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1 INTRODUCTION

During my Ph.D. work I studied some of the mechanisms that regulate the complex machinery of vesicular transport from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi apparatus in the single-celled eukaryote \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}. I focused my attention on certain proteins involved in vesicular budding, particularly on Sec24p family members. In addition I studied proteins involved in tethering/docking processes, in particular a new member of the Gyp family of GTPase activating proteins (GAP), Gyp5p, the preferred substrate of which is the small Ras-like Ypt1 protein.

1.1 Intracellular protein transport in eukaryotic cells

Eukaryotic cells are subdivided into membrane-enclosed compartments called organelles. Each organelle is endowed with a specific subset of lipids and cellular proteins according to its physiological specialization. This has been known since the introduction of electron microscopic analyses combined with cell fractionation studies (de Duve, 1975; Palade, 1975). Cellular life and differentiation depend on keeping the integrity of the complex network of membranous compartments, however, macromolecules also have to be transported from one compartment to another and into and out of the cell. In order to achieve this without compromising membrane integrity, an efficient and elaborate transport machinery that ensures temporal and spatial specificity has been developed (Fig. 1.1). Secretory proteins are synthesized and assembled in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Then they pass through the Golgi apparatus where they undergo a variety of carbohydrate and other modifications before being delivered to their final destinations, such as the plasma membrane, endosomes or the lysosome (vacuole). A related pathway exists for the uptake of proteins and extracellular fluids from the cell surface via endosomes to lysosomes and traffic can occur in both directions along the two pathways (Fig. 1.1). Retrograde transport between endocytic and exocytic compartments ensures that the quantitative and qualitative balance in the protein and lipid contents of the cell’s organelles is maintained.
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Since the pioneering work of George Palade (Palade, 1975) it has been known that proteins get to their final destination in membrane-derived transport vesicles (for reviews see Mellman and Warren, 2000; Rothman, 1994). The vesicular protein transport through the secretory and endocytic pathways is directional and tightly controlled through the action of a variety of evolutionary conserved proteins (Bennett and Scheller, 1993; Ferro-Novick and Jahn, 1994; Kaiser and Schekman, 1990; Novick and Zerial, 1997). In principle, the transport process "simply" requires the selective packaging of cargo into a vesicle carrier and the transport, docking and fusion of the vesicle intermediate with the appropriate target membrane. This set of biochemical reactions depends on a considerable array of proteins, lipids and enzyme complexes (coats, SNAREs, GTPases, ATPases, kinases, phosphoinositides, etc.), some of which function as structural components while others catalyze the assembly/disassembly of reaction intermediates or regulate spatial and temporal aspects of the process. The vesicular transport can be separated into three steps: budding (see Section 1.3), tethering/docking, and fusion of vesicles with the target membrane (see Section 1.4).

The three main classes of vesicles, classified on the basis of their protein coats, are: COPII vesicles, which mediate ER to Golgi traffic (see 1.3.1); COPI vesicles, which are responsible for retrograde traffic from the Golgi to the ER and for traffic between the cisternae of the Golgi; and the clathrin-coated vesicles, which mediate various endocytic and post-Golgi vesicular trafficking steps (for reviews see Kirchhausen, 2001; Scales et al., 2000b). The assembly of a protein coat provides not only the driving energy to deform the vesicle membrane into a spherical shell but also an affinity matrix for the selective partitioning of cargo molecules into the vesicle. In addition to the coat proteins, the budding process also involves monomeric GTPases of the dynamin and ARF family, as well as adaptor proteins (for reviews see Aridor and Balch, 1996; Cosson and Letourneur, 1997; Kirchhausen, 1999; Springer et al., 1999). Once the cargo-containing vesicles have pinched off from the donor organelle membrane, they must be targeted to and fuse with the correct target membrane. It is thought that vesicles travel to their target membrane along cytoskeletal structures (Kamal and Goldstein, 2000). Members of the SNARE, Ypt/Rab and Sec1 families of proteins appear to direct and regulate these vesicle docking and fusion reactions (see Section 1.4). In addition, a regulatory function in vesicular trafficking has also been demonstrated for phosphatidylinositol and its phosphorylated derivatives (for reviews see De Camilli et al., 1996; Odorizzi et al., 2000).
Protein transport to peroxisomes, mitochondria and chloroplasts differs mechanistically from the vesicular transport discussed here (for reviews see Haucke and Gottfried, 1997; Hettema et al., 1999; Schatz, 1996; Schleiff and Soll, 2000).

**1.2 ER-Golgi transport**

Transport of proteins between the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus is mediated by COPII and COPI coated vesicles (Bannykh et al., 1996; Bednarek et al., 1996). In addition, there are many accessory proteins that facilitate...
the forward movement of proteins from the ER and their uptake into transport vesicles (for review see Herrmann et al., 1999).

The endoplasmic reticulum consists of an elaborate and dynamic tubular and cisternal network that is continuous with the outer nuclear membrane. It is divided in smooth and rough ER. The rough ER is the place were proteins are assembled and it can be considered the first station in the secretory pathway. The Golgi apparatus is typically represented as a series of stable compartments, cis-, medial-, trans-Golgi and trans-Golgi-network (TGN), with transport vesicles serving as carriers of the secretory cargo from one compartment to the next (in S. cerevisiae, the Golgi apparatus does not form stacks of organelles but consists of individual cisternae interspersed throughout the cytoplasm). An alternative model considers the Golgi compartments as transitory structures continuously undergoing renewal, and the Golgi apparatus is viewed as a dynamic outgrowth of the ER (Fig. 1.2). According to this "cisternal maturation" hypothesis, COPII vesicles fuse to form the ERGIC (ER-Golgi intermediate compartment) clusters, which coalesce to form a new cis-cisterna that progresses through the stack, until it ultimately disintegrates into various types of transport carriers.

**Fig. 1.2** Schematic representation of the two proposed mechanisms for transport of secretory cargo to and through the Golgi apparatus. In the first model, the Golgi consist of stable compartments, membrane-bounded carriers transport cargo molecules from ER to cis-Golgi and between the different Golgi compartments. In the second model, ER-derived membranes coalesce to form a new cis-cisterna which then progresses through the stack, carrying the secretory cargo forward, while retrograde COPI vesicles recycle resident Golgi proteins to younger cisternae. ERGIC= ER-Golgi intermediate compartment

○ = COPII ○ = COPI ● = Clathrin
While cisternae progress, carrying the secretory cargo forward, retrograde COPI vesicles recycle resident Golgi proteins to younger cisternae. In both models the intermediate compartment (ERGIC) residing between the ER and the Golgi is considered to be a dynamic structure that captures cargo released from the ER in COPII vesicular carriers and promotes recycling by COPI vesicular carriers (Bannykh and Balch, 1997). In yeast, there is no clear evidence for the existence of an intermediate compartment and cis-Golgi is regarded as the first compartment after the ER.

Up to now, the dilemma of whether transport through the Golgi complex occurs via vesicular transport or by cisternal maturation remains unsolved. Nevertheless, in both models COPII vesicles mediate the first transport step in the secretory pathway. It is in fact generally accepted that most, if not all, of the forward vesicular traffic from the ER to the Golgi involves COPII vesicles (Mellman and Warren, 2000; Pelham and Rothman, 2000)

### 1.3 Budding

Once a protein is properly core-glycosylated, folded and assembled in the ER it may be incorporated into COPII vesicles. COPII-coated vesicles, as mentioned before, represent the major, and perhaps the sole, vehicle for anterograde protein traffic from the ER, and COPII components are involved in cargo selection and export from the ER.

#### 1.3.1 COPII-coated vesicles

COPII coat is composed of five cytosolic components: Sar1p, a small GTP-binding protein (Barlowe et al., 1993; Nakano and Muramatsu, 1989), and the two heterodimeric protein complexes Sec23p/Sec24p (Hicke et al., 1992; Yeung et al., 1995a) and Sec13p/Sec31p (Salama et al., 1997; Salama et al., 1993). By morphological studies on yeast sec mutants and by cell-free assays (Baker et al., 1988; Barlowe et al., 1994; Rexach and Schekman, 1991) it was demonstrated that these five cytosolic proteins represent the minimal requirements for vesicular budding.

Several homologs of COPII components exist in yeast, in mammals (Table 1.1) and in other organisms.
### Table 1.1  COPII components in yeast and mammals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Main characteristics</th>
<th>Yeast</th>
<th>Mammals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sar1p</td>
<td>~ 21 kDa - GTPase.</td>
<td>Sar1p (YPL218W) (190 aa)</td>
<td>mSar1Ap (198 aa) mSar1Bp (198 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Nakano and Muramatsu, 1989; Oka et al., 1991)</td>
<td>(Kuge et al., 1994; Shen et al., 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec23p</td>
<td>~ 85 kDa - GAP for Sar1p. - Always in complex with Sec24p. - Sequence similarity with Sec24p.</td>
<td>Sec23p (YPR181C) (768 aa)</td>
<td>hSec23Ap (765 aa) hSec23Bp (767 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Hicke and Schekman, 1989; Yoshihisa et al., 1993)</td>
<td>(Orci et al., 1991; Paccaud et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec24p</td>
<td>~ 105 kDa - Always in complex with Sec23p. - Putative Zinc binding motif. - Sequence similarity with Sec23p.</td>
<td>Sec24 (YIL109C) (926 aa)</td>
<td>hSec24Ap (1078 aa) hSec24Bp (1268 aa) hSec24Cp (1094 aa) hSec24Dp (1032 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sfb2p (YNL049C) (876 aa)</td>
<td>(Hicke et al., 1992; Kurihara et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2000; Roberg et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sfb3p (YHR098C) (929 aa)</td>
<td>(Pagano et al., 1999; Tang et al., 1999; Tani et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec13p</td>
<td>~ 34 kDa - Always in complex with Sec31p. - six WD-40 repeat motifs.</td>
<td>Sec13p (YLR208W) (297 aa)</td>
<td>Sec13Rp (322 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seh1p (YGL100W) (349 aa)</td>
<td>(Pryer et al., 1993; Saxena et al., 1996; Siniossoglou et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Swaroop et al., 1994; Tang et al., 1997)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec31p</td>
<td>~ 150 kDa - phosphoprotein. - Always in complex with Sec13p. - seven WD-40 repeats near the N terminus.</td>
<td>Sec31p (YDL195W) (1273 aa)</td>
<td>Sec31Ap (1220 aa) Sec31Bp (1179 aa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Salama et al., 1997)</td>
<td>(Tang et al., 2000).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vesicle budding process starts (see Fig. 1.3) with the recruitment of Sar1p to the ER (Yoshihisa et al., 1993). Sar1p recruitment to membranes requires ATP. This could allow the export machinery to respond to extracellular signaling pathways, thus integrating the secretory pathway with the cellular physiology (Aridor and Balch, 2000). At the ER membrane, Sar1p exchanges GDP for GTP under the influence of a specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor, Sec12p, an integral membrane glycoprotein (Barlowe and Schekman, 1993). This activation step leads to the recruitment of Sec23p/Sec24p from the cytosol to the membrane to form a ternary complex that interacts with cargo and cargo receptors (Aridor et al., 1998; Kuehn et
al., 1998; Springer and Schekman, 1998; Springer et al., 1999). Finally the Sec13p/Sec31p complex binds to initiate the formation of the budding vesicle. Sec23p is a GTPase activating protein for Sar1p (Yoshihisa et al., 1993). GTP hydrolysis (step preceding fusion) allows Sar1p to dissociate from the membrane, this would render COPII components easily displaceable from the completed vesicle. In fact, it is thought that the vesicular coat has to be removed to allow fusion to take place.

Fig. 1.3 Scheme of COPII vesicle formation (modified from Schekman and Orci, 1996).
Direct interactions among COPII components have been shown by two-hybrid analysis and in vitro binding assays. The N-terminus of Sec24p binds to Sec23p (Gimeno et al., 1996; Peng et al., 1999); Sec13p and the N-terminal region of Sec31p interact with each other, while Sec23p and Sec24p interact with a central region of Sec31p (Shaywitz et al., 1997), see Fig. 1.4.

In vivo, an additional gene, SEC16, is important for budding and probably also for cargo sorting, but it may not contribute directly to vesicle morphogenesis. Sec16p is a large (240 kDa) peripheral membrane protein that is tightly associated with the cytosolic face of the ER. It was shown to interact genetically with all five COPII proteins and to bind, through independent domains, Sec23p, Sec24p and Sec31p. Sec16p was proposed to organize and to stabilize COPII coat assemblage (Espenshade et al., 1995; Shaywitz et al., 1997).

In vitro experiments using reconstituted COPII-coated vesicles from chemically defined liposomes showed that the "binding sites" for coat proteins could be lipids rather than membrane proteins, in particular the acidic phospholipids phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate (PIP) and phosphatidylinositol-4-5-bisphosphate (PIP2) (Matsuoka et al., 1998b). Acidic phospholipids present in a membrane in high amounts favor the binding of coat proteins and the formation of buds and vesicles, nevertheless it is not clear whether they represent the essential minimal components of a biological process (Nickel et al., 1998).

Several experiments suggest that cargo is selected and actively concentrated into COPII vesicles (Aridor et al., 1998; Balch et al., 1994; Bannykh et al., 1996; Campbell and Schekman, 1997; Matsuoka et al., 1998a; Springer et al., 1999). In particular, it was demonstrated that Sar1p-GTP and Sec23p/Sec24p form a specific "prebudding" complex with integral membrane proteins such as the SNAREs Bet1p and Bos1p and with membrane proteins of the p24 family (such as Emp24p) in yeast (Kuehn et al., 1998; Springer and Schekman, 1998) and with vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (VSV-G) in mammalian systems (Aridor et al., 1998). Sec23p/Sec24p in the presence of Sar1-GTP can also interact with cytosolic cargo such as the glycosylated pro-alpha
factor (gpαF), probably with the help of membrane spanning receptors/adaptors (Kuehn et al., 1998). Furthermore, it was demonstrated that resident ER proteins (such as Sec61p and Kar2p) are excluded from the prebudding complex (Kuehn et al., 1998; Matsuoka et al., 1998b). A direct interaction of Sec24p and the Golgi syntaxin Sed5p suggests that it is mainly this COPII component that binds membrane cargo molecules (Peng et al., 1999). Proteins of the p24 family (type I transmembrane proteins) were thought to be cargo receptor/adaptors that could serve as a link between lumenal cargo molecules and coat proteins (Fiedler et al., 1996; Muniz et al., 2000; Schimmoller et al., 1995; Stamnes et al., 1995). It was recently demonstrated, however (with a strain lacking all eight members of the p24 gene family) (Springer et al., 2000), that in S. cerevisiae p24 proteins are not essential for vesicular transport. Therefore, a possible role as quality control factors, which restrict the entry of proteins into COPII vesicles, was postulated for p24 proteins. Recently, data have been published supporting the role of the mannose specific lectin-like ERGIC-53 (a non-glycosylated type I membrane protein) as a receptor facilitating the ER-to-ERGIC (ER-Golgi intermediate compartment) transport of soluble glycoprotein cargo in mammalian cells (Appenzeller et al., 1999; Hauri et al., 2000). In addition, studies on mammalian cells have identified two sorting motifs within the cytoplasmic domains of transmembrane cargo molecules which are important for their efficient exit out of the ER: a di-acidic motif (Asp-X-Glu, where X represents any amino acid) on the cytoplasmic tail of vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (Nishimura and Balch, 1997; Nishimura et al., 1999), and a double phenylalanine motif (Phe-Phe) on the cytoplasmic tail of p24 proteins (Dominguez et al., 1998) and ERGIC-53 (Hauri et al., 2000; Kappeler et al., 1997). It was also demonstrated that peptides containing the double phenylalanine motif were able to bind to several proteins, among them the mammalian Sec23p/Sec24p complex (Dominguez et al., 1998; Kappeler et al., 1997). Furthermore, novel data from mammalian cells, suggest a more active role of Sar1p in cargo sorting. According to these researchers cargo capture would happen in two integrated stages, the first of which would be supported by Sar1p before the recruitment and the assembly of the coat complexes (Aridor et al., 2001).

1.3.2 The Sec24p family

In yeast, there are two close orthologues of Sec24p (Sfb2p and Sfb3p) that were characterized during this work (Kurihara et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2000; Roberg et al., 1999). Whereas Sec24p is an essential protein, Sfb2p and Sfb3p are dispensable for
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As Sec24p, both Sfb2p and Sfb3p form stable complexes with Sec23p. Interestingly, all three proteins harbor within the N-terminal region a domain with a GATA-type zinc finger-like motif (CysX2CysX18CysX2Cys) (Mackay and Crossley, 1998; Trainor et al., 1990). In Table 1.2, the main characteristics of these proteins are listed.

Table 1.2 Main characteristics of *S. cerevisiae* Sec24 family proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sec24p (ORF: <em>YIL109C</em>)</th>
<th>Sfb2p (Iss1p) (ORF: <em>YNL049C</em>)</th>
<th>Sfb3p (Lst1p) (ORF: <em>YHR098C</em>)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>926 aa</td>
<td>876 aa</td>
<td>929 aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>103.6 kDa</td>
<td>98.9 kDa</td>
<td>103.9 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosome</td>
<td>IX</td>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>VIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pI</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% identity with Sec24p</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% similarity with Sec24p</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene deletion phenotype</td>
<td>Lethal</td>
<td>no significant effect</td>
<td>no significant effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Protein interactions (physical)

- Sec23p, Sec31p, Sec16p, Bet1p, Bos1p, Sed5p, Pma1p (Gimeno et al., 1996; Peng et al., 1999; Shaywitz et al., 1997; Shimoni et al., 2000; Springer and Schekman, 1998; Yeung et al., 1995b).
- Sec23p, Sed5p, Sec16p (Higashio et al., 2000; Kurihara et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2000).
- Sec23p, Pma1p (Peng et al., 2000; Shimoni et al., 2000).

Synthetic lethal interactions

- BET1, SEC12, SEC13, SEC16, SEC17, SEC18, SEC22, SEC23, SFB3, BET1 (Kurihara et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2000).
- BET1, SEC22 (Kurihara et al., 2000).

Database searches revealed a whole family of Sec24-related proteins from many other organisms (three isoforms in *S. pombe*, two isoforms in *C. elegans*, three isoforms in *D. melanogaster*, four isoforms in *H. sapiens*).
1.4 Tethering, docking and fusion

The fusion of a transport vesicle with its target membrane involves two types of events: first the transport vesicle must specifically recognize the correct target membrane, then it has to fuse with that membrane. Interaction of two membranes can be considered a multi-stage process in which different protein complexes are involved. The first stage, during which the two membranes come close to each other, has been termed "tethering". Then follows the interaction of SNAREs (SNAP receptors) on opposing membranes (see Section 1.4.1), and this strong interaction (called "docking") ultimately leads to membrane fusion. The docking/fusion process is probably initiated by the binding of two soluble proteins, NSF (Sec18p in yeast) and SNAP (Sec17p in yeast), that open the cis-SNARE complexes (Unger mann et al., 1998). NSF (N-ethyl-maleimide sensitive factor) is a soluble ATPase (Beckers et al., 1989; Block et al., 1988; Malhotra et al., 1988), SNAPs (no relation with SNAP-25) are soluble NSF attachment proteins (Clary et al., 1990).

Many different "tethering components" involved at different transport steps have recently been identified in yeast and mammalian cells (for review see Guo et al., 2000; Waters and Pfeffer, 1999). The use of multiple tethering factors is likely to ensure the high selectivity and spatial and temporal regulation of membrane targeting. Tethering complexes and proteins implicated in ER-Golgi transport in yeast are: TRAPP (transport protein particle, a ten subunits complex) (Barrowman et al., 2000; Sacher et al., 2000; Sacher et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2000b), p115/Uso1p (Allan et al., 2000; Cao et al., 1998; Sapperstein et al., 1996; Sapperstein et al., 1995), and Sec34p-Sec35p (VanRheenen et al., 1998; VanRheenen et al., 1999). In mammalian cells, the protein p115 probably also acts at other transport steps (Nelson et al., 1998; Waters et al., 1992).

The intricate tethering/docking process is regulated by small Ras-like GTPases termed Ypt in yeast or Rab in mammals (for reviews see Götte et al., 2000; Lazar et al., 1997; Zerial and McBride, 2001) (see Section 1.4.2). Ypt/Rab proteins might be involved in recruiting tethering and docking factors (Allan et al., 2000; Cao et al., 1998; Guo et al., 2000; Seals et al., 2000; Wickner and Haas, 2000) and/or in the removal of inhibitors of SNARE complex assembly such as could be the proteins of the Sec1 family (Lian et al., 1994; Lupashin and Waters, 1997; Sogaard et al., 1994).

The Sec1 family is another group of proteins important in fusion. In yeast, there are four proteins belonging to this family: Sec1p, Sly1p, Vps33p and Vps45p. Sec1p
acts exclusively at the plasma membrane. It was demonstrated to bind to assembled
exocytic SNARE complexes in yeast (Carr et al., 1999). In mammals, n-Sec1p binds
to the closed conformation of Syntaxin1A inhibiting it from interacting with other
SNAREs (Yang et al., 2000). Sly1p participates in docking events of ER-derived
vesicles to the Golgi compartment; it binds to the Golgi syntaxin Sed5p (Grabowski
and Gallwitz, 1997). The SLY1-20 mutant is a dominant allele that can suppress the
functional loss of YPT1 (Dascher et al., 1991; Ossig et al., 1991). Vps45p is involved
in endosomal trafficking, and it was shown to bind to the syntaxin Tlg2p (Nichols et
al., 1998). Vps33p is part of a large protein complex (C-VPS complex / HOPS)
involved in Golgi-to-vacuole protein transport and in homotypic vacuole fusion (Sato
et al., 2000; Seals et al., 2000). The function of Sec1 proteins is not well understood
yet, they have been described both as activators and inhibitors of SNARE complex
assembly and membrane fusion (for review see Halachmi and Lev, 1996).

