carbenes IMes and IMes ${ }^{\mathrm{Me}}$ were synthesized according to the published protocols ${ }^{[226,229]}$ and added to a solution of chlorophosphite 177 in the presence of $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ (Scheme 40). The formation of cationic phosphonites 178 was confirmed by ${ }^{31}$ P NMR, where clear signals were estimated at about 142 ppm , in a range close to previously reported for cationic phosphonites. ${ }^{[217,218]}$ Finally, purification by column chromatography at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded pure cationic phosphonite ligands 178a-d.


Scheme 40. Synthesis and structure of BINOL-derived $\alpha$-cationic phosphonites 178a-d. Reactants and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ (1.1 equiv.), pyridine (3 equiv.), toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (B) $\mathrm{IMes}{ }^{\mathrm{Me}}$ or IMes carbene ( 0.95 equiv.), $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ (3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}$, overnight.

Ligands 178 reacted with $\mathrm{AuCl} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to form the corresponding $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes 162, which were obtained as white or light-yellow solids in excellent isolated yields (Scheme 41). The coordination of the $A u(I)$ center to the phosphonites caused a pronounced upfield shift of their ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signals at about 112 ppm .


Scheme 41. Formation and structure of $A u(I)$ complexes 162.
Furthermore, the synthesis of an extra BINOL-based ligand was envisioned and attempted. Compound 180 bearing a bare BINOL backbone and an imidazolium cationic rest was envisaged as the least bulky alternative to ligands 178a-d within the whole ligand series. Its substituent-free rim would allow us to witness the direct influence of the steric hindrance of the catalyst on the enantiopurity of the helicene sample. Such study would be carried out through the comparison of the enantioinduction of catalysts carrying varied substituents like $p$ biphenyl, phenyl groups and the aforementioned 180. Hence, a direct relation between the bulkiness of the substituent and the ee's of the helicene samples would be expected.

The first step to obtain chlorophosphite 179 was successfully achieved following procedures reported in the literature; ${ }^{[230]}$ however, the addition of the IMes ${ }^{\mathrm{Me}}$ carbene moiety could not be accomplished in several attempts despite having utilized different reaction conditions. Only side-product 181 was detected by HR-MS, but could not be properly isolated.



181
Scheme 42. Structure and attempted synthesis of BINOL-derived $\alpha$-cationic phosphonite 180. Reactants and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ ( 20.0 equiv.), tol, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$; (B) $\mathrm{IMes}^{\mathrm{Me}}$ carbene ( 0.95 equiv.), $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ (3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ rt , overnight; (C) $\mathrm{IMes}{ }^{\mathrm{Me}}$ carbene ( 0.95 equiv.), $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ (3 equiv.), THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow r$ rt.

It was suggested that the absence of substituents at positions 3 and $3^{\prime}$ in the BINOL backbone was the main obstacle to the formation of ligand 180. Not only do they impart increased electrophilicity to the phosphorus atom by reducing its electron density, but they can also form a pocket around this atom, preventing possible hydrolysis during the ongoing reaction.

### 5.1.2. Preparation of benzo[5]helicenes

Since regular carbo[5]helicenes possess a relatively low configurational stability that enables easy racemization at room temperature, a new molecular design for these molecules was envisaged. The key to prevent a loss of enantiopurity was the introduction of a structural element bulky enough to hamper the interconversion between both enantiomeric forms of the helicene. Such building block chosen was a substituted phenyl group pending from an alkyne moiety that later cyclized with a [4]helicene core to afford the desired helicene product via $\pi$ acid catalyzed hydroarylation (Scheme 43).


[^0]
### 5.1.3. Synthesis of key intermediates

With the new BINOL-derived $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes in hand, tests on their efficacy in the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyzed hydroarylation of alkyne-based substrates 161 were performed. The synthesis of this model substrate 161a began with the preparation of 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene 185a according to procedures reported in the literature: ${ }^{[231]}$ a Wittig reaction between commercially available 2-naphtaldehyde (182) and an ylide prepared in situ from (4bromobenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (183) gave stilbene 184 as a mixture of cis- and trans-isomers. Mallory's subsequent reaction with this mixture gave 184 as the main product. Although only Z-forms undergo this photocyclization, reaction conditions under UV light facilitate an in situ interconversion between both diastereomers and, therefore, the complete conversion of the starting material. The presence of an oxidizing agent like $I_{2}$ ensures the transformation of dihydro[4]helicene intermediate 186 into the desired 2-bromo[4]helicene 185a (Scheme 44). It has been proposed that $I_{2}$ is photochemically cleaved into radicals that react in a chain reaction affording HI . Finally, this acid is reoxidized into $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ by the oxygen present in the solution. ${ }^{[232]}$ Fortunately, unlike in other reported photocyclizations of the same kind, ${ }^{[97,231,233]}$ no scavenger agents were necessary in order to prevent parasitic reductions of 185a by HI. Although the reaction took place in toluene, $I_{2}$ needed to be dissolved in THF due to better solubility, and the entire solution was added dropwise.


Scheme 44. Synthesis of [4]helicene framework 185a and Mallory reaction mechanism. Reactants and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{MeONa} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}$, overnight. (B) hv, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1.1 equiv.), THF, toluene, 125 W , rt, overnight.

In a parallel fashion, Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction between readily accessible reactants 187 and 188 gave bromoalkyne 189 in up to $89 \%$ yield. Further borylation furnished 190a, which was used as a crude material in the next step, following the methodology of our group. ${ }^{[219]}$ Finally, Suzuki cross-coupling reaction in THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 5:1 between both moieties 185a
and 190a afforded the benzo[5]helicene precursor 161a in good yield (Scheme 45). The choice of this biphasic system as solvent was made thoroughly, as it should facilitate the solubility of both the base (in the aqueous phase) and the catalyst and substrates (in the organic one). ${ }^{[234]}$


Scheme 45. Synthesis of model substrate 161a decorated with an alkyne moiety. Reactants and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{Cul}(3 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, rt, overnight. (B) 1. n-BuLi (1.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} .2 . \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}(1.5$ equiv.), $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow r$, overnight. 3. $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}$, 1 h . (C) 185 a (1 equiv., 0.02 M ), 190a ( 1.5 equiv., 0.03 M ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(4$ mol\%), SPhos ( 8 mol\%), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3 equiv.), THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1,80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight.

### 5.1.4 Optimization of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalysed hydroarylation step

Once the precursor 161a was synthesized, attention was turned towards its transformation into [5]helicene 163a. An initial screening with TADDOL-derived $A u(I)$ catalyst 147h, which was previously described and utilized by the Alcarazo group ${ }^{[218]}$, was performed under different experimental conditions (Table 1).

Based on our previously gained experiences with carbo[4]- and [6]helicenes, ${ }^{[217-219]}$ the initially chosen for screening reaction conditions consisted in employing fluorobenzene as a solvent, a precatalyst 147 h and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ (loading $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of each) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1, entry 1 ). Despite good conversion was achieved, poor 163a:191a ratio and low ee values were obtained. This justified the search for more optimal conditions. Poor solubility of $\mathbf{1 4 7 h}$ in fluorobenzene suggested that lower concentrations and a slightly longer reaction time would lead to a better development of the reaction and therefore, enhanced values of both 163a:191a ratio and ee. As a consequence, a small improvement was observed but at the cost of a remarkable decrease in the conversion (entry 2). On the other hand, despite increasing the temperature to $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, employing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as a solvent resulted in a counterproductive effect on the reaction blocking conversion of the substrate 161a, which was quantitatively recovered (entry 3 ).

Finally, two last attempts of optimization were carried out in DCM at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In these latter cases, the increase in temperature led to a rise of the conversion (up to 100\%) and a reduction of the reaction time ( 2 days), but simultaneously to the detriment of the enantioselectivity (10-33\% ee). The latter effect was especially noticeable after increasing both $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ loadings from 5 to 10 mol\% (entry 5). The abrupt increase in conversion and reaction rate between entries 3 and 4-5 was certainly attributable to the favorable effect of this new temperature. However, this improvement turned out to be insufficient against the background of poor regio- and enantioselectivity, which made these reaction conditions unsuitable for a possible scoping.

Table 1. Screening conditions of hydroarylation reaction of 161a into 163a with $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-complex 147h.

|  $\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ <br> 161a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent | Temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | Time <br> [days] | Conversio $[\%]^{[a]}$ | 163a:191 | $e e[\%]^{[a]}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | -20 | 4 | 80 | 3:1 | (+)-53 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}^{[d]}$ | -20 | 5 | 26 | 4:1 | (+)-62 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{[c]}$ | -10 | 4 | 0 | - | - |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ | 0 | 2 | 86 | 87:13 | (+)-33 |
| $5^{[e]}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 0 | 2 | 100 | 2:1 | (+)-10 |

[a] Determined by chiral-phase HPLC. [b] Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixtures. [c] 161a ( 0.05 M ). [d] 161a ( 0.03 M ). [e] 147h and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6} 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ loading.

Because of this poor performance of TADDOL-based $A u(I)$ complex 147h, new catalytic options were envisioned for the enantioselective synthesis of benzo[5]helicene 163a (Table 2). Classical BINOL-based phosphoramidites 192a-b were initially tested under similar conditions in search of viable alternatives. Both of them afforded low conversion rates, as expected from neutral ligands, as well as poor enantioselectivities despite the presence of fixed and dynamic chiral elements in their structure (Table 2, entries 1 and 2).

In order to expand our range of tested ligands, compounds 193a and 193b-d, synthesized by Dr. Leo Nicholls and Dr. Thierry Hartung, respectively, were included in this screening. Gratifyingly, (S)-BINOL-based $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes 193a and 193c carrying a cationic rest
performed the cyclization in excellent conversion rate plus excellent regio- and enantioselectivities (Table 2, entries 3 and 5, respectively). On the other hand, Au(I) catalysts 193b and 193d containing bulkier substituents, such as mesityl and 9-anthranyl groups, exhibited a noticeable detriment of both conversion and enantioselectivity (Table 2, entries 4 and 6), particularly in this latter case with lower than $5 \%$ conversion and $8 \%$ ee. Finally, the new family of $(R)$-BINOL-based $A u(I)$ catalysts was evaluated under the same conditions. These four last complexes are characterized by the presence of 2-pyrenyl and p-biphenyl groups at their backbone and IMes and IMes ${ }^{\text {Me }}$ substituents as cationic rests. Excellent results in terms of conversion, regio- and enantioselectivity were obtained, with conversion ratios $>99: 1$ and ee's in the range of 93-95\% (Table 2, entries 7-10). To our surprise, the absence of methyl groups at the imidazolium moiety in 162c and 162d did not result in any remarkable difference in enantioselectivity, as it was previously observed in earlier research in our group. ${ }^{[221]}$

Table 2. Screening of different BINOL-derived $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ phosphonites.
$+=$


192
-

a


193
a, $\mathrm{Ar}=$ phenyl $^{[\mathrm{a}]}$
b, $\mathrm{Ar}=$ mesithyl $^{[\mathrm{b}]}$
c, $A r=2$-naphty ${ }^{[b]}$
d, $A r=9$-anthrany ${ }^{[b]}$

$162^{[\text {c] }}$
a, $\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
b, Ar = 2-pyrenyl, $R=M e$
c, $\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
d, $\mathrm{Ar}=2$-pyrenyl, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$


161a $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
 24-48 h





163a


191a

| Entry | Catalyst | Conversion [\%] ${ }^{[d]}$ | 163a:192a $^{[b]}$ | $e e[\%]^{[\mathrm{e]}]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 192a | 11 | $>99: 1$ | $(-)-61$ |
| 2 | 192b | 35 | $85: 15$ | $(-)-40$ |
| 3 | 193a | $>98$ | $98: 2$ | $(-)-93$ |
| 4 | 193b | 55 | $97: 3$ | $(-)-86$ |
| 5 | 193c | $>98$ | $95: 5$ | $(-)-97$ |
| 6 | 193d | $<5$ | n.d. | $(-)-8$ |
| 7 | 162a | $>98$ | $>99: 1$ | $(+)-94$ |
| 8 | 162b | $>98$ | $>99: 1$ | $(+)-95$ |
| 9 | 162c | $>98$ | $>99: 1$ | $(+)-94$ |
| 10 | 162d | $>98$ | $>99: 1$ | $(+)-93$ |

[a] Synthesized by Dr. Leo Nicholls. [b] Synthesized by Dr. Thierry Hartung. [c] Synthesized by Dr. Jianwei Zhang. [d] Conversion determined by chiral-phase HPLC. [e] determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixtures.

As a conclusion of this screening, 162b was selected as the catalyst with the most consistent results among the ten different species tested since it provided superb conversion rate and ratio as well as an excellent enantioselectivity.

### 5.1.5. Substrate scope

Once 162b was chosen as the model catalyst, the scope of the $A u(I)$-catalyzed hydroarylation for the synthesis of [5]helicenes 163 was evaluated. A series of alkyne-containing substrates 161 bearing different substitution patterns at the hanging phenyl were synthesized following the same strategy as for 161a, utilizing different boronic acid moieties in the Suzuki crosscoupling reaction (Scheme 46).

a, $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=H$
b, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$
c, $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=M e$
Scheme 46. Suzuki cross-coupling reaction for the synthesis of helicene precursors 161a-n. Conditions: 185 (1 equiv., 0.02 M ), 190 ( 1.5 equiv., 0.03 M ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\left(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), SPhos (8 mol\%), THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1,80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight.

Thus, the optimized conditions from the last step, as well as the viability of the model reaction, were broadly tested. The presence of certain functional groups at this part of the substrate's structure had a great influence on the outcome of the cyclization as it is depicted in Table 3.

Table 3. Substrate scope of compounds 161 with different substitution patterns at the aryl substituent.

|  <br> 161 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 162b } 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \\ \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \\ \hline \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2,-}-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24-48 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  <br> 163 |  | $+$ <br> 191 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry | 161 | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | 163 | 163:191 ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{[\text {b] }}$ | $e e(\%)^{[c]}$ |
| 1 | 161a | OMe | H | H | 163a | >99:1 | 88 | (+)-95 |
| 2 | 161b | Me | H | H | 163b | >99:1 | 90 | (+)-95 |
| 3 | 161c | OBn | H | H | 163c | >99:1 | 71 | (+)-95 |
| 4 | 161d | $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ | H | H | 163d | >99:1 | 90 | (+)-95 |
| 5 | 161e | OPh | H | H | 163e | >99:1 | 90 | (+)-97 |
| 6 | 161f | H | OMe | H | 163f | >99:1 | 77 | (+)-68 |
| 7 | 161g | H | Me | H | 163g | 99:1 | 80 | (+)-68 |
| 8 | 161h | H | H | OMe | 163h | 3:1 | 35 | 0 |
| 9 | 161i | H | H | Me | 163i | <1:99 | 31 | (+)-20 |
| 10 | 161j | H | H | H | 163j | >99:1 | 15 | (+)-94 |

[a] Ratios determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. [b] Yields of isolated products. [c] ee values determined by chiral-phase HPLC.
Those substrates bearing $p$-substituents at the hanging phenyl group gave unquestionably the best results (Table 3, entries 1-5) and afforded benzo[5]helicenes 163a-e in excellent regioand enantioselectivities as well as in very good yields. Surprisingly, the effectiveness of the process did not experience any erosion regardless of carrying electron-rich (Table 3, entries 1-3 and 5) or electron-poor substituents (Table 3, entry 4): in every case the conversion ratio was superior to $99 \%$ and the ee ranged from 95 to $97 \%$. Moreover, $m$-substited with OMe and Me starting materials 161 f and 161 g were tolerated and showed good conversions, ratios and yields (99 and $77-80 \%$, respectively), albeit with an obvious erosion in the enantioselectivity (68 and 69\% ee correspondingly; Table 3, entries 6-7) in comparison to the previous substrates 161a-e. However, it was observed that the most unfavored substitution patterns corresponded to the cases of o-substituents compounds $163 \mathrm{~h}-\mathrm{i}$ : Indeed, the introduction of OMe and Me groups at the o-positions clearly worsened yield and conversion ratio (15-35\% and down to $<1 \%$, respectively), along with a very low enantioselection such as $20 \%$ ee in the case of Me (Table 3, entry 9) or isolation of the desired helicene 163 h with OMe group as a racemate (Table 3, entry 8). These obtained results revealed the obvious influence of the substitution
pattern in the progression of the hydroarylation reaction. Finally, when the substrate simply contained a bare phenyl group (163j), regio- and enantioselectivities are better again despite the low yield.

Interesting structural features were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : Those [5]helicenes possessing $p$-substituted phenyl groups, like 163a, showed coalescence phenomena at their protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ due to the partially blocked rotation of the pending aromatic ring. This is clearly noticeable in the integration of all four protons as a broad singlet at $5.5-6.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Figure 31a). On the other hand, the products 163 f and 163 h bearing $m$ - and $o$ substituted phenyl groups exhibited sharper aromatic signals associated with this fragment of the molecule. This phenomenon presumably corresponds to a rigidification of the structure due to steric hindrance obstructing any possible rotation of the phenyl ring, as depicted in Figures 31b and 31c.


Figure 31. Rigidification of the hanging phenyl group manifested in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at rt .
X-ray analysis would make it possible to assess more clearly the influence of substitution patterns in 161i and 163 (Figure 32). Methyl groups present in the former clearly hinder the approximation of the activated alkyne fragment to the [4]helicene moiety, whereas methoxy groups in the latter appears to act as a paddle wheel with very restricted rotation at rt.
a)

b)


Figure 32. Structures of $\mathbf{1 6 1 i}$ (a) and $\mathbf{1 6 3 f}$ (b) grown from hexane in the crystals. Solvent molecules were removed for clarity.

Besides this first scope, the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed hydroarylation with precatalyst 162b was also evaluated in substrates containing different substituents at the external rim of the structure, specifically at positions 8 and 13. As it is depicted in Table 4, the incorporation of these elements was surprisingly well tolerated and did not harm either regio- or enantioselectivity, producing helicenes $\mathbf{1 6 3 k}$-n in up to $77 \%$ yields with ee's up to $98 \%$.

Table 4. Scope of substrates $\mathbf{1 4 0 k} \mathbf{- n}$ bearing different substituents at the external rim.


[^1] Entry 1 was carried out by Guo Zichen, B.Sc., as part of his bachelor thesis. ${ }^{[233]}$

To conclude this Section, hydroarylation of 161a catalyzed with Au(I) complex 162b was repeated utilizing $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ of substrate, ten times more than that of previous experiments, to confirm the viability of a scale-up of this process. We were pleased to observe that this increase of the reaction scale did not entail any significative loss of regio- or enantioselectivity (Scheme 47), as it could be expected from previous experience with such processes.


Scheme 47. Enantioselective hydroarylation of 161a scaled up to $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$.

### 5.1.6. Absolute configuration and chiroptical properties

Additionally, crystals of enantioenriched helicene sample 163a were grown by vapor diffusion of hexane into dichloromethane in order to determine its connectivity and its absolute configuration (Figure 33a). According to X-ray diffraction analysis and supported by both Flack and Hooft parameters [0.05(5) and $0.05(3)$, respectively], absolute configuration was revealed to be $P$. In a similar manner, a pure sample of $191 \mathbf{k}$ was isolated via preparative HPLC and crystallized from hexane (Figure 33b). This last analysis confirmed the correctness of the structure determination of the main by-product obtained from the hydroarylation reaction of substrate 161k.
a)

b)


Figure 33. X-ray structures of 163a (a) and 191k (b).
In order to ensure a correct determination of the absolute configuration of 163a, ECD measurements of an enantioenriched sample of this compound were carried out at rt . Further comparison of these spectra with previously reported literature data validated $(P)$-assignment as its absolute configuration (Figure 34). ${ }^{[31,235,236]}$


Figure 34. a) ECD spectrum ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at rt ) of 163a. b) Experimental ECD spectrum ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in $n$-hexane/2propanol 98:2 at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of ( $P$ )-[5]helicene reported by Mori's group. Image adapted from: Y. Nakai, T. Mori, Y. Inoue, J. Phys. Chem. A 2012, 116, 7372-7385.[235]

As expected for highly conjugated aromatic system, model [5]helicene 163a exhibited optical properties reflected by their UV-Vis absorption and fluorescence spectra, which were measured and displayed in normalized view in Figure 35. Absorption showed a very pronounced shoulder between 280 and 380 nm with a maximum of roughly $46000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at 303 nm (see Appendix). Conversely, fluorescence seemed to be blue-shifted exhibiting a remarkable two-shoulder band between 350 and 600 nm and an emission maximum of 426 nm , leading to a Stokes shift of approximately $9500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure 35 . UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of helicene 163a. Spectra normalized to the relative maxima of each spectrum. Both samples measured in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$.

When comparing the obtained spectra with those reported for other carbo[5]helicenes, similarities regarding the morphology of the curves and the recorded maxima were observed (Figure 36). Carbo[5]helicene spectra published by Matsuda et al. displayed a very similar absorption band to that of 163a between 280 and 350 nm and a noticeable maximum at approximately 300 nm . In addition, two small shoulders in the blue region and an emission maximum at 424 nm were also found in the emission spectrum, very close to that detected for 163a (Figure 36a). ${ }^{[57]}$ Similarly, Juríček et al. recorded the absorption spectra of three different

1,14-difunctionalized [5]helicenes 194 and compared them to each other (Figure 36b). ${ }^{[31]}$ In this latter study, it was observed that all three compounds exhibited coincident bands at the same region regardless of the functional group and bearing a great resemblance to that of 163a despite the structural differences. ${ }^{[31]}$


Figure 36. a) Absorption and emission spectra of carbo[5]helicene 9. b) Normalized absorption spectra of 1,14difunctionalized [5]helicenes 194. Images adapted from: H. Kubo, T. Hirose, K. Matsuda, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1776$1779{ }^{[57]}$ and P. Ravat, R. Hinkelmann, D. Steinebrunner, A. Prescimone, I. Bodoky, M. Juríček, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3707-3710. ${ }^{[31]}$

### 5.1.7. Conclusion

In summary, four novel BINOL-based $\alpha$-cationic phosphonites 162a-d were synthesized and fully characterized. A screening along with other chiral ligands tested their regio- and enantioselectivity in the $A u(I)$-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation reaction to synthesize chiral 1-aryl benzo[5]helicenes 163.

Experiments with TADDOL-derived precatalyst 162a were immediately terminated due to their poor results in terms of conversion, regio- and enantioselectivity, despite the various reaction conditions were tried. BINOL-based phosphoramidites 192 provided poor enantioselectivities and reaction conversion, presumably because of a lower $\pi$-acidity from the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ core. BINOLderived ligands 193a, 193c and 162a-d displayed magnificent outcomes concerning conversion ratio and enantiomeric excess of the resultant 1-aryl benzo[5]helicene. 2-Pyrenyl, p-biphenyl and 2-naphthyl building blocks attached to the BINOL backbone induced excellent enantioselectivities, and cationic imidazolium rests provided enhanced $\pi$-acidity when activating the alkyne for further hydroarylation as well. On the other hand, the presence of an excessively bulky group at the ligand's rim like 9-anthranyl implied a complete hamper of this reaction.

Complex 162b was identified as the most efficient $A u(1)$ catalyst across the entire library in terms of regio- and enantioselectivity. Subsequently, the scope of this reaction catalyzed by 162b was evaluated by preparing and submitting a series of ten alkyne substrates bearing diverse substitution patterns in the hanging phenyl. The presence of electron-rich groups in its $p$-position became pivotal not only when enhancing the conversion by activation of the alkyne, but also by not damaging the enantioselectivity of the reaction. Conversely, the presence of $m$ and o-substituents, like in compounds 161f-i, proved to be detrimental for both regio- and enantioselectivity. Addition of further substituents at the outer part of the rim in substrates 161k-n did not mean any impediment to the obtention of additional carbo[5]helicenes in notably high purity and enantiomeric excess by $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyst 162b. Using X-ray diffraction analysis, the connectivities in the new structures of carbo[5]helicene and their absolute configurations were established. The latter was additionally corroborated upon circular dichroism studies and comparison with previously reported data. Therefore, the use of catalyst 162b afforded benzo[5]helicenes 163 with an excess of the $(P)$-form.

UV-Vis absorption and emission studies were performed on model [5]helicene 163a, and the obtained spectra were compared with two examples reported in the literature. The observed remarkable resemblance with these two sources demonstrated the consistency of the data recorded for this study.

### 5.2. Enantioselective synthesis of thia[5]helicenes

### 5.2.1. Preparation of thia[5]helicenes

To further investigate the application of these novel BINOL-based Au(I) complexes described in Section 5.1, and encouraged by our group's prior satisfactory experience with dithia[5]helicenes 159, ${ }^{[220]}$ a similar approach for the highly enantioselective synthesis of thia[5]helicenes was put forward. As it was previously depicted in Figure 2, a thiahelicene can be formed by replacing one of the fused benzene rings with a five-membered thiophene one. The simplest path to achieve the introduction of this element was the utilization of commercially available benzo[b]thiophene-2-carbaldehyde at the first stages of the synthesis of the helicene.

As for the case of carbo[5]helicenes 163, the introduction of a hanging phenyl at position 1 of the thiahelicene was crucial to preserve the configurational stability of these molecules.

### 5.2.2. Preliminary screening of model reaction

The synthesis of alkyne substrate 164a was performed following the same strategy as for aforementioned 161a. As depicted in Scheme 48, stilbene 196a was obtained as a mixture of both $E$ - and $Z$ - diastereomers via Wittig reaction from commercially available benzo[b]thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (195) and ylide in situ generated from (4bromobenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (183) in a remarkable 74\% yield. Subsequent Mallory reaction yielded 197a as main product in $80 \%$ yield. These two first steps were carried out according to the procedures reported in the literature. ${ }^{[12]}$ In the case of this last transformation, no traces of a dibrominated side product were detected in the crude NMR. This fact, along with the high yield and formation of a single clear product, demonstrated the robustness and versatility of the Mallory reaction, also when forming heterocycles. Finally, Suzuki cross-coupling reaction between 197a and boronic acid 190a, obtained as mentioned above in Scheme 45, gave alkyne-substituted substrate 164a.


Scheme 48. Synthesis of model alkyne-substituted substrate 164a. Reactants and conditions: (A) $\mathrm{MeONa} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow r t$, overnight. (B) hv, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (1.1 equiv.), THF, toluene, 125 W , rt, overnight. (C) 197a (1 equiv., 0.02 M ), 190a (1.5 equiv., 0.03 M ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos ( $8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1,80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight. This synthesis was optimized with the help of MeMo students Tobias Ketzel, B.Sc., and Michael Fitzner, B.Sc.

Once substrate 164a was obtained and purified, it was then subjected to model hydroarylation reaction catalyzed by $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 147i. This TADDOL-based complex was chosen as a suitable candidate for the first preliminary catalyst due to the high enantioselectivity induced in synthesis of dithia[5]helicenes. ${ }^{[220]}$ Although very high regio- and enantioselectivities (90\% yield and $87 \%$ ee, Table 5, entry 1) were observed in this first attempted preparation of thia[5]helicene 165a, substrate 164 a was subsequently screened with eight additional $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ precatalysts. Ligands $\mathbf{1 4 7 u}$ and $147 v$ containing an identical TADDOL backbone but different cationic rests were also tested as potential catalysts. Both induced excellent regioselectivities and good enantioselectivities (>99:1 conversion ratio in both cases, $83 \%$ and $76 \%$ ee, respectively, Table 5, entries 2 and 3). Nonetheless, elimination of electrondeficient $p$ trifluoromethyl groups at the backbone in $147 \mathbf{w}$ proved to have a detrimental effect on the enantioselectivity, which dropped to $27 \%$ ee (Table 5, entry 4). This result curiously resembles to the $28 \%$ ee this catalyst induced to 1,12-disubstituted [4]helicenes in previous research of our group. ${ }^{[219]}$ Reactions catalyzed by complexes 147 x -y bearing $p$-tert-Bu-phenyl groups at the ligand's backbone did not take place, as shown by zero conversion when they were catalysts. This fact can be presumably attributed to a diminution of the $\pi$-acidity of the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ core. The replacement of N -heterocyclic (NHCs) cationic rests attached to the phosphorus atom with biphosphonium and neutral phosphoramidite groups entailed a detriment of the $\pi$-acceptor properties of the phosphonite and therefore, an augmentation of the electronic density around the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ atom.

Table 5. Screening of hydroarylation reaction of 164a to afford thia[5]helicene 165a.


164a $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
165a 198a


147



147




162b, $\mathrm{Ar}=$ 2-pyrenyl, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
c, $\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
d, $\mathrm{Ar}=2$-pyrenyl, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$

| Entry | Catalyst | Temperature [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ] | Conversion [\%] ${ }^{[a]}$ | $\text { 165a:198a } a^{[b]}$ | Isolated yield [\%] | $e e[\%]^{[a]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\text {[c] }}$ | 147i | -20 | >99 | >99:1 | 90 | (+)-87 |
| $2^{[c]}$ | 147u | -20 | >99 | >99:1 | 95 | (+)-83 |
| $3^{[c]}$ | 147v | -20 | >99 | >99:1 | 96 | (+)-76 |
| $4{ }^{[c]}$ | 147w | -20 | >99 | >99:1 | 95 | (+)-27 |
| $5^{[c]}$ | 147x | -20 | 0 | - | - | - |
| $6^{[c]}$ | 147y | -20 | 0 | - | - | - |
| 7 | 162b | -10 | >99 | >99:1 | 73 | (+)-90 |
| $8{ }^{[c]}$ | 162c | -10 | >99 | >99:1 | 96 | (+)-91 |
| $9{ }^{[c]}$ | 162d | -10 | >99 | >99:1 | 96 | (+)-89 |

Reaction conditions: 164 a ( 0.05 M ), $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-cat. ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, 48 h . [a] Conversion determined by chiral-phase HPLC. [b] determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixtures. [c] Reactions carried out by Dr. Valentina Pelliccioli.

Finally, BINOL-based $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes $162 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ were also subjected to screening in this reaction. Gratifyingly, helicene 165a was obtained in these last three cases with excellent regio- and enantioselectivities (Table 5, entries 7-9), as it was previously observed for carbo[5]helicenes in Section 5.1.4. The best compromise was obtained by reinforcement of structural rigidity in the BINOL-based catalyst 162c with p-biphenyl groups and applying a 2-imidazolium cationic rest. All catalysts utilized in this preliminary study were kindly provided by Dr. Jianwei Zhang, Dr. Thierry Hartung and Wei Fu, M.Sc.

### 5.2.3. Substrate scope

As soon as the versatility of the approach was demonstrated by the synthesis of this new class of thia[5]helicenes and the most efficient catalyst was identified, a library of key intermediates 164 was prepared according to the established procedure shown in Scheme 48 (see also Scheme 58 in the Experimental Section). In particular, eight alkyne substrates containing a broad variety of substitution patterns at the hanging phenyl were obtained via Suzuki crosscoupling from moderate to good yields. Since $o$ - and $m$-substitution in the terminal ring of a tolane moiety implied an erosive effect on obtaining enantiopure samples of carbo[5]helicenes, as indicated in Section 5.1.5 (Table 3), this group focused on the introduction of $p$-substituents in this position of a helicene.

With these precursors in hand, a wide substrate scope of precursors 164 was transformed into thia[5]helicenes 165 by $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed enantioselective hydroarylation (Table 6). Substrates containing electron-rich substituents, such as 164a-f used in entries 1-6 of the Table 6, cyclized into their corresponding thia[5]helicenes 165a-f in extremely good yields and conversion ratios, as well as in with excellent enantioselectivities in the range of 91-99\% ee. Obviously, the presence of these well-known and contrasting substituents was a key factor in obtaining these results. It is noteworthy that even the availability of electronegative groups, such as fluorine or trifluoromethyl, does not prevent the almost complete transformation of 164 g and $\mathbf{1 6 4 h}$ into the corresponding helicenes. On the other hand, helicene 164 g was obtained with excellent enantioselectivity (entry 7), whereas 164h containing a p-CF ${ }_{3}$ group barely reached a moderate $68 \% e e$. It is still an open question whether the steric volume of this substituent had a huge impact on the stereoselectivity of this reaction. Electronic effects might have also played an important role in the erosion of the enantioselectivity, as the polarity of the trifluoromethyl group extremely differs from that of the rest of substituents.

Additionally, two extra substrates $164 i$ and 164 j bearing a methyl group at the outer part of the rim, i. e. methylated $\mathbf{1 6 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 4 j}$, were successfully synthesized and submitted to this reaction as well. This further feature was completely harmless to the enantioselectivity of the process (Table 6, entries 9, 10), as almost identical ee's were observed in comparison to their 'bare' helicene homologous 165a and 165b.

Table 6. Substrate scope of compounds 164 with different substitution patterns at the aryl substituent and at the helicene rim.


| Entry | 164 | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 165 | 165:198 ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ | Yield (\%) | $e e(\%)^{[b]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 164a | OMe | H | 165a | >99:1 | 96 | 91 |
| 2 | 164b | Me | H | 165b | >99:1 | 98 | 96 |
| 3 | 164c | OBn | H | 165c | >99:1 | 91 | 96 |
| 4 | 164d | $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ | H | 165d | >99:1 | 80 | 99 |
| 5 | 164e | OPh | H | 165e | >99:1 | 97 | 97 |
| 6 | 164f | OBu | H | $165 f$ | >99:1 | 99 | 97 |
| 7 | 164g | F | H | 165g | >99:1 | 95 | 93 |
| 8 | 164h | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | H | 165h | >99:1 | 95 | 68 |
| 9 | 164i | OMe | Me | 165i | >99:1 | 97 | 91 |
| 10 | 164j | Me | Me | 165j | >99:1 | 96 | 95 |

[a] Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixtures. [b] Determined by chiral-phase HPLC. Entries 2-10 were performed by Dr. Valentina Pelliccioli.

### 5.2.4. Conclusion

In order to continue expanding the applicability of $\mathrm{Au}(1)$-catalyzed hydroarylation for the enantioselective synthesis of helicenes, 9 different BINOL- and TADDOL-based Au(I) catalysts were initially employed in a preliminary screening in a model reaction to produce thia[5]helicene 165a from 164a.

TADDOL-based $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalysts 147 i and 147 u bearing a triazolium cationic moiety performed this reaction in excellent conversion ratios with very good ee's, whereas $147 v$ containing a less bulky imidazolium moiety exhibited a slightly inferior enantioselectivity, but no conversion decrease. On the other hand, the suppression of the $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups present at the chiral backbone implied a tremendous erosion in the enantioselectivity, as it was observed in the case of $147 w$. TADDOL-based $A u(I)$ complexes $147 x-y$ with p-tert-Bu-phenyl groups at the ligand's backbone had nonexistent catalytic activity, since zero conversion to thia[5]helicene

165a was observed. These results could be attributed to a diminution of the $\pi$-acidity of the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ core. Fortunately, screening of BINOL-based $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes $\mathbf{1 6 2 b}$-d in this hydroarylation process was more successful, as excellent ee's values and conversion ratios were observed.

Once 162c was distinguished as the most suitable catalyst in terms of regio- and enantioselectivity, the scope of the hydroarylation towards thia[5] helicenes 165 was evaluated through the preparation of ten alkyne-decorated substrates 164 with diversely substituted hanging phenyl groups or a tuned outer rim. Since the presence of $m$ - and $o$-substituents proved to be detrimental in the case of prior carbohelicenes 163, only p-substituents were tested in this Section. Gratifyingly, nine out of ten helicenes (165a-g, i, j) were obtained with excellent ee's, conversions and yields regardless of the activating or deactivating character of the substituent. Only 165h containing a $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ substituent experienced an erosion in its enantioselectivity, presumably attributed to intense electronic and steric effects. The presence of a methyl group at the outer part of the helicene skeleton did not imply any loss of regio- or enantioselectivity in the case of compounds $165 i, j$.

### 5.3. In-fjord substitution in expanded helicenes and its consequences

Inspired by Matsuda's attempt of synthesis of a chiral expanded helicene, ${ }^{[45]}$ the preparation of compounds 166-169 was envisaged (Figure 37). As previously mentioned, the excessive flexibility of 86 facilitated the interconversion between its two possible enantiomeric forms, manifested as a low energetic barrier. In order to circumvent this difficulty, our strategy focused on the introduction of aryl substituents at the inner fjord of the helicene's structure and the study of its effect on the enantiomerization process.


Kekulene analogue: $\mathbf{8 6}$


167, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
168, $R=P h$
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{MeO}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

169

Figure 37. Matsuda's kekulene analogue and target molecules 166-169.
Due to the inherent difficulty of this task, the inner substituents were introduced at the first stage of the synthesis as depicted in the following Section. $C_{2}$ symmetry present in these structures allowed these architectures to be created simultaneously and gracefully reduced the number of synthesis steps.

### 5.3.1. Synthesis of in-fjord substituted expanded helicenes

Since the functionalization of the inner part of a complex $\pi$-expanded system like these compounds is extremely complicated, the syntheses reported here began with the introduction of such substituent from the first step.

Commercially available 2,7-napthalenediol 199 was efficiently transformed into xanthenes 200. The condensation reaction was performed with 0.5 equiv. of the appropriate aromatic aldehyde under acidic catalysis (Scheme 49) in up to $84 \%$ yield. Due to the enhanced temperature and polarity required for this process, butyl acetate with a boiling point of $126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was the most suitable candidate as a reaction solvent. Subsequent triflation of both $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ alcohols gave triflates $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ in excellent yields. This transformation was followed by oxidation of the polycyclic core with $\mathrm{PbO}_{2}$, and the resulting crude product was utilized without further purification for acid-promoted elimination with aq. $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ to afford key pyrylium salts 202. The condensation reaction between the latter and sodium phenylacetate, based on published reports concerning pyrylium salts chemistry, ${ }^{[237-239]}$ afforded the desired benzo[m]tetraphene core in a gram scale via in situ decarboxylation. It can be noted that, due to steric hinderance and solubility reasons, compound 203b was obtained in an noticeable lower yield (16\%) in comparison to 203a (53\%). The overall yield of 203a and 203b was 23 and 5\%, respectively.


Scheme 49. Synthesis of benzo[m]tetraphene core.
In tandem with this approach, the various coupling partners for this helicene core were designed and synthesized, as depicted in Scheme 50a. Compounds 190a, 204 and 206 were prepared following procedures reported in the literature, ${ }^{[131,219]}$ whereas a particular synthesis for the alkyne-containing phenanthrene moiety 205 was elaborated (Scheme 50b).

Commercially available 2-bromo-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde (207) was reacted with benzeneboronic acid via Suzuki cross-coupling procedure to produce 4-hydroxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carbaldehyde 208 in good yield; fortunately, the presence of a hydroxyl group in the molecule did not interfere with the reaction, and the desired compound was obtained in 84\% yield. Further Wittig reaction with ylide prepared in situ from (methoxymethyl)triphenylphosphonium chloride followed by immediate cyclization catalyzed
with $p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ in the presence of silica yielded phenanthren-2-ol (209) in moderate yield over two steps. Subsequent dibromination and partial debromination successively afforded products $\mathbf{2 1 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 1 1}$ in very good yields, thus introducing the halide in the desired position.

$166 \mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$


167, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
168, $R=P h$


169


205


206
b)


207


208: 84\%

209: 56\% over two steps

212: 28\% over two steps

Scheme 50. a) Coupling partners for the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{1 6 6 - 1 6 9 .}$ b) Synthesis of the phenanthrene 205 containing an alkyne moiety.

Finally, a challenging one-pot triflation and Sonogashira cross-coupling gave the target 3-bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenanthrene (212) in poor yield (28\%). It is important to note that $p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ substituents were strategically located at the alkyne termini of 190 a , 204-206 with the aim of suppressing parasitic 5-exo-dig cyclizations and the subsequent formation of non-benzenoid rings during the final $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed hydroarylation reaction, as based on previous experience of our group with [ $n$ ]helicenes. ${ }^{[217,218]}$

Initial attempts to couple 203a with an excess of boronic acid 190a (5.0 equiv.) via Suzuki reaction afforded 213 in a quite modest yield, mainly due to the protodeborylation of 190a. Interestingly, Müllen et al. ${ }^{[238]}$ described the synthesis of similar to 203 substrates, but containing bromide groups instead of triflates; however, handling with $203-\mathrm{Br}$ was more problematic in terms of solubility and purification compared to bistriflates. Once the coupling partners 204-206 were synthesized, expanded helicenes substrates were obtained via Negishi coupling after thorough optimization of the reaction conditions. Taking into account the efficacy of microwave irradiation in contrast to conventional heating, ${ }^{[240,241]}$ this set of reactions seems to be carried out most efficiently by this method after the preparation of the corresponding organozinc compounds 204-206. Most optimal conditions proved to be heating at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with medium power for 30 min under Ar atmosphere, as depicted in Scheme 51. Remarkably, the steric hindrance of the in-fjord substituent had an obvious effect on the yield of this reaction, as can be observed when comparing the reaction yields of 214 and 215. Additionally, the connection in coupling product 215 was confirmed by X-ray crystallography of single crystal by (Scheme 51, bottom, right). The appropriate single crystals were grown by hexane diffusion into an ethyl acetate solution.


Scheme 51. Syntheses of expanded helicene substrates 213-216. Reactants and conditions: 204-206 (5.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mu \mathrm{w} 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.

In order to obtain the target expanded helicenes, a final step based on the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-mediated $\pi$ acid catalysis methodology of our group was envisioned. Since a potential hydroarylation reaction could take place at two different sites of benzo[m]tetraphene core 216 - the
electronically more activated but sterically hindered positions 1 and 13 or the more accessible external ones 3 and 11 - a preliminary study of the reaction conditions was necessary. Three products of 6-endo-dig cyclization were obtained from the $\mathrm{Au}(1)$-catalyzed hydroarylation of precursor 216 - compounds 169, 217a and 217b. Product 169 corresponds to the desired expanded helicene and originates from cyclization at both external positions of the benzo $[m]$ tetraphene core. Structure 217a is a stereochemically fixed polyarene derived from internal and external cyclizations at positions 1 and 11, respectively. Finally, 217b is a $C_{2^{-}}$ symmetric double S-shape ribbon (Scheme 52). Once this strategy based on $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysis was confirmed as suitable, a screening to choose the most efficient catalyst was executed (Table 7).