1.4.1 SNAREs

SNAREs (SNAP receptors) comprise distinct families of conserved
transmembrane or membrane-associated proteins that were independently discovered
in yeast, mammalian cells and neurons (for review see Bennett and Scheller, 1993;
Ferro-Novick and Jahn, 1994) and which are considered the core machinery for
membrane fusion (Weber et al., 1998). They are grouped into three large families (the
names derive from the synaptic proteins first recognized to be SNAREs): the syntaxin
(Bennett et al., 1992), the SNAP-25 (synaptosomal-associated protein of 25 kDa)
(McMahon and Sudhof, 1995) and the VAMP/synaptobrevin family (VAMP = vesicle-
associated membrane protein) (Baumert et al., 1989; Trimble et al., 1988). The term
SNARE was coined by J. Rothman and colleagues (Söllner et al., 1993b) to describe
entities which could bind soluble factors that had previously been described to be
important components of the intracellular membrane fusion apparatus, namely NSF
(N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein) and SNAP (soluble NSF attachment
protein; no relation to SNAP-25). Based on their localization and overall structure,
SNAREs were initially classified into t-SNARES (SNAREs localized to target
membrane) and v-SNAREs (SNAREs localized to the membrane of a trafficking
vesicle) (Söllner et al., 1993a). The Syntaxin and SNAP-25 families were originally
classified as t-SNAREs and the VAMP/synaptobrevin family as v-SNAREs. Since
proteins related to SNAREs were also found in non-neuronal cells and were localized
to specific subcellular compartments, Rothman and colleagues in 1993 formulated the “SNARE hypothesis” (Rothman, 1994; Rothman and Warren, 1994; Söllner et al., 1993b) on the basis of which SNAREs would provide a general mechanism for the specific docking and fusion of transport vesicles (containing v-SNAREs) with their target membranes (containing the cognate t-SNAREs).

Every cell expresses a large number of SNARE proteins that exhibit characteristic subcellular distributions (see Fig. 1.1), suggesting that the fidelity of vesicle trafficking might in part be determined by specific SNARE pairings. However, the promiscuity of SNARE pairing observed in vitro (Fasshauer et al., 1999; Grote and Novick, 1999) and the fact that they shuttle between trafficking compartments associated with transport vesicles (Wooding and Pelham, 1998) suggest that the information for membrane compartment organization is not in the inherent ability of SNAREs to form complexes. This point remains quite controversial since several researchers still support the idea of specificity by SNARE pairing. These investigators observed that whereas SNAREs pair almost randomly in solution they are not at all promiscuous in the presence of lipid bilayers (McNew et al., 2000; Parlati et al., 2000; Scales et al., 2000a). SNAREs involved in ER-to-Golgi transport in yeast are Bos1p, Bet1p, Sec22p and Sed5p (Cao and Barlowe, 2000; Parlati et al., 2000).

Structural and biochemical data highlighted the mechanism by which trans-SNARE complexes catalyze the merging of lipid bilayers during intracellular membrane fusion. SNAREs are coiled-coil proteins and during membrane fusion, four $\alpha$-helices from proteins on the vesicle and target membrane come together to form a stable, four-helix bundle (see Fig. 1.5). The trans-SNARE complex consists of syntaxin and SNAP25 family members on the target membrane and a VAMP/synaptobrevin family member on the vesicle.

![Fig. 1.5 Trans-SNARE complex formation and membrane fusion.](image)

For vesicle fusion, three SNAREs of the syntaxin (red) and the SNAP25 family (green) are required on the target membrane and a VAMP family member (blue) on the vesicle (modified from Scales et al, 2000a).
The formation of the four-helix bundle may bring interacting membranes in close enough opposition to facilitate, if not complete, bilayer fusion (Lin and Scheller, 1997; Otto et al., 1997; Poirier et al., 1998; Sutton et al., 1998; Weber et al., 1998).

There is evidence that, at least in the case of vacuole-to-vacuole fusion, for the terminal step of the fusion event to take place, a calcium signal that is sensed by calmodulin is necessary (Peters and Mayer, 1998). The action of protein phosphatase-1 has been found to be essential for fusion, too (Peters et al., 1999).

The association of the four α-helices in the synaptic fusion complex structure produces highly conserved layers of interacting amino acid side chains in the center of the four-helix bundle, and on the basis of these features SNAREs were reclassified into Q-SNAREs and R-SNAREs (Q= glutamine, R= arginine). Fusion-competent SNARE complexes generally consist of four-helix bundles composed of three Q-SNAREs and one R-SNARE (Fasshauer et al., 1998; Ossig et al., 2000), however exceptions to this rule have been described (Katz and Brennwald, 2000).

Because the core complex is extremely stable, cells have evolved a specialized chaperone whose function is to dissociate the SNARE complex under the hydrolysis of ATP. This chaperone is the previously mentioned NSF (Sec18p in yeast) which acts in conjunction with SNAP (Sec17p in yeast). Both NSF and SNAP are structurally and functionally conserved in evolution. They are known to function at virtually all intracellular transport steps (Rothman, 1994). SNAP/Sec17p needs to bind to the SNARE complex before NSF/Sec18p can bind. Sec18p action also depends on the heterodimeric protein complex LMA1 (a heterodimer composed of thioredoxin and the proteinase inhibitor IB2) that is thought to stabilize SNARE proteins after their separation (Barlowe, 1997; Xu et al., 1997; Xu et al., 1998). Recent data suggest that only cis-SNARE complexes (formed when SNAREs combine on the same membrane) are disrupted by the action of the ATPase NSF, and that this would be necessary to maintain a supply in cells of uncombined SNAREs for fusion (Wang et al., 2000a; Weber et al., 2000). For further reviews on SNARE proteins see (Chen and Scheller, 2001; Gerst, 1999; Jahn and Südhof, 1999; Pelham, 1999).

1.4.2 The Ypt/Rab family of small GTPases

Ypt/Rab proteins form the largest subfamily of the Ras superfamily of GTPases (Götte et al., 2000; Novick and Zerial, 1997; Zerial and McBride, 2001). The Ras-superfamily includes more than 700 members in species from yeast to man and it can
be divided into at least five subfamilies: the Ras, the Rho/Rac/Cdc42, the Ypt/Rab, the Sar1/Arf, and the Ran families (Garcia-Ranea and Valencia, 1998; Kahn et al., 1992; Matozaki et al., 2000). Different members of these families play key roles in a variety of cellular processes including signal transduction, cytoskeletal organization and protein transport (Garcia-Ranea and Valencia, 1998; Zerial and Huber, 1995). Like heterotrimeric G proteins they act as molecular switches, where the "switching" process relies on GTP hydrolysis (for review see Bourne et al., 1990; Kjeldgaard et al., 1996; Sprang, 1997).

Ras and Ras-like proteins are related in size (approximately 200-230 amino acids), secondary and tertiary structure (six-stranded \( \beta \)-sheet surrounded by \( \alpha \)-helices) and they share significant sequence identity and similar biochemical properties (Bourne et al., 1990; Gamblin and Smerdon, 1998; Wittinghofer and Pai, 1991). They bind guanine nucleotides with high affinity and are endowed with a very slow intrinsic GTPase activity. The superfamily is characterized by a C-terminal cysteine motif subject to post-translational modifications and by the presence of a set of highly conserved regions, G1-G5 (loops between the secondary structure elements), that are critical for GDP/GTP exchange, for GTP-induced conformational change and for GTP hydrolysis (Bourne et al., 1991; Valencia et al., 1991) (see Fig. 1.6). The G1-region or "P-loop" (residues 10-17 in \( p21^{\text{ras}} \), 15-22 in Ypt1p) is responsible for the binding of the alpha- and beta- phosphate groups of GTP/GDP. The G2-region or "effector region" (residues 32-40 in \( p21^{\text{ras}} \), 37-45 in Ypt1p) is the part of the molecule which undergoes the most extensive changes upon GTP hydrolysis (Schlichting et al., 1990) and is thought to bind effector proteins (Becker et al., 1991; Sigal et al., 1986). The conserved threonine residue in this region binds a Mg\(^{2+}\) ion, essential for GTP hydrolysis, that is coordinated to the oxygens of the \( \beta \)- and \( \gamma \)-phosphates of GTP.

\[ \text{Fig. 1.6} \] Schematic representation of the conserved G1-G5 (gray boxes) regions in members of the Ras-superfamily and their involvement in the binding of guanine nucleotides. Conserved sequence motifs for Ypt/Rab GTPases are shown at the top.
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The G3-region (residues 53-62 in p21\textsuperscript{ras}, 59-68 in Ypt1p) binds the gamma-phosphate of GTP, the glutamine in this region (Q61 in p21\textsuperscript{ras} and Q67 in Ypt1p) is critical for GTP hydrolysis. The G4-region (residues 112-119 in p21\textsuperscript{ras}, 117-124 in Ypt1p) binds the guanine ring of GTP/GDP whereas the G5-region (residues 140-146 in p21\textsuperscript{ras}, 147-153 in Ypt1p) is required for stabilization of the G4-interactions. The C-terminal cysteine-containing motif in Ypt/Rab proteins (Fig. 1.6) is post-translationally modified with a lipid moiety (geranylgeranyl), which is necessary for insertion into the membrane (Cox and Der, 1992; Peter et al., 1992).

Depending on the nucleotide being bound (GDP or GTP), Ras and Ras-like GTPases adopt different conformations that allow them to interact with different effector proteins. The conformational changes, upon GTP hydrolysis, are mainly localized in two distinct regions of the molecule, named "switch I" and "switch II". Switch I (residues 30-38 in p21\textsuperscript{ras}) overlaps with the effector region G2 while switch II (residues 60-76 in p21\textsuperscript{ras}) encompasses the G3-region (Milburn et al., 1990; Wittinghofer and Pai, 1991).

Ypt/Rab proteins are regulators of vesicular protein transport in both the biosynthetic/secertory and endocytic pathways. They are located on distinct cellular compartments, and participate in molecular events that underlie the targeting and/or docking/fusion of transport vesicles with their acceptor membrane (Schimmoller et al., 1998; Zerial and McBride, 2001). Ypt/Rab GTPases are thought to act prior to SNAREs in vesicle docking. There are many hints indicating that they act directly or indirectly to promote SNARE-complex formation (Sogaard et al., 1994; VanRheenen et al., 1999). They might be involved in recruiting tethering and docking factors and/or in the removal of inhibitors of SNARE complex assembly (Allan et al., 2000; Cao et al., 1998; Lupashin and Waters, 1997; Pfeffer, 1999; Seals et al., 2000). There are also studies that support an additional role of some Rab proteins in regulating the movement of vesicles and organelles along the cytoskeleton (Echard et al., 1998; Nielsen et al., 1999; Peranen et al., 1996; Pruyne et al., 1998; Schott et al., 1999).

Rab protein activity seems to be modulated by different effectors. Several proteins were actually identified as Rab effectors and the list is rapidly growing, among them are: rabphilin3A, rabin3 and Rim for Rab3 (Brondyk et al., 1995; Shirataki et al., 1993; Wang et al., 1997); rabaptin-5, rabaptin-5β and EEA1 for Rab5 (Gournier et al., 1998; Simonsen et al., 1998; Stenmark et al., 1995); Rab8ip for Rab8 (Ren et al., 1996); p40 for Rab9 (Diaz et al., 1997); Rab11BP for Rab11 (Zeng et al., 1999).
Ypt/Rab GTPases and their involvement in membrane trafficking were first discovered in yeast (Gallwitz et al., 1983; Salminen and Novick, 1987; Schmitt et al., 1988; Segev et al., 1988). In *S. cerevisiae*, there are 11 Ypt GTPases: Ypt1p, Ypt31p, Ypt32p, Sec4p, Ypt51p, Ypt52p, Ypt53p, Ypt6p, Ypt7p, Ypt10p and Ypt11p (Fig. 1.1 shows the localization and sites of action of Ypt proteins in the yeast cell; for review see Götte et al., 2000; Lazar et al., 1997). Only the functional loss of those involved in the biosynthetic pathways results in lethality, these proteins are: Ypt1p (Schmitt et al., 1986), Ypt31p/Ypt32p (Benli et al., 1996), and Sec4p (Salminen and Novick, 1987). In mammalian cells, over 30 Rab proteins are known (for review see Martinez and Goud, 1998; Novick and Zerial, 1997; Zerial and McBride, 2001).

Ypt/Rab proteins cycle between a GTP-bound (active) and GDP-bound (inactive) form, and between a membrane-attached and a soluble form (see Fig. 1.7). The soluble fraction of the proteins is complexed with a cytosolic protein, the GDP dissociation inhibitor GDI (Gdi1p/Sec19p in yeast; mammalian cells express several GDI isoforms) (Garrett et al., 1994). GDI indiscriminately interacts with all types of Ypt/Rab proteins (Ullrich et al., 1993). It is able to solubilize the inactive, GDP-bound Ypt protein from target membranes and thought to guide it to the correct donor membrane (Garrett et al., 1994; Pfeffer et al., 1995).

**Fig. 1.7** Model of the Ypt GTPase cycle as described in [Götte, 2000 #38] (to be followed clockwise from middle left). The inactive GDP-bound form of the Ypt protein is kept in a soluble state by the GDP-dissociation inhibitor (GDI). After docking to a putative membrane receptor (R), GDI dissociates from transport GTPases. Upon membrane binding, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) catalyzes GDP/GTP exchange. The activated Ypt GTPase (Ypt*) most likely acts in the assembly of a protein complex which facilitates membrane docking. A GTPase activating protein (GAP) accelerates the GTP hydrolysis and the GDP-bound form of the Ypt can be solubilized by GDI and used in a new cycle. $s = $ soluble, $m = $ membrane-bound.
The mechanism by which the interaction with the correct membrane is achieved is poorly understood, but it appears that the hypervariable C-terminus is involved in specific membrane interaction (Brennwald and Novick, 1993; Chavrier et al., 1991). In addition hypothetical receptors could be important to mediate a correct membrane interaction (Dirac-Svejstrup et al., 1997; Soldati et al., 1995; Ullrich et al., 1994). The recently described complex of Yip1p and Yif1p is a candidate membrane receptor for Ypt1 and Ypt31/Ypt32 GTPases on Golgi compartments (Matern et al., 2000; Yang et al., 1998).

After membrane association, GDI dissociates from transport GTPases. A GDI displacement factor (GDF), with specific action on endosomal Rab proteins, was isolated in mammalian cells (Dirac-Svejstrup et al., 1997). Such a factor has not been identified in yeast yet. Once a GDP-bound GTPase associates with the membrane, GDP has to be exchanged for GTP, in order to activate the protein (Soldati et al., 1994; Ullrich et al., 1994). The exchange reaction is catalyzed by a guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) (Cherfils and Chardin, 1999; Day et al., 1998; Sprang and Coleman, 1998). Known Ypt/Rab specific GEFs are Rabex-5 for Rab5 (Horiuchi et al., 1997), Vps9p for Ypt51p (Hama et al., 1999) and Sec2p for Sec4p (Walch-Solimena et al., 1997). GEF activity for Ypt1p and Ypt31/32 appears to reside in the 10-component TRAP complex (Jones et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2000b) Ypt6p-GEF activity in the heterodimeric Ric1/Rgp1 complex (Siniossoglou et al., 2000) and Vps39p, a component of the multi-protein complex C-VPS/HOPS, stimulates the nucleotide exchange on Ypt7p (Wurmsper et al., 2000). Finally, when a GTPase has fulfilled its function, GTP is hydrolyzed. The Ypt’s weak intrinsic GTPase activity \(<0.01 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ at } 30 °C; \text{ see Table 4.1}\) is accelerated many orders of magnitude by GTPase activating proteins (GAPs). In yeast, eight GAPs for Ypt/Rab proteins have been identified (see Section 1.4.4). In mammals, only two Rab-GAPs are currently known: GAPCenA that prefers Rab6 as substrate (Cuif et al., 1999) and Rab3-GAP that is specific for Rab3 subfamily members (Burstein and Macara, 1992; Clabecq et al., 2000; Fukui et al., 1997). GAPCenA shares sequence similarity with yeast Ypt/Rab-GAPs, but the sequence of Rab3-GAP seems to be totally different.

1.4.3 Ypt1p

Ypt1p is a 23 kDa GTP-binding protein (Gallwitz et al., 1983), and together with Sec4p (Salminen and Novick, 1987) is the founding member of the Ypt/Rab family of small GTPases. After its discovery in yeast, Ypt1p homologues were found in mouse
(Haubruck et al., 1987) and rat (Rab1p, the acronym Rab stays for rat brain) (Touchot et al., 1987) and subsequently in many others species. Ypt1p is predominantly localized to Golgi membranes (Segev et al., 1988), the mammalian homolog Rab1p is found on ER membranes, pre-Golgi intermediates, and early compartments of the Golgi complex (Saraste et al., 1995). Ypt1p acts in ER-to-Golgi transport and intra-Golgi transport (Jedd et al., 1995). As shown by the use of mutants (Becker et al., 1991; Schmitt et al., 1988; Segev et al., 1988) and of cell-free transport systems (Rexach and Schekman, 1991; Segev, 1991), the GTPase is required for docking of ER-derived vesicles to Golgi membranes. There are data indicating a role of Ypt1p in recruiting the docking factor Uso1p (Cao et al., 1998; Sapperstein et al., 1996). This was also observed in mammalian cells, where it has been demonstrated that Rab1p recruits p115 (the mammalian homolog of Uso1p) onto COPII vesicles where it interacts with a select set of SNARE proteins (Allan et al., 2000). Other in vitro transport studies indicated that Ypt1p is functionally required on the Golgi acceptor membrane (Cao and Barlowe, 2000). Genetic interactions between YPT1 and both SEC34 and SEC35 genes (encoding for the proteins of the tethering Sec34p/Sec35p complex) have been also documented (VanRheenen et al., 1998; VanRheenen et al., 1999).

Loss of Ypt1p function results in the accumulation of vesicles and ER membranes and finally in cell death. This phenotype can be suppressed by high expression of the SNAREs Sec22p and Bet1p (Dascher et al., 1991). The suppressive effect is even stronger when there is co-overexpression of Bos1p with either Sec22p (Lian et al., 1994) or Bet1p (Stone et al., 1997), all SNAREs involved in ER-Golgi transport. Furthermore, the loss of Ypt1p can be efficiently suppressed by a dominant mutant allele of SLY1 (SLY1-20) (Dascher et al., 1991; Ossig et al., 1991) that encodes a member of the Sec1p protein family (see Section 1.4). These and other experiments (Lupashin and Waters, 1997; Sogaard et al., 1994) showed that Ypt1p is required to facilitate SNAREs complex formation.

To study the function of Ypt1p, several mutants created by site-directed mutagenesis have been used; of special interest are single amino acid substitutions within the conserved domains G4 (N121I) and G3 (Q67L). The N121I substitution turned out to be a dominant negative inhibitor of transport (Schmitt et al., 1988; Schmitt et al., 1986) most likely because of its tight interaction with a still unknown effector. The Q67L mutation, in analogy to the equivalent substitution in Ras, believed to lock Ypt1p in the active GTP-bound form, apparently does not induce any
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easily observable phenotype (Richardson et al., 1998). From this, it was concluded that GTP hydrolysis is not important for the GTPases function in transport, but this view will be challenged by the data presented in this Ph.D. thesis.

1.4.4 The Gyp protein family

The slow intrinsic GTPase activity of Ypt/Rab GTPases (<0.01 min⁻¹) has to be accelerated by GAPs in order to allow the termination of the GTPases function and the recycling of the regulators. Ypt/Rab-GAP proteins were first identified in yeast by high expression cloning and named Gyp (GAP for Ypt) (Strom et al., 1993; Vollmer and Gallwitz, 1995). Gyp proteins form a family with several structurally related members. This was predicted by a sophisticated computer search (Neuwald, 1997; Neuwald et al., 1997), and subsequently proven by biochemical analysis (Albert and Gallwitz, 1999; Albert and Gallwitz, 2000; Albert et al., 1999; Cuif et al., 1999). To date, there are eight yeast proteins proven to be Ypt/Rab-GAPs (Gyp1p-Gyp8p) (see Table 1.3). In addition, there are other yeast proteins (the products of YMRO55c/BUB2, YMR192w and YGL036w reading frames) that share sequence similarity with Gyp family members.

Table 1.3 Yeast Ypt/Rab-GAPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAP</th>
<th>ORF</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>MW (kDa)</th>
<th>pI</th>
<th>Substrate (main substrates are underlined)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gyp1p</td>
<td>YOR070c</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>Sec4p, Ypt51p, Ypt1p, Ypt7p.</td>
<td>(Albert et al., 1999; Du et al., 1998; Rak et al., 2000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp2p</td>
<td>YGR100w</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>109.23</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>Ypt6p, Sec4p</td>
<td>(Albert and Gallwitz, 1999).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( Mic1/Mdr1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp3p</td>
<td>YNL293w</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Sec4p, Ypt6p, Ypt51p, Ypt31p</td>
<td>(Albert and Gallwitz, 1999).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Msb3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Msb4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp5p</td>
<td>YPL249c</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>101.8</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>Ypt1p, Sec4p</td>
<td>This work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp6p</td>
<td>YJL044c</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>5.84</td>
<td>Ypt6p, Ypt7p</td>
<td>(Strom et al., 1993; Will and Gallwitz, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp7p</td>
<td>YDL234c</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>Ypt7p, Ypt6p, Ypt31p, Ypt32p</td>
<td>(Albert et al., 1999; Vollmer et al., 1999).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp8p</td>
<td>YFL027c</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>Ypt6p, Ypt1p, Sec4p</td>
<td>S. Albert unpublished data.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gyp proteins share six conserved sequence motifs (A, B, C, D, E, F) (Neuwald, 1997) (Fig. 4.2). These sequence motifs (present also in several proteins in different eukaryotic organisms) are localized within the catalytic domain of Gyp proteins and will be referred to as the “GYP domain”. However, the GYP domain does not represent the complete catalytic domain, additional sequences downstream of the conserved motifs are required for GAP activity. A detailed mutational analysis of the catalytically active regions in Gyp1p and Gyp7p has revealed a conserved arginine residue in motif B which is critical for the catalytic activity (Albert et al., 1999). It was therefore postulated that Ypt/Rab-GAPs exhibit an "arginine finger" mechanism of GTPase accelerating activity similar to that previously described for Ras- and Rho-GAPs (Ahmadian et al., 1997; Rittinger et al., 1997a; Rittinger et al., 1997b; Scheffzek et al., 1997; Scheffzek et al., 1998). According to the "arginine finger hypothesis" GAP supplies an arginine residue into the active site of the GTPase to favor GTP hydrolysis and thereby to stabilize the transition state of the reaction (see Fig. 1.8).