Scheme 52. Products of $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed hydroarylation of precursor 216. Reactant and conditions: $\mathbf{2 1 6}$ ( 0.03 M ), Aucatalyst (10 mol\%), $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt.

Precatalyst 219, based on a strong $\sigma$-donating NHC ligand, exhibited the lowest reactivity in the entire scope along with very low discrimination between the different possible products (Table 7, entry 1). In fact, intermediates of only monohydroarylation were the main compounds observed by NMR analyses of these reaction crudes, which demonstrated the suboptimal catalytic performance of this complex. Catalyst 220, consisting of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as ancillary ligand, furnished a much faster reaction and yields were substantially increased; however, the reaction outcome remained poor in terms of product selectivity (Table 7, entry 2). When evaluating the possible influence of bulkiness on the reaction outcome, catalyst 221 was employed and directed more preferentially the hydroarylation at the external and less
hindered position of the benzo[m]tetraphene core (Table 7, entry 3). Since the utilization of a strong $\pi$-acceptor phosphite ancillary ligand could accelerate the reaction rate and increase conversion, phosphite-Au(I) complex 222 was tested as a potential candidate. Nonetheless, it proved to favor the formation of the undesired product of double internal cyclisation 217b as well (Table 7, entry 4). The summary of results demonstrated that the employment of a ligand combining both bulkiness and a strong $\pi$-acceptor character could be ideal to promote the selective formation of 169 with better yields. For this reason, $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 218 with a N arylpyridinium phosphine as ancillary ligand was chosen as the most suitable catalyst for this task. Despite the long reaction time, enhanced conversion, regioselectivity and yield made 218 the best candidate from all $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes tested in this screening. Optimization of the solvent and temperature, as described in the Experimental part, allowed the isolation of 169 in a remarkable yield of $60 \%$.

Table 7. Ligand effect in the Au-catalyzed intramolecular hydroarylation of 216.

| Entry | Catalyst | Time | Conversion/Ratio <br> $\mathbf{1 6 9 : 2 1 7 a : 2 1 7 b ~}$ | Yields (\%) $^{[\mathrm{ar]}}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 6 9 : 2 1 7 a : 2 1 7 b ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 1 9}$ | 36 h | $60 / 35: 30: 35$ | $11: 9: 11$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | 5 h | $95 / 30: 30: 40$ | $19: 19: 26$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ | 12 h | $95 / 30: 52: 18$ | $16: 28: 10$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ | 3 h | $>98 / 14: 32: 54$ | $10: 24: 40$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ | 2 h | $98 / 49: 29: 22$ | $32: 19: 15$ |
| $6^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ | 3 h | $98 / 67: 27: 6$ | $46: 18: 4$ |
| $7^{[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}]}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ | 4 d | $95 / 78: 22: 0$ | $60: 17: 0$ |


[a] Isolated yields of each product; [b] A 3:1 mixture of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~F}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was used as solvent; [c] Reaction carried out at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Confirming the discoveries of prior studies relevant to cationic phosphines, ${ }^{[185]}$ those ligands based on $N$-substituted pyridiniophosphine moieties are the best $\pi$-acceptor phosphines among monocationic ones. In virtue of their unmatched structural features, they can depict outstanding performances in transition metal-catalyzed hydroarylation reactions (Figure 38).

Pyridinium moiety:
Decreases electron density at phosphorus

Alkyl or aryl groups: Allow additional steric control

Figure 38. Structural features of a typical pyridinium-substituted ligand. Adapted from: M. Alcarazo, Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, 20, 7868-7877.[185]

After finding the optimal catalyst and conditions to favor the cyclisation towards expanded helicene 169 over other regioisomers, identical procedures on substrates 213-216 were performed (Scheme 53). The cyclisation of $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ afforded a $5: 1$ mixture of products from which expanded helicene 166 was isolated in $66 \%$ yield. An identical cycloisomerization of $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ gave a 8:1 mixture of products, from which expanded helicene 167 was isolated in a remarkable $73 \%$ yield. Finally, biphenyl-substituted expanded helicene 168 was obtained in a modest $44 \%$ yield from a relatively complex reaction mixture (Scheme 53). In this latter case, difficulties concerning the solubility of such an expanded $\pi$-system hampered not only realization of the reaction, but also the subsequent purification process. Because of the difficulties encountered at this point and with the help of NMR studies, it is strongly believed that its complex structure acted as a cage for small aliphatic solvent molecules. These new expanded helicenes showed poor solubility in alkanes and chlorinated solvents, but, like buckminsterfullerene $\mathrm{C}_{60}$, high in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and warm toluene, especially in the case of compound 168 . This observation suggested that $\pi$-stacking aggregation between molecules was superior in these media than in the first mentioned solvents.


Scheme 53. Final $A u(I)$-catalyzed hydroarylation of alkynes 213-215 to afford in-fjord substituted expanded helicenes 166-168. Reagents and conditions: (A) 213 ( 0.030 M ), 218 ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6} 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%, \mathrm{PhF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 14 h; (B) 214 ( 0.013 M ), 218 ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{PhF}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 2: 1$, rt, 24 h ; (C) 215 ( 0.016 M ), 218 ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ (10 mol\%), $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.

### 5.3.2. Solid state structures

Once the entire set of in-fjord substituted expanded helicenes was synthesized, suitable for Xray analyses single crystals of these species were grown applying different methods, and their solid state structures were determined.

In the case of expanded helicene 166, its crystals were obtained by vapor diffusion of hexane into a chloroform solution. Not only its connectivity was verified via X-ray analysis, but also the local aromaticity of each aromatic ring of the molecule was calculated (Figure 39a). Compound 166 also exhibited a loss of planarity due to the presence of the phenyl group $Z$, as depicted in Figures $39 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$, with a helical pitch $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{I}}$ of $9.8 \AA$ and an interplanar angle $\Theta_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{I}}$ of $45.8^{\circ}$.


Figure 39. a) X-ray structure of 166, deviation of each benzene ring from the mean plane represented in \% and distance between rings B and H (helical diameter). b) Representation of the deviation of planarity in 166 and calculation of the helical pitch $d_{A-1}$. c) Calculation of interplanar distances $d_{A-Z}$ and $d_{Z-1}$ from each of the terminal rings to the inner phenyl substituent $Z$. Only the ipso-carbon from the external $p-(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ substituents is shown. Hydrogen atoms were removed for clarity; ellipsoids are represented at $50 \%$. Root mean square deviation (RMS) from mean plane on each aromatic ring is given in \%.

Despite this result, the short arms of 166 precluded an exhaustive study of the influence of an inner substituent in the structure. For this reason, the comparison between the structures of 168 and $\mathbf{8 6}{ }^{[45]}$ was more suitable when analyzing the influence of in-fjord substitution on the structural deformation, electronic structure, local aromaticity and, indirectly, on the helical inversion barrier of $\pi$-expanded helicenes as well (Figure 40a). Compound 168 crystallized as a racemate from a mixture of warm toluene and cyclohexane after approximately 3 months. The two enantiomers separately stacked along the $b$-axis, in the way that parallel columns of only $P$ - and $M$-enantiomers are formed in a similar fashion as other extended aforementioned $\pi$ systems. ${ }^{[71]}$ Rings D, E and I, J of consecutively pilled molecules overlap, with the shortest $\pi$ stacking distance of $3.5 \AA \AA$; disordered hexane molecules occupied the channel between these columns (see Appendix, Section 8.3). As depicted in Figure 40b, belonging to the fjord cavity rings $F, G$ and $H$ seemed to be clearly twisted, whereas both helical arms were virtually planar. This was also evident from the comparison of the root mean square deviation (RMS) of the carbon atom positions from the mean plane of the benzene ring to which they belong. These values in \% are represented inside of each aromatic ring in Figure 40b. Another remarkable feature of this discovery was that the Y-ring of the biphenyl inner substituent also produced repulsive interactions with the internal protons of rings E and I. As a consequence, the helicene arms open in a plane perpendicular to the helicoidal axis, increasing the helical diameter of $168\left(d_{\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{J}}=10.9 \AA\right.$ ) in comparison to that of the unsubstituted core structure $86\left(d_{\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{J}}=10.2 \AA\right.$ ). As it was expected, 168 showed a helical pitch larger than that of $\mathbf{8 6}\left(d_{A-M}=7.7 \AA\right.$ in the case of 168 and $d_{A-M}=3.7 \AA$ in the case of 86 ) (Figure 40 c ). Surprisingly, it was observed that the innermost anthracene unit of the fjord cavity accumulated most of the necessary distortion to accommodate the biphenyl insert, as reflected by the torsion angles along the helical inner rim ( $\Phi=4.1^{\circ}, 4.0^{\circ}, 14.6^{\circ}, 21.3^{\circ}, 1.6^{\circ}, 4.5^{\circ}$ for 168 ). Curiously, the terminal edges of the helicene arms in 168 (rings $A$ and $M$, respectively) were conveniently stacked on the top and bottom of ring $Z$ of the biphenyl substituent, respectively; representing the interplanar distances, measured from the centroids of the rings, $d_{A-Z}=4.0 \AA$ and $d_{M-Z}=3.8 \AA$ and $d_{A-M}=7.7 \AA$ (Figure 40d). Interestingly, these values are very similar to the pitches found in high order helicenes like [16]helicene reported by Fujita et al. ${ }^{[242]}$
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Figure 40. a) Target expanded helicene 168 and Matsuda's kekulene analogue 86. b) Depiction of their X-rays structures, including the calculation of their helical diameters and deviations of each ring from the mean plane. c) Comparison of helical pitches and interplanar angles of 168 and 86 . d) Calculation of interplanar distances $d_{A-z}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{z}}$, whose sum nearly coincides with the helical pitch. Only the ipso-carbon from the external p-OMe- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ substituents is shown in d). Hydrogen atoms were removed for clarity; ellipsoids are represented at $15 \%$ probability for 168. Root mean square deviation (RMS) from mean plane on each aromatic ring is given in \%.

Even though it was not possible to obtain a crystal of 167 suitable for X-ray analysis, calculations at the PBEh3c level of theory closely reproduced its solid state structure. The same phenomenon as in previous helicenes was observed in the anthracene moiety formed by rings F , G and H with relatively high RMS values. Additionally, the helical diameter of 167 ( $d_{\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{s}}=10.9$ Å) (Figure 41a) was predicted and proved to be identical to that of 168 and extremely similar to that of 166 (11.0 Å) (Figure 39a). These calculations, which closely reproduced the pitches of 166, 168 and 169 , predicted $d_{A-M}=8.2 \AA$ in the architecture of 169 as well. This meant that this compound exhibited a longer helical pitch than that of 168 (cf. Figures 40c and 41b), which could only be explained by the assumption of the presence of attractive $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the helicene arms and ring Z of the insert in 168 (See Appendix, Section 8.3).


Figure 41. a) X-ray structure of $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ and representation of the RMS deviation from mean plane of each benzene ring given in \%; b) Prediction of the helical pitch $d_{A-M}$ of 167; c) Display of the helical structure of 167 from a frontal plane. Hydrogen atoms were removed for clarity; ellipsoids are represented at $50 \%$ probability. Root mean square deviation (RMS) from mean plane on each aromatic ring is given in $\%$.

Finally, the solid state structure of $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ was quite informative as well. The molecule adopted an approximately $C_{2}$-symmetric conformation, and its helical pitch measured from the centroids of the rings $A$, and $K(8.5 \AA$ ) was the biggest one in the series due to the angular-angular junction of terminal rings $A-B$ and J-K, which increased the unfavorable steric interaction of these moieties with ring $Z$ and forces ring tilting also at the arms. Subsequently, the torsion angles along the helical inner $\operatorname{rim}\left(\Phi=20.4^{\circ}, 20.0^{\circ}, 20.4^{\circ}, 25.7^{\circ}, 21.5^{\circ}, 17.5^{\circ}\right.$ ) and the RMS deviation of the carbon atoms from the ideal benzene plane (Figure 42a) revealed high evenly distributed deformations along the complete structure unlike previous expanded helicenes, which manifests a clear loss of planarity in this molecule. This was the main difference between $169,166\left(\Phi=1.1^{\circ}, 14.9^{\circ}, 25.7^{\circ}, 6.2^{\circ}\right.$ ) and 168 (Figures $40-42$ ). Due to the wide helical pitch observed, 168 presented a narrower interplanar angle $\left(\theta_{A K}=38.3^{\circ}\right)$ in comparison to 166 and 168.


Figure 42. a) X-ray structure of 168 , prediction of $d_{C-1}$ and helical pitch $d_{A-K}$ and representation of the RMS deviation from mean plane of each benzene ring given in \%. b) Helical pitch and interplanar angle of 168. c) Calculation of interplanar distances $d_{A-z}$ and $d_{k-z}$. Only the ipso-carbon from the external $p-(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ substituents is shown in b) and c). Hydrogen atoms were removed for clarity; ellipsoids are represented at $50 \%$ probability.

Finally, the connectivity in 217a-b was confirmed via X-ray analysis as well (Figure 43). Single crystals were grown by slow diffusion of hexane to their solutions in a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the case of $\mathbf{2 1 7}$ a or tetrahydrofuran and pentane in the case of 217b.


Figure 43. X-ray structures of 217a [ a) and b) ] and 217b [c) and d) ]. Solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Ellipsoids are represented at 50\% probability for $\mathbf{2 1 7}$ a and at $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ probability for $\mathbf{2 1 7 b}$.

Gratifyingly, 217a and 217b were configurationally stable at room temperature. This was due to the presence of embedded stereochemically fixed 1,12-disubstituted [4]helicene units in their architecture, one in the case of 217a and two in the case of 217b. In 217a the torsion angles along the helical inner rim of the [4]helicene moiety were quite pronounced ( $\Phi=4.8^{\circ}$, $\left.36.1^{\circ}, 34.3^{\circ}, 15.0^{\circ}\right)$, in agreement with the data available for this structural subunit. ${ }^{[219]}$ Rings L and F did not completely overlap but depicted an interplanar distance of $3.8 \AA$ (Figure 43b). Similar geometric parameters were found at each arm of the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric double S -shape ribbon 217b ( $\Phi_{1}=17.1^{\circ}, 32.2^{\circ}, 32.0^{\circ}, 11.0^{\circ}$ and $\Phi_{2}=5.0^{\circ}, 35.7^{\circ}, 32.7^{\circ}, 12.2^{\circ}$ for each of the [4]helicene moieties). Note that in order to accommodate the [4]helicene moieties, the anthracene EFG unit of 217b, distorted $45 . \mathbf{4}^{\circ}$ from planarity, which was the highest value observed along this entire series of expanded helicenes. Clear $\pi-\pi$ interactions were also observed between the rings L-F and F-M, being the interplanar distances measured from the centroids of these rings, $d_{F-L}=3.5 \AA$ and $d_{F-M}=3.7 \AA$ (Figure 43d) and, therefore, a helical pitch of approximately 7.2 Å.

### 5.3.3. Electronic structure calculations and helical inversion mechanism

After the synthesis of expanded helicenes 166-169, their conformational dynamics were examined via Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations. In order to understand more clearly the influence of inner substituents in the inversion barrier heights, the pathways of unsubstituted equivalents of 166-169 were also calculated. All structures depicted here were optimized at the PBEh3c level of theory, ${ }^{[243]}$ with energies recomputed with the double hybrid functional B2PLYP, ${ }^{[244]}$ including D3 dispersion corrections with Becke-Johnson damping ${ }^{[245,246]}$, the def2-TZVP basis set ${ }^{[247]}$ and default fitting basis. ${ }^{[248,249]}$ ] The aforementioned calculations were carried out with the Orca 4.2.1 program package. ${ }^{[250]}$ All substitutions in the outer rim were removed to facilitate computation processes and the internal substituent is indicated as subindex. The energies reported were electronic energies unless otherwise noted. The difference between the latter values and Gibbs free energies barriers were small. In order to better understand some of the trends observed, dispersion interaction density (DID) analyses of the structures were carried out. ${ }^{[251]}$ The energies were computed at the SCS-LMP2 level of theory with the Molpro2020.1 program package. ${ }^{[252,253]}$ Details for the calculation setup, in particular how the intramolecular analysis was defined, are provided in Section 6.5.

In the first place, unsubstituted $\mathbf{1 6 6 ( 1 6 6 _ { H } )}$ did not present any helix and the most stable structure adopted a planar conformation, as expected. After introducing the inner phenyl substituent ( $\mathbf{1 6 6}_{\text {ph }}$ ), two enantiomeric conformations of $\mathbf{1 6 6}_{\text {ph }}$ came onto the scene separated by a single and rather small barrier $\mathbf{1 6 6}_{\mathrm{ph}} \mathbf{T S} 1$ of only $15.9{\mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \text { in the NBE computed energy }}^{\text {a }}$
 169 possessed more complex potential energy hypersurfaces and in all cases, the corresponding interconversions took place via stepwise mechanisms (Scheme 54b-e).

The energetic profile for the enantiomerization of $\mathbf{1 6 7}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ is represented in Scheme 54b. The barrier was calculated to be $\Delta E^{\ddagger}=59.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, which was very similar to the one reported for the unsubstituted kekulene analogue $86\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}=54.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) .^{[45]}$ This indicated that the presence of the phenyl insert in $\mathbf{1 6 7}$ did not have a significant impact on its inversion barrier, probably due to the length of the arms. Against all odds, the potential energy diagram of 167 ph was not $C_{s}$ symmetric, this implied that both arms move coordinated at different pace through the minimum energy pathway. Therefore, while the left arm in Scheme 54b nearly reaches the central anthracene plane, the right one squirms itself to facilitate this step $\mathbf{1 6 7}_{\text {ph }}$ TS1. Once the left arm achieves its final position $167_{\text {ph }}$ INT1, the right one completes the enantiomerization, now through a quite low barrier $\mathbf{1 6 7}_{\text {ph }}$ TS2, as it is already appropriately positioned. In this
manner, a possible $C_{s}$ structure was avoided, which could have meant an increase in energy due to the steric clash between the phenyl substituent and the arms.


Scheme 54. Enantiomerization mechanism of a) $\mathbf{1 6 6}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$, b) $\mathbf{1 6 7}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$, c) $\mathbf{1 6 8}_{\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Ph}}$, d) $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$, e) $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$ calculated at the PBEh3c level of theory (single point calculations at the B2LYP-D3/def2-TZVP level). Only selected transition states and energy-minimum structures are represented together to the energy profiles. f) DIDs for the interactions between the arms and the central substituent in $169^{\text {ph }}$ calculated at the SCS-LMP2 level. $\lambda=$ normalized abelian distance between the structures from reactant to product.

The substitution of the phenyl insert by a p-biphenyl one originated $\mathbf{1 6 8}_{\text {Bi-ph }}$. Primary approaches indicated that the enantiomerization barrier increased by around $20 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ in comparison to $167^{\text {ph }}$. This phenomenon could be attributed to two factors: the loss of the stabilizing $\pi$-stacking interaction between the Z-ring of the biphenyl and the arm that started the inversion, and the need to bend away the internal substituent more abruptly than in $\mathbf{1 6 7}_{\text {ph }}$ to reduce steric hindrance. It was also very remarkable that the potential energy diagram was again $C_{s}$ symmetric, which suggested that both arms operated independently, one after the
other. Additionally, the $C_{s}$-symmetric conformer corresponded to a local energetic maximum, $168_{\text {Bi-ph }}$ TS2; subsequently, minimal rotation of the biphenyl $Z$ ring either to the right or the left reestablished a stabilizing $\pi$-staking interaction with one or the other arm $\mathbf{1 6 8}_{\text {bi-ph }}$ INT1 (Scheme 54c).

Schemes 54d and e depict the inversion processes in both $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{Ph}}$. As can be observed in Scheme 54d, one of the [4]helicene moieties of $169_{\mathrm{H}}$ located at the end of the arms initially inverted via $169_{\mathrm{H}}$ TS 1 to originate an intermediate $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$ INT1, by which the inverting arm edge ring pointed towards the opposing arm. From this point, the $C_{S}$ symmetric $169_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{TS} 2$ transition state was found, exhibiting a rather similar barrier. In this structure, both arms were pointing at the same direction. A completely symmetric process involving the other arm furnishes the other enantiomeric form of $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$. Finally, the calculated barrier to helical inversion for $\mathbf{2 0 2}_{\mathrm{H}}$ was $\Delta E^{\ddagger}=25.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ corresponding to the barrier in $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$ TS2. Surprisingly, the isomerization profile and the inversion mechanism of 169H were very similar to that described for [8]helicene by Merino et al., neglecting terms of absolute energy values (Figure 44). ${ }^{[32]}$


Figure 44. Energy profile for the enantiomerization of [8]helicene. Image adopted from J. Barroso, J. L. Cabellos, S. Pan, F. Murillo, X. Zarate, M. A. Fernandez-Herrera, G. Merino, Chem. Commun. 2017, 54, 188-191.[32]

As can be appreciated on Scheme 54e, the introduction of the internal phenyl substituent in $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {ph }}$ dramatically changed the shape of the isomerization profile. This time, only two transition states with relatively high barriers ( $\Delta E^{\ddagger}=74.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) were observed instead of three, as in the previous case. Such transition states beared resemblance to $169_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{TS} 1$ due to the inversion of a terminal [4]helicene moiety, nonetheless, the $\mathrm{C}_{s}$-symmetric structure, with the two arms facing each other, was no longer the highest transition state as it was in $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$, instead, it was a minimum, 169INT1. Even though the phenyl substituent was expected to hinder the enantiomerization process and to increase the deformation in the helical framework, it was noted that this change in the architecture implied an energetic penalty when one arm pointed towards the center of the helix: A $22.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ barrier for $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$ TS1 and
74.2 for $1^{169} 9_{\text {ph }}$ TS1. However, in the $C_{s}$-symmetric intermediate $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {ph }}$ INT1, the Ph-substituent actually acted as a slightly stabilizing factor. Its structure was energetically $18.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ above the global minimum, whereas the same structural motif in $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$, which is actually a transition state, was clearly $25.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ above the starting configuration. Finally, DIDs (Dispersion Interaction Density) were plotted for the interactions between the arms and the central substituent in $169_{\text {ph }}$ and compared them at the same scale with the interactions between the arms of $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {H }}$ (Scheme 54f). There was a clear difference between the dispersion interactions (quantified at the SCS-LMP2 level) of $169_{\mathrm{Ph}}$ INT1 ( $29 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) and those of $169_{\mathrm{H}}$ TS2 $\left(3 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$. To conclude, London forces derived from the presence of the Ph-insert effectively compensated the energetic penalty to be paid in $169_{\text {ph }}$ INT1 as a consequence of a more pronounced deformation of the polyaromatic skeleton. Furthermore, the inversion barrier in $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {ph }}$ might have been lowered by dispersion as well because this interaction was also partially present in $1^{169} 9_{\text {ph }}$ INT1 between the Ph -insert and one of the helicene arms.

Although none of the barriers calculated was sufficiently high to allow the conformational resolution of the enantiomers of $\mathbf{1 6 6 - 1 6 9}$ at room temperature, these examples show how through substitution, the inversion process of the original helicene structure can be modified, even by eliminating or creating new barriers to overcome.

### 5.3.4. Photophysical properties of synthesized helicenes

### 5.3.4.1. Absorption and emission spectra

In order to study the influence of the extension of the $\pi$-system on chiroptical properties, UVVis absorption and fluorescence spectra of 166-169 and 217a-b have been recorded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at room temperature (absorption) and $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (emission). Figure 45 contains the plotted absorption spectra of in-fjord substituted expanded helicenes 166-169, and Table 8 highlights all relevant data.

As can be seen in this graph, these four species describe similar absorption bands in specific wavelength ranges (i.e., 260-340, 360-400 and 400-420 nm) presumably belonging to their first three HOMO-LUMO transitions. Helicene 166 exhibited the least intense and most left-shifted bands of all four helicenes, which means that it not as capable of absorbing light as the other species and its HOMO-LUMO transitions are the most energetic ones. Likewise, 168 and 169 present analogous and bathochromically shifted spectra as a consequence of the extension of the $\pi$-system and, therefore, the reduction of the HOMO-LUMO gap. Lastly, 167 displayed the most intense absorption bands and maxima location similar to 169 . When comparing the detected maxima of 167 and 168 with their equivalent in Matsuda's kekulene analogue $\mathbf{8 6}{ }^{[45]}$
(320 nm, $\epsilon=1.4 \cdot 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; 375 \mathrm{~nm}, \epsilon=3.5 \cdot 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $395 \mathrm{~nm}, \epsilon=3.8 \cdot 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), a red shift of the transitions can be observed as the inner substituent enlarges.


Figure 45. Absorption spectra of expanded helicenes $\mathbf{1 6 6 - 1 6 9}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at room temperature.
Table 8. Absorption maxima of compounds 166-169 and molar absorption coefficients $\epsilon$.

| Compound | Absorption <br> $\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\epsilon_{\max }$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 166 | 300 | $3.26 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | 366 | $3.88 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | 388 | $2.51 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 167 | 290 | $1.62 \times 10^{5}$ |
|  | 333 | $1.59 \times 10^{5}$ |
|  | 388 | $8.78 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | 409 | $7.69 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 168 | 293 | $1.31 \times 10^{5}$ |
|  | 336 | $1.09 \times 10^{5}$ |
|  | 390 | $6.15 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | 411 | $5.27 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 169 | 312 | $7.66 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | 383 | $5.49 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | 406 | $3.79 \times 10^{4}$ |

Regarding emission phenomena, the fluorescence spectra appear to follow a tendency consistent with the normalized absorption spectra since 166 maxima are blue-shifted when compared to those of 167-169 (Figure 46). Fluorescence quantum yields for these helicenes were measured as well. As expected, those compounds possessing a more extended and more flexible structure presented a lower quantum yield under identical conditions (Table 9). This
decrease of the fluorescence quantum yield through the expansion of the $\pi$-system is a common tendence in carbohelicenes that has been observed multiple times. ${ }^{[55]}$


Figure 46. Normalized absorption (continuous line) and fluorescence spectra (dashed line) of expanded helicenes 166-169.

Table 9. Emission maxima of compounds 166-169, fluorescence quantum yield $\Phi_{F}$.

| Compound | $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ maxima <br> $(\mathrm{nm})^{[\mathrm{ab]}}$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{nm})^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 166 | 457 <br> 486 | $0.29(366)$ |
| 167 | 481 | $0.07(333)$ |
|  | 512 | $0.02(330)$ |
| 168 | 474 | $0.09(312)$ |
| 169 | 471 |  |

[a] Measured in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. [b] Excitation wavelength.
DFT calculations were performed to obtain the spectra of compounds 166-169. The simplified time dependent density functional theory formalism (sTD-DFT) ${ }^{[254]}$ with the $\omega B 97 X$ functional ${ }^{[255]}$ and the def2-TZVP basis set was the chosen tool to accomplish this task.

The functional was chosen for its correct long-range asymptotic exchange, which is important in such extended $\pi$-systems. As in previously reported absorption studies for helicenes, the computed absorption energies were shifted by $1 \mathrm{eV} .{ }^{[256]}$ The trends observed in the measured spectra are well replicated in the DFT spectra (based on PBEh3c structures). The red shifts of the transitions of 167 and 168 if compared to 86 could be justified by their increased helical pitches (see Section 6.5.3).

Lastly, identical photophysical studies were carried out in twisted helicenes 217a-b. Despite their structural differences, both compounds illustrated similar absorption and emission spectra as depicted in Figure 47; moreover, they present similar Stoke shifts: $6400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the case of 217 a and $6600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the case of $\mathbf{2 1 7 b}$. Concerning fluorescence, it was observed that 217b presented a considerably lower fluorescence quantum yield than its analogue 217a (Table 10).


Figure 47. Normalized absorption (continuous line) and fluorescence spectra (dashed line) of helicenes 217a-b.
Table 10. Absorption and emission relevant data of twisted helicenes 217a-b.

| Compound | Absorption <br> $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\epsilon_{\max }$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ maxima <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{nm})^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 217a | 310 | $3.40 \times 10^{5}$ | 494 | $0.33(310)$ |
|  | 339 | $2.60 \times 10^{5}$ | 521 |  |
|  | 390 | $2.70 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 292 | $1.66 \times 10^{5}$ | 506 | $0.12(321)$ |
|  | 321 | $1.68 \times 10^{5}$ | 533 |  |
|  | 370 | $1.17 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | 394 | $1.27 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |

[a] Excitation wavelength.
Red shift and poorer fluorescence quantum efficiency in 217b in comparison to 217a are both phenomena attributed to the high distortion and strain of the helical structure. Similar observations were recently reported for twisted anthracenes: Twisting and bending in anthracenes in various ways implied a torsion of the $\pi$-bonds present in the molecule, breaking the symmetry and sometimes a mixing of $\pi$ and $\sigma$ symmetries as well. Hence, the HOMOLUMO energy levels are strongly affected, generally through a decrease of their gap as the distortion degree increases and therefore, a shift towards red in absorption and emission spectra. ${ }^{[257,258]}$
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Figure 48. a) Representation of two adjacent $\pi$-orbitals in planar, bent, and twisted conformations. b) Calculated (UB3LYP/6-31G(d)) HOMO and LUMO energy levels of anthracene with different end-to-end dihedral twists. Image adapted from: A. Bedi, O. Gidron, Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 2482-2490.[257]

Concerning the effect of distortion on fluorescence quantum yields, a link has been observed between the twisting angle of substituted anthracenes and the diminution of such optical property. Although multiple factors come into play, the main cause is again attributed to the distortion of the anthracene moiety. As it was previously discussed in the solid state structures Section, 217b presented a remarkable distortion in its anthracene unit in comparison to 217a, thus it was assumed that this phenomenon was the main cause behind the differences in the optical properties of both compounds.

### 5.3.4.2. Circular dichroism

As discussed in the previous Section, isolated enantiomers of chiral helicenes present mirror images of their circular dichroism spectra in virtue of their differently polarized light absorption. Resolution of the enantiomers of 217a-b was accomplished via chiral HPLC, and the ECD of each isomer were measured in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and plotted. As can be seen from Figure 49, not only is the mirror image feature noted above clearly expressed, but the graphs of 217a and 217b are also identical. This means that both compounds experience similar, if not identical, electronic transitions despite their obvious structural differences. When comparing both graphs, a relatively strong Cotton effect, namely intensification of the CD bands, can be observed in 217b manifested as a growth of the overall $\Delta \varepsilon$. This was again described by Gidron et al. as a consequence of the elevated distortion degree of anthracene units, like those present in this compound: When the $\pi-\pi^{*}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ transition takes place in twisted anthracenes,
$\mu_{\mathrm{e}}$ decreases by approximately $5 \%$ while $\mu_{\mathrm{m}}$ increases by $500 \%$, resulting in a significant growth of the complete Cotton effect. ${ }^{[257]}$ As conclusion, the resolution of the racemic mixtures of 217a and 217b, in addition to their CD spectra, were the most solid evidences of the chirality and configurational stability of these two helicenes at room temperature.


Figure 49. ECD spectra of separated enantiomers 217a (a) and 217b (b) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at rt.

### 5.3.5. Conclusion

The design and synthesis of in-fjord-substituted expanded helicenes $166-169$ was achieved through varied multi-step routes, including a final $A u(I)$-catalyzed hydroarylation with cationic phosphine-based $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complex 218. This catalyst was identified as the most effective one of an entire screening in terms of yield and regioselectivity. In the meantime, two additional twisted expanded helicenes, 217a and 217b, were additionally synthesized, isolated and characterized.

Crystals of these six compounds suitable for X-ray analysis were grown and underwent solid state structure studies in order to elucidate the influence of the inner substituent. The presence of this component at the fjord region of these helicenes proved to play a pivotal role
in the alteration of certain structural aspects, such as interplanar angle, helical diameter, helical pitch or aromaticity distribution.

DFT calculations were carried out in order to examine the conformational dynamics of helicenes 166-169. For this purpose, the energy profile of the targeted helicenes was compared to that of their unsubstituted parent structures, showing significant differences regarding energy values, the shape of the transition profile and number of TS and intermediates.

The influence of in-fjord substituents was also examined via photophysical studies. Absorption and emission spectra of these six novel expanded helicenes were recorded and compared in order to understand the impact of this substitution pattern on their optical properties. Finally, chiral resolution of twisted helicenes 217a and 217b via HPLC afforded their isolated enantiomers. Electronic circular dichroism studies demonstrated, not only the chirality of these species, but also the impact of their structural differences on their chiroptical properties.

## 6. Experimental

### 6.1. General remarks

### 6.1.1. General working methods

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out in dried glassware under an inert atmosphere (nitrogen or argon if specifically noted) using standard Schlenk techniques, or under an atmosphere of nitrogen in a MBraun UNIlab plus glovebox. Dry and degassed solvents were obtained by distillation over the appropriate drying agents and stored under nitrogen. Alternatively, dry solvents were obtained using an MBraun MB-SPS-800 solvent purification system (tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether, toluene, pentane, dichloromethane, acetonitrile).

Flash chromatography was performed on Macherey Nagel $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ silica gel. Semipreparative TLC was performed using glass Merck 500-1000 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ TLC-plates. Reactions were controlled by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis, performed using polygram SIL G/UV254 TLC-plates from Macherey Nagel, and visualized by UV irradiation ( $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).

Photoreactions were carried out with a Peschl Ultraviolet MPDS Photoreactor, composed of a photoLAB Batch-M reaction vessel outfitted with a novaLIGHT TQ150 medium pressure 125 W mercury UV lamp in a photonCABINET safety cabinet.

### 6.1.2. Starting materials

Unless otherwise specified, all reagents were used as received from commercial suppliers (ABCR, Acros Organics, Alfa Aesar, Chempur GmbH, Fluorochem, J and K Scientific, Sigma Aldrich, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Tokyo Chemical Industry). Compounds synthesized according to previously described in the literature procedures are the following: $(R)-3,3$ '-di $[1,1$ '-biphenyl]-4-yl)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol (173a), ${ }^{[224,225,227]}$ 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene (IMes ${ }^{\text {Me[229] }} 1,3$-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene (IMes), ${ }^{[226]}$ 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) and precursors, ${ }^{[231]}$ 2-bromo-10-methoxybenzo[c]phenanthrene (185b) and precursors, ${ }^{[259]}$ 2-bromo-6-methylbenzo[c]phenanthrene (185c) and precursors, ${ }^{[260]}$ terminal alkynes 188 c -I, ${ }^{[261-}$ ${ }^{266]}$ bromoalkynes 189a-b, d-f and $\mathbf{j}-\mathrm{I}^{[267-272]}$ racemic catalyst 223, ${ }^{[273]}$ 2-(4bromostyryl)benzo[b]thiophene (196a) and 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2- $d$ ]thiophene
(197a), ${ }^{[12]}$ complex of gold(I) chloride with [2-(dicyclohexylphosphaneyl)-1-mesitylpyridin-1ium] hexafluoroantimoniate ( $\mathbf{2 1 8}^{[273]}$ and precursor of 205 1-bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]naphthalene. ${ }^{[274]}$ Analytical data of these compounds correspond to those reported in the aforementioned references.

### 6.1.3. General analytical methods

NMR: spectra were recorded on Bruker AV600, AV500, AV400, AV300 or Varian Inova 500 spectrometers; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are given in ppm relative to TMS, using the residual solvent signals as references and converting the chemical shifts to the TMS scale. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are given in ppm relative to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$, respectively (external standard). Coupling constants $(J)$ are given in Hz. Solvents for NMR spectroscopy were used as received from Eurisotop.

HR-MS: Finnigan MAT 95 (70 eV, EI), Finnigan LCQ (ESI) and APEX IV 7T FTICR, Bruker Daltonic (HR-MS).

IR: FT/IR-4600 (Jasco), wavenumbers ( V ) in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

HPLC: the relative ratios of products in reaction mixtures were determined by analytical HPLC using either Shimadzu Nexera-i LC 2040 3D with integrated downstream UV/Vis PDA detector (detection at 254 nm, reverse phase) or a Shimadzu Prominence-i LC-2030C 3D Plus with integrated downstream UV/Vis PDA detector (detection at 254 nm , normal phase). Separation was carried out on Agilent ZORBAX SB-C18, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column or YMC PVA-Sil NP, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column. Specific conditions such as eluent mixtures, flow rates and temperatures are stated for each case. System control and chromatogram analysis were carried out with LabSolutions (Shimadzu) software.

Chiral HPLC: the enantiomeric excesses of the products were determined using either a Shimadzu Nexera-i LC 2040 3D with integrated downstream UV/Vis PDA detector (detection at 254 nm, reverse phase) or a Shimadzu Prominence-i LC-2030C 3D Plus with integrated downstream UV/Vis PDA detector (detection at 254 nm , normal phase). Separation was carried out on Daicel Chiral Technologies $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ columns. Specific conditions such as column type used, eluent mixtures, flow rates and temperatures are stated for each compound. System control and chromatogram analysis were carried out with LabSolutions (Shimadzu) software. Further analysis was carried out using a Waters Acquity multidimensional high-performance liquid chromatograph (MD-UPLC), custom configuration with column switching in both separation dimensions. Detection via PDA-UV, trap-and-elute transfer to
second dimension with separate PDA-UV coupled with fluorescence or single-quad MS detection.

Chiral SFC: the enantiomeric excesses of the products were determined using a Waters UPC ${ }^{2}$ with integrated downstream UV/Vis PDA detector (detection at 254 nm ). Separation was carried out on Daicel Chiral Technologies, $3.0 \times 100^{\circ} \mathrm{mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ columns. Specific conditions such as column type used, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ cosolvent type and amounts, flow rates, temperatures and set system backpressure are stated for each compound. System control and chromatogram analysis were carried out with Empower 3 (Waters) software.

Preparative HPLC: preparative separations were carried out on an Interchim PuriFlash 4250 (RP separations) using either an Agilent ZORBAX SB-C18, $21.2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column (up to 50 mg ) or an 4 Agilent ZORBAX 7 SB-C18, $50.0 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column ( $>50 \mathrm{mg}$ ), or on a Jasco semipreparative modular HPLC using a YMC PVA-Sil NP, $20.0 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column.

Specific rotations: were measured using a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter at the stated temperature using a $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}$ lamp, $\lambda=589 \mathrm{~nm}(c$ in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL})$.

Electro-optical Properties: Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were measured on a Jasco J-1500 spectrometer using a 5 mm quartz sample cell. UV/Vis spectra were conducted using a Jasco J1500 or a Jasco V-630 spectrometer using a 5 mm and a 10 mm quartz sample cell respectively. Fluorescence spectra were conducted using a Jasco FP-8500 spectrofluorometer using a $10 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ quartz sample cell. Fluorescence and CD spectra were measured at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unless otherwise is stated. Data were processed with SpectraManager ${ }^{\top \mathrm{M}}$ and Spectragryph 1.2 software. Concentrations are stated in each case.

X-ray diffraction: Structures were solved by Dr. Christopher Golz. Data collection was done on two dual source equipped Bruker D8 Venture four-circle-diffractometer from Bruker AXS $G m b H$; used X-ray sources: microfocus $l \mu S 2.0 \mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Mo}$ and microfocus $/ \mu S 3.0 \mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{Mo}$ from Incoatec $G m b H$ with mirror optics HELIOS and single-hole collimator from Bruker AXS GmbH; used detector: Photon III CE14 (Cu/Mo) and Photon III HE (Ag/Mo) from Bruker AXS GmbH.

Used programs: APEX3 Suite (v2018.7-2) for data collection and therein integrated programs SAINT V8.38A (Integration) und SADABS 2016/2 (Absorption correction) from Bruker AXS $G m b H$; structure solution was done with SHELXT, refinement with SHELXL-2018/3.

Special Utilities: SMZ1270 stereomicroscope from Nikon Metrology GmbH was used for sample preparation; crystals were mounted on MicroMounts or MicroLoops from MiTeGen in NVH oil.

### 6.2. Enantioselective synthesis of 1-Aryl Benzo[5]helicenes

### 6.2.1. Synthesis of $\alpha$-cationic Phosphonites



Scheme 55. Synthesis of $\alpha$-cationic BINOL-phosphonites and their $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ complexes via their respective chlorophosphites.

## (R)-3,3'-Di(pyren-2-yl)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol (173b):


$\mathrm{Ar}=2$-pyrenyl

The compound was prepared adopting a previously published procedure. ${ }^{[228]}$ A Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was charged with a mixture of ( $R$ )-3,3'-dibromo-2,2'-bis(methoxymethoxy)-
 acid pinacol ester ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 5.0 equiv.) in DME ( $8.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.12 \mathrm{M}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{M})$ and degassed with Ar for 10 minutes. Then $\mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}\left(24 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 20 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.1\right.$ equiv.) followed by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $11.2 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was exposed to air, cooled to rt, diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (saturated) and brine ( 10 mL each), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, EtOAc/hexanes 3:97 to 1:24) and filtration yielded ( $R$ )-2,2'-\{2,2'-bis(methoxymethoxy)-
[1,1'-binaphthalene]-3,3'-diyl\}dipyrene (176) as a colorless solid ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ). Further deprotection of this compound was carried out by dissolving it in dioxane ( 7 mL ) and aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~N}, 9 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The reaction mixture was warmed up to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 h . Once no further conversion of 175 was observed by TLC, the mixture was cooled down to rt, and the volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. Residual material was diluted with DCM and washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water and brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude compound was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $\left.1: 9\right)$ to afford pure $\mathbf{1 7 3 b}$ as a white solid $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ over two steps).
$[\alpha]_{20}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:-250.4\left(c=0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=150.5,135.2,133.4,132.5$, $131.5,131.4,131.1,129.8,128.8,128.0,127.7,127.6,126.2,125.4,125.3,124.7,124.6,124.6$, 124.2, 113.0 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=419,434,458,476,497,610,669,684,708,725,753$, 791, 818, 840, 870, 881, 1126, 1144, 1175, 1197, 1213; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 687.2319; found (ESI) 687.2310.

General Procedure A for the synthesis of $\alpha$-cationic phosphonite ligands
The synthesis was carried out following a literature procedure. ${ }^{[218]}$ Schlenk flask was charged with the respective BINOL derivative ( 1.0 equiv.), powdered $4 \AA$ molecular sieves ( $2-3$ spatula tips) and toluene ( 0.02 M ). Anhydrous pyridine ( 3.0 equiv.) and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ ( 1.05 equiv.) were added successively. The reaction mixture was then heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered utilizing a canula equipped with a glass-fiber filter. The filtrate was evaporated and then dried in vacuo. After checking conversion via NMR, to the residue was added $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ (3 equiv.), the mixture was suspended in diethyl ether ( 0.1 M ) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of the respective carbene ( 0.9 equiv.) in diethyl ether ( 0.2 M ) was slowly added, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature overnight. The solvent was removed in vacuo, the residual solid was taken up with dichloromethane and filtered using a cannula equipped with a glass-fiber filter. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the resulting crude product was further purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as specified for the individual cases.
( $R$ )-2-\{2,6-Di([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)dinaphtho[2,1-d:1',2'-f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepin-4-yl\}-1,3-dimesityl-4,5-dimethyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium hexafluoroantimonate (178a):

$\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl

The compound 178a was prepared according to General Procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using (R)-3,3'-di([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol (173a) ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.474 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.), pyridine ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 116 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(72 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.524 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) for the formation of the chlorophosphite. The chlorophosphite was then reacted with $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ ( $372 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene (IMes ${ }^{\mathrm{Me}}$ ) ( 152 mg , 0.457 mmol, 0.95 equiv.) to give the crude ligand. Column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc 100:1) yielded the ligand 178a as a white solid ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \%$ yield).
$[\alpha]_{20}^{D}:-123.3\left(c=0.30, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=8.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05$ (s, 1H), $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3$, $6.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.1,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=146.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 146.0$, $143.6,143.4,142.4,141.9,141.8,141.3,141.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-p}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-p}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{C-P}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.4,135.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.8$, $133.7,133.5,133.2,132.9,132.8,132.5,132.3,131.4,131.3,131.3,130.7,130.6,130.3,130.2$, 130.1, 129.5, 129.3, 129.3, 129.0, 129.0, 128.9, 128.9, 128.9, 128.4, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, $125.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 21.3,19.0,18.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=9.1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 17.6,15.9,9.1 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=142.3 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: (376 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=-124.0\left(\mathrm{oct}, J_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{123} \mathrm{Sb}=1045.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $-124.0\left(\mathrm{sext}, J_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{121} \mathrm{sb}=1932.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=485,504,545,553,567,604,612,697,734,752,771,785,818,842,856,885$, 961, 1193, 1397, 1416, 1487; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}^{-} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-}\right]^{+}$: 951.4074; found (ESI) 951.4067.
(R)-2-\{2,6-Di(pyren-2-yl)dinaphtho[2,1-d:1',2'-f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepin-4-yl\}-1,3-dimesityl-4,5-dimethyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium hexafluoroantimonate (178b):


Ar = 2-pyrenyl

The compound 178b was prepared according to General Procedure $\mathbf{A}$ using ( $R$ )-3,3'-di(pyren-2-yl)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol 173b ( $336 \mathrm{mg}, 0.490 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), pyridine (116 $\mathrm{mg}, 118.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(71 \mathrm{mg}, 45.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.52$
mmol, 1.1 equiv.) for the formation of the chlorophosphite. The chlorophosphite was then reacted with $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-4,5-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene ( $\mathrm{IMes}^{\mathrm{Me}}$ ) ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.466 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95$ equiv.) to give the crude ligand. Column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc 100:1) yielded the ligand 178b as a white solid ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 79.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 17 \%$ yield).
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{20}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:-245.8\left(c=0.30, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=8.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.30-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 8.17-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.09-8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=146.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 146.3,143.1,142.9,141.9$, $141.4,136.2,135.7,135.3,135.2,135.0,135.0,134.9,134.7,133.9,133.9,133.8,133.6,133.3$, 133.1, 132.9, 132.6, 132.4, 132.4, 132.3, 132.1, 132.0, 130.6, 130.3, 130.2, 130.1, 129.6, 129.4, $129.3,129.1,129.0,128.5,128.4,128.2,128.1,127.9,127.8,127.7,127.6,127.4,127.3,127.1$, $126.8,126.6,126.5,125.4\left(d, J_{C-p}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.0,125.02,124.9\left(d, J_{C-p}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.7,124.3$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 21.3,21.2,19.0,17.6,17.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 15.0,8.9,8.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=142.2 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ : $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=-124.0$ (oct, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{123}{ }_{\mathrm{Sb}}=$ 1047.2 Hz ), $-124.0\left(\mathrm{sext}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{121}{ }_{\mathrm{Sb}}=1933.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=497,564,580,589,605$, 713, $751,773,802,825,843,853,869,882,917,1226,1406 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-}\right]^{+}: 1047.4074$; found (ESI) 1047.4074.
(R)-2-\{2,6-Di([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)dinaphtho[2,1-d:1',2'-f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepin-4-yl\}-1,3-di-mesityl-1H-imidazol-3-ium hexafluoroantimonate (178c):

The compound 178c was prepared according to General

$\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl Procedure A using (R)-3,3'-di([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol 173a ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 474 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), pyridine ( $114 \mathrm{mg}, 116 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(72$ $\mathrm{mg}, 45.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 524 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1$ equiv.) for the formation of the chlorophosphite. The chlorophosphite was then reacted with $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}$ ( $372 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene (IMes) ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 457 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.96$ equiv.) to give the crude ligand. Column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc 100:1) yielded the ligand 178c as a white solid ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 113.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 24 \%$ yield).
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{20}^{D}:-110.2\left(c=0.09, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-$ $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 146.6,146.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=\right.$ $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 145.7,145.6,143.4,142.1,141.9,141.4,141.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.8,136.8,136.6$, $135.6,135.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.2,134.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.7,133.5,133.2,133.1,133.0$, $132.6,132.4,132.3,132.2,131.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.2,130.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.6,130.5$ $\left(d, J_{C-p}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.3,130.2,130.1,129.6,129.4,129.1,128.9,128.9,128.8,128.5,128.5$, $128.1,128.0,128.0,128.0,127.9,127.7,127.5,127.4,127.3,125.9,125.3$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $124.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 21.2,18.8,17.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 17.6,17.5,16.0 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{\mathbf{1 H}\} \mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=142.09 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=(-110.34)-(-137.74)$ (m); IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=408,438,453,479,511,528,566,653,767,784,821,838,850,886$, 905, 964, 1194, 1417, 1443, 1485, $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{+}$: 923.3761; found (ESI) 923.3761.
( $R$ )-2-\{2,6-Di(pyren-2-yl)dinaphtho[2,1-d:1',2'-f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepin-4-yl\}-1,3-di-mesityl1 H -imidazol-3-ium hexafluoroantimonate (178d):

Compound 178d was prepared according to General Procedure

$\mathrm{Ar}=2$-pyrenyl

A using (R)-3,3'-di(pyren-2-yl)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol (173b) ( $244.5 \mathrm{mg}, 356 \mu \mathrm{~mol} 1.0$ equiv.), pyridine ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 86.6$ $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(53.8 \mathrm{mg}, 34.3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 392 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.1 equiv.) for the formation of the chlorophosphite. The chlorophosphite was then reacted with $\mathrm{NaSbF}_{6}(277 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07$ mmol, 3.0 equiv.) and 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1,3-dihydro-2H-imidazol-2-ylidene (IMes) ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.338 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.95$ equiv.) to give the crude ligand. Column chromatography (DCM/EtOAc 100:1) yielded the ligand 178d as a white solid (120 mg, $96 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 28 \%$ yield).
$[\alpha]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:-241.1\left(c=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.30-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-8.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.30-7.22 (m, 2H), $7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathbf{1 H}\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 146.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 146.2,146.1,145.1,143.0$, $142.7,142.6,141.4,138.3,135.8,135.7,135.6,135.5,135.2,135.0\left(d, J_{C-p}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.6$,
$134.2,133.9,133.7,133.6,133.4,132.8,132.7,132.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.3,132.2,132.1$, $131.7,131.1,130.9,130.8,130.6,130.2,132.0\left(d, J_{C-p}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.9,129.7,129.5,129.4$, $129.3,129.2,129.1,128.9,128.4,128.2,128.1,128.0,127.9,127.8,127.7,127.6,127.5,127.3$, $127.0,126.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.7,126.6,126.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.9,125.6,125.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.1,125.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.8,124.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.8,122.8,21.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=\right.$ 12.9 Hz ), 21.2, 18.7, 18.0, 17.5, 15.1ppm; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 142.25 \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\{\mathbf{1 H}\}$ NMR $\left(471 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=(-113.75)-(-134.29)(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=416,461$, $440,463,471,489,658,678,712,753,801,819,834,844,867,880,919,945,999,1030$, $1084,1151,1206,1217,1227,1306,1365,1414,1443,1493,1546,1599,1727,1738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-}\right]^{+}: 1019.3761$; found (ESI) 1019.3753.

General Procedure B for the preparation of $A u(I)$-catalysts

According to the published procedure, ${ }^{[218]}$ a dried Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and rubber septum was charged with the cationic phosphonite (1.0 equiv.) and dichloromethane ( 0.03 M ), then cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the Schlenk flask was then added (dimethyl sulfide)gold(I) chloride (1.0 equiv.) as a solid. The resulting solution was allowed to stir at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes, before warming to room temperature and stirring for between 30 minutes and one hour. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the product dried under high vacuum.

## Compound 162a


$\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl

Prepared as a pale yellow solid ( $149 \mathrm{mg}, 105 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 99 \%$ yield) from compound 178a ( $124.7 \mathrm{mg}, 105 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), chloro(dimethyl sulfide)gold(I) ( $32.4 \mathrm{mg}, 110 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 1.5 mL ) according to General Procedure B. $[\alpha]_{20}^{D}:-45.0\left(c=0.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=$ $8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-$ 7.64 (m, 12H), 7.54 (dddd, $J=8.2,6.8,2.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.48-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (ddd, $J=8.4$, $6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=145.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 144.9 ( $\left.d, J_{C-p}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 144.5,142.7,142.4,142.0,141.0,140.8,138.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{C-p}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.1,135.9,135.5,135.2,135.0,134.4,134.1,134.0,133.9,133.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=1.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 133.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-p}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.3,133.3,133.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.8,132.6,132.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=3.0\right.$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 131.4,130.9,130.6,130.1,129.7,129.5,128.9,128.6,128.4,128.3,128.3,128.1,128.1$, 128.0, 127.9, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 125.4 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 122.3 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 21.5, 21.2, 20.2, 18.9, 17.8, 16.5, 9.9, $9.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=112.5 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=-124.0\left(\mathrm{oct}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{123}{ }_{\mathrm{Sb}}=1049.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),-124.0\left(\mathrm{sext}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{121}{ }_{\mathrm{Sb}}=1932.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=499,510,539,562,612,655,698,735,754,767,844,876,891,961,1146$, $1184 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-}\right]^{+}$: 1183.3428; found (ESI) 1183.3432.

## Compound 162b



Prepared as a pale yellow solid ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 62.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 96 \%$ yield) from compound 178b ( $84.0 \mathrm{mg}, 65.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), chloro(dimethyl sulfide)gold(I) (20.2 mg, $68.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 2.0 mL ) according to General Procedure B.
$[\alpha]]_{20}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:-58.0\left(c=0.05, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=8.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.53-$ $8.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-8.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=7.7,7.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.34(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=145.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}\right.$ $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.3,142.7,137.8,137.0,135.4,134.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-p}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.3,134.1,133.8,133.8$, $133.8,133.3,133.3,133.1,132.8,132.7,132.5,132.4,132.2,132.1,131.8,130.4,129.8,129.6$ (d, J $J_{C-p}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.4, 129.3, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.1, 127.9, $127.8,127.8,127.7,127.2,126.7,126.5,125.2\left(d, J_{C-p}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.0,124.8,124.8,122.4\left(d, J_{C-}\right.$ $\mathrm{p}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 21.4,21.2,20.3,18.5,16.4,15.3,9.4 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}:\left(121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=$ 112.6 ppm; ${ }^{19}$ F\{ $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta=-124.0$ (oct, $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{123}{ }_{\mathrm{Sb}}=1045.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), -124.0 (sext, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}-}{ }^{121}{ }_{\mathrm{Sb}}=1932.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=499,540,563,575,582,586,589,605,654,712$, 751, $821,844,871,874,879,928,2359 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-}\right.$ $]^{+}: 1279.3428 ;$ found (ESI) 1279.3414.

Compound 162c

$\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl

Prepared as a yellow solid ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 108 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 94 \%$ yield) from compound 178c ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 113.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), chloro(dimethyl sulfide)gold(I) $(34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 115.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.01$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 3.6 mL ) according to General Procedure B.
$[\alpha]]_{20}^{D}:-30.5\left(c=0.11, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathbf{H}\} \mathbf{N M R}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=145.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $J_{C-P}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 144.7, 142.7, 142.5, 142.2, 141.1, 140.9, 137.5, 137.2, $135.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-p}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $135.3,135.1,135.0,134.1,133.7,133.6,133.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.4,133.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $132.8,132.6,132.3,132.1\left(d, J_{C-p}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6,131.4,130.9\left(d, J_{C-p}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.7,130.6$, 130.1, 130.0, 129.8, 129.5, 129.3, 129.0, 129.0, 128.7, 128.5, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.1 , $128.0,127.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 21.4,21.2,20.0$, 18.9, 17.6, $16.3 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{\mathbf{1 H}\}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=112.84 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\{\mathbf{1 H}\} \mathbf{N M R}(471 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.C D_{3} C N\right): \delta=(-113.60)-(-134.47)(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=515,540,582,605,655,732,844$, 882, $929,962,994,1028,1085,1149,1180,1224,1309,1445,1599 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS: calcd m/z for $\mathrm{C}_{65} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-}\right]^{+}: 1155.3115$; found (ESI) 1155.3158.

Compound 162d

$\mathrm{Ar}=2$-pyrenyl

Prepared as a yellow solid ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 94.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 99 \%$ yield) from compound 4 h ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 95.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), chloro(dimethyl sulfide)gold(I) (29.7 mg, $100.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 3.2 mL ) according to General Procedure B.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{20}^{D}:-54.8\left(c=0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta 8.65$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31-8.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.19-$ $8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 145.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 144.4$, $142.8,137.1,136.7,135.2,135.2,134.8,134.7,134.5,134.2\left(d, J_{C_{-P}}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.2,133.9$, 133.8, $133.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.0,132.6,132.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $132.3,132.2,132.0\left(d, J_{C-p}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.9,131.4,131.2,130.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.2,129.9$, 129.7, 129.6, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.2, $126.8,126.6,125.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.1,124.9,124.9,122.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{p}}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 21.3,21.2$, 20.1, 18.5, 16.2, 15.2 ppm; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\{\mathbf{1 H}\}$ NMR (121 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta 112.69 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\{\mathbf{1 H}\}$ NMR (471 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta(-112.98)-(-135.61)(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=514,582,653,712,802,844$, $879,928,995,1026,1085,1148,1180,1222,1259,1359,1406,1444,1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{AuClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{+}$: 1251.3115 ; found (ESI) 1251.3132 .

### 6.2.2. Synthesis of precursors 161a-n



$\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 182a: } R=H \\
& \text { 182b: } R=O M e \\
& \text { 183a: } R=H \\
& \text { 183b: } R=M e \\
& \text { 184a, 185a: } R_{1}=H, R_{2}=H \\
& \text { 184b, 185b: } R_{1}=O M e, R_{2}=H \\
& \text { 184c, 185c: } R_{1}=H, R_{2}=M e
\end{aligned}
$$

188a, 189a, 190a: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
188b, 189b, 190b: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
188c, 189c, 190c: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{OBn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
188d, 189d, 190d: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{TMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
188e, 189e, 190e: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{OPh}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
188f, 189f, 190f: $\mathrm{Ar}=m, m-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$
188g, 189g, 190g: $\mathrm{Ar}=m, m-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$
188h, 189h, 190h: $\mathrm{Ar}=0,0-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$
188i, 189i, 190i: $\mathrm{Ar}=0,0-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$
188j, 189j, 190j: $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

SPhos (8 mol\%), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3 equiv.),

THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1$ $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight


Scheme 56. Synthesis of the substituted [4]helicene-containing substrates 161a-n via consecutive Wittig reaction, Mallory photocyclization and Suzuki coupling.
2-(4-Bromo-2-methylstyryl)naphthalene (184c):
 (4-Bromo-2-methylbenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (183b) ( 2.00 g , $3.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was placed in a dry flask under inert atmosphere. Anhydrous THF ( 35 mL ) was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $n$-BuLi ( $1.7 \mathrm{~mL} 2.5 \mathrm{M}, 4.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.23$ equiv.) was added dropwise and the red mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2-naphthaldehyde ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 3.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added as a solution in dry THF ( 7 mL ) dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm up to rt and then stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 h . The reaction was quenched by addition of water ( 10 mL ). The biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 20 mL ), and the aqueous phase re-extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $10 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) to afford the olefin 184c as a 3:2 mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomers ( $917 \mathrm{mg}, 2.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): mixture of two isomers (33:67) $\delta=7.93-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=25.7,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ (ddt, $J=14.1,9.5,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.33-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=138.6,137.9$, 136.0, 135.4, 134.8, 134.3, 133.7, 133.4, 133.2, 133.1, 133.0, 132.6, 131.2, 130.7, 130.7, 130.6, $130.1,129.3,128.8,128.5,128.4,128.4,128.3,128.0,127.9,127.7,127.6,126.8,126.8,126.5$, 126.4, 126.3, 126.1, 126.1, 126.06, 125.8, 125.6, 123.5, 121.2, 121.0, 35.4, 20.0, 19.8,
14.0 ppm; IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1588,1503,1478,1444,1390,1379,1366,1273,1180,1107$, 1084, $959,901,886,868,859,849,772,763,745,717,664,640,620,605,567,549,531,445$, 415; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Br}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$: 322.0352; found (ESI) 322.0353.

General Procedure C for Oxidative Photocyclization Reactions

The respective stilbene (1.0 equiv.) as well as iodine ( 2.2 equiv.) were dissolved in THF; the solutions were added into the photoreactor filled with degassed toluene ( 450 mL , due to reactor design). After 2 to 24 hours of irradiation (reaction control via TLC) the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (aq., sat., 250 mL ), the phases were separated and the organic phase dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removal of the volatiles the crude mixture was subjected to column chromatography (conditions see individual cases) to yield the desired [4]helicenes.

## 2-Bromo-4-methylbenzo[c]phenanthrene (185c):



Compound 185c was prepared from stilbene 184c ( $880 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.00 equiv.) and iodine ( $1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 5.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.16$ equiv.) according to General Procedure C. After purification by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, pentane) the compound 185c was obtained as a white solid ( $510 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59$ mmol, 58\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-$ $7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.7,7.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 136.6,133.6,131.5,131.0,130.9,130.1,129.8$, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.8, 127.0, 126.8, 126.5, 126.5, 126.1, 122.9, 120.1, 20.0 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1602,1583,1563,1484,1451,1434,1401,1392,1369,1358,1338,1165,1133,1110$, $1096,1038,1032,896,884,869,852,834,821,806,799,788,775,743,731,692,660,612$, 595, 578, 547, 530, 520, 510, 490, 459, 447, 423, 411; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 320.0195; found (ESI) 320.0191.

1-\{[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]thynyl\}-2-bromobenzene (189c):


A suspension of 2-bromoiodobenzene (187) (1.01 g, $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.57 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv.), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(50.1 \mathrm{mg}, 71.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Cul (20.3mg, 106.5 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$.) in $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with nitrogen stream for 5 minutes. After 10 min , a solution of 1-(benzyloxy)-4-ethynylbenzene (188c) ( $880 \mathrm{~g}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.18$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise over 5 $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{BnO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ min via syringe, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. After complete consumption of the 2-bromoiodobenzene (187), as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was filtered
through a pad of celite and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the organic solvent was removed in vacuo. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, pentane) yielded the desired arylacetylene 189c as a white solid ( $974 \mathrm{mg}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ )
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (tdd, $\mathrm{J}=$ 10.4, 7.5, 5.6 Hz, 5H), $7.34-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=159.1,136.5,133.2,133.0,132.4,129.0,128.7$, 128.1, 127.5, 127.0, 125.7, 125.5, 115.30, 115.0, 94.0, 87.0, 70.0 ppm ; IR: ( $\mathrm{neat}^{\mathrm{cm}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2215$, 1603, 1583, 1568, 1507, 1460, 1452, 1431, 1415, 1380, 1311, 1285, 1244, 1172, 1146, 1117, 1107, 1078, 1039, 1024, 987, 971, 958, 941, 904, 862, 850, 811, 708, 651, 622, 596, 544, 529, 518, 501, 469, 454, 436, 420, 411; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 362.0301 ; found (ESI) 362.0298.

2-[(2-Bromophenyl)ethynyl]1,3-dimethoxybenzene (189h):


A suspension of 2-bromoiodobenzene (187) (492 mg, 0.22 mL , $1.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(24.0 \mathrm{mg}, 34.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cul}$ ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 52.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with nitrogen stream for 5 minutes. After 10 min , a solution of 2-ethynyl-1,3-dimethoxybenzene (188h) ( $309 \mathrm{mg}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 5 min via syringe, and the reaction mixture was stired overnight. After complete consumption of the 2 bromoiodobenzene, as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the organic solvent was removed in vacuo. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, pentane) yielded the desired arylacetylene a white solid ( $287 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.74-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}:\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=161.7,133.5$, 132.4, 130.2, 129.0, 126.9, 126.2, 125.3, 103.6, 101.5, 96.2, 86.8, 56.2 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=$ $3734,3726,3709,3628,1592,1581,1558,1489,1473,1460,1432,1300,1255,1110,1042$, 1027, 777, 754, 725, 679, 650; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 316.0015$; found (EI) 315.0015. HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 315.0015; found (EI) 315.0015.

## 2-[(2-bromophenyl)ethynyl]-1,3-dimethylbenzene (189i):

A suspension of 2-bromoiodobenzene 187 ( $441 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$,
 1 equiv.), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(21.9 \mathrm{mg}, 31.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, Cul $(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 47.2$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with nitrogen stream for 5 minutes. After 10 min , a solution of 2-ethynyl-1,3-dimethylbenzene (188i) ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \quad$ dropwise over 5 min via syringe, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. After complete consumption of the 2-bromoiodobenzene, as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \times$ mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the organic solvent was removed in vacuo. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, pentane) yielded the desired arylacetylene a white solid ( $228 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ mmol, 51\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (td, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.16(m, 2H), $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=140.8,133.4,132.6,129.2,128.3,127.1,126.9,126.1,125.2,122.7,96.3,92.0$, 21.4; IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{V}}=3734,3726,2360,1558,1473,1433,1420,1115,1042,1025,769,750$, 731, 712, 678, 663, 517; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 284.0195; found (EI) 284.0194.

General Procedure D for the preparation of Boronic Acids 190

A dried Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was charged with the respective arylbromide 189a-i (1.0 equiv.), which was then dissolved in THF and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $n$ Butyllithium ( 1.3 equiv.) was added dropwise over 10 min . The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After cooling to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ again, triisopropylborate ( 1.5 equiv.) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature overnight, while maintaining vigorous stirring. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{M})$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvents removed in vacuo. The crude boronic acids 190a-i were filtered through a silica pad washing with EtOAc and used without further purification.

A Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was loaded with the respective bromide (1 equiv.), the respective boronic acid 190a-i (1.5 equiv.), the base ( $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 3$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ (4 mol\%) and SPhos (8 mol\%). A thoroughly degassed mixture of THF and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1, 0.02 M ) was added. The solution was placed in a pre-heated oil bath and stirred at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was eluted through a plug of silica gel using DCM. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the desired alkyne-decorated [5]helicene precursors 161a-n.

## 2-\{2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl)\}benzo[c]phenanthrene (161a):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (208 mg, $677 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 equiv.), 190a ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(25.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $27.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}\left(22.2 \mathrm{mg}, 54.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 664 mg , $2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 34 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 161a as a yellow solid ( $234 \mathrm{mg}, 538.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 80\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=159.4$, $143.8,138.7,133.4,133.1,132.9,132.7,131.2,130.3,130.12,130.05,128.7,128.33,128.28$, $128.14,128.13,127.7,127.67,127.5,127.4,127.2,127.1,127.0,126.8,126.3,125.9,122.3$, 115.3, 113.8, $92.5,88.4,55.2$ ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3049,3014,2960,2930,2908,2836$, $2206,1604,1568,1508,1475,1463,1457,1440,1426,1395,1303,1287,1246,1173,1161$, $1146,1106,1076,1058,1027,984,965,952,912,871,844,828,791,754,718,707,695,681$, 654, 641, 619, 588, 578, 566, 547, 529, 496, 483, 460, 443, 422, 407; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 435.1743$; found (ESI) 435.1739.

## 2-[2-(p-Tolylethynyl)phenyl]benzo[c]phenanthrene (161b):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (208 mg, $677 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 equiv.), 190b ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.49$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(25.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $27.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(22.2 \mathrm{mg}, 54.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(664 \mathrm{mg}$, $2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 34 mL ) according to

General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product as a yellow solid ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 406.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 60 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.01 - $6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3 ) $\delta=144.0,138.7,138.2,133.4$, $133.3,132.7,131.3,131.2,130.3,130.14,130.05,128.9,128.7,128.5,128.3,128.2,128.1$, $127.7,127.5,127.4,127.2,127.1,127.0,126.8,126.3,125.9,122.2,120.1,92.7,89.0$, 21.4 ppm ; IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2372,2354,2319,1509,1475,1443,1424,910,843,827,814$, 794, $753,707,680,619,588,577,532,517,497,416$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{22}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 418.1716; found (ESI) 418.1713.

## 2-(2-\{[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]ethynyl\}phenyl)benzo[c]phenanthrene (161c):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{BnO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (208 mg, $677 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 equiv.), 190c ( $335 \mathrm{mg}, 1.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.51$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(25.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $27.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}\left(22.2 \mathrm{mg}, 54.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 664 mg , $2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 34 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0\right.$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product as a yellow solid ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 376 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 56 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.75 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=158.6,143.8,138.7$, $136.6,133.4,133.1,132.9,132.7,131.2,130.3,130.13,130.05,128.7,128.6,128.4,128.3$, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 127.5, 127.41, 127.39, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 126.8, 126.3, 125.9, 122.3, 115.6, 114.7, 92.5, 88.5, 69.9 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1602,1506,1475,1453,1283,1240$, $1224,1173,1146,1107,1023,1003,910,843,827,794,753,712,694,681,667,644,618$, $600,587,577,565,550,535,522,513,497,482,457,440,413$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ [M] ${ }^{+}$: 510.1978; found (ESI) 510.1975.
(4-\{[2-(Benzo[c]phenanthren-2-yl)phenyl]ethynyl\}phenyl)trimethylsilane (161d):

$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

0 to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product 161d as a yellow solid ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 385.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 88\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.26 - $7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3 ) $\delta=144.2,140.8,138.6,133.4$, 133.3, 133.0, 132.7, 131.2, 130.4, 130.3, 130.2, 130.1, 128.63, 128.64, 128.4, 128.1, 128.09, $127.7,127.5,127.4,127.21,127.15,127.1,126.8,126.3,125.9,123.4,122.0,92.7,90.0,-$ 1.29 ppm; IR: (neat) $\tilde{v}=1594,1475,1246,1108,1095,818,794,777,753,715,695,681,633$, 619, 595, 576, 563, 550, 536, 523, 499, 487, 472, 440, $410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HR-MS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Si}^{+}$ [M] ${ }^{+}$: 476.1955; found (ESI) 476.1951.

## 2-\{2-[(4-Phenoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[c]phenanthrene (161e):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{PhO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (135 mg, 439.4 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 e ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 693.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.58$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture $(22 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0\right.$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 161 e as a yellow solid ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 370.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 84\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.50-9.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.05-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ - $7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ $157.3,156.5,144.0,138.6,133.4,133.2,133.0,132.7,131.2,130.3,130.13,130.05,129.8$, $128.7,128.5,128.4,128.2,128.1,127.6,127.5,127.4,127.22,127.16,127.1,126.8,126.3$, 125.9, 123.7, 122.1, 119.2, 118.3, 117.8, 92.1, 89.1 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1731,1586,1504$, $1486,1445,1357,1277,1236,1196,1164,1102,1070,1023,1012,962,953,910,868,841$, 794, 774, 751, 707, 691, 666, 619, 600, 588, 577, 566, 552, 532, 523, 487, 441, 415; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 496.1822; found (ESI) 496.1820.

## 2-\{2-[3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[c]phenanthrene (161f ):



Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (135 mg, $439.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 f ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 659.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos ( $14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent
mixture ( 22 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product 161 f as a yellow solid ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 234.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 53 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.46-9.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.30(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl 3$) \delta: 160.3$, $144.5,138.7,133.5,133.2,132.7,131.3,130.3,130.1,130.0,128.71,128.65,128.5,128.2$, $128.0,127.62,127.58,127.4,127.21,127.17,127.1,126.8,126.4,125.9,124.5,121.9,109.0$, 101.9, 92.5, 89.3, 55.2 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2373,2352,2346,2331,2321,1583,1478$, 1446, 1416, 1355, 1321, 1267, 1250, 1235, 1202, 1153, 1139, 1062, 1028, 959, 927, 915, 902, $868,858,845,819,796,762,749,737,715,700,680,619,594,578,556,542,526,487,477$, 470, 443, 414; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 465.1849$; found (ESI) 465.1845.

## 2-\{2-[(3,5-Dimethylphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[c]phenanthrene (161g):


$\mathrm{Ar}=m, m-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (81.6 mg, 265.6 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $190 \mathrm{~g}\left(100 \mathrm{mg}, 399.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 10.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 21.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, ~ 8.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 801 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture (14 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, 0 to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product 161 g as a yellow solid ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 154.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 58 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $8.03-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.92-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta=144.0,138.6$, $137.6,133.4,133.3,132.7,131.2,130.3,130.1,130.03,129.99,129.0,128.7,128.5,128.4$, $128.2,128.1,127.7,127.66,127.55,127.4,127.2,127.10,127.05,126.8,126.3,125.9,122.7$, 122.1, 92.9, 88.8, 21.0 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1596,1479,1444,1425,1029,909,843,827$, 794, $753,687,619,595,577,542,522,494 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{23}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 431.1794; found (ESI) 432.1869.

## 2-\{2-[(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[c]phenanthrene (161h):



Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (57 mg, 185.5 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $190 \mathrm{~h}\left(80 \mathrm{mg}, 283.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.53\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 8.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 14.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 565 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture (11
$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$
mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 161 h as a yellow solid ( $72.4 \mathrm{mg}, 155.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05$ (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ $(\mathrm{p}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.7,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=161.4,143.9,139.0,133.4,133.3,132.7,131.1$, $130.4,130.2,130.1,129.6,129.0,128.4,128.2,128.0,127.9,127.8,127.5,127.2,127.0,126.9$, 126.7, 126.1, 125.8, 122.9, 103.3, 101.8, $97.9,85.4,55.7$ ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=2359,1479$, 901, $845,796,775,764,753,681,619,578,550,514,494$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}-$ H] ${ }^{+}$: 463.1693; found (EI) 431.1685.

## 2-\{2-[(2,6-Dimethylphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[c]phenanthrene (161i):


$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (135.1 mg, 440 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 i ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 660 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\operatorname{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ (16.1 mg, $17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\operatorname{SPhos}(14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 25 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product 161 i as a white solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 370 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-$ $7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.81$ (m, 2H), 2.12 (s, 6H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=144.1,140.3,139.0,133.4,133.2$, 132.7, 131.2, 130.3, 130.1, 130.1, 128.6, 128.41, 128.39, 128.3, 128.0, 127.7, 127.59, 127.55, 127.4, 127.22, 127.16, 127.0, 126.8, 126.5, 126.2, 125.9, 122.9, 122.8, 97.6, 90.4, 20.6 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3049,2918,1598,1469,1421,1370,1245,1111,1025,901,845,793,764$, 751, 619, 576, 513, 494; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{23}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 431.1794; found (EI) 431.1795.

## 2-[2-(Phenylethynyl)phenyl]benzo[c]phenanthrene (161j):


$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[c]phenanthrene (185a) (208 mg, 677.1 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 j ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 27.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(22.2 \mathrm{mg}, 54.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $664 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 39 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 161j as a yellow solid (178 mg, $440 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$,

65\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ $-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (one overlapping peak) $\delta=144.1,138.6,133.44,133.35,132.8$, $131.4,131.2,130.3,130.2,130.1,128.68,128.66,128.4,128.2,128.1,128.1,127.7,127.5,127.4$, 127.22, 127.17, 127.1, 126.8, 126.3, 125.9, 123.2, 122.0, $92.4,89.7 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1597$, $1491,1474,1441,1156,1107,911,841,827,793,688,666,619,590,576,566,533,523,497$, 489, 411; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{19}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 403.1481; found (EI) 403.1480.

## 10-Methoxy-2-\{2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[c]phenanthrene (161k):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 185b (114 mg, $338.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190a ( 128 mg , $507.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 13.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos ( $11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 27.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.1 equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 22 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 161k as a yellow solid ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 294.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58$ - $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.63(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}-\mathrm{NMR}$ : ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=159.4,157.5,143.9$, 138.5, 135.0, 133.1, 132.9, 132.8, 130.1, 129.91, 129.87, 129.7, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, $127.41,127.35,127.11,127.07,126.8,126.2,125.1,122.3,117.0,115.3,113.8,108.1,92.5$, 88.5, 55.4, 55.2 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1623,1604,1567,1508,1476,1455,1428,1396$, $1355,1303,1286,1264,1246,1195,1173,1146,1106,1029,962,932,907,854,829,784$, 757, $737,718,693,682,664,652,638,598,574,534,525,504,486,434,416$; HR-MS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 465.1849$; found (ESI) 465.1847.

## 10-Methoxy-2-[2-(p-tolylethynyl)phenyl]benzo[c]phenanthrene (161)):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 185b (100 mg, $296.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190b ( 105 mg , $444,7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 12.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos $(9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 15 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0\right.$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 161 l as a yellow solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 133.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 45 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ $-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}-\mathrm{NMR}:\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $157.5,144.0,138.5,138.2,135.0,133.2,132.8,131.3,130.1,129.91,129.88,129.7,128.9$, $128.7,128.4,128.1,128.0,127.4,127.4,127.10,127.06,126.8,126.2,125.0,122.1,120.1$, 116.9, 108.1, $92.6,89.1,55.4,21.4$ ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2928,2835,1623,1609,1508$, 1476, 1453, 1427, 1396, 1355, 1263, 1234, 1194, 1161, 1108, 1033, 962, 950, 932, 907, 785, 756, 707, 694, 681, 665, 649, 637, 598, 573, 533, 520, 501, 465, 447, 433, 417, 407; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 448.1822; found (ESI) 448.1822.

## 2-(2-\{[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]ethynyl\}phenyl)-10-methoxybenzo[c]phenanthrene (161m):



Prepared from 185b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 296.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190c ( 164 mg , $499.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.68$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 12.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos ( $9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 24.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 899.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 3.0 equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 15 mL ) according to general procedure $\mathbf{E}$. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 161 m as a yellow solid ( $96.5 \mathrm{mg}, 178.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 60 \%$ ).

NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=9.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{ddt}, J=21.5,9.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.76 (dd, $J=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=158.5,157.5,143.9,138.5,136.6,135.0,133.1,132.9,132.8,130.0,129.9$, 129.9, 129.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 128.11, 128.05, 128.0, 127.45, 127.42, 127.35, 127.11, 127.07, 126.8, 126.2, 125.0, 122.3, 117.0, 115.6, 114.8, 108.1, 92.4, 88.5, 69.9, 55.37; IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1604,1507,1477,1454,1427,1356,1284,1264,1235,1195,1173,1147,1107,1028$, $908,842,828,785,754,735,694,682,665,638,601,574,537,518,504,484,456,450,431$, 422, 417, 407; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 541.2162; found (ESI) 541.2157.

## 2-(2-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)phenyl)-4-methylbenzo[c]phenanthrene (161n):



Prepared from 185c (150 mg, $466.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 ( 177 mg , $702.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(17.0 \mathrm{mg}, 18.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos ( $22.2 \mathrm{mg}, 54.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 11.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $664 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 34 mL ) according to general procedure $\mathbf{E}$.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product as a yellow solid (178 mg, $396.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00$ $(d, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-$ $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=159.4,143.9,138.0,134.1,133.5,133.1,132.9,131.6,130.8,130.4$, $130.3,130.0,128.6,128.5,128.3,128.2,128.16,127.4,127.1,127.0,126.8,126.7,126.2$, 125.9, 123.1, 122.3, 115.4, 113.8, $93.0,88.5,55.2,20.4$ ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1604,1567$, 1509, 1478, 1461, 1439, 1415, 1401, 1302, 1286, 1246, 1173, 1161, 1146, 1133, 1106 ,1028, $909,885,872,829,809,795,783,752,716,709,679,642,629,603,593,577,530,505,492 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 449.1900$; found (ESI) 449.1912.

### 6.2.3. Au(I) Catalyzed Hydroarylation towards [5]Helicenes



Scheme 57. Enantioselective $\mathrm{Au}(1)$-catalyzed cycloisomerization reaction.

General Procedure F for Gold-catalyzed Cycloisomerization Reactions

In a Schlenk flask equipped with a stirring bar, the respective substrate ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$ precatalyst ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were thoroughly dried in vacuo for 30 min . The mixture was dissolved in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) and cooled to the desired temperature $\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. A freshly prepared solution of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( 0.05 M in DCM , 5 mol\%) was added. After stirring for 24 h , the mixture was filtered through a plug of silica to give a mixture of the desired helicene and the corresponding dibenzo[a,m]tetraphene. Further purification by preparative HPLC gave the desired helicenes.

As a reference for the determination of the helicenes' enantiomeric excesses, racemic reactions were carried out with gold catalyst 223 employing the general procedure described above but stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature instead.

## 9-(4-Methoxyphenyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163a):



Prepared from 161a ( $10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 24.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid ( $9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield), 163a:191a: >99:1, >95\% conversion (determined by NMR), 95\% enantiomeric excess.

The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, modifier $35 \% i$-propanol at $1.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $2000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.76 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.87 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(95 \% e e)+1561.70(c=1.07, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $7.85-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38$ (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1 H ), 7.16 (ddd, $J=8.4,6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=157.0,139.2,135.1,132.5,132.0,131.5,131.1,130.4,130.1,129.8,128.7,128.6$, $128.4,128.01,127.97,127.5,127.2,127.0,126.7,126.5,126.4,126.1,125.4,125.3,125.1$, 124.9, 123.3, 121.6, 55.3 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1604,1507,1483,1464,1438,1316,1284$, $1242,1207,1175,1145,1110,1033,946,902,889,834,828,793,772,763,743,731,701$, 660, 641, 625, 591, 577, 558, 549, 538, 513, 473, 449, 439, 428, 418; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 434.1665$; found (ESI) 434.1600.

Synthesis of compound 163a, reaction scaled up to $250 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$

Substrate 161a ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 248.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( $18.9 \mathrm{mg}, 12.46 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(4.26 \mathrm{mg}, 12.48 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ were stirred according to General Procedure F at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0\right.$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product as a yellow solid ( $88.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ), 161a:191a: 97:3, ee = 92\%.

## 9-(p-Tolyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163b):



Prepared from 161b ( $10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 25.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude
mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163b:191b: >99:1, $>95 \%$ conversion (determined by NMR), 97\% enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of 163b ( $9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=80 / 20$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $t_{R}=23.3 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, modifier $30 \% i$ propanol at $1.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $2000 \mathrm{psi}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=2.09 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.46 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(97 \% e e)+182.4(\mathrm{c}=0.04, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.64-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (ddd, $J=8.0,6.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ 8.5, 6.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), $6.88-5.36(\mathrm{brs}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta=$ $139.7,139.3,134.4,132.4,132.0,131.4,131.0,130.3,130.0,129.9,128.8,128.77,128.5$, $128.0,127.3,127.1,126.9,126.81,126.78,126.7,126.5,126.4,126.0,125.3,125.1,124.8$, 123.3, 121.6, 20.7 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1692,1599,1509,1260,1245,1145,1112,1075$, 1031, 1021, 979, 947, 905, 837, 817, 794, 763, 743, 731, 702, 669, 659, 642, 625, 591, 579, 551, 534, 520, 512, 493, 473, 458, 450, 442; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{22}$ : [M] ${ }^{+}$: 417.1716; found (ESI) 418.1712.

## 9[-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163c):



Prepared from 161c ( $12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b (1.9 mg, $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163c:191c: >99:1, >95\% conversion (determined by NMR), 95\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{BnO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
The analytically pure sample of $163 \mathrm{c}(9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over 20 min , $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=17.6 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, modifier $35 \%$ i-propanol at $1.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $2000 \mathrm{psi}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.50 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.51 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(95 \% e e)+812.5(\mathrm{c}=0.39, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.88(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, 9H), 7.14 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.19 (br s, 4H), $4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=156.3,139.2,137.5,135.3,132.4,132.0,131.4,131.0,130.4,130.1,129.8,128.7$,
128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.95, 127.8, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 127.0, 126.7, 126.5, 126.4, 126.1, 125.31, 125.27, 125.1, 124.9, 123.3, 121.6, 69.9 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1604,1506$, $1484,1465,1454,1440,1314,1287,1278,1238,1223,1174,1145,1111,1080,1016,947$, $903,864,834,825,794,773,764,727,695,680,660,641,615,590,577,550,539,513,472$, 448, 419, 408; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$: 510.1978; found (ESI) 510.1975.