![Fig. 1.8 Scheme of the Ras/Rho GAP complex from (Scheffzek et al., 1998). The transition state is shown as having a pentacoordinate γ-phosphate group due to nucleophilic attack of a water molecule (w). The catalytic arginine residue of GAP "arginine finger", together with a "finger loop", crosses the "gap" between the proteins in order to neutralize developing charges during the transition state of the reaction and stabilize the critical catalytic glutamine residue in Ras/Rho proteins (Q61 in Ras and Q63 in Rho). A "secondary", positively charged residue, (Arg in Ras-GAP and Lys in Rho-GAP), stabilizes the "finger loop". GMP = guanosine monophosphate.](image)

The crystal structure of the Gyp1p GAP-domain, recently solved (Fig. 1.9) (Rak et al., 2000), revealed that the protein is purely α-helical (16 α-helixes) and V-shaped. In accordance with the biochemical data, the critical arginine (R343) is positioned in the presumed GTPase-binding cleft where it could come into close contact with the bound GTP. It is interesting to note that while Ras- Rho- and
Ypt/Rab-GTPases are significantly related in primary and tertiary structure, the corresponding GTPase-activating proteins are not at all related in primary structure and display distinct folds, however, their overall structures are nearly exclusively $\alpha$-helical and their catalytic activities are based on same mechanistic principle (Barrett et al., 1997; Rak et al., 2000; Scheffzek et al., 1996).

None of the eight yeast Ypt/Rab-GAPs studied so far is essential for cell viability or, after gene deletion, results in a observable phenotype. This might be due to overlapping substrate specificity as all Gyp proteins accept more than one GTPase as substrate (Table 1.3).

One of the aims of this work was the detailed characterization of a member of the family of Ypt/Rab-GAPs, Gyp5p, the preferred substrate of which turned out to be Ypt1p. In addition, an attempt was made to explain the biological relevance of its GAP activity within the living cell.

Fig. 1.9 The three-dimensional structure of Ypt-GAP of Gyp1p (from A. Rak et al, 2000). The ribbon diagram displays the secondary structure elements and the catalytic active arginine (Arg 343) in a ball-and-stick representation. Regions of the conserved motifs A-F are highlighted in green.
2 MATERIALS

Bacterial and yeast strains, mammalian cell lines, plasmids, oligonucleotides and antibodies are listed and described in Appendix (Chapter 7).

2.1 Growth media

2.1.1 Media components

Bacto-agar, bacto-peptone 140, bacto-yeast-extract, and bacto-yeast nitrogen base w/o amino acids from Difco (Detroit, USA). D-glucose, D-raffinose, D-galactose, D-fructose, ammonium sulfate, potassium acetate and amino acids from SERVA (Heidelberg, Germany). Geneticin G418 Sulfate from Calbiochem (La Jolla, CA, USA), Ampicillin Na-salt, kanamycin sulfate, chloramphenicol and tetracycline from SERVA, Penicillin-Streptomycin from Gibco BRL. (Karlsruhe, Germany). 3-Amino-1,2,4-Triazole (3-AT) and 5-Fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) from Sigma-Aldrich (Deisenhofen, Germany). Dulbecco’s Mod. Eagle Medium and Sodium Bicarbonate from Gibco BRL. (Karlsruhe, Germany). Fetal Bovine Serum F-7524 from Sigma (Deisenhofen, Germany)

2.1.2 Bacterial media

All media were autoclaved for 20 min at 120°C and stored at 4°C. Solid media were obtained adding bacto-agar at the final concentration of 1.5 % (w/v).

**LB (Luria Bertani)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacto-peptone</td>
<td>10 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH 1N</td>
<td>5 ml/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacto-peptone</td>
<td>20 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>0.5 g/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl₂ (filter sterilized)</td>
<td>10 ml/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄ (filter sterilized)</td>
<td>10 ml/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (filter sterilized)</td>
<td>1 ml/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>0.1-1 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Materials

Antibiotics:  
- 100 µg/ml Ampicillin  
- 50 µg/ml Kanamycin  
- 30 µg/ml Chloramphenicol  
- 15 µg/ml Tetracycline

2.1.3 Yeast media

All media were autoclaved for 20 min at 120°C and stored at 4°C. Solid media were obtained adding bacto-agar at the final concentration of 2% (w/v).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| YEPG (YEPGal) | 10 g/l Yeast extract  
 20 g/l Peptone 140  
 20 g/l D-glucose (D-galactose)  
 20 mg/l Uracil  
 20 mg/l Adenine sulphate |
| YEPG 0.1%   | 10 g/l Yeast extract  
 20 g/l Peptone 140  
 1 g/l D-glucose  
 20 mg/l Uracil  
 20 mg/l Adenine sulphate |
| PM-glucose  | 1.7 g/l Yeast nitrogen base w/o amino acids  
 (PM-galactose) | 5 g/l Peptone 140  
 (PM-raffinose) | 5 g/l Ammonium sulfate  
 20 g/l D-glucose (D-galactose) (D-raffinose) |
| SD          | 1.7 g/l Yeast nitrogen base w/o amino acids  
 5 g/l Ammonium sulfate  
 20 g/l D-glucose |
| SMM         | 1 g/l KH₂PO₄  
 1 g/l NH₄Cl  
 0.2 g/l CaCl₂  
 0.6 g/l MgCl₂  
 0.5 g/l NaCl  
 3 g/l Yeast extract  
 20 g/l Glucose |

Additives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Amino acids: | 20 mg/l Uracil  
 20 mg/l Adenine sulphate  
 20 mg/l L-tryptophan  
 20 mg/l L-histidine  
 30 mg/l L-leucine  
 30 mg/l L-Lysine/HCl |
| Antibiotics: | 200 mg/l geneticin G418 |
2.1.4 Mammalian cell media

DME 10% FCS (10 l) 1 Package
37 g Sodium Bicarbonate
200 ml Penicillin-Streptomycin (10000 IU/ml)
1 l Foetal Bovine Serum

2.2 Frequently used buffers and solutions

TAE 50X
242 g/l Tris-base
57.1 ml/l Glacial acetic acid
18.612 g/l EDTA

DNA loading buffer 10X
30% (w/v) Ficoll
0.25% (w/v) Xylene Cyanol FF
0.25% (w/v) Bromophenol Blue
0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0

30% Acrylamide-stock sol.
29.2% (w/v) Acrylamide
0.8% (w/v) Bisacrylamide

APS
10% (w/v)

Laemmli loading buf. 2X
0.1M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8
2% (w/v) SDS
2% (v/v) ß-Mercaptoethanol
20% (v/v) Glycerol
0.002%(w/v) Bromophenol Blue

SDS electrophoresis buf.
0.19 M Glycine
25 mM Tris-base
0.1% (w/v) SDS

Coomassie fixing sol.
25% (v/v) Isopropanol
10% (v/v) Glacial acetic acid

Coomassie staining sol.
10% (v/v) Glacial acetic acid
60 mg/l Coomassie brilliant blue R250

Western blot transfer buffer
20 mM Tris-base
150 mM Glycine
20% (v/v) Methanol

Ponceau S solution
2.5 g/l Ponceau S
15% (v/v) Glacial acetic acid
40% (v/v) Methanol
Western blot washing buffer A
10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4
0.9% (w/v) NaCl
0.05% (v/v) Tween 20
Western blot washing buffer B
0.2% (w/v) SDS
0.9% (w/v) NaCl
0.5% (v/v) Triton X-100
0.5% (w/v) BSA
HPLC buffer
10 mM Tetrabutylammonium bromide
100 mM K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄, pH 6.5
0.2 mM NaN₃
2-4% (v/v) Acetonitrile
GAP reaction buffer
50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0
5 mM MgCl₂
1 mM DTT
PBS
137 mM NaCl
2.7 mM KCl
4.3 mM Na₂HPO₄ 7H₂O
1.4 mM KH₂PO₄
pH 7.3
Phenol
Phenol saturated with TE
0.1% (w/v) Hydroxychinoline
SSC 20X
3 M NaCl
0.3 M Na-citrate
TE
10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4-8.0
1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0
TBS
100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5
0.9% NaCl
Buffer 88
20 mM HEPES pH 7.0
0.25 M Sorbitol
0.15 M KOAc
5 mM MgOAc

2.3 Chemicals
All chemicals used were of analytical grade and were purchased from Boehringer (Mannheim, Germany), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Sigma Deisenhofen, Germany) or Serva (Heidelberg, Germany) unless otherwise stated. Tran³⁵S-label from ICN (Meckenheim, Germany) and Amplify fluorografic reagent from Amersham (Braunschweig, Germany). Glutathione sepharose 4B, protein A sepharose fast flow
and protein G sepharose fast flow were from Pharmacia (Freiburg, Germany). Ni-NTA agarose from QIAGEN (Hilden, Germany). Bradford protein assay reagent and Chelex 100 resin from Bio-Rad (Munich, Germany). Protease inhibitors cocktail tablets and PefablocSC (4-2-Aminoethyl-benzenesulfonyl fluoride) from Roche (Mannheim, Germany). Prestained protein ladder BenchMark from Gibco Brl (Karlsruhe, Germany), Rainbow protein marker from Amersham (Braunschweig, Germany).

2.4 Enzymes

Restriction endonucleases were from Boehringer (Mannheim, Germany), New England Biolabs (Frankfurt, Germany), and Promega (Mannheim, Germany). T4-polymerase, T4-DNA ligase and RNase were from Boehringer (Mannheim, Germany). Taq DNA polymerase was from Perkin Elmer (New Jersey, USA), Deep Vent DNA polymerase from *Thermococcus litoralis* was from New England Biolabs (Frankfurt, Germany), Pfu DNA polymerase from Stratagene (Heidelberg, Germany). Zymolyase 100T from *Arthrobacter luteus* was from Seikagaku Corp. (Tokyo, Japan), Lyticase partially purified from *Arthrobacter luteus* was from Sigma (Deisenhofen, Germany), β-glucuronidase/arylsulfatase from *Helix pomatia* was from Boehringer (Mannheim, Germany). Thrombin was from Sigma (Deisenhofen, Germany).

2.5 Reaction systems, Kits

Plasmid DNA extraction from *E. coli*, DNA extraction from agarose gels and purification of PCR products were performed with a QIAGEN Spin Miniprep or Midiprep kit, a QIAquick Gel Extraction kit, a QIAquick PCR purification kit respectively, from QIAGEN (Hilden, Germany).

ECL western blotting detection reagents, ECL direct nucleic acid labeling, 3’-oligo-labeling and detection system, and Lumi-LightPLUS western blotting substrate from Amersham-Buchler (Braunschweig, Germany).

QIAexpressionist (His-tag) from QIAGEN, GST-fusion system from Pharmacia (Freiburg, Germany) and MBP-fusion system from New England Biolabs (Frankfurt, Germany).

For Sequencing the Thermo Sequenase dye terminator cycle sequencing kit from Amersham was used.
2.6 Disposable supplies

Autoradiography films X-Omat from Kodak-Eastman (Rochester, New York, USA). Nitrocellulose membrane filters BA 85 and Nylon membrane filters Nytran-N from Schleicher and Schuell (Dassel, Germany). Whatman 541 Filter and Whatman 3MM paper from Whatman (Maidstone, UK). Filtropur BT50 0.2μm 500ml from Sarstedt (Nümbrecht, Germany), non pyrogenetic 0.22 μm filter Millex-GS from Millipore (Molsheim, France). Centricon concentrators from Amicon (Beverly, USA), and membra-spin PES columns (membraPure, Bodenheim, Germany). Reaction vials 0.5 ml, 1.5 ml and 2 ml from Eppendorf (Hamburg, Germany). Polypropylene Falcon vials 15 ml and 50 ml from Becton –Dickinson (Heidelberg, Germany). Petri dishes from Nunc (Wiesbaden, Germany). Electroporation cuvettes from Invitrogen (Leek, The Netherlands). All other materials including glassware were purchased from Schütt (Göttingen, Germany).

2.7 Laboratory gadgets

Centrifuges: Eppendorf bench centrifuge 5415 (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), Hereaus Laborfuge GL, Sorvall RC-5B with rotors HS-4, HB-4, GSA, SS-34 and SA600 (DuPont Instruments, Bad Homburg, Germany), Ultracentrifuges TL-100, L7, L8-M with rotors TLA100.3 45Ti 70Ti SW40Ti and SW60 Ti (Beckman, Munich, Germany). Electroporation chamber BioRad Gene-Pulser with pulse-controller from BioRad Laboratories (Munich, Germany). Gel dryer BioRad Slab Dryer 443 and 448 from BioRad Laboratories (Munich, Germany). Homogenizer Gaulin Micron Lab 40 “French Press” from APV Gaulin (Lübeck, Germany). HPLC (High performance liquid chromatography) “System GOLD” from Beckman (Munich, Germany). Incubators: Gyrotyr Shaker and controlled environment incubators from New Brunswick (Edison, NJ, USA). Lumi-imager from Boehringer (Mannheim, Germany). Micromanipulator from Singer Instruments (Watchet, GB). Spectrophotometer Uvikon 860 from Kontron instruments (Eching, Germany). Microscopes: Zeiss Photomicroscope Axiophot (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), Leitz Laborlux (Leitz, Bad Bensheim, Germany), Confocal Leica TCS NT laser scanning microscope. PCR thermocycler devices PTC-100 from MJ Research Inc. (MA, USA), and RoboCycler gradient 40 from Stratagene (Heidelberg, Germany). Radiography developing machine Gevatmatis 60 from AGFA Gevaert (Hannover, Germany). DNA Sequencer 373A from Applied Biosystems (Weiterstadt, Germany). Transilluminators 302 and 366nm from Bachofer (Reutlingen, Germany). Sonicator: sonifier-B15 and 250 from Branson ultrasonics (Schwäbisch Gmünd, Germany).
3 METHODS


3.1 Bacteria and yeast culture techniques

Bacterial strains were grown in the described media (see 2.1.2) at 37°C or at lower temperatures. For short-time storage, bacteria plates were sealed with Parafilm and stored at 4°C. For long time storage, 0.9 ml of overnight cultures were mixed with an equal volume of 50% sterile glycerol (50% glycerol / 50% LB medium), rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and then kept at −80°C.

Yeast strains were grown in the described media (see 2.1.3), usually at 30°C, or, when required, at different temperatures ranging from 15°C to 37°C. For short time storage, streaked yeast plates were sealed with Parafilm and stored at 4°C. For long time storage, 0.9 ml of overnight cultures were mixed with equal volume of 60% sterile glycerol (60% glycerol 50% SD medium), rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80 °C.

3.2 DNA preparation, manipulation, amplification and analysis

3.2.1 Bacterial plasmid DNA preparation

Small-, medium- and large-scale plasmid extractions were performed using Plasmid mini-, midi- and maxi-prep from QIAGEN according to the manufacturer's recommendations.
3.2.2 Yeast genomic and plasmid DNA preparation

10 ml of overnight cultures were centrifuged to collect the cells. The cell pellets were washed with distilled water and resuspended in 200 μl of “braking buffer”. To this mixture 200 μl of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and 0.3 g of acid-washed glass beads were added. Cells were broken by vortexing for 5 min. After vortexing, 200 μl of TE buffer were added to each tube and subsequently the tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 rpm. The aqueous phase was collected in new test tubes and 2-5 μl used directly for transformation of *E. coli* when plasmid DNA was isolated. In the case of genomic DNA isolation, the DNA and the RNA present in the aqueous phase was precipitated with 1 ml 96% ethanol. The DNA/RNA pellet, obtained after 5 min centrifugation at 14,000 rpm, was dissolved in 400 μl of TE buffer containing 0.1 mg/ml RNase A and incubated for 5 min at 37 °C. Finally, the genomic DNA was precipitated adding 10 μl of 4 M Ammonium acetate and 1 ml of 96% Ethanol. The DNA pellet was dissolved in 50-70 μl 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breaking buffer</th>
<th>2% Triton X-100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mM NaCl</td>
<td>10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mM EDTA pH 8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.3 Spectrophotometric estimation of DNA purity and quantitation

As described in “Molecular Cloning” (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989), it is possible to quantify nucleic acids and to evaluate their purity by spectrophotometric analysis. DNA and RNA absorb light of 260 nm wavelength, proteins (aromatic amino acids) absorb light of 260 nm wavelength too, but absorption is much stronger at 280 nm.

The ratio $A_{260}/A_{280}$ gives an estimation of DNA purity. For pure DNA, $A_{260}/A_{280}$ ratio is about 1.8.

**Spectrophotometric conversion:**

$1A_{260}$ of double-stranded DNA = 50 μg/ml

$1A_{260}$ of single-stranded DNA = 33 μg/ml

$1A_{260}$ of single-stranded RNA = 40 μg/ml

3.2.4 Enzymatic treatment of DNA

Restriction enzyme digestion was carried out according to standard procedures (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). Depending on the enzymes used and their cutting sites,
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sticky-ended (5'- or 3'-protruding single strand DNA) or blunt-ended DNA fragments can be generated. Restricted DNA fragments were purified either by gel electrophoresis and extraction using a QIAGEN gel extraction kit, or by using a QIAGEN PCR and nucleotides purification kit.

DNA fragments with compatible cohesive ends can be ligated using T4 DNA ligase which catalyses the ATP-dependent ligation of blunt or complementary sticky ends of DNA. Sticky-end ligations were carried out at room temperature for 2-4 h using a 1:1 - 1:5 vector:insert molar ratio. Blunt-ended ligations were carried out at 14°C overnight, with a 1:5 molar ratio of vector:insert. A typical 20 µl reaction mixture contain: 50-100 ng insert DNA, 10-50 ng vector DNA, 1x ligase buffer, 0.5-1 mM ATP, 1U T4 DNA ligase, water.

3.2.5 E. coli transformation

E. coli cells were transformed either by heat shock or by electroporation. The two methods differ in the efficiency, in the first the efficiency can be 10^6 - 10^8 transformants per µg of DNA, in the second 10^7 - 10^9 transformants per µg of DNA.

a) Preparation of competent cells and transformation by heat shock

To render the cells competent, the method of Hanahan (Hanahan et al., 1991) was employed. Upon reaching an OD_{600} of 0.5-0.9, a 50 ml culture was harvested by centrifugation (10 min at 4,000g, 4°C). Cells were resuspended in 20 ml of cold RF1 buffer and left on ice for 15 min, then the cells were centrifuged again and resuspended in 4 ml RF2 buffer. 70 µl aliquots were taken, rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RF1</th>
<th>100 mM</th>
<th>RbCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>MnCl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 mM</td>
<td>KOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>CaCl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15% (v/v)</td>
<td>Glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pH adjusted to 5.8 with 0.2 M acetic acid; sterilized by filtration through 0.2µm filters)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RF2</th>
<th>10 mM</th>
<th>MOPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>RbCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 mM</td>
<td>CaCl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15% (v/v)</td>
<td>Glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pH adjusted to 6.8 with NaOH; sterilized by filtration through 0.2µm filters)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Methods**

**Transformation:** 1-10 ng of plasmid DNA or 10 µl ligation mixture were added to 70 µl competent cells (thawed on ice). Cells were incubated for 40 min on ice, then heat-shocked for 90 sec at 42°C. Thereafter, 1 ml SOC medium was added and the samples were incubated with agitation at 37°C for 45 min. Finally, cells were plated onto LB-agar plates containing the appropriate antibiotic and incubated overnight at 37°C.

**b) Preparation of competent cells and transformation by electroporation**

10 ml of overnight culture of *E. coli* were used to inoculate 1l of fresh LB medium. The culture was grown at 37 with agitation until an OD$_{600}$ of 0.5-0.9 was achieved. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (10 min at 4,000g, 4°C). The cell pellet was washed 2 times with 1 volume sterile cold water and 1 time with 20 ml sterile cold 10% glycerol. Finally, cells were resuspended in 2 ml sterile cold 10% glycerol, dispensed in 40 µl aliquots and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The frozen cells were stored at –80°C.

Transformation: 40 µl electro-competent cells were thawed on ice and transferred to a chilled 0.2 cm electroporation cuvette. 1-2 µl of ligation mixture was added and the sample was kept on ice for 1 min. Thereafter, the cuvette was transferred to a Gene Pulser electroporation chamber and pulsed once with 25 µF, 2500 V, 200 Ohms. 1 ml SOC medium was added immediately after the pulse and the sample transferred to a 2 ml Eppendorf tube and incubated with agitation at 37°C for 45 min. Cells were then plated onto LB-agar plates containing the appropriate antibiotic and incubated overnight at 37°C.

**3.2.6 PCR amplification of DNA**

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a very useful technique that allows to produce high yields of specific DNA target sequences (Saiki *et al.*, 1988). PCR was used in this work:

- to isolate specific genes from yeast genomic DNA.
- to create appropriate restriction sites at the termini of DNA fragments to be cloned into different vectors.
- to check whether a specific DNA fragment was correctly cloned into a vector or correctly inserted into the genome.
- to check whether a gene was correctly deleted.
- to amplify specific “cassettes” for gene disruption or gene tagging (see 3.3.2 and 3.3.3).
- for *in vitro* mutagenesis (see 3.2.7)
Most PCR protocols are performed at the 25 µl -100 µl scale, larger volumes are not recommended.

A typical 50 µl reaction mixture consist of:

- 1-10 ng plasmid DNA or 50-100 ng genomic DNA
- 20 pmol forward primer
- 20 pmol reverse primer
- 1x nucleotide mix (200 µM of each dNTP)
- 1x PCR buffer with MgCl₂ (10 mM Tris-HCl pH8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂)
- 1 U DNA polymerase (Taq or a mixture Taq/Deep-Vent 5/1)
- dH₂O

The reaction is incubated in a thermocycler device where the temperature can be changed rapidly. Usually there is a preheating step of 3 min at 93°C during which the template DNA is denatured. This is followed by 30-32 cycles of:

- denaturing 30-60 sec at 92°C
- annealing 30-60 sec at 45-60°C
- elongation 30-120 sec at 72°C

The last cycle is followed by an extra elongation step of 5-10 min at 72°C.

The annealing temperature is dependent on the primers composition, on their Tm (melting temperature) and on their homology with the template. The primers may have modifications such as extensions at their 5’ ends or point mutations.