## Trimethyl\{4-(naphtho[2,1-c]chrysen-9-yl)phenyl\}silane (163d):



Prepared from 161d (11.9 mg, $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162 b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163d:191d: >99:1, >95\% conversion (determined by NMR), 95\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $163 \mathrm{~d} \quad(10.7 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $10 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=22.0 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IG3, modifier $35 \%$ ethanol at $1.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, and backpressure regulator set to 2000 psi , 300 K ; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.43 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=2.70 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(95 \%$ ee $)+1103.9(c=0.34, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.2,8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (ddd, J=15.3, $8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.70 (ddd, $J=7.9,6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.65-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (dd, J=8.1, 1.3 Hz, 1 H ), $7.44-7.33$ (m, 2H), 7.15 (ddd, $J=8.3,6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.04-6.20(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.65$ (s br, 1H), 0.20 (s, 9H) ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.4,139.6,136.2,132.4,132.0,131.4$, 130.9, 130.4, 130.0, 129.97, 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 127.17, 126.9, 126.8, 126.7, 126.5, 126.2, 126.1, 125.3, 125.0, 124.7, 123.4, 121.6, -1.2 ppm; IR: (neat) $\tilde{v}=1244$, 1109, 1031, 832, 817, 793, 776, 764, 742, 726, 704, 693, 657, 641, 627, 592, 577, 549, 537, 516, 496, 472, $407 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Si}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 476.1955$; found (ESI) 476.1954.

## 9-(4-Phenoxyphenyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163e):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{PhO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $163 \mathrm{e}(11.1 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield) was
obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, 295 K ; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=20.1 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, modifier $25 \%$ i-propanol at $2.25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to 3000 psi , 300 K ; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.14 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.51 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(97 \% e e)+789.8(c=0.47, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41$ (ddt, J = 8.1, 7.0, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.25-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.80-6.58(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19-5.93(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=157.9,154.1,139.0,138.0,132.4,132.1,131.3,131.1,130.4,130.2,129.9$, $129.4,129.0,128.7,128.5,128.3,127.8,127.5,127.3,127.03,127.00,126.9,126.7,126.6$, 126.2, 125.6, 125.5, 125.2, 125.1, 123.4, 122.5, 121.7, 118.1 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1587$, 1504, 1487, 1439, 1229, 1166, 1146, 1103, 1073, 1026, 1015, 947, 903, 866, 835, 793, 765, $745,727,690,660,641,605,586,577,565,550,533,522,511,499,492,473,451,442,430$, 420, 410; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}$ : [M] ${ }^{+}$: 496.1822; found (ESI) 496.1818.

## 9-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163f):



Prepared from $161 \mathrm{f}(11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163f:191f: >99:1, >95\% conversion (determined by NMR), 68\% enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of 163 f ( $8.9 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=10.7 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IG3, modifier $25 \%$ i-propanol at $2.25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to 3000 psi , 310 K ; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=2.29 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.35 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(68 \% \mathrm{ee})+1252.1(c=0.46, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.89$ (dd, $J=10.8,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (ddd, $J=8.0,6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (ddd, $J=8.3,6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.87 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.80(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.0,139.4,132.3,131.9,131.8,130.6,130.4,130.0,129.8,129.2,128.9,128.6,127.8$, $127.5,127.3,127.3,127.0,126.8,126.5,126.2,125.5,125.1,125.1,124.7,123.3,121.6,110.0$, 105.8, 105.2, 99.1, 55.0, 54.6. IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1597,1523,1481,1458,1449,1438,1421$, $1403,1384,1330,1299,1255,1194,1185,1146,1117,1075,1058,1038,1029,996,977,952$,

897, 880, 867, 854, 833, 791, 760, 748, 714, 693, 678, $658,650,634,616,599,590,577,567$, 550, 520, 472, 462, 446, 437, 419, $411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 465.1849$; found (ESI) 465.1853.

## 9-(3,5-Dimethylphenyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163g):



Prepared from 161g ( $10.8 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163g:191g: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), 68\% enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of $\mathbf{1 6 3 g}(8.6 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=20.4 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral HPLC: IA-3, Hex/IPA $=$ $95 / 5$, isocratic, $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, 256 \mathrm{~nm}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.8 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.6 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(68 \% e e)+918.7(c=0.43, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.90(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $8.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.59 (s br, J = $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 141.7,139.6,132.4,131.9,131.7$, $130.2,129.9,129.7,129.1,128.9,128.5,128.0,127.4,127.1,127.01,126.96,126.8,126.7$, 126.6, 126.3, 126.0, 125.7, 125.3, 125.2, 124.4, 123.3, 121.6, 21.1. IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1600$, $1465,1437,1370,1323,1258,1090,1065,1030,976,960,942,903,885,862,853,837,816$, 791, 769, 762, 750, 741, 714, 696, 676, 666, 654, 643, 617, 607, 588, 581, 547, 524, 499, 471, 455, 437, 427, 418, 409, 401; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 432.1873$; found (ESI) 432.1874.

## 9-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163h):



Prepared from 161h (10.8 mg, $23.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, 0.05 M in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude was as a yellow solid, 163h:191h: $>75: 25,>45 \%$ conversion (determined by NMR), 0\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=0,0-(\mathrm{MeO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ The analytically pure sample of 163 h ( $3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over
$20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}-295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral HPLC: IG-3 SFC, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}=$ $80 / 20$, isocratic, $20 \mathrm{~min}, 2.25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=4.15 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $t_{R}=2.51 \mathrm{~min}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H), $7.35-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{ddt}, J=8.4,6.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=($ two overlapping peaks) $155.7,154.8,132.0,131.7,131.5,131.1,130.7$, $130.5,130.2,130.1,129.8,129.2,128.6,128.1,127.5,127.1,127.0,126.9,126.7,126.7,126.5$, 126.1, 125.9, 125.6, 124.3, 123.4, 122.0, 118.9, 102.9, 102.5, 54.4, 54.2. IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\left.^{c} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{v}=$ 3733, 3627, 2360, 1578, 1471, 1246, 1109, 1024, 838, 747, 659; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 465.1849$; found (ESI) 465.1847 .

## 9-PhenyInaphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163j):


$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$

Prepared from 161j ( $10.1 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163j:191j: >99:1, 16\% conversion (determined by NMR), 94\% enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of 163 j ( $1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral HPLC: IA-3, Hex/IPA $=95 / 5$, isocratic, $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, $298 \mathrm{~K}, 256 \mathrm{~nm}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.7 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.6 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(94 \% e e)+1082(c=0.43, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91-8.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.38 (t, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.17 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), $6.99-6.17$ (m, 4H), 5.68 (br. s, 1H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=$ (two overlapping peaks) $142.2,139.7,132.4,132.0$, 131.5, 130.9, 130.4, 130.1, 129.9, 129.2, 128.8, 128.6, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 127.1, 127.0, 126.9, 126.8, 126.7, 126.5, 126.1, 125.4, 125.1, 125.0, 124.8, 123.4, 121.6 ppm IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=$ 3732, 3599, 2454, 2925, 2831, 1575, 1472, 1246, 1109, 1033, 837, 808, 747, 697, 670, 660; HRMS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{20}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 404.1560$; found (EI) 404.1562.

## 6-Methoxy-9-(4-methoxyphenyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163k):



Prepared from 161k ( $11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 161k:191k: 93:7, >95\% conversion (determined by NMR), 93\% enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of 163 k ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}-1,295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=12.0 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, with $20 \%$ i-propanol at $2.25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and $3000 \mathrm{psi} \mathrm{bpr}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 2.84 min, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.57 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(93 \%$ ee $)+981.70(c=0.31, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.8,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.1,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (ddt, $J=21.8,15.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-5.39(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=157.6,157.1,139.1,135.1,133.0,132.4,132.1,130.3,129.8,129.1$, 128.9, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.8, 127.2, 126.7, 126.5, 126.43, 126.39, 126.2, 125.5, 125.2, 124.9, 123.3, 121.6, 116.3, 107.0, 55.5, 55.3 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1618,1606,1508$, 1480, $1470,1270,1244,1176,1033,851,830,750,734,672,657,418,405 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 464.1771; found (ESI) 464.1767.

## 6-Methoxy-9-(p-tolyl)naphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (1631):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 161I ( $11.2 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163I:191I: >99:1, >95\% conversion (determined by NMR), 88\% enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of 1631 ( $7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=80 / 20$ to 100/0 over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=21.2 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, modifier $35 \%$ i-propanol at $2.25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 300 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.70 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $t_{R}=3.53 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(88 \% \mathrm{ee})+1090.10(c=0.29, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.9,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=23.7,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-$ $7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.1, 2.7 Hz, 1H), $6.73-4.98(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=157.5,139.5,139.3,134.4,132.9,132.4,132.1,130.2,129.8,128.9,128.8,128.5,128.4$, 128.0, 127.2, 126.64, 126.61, 126.5, 126.4, 126.2, 126.1, 125.4, 125.1, 124.9, 123.3, 121.5, 116.2, 107.0, 55.5, 20.7. IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1619,1605,1525,1510,1496,1480,1469,1447$, $1430,1406,1352,1269,1237,1194,1169,1144,1115,1072,1053,1031,954,903,849,832$, $815,789,748,731,723,703,656,625,607,595,582,568,551,543,531,510,494,481,450$, 427, 413; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 449.1900; found (ESI) 449.1898 .

## 9-[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]-6-methoxynaphtho[2,1-c]chrysene (163m):



Prepared from 161m ( $13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 163m:191m: 94:6, >95\% conversion (determined by NMR), 88\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{BnO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $163 \mathrm{~m} \quad(10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=16.7 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, modifier $35 \%$ i-propanol at $2.25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to 3000 psi , 300 K ; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.92 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.42 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(88 \% \mathrm{ee})+911.5(c=0.32, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.4,6.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60$ - 5.45 (br s, 4H), $4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=157.5,156.3$, $139.0,137.6,135.4,132.9,132.4,132.1,130.2,129.8,129.0,128.8,128.63,128.56,128.4$, $128.3,128.1,127.8,127.2,127.1,126.7,126.5,126.4,126.4,126.2,125.5,125.1,124.9,123.3$, 121.5, 116.3, 107.0, 69.8, 55.4. IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1604,1559,1524,1506,1480,1469,1454$, $1430,1407,1352,1314,1269,1236,1224,1195,1173,1145,1114,1073,1053,1027,952$, $904,849,824,811,787,747,727,695,657,649,626,607,595,582,568,554,535,516,509$, 499, 481, 454, 433, 424, 407; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 540.2084$; found (ESI) 540.2081.

## 9-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-16-methylnaphtho[2,1-c]chrysene 163n



Prepared from 161n (11.2 mg, $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162b ( 1.9 mg , $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to general procedure $\mathbf{F}$. The crude mixture was obtained as a green solid, 163n:191n: 97:3, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), 98\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $163 \mathrm{n}(7.3 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=90 / 10$ to $100 / 0$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$; helicene $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=15.557 \mathrm{~min}$, isomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=20.6 \mathrm{~min}$. The ee was determined by chiral HPLC: IA-3, Hex/IPA $=95 / 5,20 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 298 \mathrm{~K}, 256 \mathrm{~nm}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 3.1 min , minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.9 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(98 \%$ ee $)+977.1(c=0.36, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.45(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 7.37 (ddd, $J=8.0,6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.14 (ddd, $J=8.3,6.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.28 ( s br, $J=111.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=157.0,139.2,135.2$, $132.6,132.4,131.7,131.6,131.2,129.8,129.7,129.4,128.8,128.4,127.9,127.5,127.1$, $127.03,126.98,126.9,126.6,126.3,125.5,125.3,125.26,124.8,123.3,122.14,122.10,55.3$, 20.4. IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2372,2351,2319,1604,1509,1484,1462,1450,1441,1435,1288$, $1242,1172,1157,1128,1104,1068,1032,959,949,906,892,865,831,816,797,781,766$, $741,731,705,670,666,652,640,631,620,600,589,577,551,539,530,508,482,453,438$, 424, 416, 405 ; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 448.1822; found (ESI) 448.1822.

### 6.3. Enantioselective synthesis of thia[5]helicenes

### 6.3.1. Synthesis of precursors

183a, 196a, 197a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
183b, 196b, 197b: $R=M e$

164a: $\mathrm{Ar}=p$-(OMe) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ (94\%)
164b: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(85 \%)$
164c: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{OBn}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(86 \%)$
164d: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(31 \%)$
164e: $\mathrm{Ar}=p$-(OPh) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(85 \%)$
164f: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-(n-\mathrm{BuO}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(57 \%)$
164g: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(81 \%)$
164h: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ (48\%)
164i: $\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}(59 \%)$
164j: $\quad \mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}(58 \%)$


190a-j (1.5 equiv.)
$\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ (4 mol\%),
SPhos (8 mol\%),
$\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3 equiv.),
THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1$
$80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight


164a-j

Scheme 58. Synthesis of the precursors of thia[5]helicenes - substituted thia[4]helicenes 164a-j via consecutive Wittig reaction, Mallory photocyclization and Suzuki coupling.

## E,Z-2-(4-Bromo-2-methylstyryl)benzo[b]thiophene (196b):


(4-Bromo-2-methylbenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (183b) (2.0 g, $3.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was placed in a dry flask under inert atmosphere. Anhydrous THF ( 35 mL ) was added and the resulting suspension was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $n$-BuLi ( $1.7 \mathrm{~mL} 2.5 \mathrm{M}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) was added dropwise, and the red mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h . The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and commercially available benzo[b]thiophene-2-carbaldehyde 195 (560 mg, $3.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added dropwise as a solution in dry THF ( 7 mL ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to rt, then stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and cooled down to rt . The reaction was quenched by addition of water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The biphasic mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and the aqueous phase re-extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) to afford the olefin as a mixture of $E$ - and $Z$-diastereomers ( $950 \mathrm{mg}, 2.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ : ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): mixture of two isomers $(2: 3) \delta=7.82-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$
$142.8,140.4,140.2,139.8,139.0,138.9,138.7,137.9,135.3,134.6,133.3,133.0,131.0,129.3$, 129.1, 128.8, 127.3, 126.7, 125.5, 125.3, 124.9, 124.7, 124.6, 124.3, 124.0, 123.7, 123.5, 122.3, 122.2, 122.1, 121.9, 121.6, 19.73, 19.69 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1587,1474,1455,1431,1374$, 1212, 1098, $952,935,859,847,830,793,745,725,681,658,591,572,550,530,510 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 327.9916$; found (EI) 327.9913.

## 2-Bromo-4-methylbenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197b):



Compound 197b was prepared from 196b ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 2.43 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.) and iodine ( $680 \mathrm{mg}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) according to General Procedure C described above. After purification by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, pentane) the compound 197 b was obtained as a white solid ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83$ ( $q, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.59-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=139.8$, $139.3,137.7,136.3,131.8,129.5,129.2,128.7,125.6,125.1,124.7,124.0,123.5,123.3,121.3$, 121.2, 20.2 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1598,1581,1541,1503,1457,1438,1393,1373,1358$, $1308,1289,1213,1157,1145,1105,1074,1028,925,868,846,822,802,791,769,736,713$, $678,656,622,605,566,545,516,499,474,416$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 325.9756; found (ESI) 325.9759.

## 2-\{2-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164a):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (136 mg, $434.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190a ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 658.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.52$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $15.9 \mathrm{mg}, 17.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(14.2 \mathrm{mg}, 34.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 25 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product as a yellow solid ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 408.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 94 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96-8.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=159.5,143.6,139.6,139.3,138.8,136.6,133.2,132.9,131.2$, $130.4,130.1,129.4,128.9,128.4,127.6,127.3,126.6,125.3,124.9,124.9,124.0,123.0,122.3$, 121.2, 115.2, 113.7, 92.8, 88.2, 55.2 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3059,2209,1604,1486,1460$,

1437, 1362, 1288, 1246, 1174, 1144, 1031, 922, 834, 779, 757, 716, 601, 519, 503, 489, 418; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{OS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 440.1229$; found (EI) 440.1226.

## 2-[2-(p-Tolylethynyl)phenyl]benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164b):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ 85\%).

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (116 mg, $370.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 b ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 559.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.51$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 14.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(12.1 \mathrm{mg}, 29.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $361 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 21 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 164b as a yellow solid ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 313.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$,
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.94-8.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-$ 7.87 (m, 4H), 7.77 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (td, J = 7.6, 1.5 Hz , 1H), $7.47-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=143.8,139.6,139.3,138.8,138.2,136.6,133.3,131.4,131.2,130.4,130.1$, $129.4,128.9,128.8,128.6,127.6,127.4,126.6,125.3,125.0,124.9,124.1,123.0,122.2,121.3$, 120.0, 92.9, 88.8, 21.4 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1509,1481,1437,1362,1214,1142,922,843$, $812,778,757,711,674,651,621,600,587,514,492,479,418 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}^{+}$ [M] ${ }^{+}$: 424.1280; found (EI) 424.1279.

## 2-(2-\{[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]ethynyl\}phenyl)benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164c):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{BnO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (136 mg, $434.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $190 \mathrm{c}\left(216 \mathrm{mg}, 658.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.52\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 15.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\operatorname{SPhos}(14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 25 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to 5\% EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product 164c as a yellow solid (194 mg, $375.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 86 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-$ $7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J} J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta=158.7,143.6$, $139.6,139.3,138.8,136.6,136.6,133.2,132.9,131.2,130.4,130.1,129.4,128.9,128.6,128.4$, $128.4,127.6,127.4,127.3,126.6,125.3,124.9,124.9,124.0,123.0,122.2,121.2,115.5,114.6$,
92.7, 88.3, 69.9 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3729,3024,2348,1608,1592,1569,1509,1454$, 1377, 1361, 1311, 1287, 1253, 1212, 1173, 1143, 1103, 1028, 947, 922, 872, 827, 807, 775, 754, $742,728,712,690,674,653,598,583,523,503,475,418 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{OS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 517.1621; found (ESI) 517.1613.
(4-\{[2-(Benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophen-2-yl)phenyl]ethynyl\}phenyl)trimethylsilane (164d):

$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (165 $\mathrm{mg}, 526.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190d ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, 751.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.43$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 20.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.8 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{SPhos}(16.4 \mathrm{mg}, 39.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $7.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $487 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.8$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 28 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product as a yellow solid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 165.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 31 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96-8.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $8.05-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=143.9,140.9,139.6,139.2,138.8,136.6,133.4,132.9,131.2,130.5,130.4$, 130.1, 129.4, 128.9, 128.8, 127.6, 127.4, 126.6, 125.2, 124.9, 124.8, 124.0, 123.4, 123.0, 122.0, 121.3, 92.9, 89.9, -1.3 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=1713,1591,1506,1477,1457,1438,1360$, $1244,1214,1145,1106,1067,923,858,838,820,777,757,749,720,697,677,653,634,622$, $601,592,573,541,531,515,502,418$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{KSSi}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}: 521.1156$; found (ESI) 521.1146.

## 2-\{2-[(4-Phenoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164e):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{PhO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (165 $\mathrm{mg}, 526.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 e ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 748.1 \mu \mathrm{mmol}, 1.42$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(18.3 \mathrm{mg}, 20.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(16.4 \mathrm{mg}, 39.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $7.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $487 \mathrm{mg}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.83$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 28 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product 164e as a yellow solid ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 449.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), $7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{t}$,
$J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta=157.3,156.5,143.7,139.7,139.2,138.9,136.6,133.2,133.1,131.2,130.4,130.1,129.8$, $129.4,129.0,128.7,127.6,127.4,126.5,125.2,124.9,124.8,124.1,123.7,123.0,122.0,121.3$, 119.2, 118.3, 117.7, 92.4, 89.0 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3057,2925,2320,1586,1505,1486$, 1362, 1231, 1163, 1103, 1068, 1023, 871, 834, 777, 747, 721, 691, 623, 599, 503, 418; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{KOS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}: 541.1023$; found (ESI) 541.1018.

## 2-(2-((4-butoxyphenyl)ethynyl)phenyl)benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164f):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-(n-\mathrm{BuO}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (136 $\mathrm{mg}, 434.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $190 \mathrm{f}(194 \mathrm{mg}, 687.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.58$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 25 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product as a white solid ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 248.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 57 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96-8.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-$ $7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.55-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ $(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.2,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=159.1,143.6,139.6,139.4,138.8,136.6,133.2,132.9,131.2,130.4,130.1,129.4$, $128.9,128.4,127.6,127.4,126.6,125.3,125.0,124.9,124.1,123.0,122.3,121.2,115.0,114.3$, 92.9, 88.1, 67.7, 31.2, 19.2, 13.8 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2954,2928,2869,1604,1593,1566$, 1508, 1462, 1441, 1427, 1377, 1362, 1304, 1284, 1242, 1213, 1196, 1173, 1144, 1105, 1065, 1041, 1024, 1006, 966, 949, 921,876, 829, 805, 778, 747, 722, 646, 622, 599, 587, 561, 533, 521, 503, 489, 477, 443, 425, 412, 404; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaOS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 505.1597; found (ESI) 505.1590.

2-\{2-([(4-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164g):


Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (136 mg, $434.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $190 \mathrm{~g}\left(158 \mathrm{mg}, 631.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.45\right.$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ ( $16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 25 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0\right.$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product $\mathbf{1 6 4 g}$ as a yellow solid ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 350 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

81\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04$ $-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=162.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=255.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 143.9,139.7,139.2,139.0,136.6,133.42,133.40$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{CF}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.3,130.4,130.2,129.4,129.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CF}}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CF}}=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $126.5,125.4,124.9,124.1,123.2,121.8,121.4,119.25$ ( $d, J_{C F}=3.5$ ), 115.4 ( $d, J_{C F}=22.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 91.71, $89.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR $\left(377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=-111.1 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=$ 2954, 2928, 1603, 1592, 1507, 1462, 1442, 1428, 1362, 1304, 1284, 1243, 1221, 1213, 1173, $1154,1144,1105,1065,1024,965,921,877,831,801,778,748,722,647,623,599,585,561$, 532, 520, 502, 478, 458, 449, 441, 427, 418, 406; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 429.1108; found (EI) 429.1100.

## 2-(2-\{[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethynyl\}phenyl)benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164h):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

Prepared from 2-bromobenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (197a) (136 mg, $434.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 h ( $191 \mathrm{mg}, 677.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.56$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ ( $16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SPhos}(14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 25 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \%$ EtOAc in pentane) yielded the product $\mathbf{1 6 4 h}$ as a yellow solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 209$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 48 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J} J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03$ - 7.93 (m, 3H), 7.88 (dd, $J=8.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.81 (dd, $J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=144.3,139.7,139.0,139.0,136.5,133.6,131.6,131.3,130.4,130.2,129.7$ ( $q, J_{\text {CF }}=32.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 129.4, 129.3, 129.1, 127.6, 127.5, 126.9, 126.4, 125.4, 125.0 ( $q, J_{C F}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 124.80, 124.75, 124.1, 123.9 (q, J CF $=272.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 123.2, 121.5, 121.3, $92.0,91.3 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR $\left(377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=-62.9 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1605,1593,1508,1478,1460,1445,1363$, $1321,1285,1268,1247,1168,1155,1124,1105,1065,1030,906,836,808,777,756,723$, $647,638,599,580,565,501,490,441,419 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~S}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 479.1076; found (EI) 479.1072.

## 2\{-[(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}-4-methylbenzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164i):



Prepared from 197b (146 mg, $446.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190a ( 169 mg , $670.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.9 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, SPhos ( $14.5 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 35.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, \quad 7.9 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\quad \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \quad(429 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.96 equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 25 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product $\mathbf{1 6 4 i}$ as a yellow solid ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 263.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 59 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $\left.)_{3}\right) \delta=9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-$ $7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta=$ $159.5,143.6,139.7,138.8,138.5,136.8,135.0,133.2,132.9,130.7,130.2,130.02,130.00$, 128.4, 127.7, 127.26, 125.27, 125.2, 124.8, 123.5, 123.0, 122.5, 122.2, 121.0, 115.3, 113.7, 92.8, 88.3, 55.2, 20.7 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=2954,2930,1603,1592,1566,1507,1477,1462$, $1440,1379,1362,1303,1285,1244,1213,1173,1154,1144,1105,1067,1025,966,949,922$, $878,865,831,806,777,755,747,722,674,660,644,623,615,583,562,531,520,501,486$, 427, 421; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{OS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 455.1464$; found (ESI) 455.1455 .

4-Methyl-2-[2-(p-tolylethynyl)phenyl]benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]thiophene (164j):

$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 197b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 305.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 190 b ( 108 mg , $457.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 12.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{SPhos}$ ( $10.2 \mathrm{mg}, 24.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $302 \mathrm{mg}, 926.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0$ equiv.) and the solvent mixture ( 18 mL ) according to General Procedure E. Column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 0\right.$ to $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pentane) yielded the product 164 j as a white solid ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 177.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 58 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-$ $7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-$ $7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta=143.8,139.7,138.8,138.5,138.2,136.8,135.0,133.3,131.4,130.7$, $130.2,130.02,130.00,128.8,128.6,127.7,127.3,125.3,125.2,124.8,123.5,123.0,122.5$, 122.1, 121.0, 120.1, 92.9, 89.0, 21.4, 20.7 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2023,1508,1479,1464$, $1439,1295,1271,1240,1160,1144,1120,1074,1065,1045,1026,944,812,804,749,736$, 727, $710,637,613,576,561,550,521,513,505,488,478,470,459,444,420,412$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~S}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$: 439.1515; found (ESI) 439.1514.

### 6.3.2. $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{I})$-Catalyzed Hydroarylation towards Thia[5]helicenes



Scheme 569. Enantioselective $A u(I)$-catalyzed cycloisomerization reaction.

General Procedure F for Gold-catalysed Cycloisomerization Reactions

In a Schlenk flask equipped with a stirring bar, the respective substrate ( $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{l})$ precatalyst ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were thoroughly dried in vacuo for 30 min . The mixture was dissolved in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) and cooled to the indicated temperature ( $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A freshly prepared solution of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( 0.05 M in $\mathrm{DCM}, 5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. After 48 h stirring, the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of silica to give a solution of the desired helicene 165 as a mixture with the corresponding dibenzo[a,m]tetraphene. Further purification by preparative HPLC gave the isolated helicenes.

As reference for the determination of the helicenes' enantiomeric excesses, racemic reactions were carried out with gold catalyst $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ employing the general setup described above but stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature instead.

## 14-(4-Methoxyphenyl)benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165a):



Prepared from 164a ( $11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $162 \mathrm{c}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ ( $0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165a:198a: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), $91 \%$ enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
The analytically pure sample of $\mathbf{1 6 5 a}$ ( $10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 90-10$, gradient to $100-0,1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ over $20 \mathrm{~min}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=80 / 20$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=2.84 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.13 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{20}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(91 \%$ ee $)+1113^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}=0.10, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $7.27-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=157.9,139.6,138.2,137.8,137.4,134.7,132.7,132.4,131.5,130.4$, $129.8,129.4,128.4,127.9,127.1,126.9,126.5,126.1,126.0,125.31,125.25,124.6,123.4$, 122.9, 121.8, 121.5, 120.7, 111.9, 55.2 ppm; IR: (neat, $418 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3050,1603,1507,1435$, 1284, 1244, 1180, 1153, 1109, 1029, 930, 894, 827, 781, 761, 729, 699, 671, 648, 637, 621, $587,570,556,539,512,471,451,431$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{OS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 440.1229$; found (EI) 440.1225.

## 14-(p-Tolyl)benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165b):



Prepared from 164b (10.6 mg, $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), 162 c ( 1.8 mg , $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165b:198b: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), $96 \%$ enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of 165 b ( $10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: MeCN/H2O 80-20, gradient to 100-0 over 20 $\mathrm{min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}-295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.23 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=2.94 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{23}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(96 \%$ ee $)+1652^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}=0.15, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57$ $(d, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (ddd, $J=8.1,7.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.13 (ddd, $J=8.2,7.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-$ $6.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=140.0,139.1,138.1,137.7,137.3$, $135.7,132.7,132.5,131.5,130.4,129.5,128.6,128.5,128.2,127.1,127.0,126.9,126.6,126.1$, 126.0, 125.3, 125.2, 124.7, 123.4, 122.9, 121.6, 121.5, 120.7, 20.8 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=$ 2918, 1590, 1508, 1488, 1465, 1458, 1439, 1406, 1388, 1341, 1316, 1040, 932, 849, 838, 818, $810,775,742,727,647,598,588,500,475,465,434,420,406$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~S}^{+}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 425.1359$; found (ESI) 425.1355.

## 14-[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165c ):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{BnO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Prepared from 164c (12.9 mg, $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $162 \mathrm{c}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165c:198c: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), $96 \%$ enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of $165 \mathrm{c}(11.7 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 95-5, gradient to $100-0$ over $30 \mathrm{~min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ IPA $=65 / 35$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to 3000 psi , 310 K ; major enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.94 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.63 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(96 \% e e)+1307^{\circ}(c=0.06, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.84$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=157.1,139.6,138.1,137.8,137.3,137.2,135.0$, $132.7,132.4,131.5,130.4,129.8,129.4,128.5,128.4,128.0,127.8,127.2,127.1,126.9,126.5$, 126.02, 125.97, 125.3, 125.2, 124.6, 123.4, 122.9, 121.8, 121.5, 120.7, 113.0, $69.8 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1708,1603,1508,1442,1241,1219,1177,1154,1026,894,826,781,759$, $746,730,698,648,637,588,571,539,512,471,432 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{OS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 517.1621; found (ESI) 517.1611.
[4-(Benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophen-14-yl)phenyl]trimethylsilane (165d):


Prepared from 164d ( $12.1 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $162 \mathrm{c}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to general Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165d:198d: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), 99\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $165 \mathrm{~d}(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: MeCN/H2O 80:20, gradient to 100:0 over 20 $\mathrm{min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.23 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $t_{R}=2.94 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(99 \% \mathrm{ee})+1741^{\circ}\left(c=0.15, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.13-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6,78$ (collapsed $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ $142.1,140.0,138.4,137.9,137.8,137.3,132.7,131.6,131.1,130.5,129.8,128.7,128.4,128.3$, $127.3,127.1,126.9,126.1,126.0,125.4,125.2,124.7,123.6,123.0,121.8,121.7,120.9,-1.3$ ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3054,2949,1719,1688,1589,1580,1442,1335,1315,1245,1155$, $1110,1068,1060,1038,948,931,895,851,836,821,780,759,729,694,647,626,590,572$, 536, 522, 504, 478, 466, 456, 447, 437, 428, 420; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{SSi}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 483.1597; found (ESI) 483.1595 .

## 14-(4-Phenoxyphenyl)benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165e):



Prepared from $164 \mathrm{e}(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $162 \mathrm{c}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 6.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 6.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, 0.05 M in DCM ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165e:198e: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), 97\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{PhO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $165 \mathrm{e}(9.7 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: MeCN/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 90-10, gradient to 100:0 over 10 $\min , 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.98 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.74 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(97 \%$ ee $)+1169^{\circ}(c=0.07, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-$ $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br} 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=158.3,154.8,139.2,138.1,137.9$, $137.8,137.3,132.6,132.4,131.6,130.5,130.1,129.6,129.4,128.5,128.3,127.3,127.1,126.8$, $126.2,126.0,125.6,125.1,124.8,123.5,123.2,122.4,121.8,121.7,120.8,118.2,117.6 \mathrm{ppm} ;$ IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=2920,1590,1504,1487,1467,1459,1441,1393,1320,1230,1200,1103$, $1041,886,868,849,838,830,812,785,778,739,698,685,649,606,597,585,532,505,487$, 476, 466, 435; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{OS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 503.1464; found (ESI) 503.1451.

## 14-(4-Butoxyphenyl)benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165f):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-(n-\mathrm{BuO}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 95:5, gradient to 100:0 over 5 $\mathrm{min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.53 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $t_{R}=4.52 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(97 \% \mathrm{ee})+1559^{\circ}(c=0.07, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,7.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (ddd, $J=8.2,7.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.11-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44$ $-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=157.8,140.0,138.5$, $138.2,137.7,134.9,133.1,132.7,131.9,130.9,130.1,129.7,128.7,128.2,127.5,127.4,126.9$, $126.5,126.4,125.7,125.6,125.0,123.7,123.4,122.1,121.9,121.1,113.0,68.0,31.5,19.5$, 14.0 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3054,2955,2929,2869,1605,1580,1508,1466,1459,1443$, $1407,1389,1382,1338,1316,1305,1283,1277,1262,1240,1220,1176,1159,1143,1125$, 1112, 1068, 1040, 1027, 1010, 973, 948, 931, 894, 882, 850, 826, 781, 759, 731, 701, 670, 649, 631, 600, 598, 573, 539, 516, 476, 465, 456, 435, 420; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{OS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$: 483.1777; found (ESI) 483.1765.

## 14-(4-Fluorophenyl)benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165g):



Prepared from 164g (10.7 mg, $25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $162 \mathrm{c}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165g:198g $>99: 1,>99 \%$ conversion (determined by NMR), 93\% enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $165 \mathrm{~g}(3.7 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 90-10, gradient to 100-0 over 10 $\min , 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$
isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}-1$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.00 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.70 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(93 \% e e)+1599^{\circ}(c=0.23, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.87-8.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.78$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), $8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,7.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=162.4,160.0,138.9,138.3,138.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CF}}=3.3\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 137.95,137.2,132.5,132.3,131.6,130.4,130.15,129.6,128.50,128.45,127.3,127.1$, $126.9,126.1,125.8,125.4,124.9,124.4,123.5,123.0,122.1,121.7,120.8,113.3$ (d, J $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=-116.45 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $420 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1592,1505,1488$, 1466, 1458, 1442, 1407, 1319, 1214, 1198, 895, 852, 829, 812, 778, 751, 742, 732, 687, 648, 598, 587, 572, 533, 507, 493, 477, 468, 457, 436; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FS}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 428.1030; found (EI) 428.1030.

## 14-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165h):



Prepared from $164 \mathrm{~h}(12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $162 \mathrm{c}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165h:198h: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), $68 \%$ enantiomeric excess.

The analytically pure sample of $\mathbf{1 6 5 g}$ ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 80-20$, gradient to $100-0$ over 30 $\mathrm{min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, 295 K . The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$ isocratic at 2.5 mL min -1 and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=0.65 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=1.20 \mathrm{~min}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.17-7.08 (m, 1H), 6.89 (s br, 4H) ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=145.4,138.42,138.36,138.1,136.9,132.4,132.2$, 131.6, 130.4, 129.9, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.3, 128.0, 127.7, 127.5, 127.3, 127.22, 126.16, $125.54,125.50,125.4,124.5,124.3,123.5,123.1,122.7,122.1,121.8,120.8,120.0 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=-62.89 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3853,3749,3734,3725,3709$, 3691, 3676, 3648, 3628, 3607, 3597, 2359, 1615, 1558, 1540, 1520, 1507, 1498, 1110, 1066, 907, $848,836,829,781,740,732,648 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~S}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 478.0998$; found (EI) 478.0997.

## 14-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-6-methylbenzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165i):



Prepared from 164i ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $\mathbf{1 6 2 c}(1.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(4.29 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165i:198i: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), $91 \%$ enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$
The analytically pure sample of $\mathbf{1 6 5 i}$ ( $11.1 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 90-10$, gradient to $100-0$ over 10 $\mathrm{min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.25 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.92 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{D}:(91 \% e e)+1515^{\circ}(c=0.16, \operatorname{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~h}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.58$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65$ (collapsed m, 2 H ), $6.22(\mathrm{~s}$ $\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=157.8,139.6,138.2$, 137.6, 137.4, 134.8, 133.2, 132.8, 132.6, 131.1, 130.2, 129.7, 129.0, 128.4, 127.1, 126.8, 126.5, 126.3, 125.3, 124.6, 124.2, 123.3, 123.0, 122.1, 121.8, 121.3, 121.2, 111.9, 55.2, 20.5 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1607,1509,1460,1388,862,848,831,816,774,647,599,585,505,495,487$, 470, 458, 441, 422, 406; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{SO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 455.1464; found (ESI) 455.1453.

## 6-Methyl-14-( $p$-tolyl)benzo[b]chryseno[4,3-d]thiophene (165j):



Prepared from 164j ( $11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $\mathbf{1 6 2 c}$ ( 1.8 mg , $1.29 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.43 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ in DCM) in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) according to General Procedure F. The crude mixture was obtained as a yellow solid, 165j:198j: >99:1, >99\% conversion (determined by NMR), $95 \%$ enantiomeric excess.
$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{Me}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad$ The analytically pure sample of $\mathbf{1 6 5 j}$ ( $10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ yield) was obtained by semi-preparative HPLC; separation conditions: $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 90: 10$, gradient to 100:0 over 10 $\mathrm{min}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$. The ee was determined by chiral SFC: on SFC-IA3, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{IPA}=70 / 30$ isocratic at $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and backpressure regulator set to $3000 \mathrm{psi}, 310 \mathrm{~K}$; major enantiomer $t_{R}=1.12 \mathrm{~min}$, minor enantiomer $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=3.02 \mathrm{~min}$.
$[\boldsymbol{a}]_{24}^{\boldsymbol{D}}:(95 \%$ ee $)+1334^{\circ}(c=0.10, \mathrm{DCM}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-$ $7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=140.0,139.2,138.1$, $137.6,137.3,135.5,133.2,132.79,132.76,131.1,130.1,129.2,128.5,128.44,127.41,126.9$, $126.8,126.5,126.4,125.3,124.6,124.2,123.3,123.0,122.1,121.7,121.3,121.2,20.8,20.4$ ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2920,1590,1559,1506,1487,1459,1440,1408,1388,1362,1341$, 1320, 1200, 1040, 886, 868, 849, 838, 830, 813, 777, 740, 730, 686, 647, 607, 597, 585, 531, 504, 497, 488, 477, 467; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~S}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 439.1515; found (ESI) 439.1501.

### 6.4. Synthesis of in-Fjord substituted expanded helicenes

### 6.4.1. Synthesis of the starting materials

Synthesis of benzo[m]tetraphene core

The synthesis of benzo[m]tetraphene cores 203a-b was carried out by a modification of a synthetic route previously reported by Müllen, Fasel, Räder and co-workers. ${ }^{[238,239]}$ The Scheme 60 below summarizes the sequence.



Scheme 57: Synthesis of the benzo[m]tetraphene core platform.

## 14-Phenyl-14H-dibenzo[a,j]xanthene-2,12-diol (200a):



A round-bottom flask equipped with a stirring bar was charged with 2,7dihydroxynapthalene (199) ( $32.03 \mathrm{~g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and butyl acetate ( 200 mL ) under ambient atmosphere. After 5 minutes, $p$ $\mathrm{TsOH} \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added, followed by freshly distilled benzaldehyde ( $10.61 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a pre-heated oil bath and stirred for 32 h at this temperature with TLC monitoring, then allowed to cool down to room temperature. The excess of solvent was removed under vacuo. The oily residue was then triturated with diethyl ether, the formed solid was filtered off and washed thoroughly with cold diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). A first crop of pure diol 200a ( $26.53 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \mathrm{mmol}$, $68 \%$ ) was obtained as a brown solid. The filtrate was concentrated, and the process repeated twice affording additional crop of 6.44 g (overall yield $32.97 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( 400 MHz , acetone $-d_{6}$ ) $\delta=8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.06 (dd, J = 8.8, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.03-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: ( 100 MHz , acetone $-d_{6}$ ) $\delta=157.3,150.1,146.3,134.2,131.3,129.7,129.3,129.2,127.2,126.8,117.2$, 117.1, 115.5, 106.6, 38.9 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3345,1704,1637,1518,1445,1394,1232$, 1040, 927, 825, 757, 703, 614, 507; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 391.1329; found (ESI) 391.1324.

## 14-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-14H-dibenzo[a,j]xanthene-2,12-diol (200b):



A round-bottom flask was charged with 2,7-dihydroxynaphtalene (199) (17.3 g, $108.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.), $p-\mathrm{TsOH} \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $513.6 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7$ mmol, 5 mol\%), [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde ( $9.84 \mathrm{~g}, 54.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and butyl acetate ( 100 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h with TLC monitoring. Once complete conversion was observed, the mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature. Then, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and washed with a hexane: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 1:1 mixture. Compound $200 \mathrm{~b}(20.56 \mathrm{~g}, 44.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%)$ was obtained as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta=9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.51-7.45$ (m, 4H), 7.33 (dq, J = 18.6, 6.5, $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 6.20 (s, 1H) ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta=157.0,149.0,144.7,140.2,138.8,133.2,130.8$, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 127.7, 127.2, 127.0, 125.5, 117.0, 115.9, 114.6, 105.6, 37.3 ppm; IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{v}=3191,3026,2891,1634,1615,1598,1517,1486,1447,1396,1257,1231,1208,1158$,

## 14-Phenyl-14H-dibenzo[a,j]xanthene-2,12-diyl bis(trifluoromethanesulfonate) (201a):



In a 500-mL double-necked round-bottom flask equipped with an oval stirring bar, diol 200a ( $17.57 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was suspended in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 150 mL ). The suspension was vigorously stirred for 5 min and triethylamine $(27.3 \mathrm{~g}, 37.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 270 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv.) was added. The obtained dark-brown solution was cooled down to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after stirring for 5 min at that temperature, trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $28.2 \mathrm{~g}, 16.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) was added dropwise. After an additional 15 $\min$, the bath was removed and the reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature. The reaction was monitored by TLC until complete consumption of the starting material was observed. After approximately 1 h , the reaction was quenched by addition of HCl 2 M solution $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The insoluble solid formed was dissolved by addition of chloroform ( 100 mL ) and the aqueous acidic phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$, water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered over a silica plug and concentrated in vacuo affording 201a (29.22 g, 44.6 $\mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%)$ as a white solid, which was pure enough to continue the desired synthesis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.9,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=149.2,148.2,143.4,131.9,131.3,130.1$, 129.1, 128.9, 128.1, 127.1, 119.3, 118.9 ( $q, J_{C F}=321.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 118.0, 116.9, 114.6, $38.7 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR: $\left(282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=-72.7$; IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1596,1518,1416,1198,1137$, 1008, 885, 833, 702, 589; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 677.0134; found (ESI) 677.0122.

14-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-14H-dibenzo[a,j]xanthene-2,12-diyl bis(trifluoromethanesulfonate) (201b):


Compound 200b ( $7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was suspended in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, then $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(9.1 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5$ $\mathrm{mL}, 90 \mathrm{mmol}, 6$ equiv.) was added at room temperature. The brown solution formed was allowed to stir for 5 min . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled down to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $9.52 \mathrm{~g}, 5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.25$ equiv.) was added dropwise. Once the addition was finished, the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an
additional 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the cooling bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature. Once the starting material was consumed (1 h), the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ saturated solution. Then additional $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added in order to dissolve any solid, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice. The combined organic layers were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}$ $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure, affording almost pure product 201b, which was additionally purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 1:1) to deliver pure ditriflate 201b as a brown solid ( $9.86 \mathrm{~g}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, $90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=149.3,148.3$, $142.4,140.3,140.0,131.9,131.4,130.1,129.2,128.7,128.5,127.7,127.3,126.9,119.3,118.9$ $\left(q, J_{C F}=321.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 118.1116 .8,114.6,38.4 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR: $\left(377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=-72.5$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3837,3412,1747,1563,1225,1172,979,771,707,607,598,558,545,518$, 510, 502, 455, 442, 432, 423, 413; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 753.0447; found (ESI) 753.0434.

## 14-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-2,12-bis\{[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]oxy\}dibenzo[a,j]xanthen-7-ium tetrafluoroborate (202a):



A $500-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottom flask equipped with an oval stirring bar was charged with 201a ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and glacial acetic acid ( 200 mL ). The obtained solution was vigorously stirred for 5 min , and $\mathrm{PbO}_{2}$ ( $10.95 \mathrm{~g}, 45.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added in one portion. The flask was then immersed in a pre-heated oil bath and stirred under reflux. The reaction was monitored by TLC, and after 24 h , a second portion of $\mathrm{PbO}_{2}(7.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv., 30.52 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for another 24 h , then it was allowed to cool down to room temperature and poured into ice. The beige solid formed was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried under vacuum ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ) at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once dried, the solid was immediately used in the next step. Thus, under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, a round-bottom flask was charged with the solid intermediate, acetic anhydride $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous toluene $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice-water bath, and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ ( $48 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in water; ca. $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 153 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv.) was added dropwise until no further precipitation was observed. The bright orange solid formed was filtered and washed with anhydrous diethyl ether affording pyrylium salt 202a as a bright orange solid (11.9 g, 16.1 mmol, 53\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta=8.85-8.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23$ (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), $7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta=169.1,160.5,149.7,146.7,136.6,134.0$, $133.2,132.7,132.1,130.4,125.8,123.2,122.8\left(q, J_{\text {CF }}=320.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 122.1, 121.3, $119.2 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR: ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{-d_{6}}$ ) $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=-72.7,-148.2$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1599,1518,1419$, 1337, 1203, 1136, 1004, 888, 837, 704, 625, 501; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]^{+}$: 653.0158; found 653.0152.

## 14-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-2,12-bis\{[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]oxy\}dibenzo[a,j]xanthen-7-ium tetrafluoroborate (202b):



Under open air, a round-bottom flask was charged with the compound 201b ( $9.76 \mathrm{~g}, 13.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and glacial acetic acid ( 120 mL ). Then, the mixture was stirred under reflux in a pre-heated oil bath and $\mathrm{PbO}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 41.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.13$ equiv.) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir under reflux for 24 h until complete conversion of 201b was observed by TLC. After that, the mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature and poured into a beaker with ice. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried under high vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove the remaining solvent. The black fine powder obtained was immediately used in the next reaction. Thus, a two-neck round-bottom flask was charged under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere with the black fine powder obtained in the previous step, anhydrous toluene ( 32 mL ) and acetic anhydride ( 40 mL ). The obtained solution was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}(48 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ in water, $10.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 77 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv.) was added dropwise until no more formation of precipitate was observed ( 30 min to 1 h ). The dark orange solid obtained was filtered under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and dried by washing with anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ affording pure salt 202b ( $4.23 \mathrm{~g}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 39 \%$ over two steps) as a dark orange solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta=$ $8.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.1,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.89-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.8,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta=168.8,160.5,149.7,146.6,146.2,138.9$, 135.1, 134.0, 132.1, 131.7, 130.4, 129.0, 128.8, 127.6, 126.4, 123.2, 122.2, 121.5, 119.2, 118.5 ( $q, J_{\text {CF }}=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR: $\left(282 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=-73.2,-153.1$; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3541,3086,1618,1587,1553,1511,1469,1421,1374,1334,1247,1207,1135,1056$, 1028, $934,884,848,808,781,762,748,736,695,668,630,608,592,541,522 ;$ HR-MS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]^{+}$: 729.0471; found 729.0456.

## 7,14-Diphenylbenzo[m]tetraphene-2,12-diyl bis(trifluoromethanesulfonate) (203a):



A two-neck round-bottom flask under nitrogen atmosphere was charged with pyrylium salt 202a ( $10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), sodium phenylacetate ( $8.12 \mathrm{~g}, 51.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.8$ equiv.) and acetic anhydride ( 150 mL ). The flask was immersed into a pre-heated oil bath and heated under reflux for 12 h . During the course of the reaction $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas evolution was observed. After 12 h , the mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, and a precipitate was formed. The latter was filtered off and washed with MeOH , affording crude 203a almost pure as a grey solid. The solid was subsequently dissolved in chloroform and precipitated by addition of hexane affording analytically pure $\mathbf{2 0 3 a}(5.20 \mathrm{~g}, 7.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 53 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35 (dd, $J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=145.8$, $143.3,138.8,138.6,138.2,133.3,132.1,131.7,131.6,130.9,130.6,129.7,129.4,128.7,128.1$, 127.4, 126.9, $126.8,122.1,119.5,118.6(q, J=320.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR: $\left(377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $(p p m)=-73.3 ;$ IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{v}=3006,1601,1512,1424,1211,1136,1023,915,841,763$, 703, 597; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{NaO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 749.0498; found (ESI) 749.0498.

## 14-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-7-phenylbenzo[m]tetraphene-2,12-diyl bis(trifluoromethanesulfonate) (203b):



Under inert atmosphere, a two-neck round-bottom flask was charged with pyrylium salt 202b ( $4.23 \mathrm{~g}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.), sodium-2phenylacetate ( $4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 25.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv.) and acetic anhydride ( 52 mL ). The flask was immersed into a pre-heated oil bath, and the reaction mixture was stirred under reflux for 12 h . During the course of the reaction gas evolution was observed. After 12 h , the mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature, and a precipitate was formed. The solid was filtered off and washed thoroughly with MeOH , affording 203b as a grey solid in almost pure form. Final by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent hexane/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ 2:1) furnished analytically pure 203b ( $670 \mathrm{mg}, 0.835 \mathrm{mmol}, 16 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ : ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $=7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dt}, J=26.4,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=10.4,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=145.8,143.1$, 142.1, 140.6, 138.8, 138.18, 138.16, 133.4, 132.1, 131.8, 131.3, 130.7, 130.4, 129.7, 128.8, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 126.9, 126.8, 122.2, 120.1, 119.6, 116.9 ppm; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( 377 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=-73.3$; IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3038,2055,1601,1487,1425,1390,1333,1214$,

Synthesis of bromoethynylarene precursors

The bromoethynylarenes 1-bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]benzene $189 a^{[267]}$ and 1-bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]naphthalene, precursor of compound $20{ }^{[274]}$, were synthesized according to previously published procedures, as stated in the beginning of this Section. On the other hand, 3-bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenanthrene 212 was prepared as shown below in Scheme 58:


Scheme 58: Synthesis of the bromoethynylarene 212.

## 4-Hydroxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carbaldehyde (208):



A round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was charged with 2-bromo-5-hydroxybenzaldehyde (207) $(5.03 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), benzeneboronic acid ( $6.10 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.), palladium acetate ( 14 mg , $62.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 187 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.75 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), potassium carbonate ( $12.09 \mathrm{~g}, 87.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ equiv.), degassed 1,4-dioxane (50 mL ) and degassed water ( 25 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux using an oil bath and the reaction was monitored by TLC until complete consumption of the starting material was achieved. After 17 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down and the reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of 2 M HCl aqueous solution ( 100 mL ). The excess of 1,4-dioxane was partially evaporated in vacuo, and the aqueous residue was extracted with
ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (gradient hexane/ethyl acetate 4:1 to 2:1) affording pure product 208 as a beige solid ( $4.18 \mathrm{~g}, 21.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-$ $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ 192.5, 155.4, 139.2, 137.4, 134.6, 132.5, 130.2, 128.4, 127.9, 121.4, 113.0 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3209,2861,2774,2651,1650,1581,1513,1471,1398,1269,1098,998,810,762,629$, 574, 527; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 198.0675$; found (EI) 198.0682.

## 2-Phenanthrenol (209):



Scheme 59: Synthesis of 2-Phenanthrenol (209).
A two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was charged with thoroughly powdered (methoxymethyl)triphenylphosphonium chloride ( $13.71 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv.), which was suspended in anhydrous THF ( 100 mL ). The mixture was cooled down, and a previously prepared solution of $t$-BuOK ( $4.49 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in anhydrous THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Once the addition was finished, the colored solution was allowed to stir for 2 h . After that, a solution of both 4'-hydroxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2carbaldehyde (208) ( $3.96 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and $t$-BuOK ( $2.24 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in THF ( 40 mL ) was added dropwise to the ylide solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture thus obtained was allowed to stir at room temperature for 15 h . After that, the reaction was quenched by addition of $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The excess of THF was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were washed with aqueous $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude thus obtained was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then $p$ toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ and water ( 0.5 mL ) were added. That reaction mixture was stirred under reflux over a period of 24 h and after that concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $5: 1$ to $3: 1$ ) affording pure compound 209 as a white solid ( $2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ over
two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.65-8.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=154.3,133.7,131.2,130.6,128.7,127.9,126.9,126.2$, 125.8, 124.9, 124.8, 122.2, 116.7, 111.9 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3253,3051,1615,1569,1525$, 1442, 1303, 1244, 1164, 1034, 947, 871, 810, $746,625,575$; HR-MS: calcd $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ [M] ${ }^{+}$: 194.0726; found (EI) 194.0730.

## 1,3-Dibromo-2-phenanthrol (210):



2-Phenanthrenol (209) ( $0.810 \mathrm{~g}, 4.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(16 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M})$ at room temperature. Then, recrystallized NBS (1.77 g, $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.4$ equiv.) was added in portions over 30 min . The reaction mixture was protected from light and allowed to stir at room temperature over 20 h before the reaction being quenched by addition of water ( 30 mL ). The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic phases were washed with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 40 mL ), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and finally concentrated in vacuo affording the crude product. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate $20: 1$ ) delivered pure product 210 as a white solid ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ (ddd, $J=8.4,7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.60 (ddd, $J=8.1,7.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=148.0,131.1,130.9,129.7,129.1,128.9,127.7,127.0,126.8,126.4,124.5$, 122.4, 110.2, 108.6 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3414,3051,1916,1747,1580,1518,1380,1246$, 1175, 1048, 991, 942, 874, 810, 737, 603, 530; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 351.8916; found (EI) 351.8918 .

## 3-Bromophenanthren-2-ol (211):



A round-bottom flask was charged with 1,3-dibromo-2-phenanthrenol (210) ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and subsequently $\mathrm{AcOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was then heated to reflux, and at this temperature $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(7.0 \mathrm{~g}$, $36.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$ equiv.) was added in portions over a period of 3 h . After 48 h under reflux, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature and was poured into ice. The mixture was acidified to $\mathrm{pH} \approx 1$ by addition of 1 M $\mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ethyl
acetate $20: 1$ to $10: 1$ ) affording pure product $211(0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 3.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ (ddd, $J=8.4,7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=150.6,133.3,131.3,129.5,128.8,128.3,127.2$, 126.8, 126.4, 125.9, 125.8, 122.2, 112.8, 111.8 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3314,3051,2710$, 1593, 1530, 1455, 1400, 1263, 1200, 1103, 1017, 969, 856, 805, 747, 701, 602, 543; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$: 271.9831; found (EI) 271.9830.

## 3-Bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenanthrene (212):



Scheme 60: Synthesis of 3-bromo-2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenanthrene (212).
Under nitrogen atmosphere, 3-bromo-2-phenathrol (211) ( $864 \mathrm{mg}, 3.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and triethylamine ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride ( $1,25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.) was added dropwise. Subsequently, the cooling bath was removed, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature, and the reaction was quenched by addition of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic phases were washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and finally concentrated in vacuo to afford crude 3-bromophenanthren-2-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate, which was pure enough to be used in the next step.

Under nitrogen atmosphere, a Schlenk flask was charged with crude 3-bromophenanthren-2-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF ( 12.5 mL ) and triethylamine ( $2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{~mL}$, $39.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The obtained solution was then degassed by passing nitrogen gas over 10 min . After that, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (dppf) ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 149 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and 4-ethynylanisole ( $0.548 \mathrm{~g}, 4.15 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.4 equiv.) were added. The mixture was initially allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 min , then cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and at that temperature $\mathrm{Cul}(28.4 \mathrm{mg}, 149 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added in one portion. The bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 17 h until complete consumption of the starting materials was observed. The reaction was then quenched by addition of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were
washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/dichloromethane $4: 1$ to $2: 1$ ) affording pure product 212 as a white solid ( $341 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88$ mmol, $28 \%$ over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-$ $6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=160.1,133.4,133.0,132.5$, $130.9,130.8,129.1,128.8,128.1,127.6,127.3,126.7,126.0,123.8,123.4,123.0,115.2,114.3$, 94.4, 87.2, 55.5 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3015,2971,2843,2365,2206,2160,1898,1826$, 1774, 1598, 1515, 1444, 1254, 1175, 1112, 1034, 986, 828, 755, 663, 551; HR-MS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}: 386.0301$; found (EI) 386.0312 . Coupling of building blocks

## General Procedure G for the Synthesis of Arylzinc Reagents 204-206

In a Schlenk flask, the bromoethynyl arene (1.0 equiv.) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 0.25 $\mathrm{M})$ under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, 1.05 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 40 min , during which the formation of a precipitate was observed; then it was allowed to warm up to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a freshly prepared solution of $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$ in anhydrous THF (1 $\mathrm{M}, 1.3$ equiv.) was added dropwise. After vigorous stirring at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 60 min , the cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature. After stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature for an additional 3 h , the excess of solvent was partially evaporated in vacuo affording a concentrated solution of the organozinc compound suitable for use in the Negishi cross-coupling reaction.

## General Procedure H for Negishi cross-coupling

An oven-dried microwave vial was equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and charged with the respective benzo[m]tetraphene-2,12-diyl bis(trifluoromethanesulfonate) derivative 203 (1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and SPhos ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The microwave vial was crimped on top with a PFT rubber septum. Then, it was evacuated and refilled with nitrogen (twice). After that, anhydrous THF ( $0.16-0.25 \mathrm{M}$ ) was added followed by the respective organozinc 204-206 solution ( 5.0 equiv.). Subsequently, the vial was heated under microwave irradiation at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After detecting complete consumption of the starting material, the reaction was quenched by addition of $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered over a pad of Celite, which was
additionally washed with THF ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 20:1 to 3:1).

## 2,12-Bis\{2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenyl\}-7,14-diphenylbenzo[m]tetraphene (213):

Compound 213 was prepared according to the General
 Procedures $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}$, affording a crude that was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $10: 1$ to $4: 1$ ) to deliver the desired pure product as a pale yellow solid ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.66-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.22(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H), $7.13-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=159.5,145.8,143.5,139.7,137.6,137.3,136.3,133.1,132.8,132.5$, 132.2, 130.90, 130.87, 130.2, 130.1, 128.7, 128.5, 127.9, 127.82, 127.78, 127.7, 127.2, 126.9, 126.8, 125.6, 121.8, 115.7, 113.9, 113.9, 92.2, 88.4, 55.4 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3014,2965$, 2838, 2217, 2033, 1903, 1602, 1654, 1632, 1578, 1506, 1439, 1247, 1175, 1108, 1023, 948 , 835, 754, 678, 636, 532; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 843.3258; found (ESI) 843.3252.

## 2,12-Bis\{2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenanthren-3-yl\}-7,14-diphenylbenzo[m]tetraphene (214):


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

Compound 214 was prepared according to the General Procedures $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ just described above, affording a crude that was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $10: 1$ to $4: 1$ ). Compound 214 is obtained as a beige solid ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-$ $7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.97(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H), $6.70-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=159.2,145.0,141.5$, $139.5,137.5,137.1,136.6,133.0,132.6,132.30,132.26,132.0,131.9,130.9,130.7,130.5$, $130.08,130.06,129.9,129.4,128.6,128.5,128.4,128.3,128.0,127.6,127.3,127.2,126.9$, 126.8, 126.4, 126.2, 125.5, 123.31, 123.28, 121.0, 115.5, 113.6, 93.4, 88.7, 54.8 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{v}=3042,3007,2933,2835,2198,1634,1618,1589,1544,1507,1491,1435,1353,1246$,

1167, 1104, 1026, 978, 821, 747, 645, 523; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 1043.3884$; found (ESI) 1043.3880.

## 14-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-2,12-bis\{2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]phenanthren-3-yl\}-7-phenylbenzo[ $m$ ]tetraphene (215):



Compound 215 was prepared according to the General Procedure H, affording a crude that was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 10:1 to 4:1). Compound 215 is obtained as an orange solid ( 550 mg , $0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12} 5: 1$ ) $\delta=8.28$ (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), $8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.48$ (m, 13H), $7.48-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (ddd, $J=8.0,6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $7.27-7.17$ (m, 2H), 7.14 (ddd, $J=8.4,6.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.96-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.62$ (td, $J=$ $7.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.68 (s, 6H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12} 5: 1$ ) $\delta=160.0,144.5,142.3,142.2,140.6$, $140.5,138.6,138.0,137.6,133.7,133.4,133.2,132.9,132.8,132.4,132.2,131.6,131.2,131.0$, $130.6,130.2,130.0,129.3,129.3,129.0,128.6,128.3,128.1,127.9,127.9,127.3,127.2,127.1$, $126.9,126.8,126.4,123.6,123.4,121.5,116.6,114.3,94.2,89.7,55.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ (one more signal is overlapped); IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=1597,1512,1486,1449,1396,1318,1268,1248,1198,1134$, 1074, 1051, 1026, 1007, 950, 914, 889, 829, 808, 759, 743.424, 721.247, 690, 670, 662, 641, 608, 595, 517, 506; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{86} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 1119.4197; found (ESI) 1119.4171.

## 2,12-Bis\{2-[(4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl]naphthalen-1-yl\}-7,14-diphenylbenzo[m]tetraphene

 (216):

Compound 216 was prepared according to General Procedure H , affording a crude that was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 10:1 to $4: 1$ ). Compound 216 is obtained as an orange solid (172 mg, $0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=$ 7.84 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 2H), $7.78-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,7.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 7.46 (dd, $J=8.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (ddd, $J=7.9,3.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-$ $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{dq}, J=9.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.34-$ 6.22 (m, 2H), $3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=159.3,144.32,144.29,143.2$, 143.1, 139.90, 139.89, 138.51, 138.49, 137.09, 137.06, 135.3, 135.2, 132.99, 132.96, 132.8, 132.74, 132.71, 132.61, 132.57, 132.2, 132.03, 132.00, 131.9, 130.9, 130.82, 130.75, 130.5,
130.3, 128.74, 128.70, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 127.84, 127.78, 127.73, 127.67, 127.65, 127.5, $127.4,127.3,127.2,127.1,127.0,126.9,126.1,125.9,125.4,120.5,120.4,115.7,113.84$, 113.82, 113.7, 93.5, 93.4, 89.1, 89.1, 55.3 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3053,3008,2964,2894$, $2835,2199,1590,1548,1507,1456,1401,1322,1285,1246,1167,1105,1026,978,918,869$, 820, 747, 655, 608, 531; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 943.3571; found (ESI) 943.3564.

### 6.4.2. Synthesis of Expanded Helicenes

## Compound 169:


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\mathrm{MeO}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$

A freshly prepared stock solution of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.045 \mathrm{M})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added to a solution of gold catalyst 218 ( $3.9 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min at rt at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the suspension thus obtained was transferred to a stirred solution of alkyne 216 (42 mg, 44.5 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\operatorname{PhF}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and stirred at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until complete consumption of the starting materials was observed (4 days). Subsequently, the obtained yellow-greenish precipitate was stirred with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a pad of Celite, which was thoroughly washed with more $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Concentration of that crude in vacuo afforded a solid that was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 2: 1$ to 1:1). Helicene 169 is obtained as a bright yellow solid ( 26 $\mathrm{mg}, 27.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 62 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $13 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $6.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ 159.3, 142.8, 139.6, 138.2, 137.7, 137.3, 133.2, 132.9, 132.3, 131.9, 131.4, 131.0, 130.8, 130.4, $130.3,130.1,130.0,129.5,128.7,128.3,128.2,128.0,127.9,127.88,127.85,127.5,127.1$, 126.5, 126.4, 126.2, 125.8, 125.4, 125.1, 124.0, 114.2, 114.1, 55.6 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=$ $3161,3120,3074,1755,1625,1599,1518,1445,1419,1394,1337,1203,1136,1004,888$, 837, 739, 704, 642, 625, 501; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 965.3390; found (ESI) 965.3393.

## Compounds 217a and 217b:



Scheme 61: Synthesis of expanded helicenes 217a and 217b.
A freshly prepared stock solution of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.045 \mathrm{M})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added to a solution of catalyst 222 ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min at rt and then added to a solution of alkyne 216 ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 44.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 1.5 mL ). The reaction was monitored by TLC until complete consumption of the starting materials was observed. After 3 h , the obtained yellow-greenish solid was stirred with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a pad of Celite. The cake was thoroughly washed with more $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined filtrate was concentrated. The residue thus obtained was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 2:1 to 1:1) affording pure expanded helicene 169 ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 9 \%)$ and a second fraction containing a mixture of helicenes $\mathbf{2 1 7}$ a and $\mathbf{2 1 7 b}$. This mixture was separated by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 7:1 to 2:1) affording pure $\mathbf{2 1 7 b}$ ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 18.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 40 \%$ ) as a first fraction and pure $\mathbf{2 1 7 a}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 10.6$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 24 \%)$ as the second one; both as bright yellow solids.

## Compound 217a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} /\right.$ cyclohexane $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{12}=20: 1\right) \delta=8.91-8.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 7.84 (dd, $J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.76 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.46(\mathrm{~m}$, 17H), $7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (s, 1H), $6.28(d d, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.06(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} /$ cyclohexane- $_{12}=20: 1$ ) $\delta=159.6,158.3,140.5,139.4,139.0$, $138.3,137.4,134.8,133.7,133.44,133.35,133.30,133.25,132.7,132.4,132.3,132.1,131.55$, $131.54,131.47,131.2,130.7,130.64,130.61,130.5,130.4,130.14,130.11,129.8,129.0,128.9$, $128.7,128.6,128.6,128.3,128.21,128.16,128.13,128.10,128.09,128.07,128.04,127.97$, $127.7,127.30,127.25,127.2,126.93,126.91,126.6,126.5,126.32,126.29,126.2,126.0,125.9$, $125.8,125.7,125.2,124.1,124.0,114.3,112.4,55.1,54.8 \mathrm{ppm}$; IR: $\left(\right.$ neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \tilde{v}=3072,2974$, 1604, 1594, 1544, 1516, 1437, 1370, 1352, 1269, 1260, 1223, 1192, 1151, 1095, 1019, 947, 798, 714, 671, 620, 541; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 965.3390; found (ESI) 965.3386.

Chiral resolution of both enantiomers was achieved through chiral semi-preparative HPLC by using Daicel Chiralpak IC, $10.0 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm} 5$ micron particle chromatographic column; isocratic flow rate $4.75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$; hexane/isopropanol 90:10; enantiomer (+)-217a: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=5.3 \mathrm{~min}$; enantiomer ( - )-217a: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=8.5 \mathrm{~min} ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{24}^{\mathrm{D}}=834.53^{\circ}\left(c=0.04, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

## Compound 217b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=9.36-9.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26-$ $6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=157.6,138.7,137.8,136.5,135.8,134.4,133.4,133.32,133.25,132.7,131.9$, $130.8,130.3,130.2,130.1,129.53,129.52,129.48,128.6,128.5,128.1,128.0,127.7,127.6$, 126.7, 126.6, 126.1, 125.6, 125.4, 125.0, 124.59, 124.55, 123.7, 111.4, 55.1 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right) ~ \tilde{v}=3177,3053,2927,2834,1910,1869,1684,1603,1508,1439,1373,1244,1163,1027$, 907, $815,745,699,604,525$; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 965.3390; found (ESI) 965.3395.

Chiral resolution of both enantiomers was achieved through chiral semi-preparative HPLC by using Daicel Chiralpak IC, $10.0 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm} 5$ micron particle chromatographic column; flow rate $4.75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; hexane/isopropanol 95:5; enantiomer (+)-217b: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=7.0 \mathrm{~min}$; enantiomer ( $(-)$ 217b: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=9.9 \mathrm{~min} .[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{24}^{\mathrm{D}}=1029.00^{\circ}\left(c=0.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 2,10-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-6,17-diphenylbenzo[a]phenanthro[2,3-o]pentaphene (166):




A freshly prepared stock 0.045 M solution of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added to a solution gold catalyst 218 ( $3.9 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The
mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min at rt and then added to a previously prepared solution of diyne 213 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 45.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{PhF}\left(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 10 min , the ice bath was removed. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature until complete consumption of the starting material was observed by TLC (14 h). The thus obtained yellow-greenish solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a celite plug and the cake was thoroughly washed with more $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. After evaporation of the solvent, crude 166 was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ 2:1 to 1:1) affording helicene 166 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 29.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 66 \%$ ) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ $7: 1) \delta=8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.67-7.47$ (m, 17H), 7.41 (dd, J = 19.3, 8.4 Hz, 4H), 7.08 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 7: 1\right) \delta=159.9,146.4,139.9,139.32,139.31,138.4$, $133.4,133.3,133.1,132.6,132.3,131.8,131.3,130.8,130.6,130.2,129.4,129.2,129.1,129.0$, 128.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.4, 126.6, 126.5, 126.3, 125.9, 124.1, 114.6, 55.8 ppm ; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=2977,1610,1591,1549,1512,1450,1415,1323,1285,1257,1180,1142,1105,1033,979$, 866, 813, 769, 744, 666, 558, 530; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 865.3077; found (ESI) 865.3072.

## Compound 167:



A freshly prepared stock solution of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.02 \mathrm{M})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added to a solution of gold catalyst 218 ( $1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min a rt and then added dropwise to a previously prepared solution of alkyne 214 ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 20.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in PhF (1.0 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.5 mL ). After stirring the mixture for 24 h , the obtained yellow-greenish solid was treated with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through a pad of Celite. The cake was thoroughly washed with more $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined filtrate was concentrated in rotatory evaporator and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 2: 1$ to 1:1) affording pure helicene 200 ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 14.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, \mathbf{7 2 \%}$ ) as a bright yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 5: 1\right) \delta=9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.76$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 7: 1\right) \delta=159.3,144.3,139.3,138.0,137.9,137.1,133.0,132.8,132.5,132.3$, $131.9,131.6,131.2,130.9,130.8,130.6,130.4,130.0,129.04,128.96,128.9,128.8,128.7$,
$128.6,128.5,127.89,127.86,127.9,127.5,127.1,127.1,126.8,126.7,126.1,126.0,125.7$, 125.5, 123.9, 116.6, 114.1, 55.3 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3102,3014,2965,2837,1604,1581$, $1506,1466,1440,1321,1289,1247,1175,1147,1108,1042,1023,1001,961,950,835,817$, 784, 709, 678, 635, 547, 532; HR-MS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 1065.3703$; found (ESI) 1065.3697.

## Compound 168:



A freshly prepared $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ stock solution of $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ (59 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.045 \mathrm{M}, 2.63 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.2$ equiv.) was added to a solution of gold catalyst $\mathbf{2 1 8}(2.26 \mathrm{mg}, 2.62 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.2$ equiv.) in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TCE) ( 0.2 mL ). The mixture was allowed to stir 5 min at rt and then added to a solution of substrate 215 ( $14.7 \mathrm{mg}, 13.13$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1$ equiv.) in TCE ( 0.6 mL ). Additional 0.2 mL of TCE were added in order to improve solubility. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir 1 h and then it was filtered through a plug of silica to give a solution of the desired helicene 168. The product was obtained as a yellow powder ( 6.5 mg , $5.81 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 44 \%$ ) after column chromatography (cyclohexane:toluene 1:1). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12} 5: 1\right) \delta=9.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.13-6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.06-6.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12} 5: 1\right) \delta$ = 159.6, 143.5, 142.2, 141.1, 139.4, 139.2, 138.5, 137.8, 137.3, 133.2, 133.2, 133.1, 132.5, 132.1, 131.4, 131.2, 131.1, 131.0, 130.8, 130.5, 130.4, 129.31, 129.30, 129.2, 129.0, 128.9, $128.5,128.2,128.1,127.7,127.5,127.49,127.4,126.8,126.8,126.7,126.5,126.4,126.4$, 126.1, 125.8, 123.4, 116.8, 114.3, 55.1 ppm; IR: (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $\tilde{v}=3420,3097,1750,1571,1228$, $1195,1175,978,760,744,596,553,536,516,503,494,485,470,455,439,432,424,413$; HRMS: calcd $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{86} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 1141.4016$; found (ESI) 1141.3980.

### 6.4.3. Fluorescence quantum yield $\left(\Phi_{F}\right)$

The relative determination of the fluorescence quantum yield ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) in a series of solvents was determined according to the formula presented below:

$$
\Phi_{x}=\Phi_{r} \frac{\operatorname{Grad}_{x} n_{x}^{2}}{\operatorname{Grad}_{r}} \frac{n_{r}^{2}}{2}
$$



Figure 50. Linear plots of two samples. The gradient of each sample is proportional to that sample's fluorescence quantum yield. ${ }^{[278]}$

The subscripts $x$ and $r$ refer respectively to sample $x$ and reference (standard) fluorophore $r$ with known quantum yield $\Phi r$ in a specific solvent; I stands for the spectrally corrected, integrated fluorescence spectra; $A\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}\right)$ denotes the absorbance at the used excitation wavelength $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ and at a specified concentration; $n$ represents the refractive index of the solvent (in principle at the average emission wavelength). To minimize inner filter effects, the absorbance at the excitation wavelength $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ was kept under 0.1 . The measurements were performed using $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ cuvettes. Quinine in $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was used as fluorescence quantum yield reference $(\Phi r=0.54)$ for compounds 167-169 and 217a-b; 9,10-diphenylanthracene in cyclohexane was used as fluorescence quantum yield reference $(\Phi r=1.00)$ for compound 166.

### 6.5. Theoretical calculations

### 6.5.1. DID Visualization

DID visualization ${ }^{[251]}$ enabled us to pinpoint which parts of the molecule provide significant stabilization to the conformation through London dispersion forces. SCS-LMP2/cc-pVTZ ${ }^{[252,275]}$ implemented in Molpro $2020.1^{[276]}$ was used to calculate the interactions which are then used to weight the orbital densities for plotting. Before doing the DID calculation, molecules examined were partitioned into several Sections. This approach allows us to visualize intramolecular interactions based on the location of the occupied and virtual spaces (using the projected atomic orbitals mapping to atomic centers). The partitioning scheme adapted are the following:


Figure 51. Partitioning scheme of system $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$
The molecular system $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {H }}$ was partitioned as shown in Figure 51. Rings A to D were considered as one region (arm 1, atoms 1-26) and rings H to K was another region (arm 2, atoms 47-72). The rings E-G were considered as backbone and any density contribution coming from that region was not accounted for. This means that only the density contribuschemetion from arm 1 and arm 2 were examined in this DID calculation. The red broken line indicates the boundary of the partitioning within the system.


Figure 52. Partitioning scheme of system $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {Ph }}$.
In the case of $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {ph }}$, similar partitioning scheme with $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\mathrm{H}}$ was adapted, except that this time there is a phenyl substituent in the middle of the helicene. Boundaries of each region was indicated by the red broken lines. In contrast to $\mathbf{1 6 9}_{\text {H }}$ where two regions were considered, here we examined three regions, i.e., arm 1 (rings A-D, atoms 1-26), phenyl substituent (atoms 46-56) and arm 2 (rings $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$, atoms 57-82). The DID visualization in this system shows the interaction of arm 1 with the phenyl substituent and the interaction of the arm 2 with phenyl substituent (Figure 52).

In order to display the dispersion contributions, the densities were rendered using ParaView 5.4.0 (64bit, Linux). ${ }^{[277]}$ A uniform density range was used in the visualization, i.e., $0.00024-0.6$ ea $0^{-3}$.

### 6.5.2. Nudged elastic band calculations

Nudged elastic band calculations (NEB) were performed with the program package Orca 4.2.1. ${ }^{[250]}$ The energy barriers as listed in the text are provided by the electronic energy difference between the global structural minimum and the NEB climbing image (CI). Deviations to optimized transition states (e.g., compounds 166 ph and 169 H ) were found to be below $2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The convergence tolerance for the maximum component of the atomic force acting on the Cl was set to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ Hartree/Bohr, allowing for a tight convergence close to the maximum. The data which provides the basis for the figures of this study as well as the structures for the helicenes intermediate states are provided in a published dataset under https://doi.org/10.25625/LX8RSZ. Alternatively, the raw coordinates can be found at the end of this Section.

### 6.5.3. Computed absorption spectra

The absorption spectra of compounds 166-168 were computed with simplified time-dependent density functional theory (sTD-DFT) with the $\omega$ B97X functional and the def2-TZVP basis set (references provided in main manuscript). Excitations were considered up to a threshold of 10.0 eV , including configurations above a coupling threshold of $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ and below 20.0 eV . A comparison between experimental and computed absorption spectra is provided in Figure 53. All computed values were shifted by 1 eV .


Figure 53. Comparison between experimentally measured absorption spectra (bands) and sTD-DFT computed absorption peaks. Both sets of data have been normalized.

## Coordinates:

166ph MINO PBEh3c optimized

C -0.18446652973481

C -0.59056228036100

C -0.03886174566948
$1.06598803709963-6.52822638136570$

C $0.91569242859652 \quad 2.05282566914916$

C 2.34651568400000

C 1.34367176990155

C 0.78668595057115

C 1.24664858538365

C 0.75710529487032

H 0.42409169189897

C 2.43712104457030

C 1.82636843566533

C 0.96433925733001

C 0.34197263592794

C -0.383208832222529
$-1.14000018453717$

C -1.85199194100269
0.69430961977246
-4.19289152735651 0.92033409453462

C 0.06635296055110

C -0.56744758687682

C -1.41267350528877
-3.46330418877559
4.01689935434809
-4.04880766676315
$-5.20923654338973$
$-6.55552871971442$
$-6.48581898484044$
1.81901113765995
5.07783807828847
4.23502709995074
3.59421924949546

C -1.64598217249825

C -1.00175932529917

C -0.66976011280930

C -1.25945319828813

C -2.14954861847862

C -2.34994368953871

C -1.74256809888726

C -0.93231714144646

C -0.37591946235741

C -1.22078294245011

C -1.32363089520065

C -0.17864633011677

C 1.06892835967827

C 1.17101213939953

C 0.02700097040787

C 0.13554565456297

C 0.74752865584563

C 1.20463441466244

C 2.11729398911325

C 2.62868644470829

C 2.23498546552405

C 2.77283170475641

H 3.52954142026640

H -0.62232188961436

H $-1.33936820815407-0.16888678518462$-5.33529808909760

H -0.35789184456823
$0.64053714845391 \quad-7.47042728062910$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & 1.35374130563664 & 2.41071541020730 & -7.42583399991880\end{array}$

| H | 2.75876318748815 | 3.96456915691273 | -6.22212211811267 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -0.02579431598305 | 1.60284368053359 | $-1.57747834168747$ |
| H | 3.12950580867550 | 5.53267363693091 | 0.64131005398921 |
| H | 2.00401580313903 | 5.05295379004555 | 2.76677692489183 |
| H | -1.15516233886831 | 2.64762434453522 | 5.36988543309462 |
| H | -2.46627504610847 | 0.63261069352510 | 5.85587490846482 |
| H | 0.34800922829030 | -3.31635577995967 | 0.52977075286907 |
| H | 0.72834104409241 | -5.43630019960324 | -0.56690929800426 |
| H | -0.39748393046862 | -7.49960113527011 | 0.23881809435856 |
| H | -1.91570851943061 | -7.37687508615126 | 2.17503425216111 |
| H | -3.02547934965063 | -6.12338740040019 | 3.91529524637811 |
| H | 0.04583104407721 | -1.66690413286834 | 1.53331118188263 |
| H | -2.97696448718300 | -1.64573924949203 | 5.39305262508743 |
| H | -2.11492376519339 | 0.17902301422771 | 0.11397805823738 |
| H | -2.30055511625024 | $-1.51833118844745$ | $-1.66341953594333$ |
| H | -0.25640697568500 | -2.50977554495662 | -2.65051846212023 |
| H | 1.96659145395869 | $-1.80019367433128$ | -1.83091683510693 |
| H | 2.14495970129619 | -0.12153068439082 | -0.03389716732000 |
| H | 3.33646989699536 | 5.16938591356321 | $-1.69796921525471$ |
| 166ph TS1 PBEh3c optimized |  |  |  |
| C | 0.87136246167504 | 0.67635382761101 | -4.17526705490299 |
| C | 0.84055194944691 | -0.02781848649482 | $-5.35551328454856$ |
| C | 1.24149616749592 | 0.57673060799332 | -6.54912010634955 |
| C | 1.66967210268283 | 1.88118220764830 | -6.53021305914116 |
| C | 2.15960703625373 | 3.97339048614497 | -5.33954903737164 |
| C | 1.70755331474102 | 2.61489118242644 | $-5.33411759168892$ |
| C | 1.29929955918379 | 2.01026428468660 | -4.12425830645435 |


| C | 1.33936451845657 | 2.78318268939293 | -2.89239168366528 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.94623461695480 | 2.26396189638877 | -1.65336609586504 |
| H | 0.39142029145379 | 3.81741688584975 | 4.02026376420090 |
| C | 1.51177841117991 | 5.20345759285350 | 0.56444798815914 |
| C | 1.13744709809292 | 4.76975674003882 | 1.77072961873379 |
| C | 0.67295291743031 | 3.42860853789803 | 1.95476918800350 |
| C | 0.31439230964673 | 3.07310879630323 | 3.23649915222924 |
| C | -0.13606604429484 | 1.81405013031519 | 3.56744161679085 |
| C | $-0.47207174603968$ | 1.59705034674179 | 4.94162092312367 |
| C | -0.90660625595711 | 0.40508955403399 | 5.35864804049006 |
| C | $-1.30154874136203$ | -4.07732048751112 | 0.55259891861933 |
| C | $-1.48603226412094$ | -5.20462844824176 | -0.21217135318893 |
| C | $-1.91984485840478$ | -6.39433352332846 | 0.37718392987606 |
| C | -2.16383598156341 | -6.42440332321290 | 1.72808304626662 |
| H | -2.31175238428504 | -4.28673591171463 | 5.76570325071715 |
| C | -2.10011947966912 | -4.24467980714367 | 4.70439871395477 |
| C | -2.25653970823599 | -5.33348426901838 | 3.92791525048671 |
| C | -1.98329093763704 | -5.28182049743344 | 2.52348175363050 |
| C | -1.53818971914972 | -4.07765095413533 | 1.93409928726952 |
| C | -0.89700528259360 | $-1.67605760717625$ | 2.26903531098935 |
| C | -1.35320891752152 | $-2.90099177875443$ | 2.76925263448291 |
| C | $-1.64760264777838$ | -3.00841675506583 | 4.14611208161913 |
| C | -1.47996637965510 | $-1.88471970263145$ | 4.93714503504268 |
| C | -1.03751540637190 | $-0.67376467710571$ | 4.43128682470024 |
| C | -0.72078196862521 | -0.52295089608200 | 3.04125590245677 |
| C | $-0.24326345047624$ | 0.80558829753380 | 2.56827116775919 |
| C | -1.16363783556683 | -0.01479951669256 | -0.53816083106903 |

C -1.24944705224659

C -0.14838193659315

C 1.03678229957837

C 1.12141965939065

C 0.02268102114324

C 0.11831887479614

C 0.57679189787220

C 0.97587501156472

C 1.43939899749115
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 1.82983005276763 & 4.85324546279090 & -1.78598312243537\end{array}$

C $1.79552855163564 \quad 4.11807599997670 \quad-2.95841454021268$

C $2.20328695973563 \quad 4.69303444084572$-4.20253235222245

H $2.54669696214762 \quad 5.72022400540397$-4.21014540113169

H 0.558239945712230 .17790443962963 -3.27310718033869

H $0.50257894679636-1.05607704662476-5.35484233772920$

H 1.213032934820550 .02301615576086 -7.47818350550049

H $1.98433064593321 \quad 2.36334195632870$-7.44777648664652

H 2.46663310020652 4.41155253367662 -6.28131320642544

H $0.59892901941901 \quad 1.25233205811444$-1.65185935671913
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & 1.86798407639164 & 6.21493898501287 & 0.41528306408504\end{array}$

H $1.17861294394068 \quad 5.41750832122272 \quad 2.63706242007195$

H -0.36354204430171

H -1.16206092750627

H -0.97018285491694

H $-1.29546514575452-5.16446589402066-1.27683431504553$

H $\quad-2.06574249444328$-7.28104269755621 -0.22534388450137

H -2.50520414499747 -7.338385572692692 .19871261279667

| H | -2.59848211336928 | -6.26888835594496 | 4.35359209594672 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -0.66876324603777 | -1.64579234378320 | 1.22437694038733 |
| H | -1.69948700290529 | -1.95119392495984 | 5.99673912828995 |
| H | -2.01810311111506 | 0.60532971447053 | -0.29989085831123 |
| H | -2.17442473524782 | -1.09505164392957 | -2.08798127602400 |
| H | -0.21326859737691 | -2.50632945053735 | -2.62626853243878 |
| H | 1.89516975116785 | -2.21352681094082 | -1.36896729841844 |
| H 2.04392982057321 | -0.50833262752679 | 0.41438646267115 |  |
| H 2.17225118834201 | 5.88131612220866 | -1.82087937415446 |  |