PCR can be done directly from bacteria colonies. Bacteria were taken with a toothpick from agar plates, dissolved in 60 µl PCR buffer 1x and boiled at 95°C for 5 min, after that 5 µl of the mixture were used as template for the PCR reaction.

PCR products were purified by using a QIAGEN PCR purification kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### 3.2.7 In vitro mutagenesis

The Quick Change site-directed mutagenesis kit from STRATAGENE was used to create point mutations in plasmid DNA containing cloned genes. The basic procedure starts with a supercoiled, dsDNA vector, with an insert of interest and two long oligonucleotide primers (30-45 bases) containing the desired mutation. The oligonucleotide primers, each complementary to opposite strands of the vector, are extended during temperature cycling by Pfu-Turbo DNA polymerase. Incorporation of the oligonucleotide primers generates a mutated plasmid. After temperature cycling, the product is treated with DpnI. The DpnI is used to digest the methylated non mutated
parental DNA template since DpnI is specific for methylated and hemimethylated DNA. The nicked vector DNA incorporating the desired mutations is then transformed into E. coli.

### 3.2.8 DNA-Sequencing

DNA fragments were sequenced using the thermo Sequenase dye terminator cycle sequencing kit from Amersham on an ABI373A sequencing device according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Automated sequencing was performed by H. P. Geithe in this department.

### 3.2.9 DNA-DNA hybridization (Southern blotting)

2-4 µg of genomic DNA (see 3.2.2) were digested overnight with the appropriate restriction enzyme, run on an agarose gel, and then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane as described in (Sambrook et al., 1989, Southern, 1992 #26; Southern, 1975). Specific DNA fragments obtained by PCR or by plasmid digestion or synthetic oligonucleotides, were used as probe. The probes were labeled with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) using the ECL direct nucleic acid labeling system or the 3'-oligo-labeling and detection system (Amersham) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

### 3.3 Yeast genetics and yeast cell biology methods

#### 3.3.1 S. cerevisiae transformation

Transformation of yeast was carried out using a modified lithium acetate method (Schiestl and Gietz, 1989). A 50 ml yeast culture was harvested by centrifugation (4 min at 500g, RT) upon reaching an OD$_{600}$ of 0.8-1.0. The cells were washed once with 20 ml sterile distilled water and once with 2 ml filter-sterilized LiOAc/TE/Sorbitol (100 mM LiOAc, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA pH 7.5, 1M Sorbitol), transferred to 2 ml tubes, harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 0.5 ml LiOAc/TE/Sorbitol, and incubated at 30°C for 10 min. 50 µl cells mixture were transferred to a new tube and to them were added: 300 µl of filter-sterilized 40% PEG-3350 (40% w/v PEG-3350, 100 mM LiOAc, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA), 25µl 2 mg/ml denatured salmon sperm DNA (this can be omitted, but the efficiency of transformation will be lower) and 1-5 µg PCR product or 0.1-1 µg plasmid DNA. The samples were mixed by vortexing and incubated at 30°C for 30 min, then heat-
Methods

shocked at 42°C for 20 min. Finally, cells were collected by centrifugation, washed with 1 ml YEPG medium, resuspended in 2-3 ml YEPG medium (no vortexing) and incubated for 2-3 h at 30°C (this incubation time can be avoid when cells are not selected on geneticin). After that cells were plated onto YEPG plates or SD plates containing the appropriate selecting additives and incubated at 30 °C (or at the required temperature) until colonies appeared.

3.3.2 Yeast PCR-mediated gene knock-out

A PCR-based gene deletion method as described in (Güldener et al., 1996) was used. The method relies on the amplification by PCR (see also 3.3.3) of the selectable module loxP-kanMX-loxP from the pUG6 vector, using two primers with tail sequences homologous to the yeast genomic sequences flanking the ORF to be deleted (the PCR product is ~1600 bp long), followed by transformation and homologous recombination into the yeast genome (see Fig. 3.1). The minimum amount of homology sequence required for homologous integration in S. cerevisiae’s genome is 30 bp on each side of a genomic locus (Manivasakam et al., 1995). Transformants were selected on YEPG plates containing 200 µg/ml G418). The loxP sites flanking kanMX allow the excision of the cassette (1507 bp) upon the expression of the Cre-recombinase (Güldener et al., 1996; Sauer, 1987) (see Fig. 3.1.B). In this way it is possible to delete different genes using the same system repeatedly. For Cre-mediated marker rescue the cells were transformed with the pSH47 plasmid containing the cre gene under the control of the yeast GAL1 promoter. Expression of the Cre-recombinase was induced by incubating the transformants for 2-4 hours at 30°C in YEPGal medium (1 colony in 2 ml medium). The loss of the kanr marker was verified by replica plating onto YEPG/G418 selective medium. pSH47 plasmid can be removed by streaking the cells on plates containing 5-fluoroorotic acid.

With this method the strains ADY20-ADY28 and ADY40-ADY48 were created, in which the genes GYP1, GYP5, GYP7 and GYP8 were deleted either alone or in combinations (see Appendix, Table 7.2). The primers used are listed in Table 7.7. The correct deletion of these genes was verified by PCR (using primers that anneal with sequences outside the deleted genes in combination with primers that anneal with sequences inside the kanMX cassette) and by Southern blotting.
Methods

3.3.3 Yeast PCR mediated epitope tagging

An epitope (also called antigenic determinant) is any structure or sequence that is recognized by an antibody. Epitope tagging is the addition of a short peptide to a
target protein. This technique has provided the means for the characterization and purification of proteins without the need of specific antibodies.

A new method for PCR-mediated C-terminal epitope tagging, that allows the tagging of chromosomal genes with sequences expressing the MYC, HA or VSV epitopes, was created during this work (De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000). Three new plasmids named pU6H2MYC, pU6H3HA and pU6H3VSV (pU-tag vectors) were created (see Results, Section 4.3). These plasmids contain the new modules 6His-2MYC-loxP-kanMX-loxP, 6His-3HA-loxP-kanMX-loxP or 6His-3VSV-loxP-kanMX-loxP that allow tagging of different genes by using the kan\textsuperscript{r} marker repeatedly (see Fig. 3.2).

The method relays on the amplification by PCR of the tag-loxP-kanMX-loxP cassettes using the tag-forward and tag-reverse primers. tag-forward = 5'-… … TCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAT CAC-3', is a chimeric primer composed of 42-45 nucleotides derived from the 3'-end of the gene of interest (excluding the stop codon, and in frame with the epitope-encoding sequence), plus the 21 nucleotides shown, annealing to the 5'-end of the cassette; tag-reverse = 5'-… … ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3', is composed of 42-45 nucleotides derived from the sequence downstream of the gene of interest (starting at either the stop codon or 50-100 nucleotides after the stop codon) plus the 22 nucleotides shown, annealing to a sequence downstream of the cassette (see Fig. 3.2). The length of the amplified cassettes is 1711 bp from pU6H2MYC, 1735 bp from pU6H3HA, and 1753 bp from pU6H3VSV. The primers used in this study are listed in Table 7.7. A 100 µl preparative PCR contains: 5-10 ng template (pU6H2MYC, pU6H3HA or pU6H3VSV), 30 pmol of each primer (tag-forward and tag-reverse), 200 µM of each dNTP, 1.5 U AmpliTaq (Perkin Elmer), 0.4U Deep Vent DNA polymerase (NEB) and 10 µl 10X PCR buffer (Perkin Elmer, containing 15 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}). PCR conditions were: denaturation at 93°C for 3 min, followed by 32 cycles (93°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1.5 min) and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were purified with QIAquick PCR columns and 1-5 µg used to transform yeast cells. Transformants were plated onto YEPG plates containing 200 µg/ml G418. Plates were incubated at 30°C until colonies appeared. Well grown colonies were re-streaked onto YEPG/G418 plates.

It is possible to excise the Kan\textsuperscript{r} marker, by inducing the Cre-recombinase (see 3.3.2) and subsequently to tag other genes.

With this method the strains ADY1-ADY16 were created, that express different tagged proteins such as Sec24p-MYC, Sec24p-HA, Sec24p-VSV, Sec23p-MYC,
Sfb2p-MYC, Sfb2p-HA, Sfb2p-VSV, Sfb3p-MYC, Sfb3p-HA, Sfb3p-VSV, Gyp5p-MYC, Gyp5p-HA and Gyp5p-VSV.

**Fig. 3.2** Schematic representation of PCR-mediated epitope tagging. The tag-loxP-kanMX-loxP cassettes are amplified by PCR using pU6H2MYC, pU6H3HA and pU6H3VSV as templates and chimeric primers (for more details see in the text). The PCR products are then used to transform yeast cells and the tag-cassette will be integrated in frame with the desired ORF. Finally, the kan’ selection marker (1507 bp DNA fragment) is excised from the genome by action of the Cre-recombinase so that another protein can be tagged.
3.3.4 Growth analysis

To analyze growth defects of different mutant strains at different temperatures, overnight cultures were diluted in fresh medium to reach an optical density OD$_{600}$ ~ 0.01, subsequently serial 10-fold dilutions were done. 5 µl cells from each dilution were spotted onto a plate and put to grow at the appropriate temperature.

3.3.5 Invertase assay

Invertase catalyze the hydrolysis of sucrose in glucose and fructose. Invertase secretion is induced by low glucose concentration in the medium. Secreted invertase migrates in non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels as heterogeneous species with an apparent molecular mass of 100-140 kDa. The glycosylated ER-form has an apparent molecular mass of 80-86 kDa.

Cells of different strains were grown in YEPG medium at 30°C to mid-log phase and 10 OD units of cells were collected by centrifugation at 4.000 g for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were washed with YEPG 0.1% (YEPG medium containing 0.1% glucose) resuspended in 10 ml of YEPG 0.1% and incubated at the desired temperature for 1-6 hours (1h at 37°C or 30°C, 2h at 25 °C, 4h at 20 °C, 6h at 15 °C) (Esmon et al., 1981). After induction, cells were collected, washed in 10 mM NaN$_3$ and resuspended in 100 µl lyticase buffer. Spheroplasts were formed by incubating the cells at 30 °C for 1 hour with 200 units Lyticase (Sigma). Subsequently, to isolate periplasmic and intracellular invertase, the spheroplasts were fractionated as described by (Schauer et al., 1985). Spheroplasts were gently centrifuged at 1.000g for 5 min at RT and the supernatant containing the periplasmic invertase was transferred to a new tube. The pellet, containing the intracellular invertase, was gently washed with 1M sorbitol, resuspended in 100 µl lysis buffer and vortexed for 5 min at 4°C, finally the samples were centrifuged to eliminate cell debris, and the supernatant (containing the intracellular invertase) was transferred to a new tube. 20 µl of each sample were loaded onto a non denaturing 7% polyacrylamide gel. Staining of active invertase was performed as described by (Grossmann and Zimmermann, 1979). The gel was soaked in 200 ml sucrose buffer for one hour at 37°C, washed with water and soaked in 150 ml staining buffer over a boiling bath till a red staining appeared. The reaction was blocked washing the gel with cold water and 10% acetic acid.
### Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Lyticase buffer</strong></th>
<th>1.4 M Sorbitol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM NaN$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80 mM β-mercaptoethanol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Lysis buffer</strong></th>
<th>50 mM K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 7.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM NaN$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1% Triton X-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Sucrose buffer</strong></th>
<th>0.1 M Sucrose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 M NaOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(pH 4.5-5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Staining buffer (150 ml)</strong></th>
<th>300 mg Triphenyltetrazoliumchloride</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 g NaOH (dissolve separately then mix)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>7% Polyacrylamide gel</strong></th>
<th>5 ml 0.6M Tris-HCl pH 8.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(non denaturing)</td>
<td>8.7 ml 30% Acrylamide stock solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26 ml H$_2$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 ml 10% APS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 µl TEMED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Running buffer (1 l)</strong></th>
<th>5.19 g Tris-HCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 g Glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(pH 9.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.3.6 Pulse-chase

Overnight cultures of the appropriate strains were used to inoculate fresh SMM medium and grown at the required temperature to reach an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6-1.2. Six OD units of cells were harvested, resuspended in 500 µl SMM medium containing 1 mg/ml ovalbumin and incubated at the appropriate temperature for 30-60 min. After that cells were pulsed for 10 min with 250 µCi Trans[$^{35}$S]-label mix (a mix of [$^{35}$S]-cysteine and [$^{35}$S]-methionine) followed by a chase of 30 minutes with methionine and cysteine (1.5 mg/ml each). The incubation time for both pulse and chase was longer if the temperature was lower than 30 °C. To stop the reaction the samples were placed on ice and NaN$_3$, PMSF and Pefablock were added (final concentration was: 10 mM NaN$_3$, 1 mM PMSF, 2 mM Pefablock). The labeled samples were fractionated into intracellular and extracellular fractions by centrifugation (1.000g for 5 min). 250 µl medium (the extracellular fraction) was collected in new tubes and 10 µl 10% SDS were added, the pellet (intracellular fraction) was washed once with PBS containing 10 mM NaN$_3$ and resuspended in 100 µl 1% SDS, both fractions were frozen in liquid nitrogen (they can...
be stored several days at -80°C). The pellet samples were subsequently lysed by vortexing for 5 min in the presence of 0.1 g glass beads, boiled for 5 min and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant (~100 µl) containing the intracellular fraction was transferred to new tubes and 500 µl 2x IP buffer plus 400 µl water were added. The 250 µl extracellular fractions were treated in a similar way. They were boiled for 5 min, centrifuged at maximum speed for 5 min and the supernatants transferred to new tubes containing 500 µl 2x IP buffer plus 250 µl water. The samples so treated were ready for the immunoprecipitation with anti-carboxypeptidase Y (CPY), anti-Gas1p, or anti alkaline phosphatase (ALP). 5-10 µl antiserum and 5 mg protein-A sepharose CL-4B were added to each sample. The samples were incubated overnight at 4°C (end-over-end rotation). After that the sepharose "beads" were sequentially washed with 1 ml ice-cold washing buffer-1, washing buffer-2, and washing buffer-3. The "beads" were resuspended in 50 µl Laemmli buffer and boiled for 5 min at 95°C. The immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on 10% Acrylamide gels (see3.4.1.a). After electrophoresis the gels were fixated for 20 min in a [10% acetic acid / 25% methanol] solution, treated with Amplify (Amersham) according to the manufacturer's instruction, dried and exposed to Kodak X-Omat AR films at -80°C for 2-10 days.

CPY is a soluble vacuolar hydrolase. It leaves the ER as a core-glycosylated precursor protein of 67 kDa, is further glycosylated in the Golgi apparatus (69 kDa), and finally reaches the vacuole, where after a short proteolytic truncation, it becomes active. This mature form has a molecular mass of 61 kDa. Gas1p is a 125 kDa glycolipid-anchored plasma membrane protein. The ER glycosylphosphatidylinositol-containing precursor has a molecular mass of 105 kDa and, upon arrival in the Golgi, is further glycosylated, reaching a molecular mass of 125 kDa. ALP is a vacuolar type II transmembrane enzyme. The ER/Golgi glycosylated 76 kDa precursor protein become active by proteolytic truncation after arrival to the vacuole. The mature form of ALP has a molecular mass of 72 kDa.

**SMM**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>KH$_2$PO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>NH$_4$Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>CaCl$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>MgCl$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amino acids (according to the auxotrophy requirement)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2x IP buffer</th>
<th>100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 mM</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 mg/ml</td>
<td>Ovalbumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 mM</td>
<td>Pefabloc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 tablet/5ml protease inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Washing buff.-1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1x IP buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2M Urea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Washing buff.-2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1x IP buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500 mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Washing buff.-3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>150 mM NaCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4 Biochemical methods

#### 3.4.1 Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)

The principle of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis is the separation of a large range of proteins of varying molecular masses under the influence of an electrical field by means of a continuous, cross-linked polymer matrix. Here, the polymer is polyacrylamide and the cross-linking agent bis-acrylamide. Cross-linking is effected through a radical-induced pathway by the addition of APS and TEMED (Ogden and Adams, 1987). In polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, proteins migrate in response to an electric field through pores in the gel matrix. The pore size decreases with higher acrylamide concentration. The combination of gel pore size and protein charge, size and shape determines the migration rate of the proteins (Coligan *et al.*, 1997; Sambrook *et al.*, 1989).

**a) Denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)**

One dimensional gel electrophoresis under denaturing conditions (in presence of 0.1% SDS) separates proteins on the base of their molecular size. The mobility of the proteins is inversely proportional to the logarithm of their molecular mass. SDS is employed to effect denaturation of the proteins, to dissociate protein complexes and to impart upon the polypeptide chains net negative charge densities proportional to
the length of the molecule. A reducing agent such as DTT or 2-ME is used to reduce any existing disulphide bond. The method used is that described by Laemmli (Laemmli, 1970). Two gels are employed: a "stacking gel" with a low level of cross-linkage and low pH, allowing proteins to enter the gel and collect without smearing, and a "resolving gel" with a higher pH, in which the proteins are separated. For an 8x10x0.1 cm gel the following volumes were used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5% Stacking gel (5 ml)</th>
<th>3.4 ml</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.83 ml</td>
<td>30% Acrylamide stock solution (see 2.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.63 ml</td>
<td>1M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µl</td>
<td>10% SDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µl</td>
<td>10% APS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µl</td>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolving gel (10 ml)</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>12%</th>
<th>15%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 ml</td>
<td>3.3 ml</td>
<td>2.3 ml</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 ml</td>
<td>4 ml</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>30% Acrylamide stock sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>1.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>10% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>10% APS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 µl</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
<td>4 µl</td>
<td>TEMED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Laemmli loading buffer and electrophoresis buffer, see Materials 2.2.

b) Non-denaturing PAGE

The basis of separation in this case is as described above, with the exception that proteins now separate with an apparent molecular mass based on the overall size and shape of the molecule. SDS is not added to such gels. Electrophoresis is performed at lower voltages and temperatures to reduce the risk of heat-denaturation of the proteins. In this study non-denaturing gel PAGE was used for analyzing samples after invertase assay (see 3.3.5).

3.4.2 Preparative gel electrophoresis and electro-elution

To obtain up to milligrams amounts of proteins from an impure mixture, preparative gel electrophoresis was employed. The principle is the same as above (3.4.1), here however, a gel of larger dimensions is poured, and a comb with a single slot is used that allows the application of larger amounts of sample. The band of interest is excised from the gel after standard Coomassie staining (3.4.3.a) and eluted using an electro-elution chamber.
3.4.3 Staining of proteins in polyacrylamide gels

a) Coomassie brilliant blue staining

Gels were soaked in fixating solution with gentle shaking for 10 min, stained in staining solution for 1 hour or for longer time at room temperature. The background was subsequently reduced by soaking the gel in 10% acetic acid solution in which the gels can be kept for several days. After that gels can be dried.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixating solution</th>
<th>25% (v/v) Isopropanol</th>
<th>10% (v/v) Glacial acetic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staining solution</td>
<td>10% (v/v) Glacial acetic acid</td>
<td>60 mg/l Coomassie brilliant blue R250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Silver staining

Gels were first fixated in fixating solution for 1 hour at room temperature, then soaked for 30 min in incubation solution and washed 3x10 min in distilled water. After that the gels were placed in binding solution for 20 min. Finally the gels were washed with water and put into developing solution till brown band appeared. The staining reaction was stopped by washing with 50 mM EDTA and subsequently the gels were soaked in 10% acetic acid. All the solutions should be freshly prepared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fixating solution</th>
<th>50% Ethanol</th>
<th>10% Acetic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incubation solution</td>
<td>30% Ethanol</td>
<td>0.83 M NaOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 mM Na₂S₂O₃ (sodium thiosulfate)</td>
<td>0.25% Glutaraldehyde (to be added just before use)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binding solution</td>
<td>6 mM AgNO₃ (silver nitrate)</td>
<td>0.02% Formaldehde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing solution</td>
<td>0.25 M Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>0.01% Formaldehde</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.4 Western blotting and immunological detection of proteins on nitrocellulose filters

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and electrophoretically transferred from the polyacrylamide gels to nitrocellulose membranes as described by (Burnette, 1981). The transfer was carried out at 100 mA constant current for 1 hour or at 30 mA overnight.
After the transfer onto nitrocellulose the proteins were stained with Ponceau S solution. Then the membranes were washed briefly with washing buffer-A, treated with blocking solution for 1 h at RT and incubated with the primary antibody in blocking solution for 1 h at RT. After 2x 5 min washes in buffer-A, 2x 5 min in buffer-B and once again for 5 min in buffer-A, the membrane was incubated for 1 h at RT with the horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody (1:10.000 dilution). Finally, the membranes were washed as described above. Detection by chemiluminescence was performed using the ECL detection system as recommended by the manufacturer.

### Protein quantitation

Protein concentrations were estimated according to the method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976). The method is based on the observation that the absorbance for the protein-specific dye, Coomassie brilliant blue G-250 shift from 465 nm to 595 when binding to protein occurs. Therefore, the \( A_{595} \) yields a good linear concentration dependence for most soluble proteins. 800 \( \mu l \) of a proteins solution of unknown concentration was mixed with 200 \( \mu l \) of the dye solution (BioRad) and the measured \( A_{595} \) was plotted against a reference curve obtained with known concentrations of BSA.

### Concentrating proteins

Protein solutions were concentrated using Centricon spin columns (Amicon), or membra-spin PES columns (membraPure) as recommended by the manufacturer.
3.4.7 Protein extraction

For a more detailed description about extraction conditions, see (Janson and Ryden, 1989).

a) Proteins extraction from bacteria

Bacteria were harvested by centrifugation, suspended in cold lysis buffer (2-5 volumes per gram of wet weight) and sonicated 3 times on ice (1 min bursts/1 min cooling/200-300 Watt). The lysis buffer composition depended on the subsequent use of the protein extracts (see 3.4.9). After sonication the cell debris was separated from the solubilized proteins by centrifugation (2x10 min at 5.000 g).

Alternatively, total protein extracts for SDS-PAGE and western blotting were obtained easily by resuspending the pellet from 1 OD₆₀₀ cells with 100 µl Mg²⁺/SDS buffer (Tris-HCl, pH 6.8 / 0.1 M MgCl₂ / 4% SDS / 10% glycerol/ 5% 2-ME / 0.01% bromophenol blue) and subsequently centrifuging for 2 min at 14000 rpm to remove the precipitate (Chen and Christen, 1997).

b) Protein extraction from yeast

Total protein extracts from yeast can be obtained using several methods that differ mainly in the way the cells are broken. Those used during this work are described below.

• Cell disruption by alkaline lysis

It is a fast method suitable to obtain denatured proteins for SDS-PAGE and western-blotting analysis.

One OD₆₀₀ unit of cells (~10⁷ cells) was centrifuged (2 min at 10.000 rpm), and the cell pellet washed with cold water, resuspended in 180 µl lysis buffer (2M NaOH / 0.5% β-mercaptoethanol) and incubated on ice for 5 min. Proteins were precipitated adding 20 µl 100% TCA. After incubation on ice for 5 min, proteins were pelleted by centrifugation (10 min at 14.000 rpm). The pellet was then washed with acetone, left to air dry thoroughly and resuspended in 100 µl Laemmli loading buffer. After being boiled for 5 min proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE.

• Cell disruption by sonication

It is another fast method to obtain protein extracts for western blot analysis. Five OD₆₀₀ units of cells were centrifuged, washed with cold water, resuspended in 100 µl of lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.2 / 150 mM KOAc / 1 mM MgOAc / 0.9% CHAPS / 2 mM...
Pefabloc) and sonicated for 5 sec. To each sample were added 100 µl of 2X Laemmli loading buffer. After being boiled for 5 min proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE.

- **Cell disruption by glass beads**
  
  This procedure is suitable for small-scale protein preparation. It is very flexible because it can be carried out easily on multiple small cell cultures, but the proteins can be subjected to proteolysis due to the harsh treatment and heat developed during the mechanical breakage. These protein extracts are suitable for different applications such as cell fractionation (see 3.4.10), immunoprecipitation (see 3.4.13) and gel filtration (see 3.4.11).

  Five ml of a mid-log phase culture was centrifuged, the cell pellet washed with cold water was and resuspended with 200 µl cold lysis buffer. The lysis buffer composition was different depending on the subsequent use of the protein extract. A typical glass beads lysis buffer is composed of: 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 / 2 mM MgCl 2 / 1 mM DTT / 1 mM EGTA / 2 mM Pefabloc / protease inhibitors. To each sample was added the same volume of chilled acid-washed glass beads (0.45-0.55 mm) and the tubes were vortexed 3 times for 30-60 sec (maximum speed, at 4°C), with a pause on ice of 2 min each time. Finally the tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at 500g. The supernatant containing the total protein extract was ready to be further processed.

- **Cell disruption by liquid nitrogen**
  
  This method is designed for the processing of 50-500 ml of liquid culture. The proteins obtained in this way are suitable for many applications. This method was mainly used in this work for cell fractionation (3.4.10).

  The pellet from a 50-500 ml-culture was transferred to a mortar containing liquid nitrogen and smashed until a fine powder was obtained. The powder was dissolved in the desired buffer and centrifuged 2 times at 500g for 5 min. The supernatant containing the total protein extract was ready to be further processed.

- **Cell disruption by high-pressure homogenization (by french-press)**
  
  This is a method designed for processing big amounts of cells (> 1l liquid culture). It was mainly used in this work to get big amounts of proteins to be purified by affinity chromatography (3.4.9).

  A 5 ml cell pellet was dissolved in 40 ml ice cold lysis buffer and the cell solution was subjected for 3 times (with a pause on ice of 5 min each time) to 1380 bars pressure produced by the "French press". After centrifuging twice at 500g for 5 min, the total protein extract was ready to be further processed.
• **Cell disruption by spheroplast formation and lysis**

This is the most gentle way to break yeast cells, but also the most time consuming. Cells are converted to spheroplasts by zymolyase treatment, and then lysed by osmotic shock. This method was used in this work to obtain cell extracts suitable for sucrose gradients (see 3.4.10.b).

c) **Protein extraction from mammalian cells**

During this work protein extracts from CV1 and Hela cells were used for western-blotting analysis. Cells were first washed twice with cold PBS, scraped off the Petri dishes in PBS buffer with the aid of a rubber "policeman", then briefly sonicated on ice and resuspended in Laemmli loading buffer.

### 3.4.8 Expression of proteins and recombinant proteins

Genes can be cloned into expression vectors, and expressed in the appropriate cell systems. An expression vector is a vector that contains the necessary regulatory sequences for gene expression. Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic expression vectors exist, many of them are shuttle vectors (cloning vectors that can replicate in two or more dissimilar hosts). Many factors (number of copies of the gene per cell, promoter strength and regulation, translation initiation, codon usage and protein stability) can influence the level of expression of a gene. In addition a suitable host must be used in which the expression vector is most effective. Often it is advantageous to express proteins as a fusion product with a protein or an epitope. Some of the sequence "tags" can facilitate detection and purification of the target proteins, others increase the probability of biological activity by affecting the solubility in the cytoplasm or the export into the periplasm.

a) **Fusion tags**

Here is a list of the fusion sequence tags (proteins or epitopes) used during this work.

- **c-myc** (MYC) is a 10 aa peptide from the human c-myc protein (sequence: EQKLISEEDL, aa 410-419). It is recognized by the rabbit polyclonal or by the mouse monoclonal anti-c-myc antibody (clone 9E10 (Evan et al., 1985)). PCR-mediated epitope tagging (see 3.3.3) is one of the methods used for tagging yeast proteins with this epitope.

- **HA** is a 9 aa peptide from the human influenza virus hemagglutinin protein (sequence: YPYDVPDYA, aa 98-106). It is recognized by the mouse monoclonal
Methods

anti-HA antibody (clone 12CA5 (Wilson et al., 1984). PCR-mediated epitope tagging (3.3.3) is one of the methods used for tagging yeast proteins with this epitope.

VSV-G (VSV) is a 11 aa peptide from the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (sequence: YTDIEMNRLGK, aa 501-511). It is recognized by the mouse monoclonal anti-VSV-G antibody (clone P5D4 (Kreis, 1986). PCR-mediated epitope tagging (3.3.3) is one of the methods used for tagging yeast proteins with this epitope.

6xHis and polyhistidine tags bind metal ligands. Proteins containing this tag can be purify by affinity chromatography on Ni-NTA matrices (see 3.4.9.a). This tag is present in the expression vectors pQE30, pQE50, pET30 and pET32. It can be also produced by PCR using primers containing the 6xhistidine coding sequence, or by PCR-mediated epitope tagging (3.3.3).

S-tag is a 15 aa peptide that binds with high affinity to the 104 aa S-protein (derived from pancreatic ribonuclease A). It is recommended for detection, quantitation and purification of target proteins. S-tag is present in the expression vectors pET30 and pET32.

Trx: thioredoxin (109 aa). It increases protein solubility. This tag is present in the expression vector pET32.

GST: glutathione S-transferase (220 aa). It is useful to purify proteins by affinity chromatography on glutathione sepharose matrix (see 3.4.9.b). This tag is present in the expression vectors pGEX-TT (for expression in bacteria) and pEG(KT) (for expression in yeast).

MBP: maltose binding protein (483 aa). It is useful to purify protein by affinity chromatography on amylose resin (see 3.4.9.c). This tag is present in the expression vectors pMAL-c2 and pMAL-p2.

b) Expression of proteins in bacteria

To be expressed in bacteria, genes were cloned into expression vector containing inducible promoters: pQE50, pQE30, pET12c, pET30a, pET32a, pGEX-TT, and pMAL-c2 (see Appendix Tables 7.4 and 7.6). After being established in a non-expression host, the plasmids were transferred into hosts more suitable for protein expression such as M15(pREP), Bl21, Bl21(DE3) or origami(DE3) (see Appendix Table. 7.1). The last two strains contain the T7 RNA polymerase gene (λDE3 lysogens) and have to be used when the target genes are under T7 promoter control since it is not recognized by E. coli
RNA polymerase. Protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG (IPTG was added when an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5-0.8 was reached) at 25-30°C for 4-6 hours.

c) Expression of proteins in Yeast

Yeast expression vectors used in this work are pEG(KT), pYX112, pYX143, pYX212, pYX243 (see Appendix Tables 7.5 and 7.6). They are shuttle vectors containing either the 2µ or CEN/ARS sequences and the strong TPI promoter or the inducible GAL1 promoter. Moreover, proteins can be expressed by genes carrying their natural yeast promoter cloned into multi-copy vectors such as pRS315, pRS316, pRS325, pRS326. To induce protein expression of genes under GAL promoter, yeast strains were first grown overnight in raffinose selection medium and then transferred to fresh pre-warmed YEPGal medium and induced for at least 8 hours.

3.4.9 Protein purification

Different chromatography techniques were used to purify the target proteins from total bacterial or yeast protein extracts (for more details see Janson and Ryden, 1989).

a) 6xHis-fusion protein purification

6xHis-fusion proteins can be purified on Ni-NTA metal affinity chromatography matrices (Janknecht et al., 1991). Purification can be performed under native or denaturing conditions.

Purification under native conditions: A 5 ml cell pellet from 1 l IPTG-induced bacteria were dissolved in 20 ml lysis buffer and sonicated 3x1 min. Cell debris was eliminated by centrifugation for 10 min at 2.000g (4°C), then the supernatant was further centrifuged at 10.000g for 30 min (4°C). The 10.000g supernatant was transferred to a new tube containing 0.5-1 ml of a 50% slurry of Ni-NTA resin (pre-washed with lysis buffer), and incubated at 4°C for 1-2 h with end-over-end rotation. After that the resin was loaded onto a column and washed with 200 ml buffer-1, 200 ml buffer-2, and 200 ml buffer-3. Finally, the protein was eluted with elution buffer.

When the 6xHis-fusion proteins were produced in yeast, the same procedure was used with the only difference that cells were lysed by French press (see 3.4.7.b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysis buffer</th>
<th>20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5-8.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500 mM NaCl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mM CHAPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proteinase inhibitors EDTA free (cocktail tablets, Roche)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Buffer-1  20 mM Tris-HCl
500 mM NaCl
20 mM Imidazole
(pH 7.5)

Buffer-2  20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5
300 mM NaCl
20 mM Imidazole
(pH 7.5)

Buffer-3  50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$
300 mM NaCl
10 mM Imidazole
(pH 7.5)

Elution buffer  50 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$
300 mM NaCl
250 mM Imidazole
(pH 7.5)

Purification under denaturing conditions: The cell pellet from 100 ml culture was dissolved in 10 ml buffer-B and sonicated. The lysate was centrifuged for 30 min at 10,000g. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube containing 250-500 µl of a 50% slurry of Ni-NTA resin and incubated at 4°C for 1-2 h with end-over-end rotation. After that the resin was loaded onto a column and washed twice with 10 ml buffer-C. Finally the protein was eluted with Laemmli buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Buffer-B  100 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$
10 mM Tris-HCl
8M urea
(pH 8.0)

Buffer-C  100 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$
10 mM Tris-HCl
8M urea
(pH 6.3)

b) GST-fusion protein purification

GST-fusion proteins bind to a glutathione sepharose matrix with high affinity in almost any biological buffer. The solubility of fusion proteins is increased by the presence of detergents (1% Triton X100 or 0.5% CHAPS). GST-fusion proteins were purified according to standard protocols as described by (Smith and Johnson, 1988) (see also 3.4.12).
c) MBP-fusion protein purification

The vectors containing the maltose binding proteins were developed by the New England Biolabs company, the MBP-fusion proteins were purified as described in the manufacturer’s protocols manual. A complete description can be downloaded from http://www.neb.com/neb.tech/tech_resource/fusion/fusion_frame.html.

d) Anion exchange chromatography

By anion exchange chromatography biomolecules are separated on the basis of differences in charge characteristics. MonoQ (Pharmacia) is a strong anion exchanger with trimethyl-aminomethyl (-CH2N+(CH3)3) moieties as functional groups. Proteins were diluted with 3 volumes MonoQ buffer and loaded onto FPLC monoQ HR (high resolution) 10/10 columns or onto HiLoad 16/10 Q sepharose HP (high performance), at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The columns were washed extensively with monoQ buffer until the baseline was reached. Proteins bound to the column were eluted with a linear gradient of 0-0.4 or 0-0.6 M NaCl solution in MonoQ buffer and 1 ml fractions were collected.

MonoQ buffer 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0
2 mM MgCl2
1 mM EGTA
1 mM DTT
10 % glycerol

e) Superdex 200 HR and Sephacryl S-200 HR size exclusion gel filtration chromatography

In Superdex 200 (Pharmacia) the gel material is composed of covalently bound dextran to highly cross-linked porous agarose beads. Sephacryl S-200 (Pharmacia) is composed of allyl dextran and N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide. Large proteins are excluded from the porous cavity within the gel material and flow around the beads. Therefore, having a shorter distance to travel, they migrate faster through the column and elute before smaller proteins.

Protein samples were loaded onto Superdex 200 HR 16/60 or onto Sephacryl S-200 HR 16/60 columns, equilibrated with the desired buffer, at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min. 2 ml fractions were collected. This was the last purification step to obtain pure Gyp5p constructs and Ypt1p. As determined by SDS-PAGE the purity, typically achieved at this stage, was above 95%.
f) Ypt1p purification

The Ypt1p purification protocol is based on the method described in (Wagner et al., 1992). Two liters of liquid culture of BL21(DE3) containing pET12c-YPT1 were induced by 1 mM IPTG at 30 °C for 6 hours. After induction the cells were harvested by centrifugation, suspended in 40 ml (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton X100, 1 mM Pefabloc) and sonicated twice for 2 min on ice. The cell lysate was centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000g, and the supernatant was loaded onto a HiLoad 16/10 Q Sepharose HP column and subjected to anion exchange chromatography as described before with a 0-0.4 M NaCl linear gradient. Ypt1p eluted with 0.19 M NaCl and the purity was higher than 75%. The fractions containing Ypt1p were further purified by Superdex 200 HR gel filtration chromatography in the reaction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 / 10 mM MgCl₂ / 1 mM DTT / 0.2 mM GDP). Fractions containing Ypt1p were identified by spectrophotometric analysis at 280 nm and by SDS-PAGE and collected. These fractions were pooled, quantified by Bio-Rad protein assay (3.4.5), frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. About 8 mg of protein with >95% purity could be obtained.

g) Gyp5(400-892)-6His protein purification

One liter liquid culture of BL21(DE3) containing pET30-GYP5(400-892) was induced with 1 mM IPTG at 25 °C for 5 hours. The 6xHis fusion protein was first purified as described in 3.4.9.a (yielding ~6 mg protein with a purity of 75-80%), then subjected to anion exchange chromatography (MonoQ HR 10/10 column, 1ml/min flow rate, 0-0.6 M NaCl linear gradient; see 3.4.9.d) and finally to gel filtration chromatography (see 3.4.9.e) using a Sephacryl S-200 HR 16/60 column equilibrated with reaction buffer (0.1 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT). The fractions containing the purified proteins were pooled, quantified by Bio-Rad protein assay (3.4.5), frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. From a monoQ column ~3 mg of Gyp5(400-892)-6His protein eluted with 0.45 M NaCl with a purity higher than 85%; after gel filtration, ~2 mg of protein with >95% purity could be obtained.

3.4.10 Subcellular fractionation

a) Subcellular fractionation by differential centrifugation

The pellet from 250 ml culture was transferred to a mortar containing liquid nitrogen and smashed until a fine powder was obtained. The powder was dissolved in 5 ml of buffer-A and centrifuged for 15 min at 500g. The supernatant was divided into 4 tubes
(500 µl each), and to each tube were added 500 µl of buffers A, B, C, D, respectively.

After incubation on ice for 15 min, the samples were centrifuged at 4°C for 15 min at 10,000g, the pellet (p10) was resuspended in 2 ml 1xLaemmli buffer. 950 µl of the supernatant were transferred to new tubes and subjected to further centrifugation for 1 hour at 100,000g at 4°C, the pellet (p100) was resuspended in 1.9 ml of 1xLaemmli buffer and to 900 µl of the supernatant (S100) were added 900 µl 2xLaemmli buffer. All samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting.

**Buffer-A** 20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.2
100 mM KCl
4 mM MgCl2
2 mM Pefabloc protease inhibitors EDTA free (cocktail tablets, Roche)

**Buffer-B** Buffer A containing 2% Triton X-100

**Buffer-C** Buffer A containing 3M KCl

**Buffer-D** Buffer A containing 8 M urea

**b) Subcellular fractionation by velocity sedimentation on sucrose gradient**

200 ml cells grown to mid-log phase were harvested and resuspended in 5 ml buffer-1 and incubated for 10 min at RT. Cells were collected by centrifugation (5 min at 2,000g), washed once with 10 ml buffer-2 (same composition as buffer-1 without 2-ME) and resuspended in 2 ml buffer-2. 2,000 units of lyticase (Sigma) were added and the mixture was incubated for 30 min to 1 hour at 30°C (spheroplast formation was checked under the microscope). When spheroplasting was completed, cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 2,500 rpm (Eppendorf bench centrifuge) and the cell pellet was resuspended in 2 ml water containing protease inhibitors EDTA free (1 tablet in 6 ml). At this step spheroplasts are osmotically lysed. Unbroken cells were removed by centrifuging at 3,500 rpm for 2 min, the centrifugation should be repeated as many times as necessary to remove all unbroken cells (this was checked under the microscope). 1.5 ml cleared lysate was loaded carefully onto sucrose-gradient tubes (thawed at 4°C). The samples were centrifuged for 2.5 hours at 37,000 rpm at 4°C in an ultracentrifuge using a SW40 rotor. 12 fractions of 1 ml each, plus a fraction of 500 µl containing the pellet, were carefully collected. To each fraction was added an equal volume of 2x Laemmli buffer containing 8M urea, the fractions were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE and by immunoblotting. The chemiluminescence signals were quantified with a Lumi-Imager.
Fractions 1 and 2 contain the cytosolic and vacuolar proteins, Golgi proteins are in fractions 4-9 and ER proteins are in the last four fractions of the gradient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer-1</th>
<th>10 mM</th>
<th>HEPES, pH 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>MgCl2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 M</td>
<td>Sorbitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>β-mercaptoethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>NaN₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Preparation of frozen step-gradient tubes:** eleven sucrose solutions were prepared containing respectively, 18%, 22%, 26%, 30%, 34%, 38%, 42%, 46%, 50%, 54% and 60% (w/v) sucrose / 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 / 1 mM MgCl₂. Starting with the 60% sucrose solution, 1 ml aliquot was loaded onto the bottom of a 13 ml centrifuge tube, the tube was put into liquid nitrogen until the solution was frozen, then 1 ml of the next solution was added. The freezing procedure was repeated for all the solutions. The gradients were stored at −80 °C. 4-5 hours prior to use, the gradients were put at 4°C for slow thawing.

### 3.4.11 Analytical separation of protein complexes by gel filtration

The pellet from a 500 ml culture grown to mid-log phase was suspended in 6 ml of lysis buffer. Cells were lysed by vortexing with glass beads at 4°C. The supernatant was centrifuged for 1 h at 100,000 g. 5 ml of the supernatant were loaded onto a Sephacryl S-400 or a Sephacryl S-300 column (Pharmacia). The column was eluted at 0.5 ml/min and 2 ml fractions were collected. Proteins from each fraction were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose filters and probed with different antibodies. The chemiluminescence signals were quantified with a Lumi-Imager.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lysis buffer</th>
<th>20 mM</th>
<th>HEPES, pH 7.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150 mM</td>
<td>KOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>MgOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250 mM</td>
<td>Sorbitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>CHAPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 mM</td>
<td>Pefabloc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>protease inhibitors EDTA free (cocktail tablets, Roche)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4.12 Affinity binding assay with GST-fusion proteins

*E. coli* or *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing the desired GST-fusion protein were lysed as described before (3.4.7). The proteins were solubilized in lysis buffer and
immobilized on glutathione sepharose 4B beads. 200 µl yeast protein extracts (500-1000 µg) were added to 1-2 µg recombinant protein bound to 30 µl glutathione sepharose beads, and incubated at 4 °C for 1-2 h with end-over-end rotation. The beads were washed 4 times with 1 ml lysis buffer and subsequently resuspended in Laemmli buffer, boiled and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysis buffer</th>
<th>25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEPES, pH 7.0</td>
<td>KOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOAc</td>
<td>150 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl₂</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protease inhibitors (cocktail tablets, Roche)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.13 Immunoprecipitation

The cell pellets from 50 ml cultures grown to mid-log phase were resuspended in 1.5 ml of lysis buffer. The cells were lysed by vortexing with glass beads at 4°C (3.4.7.b). The lysates were centrifuged for 30 min at 16,000g at 4°C. The supernatants were incubated for 30 min at 4°C with 100 µl of Protein A/G Sepharose-4B fast flow (Pharmacia) with end-over-end rotation. After centrifugation (in a table centrifuge for 1 min at top speed) 600 µl of the supernatant were transferred to a new tube, and 100 µl of Protein A/G Sepharose-4B, previously coupled with anti-MYC, or anti-HA antibodies, and 10 µl of 10% BSA were added. The samples were then incubated for 1.5 h at 4°C with end-over-end rotation. After centrifugation at 5,000g for 1 min, the supernatant (S) was transferred to a new tube and an equal volume of 2x Laemmli sample buffer was added. The beads with the immunoprecipitated bound proteins (IP) were washed three times (for 5 min each) with 1 ml lysis buffer and twice with PBS, resuspended in 60 µl 1x Laemmli buffer and boiled for 3 min. 20 µl from the IP sample (corresponding to 1/3 of the total IP) and 20 µl from the supernatant sample (S) (corresponding to 1/70 of the total supernatant) were separated by SDS/PAGE, followed by immunoblotting with the desired antibodies. For more details see also (Harlow and Lane, 1999).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lysis buffer</th>
<th>20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HEPES, pH 7.5</td>
<td>KOAc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOAc</td>
<td>150 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgOAc</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protease inhibitors (cocktail tablets, Roche)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pefabloc</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Antibody coupling: 200 µg of antibody were added to 200 µl protein A/G sepharose and incubated at RT for 1 h with gentle rocking (protein A is recommended for rabbit polyclonal antibodies and for mouse monoclonal antibodies from IgG<sub>2a</sub>, IgG<sub>2b</sub>, IgG<sub>3</sub>; protein G is recommended for mouse IgG<sub>1</sub> and rat monoclonal antibodies). The beads were washed twice with 2 ml of 0.2 M Sodium borate (pH 9.0) and resuspended in 2 ml of 0.2 M Sodium borate (pH 9.0). Dimethylpimelimidate (solid) was added to reach a final concentration of 20 mM (5.2 mg/ml) and the samples were incubated for 30 min at RT with gentle rocking. The reaction was stopped by washing the beads once with 0.2 M ethanolamine (pH 8.0) and incubating for 2 h at RT in 0.2 M ethanolamine (pH 8.0) with gentle mixing. Finally the beads were washed with PBS and resuspended in 1 ml PBS with 0.01% merthiolate. For more details see also (Harlow and Lane, 1999).