167ph MINO PBEh3c optimized

C -4.097786720427763 .804570959997040 .11821319123050

C -3.72935902269986
$3.49760293959612-1.16406804254181$

C -3.58861628173336 2.15347500704560

C -3.73891918077306
$1.15592850328134-0.60352933404748$

C $-4.040367931806791 .43921676749138 \quad 0.74641290394059$

C $-4.30144070281597 \quad 2.793961280278331 .07722274059790$

C $-4.844143242025093 .11577205749626 \quad 2.34966382327302$

C $\begin{array}{lllll}-5.18852384439011 & 2.13181171130968 & 3.21254198070165\end{array}$

C $\quad-5.30452708381053-0.23857412500151 \quad 3.84950958352521$

C $-4.889801007634490 .77137435723016 \quad 2.92997586524383$

C $-4.199460992484260 .42666326153428 \quad 1.76119569112134$

C $\begin{array}{lllll} & -3.75539139589740 & -3.23020539723461 & 2.38038674851312\end{array}$

C $2.65582898586382-0.57685448830666-1.34869787011288$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } 5.38537883309776 & 0.54050713122196 & -4.83763239330538\end{array}$
C 5.015398965335050 .80494861218945 -3.85486775066170
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 5.27387690873668 & 2.01780226237742 & -3.32072683458091\end{array}$

| C | 4.84564393636269 | 2.35180283025035 | -2.00047151502399 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 5.11160424518686 | 3.65186637791421 | -1.49205606421040 |
| C | 4.75079914794847 | 3.99696371725350 | -0.23396030600624 |
| C | 3.73152248026542 | 0.71281658796494 | 1.16427862959244 |
| C | 3.56971772196713 | 1.03538383417668 | 2.48714639848708 |
| C | 3.66718304839380 | 2.36615986616574 | 2.91332751372855 |
| C | 4.00861305673834 | 3.33529140988882 | 2.00852128949994 |
| C | 4.22650952984809 | 3.01950183254160 | 0.65345611599104 |
| C | 4.00316233488426 | 1.69759729457130 | 0.18992512413463 |
| C | 4.17384816962769 | 1.40874092503534 | -1.21295327162557 |
| C | 3.68798702627111 | 0.21258990219605 | -1.86774183807446 |
| C | 4.18060982906711 | -0.11470572454957 | -3.15906725103532 |
| C | 3.79662455552660 | -1.32187093140303 | -3.73462195683547 |
| C | 1.74905383107345 | -4.28624105250806 | -3.08910205309710 |
| C | 2.60431090650672 | -3.44939217249643 | -3.69669055571619 |
| C | 2.85299636452365 | -2.14897466632379 | -3.15004854668745 |
| C | 2.16233459671558 | -1.71362931835237 | -1.97980446595986 |
| C | $-0.24344893611120$ | 0.35686385165128 | -1.12782166820652 |
| C | $-0.24667840540048$ | 1.68703212889772 | -0.74043691117036 |
| C | -0.00525506145393 | 2.02976638353644 | 0.58163006538682 |
| C | 0.23675799105293 | 1.03390614165074 | 1.51621290376841 |
| C | 0.24153812144863 | -0.29681786579438 | 1.13113010016269 |
| C | 0.00461345671427 | -0.64674098982317 | -0.19548953317216 |
| C | -0.96917265311550 | -2.94962347492231 | -0.12128978191621 |
| C | 0.02132967429463 | -2.06914651080985 | -0.61248970417205 |
| C | 1.03012973126073 | -2.51788201549477 | -1.49495408194609 |
| C | 0.94440175733743 | -3.84809831895185 | -1.98472734535788 |


| H | 0.06769189340924 | -5.77240905540161 | -1.70944198347730 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.05592341614598 | -4.73317901318669 | -1.40047522886593 |
| C | -0.84896990189693 | -4.32966429640630 | -0.43419405838166 |
| C | -1.63879007212296 | -5.31699898912970 | 0.24389950775775 |
| C | $-2.51406650212831$ | -4.96547419219980 | 1.19868881289119 |
| C | $-2.79421315736874$ | -3.58287194428041 | 1.44851131809646 |
| C | -2.11592693232741 | -2.56429593393067 | 0.71503677815839 |
| C | $-2.63514942897386$ | -1.27723943614472 | 0.80485822151242 |
| C | $-3.68437554548400$ | -0.92267203313571 | 1.66025077711072 |
| C | -4.16765174085145 | -1.91295217521264 | 2.55615375600183 |
| C | $-5.01925902840131$ | -1.54080790036496 | 3.63462624958228 |
| H | -5.37828349921661 | -2.30775013671952 | 4.30947851790652 |
| H | -4.27258316733850 | 4.83424169715097 | 0.40600372039587 |
| H | -3.59131982641952 | 4.28139622404210 | -1.89696299499553 |
| H | -3.37098505955283 | 1.89485392004939 | $-2.56011381296742$ |
| H | -3.67299005742165 | 0.13138216070805 | -0.93699996330347 |
| H | -5.03332547963250 | 4.15405413962214 | 2.59206622234689 |
| H | -5.67647802080481 | 2.37059008824400 | 4.14956433614655 |
| H | -5.88416190813993 | 0.06114982646518 | 4.71418976184508 |
| H | -4.19689444866160 | -4.00504083856615 | 2.99726573568023 |
| H | 2.20117451757920 | $-0.25618027689605$ | -0.43235634938650 |
| H | 5.84492895720254 | 2.75210668366352 | -3.87557541192838 |
| H | 5.58900043894285 | 4.37375078052119 | -2.14329859983900 |
| H | 4.91589501948311 | 5.00310783625015 | 0.13114036639000 |
| H | 3.70429076557360 | -0.32900009880069 | 0.88281953442842 |
| H | 3.38226800101502 | 0.25001170547247 | 3.20743154509222 |
| H | 3.51829012802040 | 2.61641310508549 | 3.95549176146481 |


| H | 4.15329786896393 | 4.35894220734846 | 2.33332374613634 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 4.24736090242801 | -1.62031942209666 | -4.67465845749883 |
| H | 1.61000048861047 | -5.29738558464844 | -3.45077593089479 |
| H | 3.16157312901614 | -3.76059669348263 | -4.57155394771956 |
| H | -0.42587897362844 | 0.09049057373786 | -2.16132537436259 |
| H | -0.43997086801316 | 2.45983763578604 | -1.47192890214215 |
| H | -0.00543275058802 | 3.06853882157640 | 0.88214077401376 |
| H | 0.43352245776578 | 1.29509685314113 | 2.54706447871500 |
| H | 0.42417346143795 | -1.07472721668505 | 1.86203101156686 |
| H | -1.47458102881140 | -6.35859434208878 | -0.00298146941043 |
| H | -3.06532665805244 | -5.71424627905167 | 1.75382590539529 |
| H | -2.19166013322677 | -0.49471928320703 | 0.22145618774382 |

[2]Ph TS1 PBEh3c optimized
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -4.55377872447672 & 4.01955376546792 & 2.23743698248430\end{array}$

C $\quad-3.254021320066834 .302991863900691 .93387780592391$

C $-2.500713080208663 .32121221765880 \quad 1.28618469375528$

C $-2.99364501549168 \quad 2.05553599888531 \quad 1.09210094615282$

C $-4.295708968128601 .68681049786891 \quad 1.49110145254393$

C $-5.10871370665747 \quad 2.759522615017351 .95911621319842$

C $-6.50446653775004 \quad 2.59396922737961 \quad 2.12066338927062$

C -7.045317772413331 .375831640988051 .94383111516598

C $-6.85911034208496-0.99002218926811 \quad 2.10072684534381$

C $-6.212390688944170 .23741695748710 \quad 1.78353564756227$

C -4.831190897324090 .335418005940721 .51889781168438

C $-4.08479215935024 \quad-3.27170509328190 \quad 2.11495554784419$

C $2.77802529363090-0.56621623615275-1.47077705368569$

| H | 5.46424182411773 | -0.25159275704350 | -5.16405408509479 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 5.07459609419641 | 0.24676570265532 | -4.28520603573010 |
| C | 5.20806078765875 | 1.57935478877308 | -4.11835358004431 |
| C | 4.80995715034832 | 2.20022615205868 | -2.89581895044026 |
| C | 5.04891097689419 | 3.58702158028532 | -2.70072655794084 |
| C | 4.86902434107692 | 4.16262114593233 | -1.48781775883475 |
| C | 4.19136544135572 | 1.17293302367505 | 0.61743432504862 |
| C | 4.34670246956664 | 1.70147606819550 | 1.87176657647665 |
| C | 4.55550824753829 | 3.07686942860967 | 2.04341912934219 |
| C | 4.65489395600223 | 3.88776573871489 | 0.94394827038828 |
| C | 4.56104288263185 | 3.35854723855712 | -0.35722131329437 |
| C | 4.27104284809664 | 1.98266969941949 | -0.53554871933576 |
| C | 4.25446034229470 | 1.43464848880486 | -1.86293576699955 |
| C | 3.79209728296241 | 0.10239167791992 | -2.18022623258724 |
| C | 4.33211166602369 | -0.51126670729653 | -3.33645608158115 |
| C | 4.06916008700121 | -1.85652135997357 | -3.52820288049597 |
| C | 2.05791111686535 | -4.62888380674950 | -2.38843292963184 |
| C | 3.02959528890167 | -3.94360836485818 | -2.99171557967520 |
| C | 3.14579969192112 | -2.53971310956690 | -2.76061583971036 |
| C | 2.33916700490980 | -1.85500461752805 | -1.78694723713804 |
| C | -0.09524332610704 | 0.40889085292109 | -0.89980044329324 |
| C | 0.12974419132114 | 1.72617387402223 | -0.52386910364430 |
| C | 0.59581476711649 | 2.01822856923562 | 0.75041389858354 |
| C | 0.79151095369183 | 0.99347038835564 | 1.66314375302199 |
| C | 0.57286911667452 | -0.32284944985277 | 1.28611691104408 |
| C | 0.15786820519430 | -0.63017591097599 | -0.00769342511806 |
| C | -0.97286810955793 | -2.86440491504428 | 0.05332844123531 |


| C | 0.09482602573469 | -2.05441562314064 | -0.42660003274656 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1.16419933246989 | -2.57709463637589 | -1.22598068907924 |
| C | 1.09928100537058 | -3.95646092154161 | -1.56646368995300 |
| H | 0.09100256099974 | -5.80046141545692 | $-1.30569036738013$ |
| C | 0.09281293060581 | -4.74434424165738 | -1.06440829729365 |
| C | -0.88911686204254 | -4.25903703032795 | -0.23599797361698 |
| C | -1.71425889343230 | -5.25764194850024 | 0.36782144041456 |
| C | -2.59685089107536 | -4.90443467078142 | 1.29960085376637 |
| C | -2.89366890090596 | -3.51772046644943 | 1.47914051259995 |
| C | -2.18755301660836 | -2.45795457421488 | 0.83057960003329 |
| C | -2.79043298167292 | -1.18537570874540 | 0.90186783080972 |
| C | $-4.07260360731613$ | -0.93108037055327 | 1.46326604882378 |
| C | $-4.73553208014668$ | -2.05948987680453 | 2.02707839474317 |
| C | -6.11883453770694 | -2.07693763065212 | 2.34675344241202 |
| H | -6.55689124908340 | -2.99730526758842 | 2.70927421367646 |
| H | -5.18530700236192 | 4.76313729855352 | 2.70471451183540 |
| H | -2.82783161486902 | 5.26979710316700 | 2.16260219678929 |
| H | -1.51125756627017 | 3.56178424391633 | 0.93156105958543 |
| H | -2.35112324739787 | 1.36063788968061 | 0.58464835343158 |
| H | -7.11778804157340 | 3.45050946464563 | 2.36769507027989 |
| H | -8.11299832385937 | 1.22067549878876 | 2.02985820788177 |
| H | $-7.93144723308062$ | -0.99687097636938 | 2.24186928749394 |
| H | -4.60519530284053 | -4.10445788120368 | 2.57154629499896 |
| H | 2.30447232722927 | -0.01540063344449 | -0.67897613692560 |
| H | 5.68955380132281 | 2.18442971041635 | -4.87660967748291 |
| H | 5.38511334906976 | 4.17580612715660 | -3.54591669367093 |
| H | 5.03846567805424 | 5.22333488855891 | -1.35116893202019 |


| H | 4.08385501086047 | 0.10329343452367 | 0.51297320385438 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 4.33524018972276 | 1.04296538446681 | 2.73007975331185 |
| H | 4.68233783649834 | 3.48648817102568 | 3.03649585719343 |
| H | 4.86542043054169 | 4.94370357733801 | 1.06154978501718 |
| H | 4.57936770030187 | -2.39064150188610 | -4.32206909614145 |
| H | 1.95205291055083 | -5.69801615360509 | -2.51951609451327 |
| H | 3.74991882652663 | -4.42857531952392 | -3.63763145962221 |
| H | -0.41919935659612 | 0.17925884244391 | -1.90676608267585 |
| H | -0.04211445353550 | 2.52447916935806 | -1.23409667350522 |
| H | 0.80758167259059 | 3.04061740461885 | 1.03541113980615 |
| H | 1.13414606839118 | 1.22084385249054 | 2.66382272933734 |
| H | 0.75443694489595 | -1.12528639235680 | 1.98989206336915 |
| H | -1.56333243066043 | -6.29066452816909 | 0.08326261886824 |
| H | -3.19691663563382 | -5.63493181944317 | 1.82492297234147 |
| H | -2.27335175249879 | -0.38351516349564 | 0.41002427215765 |

167 ${ }_{\text {ph }}$ INT1 PBEh3c optimized

C -3.477457264671793 .737069764208143 .00980869795164

C - 2.49928133590537
$3.36652962816267 \quad 3.89406407130558$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.11406231946378 & 2.02111094289177 & 3.97821382192590\end{array}$

C -2.66940777293873
1.083202738324383 .14693778775835

C -3.68990854688670
$1.43008984533054 \quad 2.23689821473928$

C -4.12867455212297
$2.77794163291341 \quad 2.21274252259718$

C -5.31035216367648
3.117527576988231 .50008712376888

C -6.12070217330549
2.136021883416741 .03724877290851

C -6.69347682155314
-0.25617815263890
0.86129863886253

C -5.73423589578872
0.770623750088241 .11440415757896

| C | -4.43672474776325 | 0.43529276794061 | 1.51975719867158 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -4.57379916891541 | -3.23233297474342 | 1.07716219344865 |
| C | 2.70949933645260 | -0.59078487607631 | -1.39423396026059 |
| H | 5.42615256312271 | $-0.56680868864765$ | -5.08380838610941 |
| C | 4.93309533577993 | 0.01833242109951 | -4.31752147568595 |
| C | 4.83999293896430 | 1.36151805263105 | -4.41591021020567 |
| C | 4.31024815676694 | 2.13290365131412 | -3.33747895139940 |
| C | 4.29552847021870 | 3.55165556455544 | -3.41981104253214 |
| C | 4.01506657890711 | 4.31063424397568 | -2.33343498270371 |
| C | 3.94145795440148 | 1.70257241580440 | 0.31526680314275 |
| C | 4.08668985599756 | 2.47807813269087 | 1.43601402780363 |
| C | 4.05982730215300 | 3.87675545028404 | 1.34332976782741 |
| C | 3.94084750959935 | 4.47131920679928 | 0.11520021788475 |
| C | 3.87959126166331 | 3.69728108041176 | $-1.05848476990947$ |
| C | 3.82617613580101 | 2.28401720250131 | -0.96514696127999 |
| C | 3.88197300956355 | 1.49833323003163 | -2.16556333045004 |
| C | 3.63626702061654 | 0.07387415425462 | -2.21814912193236 |
| C | 4.28703085004880 | -0.65573883228316 | -3.24163345806923 |
| C | 4.20997151528802 | -2.03732856725276 | -3.19033014285844 |
| C | 2.46770087668468 | -4.81650082421998 | -1.67314859332537 |
| C | 3.38858900756917 | -4.12216537350723 | $-2.34421609281398$ |
| C | 3.35662143292873 | -2.69382281543506 | $-2.32338658701142$ |
| C | 2.45145851445661 | -1.96410589787979 | -1.47858268256749 |
| C | -0.36858791689069 | 0.16966795479627 | -0.78909768555177 |
| C | $-0.38347019844942$ | 1.52277547689960 | -0.48190299062335 |
| C | 0.11745712440313 | 1.97084627683691 | 0.73158798434825 |
| C | 0.63378976702723 | 1.05959899890588 | 1.64064905378985 |


| C | 0.64679946628046 | -0.29350332172552 | 1.33739007325294 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.14837649891707 | -0.74889719196018 | 0.11891865689899 |
| C | -0.89930776803090 | -3.01997238931020 | 0.24299917384365 |
| C | 0.19344690309638 | -2.20296310371106 | -0.17406675711814 |
| C | 1.34225050254480 | -2.72651706040967 | -0.83866509337111 |
| C | 1.40890564367841 | -4.14075148224529 | -0.98634977796580 |
| H | 0.47336725695968 | -6.00933805712017 | -0.63395157572745 |
| C | 0.39719365229905 | -4.93674831583554 | -0.50061423558632 |
| C | -0.74576207933040 | -4.42554675788988 | 0.07114482136379 |
| C | -1.75299179582190 | -5.38148520021668 | 0.41738256499573 |
| C | -2.96377175893519 | -4.97675285720717 | 0.80372818513889 |
| C | -3.24727273447258 | -3.58003377146039 | 0.90456361491832 |
| C | -2.22790285823423 | -2.57753647317253 | 0.75724921773597 |
| C | -2.64182241656495 | -1.27358223379986 | 1.06422335278558 |
| C | -3.98619042896641 | -0.92062190068308 | 1.28708314035542 |
| C | -4.98550381059405 | -1.91335570299859 | 1.14905554291927 |
| C | -6.35597297863278 | -1.55573436733366 | 0.99743094521177 |
| H | -7.09640664577926 | -2.34094089592385 | 0.91180925157021 |
| H | -3.81527993666149 | 4.76528241215768 | 2.96688627686590 |
| H | -2.05914020253590 | 4.09918398631399 | 4.55727381125771 |
| H | -1.40018362225400 | 1.71267224974051 | 4.73044273070553 |
| H | -2.38813092597376 | 0.04577993900855 | 3.25954432498567 |
| H | -5.60283793566715 | 4.15712489934457 | 1.42194813958917 |
| H | -7.08454781043305 | 2.37735357578159 | 0.60599331601151 |
| H | -7.71341940984257 | 0.03078380920469 | 0.63703034064870 |
| H | -5.31880139166696 | -4.01962697831970 | 1.08852275423381 |
|  | 2.14521754318020 | 0.02771767409685 | -0.72055114051907 |


| H | 5.23985405942592 | 1.88145256920089 | $-5.27757180469788$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 4.52384854106569 | 4.01616726852760 | -4.37153578944821 |
| H | 3.99016395530414 | 5.39086968381459 | -2.40612075192542 |
| H | 4.01048497163852 | 0.62815246540566 | 0.40753281237251 |
| H | 4.26075013508047 | 2.00529150506825 | 2.39348103667774 |
| H | 4.18017909784629 | 4.48290633958531 | 2.23138950027003 |
| H | 3.96545057048838 | 5.55035331402069 | 0.02502264301542 |
| H | 4.79974053288209 | -2.62723637397707 | $-3.88298725248965$ |
| H | 2.46229101924827 | -5.89901911744359 | $-1.68006230494852$ |
| H | 4.16482887695273 | -4.61552889917182 | -2.91494532517159 |
| H | -0.75543932933505 | -0.17859463691821 | $-1.73782576042847$ |
| H | -0.78486851797301 | 2.23122044802542 | -1.19406615814280 |
| H | 0.10774758367322 | 3.02559081435040 | 0.97053310371187 |
| H | 1.02693590357239 | 1.40537597146934 | 2.58730012175565 |
| H | 1.05061588050883 | $-1.00646841063344$ | 2.04552282810928 |
| H | -1.52003143460216 | -6.43227277052561 | 0.30084005232579 |
| H | -3.75543191468171 | -5.68372297625670 | 1.01695638092410 |
| H | -1.93232252773604 | -0.46633472023997 | 1.07128538206562 |

167ph TS2 PBEh3c optimized

C -3.05302911111381
2.951395592115514 .44634302688596

C -1.99846832225609
$2.29268546290920 \quad 5.02156521179684$

C -1.70597094227623
0.979002069226754 .62933401607875

C -2.43156686572209
0.371364298225893 .63858713014631

C $-3.51529436498071 \quad 1.02687986034620 \quad 3.01659292050977$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-3.85708325081587 & 2.32305571338582 & 3.47692508273577\end{array}$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-5.07239428851822 & 2.92211593857052 & 3.04755296910920\end{array}$

C -5.97783397973430

C -6.71858359897139

C -5.67930423877383

C -4.38743356590989

C -4.81920136252434

C 2.75179567445011

H 7.11738326269791

C 6.38378214481225

C 6.68595508489184

C 5.66639778265223

C 6.00758963246239

C 5.11904303316208

C 2.55121671795361

C 1.88231023441974

C 2.14965575864958

C 3.15722685752819

C 3.92410031743788

C 3.58721466524981

C 4.39197180701691

C 4.02080651613275

C 5.04277581019795

C 4.72786839808987

C $2.22135063915689-4.73395658012187$

C 3.34559887595274

C 3.48656562625360

C $2.44617377841909-1.87380642889781 \quad-1.56413421588243$

C -0.66191667173071
2.19303570617828
0.04692089977538
$0.87195871863087 \quad 1.92384708038240$
$0.35819094818076 \quad 2.09226959157528$
$-2.98877637897953 \quad 0.60547345482902$
$0.48943775074693-1.57396744020345$
$-1.32425367411376-2.65496796438597$
$-0.53355673149351-2.55966191406758$
$0.74950574964643-2.78156004175740$
$1.73616869535083-2.69978621017249$
$2.99066840551850 \quad-3.26990692491481$
$4.00045056770239-3.30382454267603$
$2.72392865186133-0.95477004855166$
$3.89964292045312-0.70497835261906$
$5.06428844446928-1.43013054545299$
$5.03618978374920-2.34997521725159$
$3.87726755644335-2.55346213621347$
$2.65281952857989-1.90676549407688$
$1.47042854442643-2.15464445427787$
$0.05939130446289-1.94318399551767$
$0.88315983432082-2.25549100618460$
$2.21727528803412-2.35729041671644$
2.00714432964868
$-2.33754153428936$

07362183198306
$-0.74063819549409$

| C | -0.80581369941605 | 1.60633777143421 | -0.20850626521185 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -0.27582821958807 | 1.90601811322803 | 1.03829225692249 |
| C | 0.45310449343623 | 0.94683029510125 | 1.72553980299253 |
| C | 0.59957975642047 | -0.32572608021143 | 1.19284503320179 |
| C | 0.01377442990270 | -0.65347045680031 | -0.02800743882784 |
| C | -1.11689424159710 | -2.87390389947371 | -0.14778796131420 |
| C | 0.03085570453419 | -2.07154056379823 | -0.47178785880943 |
| C | 1.18851578531190 | -2.58857654111703 | -1.13099016541968 |
| C | 1.17069298284022 | -3.99807520708277 | -1.37914578133750 |
| H | 0.14003050597259 | -5.83608500715059 | -1.21810101882287 |
| C | 0.09759827610438 | -4.77293169224037 | -1.01475612922234 |
| C | -1.04077007721794 | -4.25997399463969 | -0.45171585967959 |
| C | -2.10797132321093 | -5.19639802502561 | -0.27114591719832 |
| C | $-3.32449213084118$ | -4.76765579753900 | 0.05980829656185 |
| C | -3.51946854139995 | -3.38613399129705 | 0.36213275283957 |
| C | -2.42685683192838 | -2.44935114646688 | 0.42879497688623 |
| C | -2.74418276193498 | -1.23802456423898 | 1.04922057987342 |
| C | -4.05380307257801 | -0.87417703859231 | 1.41698103048445 |
| C | -5.12945796353676 | -1.71126785978032 | 1.03654111515207 |
| C | $-6.47173951176673$ | -1.23908391682943 | 1.07096596098260 |
| H | -7.27135255426090 | -1.90707145814470 | 0.77507156287675 |
| H | -3.32031495284249 | 3.94752207945004 | 4.77697475423069 |
| H | -1.42278006624864 | 2.76625050518861 | 5.80550815366038 |
| H | -0.92281682119037 | 0.42492854361540 | 5.12970897864791 |
| H | -2.21532653000509 | -0.65697177127447 | 3.38853871240943 |
| H | -5.30074999859881 | 3.93345536406967 | 3.35909876450012 |
| H | -6.95208886753984 | 2.60420844663000 | 2.11687753304519 |


| H | -7.72382334549327 | 0.44666921527240 | 1.34566471532281 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -5.61745442162713 | -3.70565526803919 | 0.44789894202502 |
| H | 1.95985223932642 | 0.19756682965828 | -1.32612106898976 |
| H | 7.68550346211773 | 1.05389548939060 | -3.05952965373876 |
| H | 6.98495598619339 | 3.08927263114076 | -3.72598368321304 |
| H | 5.34621992791646 | 4.92871850151624 | -3.81219073364264 |
| H | 2.31836568898741 | 1.86794362700979 | -0.33809236623161 |
| H | 1.14787323478311 | 3.93105788896434 | 0.08257099567004 |
| H | 1.60699122150319 | 5.98150208962453 | -1.23569410055604 |
| H | 3.42611090617051 | 5.92811087100300 | -2.89970269936006 |
| H | 5.48775453887908 | -2.89961529090940 | -2.71708197316641 |
| H | 2.07088384976445 | -5.79330487230901 | -2.18096235243846 |
| H | 4.16946760220683 | -4.63963322186440 | -2.80874173311550 |
| H | -1.11426848063397 | 0.08674078740984 | -1.69261798982321 |
| H | $-1.35195149174241$ | 2.36058472892908 | -0.75920848951373 |
| H | -0.42928298501404 | 2.88394872436518 | 1.47619129492908 |
| H | 0.88288881410613 | 1.18320831633350 | 2.69008807284122 |
| H | 1.14154705688888 | -1.08519910982237 | 1.74192308550812 |
| H | -1.90801033785670 | -6.23999875395871 | -0.47895876892009 |
| H | -4.16739245980430 | -5.44331357442498 | 0.12972995308368 |
| H | -1.97637682975216 | -0.50945364039215 | 1.23819700793689 |

167ph MIN1 PBEh3c optimized

| C | -1.76642510316439 | 2.60274439836221 | 4.60149159713189 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.67802758163309 | 1.81283549791772 | 4.85889155382672 |
| C | -0.65696376975284 | 0.49749202200864 | 4.37728334299852 |
| C | -1.67365354259085 | 0.02755856441722 | 3.58636999900380 |

C -2.77359620281325

C -2.84533530819875

C -4.04179580159711

C -5.13611591671118

C -6.25968837570589

C -5.07504030131297

C -3.86482696520267

C -4.92587374634843

C 2.54949339033646

H 7.11169045076757

C 6.22218712722377

C 6.28957141784379

C 5.13501051855918

C 5.24355240838884

C 4.17949336677886

C 1.73344724930212

C 0.74736412952346

C 0.81785470613466

C 1.92201790359830

C 2.96890137286259

C 2.84859250777420

C 3.90661634512893

C 3.78254422109978

C 4.95874056141476

C $4.83525986400863-2.14288482892122$

C $2.32857934575040-4.80991853428952 \quad-1.54627752009832$

C $3.52294517486733-4.19821896280130 \quad-1.52170700847430$

C 3.6018710334859

C 2.41341755514468 -1.98741863768878 -1.32864534245987

C -0.74203998081177

C -0.72216108050580

C 0.02036293740907

C 0.74615114347884

C 0.72835379624514

C -0.01840371868840

C - 1.25042822216124

C -0.04404780353625

C 1.13778313497549

C 1.11578032259918

H $-0.11492354868193-5.78847614763437-1.63684474074447$

C $-0.09480838316108 \quad-4.74437599665292 \quad-1.34499701857945$

C $-1.28024800698484-4.12059665122410 \quad-0.99792532297312$

C -2.51986267506910

C -3.69089941137657

C -3.71698717316828

C -2.50052356332566

C -2.58553338669779

C -3.79310986200104

C -4.99782645781338

C -6.23884101101395

H -7.15074232802908

H -1.82949653970199

H 0.13834662431203 18412241053907 $0.16156519400096 \quad 4.64124280732838$

| H | -1.64907621358379 | -1.00739951922967 | 3.27990889519679 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -4.08389291498254 | 3.86333696769900 | 4.18524679099764 |
| H | -6.07506671418702 | 2.89116630416399 | 3.12920127371210 |
| H | -7.18981754208065 | 1.08519322376390 | 2.02881314696315 |
| H | -5.84618800225529 | -2.91953266313967 | -0.09921986174769 |
| H | 1.67559312461974 | 0.00346765835902 | $-1.50662473808763$ |
| H | 7.23514619074149 | 1.72462836567848 | $-1.14597285446722$ |
| H | 6.19272036779302 | 3.87851866958834 | $-1.14024593248978$ |
| H | 4.25743438613425 | 5.33058273102403 | -1.55564399907446 |
| H | 1.67137503209924 | 0.79549564722632 | -3.35424573575020 |
| H | -0.08560596581567 | 2.29126158777275 | -4.07691677741638 |
| H | 0.02815367469583 | 4.72223429061676 | -3.56298996995171 |
| H | 2.02200917418700 | 5.62404425021504 | -2.43232525163619 |
| H | 5.73285346984503 | -2.75116511848413 | $-1.47199052207747$ |
| H | 2.25519988239364 | -5.88189556031748 | $-1.68183213269127$ |
| H | 4.44061777057690 | -4.76370888783686 | $-1.62442644384074$ |
| H | -1.32557930766946 | -0.01239821097718 | $-1.77910154706348$ |
| H | -1.28521716155768 | 2.36420551277300 | -1.11751929012781 |
| H | 0.03423589784460 | 3.08232950987136 | 0.84481699363435 |
| H | 1.32132489506898 | 1.41475468030896 | 2.13433492574189 |
| H | 1.30136123885479 | -0.95839960842615 | 1.45699487741652 |
| H | -2.48684268325888 | -5.80912083674639 | $-1.61782683186905$ |
| H | -4.62933070266002 | -4.75348495890046 | -1.04827978368662 |
| H | -1.68891402689142 | -0.71916718494746 | 1.28742708516117 |
| $1688_{\text {bi-ph }}$ MINO PBEh3c optimized |  |  |  |
| C | 3.78645733251072 | 15.07096825869596 | 15.72027993739648 |
| C | 4.42758937556799 | 15.21892499362619 | 14.54750858120281 |


| C | 4.09898357431938 | 14.40248488677226 | 13.41511283172560 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3.08793478564410 | 13.40991093795165 | 13.52281052087043 |
| C | 2.43099828823484 | 13.21478465593096 | 14.80982829837775 |
| C | 2.77347401214021 | 14.06999738976714 | 15.88536622013661 |
| C | 2.77231313625588 | 12.67092348970523 | 12.38889148019778 |
| C | 3.40599533053630 | 12.86160595690628 | 11.16208397202664 |
| C | 4.44449348266465 | 13.82403067643106 | 11.08247472655358 |
| C | 4.75996154969005 | 14.57485033981285 | 12.20853566883440 |
| C | 3.05045429685384 | 12.11610246849107 | 9.96769285200666 |
| C | 1.98723579323130 | 11.20102153820303 | 9.93256637269948 |
| H | 1.38258045740980 | 11.04391917885099 | 10.81334514528121 |
| H | 2.00609130999379 | 11.91410456254496 | 12.46037934813680 |
| C | 2.08640746035102 | 13.94371282541973 | 17.08299503827697 |
| C | 1.12408488849774 | 12.96396329310665 | 17.28244807109408 |
| C | 0.87381100822163 | 11.99843839885480 | 16.26719240337144 |
| C | 1.49118762074174 | 12.21145661627634 | 15.03397384452129 |
| C | -0.05497281804721 | 10.89840774297235 | 16.56214511269571 |
| C | -0.92204163467623 | 11.08722291955711 | 17.67196794775999 |
| C | -0.70160535846434 | 12.14281516729370 | 18.61620078676837 |
| C | 0.32881602364727 | 12.99059948622177 | 18.47296798876653 |
| C | -1.99016662040250 | 10.22765259294024 | 17.85425901723848 |
| C | -2.15800656627004 | 9.09764280180143 | 17.07401143676560 |
| C | -1.13700318042773 | 8.72177999459794 | 16.16067384623726 |
| C | -0.13226590628719 | 9.67139713765918 | 15.86286440018261 |
| C | -3.36550213637142 | 8.33455136521881 | 17.19197909962683 |
| C | -3.55906647391633 | 7.23266802203110 | 16.45007953414877 |


| C | -1.24029812276999 | 7.37443926767921 | 15.58446726957747 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -0.17644712597677 | 6.66473502113154 | 15.02677191629278 |
| C | -0.31761737712899 | 5.41489140130286 | 14.42908834604616 |
| C | -1.60732383519640 | 4.83174296152916 | 14.38406151613693 |
| C | -2.65484448781112 | 5.47670015647304 | 15.02365951525686 |
| C | -1.81108707508666 | 3.57744944816758 | 13.72009186061492 |
| C | -0.78778293071978 | 2.93093951405685 | 13.13438639078846 |
| C | 0.54425792722808 | 3.46181653280366 | 13.17318244159223 |
| C | 0.80062657397184 | 4.69299739138795 | 13.83448168963073 |
| C | 2.11214555337578 | 5.15143053950484 | 13.87962535172105 |
| C | 3.17364029151940 | 4.46167720337643 | 13.29591226871530 |
| C | 2.89435013528156 | 3.25828395128856 | 12.59958966115635 |
| C | 1.58900626569109 | 2.78291407852000 | 12.56524010942183 |
| H | 2.32202191138911 | 6.08427648436078 | 14.38127114822863 |
| H | 4.19768049858668 | 6.61475283146006 | 14.66151852289285 |
| C | 4.93161854457778 | 6.06299081245342 | 14.09264688324673 |
| C | 4.54757842121762 | 4.92856485413026 | 13.36142594003159 |
| H | -2.81178514849790 | 3.16407492931006 | 13.69409602030440 |
| C | 0.85691238504757 | 9.37766237316272 | 14.79819484112515 |
| H | -2.71650329010927 | 10.44296899533938 | 18.62982376628185 |
| H | 4.02984788413855 | 15.70429594704113 | 16.56455445087891 |
| C | 3.78649189879816 | 12.32123508916535 | 8.78119289235689 |
| C | 1.68091392845587 | 10.49948407597237 | 8.79047729712643 |
| C | 5.15422191846399 | 14.00733705614321 | 9.85324499512293 |
| H | 5.54504108387575 | 15.31970220865916 | 12.14027943423720 |
| H | 5.19967798185061 | 15.96952984868985 | 14.43330824679083 |
|  | 2.28540712710186 | 14.64953951351978 | 17.88186664176474 |


| H | 0.80817765419396 | 7.09434894337945 | 15.07350607801488 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -0.95114863082930 | 1.98783644690405 | 12.62760124164962 |
| H | 1.38013254427298 | 1.85342700153353 | 12.04706452229838 |
| C | 3.94970444256425 | 2.55559672897906 | 11.93605133929879 |
| C | 6.23788820381141 | 6.49203937259849 | 14.11837079460349 |
| C | 5.55160683718748 | 4.21440067437646 | 12.67319693834937 |
| H | -3.62727108719294 | 4.99779196400032 | 15.05530790831797 |
| H | -4.13555714907442 | 8.69883971645129 | 17.86057810994866 |
| H | -4.49497961462696 | 6.68940608207490 | 16.49062236670649 |
| H | -1.36092363809372 | 12.20513383193298 | 19.47291639600679 |
| H | 0.53301453645882 | 13.75055760419605 | 19.21681500838484 |
| H | 1.21883423309529 | 11.57901458434302 | 14.20809035413818 |
| C | 5.21392976253744 | 3.02047898833798 | 11.95773992121623 |
| C | 6.87893153823534 | 4.67052855829479 | 12.70659724448368 |
| C | 7.22330093864572 | 5.79636591979044 | 13.41299953414462 |
| H | 6.50310188858771 | 7.37042142678416 | 14.69269566062719 |
| H | 8.24968620161969 | 6.13743273034523 | 13.43179831776299 |
| H | 7.63505638063143 | 4.11556346444153 | 12.16455379727952 |
| H | 6.00288460503474 | 2.48910036601106 | 11.43981740619946 |
| H | 3.70783537900545 | 1.64280258948907 | 11.40563206164898 |
| C | 3.46157072285786 | 11.59332671111143 | 7.62544373283862 |
| C | 2.42797909443745 | 10.68921995465470 | 7.62477954384990 |
| C | 4.84922825265483 | 13.28160579443522 | 8.75987526867940 |
| H | 0.85354440793948 | 9.80175878449017 | 8.79564688276394 |
| H | 2.18797579359773 | 10.13413432751293 | 6.72767151047854 |
| H | 4.03948633603090 | 11.75870629118886 | 6.72419836798080 |
| H | 5.39941580583696 | 13.42188605125359 | 7.83742224712588 |


| H | 5.95071193733517 | 14.74049596074190 | 9.82115947895810 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 2.21657503191928 | 9.31179800232910 | 15.09449240089113 |
| C | 3.14417218883720 | 9.07305915682454 | 14.09216496411791 |
| C | 2.72432604893535 | 8.85259948097206 | 12.78781558318632 |
| C | 1.36902911071390 | 8.88981724304166 | 12.49126889401779 |
| C | 0.44319498429696 | 9.16948004177938 | 13.48452615355368 |
| H | 2.54834198525439 | 9.47844373557321 | 16.11161598938821 |
| H | 4.20047903897095 | 9.05953838701008 | 14.32631214200130 |
| H |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |
| H |  |  |  |
| H | -0.63718118229605 | 8.65731908843630 | 12.00737527730009 |
|  |  |  |  |

$\mathbf{1 6 8}_{\text {Bi-ph }}$ TS1 PBEh3c optimized

C $0.067223745266897 .02217090952257 \quad 1.26144094395189$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 0.82146258695500 & 7.21637320212792 & 0.17707272659371\end{array}$

C $1.23526735891263 \quad 6.10031985446959-0.61373385316717$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C & 0.87618675493718 & 4.75423026826965 & -0.28818884190918\end{array}$

C -0.007560977805774 .541230490893150 .87735870499906

C $-0.35449331795587 \quad 5.70287280125132 \quad 1.61396821695439$

C $1.408190032789143 .75350041513064 \quad-1.10710719369262$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 2.22506226787772 & 4.02557565213914 & -2.22018637936801\end{array}$

C $2.48843004018907 \quad 5.37828050580030 \quad-2.55309387857158$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 2.00617971677376 & 6.37434159115887 & -1.72576980600853\end{array}$

C $2.81993488953598 \quad 3.00720326913877$-3.07452185445024

C 2.776761107704121 .63834063436207 -2.77373211987266

H $2.31817446160522 \quad 1.31593683724400$-1.85043094527809
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } 1.16987139176240 & 2.72279190051169 & -0.87737776698081\end{array}$