3.4.14 GAP assay

The small GTPases of the Ypt/Rab family have a low intrinsic GTPase activity which can be significantly accelerated by GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs). The GTP-bound form of the GTPase is the substrate for the GAP, the GDP-bound form of the GTPase is the product of the GAP-mediated hydrolysis reaction. The activity of a GTPase activating protein can be detected in vitro by one of the methods described in the sections below.

a) Quantitative HPLC-based GAP assay

The protocol is based on the method described by (Will <i>et al.</i>, 2001). The starting material for the assay are GTPases loaded with GTP and purified Gyp proteins.

**GTP loading:** After purification, the GTPases are bound to GDP, a condition which is stabilized by Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions present in the buffer. The underlying principle of the method, which is being applied here, relies on the fact that the exchange activity of the substrate can be raised temporarily by a decrease in the concentration of free Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions (for example by complexing them with EDTA). During this time, GTP offered in excess, will be exchanged for the (lost) GDP at the protein’s binding site.

To 200 µl of purified Ypt/Rab protein solution (at least 80 µM) in GAP reaction buffer, a 50-fold molar excess of GTP (4 mM final concentration) and 4 µl of 0.5 M EDTA (10 mM final concentration) were added. The mixture was incubated at RT for 20 min and in the meantime two NAP5 columns (Pharmacia) were equilibrated with
ice-cold GAP reaction buffer (the next steps must be done in the cold room as fast as possible, because Ypt/Rab proteins intrinsically hydrolyze bound GTP). The mixture was passed over one of the columns at 4°C to separate the protein and free nucleotides. Drop-fractions were collected and protein-containing fractions (identified by Bio-Rad protein assay) were pooled and passed over the second column. The protein-bound GTP was assessed by HPLC analysis on a calibrated reversed phase 5 µm ODS Hypersil column (250x4.6 mm, Bischoff, Germany) run under isocratic conditions (Tucker et al., 1986). Calibration of the column was done with GDP solutions (more stable than GTP) of known concentration. Guanine nucleotides were detected by their absorbance at 254 nm (see Fig. 4.5). Aliquots of the GTP-loaded GTPase were shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

**GAP reaction and HPLC analysis:** 2 nmols of GTP-loaded protein were incubated at 30°C together with 1-50 pmols (depending on their specific activity) of purified GAPs in 200 µl GAP reaction buffer (pre-warmed). To measure the intrinsic activity, the same reaction is done without GAP. Aliquots of 12 µl are taken at different intervals, pipetted into cooled tubes that are immediately transferred to liquid nitrogen. To determine the GTP/GDP ratio, the frozen aliquots are thawed in a boiling water bath for 30 seconds and immediately subjected to HPLC (see above). HPLC was performed at 1.5 ml/min with HPLC buffer on the HPLC system Gold (Beckman) with the pump module 126 and the detector module 166. From the GTP and GDP peak areas at each time point (see Fig. 4.5), the relative amount of GTP is calculated according to:

\[
\frac{GTP}{GDP + GTP}
\]

and plotted as a function of time that can be fitted with the simple exponential decay function:

\[
y(t) = Y_0 + e^{-kt}
\]

where \(Y_0\) is the GTP/(GTP+GDP) ratio at the start of the reaction and \(t\) is the interval time.

**GAP reaction buffer** 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0  
5 mM MgCl2  
1 mM DTT

**HPLC buffer** 10 mM Tetrabutylammonium bromide  
100 mM \(K_2HPO_4/KH_2PO_4\), pH 6.5  
0.2 mM NaN3  
2-4%(v/v) Acetonitrile
b) Kinetic analysis of GTPase-GAP interaction

As described in the Results Section 4.1.2, Gyp5p is a GAP for Ypt1p. Under single turnover conditions Ypt1p-GTP can be considered the substrate and Ypt1p-GDP the product of the reaction. As the intrinsic rate of GTP hydrolysis is negligible compared to the GAP-activated rate, Gyp5p is regarded as an enzyme despite the fact that the catalytic center of the reaction is present on Ypt1p. To calculate $K_m$ and $k_{cat}$ of the reaction an alternative method to that described by the classical Michaelis-Menten equation was used. With this method, as has been described for the interaction of Ras and Ras-Gap (Schweins et al., 1996), $K_m$ and $k_{cat}$ can be calculated from a single reaction. The single reaction was started at a high substrate concentration (100 µM Ypt-GTP or more). The concentration of Ypt-GTP after GAP addition, was determined by HPLC at different time points (at least 15). The fitting procedure involves numerical integration and simulation, and leads to a representation of the concentration of Ypt-GTP as a function of time (see Fig. 4.7). For this procedure the reasonable assumption was done that the reaction product (Ypt-GDP) does not interact with GAP. Data fitting was performed using a model file (kindly provided by Prof. R. Goody, MPI for Molecular Physiology, Dortmund, Germany) and the software "SCIENTIST" (Micromath, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA). The model file, below shown, defines the concentration of the GAP-Ypt-GTP complex (EC1) at a given time as a function of the $K_m$ of the concentration of GAP (E1) and of the concentration of Ypt-GTP (C1) at that time, and the rate (C1') at a given time as the product of the $k_{cat}$ for the concentration of the ternary complex (EC1). The rate is entered as a differential equation into the model file. T= time, E1o= starting concentration of the enzyme (GAP), C0= starting concentration of the substrate (Ypt-GTP).

```plaintext
//MM model file according to R. Goody
IndVars: T
DepVars: C1, E1, EC1
Params: kcat, KM, E1o, C1o
EC1=E1*C1/KM
C1'=-kcat*EC1
E1o=E1+EC1
C1o=C1+EC1
0<E1<E1o
//Parameter values
kcat=100
KM=100
E1o=0.01
C1o=200
//initial conditions
T=0
C1=C1o-(E1o/(1+KM/C1o))
```
c) Filter GAP assay

The assay is performed with a $[^{32}\gamma]$GTP loaded GTPase (Ypt1p in this work). 100 pmols of Ypt1p (pre-run over a NAP5 column to eliminate GDP present in the buffer) were incubated for 10 min with 200 µl of exchange buffer (put on ice thereafter). To the mixture, MgCl$_2$ to a final concentration of 5 mM (so that the protein-GTP complex was stabilized) and GTP to a final concentration of 0.1 mM, were added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>exchange buffer</th>
<th>50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 mg/ml BSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 µM GTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.025 µM $[^{32}\gamma]$GTP (6000 Ci/mmol, NEN, DuPont)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two OD$_{600}$ units of bacteria strains expressing different fragments of Gyp5p were sonicated in 100 µl GAP reaction buffer (see 3.4.14.a). 10 µl of the bacterial lysate were added to 10 µl of the exchange mixture (described above) to which were added further 30 µl of GAP reaction buffer. The reaction was allowed to proceed at 30°C for 30 min. 10 µl (taken at time points 0 and 30 min) were vacuum-filtered through nitrocellulose filters (45 µm BA-Filter, Schleicher and Schüll) with the help of a vacuum pump (Schleicher and Schüll). Free nucleotides and $\gamma$-phosphate pass through the filter while proteins together with the bound-nucleotides stay on the filters. The filters were washed 3 times with 3 ml washing buffer. Dried filters were overlaid with scintillation liquid (Quicksafe A, Zinsser, Germany) and subjected to scintillation counting. The hydrolysis of GTP was measured as decrease of the radioactivity trapped on the filters (due to the release of $\gamma$-phosphate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Washing buffer</th>
<th>20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 mM MgCl$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM NH$_4$Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mM 2-ME</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Antibody production

Antibodies against two fragments of human Sec24C protein were produced in rabbit according to standard procedures. Human 6His-Sec24C$_{[363-522]}$, Sec24C$_{[363-522]}^{-}$, 6His and 6His-Sec24C$_{[747-992]}$ peptides were expressed in E. coli by using the plasmids
The 6xHis-fusion protein fragments were purified under denaturing conditions as described before (3.4.9a), electroeluted from a gel (3.4.2) and used to immunize four rabbits. Two rabbits, no 166 and no 167, were immunized with a mix of 6His-hSec24C[363-522] and hSec24C[363-522]-6His proteins; two rabbits, no 168 and no 169, were immunized with 6His-hSec24C[635-827] protein. Antibodies were first purified from serum over a protein-A sepharose column, then over Amino-Links plus coupling gel columns (PIERCE) coupled with purified MBP-hSec24C[363-522] or MBP-hSec24C[747-992] proteins (produced in bacteria using the plasmids pMAL-KIAA0079[363-522] and pMAL-KIAA0079[635-827]) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. For more details about antibody production and purification see (Harlow and Lane, 1999).

3.6 Microscopic analysis

3.6.1 Indirect immunofluorescence of yeast cells

Indirect immunofluorescence of yeast cells was performed with a modified method after (Pringle et al., 1991). Yeast cultures were grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.7-1.2. 10 ml cells were harvested by centrifugation for 3 minutes at 1,000 g, resuspended in 1 ml fixative buffer and left at RT for 1-2 hours. Cells were then centrifuged for 1 min at 5,000 rpm (Eppendorf centrifuge), washed once with PBS/10% sorbitol, resuspended in 1 ml PBS/10% sorbitol to which were added 5 µl 2-ME and 20 µl 10 mg/ml zymolyase T-100. The cell mixture was incubated at 30°C for an appropriate period of time (~1h). After spheroplasting cells were collected by centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for 1 min, washed once with 1 ml of PBS/10% sorbitol and resuspended in 0.5-1 ml PBS/10% sorbitol. 15-20 µl of the cell suspension were put on a polylysine-coated multi-well slide and allowed to attach for 10-15 minutes. The supernatant was removed by suction and blocking solution added to block unspecific binding sites. After 15-20 minutes the liquid was removed again and 15 µl of the first antibody (adequately diluted in blocking solution) were added. Incubation was allowed to proceed at room temperature in a moist chamber for an appropriate period of time. Hereafter the supernatants were removed and the slides washed 10 times with PBS/10% sorbitol. 20 µl of the fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibody (Cy3-conjugated) were added (1:400 dilution). After incubation in a dark moist chamber for 2-3 hours at RT, the supernatant was removed and the slides washed 10 times with...
PBS/10% sorbitol. 20 µl of DAPI solution were added (1:1000 dilution in PBS/10% sorbitol, from a 1mg/ml stock solution) and incubated in the dark for 5 minutes. After washing twice again with PBS, a sufficient amount of mounting medium was pipetted onto the slides (along the middle ridge) and a 60 mm cover slip carefully put on top. After removing (squeezing out) excess of mounting medium, the edges were sealed with nail-polish. Finally, the sealed slides were rinsed with tap water before microscopic inspection.

### fixative buffer
- 3.5% (v/v) Paraformaldehyde
- 10% (w/v) Sorbitol
- 1x PBS

### blocking solution
- 1% (w/v) BSA
- 1% (w/v) Triton X-100
- 10% (w/v) Sorbitol
- 1x PBS

### mounting medium
- 1mg/ml p-Phenylenediamine
- 90% Glycerol
- 1X PBS

(pH 8.5-9.0 adjusted with 0.5M Na₂CO₃)

#### 3.6.2 Vacuole detection by FM 4-64 staining

The lipophilic styryl dye N-(3-triethylammoniumpropyl)-4-(p-diethylaminophenyl-hexatrienyl) pyridinium dibromide (FM 4-64) is a vital stain that can be used to follow membrane internalization and transport to the vacuole in yeast. The method used is basically that described in (Vida and Emr, 1995). 10 OD₆₀₀ cells from a logarithmic growing culture were harvested and resuspended in 250 µl YEPG medium. 0.5 µl of 16 mM FM 4-64 (stock solution of 16 mM FM 4-64 in DMSO) were added. Cells were then incubated at 30°C for 15 min with shaking. Subsequently cells were harvested, washed one time with YEPG, resuspended in 1 ml YEPG medium and incubated at 30°C for 1 h. After this chase period, cells were harvested at 700g for 3 min, resuspended in 250 µl water placed on standard slides and viewed. To immobilize the cells, the coverslips were treated with 1 mg/ml solution of concavalin A and air dried before use. A 564 nm filter was used to detect FM 4-64 florescence under a florescence microscope.

#### 3.6.3 Indirect immunofluorescence of mammalian cells

CV1 and Hela cells were grown on round (1 cm Ø) sterilized coverslips to about 15% confluence. The coverslips were transferred to a ceramics mini racket (Coors,
USA), washed 3 times with cold PBS then fixated putting the racket for 4 min in cold methanol followed by 4 min in cold acetone. Subsequently they were washed once with PBS and put for 5 min in 0.1% saponin solution that permeabilize cell membranes. Coverslips were transferred to a moist chamber (put on wet filter paper with the side containing the cells up) and 20 µl of the first antibody (adequately diluted in TBS containing 0.5 mg/ml BSA) were added. Incubation was allowed to proceed at 37°C for 1h. After washing the coverslips 3 times with PBS, 20 µl of the fluorochrome-conjugated second antibody, adequately diluted in TBS/0.05% BSA, were added (Oregon Green 488 conjugated antibody, 1:500 dilution, or Rhodamine Red-X conjugated antibody, 1:100 dilution). Incubation was allowed to proceed at 37°C for 1 h. After that the coverslips were washed 3 times with PBS. One drop of embedding medium was added to each of them and subsequently they were mounted on a microscope slide and sealed with nail-polish.

Embedding medium preparation:  
6g Glycerol  
+ 2.4 g MOWIOL, stirr 1 h at RT  
+ 6 ml sterile distilled water, stirr 2 h at RT  
+ 12 ml 0.2 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.5  
-Heat up to 50°C for 10-15 min stirring.  
-Centrifuge at 5.000g for 15 min.  
-Store at -20°C.

3.7 Electron microscopic analysis of yeast cells

Electron microscopy analysis has been done by Dr. H. H. Trepte (this department). Cells were fixated either with potassium permanganate and processed as described previously (Benli et al., 1996) or by freeze-fixation/freeze-substitution as follows. Yeast cultures were grown to an OD_{600} of 0.7-1.2. 5 µl of cell pellet were freeze fixated on a copper mirror chilled to -190°C in liquid nitrogen. For freeze-substitution, the samples were soaked in 0.2% uranyl-acetate/acetone solution and maintained at -85°C for three days. The samples were then allowed to warm slowly (in 10 hours) to -35°C. After that the substitution medium was replaced with cold acetone. During the next two days the samples were infiltrated with increasing concentration of HM20 resin. The resin was polymerized for two days at -35°C and for one day at +15°C.
3.8 DNA and protein sequence computer analysis

Here are listed some of the programs used. DNA sequences analysis and alignment in contigs were done with "SEQUENCHER" (Gene Code, Michigan, USA). Primer analysis with "OLIGO" (Med Probe, Sweden). For molecular and atomic visualization WebLab Viewer was used.

For multiple sequence alignments "clustal-w" was used (available at http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw/help.html or at http://dot.imgen.bcm.tmc.edu:9331/multi-align/multi-align.html). Other sequence analysis tools are available at the ExPASy site: http://www.expasy.ch/; or at the NPSA (network protein sequence analysis) site: http://pbil.ibcp.fr/. It is possible to retrieve sequences from different databases (such as GENEBANK, EMBL, TREMBL, SWISSPROT etc.) at the SRS (Sequence Retrieval System) site: http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/srs5/. For sequences database search, "WU-Blast2" or "Fasta3" were used, both available at the EBI (European Bioinformatics Institute) site: http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/. Other important database sites are: MIPS (Munich Information Centre for Protein Sequences) http://www.mips.biochem.mpg.de/; SGD (Saccharomyces Genome Database) http://genome-www.stanford.edu/Saccharomyces/; and the proteome database http://www.proteome.com/databases/index.html.
4 RESULTS

In this work, two different topics on ER-to-Golgi transport were treated, one regarding the characterization of the Ypt1p-GAP Gyp5p, the second one regarding the characterization of Sec24p family proteins. Therefore, the results will be divided into two sections in which the two topics will be considered separately. In addition, there will be a short third section regarding a new tagging technique that has been invented during my work.

4.1 SECTION I (Gyp5p)

4.1.1 Cloning and expression of different fragments of GYP5

By a sophisticated computer search (Neuwald, 1997), many proteins sharing a common domain with Ypt/Rab-specific GTPase-activators, were found. We named this domain the "GYP domain". The protein product of the ORF \textit{YPL249c} was in this group, and we called it Gyp5p since other Ypt-GAP proteins had already been characterized and named "Gyp" (the acronym "Gyp" stands for "GAP for Ypt"); see Introduction 1.4.4. The eight Gyp proteins characterized to date are quite different in size and amino acid composition (see Table 1.3, and Fig. 4.1).

![Fig. 4.1 Schematic representation of the eight Ypt/Rab-GAP proteins showing the "GYP domain" as gray rectangles.](image-url)
They share sequence homologies only in the "GYP domain" in which it is possible to
distinguish six conserved motifs (A-F) harboring the highly conserved "fingerprint
sequences" (RxxW, LxxDxxR, YxQ) (see Figs. 4.2 and 5.2).

In Fig. 4.2, the alignment of Gyp5p and Gyp1p sequences is shown. The
crystal structure of the Gyp1 catalytic domain (Fig. 1.9) (Rak et al., 2000) confirmed
that the conserved amino acids are located in critical positions for either the active
site or for the conservation of the structure of the protein (see Fig. 5.2).

Different fragments of the Gyp5 protein were expressed as 6xHis fusion
proteins. The different peptides obtained are shown in Fig. 4.3. The different DNA
fragments were obtained by PCR amplification using the primers schematically
shown by arrows in Figs. 4.2 and 4.3 (for the primer sequences, see Appendix Table
7.7). The PCR fragments were cloned into pET30 or pET32 vectors (see Table 7.6).
For simplicity, I called the different fragments pep0-pep14. Except for Gyp5<sub>(8-448)</sub>
(pep0s) and Gyp5<sub>(8-892)</sub> (pep1s) which were cloned as NcoI-NotI restriction fragments
into pET32, and which were expressed in bacteria as fusion proteins with N-terminal
Trx-6xHis-S-tags (see 3.4.8.a), all others were cloned into pET30 as NdeI-SalI
restricted fragments, and were expressed in bacteria as fusion proteins with C-
terminal 6xHis-tags. The "GYP domain" of Gyp5p is contained between amino acids
451-624 (highlighted in Fig. 4.3, by a red frame).

The GAP activity on Ypt1p was assayed for all the different constructs by the filter
assay (see Methods 3.4.14.c), pep2 and pep5 were also tested by the HPLC-GAP-
assay (3.4.14.a). The fragments, which have retained or which have lost the GAP
activity for Ypt1p, are indicated in Fig 4.3 by "+" or "-". The data clearly show that
there is a region downstream of the "GYP domain" (up to the amino acid 759) that is
required for the catalytic activity. Instead, the N-terminal part (up to amino acid 429,
and probably up to amino acid 451) is not important for its catalytic activity.
Computer analysis with the program COILS (Lupas et al., 1991) revealed also a
potential coiled-coil region at C-terminus of Gyp5p (between amino acids 730-870).
Fig. 4.2 Alignment of Gyp5p and Gyp1p sequences. Identical or similar amino acids are shown on black or shaded background, respectively. The six conserved motifs (A, B, C, D, E, F) are indicated by green bars [Neuwald A. F., 1997 ; Rak et al., 2000]. The conserved amino acids of the “fingerprint sequences” RxxxW, IxxDxxR and YxQ and the conserved aspartic acid (D502 in Gyp1p and D624 in Gyp5p) are highlighted in red. The critical catalytic arginine (R343 in Gyp1p and R496 in Gyp5p) is indicated by an asterisk. Blue and red arrows represent the forward (f) and the reverse (r) primers used to amplify different fragments of GYP5.
**Fig. 4.3** Schematic representation of Gyp5p and its different fragments expressed as 6xHis fusion proteins in bacteria (see Table 7.6). Arrows represent the forward (f) and reverse (r) primers used to amplify the fragments from genomic DNA for subsequently cloning into pET30 or pET32 vectors (see also Fig. 4.2). Fragments showing GAP activity on Ypt1p (determined by a filter test) are indicated by "+"; when the catalytic activity is lost, they are indicated by "-". The critical catalytic arginine-496 is highlighted in yellow. The "GYP" domain" is contained inside the red frame (between amino acids 451-624). A putative coiled-coil region is contained between amino acids 730-870.
4.1.2 Ypt1p is the preferred substrate for Gyp5p

Gyp5p<sub>(400-892)</sub>-6His (pep2<sub>A</sub>) was expressed in *E. coli*, purified as described in Methods 3.4.9.g on Ni-NTA resin, and subsequently by anion exchange and gel filtration chromatography, see Fig. 4.4. The purified protein was stored frozen or used in GAP assays.

![Fig. 4.4](image)

**Fig. 4.4** Gyp5p<sub>(400-892)</sub>-6His was produced in *E. coli* and purified on Ni-NTA resin. (A) The eluted protein (T<sub>I</sub> is the protein sample after Ni-NTA purification) was further purified by anion exchange chromatography and subsequently (B) by gel filtration chromatography. Fractions 35-37 (T<sub>II</sub>) from MonoQ columns were loaded on Sephacryl-200 column. Fractions 19-21 and 22-25 were pooled separately. (C) 5 µl of the pooled fractions 19-21. The purity achieved at this stage was greater than 95%. M= Molecular mass marker.

The GAP activity of Gyp5p<sub>(400-892)</sub>-6His (for simplicity, named Gyp5p<sub>(400-892)</sub> in the following sections) was tested with different GTPases in a standard HPLC-based GAP assay (3.4.14.a). 20 µM GTP-loaded GTPases were incubated with 0.1 µM Gyp5p<sub>(400-892)</sub> at 30°C, and aliquots were taken at different time points. Each sample was subjected to HPLC that allows to separate GDP from GTP (see Fig. 4.5). With the help of the "Gold-System-software", the peak areas were evaluated and the relative GTP amount GTP/(GDP+GTP), at each time point, was calculated. These values were plotted as a function of time that can be fitted with the simple exponential decay function: \( y = Y_0 + e^{-kt} \). \( Y_0 \) is the GTP/(GTP+GDP) ratio at the start of the reaction, \( t \) is the incubation time and \( k \) represents the rate constant of the reaction. The different GTPases have different intrinsic GTP hydrolysis rates (see Table 4.1) that can be accelerated several-fold by GAP proteins. The acceleration
Results

The rate of the reaction in the presence of its GAP is given by the ratio between the GAP-catalyzed GTP hydrolysis rate and the intrinsic hydrolysis rate.

Table 4.1 Intrinsic GTP hydrolysis rates of Ypt GTPases measured at 30°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GTPase</th>
<th>GTP hydrolysis rate (min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ypt1p</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sec4p</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ypt31p</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ypt32p</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ypt6p</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ypt7p</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ypt51p</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ypt52p</td>
<td>0.0989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ypt53p</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4.5 Analysis of GTP hydrolysis through separation of the guanosine nucleotides by HPLC. GDP is detected after ~ 4.2 min, GTP after ~ 7.5 min. 20 µM Ypt1p-GTP was incubated with 0.1 µM Gyp5p(400-892) at 30°C for 0 min, 1 min and 4 min (standard HPLC-based GAP assay). Samples were shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and boiled for 30 sec before being injected into the HPLC apparatus (see Methods 3.4.14.a-b). With the "Gold-System-Software", the peak areas are calculated and the ratio GTP/(GDP+GTP) can be calculated.
The acceleration of intrinsic GTPase activity of different Ypt-GTPases induced by Gyp5p\(_{(400-892)}\) is documented in Fig. 4.6. Ypt1p is by far the best substrate for Gyp5p. Also the Sec4p GTPase activity is accelerated but, in comparison with Ypt1p, much less efficiently.

![Fig. 4.6 Specificity of the Gyp5\(_{(400-892)}\) protein for different GTPases, as determined by standard HPLC-based GAP assay. 20 µM GTP-loaded GTPases were incubated at 30°C with or without 0.1 µM Gyp5p\(_{(400-892)}\). The ratio of the GTP hydrolysis velocity with and without GAP (intrinsic GTPase activity), gives the acceleration rate. The best, if not the only, substrate for Gyp5p is Ypt1p. The data are the average of two independent experiments.](image)

**4.1.3 Kinetic investigation of the Gyp5p/Ypt1p interaction**

To characterize the efficiency of the catalysis, the kinetic constants of the Gyp5p/Ypt1p interaction were determined. Ypt1p-GTP can be considered the substrate, Ypt1p-GDP the product of the reaction, and Gyp5p is regarded as the enzyme. The Michaelis-Menten model says that the velocity of the reaction will increase with increasing substrate concentrations and asymptotically reach a saturation value (Vmax.). By dividing Vmax. by the enzyme concentration, one gets the maximal number of substrate molecules which an enzyme molecule can chemically transform within a given period of time (k\(_{\text{cat}}\)). The substrate concentration at the half-maximal reaction velocity (K\(_{m}\)) can be regarded as a measure for the affinity of an enzyme for its corresponding substrate. K\(_{m}\) is the Michaelis constant.

To calculate K\(_{m}\) and k\(_{\text{cat}}\) of the Gyp5p/Ypt1p reaction, an alternative method to the classical Michaelis-Menten equation was used. An integrated Michaelis-Menten
equation (Duggleby and Clarke, 1991) was used, that allows to calculate $k_{\text{cat}}$ and $K_m$ from a single time curve. The time curve is derived from a reaction with highly concentrated substrate. The absolute substrate concentration will decrease while the reaction proceeds (see Fig. 4.7). This method is generally applicable for stable enzymes which catalyze irreversible reactions with none of the reaction products having inhibitory effects.

The fitting procedure involves numerical integration and simulation, and leads to a representation of the concentration of Ypt-GTP as a function of time. This was performed using a model file (kindly provided by Prof. R. Goody, MPI for Molecular Physiology, Dortmund, Germany) and the software "SCIENTIST" (Micromath, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA) (see Methods, 3.4.14.b).

In Fig. 4.7, a time curve obtained from a reaction with 118 µM Ypt1p-GTP and 0.134 µM Gyp5p(400-892) is shown. The reaction was carried out at 30°C.

![Figure 4.7](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{cat}}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Activation (-fold)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6x10$^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4.7** Kinetic analysis of Ypt1p-bound GTP hydrolysis accelerated by Gyp5p(400-892). 118 µM Ypt1p-GTP was incubated with 0.134 µM Gyp5p(400-892) at 30°C. $K_m$ and $k_{\text{cat}}$ values were calculated according to the integrated Michaelis-Menten equation using the program Scientist (as described in Methods 3.4.14.b).
From the integration of this curve and from two other curves, a $K_m$ of 400 (µM) and a $k_{cat}$ of 9 (s$^{-1}$) were calculated. This means that Gyp5p does not have a high affinity for its substrate GTPase, but the turnover rate is quite high. With an intrinsic GTP hydrolysis rate of 0.0035 per minute, the GTPase activity is maximally accelerated 1.6x10$^5$-fold by the action of Gyp5p(400-892). These values are comparable with values obtained for other GAPs (See Discussion, Table 5.1).

### 4.1.4 Arginine 496 is important for the catalytic activity of Gyp5p

It was shown previously, that Gyp1p and Gyp7p have a conserved arginine residue (in motif B) which is critical for the catalytic activity (Albert et al., 1999). The "arginine finger" mechanism of the GTPase accelerating activity has been described for other GAP proteins, Ras-GAP and Cdc42p-GAP (Scheffzek et al., 1998), (see Fig. 1.8). To verify whether this is also true for Gyp5p, the arginine-496 coding triplet was mutated to one for either alanine or lysine. The mutations were introduced into pET30-GYP5(400-892)A by PCR (using the primers GYP5-R/A_f, GYP5-R/A_r, GYP5-R/K_f and GYP5-R/K_r; see Methods, 3.2.7 and Tables 7.6 and 7.7). The proteins Gyp5$^{R496A}(400-892)$ and Gyp5$^{R496K}(400-892)$ were produced and purified as described above (see 3.4.9.g and 4.1.2) and their GAP activity for Ypt1p was tested (for simplicity, I will call them Gyp5p$^{R496A}$, or Gyp5p$^{R496K}$). 20 µM GTP-loaded Ypt1p was incubated at 30°C with 0.2 µM Gyp5p$^{R496A}$ or with 0.2 µM Gyp5p$^{R496K}$ and the GTP hydrolysis was evaluated by HPLC. As shown in Fig. 4.8.A, both mutations have a dramatic effect on the catalytic activity. Both mutant proteins are unable (at the concentration used) to stimulate the GTPase activity while the wild type protein (0.1 µM Gyp5p(400-892)) can accelerate the same reaction 200 fold. By strongly increasing the concentration of Gyp5p$^{R496K}$ it is possible to see a weak enzymatic activity (see Fig. 4.8.B). 55 µM GTP-loaded Ypt1p was incubated at 30°C with 11 µM Gyp5p$^{R496K}$ or with 0.13 µM Gyp5p(400-892). While the wild type protein can accelerate the GTPase activity 61-fold, the mutant protein can accelerate it only 4-fold.
The Q67L mutation in Ypt1p is homologous to the well known oncogenic Q61L mutation of Ras that impairs its GTPase activity (Der et al., 1986; Frech et al., 1994). This glutamine residue in Ras is thought to form a hydrogen bond with an H2O molecule which is positioned to attack the phosphoryl bond of GTP (Krengel et al., 1990); see also Fig. 1.8. Importantly, Ras-GAP cannot accelerate the intrinsic GTPase activity of this mutant (Bollag and McCormick, 1991; Scheffzek et al., 1997; Vogel et al., 1988). The homologous mutation in many members of the Ras superfamily was shown to impair their GTPase activity, among them also a number of Ypt/Rab proteins: Rab2pQ65L (Tisdale, 1999), Rab3ApQ81L (Brondyk et al., 1993), Rab5pQ79L (Stenmark et al., 1994), Rab6pQ72L (Martinez et al., 1997), Sec4pQ79L (Walworth et al., 1992) and Ypt51pQ66L (Singer-Kruger et al., 1995).

Fig. 4.8 Kinetic analysis of the Ypt1p-bound GTP hydrolysis acceleration induced by Gyp5p(G400-892) mutant proteins (Gyp5pR496A and Gyp5pR496K). (A) 20 µM Ypt1p was incubated at 30°C together with 0.2 µM Gyp5pR496A or with 0.2 µM Gyp5pR496K or with 0.1 µM Gyp5p(400-892). The mutant proteins are not able to accelerate the GTP hydrolysis at this concentration while the wild type can accelerate it 200-fold. (B) 55 µM Ypt1p-GTP was incubated at 30°C together with 11 µM Gyp5pR496K, or with 0.13 µM Gyp5p; GTPase hydrolysis is accelerated 61-fold by the wild type and 4-fold by the mutant protein.

4.1.5 Gyp5p can accelerate the GTPase activity of the Ypt1pQ67L mutant

The Q67L mutation in Ypt1p is homologous to the well known oncogenic Q61L mutation of Ras that impairs its GTPase activity (Der et al., 1986; Frech et al., 1994). This glutamine residue in Ras is thought to form a hydrogen bond with an H2O molecule which is positioned to attack the phosphoryl bond of GTP (Krengel et al., 1990); see also Fig. 1.8. Importantly, Ras-GAP cannot accelerate the intrinsic GTPase activity of this mutant (Bollag and McCormick, 1991; Scheffzek et al., 1997; Vogel et al., 1988). The homologous mutation in many members of the Ras superfamily was shown to impair their GTPase activity, among them also a number of Ypt/Rab proteins: Rab2pQ65L (Tisdale, 1999), Rab3ApQ81L (Brondyk et al., 1993), Rab5pQ79L (Stenmark et al., 1994), Rab6pQ72L (Martinez et al., 1997), Sec4pQ79L (Walworth et al., 1992) and Ypt51pQ66L (Singer-Kruger et al., 1995).
To test whether Gyp5p could stimulate the GTPase activity of Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L}, I introduced by PCR (using the primers \textit{YPT1-Q/L}_f and \textit{YPT1-Q/L}_r; see Methods, 3.2.7) this mutation in pET12c-\textit{YPT1}. The protein was produced in \textit{E. coli} and purified as described in 3.4.9.f (for GTP loading, see 3.4.14.a). 20 \mu M GTP-loaded Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L} was incubated at 30°C with 1 \mu M and 2 \mu M Gyp5p\textsubscript{400-892} and a kinetic analysis was done (Fig. 4.9). The intrinsic GTPase activity of Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L} (0.00017 min\textsuperscript{-1}) is reduced ~20-fold in comparison to that of the wild type protein (0.003 min\textsuperscript{-1}). Nevertheless, Gyp5p is able to accelerate it several-fold: 269-fold when \([\text{Ypt1p}\textsuperscript{Q67L}]:[\text{Gyp5p}] = 20:1\), 382-fold when the ratio is 10:1.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.9.png}
\caption{Gyp5p\textsubscript{400-892} can accelerate the intrinsic GTP hydrolysis of the GTPase-activity-deficient Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L}. The reaction was done at 30 °C. "[S]:[E]" is [Substrate]:[Enzyme].}
\end{figure}

\subsection*{4.1.6 Mutant strains with different \textit{GYP} genes deleted in combination with \textit{ypt1}^{Q67L}}

In order to understand the biological meaning of the GTPase-accelerating activity observed \textit{in vitro}, the genes \textit{GYP5}, \textit{GYP1} and \textit{GYP8} (Gyp1p and Gyp8p are
also GAPs for Ypt1p, see Table 1.3) were deleted in two different haploid yeast strains (MSUC-3D and the protease-deficient strain cl3-ABYS-86). The genes were deleted using loxP-kanMX-loxP cassettes and the PCR-based method described in the Section 3.3.2. The correct gene deletion was checked by both PCR and Southern blotting. Strains with one, two or the three GYP genes deleted didn’t have any particular phenotype. Only a weak growth retardation at 15°C was observed in cl3-ABYS-86 Δgyp5/Δgyp1/Δgyp8 strains (ADY27 and ADY28) (data not shown). Since there was no evident mutant phenotype, it was decided to combine the deletions with ypt1Q67L. To introduce the Q67L mutation into the yeast YPT1 locus, the plasmid pRE-YPT1Q67L was used (from U. Vespermann, this department); pRE-YPT1 is described by (Schmitt et al., 1988). This plasmid contains ypt1Q67L in addition to the genomic flanking regions (where there are TUB2 and ACT1; see Fig. 4.10).

Haploid strains with the different GYP genes deleted were transformed with linearized pRE-YPT1Q67L and selected on LEU− media. To check for the presence of the Q67L mutation, the YPT1 gene was amplified by PCR (using the primers YPT1_f0 and YPT1_r0) and subsequently digested with XhoI (see Fig. 4.11). As shown in Fig. 4.11, when more than one GYP gene was deleted the probability of getting ypt1Q67L was lower. This could be an indication of functional interplay of YPT1, GYP5, GYP1 and GYP8.

Fig. 4.10 Schematic representation of pRE-YPT1Q67L linearized by HindIII digestion. An XhoI site is present in ypt1Q67L. This "cassette" is used to transform yeast cells and in order to replace the wild type YPT1 gene with the ypt1Q67L allele.
Fig. 4.11  Schematic view of the method to obtain ypt1<sup>Q67L</sup> mutants, and their verification. It is evident that it is more difficult to obtain ypt1<sup>Q67L</sup> mutants when more than one GYP gene is deleted.
4.1.7 Growth analysis of different strains carrying ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}

To assess the effect on cell growth of ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} alone or in combination with GYP5, GYP1 or GYP8 deletions, serial 10-fold dilutions of cells (see Methods 3.3.4) were spotted onto YEPG plates and grown at temperatures ranging from 15°C to 37°C (see Fig. 4.12 and Fig. 4.13). In the protease deficient strain cl3-ABYS-86, it was not possible to introduce the ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutation when more than one GYP gene was deleted, while in MSUC-3D ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} was obtained also in strains where two GYP genes were disrupted (see Fig. 4.11). ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp7 (ADY32 and ADY52) strains were used as negative controls since Ypt1p is not a substrate for Gyp7p. A first observation was that mutants based on cl3-ABYS-86 strain background showed stronger growth defects than mutants based on MSUC-3D. The ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutant in the cl3-ABYS-86 genetic background (ADY29) was not able to grow at 15°C (see Fig. 4.12, lane 2, last panel) while ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutants in a MSUC-3D genetic background (ADY49) could grow at 15°C (see Fig. 4.13 lane 2, last panel). Interestingly, cell growth of MSUC-3D based mutants at 15°C was dramatically slowed down when, in combination with ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}, GYP5 was deleted (see Fig. 4.13, last panel, lane 5, 7 and 8). The cl3-ABYS-86 ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp5 strain (ADY31) showed a defect in growth already at 20°C (see Fig. 4.12, lane 4, fourth panel). The strains ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp1 or ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp8 (in both cl3-ABYS-86 and MSUC-3D genetic background) did not show a growth defect at low temperatures. The cl3-ABYS-86 based ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp8 (ADY33) showed a growth retardation at 37°C. The MSUC-3D based strains with ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} combined with double GYP deletions (ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp5/\textDelta gyp1, ADY54; ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp5/\textDelta gyp8, ADY55; ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L}/\textDelta gyp1/\textDelta gyp8, ADY56) showed slower growth at all temperatures, but the strongest effect was in the strains lacking GYP5. The growth of these strains at 15°C was almost completely blocked (see Fig. 4.12, last panel).

From these data it became evident that ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutants show a stronger growth defect at low temperature when GYP5 is missing. This was the first indication supporting the importance of GTP hydrolysis for the function of Ypt1p, and for a role \textit{in vivo} of Gyp5p as Ypt1p-GAP.
Fig. 4.12 Synthetic growth defect analysis of the strains

1) wt (cl3-ABYS-86) 
2) ypt1Q67L (ADY29) 
3) ypt1Q67L/Δgyp1 (ADY30) 
4) ypt1Q67L/Δgyp5 (ADY31) 
5) ypt1Q67L/Δgyp8 (ADY33) 
6) ypt1Q67L/Δgyp7 (ADY32)

(for genotype description, see Appendix Table 7.2).

10-fold serial dilutions of cells (starting at 0.01 OD) were spotted on YEPG plates and grown at different temperatures for 3 days, (7 days at 15 °C).
Fig. 4.13 Synthetic growth defect analysis of the strains

1) **wt** (MSUC-3D)
2) **ypt1** 
3) **ypt1** /∆gyp7 (ADY52)
4) **ypt1** /∆gyp1 (ADY50)
5) **ypt1** /∆gyp5 (ADY51/K)
6) **ypt1** /∆gyp8 (ADY53)
7) **ypt1** /∆gyp5/∆gyp1 (ADY54)
8) **ypt1** /∆gyp5/∆gyp8 (ADY55)
9) **ypt1** /∆gyp1/∆gyp8 (ADY56)

(for genotype description, see Appendix Table 7.2).

10-fold serial dilutions of cells (starting at 0.01 OD) were spotted on YEPG plates and grown at different temperatures for 3 days, (7 days at 15°C).
4.1.8 Partial rescue of the growth defect of the ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutant by high expression of Gyp5p

As shown in Fig. 4.14.A, the cold sensitivity of cl3-ABYS-86 ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} (ADY29) could be partially rescued by overexpression of Gyp5p\textsubscript{(8-892)} but not by the catalytically active fragment Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)} lacking the N-terminus. The two gene fragments were cloned into the pYX212 shuttle vector (see Appendix, Table 7.6), a 2\mu vector containing the strong constitutive TPI-promoter. The two proteins with a 6xHis-tag at their C-terminus could be detected by immunoblot analysis using anti-6xHis antibody (Fig. 4.14.B). The near full-length Gyp5p was prone to degradation even in the protease -deficient strain.

![Fig. 4.14](image)

**Fig. 4.14** (A) Partial rescue of the growth defect at 15°C of cl3-ABYS-86 ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} (ADY29) by high expression of Gyp5p (aa 8-892). The catalytically active fragment Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)} cannot rescue the same mutant. The strains were grown on uracil-free plates, at 15 °C for 2 weeks. (B) Western blot showing Gyp5p expression in the strains containing pY212-GYP5\textsubscript{(8-892)} and pY212-GYP5\textsubscript{(400-892)}. For the immunoblot, anti-6xHis antibody was used.

4.1.9 Analysis of possible transport defects in the different mutants

To verify whether the reduction of Ypt1p GTPase activity could affect vesicular ER-to-Golgi transport, the transport of different proteins (secreted invertase, vacuolar carboxypeptidase Y and plasma membrane-localized Gas1p), that pass through the ER and the Golgi compartments on the way to their final destinations, was tested in different mutants. Carboxypeptidase Y (CPY) is a soluble vacuolar hydrolase. It
leaves the ER as a core-glycosylated precursor protein of 67 kDa (p1), it is further glycosylated in the Golgi apparatus (p2, 69 kDa), and finally reaches the vacuole, where after a short proteolytic truncation, it becomes active. This mature form (m) has a molecular mass of 61 kDa. Gas1p is a 125 kDa glycolipid-anchored plasma membrane protein. The ER glycosylphosphatidylinositol-containing precursor has a molecular mass of 105 kDa and, upon arrival in the Golgi, is further glycosylated, reaching a molecular mass of 125 kDa. Invertase is a secreted protein (induced by low glucose concentration in the medium) that is modified by 14 core oligosaccharides in the ER, and by outer chain glycosylation in the Golgi apparatus. Secreted invertase migrates on non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels as heterogeneous species with an apparent molecular mass of 100-140 kDa, the glycosylated ER-form has an apparent molecular mass of 80-86 kDa.

MSUC-3D based strains (Δgyp5, ypt1Q67L, and ypt1Q67L/Δgyp5) were tested for invertase secretion at 30°C and 15°C (see Methods 3.3.5). As can be seen in Fig. 4.15, no significant differences were found between the mutant and the wild type strains. Similar results were also observed with cl3-ABYS-86 based strains. In the ypt1Q67L containing mutants the secreted invertase seems to be under-glycosylated to some extent, and there is probably a slight accumulation of the ER core-glycosylated invertase form; this is more visible in cells induced at 15°C. sec18-1, a mutant with a block at multiple steps in the secretory and endocytic pathways at non permissive temperature of 37°C, was used here as positive control.

![Fig. 4.15](image-url) Staining of active invertase in non-denaturing gels. MSUC-3D based strains Δgyp5 (ADY41/K), ypt1Q67L (ADY49), ypt1Q67L/Δgyp5 (ADY51/K), induced for invertase synthesis at 15°C for 2 h; sec18-1 induced at 37°C for 1h. For genotype description, see Appendix Table 7.2. "I"= intracellular fraction, "E"= periplasmic fraction, "S"= secreted form, "ER" = ER form.
Transport of CPY and Gas1p was tested in MSUC-3D based strains (for genotype description, see Appendix, Table 7.2). Cells were grown at 30°C until reaching an optical density of 0.5. The cells were then incubated for 1 h at 20°C, pulse-labeled for 10 min at 20°C with Trans\(^{35}\)S-label mix and chased for 45 min at 20°C with methionine and cysteine (see Methods, 3.3.6). The cytosolic proteins were precipitated with anti-CPY or anti-Gas1p antibodies (see Figs 4.16 and 4.17). No effect on CPY maturation was observed, but a slight delay of Gas1p maturation was seen with the \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5\) mutant (Fig. 4.17). This is probably due to the growth defect of these cells. In fact, a similar delay of Gas1p secretion was observed also in the cell cycle mutant \(cdc28\) at non permissive condition (data not shown).