C $-1.14744290206928 \quad 5.57494630426231 \quad 2.72749675980706$

|  | -1.64955170145731 | 4.36700200457581 | 3.15890684917834 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | $-1.38536016744658$ | 3.15446547035047 | 2.44220666867906 |
| C | -0.54353270573635 | 3.30741741672164 | 1.31080642041304 |
| C | -2.04923500872377 | 1.91800011259842 | 2.97767819214467 |
| C | -2.83111114633554 | 2.10171119880174 | 4.15990493669359 |
| C | -2.99694352475584 | 3.34603023142048 | 4.83856145047062 |
| C | -2.43681510514312 | 4.44622316354799 | 4.34562010666242 |
| C | -3.53223176901016 | 1.05680029439097 | 4.71126010773702 |
| C | -3.56355280867055 | -0.19954976434716 | 4.16028839705554 |
| C | -2.78465047180866 | $-0.49033482150090$ | 3.00489357250593 |
| C | -2.02687130484174 | 0.59106017457064 | 2.45291255702350 |
| C | -4.44480838228632 | -1.12692453872357 | 4.79667443699226 |
| C | -4.63308275516041 | $-2.33891625690707$ | 4.27558845576608 |
| C | -3.88246778445156 | $-2.73547567427132$ | 3.12926492631416 |
| C | -2.89948680193911 | $-1.89257888753366$ | 2.51163413282800 |
| C | -2.14066761144542 | -2.51367472893542 | 1.50630215888435 |
| C | $-2.36183302235761$ | -3.82256855141145 | 1.05771920441387 |
| C | -3.43961992883579 | -4.55952960600991 | 1.59926005835425 |
| C | -4.14079947688314 | -4.00706462416325 | 2.64911340216493 |
| C | -3.80756974627623 | -5.84493978744145 | 1.08509983198339 |
| C | -3.15045496125265 | -6.37599175966190 | 0.04211406319463 |
| C | -1.99187678018095 | -5.72334409732191 | -0.49280960710759 |
| C | $-1.53585484539465$ | -4.49172390929653 | 0.05772579206873 |
| C | -0.27949292422595 | -4.03568345439391 | -0.32008500160879 |
| C | 0.52990028207705 | -4.73280977380257 | -1.21892446809695 |
| C | -0.01359827667848 | -5.86073781490719 | $-1.88357041269022$ |
| C | -1.26002624073146 | -6.33456813046147 | -1.49682236563995 |


| H | 0.09694481107980 | -3.13443428600835 | 0.14395747171782 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.07046613455605 | -2.88589008247856 | 0.07284379456711 |
| C | 2.60512304070416 | -3.44456649088064 | -0.68188024259263 |
| C | 1.92631451708643 | -4.40974557102709 | -1.44132059568157 |
| H | -4.63864989530530 | -6.36623266375746 | 1.54430277085784 |
| C | -1.16413234013335 | 0.31836620773342 | 1.27919606245816 |
| H | -4.12255157665621 | 1.24536291691643 | 5.60006407861604 |
| H | -0.25246657854203 | 7.84699696203308 | 1.88562845592017 |
| C | 3.50270409211815 | 3.39856444628775 | -4.25109733215365 |
| C | 3.33354361233228 | 0.69624443796193 | -3.60842472917625 |
| C | 3.22252916570924 | 5.73366001843064 | -3.72799572268304 |
| H | 2.23342250867714 | 7.40887862634071 | $-1.95909823708778$ |
| H | 1.13918641986422 | 8.20728230155349 | -0.12080314095397 |
| H | $-1.39739675820832$ | 6.46637233975072 | 3.29067051347331 |
| H | -1.34482899790852 | -1.96638048069124 | 1.03564231656983 |
| H | -3.43993304887709 | -7.33488002517945 | -0.36973207209213 |
| H | $-1.64303561261912$ | -7.24232723985993 | -1.95025807739510 |
| C | 0.74843889973246 | -6.53858184677270 | $-2.88712551375378$ |
| C | 3.95495776596824 | -3.23114298821277 | -0.83458418309615 |
| C | 2.66694714855244 | $-5.15604452847138$ | $-2.38328359307298$ |
| H | -4.92108171479709 | -4.59079436560928 | 3.12420910416629 |
| H | -4.97657690345872 | -0.80027710706674 | 5.68092091579813 |
| H | -5.32903596975983 | -3.04295209825102 | 4.71325311973041 |
| H | -3.60550412560420 | 3.36784102481288 | 5.73308424468905 |
| H | -2.56363782091591 | 5.41191286060212 | 4.81767620940366 |
| H | -0.29017892612147 | 2.43878034824510 | 0.72617496056723 |
| C | 2.02510217125466 | -6.19069223576866 | -3.13815932968383 |


| C | 4.04213250582685 | -4.91745207082852 | -2.53149345456440 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 4.68477111459211 | -3.97646076998631 | -1.76531896252858 |
| H | 4.45838747807796 | -2.50242531801969 | -0.21294317977201 |
| H | 5.75140411415660 | -3.82614334020716 | -1.86621481661775 |
| H | 4.60150386692560 | $-5.51258301153513$ | -3.24312113662014 |
| H | 2.60094908447347 | -6.71610370592379 | -3.88981310003254 |
| H | 0.28070715140112 | $-7.35109650573578$ | -3.42999125191648 |
| C | 4.04363221230563 | 2.42428402173918 | -5.10222674875652 |
| C | 3.95835615031021 | 1.08849559862556 | -4.79580164795581 |
| C | 3.68105441124380 | 4.78440858035337 | -4.56251529278096 |
| H | 3.29714971998004 | -0.35259199426690 | -3.33976615587665 |
| H | 4.38382849282821 | 0.35026898530938 | -5.46149713996284 |
| H | 4.54244497100830 | 2.75250844616345 | -6.00685677150303 |
| H | 4.21228535248729 | 5.05338482996446 | -5.46795077958289 |
| H | 3.38048269236269 | 6.78575277334698 | -3.93628451714879 |
| C | 0.18118525009384 | 0.01792488837358 | 1.47244779212734 |
| C | 0.99378513688576 | -0.28009065935433 | 0.38836539564558 |
| C | 0.46270474060611 | -0.29645283949900 | -0.89505490541457 |
| C | -0.87128165749230 | 0.02998853855099 | -1.09475025561650 |
| C | -1.68051595653384 | 0.34083617842645 | -0.01218587887103 |
| H | 0.58501840245836 | 0.01333059535099 | 2.47674528217642 |
| H | 2.04135839509550 | -0.50411804505203 | 0.54544310385092 |
| H | 1.08535749232108 | -0.56190437072663 | -1.73928518167509 |
| H | $-1.28099068507269$ | 0.03549019377706 | -2.09566614866839 |
| H | -2.72403264159576 | 0.58501999835130 | -0.16264407643961 |


| C | 4.14098134237099 | 14.48090733613571 | 15.40833878845819 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 5.30338305723430 | 14.07905692095166 | 14.86279187561452 |
| C | 5.65749443371614 | 12.68911238944568 | 14.81650894287721 |
| C | 4.77431984293949 | 11.71628703624088 | 15.35719600304397 |
| C | 3.45556088470074 | 12.14177202870749 | 15.80670887575418 |
| C | 3.18050199995612 | 13.52639873018916 | 15.88029488927971 |
| C | 5.21409509085135 | 10.40072429459467 | 15.43063284274525 |
| C | 6.44568088486829 | 9.98975013590890 | 14.92478139201358 |
| C | 7.26072590212345 | 10.94898762053603 | 14.27169174592285 |
| C | 6.86191700982557 | 12.28095929382784 | 14.26273935016836 |
| C | 6.91587867693211 | 8.61948630803792 | 15.01615396688347 |
| C | 6.25529705086780 | 7.63812645741257 | 15.77161716010730 |
| H | 5.38645386403871 | 7.90443836883700 | 16.35617066705338 |
| H | 4.57643530672198 | 9.66668669071594 | 15.89937001862127 |
| C | 1.96670936223664 | 13.92692575971907 | 16.41557786795824 |
| C | 0.98032780736213 | 13.01804559502526 | 16.77423036356593 |
| C | 1.15597515673641 | 11.62711648029616 | 16.51145035274847 |
| C | 2.43689837421358 | 11.24052026838574 | 16.11008311448224 |
| C | 0.00217189223932 | 10.72801857250852 | 16.71670734969446 |
| C | -1.06290728007347 | 11.25053138176672 | 17.49687858572678 |
| C | -1.11720086906715 | 12.63010438554580 | 17.88014313425714 |
| C | -0.18025580294788 | 13.49345405952652 | 17.46321103614423 |
| C | -2.11695564102748 | 10.42891042673455 | 17.83940701586739 |
| C | $-2.25601794602385$ | 9.15096199205412 | 17.33137517395993 |
| C | -1.31522128196675 | 8.64295545935521 | 16.38864769142244 |
| C | -0.16455221537103 | 9.43704265283588 | 16.15227640967706 |
| C | -3.40725382710882 | 8.40701906234148 | 17.74155808388580 |

C -3.70606191672788

C -2.88707101031315
6.7289241752028916 .10732411528465

C $\begin{array}{llll}-1.66914823386203 & 7.36828538922741 & 15.71988316305037\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -0.96043600802256 & 6.73739703600059 & 14.68408207640738\end{array}$

C $-1.40067495779009 \quad 5.5876304664923414 .02483063664367$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -2.67107345289740 & 5.06177399344349 & 14.35584674836357\end{array}$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-3.35574561674127 & 5.62048471282980 & 15.41832797494681\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -3.26380881825301 & 4.01983150790850 & 13.57032537535660\end{array}$

C -2.63143342752712

C -1.29783936293293 3.95240817145961
4.9161426716988912 .97680766171237

C $\begin{array}{llll} & 0.72364794785607 & 5.12712550544180 & 12.75790703258770\end{array}$

C $1.43025505794734 \quad 4.47532081123222 \quad 11.74249015646761$

C 0.710350917619403 .6504219778100910 .84201405430121
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -0.63007604194817 & 3.38640849025052 & 11.09407868498749\end{array}$

H $1.25382556612754 \quad 5.8053931182610913 .41324789902171$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } 3.27074395836698 & 5.56201836308085 & 13.43835680080586\end{array}$

C $3.69883367885713 \quad 5.19636593991526 \quad 12.51899455113933$

C $2.86719283578464 \quad 4.5923777221474611 .56650558525875$

H $-4.25119214992958 \quad 3.6666841911306413 .84069737327241$

C $0.908248797966298 .95308804322495 \quad 15.25669406593895$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & -2.89417771187370 & 10.81810679278462 & 18.48718739380963\end{array}$

H 3.8946720905936515 .5337982909275015 .46966308795557

C $8.097672015646148 .24943774847695 \quad 14.33967353589298$

C 6.706060761514926 .3389973797066615 .81382299911896

C $8.4732313827768510 .53505675968370 \quad 13.63391231605499$

H 7.5114803955135713 .0218817987913913 .80990421579480

| H | 6.00724003284426 | 14.80338947353824 | 14.47146389315838 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1.77864665268629 | 14.98401588519704 | 16.56724598913140 |
| H | $-0.04634531607485$ | 7.17098430740192 | 14.32641766389424 |
| H | -3.10088050931143 | 2.78381271548898 | 11.86457625168581 |
| H | -1.16251505996428 | 2.69894403267304 | 10.44602558580826 |
| C | 1.36918837974233 | 3.08590970886317 | 9.70344248631369 |
| C | 5.05679756722839 | 5.30841825708550 | 12.32907072669486 |
| C | 3.47412932159948 | 4.03705076989753 | 10.41943769097466 |
| H | -4.31595890550849 | 5.20326714716058 | 15.70049188065582 |
| H | -4.03875579073476 | 8.82827074081056 | 18.51350828814911 |
| H | -4.58649035782930 | 6.67973950616320 | 17.45207184049374 |
| H | -1.97071552327846 | 12.96707088758459 | 18.45492508093314 |
| H | -0.25549098449017 | 14.55070355795838 | 17.68420776373884 |
| H | 2.66040268063175 | 10.19521194124290 | 16.01599710891029 |
| C | 2.68079925657698 | 3.30024710373478 | 9.48244067622875 |
| C | 4.85876471045983 | 4.17238172236413 | 10.23125154412019 |
| C | 5.64285776814579 | 4.80699962153482 | 11.16390652375592 |
| H | 5.67179242657824 | 5.77583490978203 | 13.08872017888109 |
| H | 6.70943618068683 | 4.90125526348222 | 11.00878415983242 |
| H | 5.30702496821045 | 3.75115381199502 | 9.33950471588883 |
| H | 3.16568650855571 | 2.88543549070533 | 8.60746854875611 |
| H | 0.78501618275363 | 2.49009254028168 | 9.01292046421316 |
| C | 8.53630800752187 | 6.91616114937407 | 14.38391949022988 |
| C | 7.84925443986734 | 5.96680476331704 | 15.10068118816272 |
| C | 8.85731164998616 | 9.24324625196805 | 13.64145922713672 |
| H | 6.17812683331868 | 5.60605657547600 | 16.40979280600476 |
| H | 8.19836230837163 | 4.94335276046010 | 15.12902491617414 |


| H 9.44148065306370 | 6.64601324349873 | 13.85343463433599 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 9.76685264829892 | 8.93829993176128 | 13.13865964196630 |
| H | 9.07071418680750 | 11.28200384438757 | 13.12584208056102 |
| C 1.80997920570110 | 7.98879123799663 | 15.70037457958368 |  |
| C 2.89202656335097 | 7.63683764200669 | 14.91125574621865 |  |
| C 3.08941020370759 | 8.24698227887873 | 13.67790741196534 |  |
| C 2.16955869906867 | 9.17456883448520 | 13.21625342637717 |  |
| C 1.08099058422839 | 9.52345509380069 | 14.00138990947018 |  |
| H 1.66537358901990 | 7.53205567297841 | 16.67161024967395 |  |
| H 3.59664631970979 | 6.89588263494037 | 15.26606390741140 |  |
| H 3.95394827617417 | 7.99526030965312 | 13.07948295455826 |  |
| H 2.31154504484867 | 9.64018629300969 | 12.25047539241852 |  |
| H 0.37710721679646 | 10.26978703923164 | 13.65629310116236 |  |

168 ${ }_{\text {bi-ph }}$ TS2 PBEh3c optimized

C $1.96732968187128 \quad 6.317159035927090 .96297902560653$

C $3.17469946756803 \quad 5.884552426182820 .55864214651605$

C 3.515700902164594 .492152931393970 .61039566221576

C 2.572365127344863 .547498207236771 .09914067292147

C 1.222395988566574 .002178656195751 .41455081216646

C $0.95695463291182 \quad 5.39203124671658 \quad 1.38878540146615$

C $2.98689710895767 \quad 2.229928130069401 .24943939779849$

C $4.25603510409801 \quad 1.789725936751690 .87499827712485$

C $5.15035907159583 \quad 2.724821340906560 .29422969419056$

C $4.76763665240704 \quad 4.057735577071730 .20126176631538$

C 4.684757952895040 .409710259590021 .01760573403514

C $3.90548421422455-0.56724423026006 \quad 1.65621983146929$

| H | 2.96966438376900 | -0.29133778014865 | 2.12029467664874 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 2.29591634220492 | 1.51633301884672 | 1.67125156653401 |
| C | -0.29219041535951 | 5.83312478718122 | 1.79592033578220 |
| C | $-1.31488850182324$ | 4.95563725574837 | 2.12999284272694 |
| C | -1.13302776506170 | 3.55051455397476 | 1.97056388073591 |
| C | 0.17072172673408 | 3.12861459976964 | 1.69289836913246 |
| C | -2.30702720516197 | 2.67364819969907 | 2.15708564402257 |
| C | $-3.41287383416963$ | 3.24147494188972 | 2.84209132198591 |
| C | $-3.48539678474281$ | 4.64606010619662 | 3.11923106372862 |
| C | -2.51961327825075 | 5.47682512034796 | 2.70196440228151 |
| C | -4.47102305069776 | 2.42916273684762 | 3.20123720389020 |
| C | -4.56829995474141 | 1.11069167458316 | 2.79242387422720 |
| C | -3.59889245920654 | 0.56116486324315 | 1.90295791922153 |
| C | -2.45219847949144 | 1.35213985740067 | 1.66391266479954 |
| C | -5.68046959853395 | 0.34783061220284 | 3.26768306259945 |
| C | -5.88426377606894 | -0.89941437365333 | 2.82491942332130 |
| C | -5.03943923773166 | $-1.45655873935573$ | 1.81335134615663 |
| C | -3.89706987768268 | -0.76683582728830 | 1.30952507653417 |
| C | -3.21258831018345 | -1.40148286751520 | 0.25164152345807 |
| C | -3.57879391455257 | $-2.63912487602843$ | $-0.29524742412773$ |
| C | -4.73573049680819 | -3.27189031680809 | 0.22847637269201 |
| C | -5.41571486087401 | $-2.67446748542167$ | 1.27269519322475 |
| C | -5.25058674085320 | -4.48392752375492 | $-0.33349920019268$ |
| C | -4.65319194526091 | -5.05042033785860 | -1.39223927113341 |
| C | -3.45202204630578 | -4.49562792490874 | -1.93919803058826 |
| C | -2.86174327810888 | -3.31964319194579 | -1.38828698495871 |
| C | $-1.62653405182823$ | -2.92640314715490 | -1.91112026507545 |


| C | -0.98171757659574 | -3.62310295669194 | -2.94837706011814 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -1.64590402948640 | -4.72621639113655 | -3.53966782303910 |
| C | $-2.85469584468464$ | -5.14249872776464 | -3.00656087809721 |
| H | -1.13817728786110 | -2.05248344700607 | -1.49726548030414 |
| H | 0.87113002347308 | -1.94125313081386 | -1.88667824385485 |
| C | 1.18573044900005 | -2.37572515189496 | -2.82071989786203 |
| C | 0.34546514999384 | -3.29874063410413 | -3.45205549266769 |
| H | -6.14620181715215 | -4.91501653596279 | 0.09492884975819 |
| C | -1.35293297349253 | 0.81384837771141 | 0.84543683444543 |
| H | -5.27326090032225 | 2.84983208835830 | 3.79714591872333 |
| H | 1.72829290372863 | 7.37359377447394 | 0.95101181327230 |
| C | 5.93357497126690 | 0.01694399265952 | 0.48994515496620 |
| C | 4.30854684843972 | -1.88074302816166 | 1.71857112202900 |
| C | 6.42376096552759 | 2.28774130772094 | -0.19193167898943 |
| H | 5.46842425285388 | 4.77865231978880 | -0.20552408426985 |
| H | 3.92354438056883 | 6.58532952570021 | 0.20923965851003 |
| H | -0.47550984784448 | 6.89944304941566 | 1.87203172152849 |
| H | -2.37781362398323 | -0.90059744738099 | -0.20252542260019 |
| H | -5.05206835833138 | -5.95098983195720 | -1.84117689279794 |
| H | -3.33887847871311 | $-6.01819229216112$ | -3.42417629349058 |
| C | $-1.07087124546522$ | -5.42256338504378 | -4.65083483560548 |
| C | 2.42407944354078 | -2.05361324086398 | -3.32813100043792 |
| C | 0.85539231865113 | -3.97538444094649 | -4.58413834274045 |
| H | -6.30491688108265 | -3.15979447923194 | 1.65872260298943 |
| H | -6.34304373315437 | 0.80193513157658 | 3.99334141957386 |
| H | -6.71593689630593 | -1.49035224217323 | 3.18644837598869 |
| H | -4.37003371450050 | 5.02516414226634 | 3.61611311175992 |


| H | -2.60409417245872 | 6.54756441581958 | 2.84324170529041 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.38296826108691 | 2.07522500507256 | 1.69342832721977 |
| C | 0.11111136749985 | -5.04674691593515 | -5.17052310152160 |
| C | 2.12088753043593 | -3.63826605147768 | -5.09031777040161 |
| C | 2.88956998753195 | -2.67189298620122 | -4.49155562703338 |
| H | 3.05122825143722 | $-1.33854125249488$ | -2.81125608408748 |
| H | 3.86046246196358 | $-2.41620695263678$ | -4.89651461338289 |
| H | 2.49578379185230 | $-4.17617198574173$ | -5.95368816912446 |
| H | 0.53965251766078 | -5.57845625685357 | -6.01221852241387 |
| H | -1.61340067008965 | -6.26429281503162 | -5.06371350714423 |
| C | 6.33078344778520 | -1.32750054166052 | 0.56662214322875 |
| C | 5.52739375294281 | -2.27118432256280 | 1.15786742471517 |
| C | 6.78932764851670 | 0.99313448293461 | -0.11528543980902 |
| H | 3.68035669012291 | $-2.61320767904536$ | 2.20861309295716 |
| H | 5.83852103712925 | -3.30638552212930 | 1.19909785779775 |
| H | 7.28841005237432 | -1.61345278335540 | 0.14876473629513 |
| H | 7.74673963523681 | 0.66908534862547 | -0.50449813023730 |
| H | 7.08387746593088 | 3.01876385282204 | -0.64272117975646 |
| C | -0.52607104984789 | -0.18613535240648 | 1.34704604617229 |
| C | 0.59597836931581 | -0.57131302067685 | 0.63456554199484 |
| C | 0.90799351387349 | 0.05871985300134 | -0.56423114270514 |
| C | 0.03436996279641 | 0.98785706872818 | -1.10941671344068 |
| C | -1.09879134565469 | 1.35930686354710 | -0.40623119886332 |
| H | -0.76022365431271 | -0.64088361580233 | 2.30185878183928 |
| H | 1.24066861297166 | $-1.34988103763868$ | 1.01914198858843 |
| H | 1.83412478590855 | $-0.17687564078653$ | -1.06938841332253 |
| H | 0.24569136266203 | 1.43659083219593 | $-2.07027119643530$ |

H -1.77263660655452

169 ${ }_{\text {H }}$ MINO PBEh3c optimized

C -6.9953373609996814 .9921314429000515 .83929890627503

C -6.3867729093269614 .8599953652351214 .62118619846469

C -6.4567567335727013 .6312182504074713 .95403565617669

C -7.0687981488662912 .5525964345131814 .53922179344542

C $\quad-7.6326682095296512 .6252598662808915 .83428391741288$

C $\quad-7.6479354734665513 .90417068429610 \quad 16.45086304578551$

C -8.38693446834770
$14.10260588429852 \quad 17.64709578371318$

C $\quad-9.1381628225927613 .1010859166172718 .16023671925786$

C $\quad-9.9643600120811710 .7913157319257718 .13067678211605$

C -9.11241415673914
C - 8.27462056605939
$11.51744464995128 \quad 16.50273482185379$

C -8.94471098993114
$7.83967495366216 \quad 16.19982554653302$

C - 2.64744481583919
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } 1.02231228812183 & 11.26190161159115 & 10.15792788729807\end{array}$

C 0.3057896692002211 .6659230189658210 .86226127795424

C $0.2598216305656712 .99055893342052 \quad 11.11907067829301$

C $\quad-0.6322769396428913 .5268157926698712 .09640720454596$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-0.64609408304736 & 14.92838641308312 & 12.32937773311379\end{array}$

C $-1.43942995780798 \quad 15.46921904641820 \quad 13.28347266137522$
C $\begin{array}{lllll}-2.71073721888371 & 12.43822761845993 & 15.01384941482680\end{array}$
C $\quad-3.3654718092949713 .0031131385526716 .07813728444971$

C $\begin{array}{lllll}-3.48328921252453 & 14.39449765302765 & 16.18401062953163\end{array}$

C -2.8769519389176715 .1896419724134715 .25014814017725

C -2.1803242887258214 .6326262754107714 .16004177823993

C -1.4725081578253612 .6796176583268712 .82935902189836

C -1.5905703347300911 .3055302922113412 .38827229959483

C $\quad-0.6412038999790710 .7873839981821311 .46317046157607$

C $-0.70145911395912 \quad 9.44362098116182 \quad 11.10121246581993$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C } & -2.72828801285782 & 6.40821647340105 & 11.60280359823983\end{array}$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-1.73438008031080 & 7.21576652330913 & 11.18958521620368\end{array}$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-1.69738397746516 & 8.60027866202163 & 11.56559440006226\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -2.72412480064853 & 9.13467081540963 & 12.38886355600710\end{array}$

H $-4.86647726055771 \quad 9.74083957395411 \quad 13.92857036399466$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -5.86560306543537 & 7.86823511803770 & 14.07660597187279\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C & -4.84720431559430 & 8.70327625239596 & 13.63213472579335\end{array}$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-3.80530094966925 & 8.26194849634230 & 12.82503324299256\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -3.79096334923660 & 6.90042253153950 & 12.43255935427763\end{array}$

H $-4.79418790881957 \quad 5.0182952642290212 .56020989344109$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -4.80813104560116 & 6.05907285955130 & 12.86463536478825\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}C & -5.84091790624096 & 6.50871320106610 & 13.67726843528145\end{array}$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-6.87733166426603 & 5.61703175244219 & 14.11421961003072\end{array}$

C $\quad-7.87750347995643 \quad 6.04524453936958 \quad 14.90593923145146$
$\begin{array}{llll}C & -7.94897923520596 & 7.41165761073401 & 15.33795496099049\end{array}$

C $\begin{array}{llll}-6.95474014396255 & 8.33694791875662 & 14.92236122530028\end{array}$

C $-7.06380045042821 \quad 9.65544463774931 \quad 15.33960715211792$

C $\quad-8.1225729671400610 .12855825083028 \quad 16.11875918251677$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & -9.03652159604756 & 9.16220821568886 & 16.62615049657510\end{array}$

C $-9.97897762530504 \quad 9.54043874108571 \quad 17.62477220997622$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { H } & -10.66381590527627 & 8.79492865151856 & 18.00890564608296\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}H & -7.00846101795637 & 15.95168866473668 & 16.34272732564379\end{array}$

| H | -5.88515172616827 | 15.70029682501660 | 14.16173816638902 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | -6.04198652927702 | 13.53294987342013 | 12.95978850653449 |
| H | -7.16850636463655 | 11.65106679250527 | 13.95447471927109 |
| H | -8.38698454223428 | 15.08348300585200 | 18.10641912836180 |
| H | -9.76016621186131 | 13.26970347143037 | 19.03075882500055 |
| H | -10.62889466328412 | 11.06216668691692 | 18.94176804062029 |
| H | -9.65991274473803 | 7.11859767391163 | 16.58020270371070 |
| H | -3.46118406560748 | 10.90671330509721 | 13.31151260777490 |
| H | 0.93167172248301 | 13.67053084843160 | 10.61000501817682 |
| H | -0.02024242866841 | 15.56203596516660 | 11.71324892941970 |
| H | -1.47238762426657 | 16.54180435730395 | 13.43096146378880 |
| H | -2.56752002415516 | 11.36831585849847 | 15.01250774106535 |
| H | -3.77484757646604 | 12.36847787838469 | 16.85286317089265 |
| H | -4.01853098905437 | 14.83177282611767 | 17.01541522871322 |
| H | -2.90152001944135 | 16.26811715273670 | 15.35224369056758 |
| H | 0.04199223476152 | 9.05288575544485 | 10.41538335306392 |
| H | -2.74307956389281 | 5.36430749041547 | 11.31479657761485 |
| H | -0.93864043257558 | 6.83046298446386 | 10.56411621742557 |
| H | -6.83561457026840 | 4.58370255482236 | 13.79261834279158 |
| H | -8.64963987327656 | 5.36077409609061 | 15.23507957288021 |
| H | -6.27183926822558 | 10.34458111565412 | 15.09936155697785 |

169н TS1 PBEh3c optimized

C -2.506703564762971 .195386540848881 .50761084476734

C $-1.43693337065321 \quad 4.112343186432390 .66372062714242$

C $-0.99213548539730 \quad 2.84327458106320 \quad 0.28913528559512$

C -1.619540319976061 .712611733116070 .74480715063633

C -2.74634617873732

C -3.16353058570558

C -4.25513667760236

C -4.91960145958650

C -5.37098149180243

C -4.55917836762125

C - 3.48612071396237

C -3.95977863509982

C 2.22223259792916

H 5.59936480430242

C 4.96404177887654

C 4.94274640889435

C 4.16292777755719

C 4.16996147935543

C 3.48915143094368

C 2.44429701127499

C 1.92921606681470

C $1.81542892143622 \quad 3.25712588357889 \quad 2.05376109839131$

C 2.295959709785864 .086193072003551 .07714911777231
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C } & 2.86051050844642 & 3.56901442429089 & -0.10578250780500\end{array}$

C $2.87059705232866 \quad 2.16815272581423-0.33337707099446$

C 3.412154346453721 .66283609179582 -1.57195780842622

C 3.242697090765420 .30385940301773 -2.04174988896484

C $4.08919403194727-0.18115569304314 \quad-3.07765771337974$

C $3.99694270474274-1.51532499864912 \quad-3.46626017555325$

C $2.04997217352199-4.57642755260601 \quad-2.83259197109427$

C $2.99438093497807-3.75279911061578$-3.32226543426554

| C | 3.04954005760491 | -2.37298960036855 | -2.93228021737775 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 2.09529407148921 | -1.86010026774436 | -2.01300282087626 |
| H | 0.00404556400085 | -1.26326702416731 | -0.40082006460869 |
| C | -0.97867290425866 | -3.14320409757370 | -0.21114284126852 |
| C | 0.01708568886459 | -2.30200853095422 | -0.69498543671754 |
| C | 1.03633142552747 | -2.73816912635852 | -1.53366689301087 |
| C | 1.04321495094541 | -4.09918406548939 | -1.92791194768680 |
| H | 0.09394516740289 | -6.00070337093381 | $-1.71314434540802$ |
| C | 0.07137226679305 | -4.95506311382909 | -1.42649974290333 |
| C | -0.92684681727479 | -4.51434487666387 | -0.56740323433840 |
| C | -1.89297795025049 | $-5.43144231595508$ | -0.03174834304166 |
| C | -2.85233886827832 | -5.01599000449479 | 0.81484141535474 |
| C | -2.96584987923452 | -3.63261442562243 | 1.17643922037403 |
| C | -2.05979123830412 | -2.68135897815359 | 0.65101614068717 |
| C | -2.24249432923756 | -1.34130806964243 | 0.96113146700446 |
| C | -3.25242840128936 | -0.83825370018456 | 1.79047136220411 |
| C | -4.11723860784446 | -1.83485978124344 | 2.34564287249587 |
| C | -5.16871992549990 | -1.47768829036325 | 3.23019084984884 |
| H | -5.79722017384964 | -2.25679363699527 | 3.64252998011648 |
| H | -2.87643231192629 | 5.16173267504191 | 1.82845648872683 |
| H | -0.93839054232253 | 5.00085630282075 | 0.30134707853591 |
| H | -0.13310736508105 | 2.74147374382957 | -0.36092185420620 |
| H | -1.17759987651476 | 0.79792036289174 | 0.41193347635351 |
| H | -4.54266563273687 | 4.24136543653578 | 3.13970121772155 |
| H | -5.75511343599405 | 2.29927088669485 | 4.02855497315733 |
| H | -6.17233915197478 | 0.10130592410057 | 4.20514765501633 |


| H 1.47875391106002 | -0.12871848941611 | -0.93738845011687 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 5.55394541294018 | 2.73411125805825 | -4.01124179556608 |
| H | 4.71891975937394 | 4.58701975989796 | -2.75587039225650 |
| H | 3.46872317961855 | 5.50509671451897 | -0.85334850954322 |
| H | 2.58039139248316 | 0.27435624511578 | 0.67332217249767 |
| H | 1.61995765722387 | 1.20748997578251 | 2.68716085892571 |
| H | 1.37657805347359 | 3.66400650255325 | 2.95420367145170 |
| H | 2.27340536980372 | 5.16078009471697 | 1.21403069211361 |
| H 4.67180149565041 | -1.88655280041538 | -4.22941061838298 |  |
| H | 2.02647343151033 | -5.61931723875353 | -3.12353726649445 |
| H 3.73968994190963 | -4.12292268780638 | -4.01542154545090 |  |
| H | -1.82467530798274 | -6.47406393740533 | -0.31680325378172 |
| H | -3.56725827366526 | -5.71767556068106 | 1.22658204169423 |
| H | -1.55768249956468 | -0.66813671688262 | 0.50025027158992 |

169H INT1 PBEh3c optimized

C $\quad-2.774414072139514 .321217537742170 .27891139207833$

C -1.431615158574544 .138688639228340 .09183753924421

C -0.84548320597038
2.928682315169430 .48491513934683

C -1.61806962893515
1.907269136685440 .97492073690923

C $-3.02366933586831 \quad 2.019292143568631 .08037555859093$

C -3.58268220938244
3.294283876577240 .80494058491255

C -4.94131886591923
3.553696804469921 .12502153718965

C -5.68815107773826
2.609784642371061 .74269325174988

C -6.01165755243687
$0.33693463298876 \quad 2.60214904704133$

C -5.181581126913001 .299177264600741 .95317932293764

C -3.89355809967260 0.95414232367876
1.52172024888668

C 2.30333629362735

H 5.65809706375481

C 5.03920517791039
5.03202715732342

C 4.28590843023784

C 4.32494876818535

C 3.69539143623950

C 2.66425178741365

C 2.23005259393683

C 2.15941196655515

C 2.60025918364455

C 3.09183067237968

C 3.05963234257683

C 3.54235197704572

C 3.33821812819430

C 4.17159254575297

C 4.08069851553421

C 2.19021324611274

C 3.110678514420

C 3.136410508155

C 2.166542389500

H -0.06588154875300

C -0.98156302127090

C 0.00896853453672 -2.01341890059877

C $1.09358706417331-2.50291093669105-1.17115013433928$

C $1.15497755247140-3.89485624478595-1.43017289938786$

| H | 0.28878639330329 | -5.80376823229004 | -1.01349768903910 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 0.20766114324721 | -4.73372309897608 | -0.85684162846275 |
| C | -0.83693930025795 | -4.24174467692553 | -0.08520135363526 |
| C | -1.75428960590661 | -5.12671976343610 | 0.57576736533308 |
| C | -2.75312468642446 | -4.64970251398602 | 1.34151893294207 |
| C | -2.98897039092291 | -3.23819759715049 | 1.45828143599095 |
| C | $-2.13764348676447$ | -2.32522216679289 | 0.78370937528408 |
| C | -2.45801042570834 | -0.97608516624552 | 0.80033393689859 |
| C | -3.53967821755124 | -0.45247596493937 | 1.51671951519271 |
| C | -4.39243222287481 | -1.38663808918342 | 2.17295595295634 |
| C | -5.61143479899991 | -0.94155825986308 | 2.75977583210775 |
| H | -6.23578835543587 | $-1.65799356238167$ | 3.27883672891050 |
| H | -3.23526088048533 | 5.27299188841553 | 0.04395602133713 |
| H | -0.81796276824591 | 4.93264603513945 | -0.31224611075198 |
| H | 0.22682444648447 | 2.80621812585956 | 0.42678154705079 |
| H | -1.11891565342425 | 1.02536118066548 | 1.34716117110610 |
| H | -5.35118459183975 | 4.53421294831053 | 0.91566843888595 |
| H | -6.70140664411426 | 2.82783463124083 | 2.05646213091224 |
| H | -6.97294032622327 | 0.66066751357210 | 2.98147774843197 |
| H | -4.76350617846351 | -3.44129684096408 | 2.62602991255642 |
| H | 1.56654988260376 | 0.11099403338986 | -0.62301186160725 |
| H | 5.63599862662267 | 2.86902050150373 | -3.78955704851992 |
| H | 4.86027842378494 | 4.74934397688844 | -2.52240965290719 |
| H | 3.70289129337693 | 5.69192953600405 | -0.57407130525465 |
| H | 2.76009296544004 | 0.47430648455526 | 0.97628934900704 |
| H | 1.95412616147080 | 1.42034699847182 | 3.05155711230485 |
| H | 1.78806949818214 | 3.88233779142253 | 3.34221168585828 |


| H 2.60600559673353 | 5.36657117736172 | 1.55448577752889 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 4.75992807218046 | -1.76878372913948 | -3.85523649634542 |
| H | 2.20103340273457 | -5.48148381584240 | -2.47761117592812 |
| H | 3.86847019725563 | -4.01271725881344 | -3.49278043618906 |
| H | -1.60923179134542 | -6.19427131410073 | 0.46406760111985 |
| H | -3.42150296404951 | -5.32792333643864 | 1.85755171264932 |
| H | -1.90012834330948 | -0.31626463038805 | 0.15710345573735 |

169 ${ }_{\text {H }}$ TS2 PBEh3c optimized

C -2.27699487475333
3.91533462006034
2.47336569976643

C -1.07392076856792
$3.49845976262101 \quad 2.97275016946003$

C -0.80012901585804
2.126473643460733 .04815155278880

C -1.69408463319898

C -2.92520979445772

C -3.23552217212494

C -4.54353495648040

C -5.52187495259352

C -6.31213792618936

C -5.23806461818549

C -3.91965916229388

C -4.49400138419683

C $2.42200515226527-0.58661793041906-1.31936566335040$

H $5.65838638439660-0.19399138616147$-4.51602602158469
C $5.014489136756160 .31956787519060 \quad-3.81265410288203$

C $4.861862222875241 .65971936352739-3.86429272387355$

C $4.09000728305111 \quad 2.34888542331473$-2.88103793167857
$\begin{array}{llll}C & 3.99736170434625 & 3.76533319058647 & -2.93360639307660\end{array}$

C 2.746046127892391 .787482128613600 .54984090979753

C 2.20454359649832

C 2.47974454655002

C 2.91848209166855

C 2.99585157058264

C 3.46390074870576

C 3.38082207653248

C 4.25582338028013

C 4.30171058332302

C 2.61387713386220

C 3.52738690737103

C 3.42414382274047

C 2.38750973000756

H 0.03309808151015

C -0.88086126827269

C 0.16335130514632

C 1.33370140481397

C 1.47293051658799

H 0.56981616384870

C 0.45938300895220

C -0.71989911462249

C -1.79218060485749

C -2.99722350739131

C -3.22423637046386

C -2.15552440462028

C 2.37326015607943 2.53778980282951 1.63375074718397
$4.46157266654342 \quad-1.95250764336581$
2.537789802829511 .63375074718397
3.924069493793151 .51941708154375
4.537616331131820 .32838030632986
$3.79561795968793-0.78524758318398$
$2.38129545712366-0.70753145001242$
$1.63950063409788-1.84892091660290$
$0.19987089163951-1.97218045967023$
$-0.45067466896654-2.88428824491212$
$-1.84027732552575-2.90196611658758$
$-4.80290702378788-1.55214924142164$
$-4.04034343137845-2.17468165942234$
$-2.61071535262169-2.16032596252922$
$-1.97195935527823-1.42260665463208$
$-1.21137426228593-0.26483434065624$
-3.09255940830338 0.16379152349597
$2.28482387021896-0.28781138060986$
$2.80183481164282-0.84418769817346$
$-4.21210448853730-0.91429834265323$
$-6.09860127126840-0.49573605801696$
$-5.02205048461933-0.42689755036292$
$-4.499700891720940 .08038796901789$
$-5.380904514108430 .44249143408945$
$-4.896405421194690 .78430956425885$
-3.48351675256821 0.86745415072044
$-2.56889934738719 \quad 0.64881820748910$

| C | -2.39465925308637 | -1.22073758707959 | 0.88478776651000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -3.65269794298052 | -0.72450999795475 | 1.25270603696016 |
| C | $-4.74653594862694$ | -1.63284944603449 | 1.24274046220068 |
| C | -6.08169436931679 | -1.13840198024320 | 1.29014256831186 |
| H | -6.90119136550673 | -1.84560313790802 | 1.26529365158847 |
| H | -2.52642543604131 | 4.96931767391844 | 2.45657997846592 |
| H | -0.35703322053491 | 4.21858827898523 | 3.34287418887353 |
| H | 0.11331910560441 | 1.78202771201645 | 3.51288797671817 |
| H | -1.47290820686561 | 0.15835308216428 | 2.69207912053486 |
| H | -4.76105557721553 | 4.48214740803494 | 1.67527301636719 |
| H | -6.54034438685485 | 2.83973177452952 | 1.27281072894659 |
| H | -7.32417177310216 | 0.57404377617856 | 1.23472862473044 |
| H | -5.32248254159774 | -3.69080471708376 | 1.18028292068496 |
| H | 1.64806132350382 | -0.08265097235491 | -0.75864230385626 |
| H | 5.36728298277123 | 2.24274320515918 | -4.62416559863543 |
| H | 4.43164854603835 | 4.28035987584206 | -3.78150800507177 |
| H | 3.28683842803844 | 5.53910144104518 | -2.01297387286236 |
| H | 2.92316937634613 | 0.73145474834553 | 0.68953922501187 |
| H | 2.23588894165689 | 2.05435734987309 | 2.59120469109815 |
| H | 1.90090380656393 | 4.50809973042825 | 2.37775298495266 |
| H | 2.40440786730565 | 5.61446007074217 | 0.23882845163495 |
| H | 5.02479724446228 | -2.33348995001482 | -3.54215957266222 |
| H | 2.69793626141303 | $-5.88257726580898$ | -1.55982050580741 |
| H | 4.36121790790049 | -4.49133656792742 | $-2.69778825474116$ |
| H | -1.62041950778508 | $-6.44876466273047$ | 0.38751875472095 |
| H | -3.82072797888706 | -5.56422745690798 | 1.00477499523940 |
| H | -1.59407040867654 | -0.51198054005499 | 0.73379745043874 |
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8. Appendix
8.1. NMR Spectra
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 173b


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 173b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 178a


$\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178a




${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178a
$\stackrel{\sim}{\tilde{\sim}} \underset{\stackrel{y}{j}}{1}$


${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (376 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 178a

$\qquad$

| 70 | -75 | -80 | -85 | -90 | -95 | -100 | -105 | -110 | -115 | $-120$ | -125 | -130 | -135 | -140 | -145 | -150 | -155 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178b

## 


$\mathrm{Ar}=2$-pyrenyl


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178b

${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178b


${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (376 MHz, CD 3 CN) 178b



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178c




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178c


[^2]${ }^{31}$ P\{H\} NMR: (121 MHz, CD 3 CN) 178c
${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (376 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 178c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 178d



Ar $=2$-pyreny
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 178d

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 148147146145144143142141140139138 \\ \text { f1 (ppm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllllllll} 135 & 134 & 133 & 132 & 131 & \begin{array}{r} 130 \\ f 1 \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{array} & & 129 & 127 & 126 & 125 & 124 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  | Lillut.ill |  |

${ }^{31}$ P\{H\} NMR: (121 MHz, CD 3 CN) 178d
$\stackrel{\text { Z }}{\substack{~}}$

${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (471 MHz, CD 3 CN) 178d


$\mathrm{Ar}=p$-biphenyl

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 162a

${ }^{31}$ P\{H\} NMR: (162 MHz, CD 3 CN) 162a

${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (376 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 162a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 162b

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 162b

${ }^{31}$ P\{H\} NMR: (162 MHz, CD 3 CN) 162b

${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (376 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 162b


| -75 | -80 | -85 | -90 | -95 | -100 | -105 | -110 | -115 | -120 | -125 | -130 | -135 | -140 | -145 | -150 | -155 | -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | f1 (ppm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (300 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 162c


Ar $=p$-biphenyl

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 162c

${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (121 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 162c

${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( $471 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) 162c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 162d

## 



Ar $=2$-pyrenyl

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ 162d

${ }^{31}$ P\{H\} NMR: (121 MHz, CD 3 CN) 162d
$\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{0}}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}$


${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (471 MHz, CD 3 CN) 162d

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 184c

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 184c



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 185c




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 185c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 189c




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 189c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 189h

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 8 9 h}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 8 9} \mathbf{i}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 8 9} \mathbf{i}$

|  |
| :---: |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161a

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 161a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161b

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 161b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161c

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 161d



Ar $=p-\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$


${ }^{13}$ C $\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161d


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR：$\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 161e


シャロ


$136135134133132131130129128 \underset{\text { f1（ppm）}}{127} 126125124123122121120119118117116$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161f

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 161 \mathrm{f}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 1 g}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 161g

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161h

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 1 h}$

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161i

## 


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 1 i}$

## 



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161j


$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 1 j}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 1 k}$

${ }^{13}$ C $\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161k

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161I

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 1 I}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\mathbf{1 6 1 m}$

## 萢 <br> 





Ar=p-(OBn) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

| 10.5 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161m

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 161n

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 161n

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 163a

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 163a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 163b

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 163b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163c

## 




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 163c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163d

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 163d

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163e


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{OPh}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 163e

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 3 f}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 163 \mathrm{f}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 163g

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 3 g}$

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}136 & 135 & 134 & 133 & 132 & 131 & 130 & 129 & 128 & 127 & 126 & 125 & 124 & 123 & 122 & 121 & 120 & 119\end{array}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163h

$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{o}-(\mathrm{OMe})_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 3 h}$

## 



䓂需

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163j

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 3 j}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163k

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 163k

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163I

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 3 I}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 3 m}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}:\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 3 m}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 163n

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 163n

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 196b



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 196b

## 

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 197b

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 197b

$\stackrel{\cong}{\square}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164a



Ar $=p-(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}8.20 & 8.15 & 8.10 & 8.05 & 8.00 & 7.95 & 7.90 & 7.85 & 7.80 & 7.75 & 7.70 & 7.65 & 7.60 & 7.55 & 7.50 & 7.45 & 7.40 & 7.35 & 7.30 \\ & & & & & & & & (\mathrm{ppm})\end{array}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4 a}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164b

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4 b}$

## 




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164c

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4 c}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164d



|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \stackrel{-1}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ti } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \end{aligned}$ |  | Trer | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { e- } \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | 10.5 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ \mathrm{f} 1(\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.5 |

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 164d
$\stackrel{m}{i}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164e


Ar=p-(OPh) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 164e

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\mathbf{1 6 4 f}$


Ar=p-(OBu) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4 f}$

 $\hat{6}$
$i$
$i$

```
~
```


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4 g}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4 g}$


${ }^{19}$ F NMR: (377 MHz, CDCl 3 ) 164g
$\qquad$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 164h


${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164h
$\qquad$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164i



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 4 i}$

## 

$\sqrt{ }$
$\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{i}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 164j

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 164j

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 a}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 165a

## 


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 165b


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 165b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165c


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{OBn}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 c}$



$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 90 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0\end{array}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165d

${ }^{13}$ C $\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165d


[^3]${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165e


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-(\mathrm{OPh}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 e}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165 f

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 f}$

## 




$\qquad$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 165g

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 g}$

${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165g
$\qquad$

| 80 | -85 | -90 | -95 | -100 | ${ }_{-105}$ | -110 | ${ }_{115}$ | $-120$ | -125 | -130 | ${ }^{1} 135$ | ${ }_{-140}$ | -145 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 165h


$\mathrm{Ar}=p-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 h}$

${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165h
$\qquad$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 i}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 i}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 165j

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{1 6 5 j}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( 400 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 200a

## $\underbrace{\substack{\infty \\ \infty}}$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (100 MHz, acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 200a



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ 200b

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO-d $\left._{6}\right)$ 200b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 201a

## 


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 201a

${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( $282 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 201a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 201b


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 201b


[^4]${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 201b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 1,1,2,2$-tetrachloroethane-d ${ }_{2}$ ) 202a

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ 202a




${ }^{19}$ F NMR (282 MHz, DMSO-d $)$ : 202a


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) 202b


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ 202b

$\qquad$

${ }^{19}$ F NMR (377 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) 202b

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 203a

## 


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 203a

## 


${ }^{19}$ F NMR: ( $377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 203a


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 203b




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 203b

## 



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 208$



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 208$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 209$

## 



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 209$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 210$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 210$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 211

```
| \^~
```



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 211$
-

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 212$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 212$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 213$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 213$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 6: 1$ ) 214

## 



| 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.6 <br> fl <br> $1.5 p m$ | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 6: 1\right) 214$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, CS $2: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12}$ 5:1) 215

## 





${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12}$ 5:1) 215

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 216$

## 




$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
7.9 & 7.8 & 7.7 & 7.6 & 7.5 & 7.4 & 7.3 & 7.2 & 7.1 \\
& & & f(\mathrm{ppm}) & & & &
\end{array}
$$



## 

## 



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 7: 1\right) 166$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 7: 1\right) 166$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 5: 1\right) 167$

## 


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} 7: 1\right) 167$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12} 5: 1\right) 168$
~ั

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12} 5: 1\right) 168$

$30 \quad 220$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}100 & 200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 \\ \mathrm{f} 1\end{array}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 169$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 169$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{12}$ 20:1) 217a

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{DC}_{12}$ 20:1) 217a

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 217b





${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ NMR: $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 217b



### 8.2. HPLC Chromatogams

163a with catalyst 147h, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-65endo


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 1.772 | 791904 | 128976 | 66.46 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 3.885 | 399610 | 44265 | 33.54 |

163a with catalyst 147h, achiral separation, HPLC


163a with catalyst 192a, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-135
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 1.747 | 283405 | 36396 | 19.34 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 3.852 | 1181923 | 127562 | 80.66 |

163a with catalyst 192a, achiral separation, HPLC


163a with catalyst 192b, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-133
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 2.353 | 310292 | 50377 | 30.16 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 5.381 | 718442 | 65300 | 69.84 |

163a with catalyst 192b, achiral separation, HPLC


163a with catalyst 193a, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-141
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 1.789 | 93133 | 23045 | 3.53 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 3.892 | 2545054 | 319217 | 96.47 |

## 163a with catalyst 193b, chiral separation, SFC

Sample: PA-RED-232_IA3-IPA35
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 0.966 | 45331 | 14964 | 6.79 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 2.034 | 621992 | 130874 | 93.21 |

163a with catalyst 193b, achiral separation, HPLC


163a with catalyst 193c, chiral separation, HPLC



163a with catalyst 193c, achiral separation, HPLC


## 163a with catalyst 193d, chiral separation, HPLC



163a with catalyst 193d, achiral separation, HPLC


163a with catalyst 193e, chiral separation, HPLC


163a with catalyst 193e, achiral separation, HPLC


163a with catalyst 193f, chiral separation, SFC

Sample: PA-RED-92crude
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 1.761 | 12289077 | 1810449 | 97.69 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 3.873 | 290641 | 32142 | 2.31 |

163b, chiral separation, SFC

Sample: PA-RED-113crude
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 2.091 | 6074414 | 1353799 | 98.57 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 4.463 | 88074 | 9695 | 1.43 |

163b, achiral separation, HPLC


163c, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-131
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 3.495 | 3416228 | 393358 | 97.63 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 8.513 | 82777 | 5104 | 2.37 |

163c, achiral separation, HPLC


163d, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-171
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 1.431 | 1809636 | 617956 | 97.74 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 2.699 | 41891 | 7543 | 2.26 |

## 163d, achiral separation, HPLC



163e, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-207_IA3-IPA25
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 3.141 | 1576452 | 230579 | 98.56 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 5.507 | 23046 | 2076 | 1.44 |

## 163e, achiral separation, HPLC



163f, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-183


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 2.289 | 1136619 | 197106 | 83.82 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 3.352 | 219380 | 26478 | 16.18 |

163f, achiral separation, HPLC


## 163g, chiral separation, HPLC



163g, achiral separation, HPLC



163h, chiral separation, SFC

Sample: PA-RED-177-2_IG3-EtOH20
Chromatogram

Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 2.517 | 75381 | 13788 | 49.62 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 4.148 | 76537 | 8549 | 50.38 |

163h, achiral separation, HPLC


163j, chiral separation, SFC

Chromatogram


163j, achiral separation, HPLC


163k, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-Z6-2_IA3-IPA20
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 2.839 | 1509387 | 243898 | 96.40 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 5.574 | 56353 | 4787 | 3.60 |

163k, achiral separation, HPLC


163I, chiral separation, SFC

Sample: PA-RED-200_IA3-IPA25
Chromatogram


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 1.703 | 2658762 | 679281 | 93.92 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 3.526 | 172060 | 21866 | 6.08 |

163I, achiral separation, HPLC


163m, chiral separation, SFC
Sample: PA-RED-209_IA3-IPA35


Component Results

|  | Name | RT | Area | Height | \% Area |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Enantiomer A | 1.918 | 2774273 | 596782 | 93.62 |
| 2 | Enantiomer B | 3.415 | 189041 | 23419 | 6.38 |

163m, achiral separation, HPLC


163n, chiral separation, HPLC


163n, achiral separation, HPLC


165a, chiral separation, SFC


165a, achiral separation, HPLC


165b, chiral separation, SFC


165b, achiral separation, HPLC


165c, chiral separation, SFC


165c, achiral separation, HPLC
Sample: PaRed-335_ZorbaxC18-95eq10


165d, chiral separation, SFC


165d, achiral separation, HPLC


165e, chiral separation, SFC


165e, achiral separation, HPLC

Sample Name
Injection Volun
Injection Volume
Data File
$\underset{\substack{\text { Data File } \\ \text { Comment }}}{\text { Lin }}$
: PaRed-359
$: 3$
: PaRed-359
PaRed-359 in MeCN-DCM $90-10$
$\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 80-20$, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$,
Zorbax SB-C18, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
uAU

| cakil | Ret. Tim | Arca | Height | Ara\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.01 | 2825 | 411 | 0.20 |
|  | 14.951 | 1392961 | 149610 | 99.254 |
|  | 16.578 | 4314 | 461 | 0.307 |
|  | 17,347 | 3329 | 262 |  |
| Total |  | [403428 | 150744 | 100,000 |

165f, chiral separation, SFC


165f, achiral separation, HPLC


165g, chiral separation, SFC


165g, achiral separation, HPLC

Sample Name
Injection Volume
Data File Data File Commen
: PaRed-391
: 5
PaRed-391 MeCN-H2O $90-10$ grad0-10m.led
PaRed-391 in MeCN-DCM 90-10
MeCN-H2O $90-10$,
$\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 90-10$, gradient to $100-0$ over 10 min
$1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 295 \mathrm{~K}$
Zorbax SB-C18, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
uAU
Chromatogram


Sample Information

165h, chiral separation, SFC


165h, achiral separation, HPLC


165i, chiral separation, SFC


165i, achiral separation, HPLC


165j, chiral separation, SFC


165j, achiral separation, HPLC


217a with 222, chiral separation, SFC


217b with 222, chiral separation, SFC


### 8.3. X-ray structures

## Compound 163a



Figure 54. Full asymmetric unit of compound 163a. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50\% probability level.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 955.18 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }_{1}$ |
| a/Å | $15.6559(3)$ |
| b/Å | $9.4564(2)$ |
| C/A | $16.9184(3)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.50 |
| $\mathrm{~V} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | $2503.88(8)$ |
| $Z$ | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}}$ | 1.267 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.569 |


| F(000) | 1012.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.256 \times 0.214 \times 0.156$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5.224 to 159.218 |
| Index ranges | $-19 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 19,-12 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11,-21 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 21$ |
| Reflections collected | 90298 |
| Independent reflections | $10589\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0237, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0115\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1.015 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0294, \mathrm{wR} \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.0793$ |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0295, \mathrm{wR} \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.0794$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $0.25 /-0.20$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e $\AA^{-3}$ | $0.05(5)$ |
| Flack parameter |  |

Compound 163f


Figure 55. Full asymmetric unit of compound 163f. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50\% probability level.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 464.53 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a/Å | $8.5181(6)$ |
| b/Å | $11.8834(12)$ |
| c/Å | $12.6170(12)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | $73.129(3)$ |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $78.555(4)$ |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $69.985(3)$ |
| Volume/ $/ \AA^{3}$ | $1141.37(18)$ |
| $Z$ | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}}{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.352 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.083 |
| F(000) | 488.0 |
| ${\text { Crystal size } / \mathrm{mm}^{3}} \quad 0.327 \times 0.231 \times 0.209$ |  |


| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2O range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.414 to 61.054 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-16 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-$ <br> $18 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 18$ |
| Reflections collected | 117731 |
| Independent reflections | $6952\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0180, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=\right.$ <br> $0.0082]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $6952 / 0 / 397$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.045 |
| Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0374, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1086$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $0.48 /-0.20$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e Å ${ }^{-3}$ |  |

## Compound 191k



Figure 56. Full asymmetric unit of compound 191k. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50\% probability level.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 464.53 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |


| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| :---: | :---: |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/Å | 15.3812(18) |
| b/Å | 7.1015(8) |
| c/Å | 20.927(2) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.725(4) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 2284.8(4) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.350 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.082 |
| F(000) | 976.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.38 \times 0.127 \times 0.058$ |
| Radiation | MoK $\alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.644 to 59.194 |
| Index ranges | $-21 \leq h \leq 21,-9 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,-29 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 29$ |
| Reflections collected | 107134 |
| Independent reflections | $6403\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0340, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0135\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 6403/3/336 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.042 |
| Final R indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{l})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0397, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1148$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0471, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1231$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.44/-0.22 |

## Compound 161i



Figure 57. Full asymmetric unit of compound 161i.

| Identification code | 0925_C2c |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{24}$ |
| Formula weight | 432.53 |
| Temperature/K | 100.0 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| a/Å | 19.001(2) |
| b/Å | 8.5285(9) |
| c/Å | 29.885(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 108.316(4) |
| \%/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 4597.4(9) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.250 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.071 |
| F(000) | 1824.0 |


| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.203 \times 0.194 \times 0.166$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.516 to 57.464 |
| Index ranges | $-25 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 25,-11 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11,-40 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 40$ |
| Reflections collected | 108965 |
| Independent reflections | $5948\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0421, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0158\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1.158 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0615, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1291317$ |
| Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0654, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1312$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $0.28 /-0.20$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e $\AA^{-3}$ |  |

## Compound 185c



Figure 58. Full asymmetric unit of compound 185c.

| Identification code | mo_1024_CG_Om |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}$ |
| Formula weight | 321.20 |


| Temperature/K | 100.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| a/Å | 31.7662(14) |
| b/Å | 3.92090(10) |
| c/Å | 21.3149(8) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.414(2) |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 2619.06(17) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.629 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 3.124 |
| F(000) | 1296.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.52 \times 0.052 \times 0.051$ |
| Radiation | MoK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=0.71073$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.298 to 61.012 |
| Index ranges | $-44 \leq h \leq 43,-5 \leq k \leq 5,-29 \leq 1 \leq 30$ |
| Reflections collected | 52711 |
| Independent reflections | 3987 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0239, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0110\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3987/0/188 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.030 |
| Final R indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(1)]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0252, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0720$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0314, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0775$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.46/-0.45 |

## Compound 165a



Figure 59. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit of compound 165a. Single crystals of 165a were grown from a mixture of dichloromethane and hexane.

| Identification code | mo_1317_CG_Om_4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{OS}$ |
| Formula weight | 440.53 |
| Temperature/K | 100.0 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/Å | $11.787(3)$ |
| b/Å | $23.039(5)$ |
| c/Å | $16.407(3)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $102.575(5)$ |
| $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume $/ \AA^{\circ}$ | $4348.5(16)$ |


| $Z$ | 8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg/cm }}{ }^{3}$ | 1.346 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.172 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 1840.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.235 \times 0.209 \times 0.039$ |
| Radiation | MoK $\alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $-16 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 16,0 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 32,0 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 23$ |
| Index ranges | 12926 |
| Reflections collected | $12926\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=?, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0344\right]$ |
| Independent reflections | $12926 / 0 / 598$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1.063 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0421, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1097$ |
| Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0541, \mathrm{wR} \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1171$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $0.39 /-0.33$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e Å ${ }^{-3}$ |  |

## Compound 164d



Figure 60. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit of compound 164d. Single crystals of 164d were grown from a mixture of ethyl acetate and hexane.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{SSi}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 482.69 |
| Temperature $[\mathrm{K}]$ | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group (number) | $P 2_{1} / c(14)$ |
| $a[\AA \AA]$ | $17.251(8)$ |
| $b[\AA \AA]$ | $9.748(5)$ |
| $C[\AA ̊]$ | $17.305(10)$ |
| $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | $116.049(11)$ |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| Volume $\left[\AA^{3}\right]$ | $2614(2)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {cal }}\left[\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.226 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.189 |


| $F(000)$ | 1016 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crystal size [ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ ] | $0.46 \times 0.405 \times 0.022$ |
| Crystal colour | colourless |
| Crystal shape | plate |
| Radiation | MoK $\alpha^{(\lambda=0.71073 ~}{ }^{\text {A }}$ ) |
| $2 \theta$ range [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 4.72 to 54.03 (0.78 Å) |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -22 \leq h \leq 21,-12 \leq k \leq 12, \\ & -21 \leq I \leq 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 91789 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 5674 \\ & R_{\text {int }}=0.0443 \\ & R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0177 \end{aligned}$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Data / Restraints / Parameters | 5674/0/319 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.028 |
| Final $R$ indexes $[\mid \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0410 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0986 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0520 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1051 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest peak/hole [e ${ }^{-3}$ ] | 0.41/-0.35 |

## Compound 165f



Figure 61. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit of compound $\mathbf{1 6 5 f}$.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{OS}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 482.61 |
| Temperature [K] | 100.00 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group (number) | $P 2_{1} / c$ (14) |
| $a$ [Å] | 16.291(4) |
| $b$ [Å] | 12.601(3) |
| $c$ [ $\AA$ ] | 11.913(2) |
| $\left.\alpha{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| $\beta{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.423(5) |
| $Y\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| Volume [ $\AA^{3}$ ] | 2412.4(9) |
| $z$ | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.329 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.161 |
| $F(000)$ | 1016 |
| Crystal size [mm ${ }^{3}$ ] | $0.28 \times 0.163 \times 0.034$ |
| Crystal colour | colourless |


| Crystal shape | plate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Radiation | MoK $\alpha^{(\lambda=0.71073 ~}{ }^{\text {A }}$ ) |
| $2 \theta$ range [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 4.11 to 54.25 (0.78 $\AA$ ) |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -20 \leq h \leq 20, \\ & -16 \leq k \leq 16, \\ & -15 \leq 1 \leq 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 82076 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 5331 \\ & R_{\text {int }}=0.0400 \\ & R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0153 \end{aligned}$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Data / Restraints / Parameters | 5331/0/327 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.058 |
| Final $R$ indexes $[1 \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0352 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0891 \end{aligned}$ |
| Final $R$ indexes <br> [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0413 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0942 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest peak/hole [eA ${ }^{-3}$ ] | 0.36/-0.44 |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0035(6) |

## Compound 165b



Figure 62. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit of compound 165b. Solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 424.53 |
| Temperature $[\mathrm{K}]$ | 100.00 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group (number) | $P 2_{1} / c(14)$ |
| $a[\AA \AA]$ | $8.715(4)$ |
| $b[\AA \AA]$ | $27.511(15)$ |
| $c[\AA \AA]$ | $8.826(3)$ |
| $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | $95.87(2)$ |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| Volume $\left[\AA^{3}\right]$ | $2104.9(16)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.340 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.171 |
| $F(000)$ | 888 |
| Crystal size $\left[\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right]$ | $0.281 \times 0.067 \times 0.025$ |
| Crystal colour | colourless |
| Crystal shape | needle |
| Radiation | Mo $K_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA \AA)$ |
| $2 \theta$ range $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 4.70 to $55.76(0.76 \AA \AA)$ |
| Index ranges | $-10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11$ |
|  | $-36 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 36$ |


|  | $-11 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 11$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reflections collected | 34163 |
| Independent reflections | 5024 |
|  | $R_{\text {int }}=0.0442$ |
| $R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0294$ |  |
| Completeness to <br> $\Theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Data / Restraints / Parameters | $5024 / 0 / 290$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.032 |
| Final $R$ indexes <br> $[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | $R_{1}=0.0399$ |
| $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.0872$ |  |
| Final $R$ indexes | $R_{1}=0.0568$ |
| [all data $]$ | $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.0965$ |
| Largest peak/hole $\left[\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right]$ | $0.30 /-0.36$ |

## Compound 165g




Figure 63. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit of compound $\mathbf{1 6 5 g}$. Solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FS}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 428.49 |
| Temperature $[\mathrm{K}]$ | 100.00 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group (number) | $P 2_{1} / c(14)$ |
| $a[\AA \AA]$ | $12.5573(9)$ |
| $b[\AA \AA]$ | $12.1583(12)$ |
| $c[\AA \AA]$ | $13.9137(13)$ |
| $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | $107.372(2)$ |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| Volume $\left[\AA^{3}\right]$ | $2027.4(3)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |


| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.404 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.185 |
| $F(000)$ | 888 |
| Crystal size [mm ${ }^{3}$ ] | $0.308 \times 0.217 \times 0.128$ |
| Crystal colour | colourless |
| Crystal shape | block |
| Radiation | MoK ${ }_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073 ~ \AA ̊)$ |
| $2 \theta$ range [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 4.54 to 59.19 (0.72 Å) |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -14 \leq h \leq 17 \\ & -16 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16 \\ & -19 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 37772 |
| Independent reflections | $\begin{aligned} & 5675 \\ & R_{\text {int }}=0.0437 \\ & R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0287 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Data / Restraints / Parameters | 5675/0/289 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.038 |
| Final $R$ indexes $[1 \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0405 \\ & w R_{2}=0.0999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0488 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1067 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest peak/hole [e $\AA^{-3}$ ] | 0.37/-0.42 |

## Compound 165j




Figure 64 . Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit of compound 165j. Solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

| Identification number | mo_1572_cg_0m |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| Formula weight | 438.55 |
| Temperature [K] | 100.00 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group (number) | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ (14) |
| $a[A ̊]$ | 9.8315(6) |
| $b$ [ $\AA$ ] | 13.3998(14) |
| $c$ [ $\AA$ ] | 33.025(3) |
| $\alpha\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 93.372(2) |
| $\gamma\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 90 |
| Volume [ $\left.{ }^{3}{ }^{3}\right]$ | 4343.2(7) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }}\left[\mathrm{gcm}^{-3}\right]$ | 1.341 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.168 |
| $F(000)$ | 1840 |
| Crystal size [mm ${ }^{3}$ ] | $0.352 \times 0.26 \times 0.076$ |
| Crystal colour | yellow |
| Crystal shape | plate |
| Radiation | Mo $K_{\alpha}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ |
| $2 \theta$ range [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] | 3.92 to 66.97 (0.64 Å) |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \leq h \leq 14 \\ & -20 \leq k \leq 20 \end{aligned}$ |


|  | $-51 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reflections collected | 171495 |
| Independent reflections | 15875 |
|  | $R_{\text {int }}=0.0361$ |
| $R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0236$ |  |
| Completeness to <br> $\Theta=25.242^{\circ}$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Data / Restraints / Parameters | $15875 / 0 / 599$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^{2}$ | 1.038 |
| Final $R$ indexes <br> $[I \geq 2 \sigma(I)]$ | $R_{1}=0.0420$ |
| $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1035$ |  |
| Final $R$ indexes | $R_{1}=0.0586$ |
| [all data] | $\mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1142$ |
| Largest peak/hole $\left[\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right]$ | $0.48 /-0.30$ |

## Compound 166



Figure 65. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit and numbering scheme of compound 166. Ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability level. Root mean square (RMS) deviations of fitted atoms of each benzene unit in the helical structure multiplied by 100 for a more convenient scale [Å]. Single crystals of 166 were obtained by vapor diffusion method from chloroform and hexane. Non-merohedral twinning was found; final refinement against hkl data with batch scale factor of $0.407(1)$; Twin domain transformation matrix is $(-0.9970 .0050 .003 / 0.7860 .1140 .884 / 0.785$ $1.112-0.117$ ) which corresponds to rotation of $179.973^{\circ}$.

| CCDC | 2062473 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 842.97 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |


| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| :---: | :---: |
| Space group | $P-1$ |
| a/Å | 10.6181(3) |
| b/Å | 14.0858(4) |
| c/Å | 15.7180(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 93.3340(10) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 103.9570(10) |
| Y/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 108.3030(10) |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 2143.03(11) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.306 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.596 |
| F(000) | 884.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.164 \times 0.137 \times 0.133$ |
| Radiation | CuK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=1.54178$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5.856 to 149.076 |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 17,0 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 19$ |
| Reflections collected | 8555 |
| Independent reflections | $8555\left[R_{\text {int }}=\right.$ ?, $\left.R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0190\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 8555/0/598 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.039 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $/>=2 \sigma(/)]$ | $R_{1}=0.0387, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1070$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.0416, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1114$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.27/-0.19 |

Table 11. Least-squares planes ( $x, y, z$ in crystal coordinates) for each benzene unit in the helical structure calculated by sheIXL-2018/3 and deviation for each atom [ $\AA$ ] used to define plane.

| A | $-7.9115(43) x+12.1239(44) y-0.0763(96) z=0.4523(37)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C54 | C55 | C57 | C58 | C59 | C60 |
| -0.0101(10) | 0.0098(10) | -0.0017(11) | -0.0063(11) | 0.0060(11) | 0.0022(10) |
| B | $-7.7995(40) x+12.2927(38) y+0.4397$ (90) $z=0.5728(45)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C5 | C6 | C53 | C54 | C55 | C56 |
| -0.0030(10) | -0.0044(10) | 0.0039(9) | 0.0037(10) | -0.0109(10) | 0.0108(10) |
| C | $-7.9614(40) x+12.1348(41) y+0.5519(88) z=0.4026(49)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | C52 | C53 |
| -0.0328 | 0.0190(10) | 0.0186(10) | -0.0429(10) | 0.0300(10) | 0.0080(10) |
| D | $8.4551(38) x-11.4721(48) y-0.0102(92) z=0.5012(57)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | C43 | C44 | C45 |
| 0.0031(10) | -0.0454(10) | 0.0614(10) | -0.0358(10) | -0.0096(11) | 0.0264(11) |
| E | $8.8173(34) \mathrm{x}-10.3864(55) \mathrm{y}+1.6131(89) \mathrm{z}=1.9674$ (51) |  |  |  |  |
| C10 | C11 | C18 | C41 | C42 | C43 |
| -0.0844(10) | 0.0288(10) | 0.0608(10) | -0.0957(10) | 0.0385(10) | 0.0521(10) |
| F | $8.1881(38) \mathrm{x}-8.8057(64) \mathrm{y}+5.4046(82) \mathrm{z}=4.0623(47)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C18 | C19 | C20 | C39 | C40 | C41 |
| -0.1233 | 0.0770(10) | 0.0250(10) | -0.0878(10) | 0.0404(10) | 0.0687(10) |
| G | $8.3003(37) x-5.8359(71) y+6.4519(79) z=5.5378(32)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C19 | C20 | C21 | C22 | C23 | C24 |
| -0.0270(9) | 0.0295(10) | -0.0099(10) | -0.0126(10) | 0.0146(10) | 0.0054(10) |
| H | $8.3648(36) x-4.4219(75) y+6.4043(80) z=5.6687(29)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C22 | C23 | C25 | C26 | C27 | C28 |
| 0.0018(10) | 0.0172(9) | -0.0204(9) | 0.0044 | 0.0160(10) | 0.0190(10) |
| 1 | 8.5791(39) $\mathrm{x}-4.3729(79) \mathrm{y}+5.9160(90) \mathrm{z}=5.5150$ (24) |  |  |  |  |
| C25 | C26 | C35 | C36 | C37 | C38 |
| 0.0047(10) | -0.0076(10) | 0.0053(10) | 0.0001(11) | -0.0030(11) | 0.0006(10) |

## Compound 168



Figure 66. Single crystals of 168 were obtained after approximately 3 months from a solvent mixture consisting mainly of cyclohexane. There were several failed attempts with other solvents that possibly remain in the solvent mixture, which is of relevance in regards of the solvent mask applied in the structure model.

A solvent mask was calculated in Olex ${ }^{2}$ and 2778 electrons were found in a volume of $11225 \AA^{3}$ in one void per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of 3 cyclohexane molecules per asymmetric unit which account for 2592 electrons per unit cell. There are still small remaining residual densities that were not reached by the mask due to the disorder oscillating into the masked regions. The packing diagram (Figure 67) shows the honeycomb super-structure, where continuous channels are formed along the c -axis.

| CCDC | 2010181 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{86} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1119.29 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | Trigonal |
| Space group | $R-3$ |
| a/A | $41.6459(6)$ |
| b/A | $41.6459(6)$ |


| c/Å | 23.5385(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 120 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 35355.2(14) |
| Z | 18 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 0.946 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.426 |
| $F(000)$ | 10548.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.294 \times 0.188 \times 0.076$ |
| Radiation | CuK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=1.54178$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.482 to 149.182 |
| Index ranges | $-52 \leq h \leq 51,-52 \leq k \leq 51,-29 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 29$ |
| Reflections collected | 437017 |
| Independent reflections | $16068\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0436, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0094\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 16068/336/1113 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.082 |
| Final $R$ indexes [/>=2 $\left.{ }^{(/ I)}\right]$ | $R_{1}=0.0787, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2681$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.0901, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2887$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.70/-0.31 |



Figure 67. Packing diagram of 168 along c-axis (Only major part depicted). The centers of the honeycomb superstructures contain continuous solvent channels. Solvent mask volume inside green mesh.

Table 12. Least-squares planes ( $x, y, z$ in crystal coordinates) for each benzene unit in the helical structure calculated by shelXL-2018/3 and deviation for each atom [ $\AA$ ] used to define plane (Only major part of disorder).

| A | $10.1280(417) \mathrm{x}-15.1817(427) \mathrm{y}+21.8561(96) \mathrm{z}=3.7593(302)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C75 | C76 | C77 | C78 | C79 | C80 |
| $0.0142(15)$ | $-0.0076(17)$ | $-0.0053(20)$ | $0.0115(21)$ | $-0.0045(21)$ | $0.0083(18)$ |
| B | $11.0060(435) \mathrm{x}-17.1181(455) \mathrm{y}+21.3986(116) \mathrm{z}=3.0931(307)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C9 | C10 | C75 | C80 | C81 | C82 |
| $0.0064(21)$ | $0.0153(17)$ | $-0.0256(16)$ | $0.0140(19)$ | $0.0094(24)$ | $0.0195(25)$ |
| C | $11.8192(395) x-18.0402(407) y+21.1366(110) z=3.0213(0273)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | C11 | C12 |
| $-0.0076(20)$ | $0.0035(22)$ | $0.0049(20)$ | $-0.0093(16)$ | $0.0053(15)$ | $0.0032(16)$ |
| D | $10.8672(387) x-18.3070(398) y+21.1127(110) z=2.3260(244)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | $C 12$ | C13 | C44 |
| $-0.0079(19)$ | $0.0078(21)$ | $0.0040(19)$ | $-0.0148(16)$ | $0.0145(15)$ | - |


|  |  |  |  |  | 0.0037(16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | $9.0465(331) x-18.2880(309) y+21.1474(85) \mathrm{z}=1.3666(210)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | C42 | C43 | C44 |
| -0.0174(14) | -0.0225(13) | 0.0437(13) | -0.0258(15) | -0.0141(16) | 0.0361(15) |
| F | $2.4493(375) x-12.5449(371) y+22.3061(70) z=1.9897(246)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C15 | C16 | C39 | C40 | C41 | C42 |
| -0.0676(14) | 0.0914(14) | -0.0472(16) | -0.0264(18) | 0.0508(17) | $0.0010(15)$ |
| G | $-5.8748(425) x-6.8031(432) y+22.4192(73) z=1.3784(298)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C16 | C17 | C30 | C31 | C32 | C39 |
| -0.0783(15) | 0.0121(16) | 0.0659(18) | -0.0787(19) | 0.0112(19) | 0.0679(17) |
| H | $-11.9429(1082) x+1.2581(1140) y+22.3391(173) z=3.7331$ (632) |  |  |  |  |
| C30 | C31 | C45A | C46A | C47A | C62A |
| -0.1271(25) | 0.0025(38) | 0.1095(57) | -0.0804(51) | -0.0506(38) | 0.1461(35) |
| 1 | $-17.2558(843) x+10.2263(904) y+21.3974(219) z=6.7913(706)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C47A | C48A | C49A | C60A | C61A | C62A |
| 0.0303(34) | 0.0051(33) | -0.0287(33) | 0.0157(37) | 0.0207(41) | $0.0432(37)$ |
| J | $-19.1200(850) x+12.4638(861) y+20.8251(252) z=6.8935(745)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C49A | C50A | C57A | C58A | C59A | C60A |
| 0.0125(33) | -0.0129(33) | 0.0029(37) | 0.0077(40) | -0.0077(40) | $0.0025(36)$ |
| K | $-18.9487(1134) x+10.9880(1160) y+20.9376(326) z=5.9553(1066)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C58A | C59A | C63A | C64A | C73A | C74A |
| -0.0080(44) | 0.0059(49) | -0.0001(57) | -0.0038(55) | 0.0018(58) | 0.0042(55) |
| L | -17.9638(1362) $x+9.0384$ (1439) $y+21.2361$ (368) $z=5.4943$ (1236) |  |  |  |  |
| C64A | C65A | C70A | C71A | C72A | C73A |
| -0.0024(53) | 0.0059(56) | 0.0000(64) | -0.0106(76) | 0.0140(79) | 0.0069(62) |
| M | -16.8684(1566) $\mathrm{x}+8.0536(1396) \mathrm{y}+21.5198(393) \mathrm{z}=5.8402$ (1243) |  |  |  |  |
| C65A | C66A | C67A | C68A | C69A | C70A |


| $-0.0220(61)$ | $0.0076(69)$ | $0.0134(60)$ | $-0.0201(62)$ | $0.0058(61)$ | $0.0153(59)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Compound 169



Figure 68. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit and numbering scheme of compound 169. Ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability level. Single crystals of 169 were obtained from a solution of carbon disulfide and dichloromethane.

| CCDC | 2062474 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 1095.35 |
| Temperature/K | 150 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 $/$ /n |
| a/Å | $15.5775(19)$ |
| b/A | $16.354(2)$ |
| c/Å | $21.465(3)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $99.803(4)$ |
| Y/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $5388.6(12)$ |


| $Z$ | 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg/cm }}{ }^{3}$ | 1.350 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.228 |
| $F(000)$ | 2280.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.503 \times 0.247 \times 0.112$ |
| Radiation | 4.324 to 54.384 |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $-20 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 20,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 21,-27 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 27$ |
| Index ranges | 112736 |
| Reflections collected | $11963\left[R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0264, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0142\right]$ |
| Independent reflections | $11963 / 0 / 723$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1.029 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $R_{1}=0.0500, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1383$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [/>=2 $\sigma(I)]$ | $R_{1}=0.0571, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1451$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $0.61 /-0.93$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e Å ${ }^{-3}$ |  |

Table 13. Least-squares planes ( $x, y, z$ in crystal coordinates) for each benzene unit in the helical structure calculated by shelXL-2018/3 and deviation for each atom [ $\AA$ ] used to define plane (Only major part of disorder).

| A | $12.5134(73) x-5.6210(117) y+7.3523(151) z=10.9055(151)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C41 | C42 | C43 | C44 | C45 | C46 |
| -0.0479(12) | 0.0489(12) | -0.0096(14) | -0.0313(14) | 0.0316(13) | 0.0083(13) |
| B | 10.5073(83) $x-7.9703(102) y+9.2644(139) z=8.9596(146)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C40 | C41 | C42 | C47 | C48 | C49 |
| -0.0795(11) | 0.0676(12) | -0.0063(12) | -0.0470(13) | 0.0355(13) | 0.0297(12) |
| C | $8.3617(89) x-9.4399(90) y+11.0546(122) z=7.2744(129)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C38 | C39 | C40 | C49 | C50 | C51 |
| -0.0155(11) | -0.0577(11) | 0.0895(11) | -0.0466(12) | -0.0319(12) | 0.0622(12) |
| D* | $\begin{gathered} 6.0092(9.9990) x-10.6712(9.9990) y+12.3865(9.9990) z=5.4540 \\ (9.9990) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| C33 | C34 | C37 | C38 | C39 | C58 |


| -0.0492(1011) | 0.0411(828) | 0.0118(229) | -0.0557(1141) | 0.0465(960) | 0.0056(124) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-0.386 * X+0.653 * Y-0.652 * Z-5.454=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.049 | -0.041 | -0.012 | 0.056 | -0.046 | -0.006 |
| E | $6.1213(90) x-8.6814(88) y+14.4461(102) z=7.7727(91)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C23 | C24 | C33 | C34 | C35 | C36 |
| 0.0561 | -0.1010(11) | 0.0663(11) | 0.0142(11) | -0.0655(11) | 0.0299(11) |
| F | 5.0653(92) $x-5.5549(96) y+17.4793(81) \mathrm{z}=10.4854(77)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C15 | C16 | C23 | C24 | C25 | C26 |
| 0.0755(11) | 0.0148(11) | -0.0897(11) | 0.0739(11) | 0.0151(11) | -0.0894(11) |
| G | $5.8532(91) x-1.7763(105) y+18.0937(77) z=12.9065(63)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | C26 |
| -0.0918(11) | 0.0029(11) | 0.0681(12) | -0.0436(12) | -0.0511(11) | 0.1154(11) |
| H | $6.2022(92) x+2.3174(104) y+17.7151(83) z=14.8194(59)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | C11 | C12 | C59 |
| 0.0816(11) | -0.0876(11) | 0.0096(11) | 0.0749(11) | -0.0816(11) | 0.0030(11) |
| I | $8.9800(84) x+2.8819(103) y+14.7701(103) z=13.6862(84)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | C60 | C61 | C62 |
| -0.0435(11) | -0.0194(11) | 0.0787(11) | -0.0791(11) | 0.0193(11) | 0.0440(12) |
| J | $10.7954(79) x+2.4801(113) y+12.3751(122) z=12.0311(106)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C60 | C61 | C63 | C64 | C65 | C66 |
| 0.0722(11) | -0.0354(12) | -0.0249(12) | 0.0450(13) | -0.0053(12) | -0.0516(12) |
| K | $12.4142(73) x+1.4538(125) y+9.7256(144) z=9.8867(119)$ |  |  |  |  |
| C65 | C66 | C67 | C68 | C69 | C70 |
| -0.0311(13) | 0.0404(12) | -0.0176(12) | -0.0158(13) | 0.0260(14) | -0.0019(14) |

*Mean plane of D (red highlighted): Obviously the s. u. produced by sheIXL 2016/6 are absurd in value. We suspect a numerical bug in sheIXL 2016/6 in the calculation of estimated uncertainties from the covariance matrix. This was only encountered for that specific composition of atoms, mean planes defined by only five atoms of that range give reasonable values. The atom-plane distances appear plausible when compared to the same mean plane calculated by Olex ${ }^{2}$ (blue highlighted), giving the same results as sheIXL 2016/6. However, there are no $\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{u}$. available as Olex ${ }^{2}$ is not using the covariance matrix.

## Compound 215



Figure 69. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit of compound 165. Hydrogen atoms and labels omitted for clarity. Ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability level. Single crystals of 165 were grown from a mixture of toluene and hexane. The crystals were rather small in size and did show signs of deterioration during the lengthy data collection of 104 hours, possibly due to loss of co-crystalized solvent. Although the R-indices leave something to be desired and the residual density map hints at some disorder, the gross molecular structure determination was accomplished unambiguously.

| CCDC | 2062475 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{182} \mathrm{H}_{123} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 2373.80 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a/Å | $16.856(6)$ |
| b/Å | $19.263(9)$ |
| c/Å | $21.424(8)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | $100.963(11)$ |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $112.032(15)$ |


| $\mathrm{V} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $94.622(10)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | $6242(4)$ |
| $Z$ | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.263 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.074 |
| F(000) | 2494.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.192 \times 0.088 \times 0.04$ |
| Radiation | 3.988 to 54.228 |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $-21 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 21,-24 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,-27 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 27$ |
| Index ranges | 337891 |
| Reflections collected | $27454\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0493, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0253\right]$ |
| Independent reflections | $27454 / 73 / 1709$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1.200 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $R_{1}=0.0973, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2640$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [/>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $R_{1}=0.1162, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2930$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $1.08 /-0.59$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e $\AA^{-3}$ |  |

## Compound 217a



Figure 70. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit and numbering scheme of compound 217a. Ellipsoids drawn at $50 \%$ probability level. Single crystals of 217a were obtained by diffusion method from a mixture of chloroform and dichloromethane as solvent and hexane as antisolvent.

| CCDC | 2062476 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{74.5} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{Cl}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 1155.40 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a/Å | $11.7422(8)$ |
| b/Å | $14.2405(11)$ |
| c/Å | $17.6414(15)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | $98.551(3)$ |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $103.588(3)$ |
| V/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $102.474(3)$ |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $2737.1(4)$ |


| $Z$ | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg/cm }}{ }^{3}$ | 1.402 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.317 |
| $F(000)$ | 1198.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.281 \times 0.137 \times 0.082$ |
| Radiation | 4.566 to 61.098 |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $-16 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 16,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 20,-25 \leq \mathrm{I} \leq 25$ |
| Index ranges | 209338 |
| Reflections collected | $16738\left[R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0229, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0109\right]$ |
| Independent reflections | $16738 / 22 / 883$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1.025 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | $R_{1}=0.0394, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1084$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)] | $R_{1}=0.0418, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1106$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $1.30 /-0.83$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e Å ${ }^{-3}$ |  |

## Compound 217b



Figure 71. Molecular structure of full asymmetric unit and numbering scheme of compound 217b. Ellipsoids drawn at $25 \%$ probability level.

Single crystals of 217b were obtained from a mixture of tetrahydrofuran and pentane.
A solvent mask was calculated and 260 electrons were found in a volume of $\AA^{3}$ in one void per unit cell. This can be accounted to the presence of 3 molecules of either tetrahydrofuran or pentane per asymmetric unit which account for 240-252 electrons per unit cell. Some of the electron density shape resembles five-membered rings, however most is smeared out along a continuous cavity along the a-axis (Figure 72).

| CCDC | 2062477 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{84} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| Formula weight | 1159.40 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a/A | $10.3815(7)$ |
| b/A | $15.4555(11)$ |


| c/Å | 20.4534(14) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 108.938(3) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.480(3) |
| Y/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 94.671(3) |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 3029.6(4) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.271 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.602 |
| F(000) | 1228.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.198 \times 0.195 \times 0.049$ |
| Radiation | CuK $\alpha$ ( $\lambda=1.54178$ ) |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.284 to 149.426 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq h \leq 11,-19 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 19,-25 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 25$ |
| Reflections collected | 38061 |
| Independent reflections | $12212\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0304, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0346\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 12212/0/669 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.036 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0629, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1841$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.0699, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.1914$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.63/-0.30 |



Figure 72. Packing diagram of 217b along a-axis. Solvent mask volume inside green mesh.

### 8.4. Photophysical properties of helicenes



Figure 73. Absorption spectrum of 163a.


Figure 74. Normalized UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of expanded helicene 166.


Figure 75. Normalized UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of expanded helicene 167.


Figure 76. Normalized UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of expanded helicene 168.


Figure 77. Normalized UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of expanded helicene 169.


Figure 78. Normalized UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of expanded helicene 217a.


Figure 79. Normalized UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of expanded helicene 217b.
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[^0]:    Scheme 43. Formation of benzo[5]helicenes 163 from alkyne precursors 161.

[^1]:    [a] Ratios determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. [b] Yields of isolated products. [c] ee values determined by chiral-phase HPLC.

[^2]:    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}200 & 190 & 180 & 170 & 160 & 150 & 140 & 130 & 120 & 110 & 100 & 90 & 80 & 70 & 60 & 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 & 0 & -10\end{array}$

[^3]:    | 00 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | $f 1(\mathrm{ppm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]:    