**Fig. 4.16** CPY transport in MSUC-3D based strains: \(ypt1^{Q67L}\) (ADY49), \(\Delta gyp5\) (ADY41/K), \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5\) (ADY51/K), \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp1\) (ADY50), \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp8\) (ADY53), \(\Delta gyp5/\Delta gyp1/\Delta gyp8\) (ADY47), \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5/\Delta gyp1\) (ADY54), \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5/\Delta gyp8\) (ADY55) and \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp1/\Delta gyp8\) (ADY56).

All the strains were grown to an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.5, incubated for 1 h at 20°C, pulsed for 10 min and chased for 45 min at 20°C. \(p1=\) ER core-glycosylated CPY, \(p2=\) Golgi-modified CPY, \(m=\) mature CPY. There is no evident difference in terms of secretion in the mutant strains as compared to the wild type.

**Fig. 4.17** Gas1p secretion in MSUC-3D based strains: \(ypt1^{Q67L}\) (ADY49), \(\Delta gyp5\) (ADY41/K), and \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5\) (ADY51/K).

All the strains were grown to an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.5, incubated for 1 h at 20°C, pulsed for 10 min and chased for 45 min at 20°C. \(p=\) ER proform, \(m=\) mature form. There is a slight delay of Gas1p maturation in the double mutant \(ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5\).
4.1.10 Gyp5p is primarily a cytosolic protein

Genomic \( \text{GYP5} \) was changed in such a way that Gyp5p became tagged at the C-terminus with 2xMYC, or 3xHA or 3xVSV epitopes by PCR-mediated epitope tagging (see Methods 3.3.3). Yeast strains (ADY14, ADY15, ADY16) expressing tagged Gyp5 proteins were lysed and the lysates fractionated by differential centrifugation (see Methods 3.4.10.a). The different proteins were detected by immunoblotting. As shown in Fig. 4.18, Gyp5p is almost exclusively present in the supernatant (after a 100.000g centrifugation). To be sure that the fractionation was done correctly, soluble and membrane proteins were detected as well. Hxk2p (Hexokinase II), a cytosolic protein, Bos1p, a SNARE protein that fractionates with Golgi and ER membranes and Wbp1p (oligosaccharyltransferase \( \beta \) subunit), an ER integral membrane protein, fractionated as predicted either as soluble proteins (fraction S) or as integral membrane proteins (in the P10-P100 pellets). Bos1p and Wbp1p became soluble only by treatment of cell lysates with detergent.

![Figure 4.18](image-url)

**Fig. 4.18** Cell fractionation by differential centrifugation. The different fractions, "P10" (pellet after 10.000g centrifugation), "P100" (pellet after 100.000g centrifugation), "S" (supernatant after 100.000g centrifugation), "T" (total protein extract after 500g centrifugation), were separated by SDS-PAGE (12% polyacrylamide gel). Proteins were detected by immunoblotting using anti-MYC, anti-Hxk2p, anti-Bos1p and anti-Wbp1p antibodies.
4.1.11 Electron microscopic inspection of \( \Delta gyp5/ypt1^{Q67L} \) mutant cells

To analyze the morphology of our mutant cells, an electron-microscopic inspection of potassium permanganate-fixed or cryo-fixed/cryo-substituted cells was performed (see Figs. 4.19 and 4.20). Wild type (MSUC-3D), \( ypt1^{Q67L} \) (ADY49), \( \Delta gyp5 \) (ADY41/K) and \( ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5 \) (ADY51/K) strains were grown at 30\(^\circ\)C. The liquid cultures were divided into two parts and before fixation one part was incubated at 30\(^\circ\)C and the second part at 15\(^\circ\)C for 2 h. The \( ypt1^{Q67L} \), but much more the \( ypt1^{Q67L}/\Delta gyp5 \) mutant cells exhibited a surprisingly altered morphology. Cells of these strains exhibited an accumulation of ER membranes and of various membrane-bounded structures already at the permissive temperature. These included vesicles of different sizes, and structures resembling autophagosomes. In addition, the vacuoles were fragmented and often showed large invaginations resembling autophagic tubes (Müller et al., 2000) and engulfed multivesicular bodies. This was most clearly observed in cryo-fixed and cryo-substituted samples (see Figs. 4.20.C and 4.20.D). Incubation at 15\(^\circ\)C for 2 h before fixation did not show dramatic differences in comparison with cells incubated at 30\(^\circ\)C, probably because two hours are two little to induce visible changes.

4.1.12 Visualization of vacuolar membranes in living cells by FM 4-64 vital staining

The peculiar behavior of vacuolar compartments could also be shown in living cells by using the lipophilic styryl dye FM 4-64 (see Methods 3.6.2). As can be seen in Fig. 4.21, in \( \Delta gyp5/ypt1^{Q67L} \) mutants (ADY51/K) the vacuolar membrane forms invaginations and convoluted structures inside the vacuole itself. This phenomenon could be partially observed in \( ypt1^{Q67L} \) (ADY49) mutants, too. A similar phenomenon was described by (Müller et al., 2000) studying the formation of autophagic tubes.
Fig. 4.19 Thin-section electron micrographs of wild type (MSUC-3D; A) ypt1^{Q67L} (ADY49; B), Δgyp5 (ADY41/k; C) and Δgyp5/ypt1^{Q67L} (ADY51/k; D) mutant cells. Cells were grown in YEPG medium at 30 °C and then subjected to potassium permanganate fixation. In ypt1^{Q67L}, but more accentuated in the double Δgyp5/ypt1^{Q67L} mutant, the vacuoles are fragmented in addition to ER proliferation and an accumulation of membrane-bounded structures (indicated by white arrows). In the Δgyp5/ypt1^{Q67L} double mutant the vacuoles contain large membrane-bounded structures filled with cytosol which resemble autophagic tubes (indicated by black arrowheads in panel D). This is most clearly observed in Fig. 4.20. N= nucleus, V= vacuole, E= ER, M= mitochondria.
Fig. 4.20 Thin-section electron micrographs of the Δgyp5/ypt1Q67L double mutant (ADY51/k') grown at 30°C.  (A-B) Potassium permanganate-fixed cells.  (C-D) Cryo-fixed and cryo-substituted cells.  Large invaginations of the vacuolar membrane can be observed (indicated by arrows).  The lumen of the vacuolar invaginations is continuous with the cytosol.  These structures resemble the autophagic tubes described by (Müller et al, 2000).  In the vacuoles putative autophagic bodies are also visible .  N= nucleus, V= vacuole, AB= autophagic body, MB= multi-vesicular body.
Fig. 4.21 Wild type (MSUC-3D), ypt1<sup>Q67L</sup> (ADY49), Δgyp5/ypt1<sup>Q67L</sup> (ADY51/k<sup>-</sup>) and Δgyp5 (ADY41/k<sup>-</sup>) mutants stained with FM-4-64. Cells were incubated at 30°C for 15 min with 30 µM FM-4-64 in YEPG medium, then "chased" for 1h at 30°C with fresh YEPG medium. Finally, the cells were resuspended in water and viewed under a fluorescence microscope with a 546 nm filter (for FM 4-64), or by DIC (differential interference contrast).
4.2 SECTION II (Sec24p family)

The Ypt1p-dependent transport vesicle docking/fusion with Golgi organelle(s) is preceded of course by the budding of vesicles from the ER membrane. Sec24p complexed with Sec23p is an essential component of the COPII coat which itself is involved in curving the ER membrane and, most likely, in integral membrane cargo selection (see Introduction 1.3.1). As already mentioned in the Introduction (1.3.2), in *S. cerevisiae* there are two close orthologues to Sec24p, named Sfb2p and Sfb3 (the acronym "Sfb" stands for Sed-five binding). The name "Sfb" was given to them after it was demonstrated that Sec24p is able to bind Sed5p *in vitro* (Peng *et al.*, 1999). Sfb2p/Iss1p (product of YNL049c; Iss1p stands for interacting with sec-sixteen) shares 56% sequence identity with Sec24p, Sfb3p/Lst1p (product of YHR098c; Lst1 stands for lethal with sec-thirteen) shares 23% sequence identity with Sec24p. The three proteins contain a putative zinc-binding motif (CysX2CysX18CysX2Cys), the four conserved cysteines are highlighted in red in Fig. 4.22.

The present study (in collaboration with Dr. R. Peng; Peng *et al.*, 2000) was undertaken to get further insight into the functional relationship between Sec24p, Sfb2p and Sfb3p. It was possible to demonstrate that Sfb2p and Sfb3p are functional COPII components and that they could have a role in specific cargo selection as it was also demonstrated by other research groups (Higashio *et al.*, 2000; Kurihara *et al.*, 2000; Pagano *et al.*, 1999; Roberg *et al.*, 1999; Shimoni *et al.*, 2000).

While SEC24 is an essential gene, both SFB2 and SFB3 are nonessential genes. This was proven by experimental work performed in our laboratory and also in others (Kurihara *et al.*, 2000; Pagano *et al.*, 1999; Peng *et al.*, 2000; Roberg *et al.*, 1999).

4.2.1 Sec24 family proteins are differently expressed in the cell

To study the behavior of Sec24 family proteins, the three different genes in addition to SEC23 were elongated so that their protein products were tagged at their C-termini with MYC epitopes by PCR-mediated epitope tagging as described in Methods (Section 3.3.3). Total protein extracts from the strains ADY1, ADY2, ADY3 and ADY4 (see Appendix Table 7.2), expressing respectively Sfb2p-MYC, Sfb3p-MYC, Sec23p-MYC and Sec24p-MYC, were used to determine the relative abundance of these proteins (see Fig 4.23). The protein extracts were obtained by alkaline lysis or by sonication as described in Methods (3.4.7.b).
Fig. 4.22  Multiple alignment of Sec24p, Sfb2p and Sfb3p.  Identical or similar amino acids in two or all three proteins are shown on black or shaded background, respectively.  In red are the cysteine residues of the putative zinc-finger domain.  The single amino acid change (D351Y) in the sec24-11 allele in the temperature-sensitive strain is also indicated.
Equal amount of protein extracts from these strains were separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to immunoblot analysis with monoclonal anti-MYC and polyclonal anti-Sly1p antibodies, the latter serving as control. The relative abundance of the proteins was calculated by measuring the chemiluminescent intensities of the bands using a Lumi-Imager. The values obtained by Lumi-Imager for the MYC-tagged proteins were normalized on the values obtained for Sly1p. As shown in Fig. 4.23, Sec23p was found to be the most abundant of the four proteins and Sfb2p the least abundant. Note that while the calculated molecular masses are 103.6 kDa for Sec24p, 98.9 kDa for Sfb2p and 103.9 kDa for Sfb3p, on SDS-PAGE the apparent molecular mass of Sfb3p is smaller than the calculated.

**Fig. 4.23** Relative abundance of Sec23p and Sec24p family members. (A) Triplicate samples of total protein after alkaline lysis of MYC-tagged Sec24p-, Sfb2p-, Sfb3p- or Sec23p-producing cells were separated by SDS-PAGE, and subjected to immunoblotting with anti-MYC or anti-Sly1p antibodies. The concentrations were calculated measuring the chemiluminescent intensity of the protein bands with a Lumi-Imager. (B) Histograms representing the relative concentrations of Sec23p, Sec24p and Sfb3p in proportion to Sfb2p as determined by Lumi-Imager analysis. The values were normalized on Sly1p. The data are the average of three measurements derived from two different protein extracts.
4.2.2 Sec24p, Sfb2p and Sfb3p form complexes with Sec23p

It is known that Sec24p forms a stable complex with Sec23p (Hicke et al., 1992). More precisely, it was determined that the binding site for Sec23p lies in between amino acids 56-594 (Peng et al., 1999). To test whether Sfb2p and Sfb3p could also form stable complexes with Sec23p, these proteins were immuno-precipitated and the co-precipitated protein-complexes analyzed. The MYC-tagged proteins were expressed in the previously described ADY1, ADY2, ADY3 and ADY4 strains (see 4.2.1), and were precipitated from 16,000g supernatants (cells lysed in the presence of 1% Triton X-100, see Methods 3.4.13) with polyclonal anti-MYC antibodies. The target and co-precipitated proteins in the "IP" (immunoprecipitation pellet) and the non-precipitated protein in the "supernatant" were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with polyclonal anti-Sec23p and anti-Sec24p antibodies. As shown in Fig. 4.24, immunoprecipitation of Sfb2p-MYC and Sfb3p-MYC led to co-precipitation of Sec23p but not of Sec24p. Immunoprecipitation of Sec23p-MYC led to the complete co-precipitation of Sec24p. In contrast, complete immunoprecipitation of Sec24p-MYC left some of the Sec23p in the supernatant. This argues for Sec23p being in excess over Sec24p, and the exceeding part should be in complex with Sfb2p or Sfb3p.

![Fig. 4.24 Immunoprecipitation of MYC-tagged proteins with anti-MYC antibodies and analysis of co-precipitated Sec24p and Sec23p. The target and co-precipitated proteins in the "IP" and the non-precipitated protein in the "supernatant" were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with polyclonal anti-Sec23p and anti-Sec24p antibodies. The amount of supernatant loaded on each lane of the gel corresponds to 1/20 of the total.](image)

The complexes of Sfb2p and Sfb3p with Sec23p were also observed by other researchers (Higashio et al., 2000; Kurihara et al., 2000; Roberg et al., 1999). Similarly, in mammalian cells hSec23Ap could co-immunoprecipitate with hSec24Bp.
and hSec24Cp (Pagano et al., 1999). In the same study it was determined, by two-hybrid assay, that the interacting domain of hSec24Cp is located in the region within amino acids 485-807 (corresponding to amino acids 289-611 in Sec24p).

4.2.3 Sfb2p behaves differently from Sec24p and Sfb3p on gel filtration chromatography

For further analysis of Sec23p complexes with either Sec24p or its orthologues, the 100,000g supernatant (obtained in the presence of 0.5% CHAPS) from ADY6 strain (expressing Sfb2p-HA and Sfb3-MYC proteins, see Table 7.2) was subjected to Sephacryl S-400 gel filtration (see Methods 3.4.11). As can be seen in Fig. 4.25, all the proteins show an overlapping peak at ~ 250-300 kDa. This is a further proof of Sfb2p and Sfb3p forming complexes with Sec23p as was previously shown for Sec24p (Hicke et al., 1992). Interestingly, a significant portion of Sfb2p, in contrast to the other proteins, eluted from the column at a higher molecular mass (~650 kDa). It is therefore possible that part of Sfb2p is in a large complex free of Sec23p.

![Fig. 4.25](image)

**Fig. 4.25** Gel filtration chromatography on S-400 columns (1.6 cm x 65 cm) of 100,000g supernatants obtained in the presence of 0.5% CHAPS. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to immunoblotting using antibodies specific for the different proteins. The chemiluminescence intensities of the protein bands were evaluated with a Lumi-Imager. The position of molecular size markers used to calibrate the column are indicated.

4.2.4 Intracellular distribution of Sec24p and its orthologues

Previous studies had shown that the Sec23p/Sec24p subcomplex is recruited to the ER membrane in the process of vesicle budding and that it dissociates from vesicles before fusion (Barlowe et al., 1994) (see also Introduction 1.3.1). It is
therefore expected that there is a soluble and a membrane associated pool of the different COPII components.

To see whether Sec23p and Sec24p orthologues form distinguishable complexes and whether the individual proteins might behave differently with respect to membrane association, we examined the distribution of the four COPII components by differential centrifugation of cell lysates (see Methods 3.4.10a and Fig. 4.26), and by sucrose gradients (see Methods 3.4.10b and Fig. 4.26).

By differential centrifugation it is possible to distinguish whether a protein is cytosolic, membrane-associated or part of large protein complexes. As can be seen in Fig. 4.26, all four COPII components were distributed almost evenly between the pellet and supernatant fractions obtained from successive centrifugation at 10.000g and 100.000g. In contrast to Sec24p, a larger fraction of Sfb2p appears to be associated with membranes or in larger pelletable protein complexes. Sfb2p and Sfb3p were more resistant to solubilization with detergent than Sec24p under conditions where the integral membrane protein Bos1p became completely soluble. Treatment of the cell fractions with high salt or urea, conditions expected to dissociate protein complexes, efficiently solubilized all four COPII proteins.

![Fig. 4.26](image-url) Cell fractionation by differential centrifugation. Cleared cell lysates from the ADY6 strain (expressing Sfb2p-HA and Sfb3-MYC proteins, see Table 7.2) were treated on ice for 15 min with buffer alone, or buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, or 1.5 M KCl, or 4 M urea and then subjected to consecutive centrifugation at 10.000g and 100.000g. The different fractions, "P10" (pellet after 10.000g centrifugation), "P100" (pellet after 100.000g centrifugation), "S" (supernatant after 100.000g centrifugation), were separated by SDS-PAGE (12% polyacrylamide gel). Proteins were detected by immunoblotting using anti-MYC, anti-HA, anti-Sec23p, anti-Sec24p and anti-Bos1p antibodies.
In Fig. 4.27, the distribution of the proteins on a 18%-60% sucrose density gradient is shown. The distribution of the membrane proteins Emp47p, Bos1p and Sec61p were determined as controls. At steady state, Emp47p is mostly localized in the Golgi (Schröder et al., 1995), the v-SNARE Bos1p cycles between ER and Golgi (Ossipov et al., 1999) and Sec61p is an ER membrane protein (membrane component of the ER protein translocation apparatus) (Deshaies et al., 1991). Only a minor part of the COPII components tested appeared to be cytosolic (fraction 1-3), the majority fractionated with higher sucrose density of the gradient and partially overlapped with Golgi fractions (fractions 6-10). A very small part of the COPII proteins was found in ER fractions (fractions 11-13). This distribution could be due to either membrane association or to complex formation. There was no significant difference in the distribution of the three different Sec24p family components.

![Fig. 4.27](image)

**Fig. 4.27** Cell fractionation by velocity sedimentation on 18-60% sucrose gradient of ADY6 cells. Fractions 1 to 13 were collected (top to bottom). Proteins from each fraction were separated by SDS-PAGE (12% polyacrylamide gel) and detected by immunoblotting using anti-MYC, anti-HA, anti-Sec23p, anti-Sec24p, anti-Emp47p anti-Bos1p and anti-Sec61p antibodies.
4.2.5 Sfb2p, like Sec24p, binds Sed5p

Previously it was demonstrated by two-hybrid analysis and affinity chromatography that Sec24p could specifically bind the t-SNARE Sed5p (Peng et al., 1999). It was therefore of interest to investigate whether the two Sec24p orthologues would have the same capability. For that reason an affinity chromatography experiment (see Methods 3.4.12) was performed using the GST fusions of all the known yeast syntaxins (lacking their C-terminal transmembrane domains): the ER localized Ufe1p (Lewis and Pelham, 1996), the cis-Golgi Sed5p (Hardwick and Pelham, 1992), the prevacuolar Pep12p (Becherer et al., 1996), the vacuolar Vam3p (Wada et al., 1997), the endosomal and/or late Golgi Tlg1p and Tlg2p (Abeliovich et al., 1998; Holthuis et al., 1998), and the plasma membrane Sso1p and Sso2p (Aalto et al., 1993). The fusion proteins were obtained using the plasmids pTT-VAM3(1-261), pTT-SSO1(2-264), pTT-SSO2(1-267), pTT-TLG1(1-204), pTT-TLG2(1-317), pGEX-UFE1AC, pGEX-SED5AC, pEG-PEP12AC (see Tables 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6).

Except for GST-Pep12p, which was produced in yeast, all the other proteins were produced in bacteria. 500 µg detergent solubilized proteins from ADY1 and ADY2 strains expressing Sfb2p-MYC and Sfb3p-MYC, respectively, were incubated overnight at 4°C with 1 µg GST or GST fusion SNAREs, previously coupled to glutathione-Sepharose beads, in 200 µl lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES, 1% Triton, 150 mM KOAc, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA). After extensive washing with lysis buffer proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting using anti-MYC antibodies. As can be seen in Fig. 4.28, Sfb2p bound Sed5p specifically while Sfb3p could not (under these conditions). In a similar experiment, using only cytosolic proteins and slightly different buffer conditions, a weak interaction could also be detected for Sfb3p (Peng et al., 2000).

Fig. 4.28 500 µg proteins from detergent-lysed yeast cells were incubated with 1 µg purified GST or GST-fusion t-SNAREs which had previously been immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose beads. After intensive washing, the bound proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by immunoblotting with polyclonal anti-MYC antibody.
4.2.6 Sfb2p can rescue the growth defect of sec24-11

To check whether overexpression of Sfb2p or Sfb3p could compensate the loss of Sec24p function, it was tested if these proteins could rescue the growth defect of the temperature-sensitive sec24-11 mutant (RPY18). As can be seen in Fig. 4.29, expression of Sfb2p from a high-copy-number vector (2\( \mu \)) allowed mutant cells to grow whereas high expression of Sfb3p did not. On the contrary, high expression of Sfb3p alone was somehow toxic for the cells (data not shown). Both these data were subsequently confirmed by other researchers (Higashio et al., 2000; Kurihara et al., 2000; Roberg et al., 1999)

![Fig. 4.29 Elevated levels of Sfb2p rescued the growth defect of a sec24-11 mutant (RPY18) at the non-permissive temperature. sec24-11 cells were transformed with the 2\( \mu \) based vectors pRS326-SFB2, pRS325-SFB3 and pRS326-SEC24 (see Tables 2.5 and 2.6) and grown on selective agar plates for 3 days at 37°C or 25°C. (WT) is MSUC-3D transformed with pRS325 and pRS326.](image)

4.2.7 Effects on protein transport of \( \Delta sfb2 \) in combination with the sec24-11 allele

As already mentioned, SFB2 and SFB3 are nonessential genes. Cells lacking either SFB2, SFB3 or both genes, grew like wild type cells at temperatures between 15°C and 37°C. Transport of the vacuolar hydrolases carboxypeptidase Y (CPY) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and secretion of invertase (see Methods 3.3.5 and 3.3.6) seemed to be unaffected. Invertase was apparently not fully glycosylated in the mutant cells (data not shown).
SEC24 is an essential gene and the sec24-11 mutant cannot grow at the non-permissive temperature of 37°C (see Fig. 4.29). Surprisingly only a mild effect on transport of CPY, ALP and invertase was observed at 37°C (see Fig. 4.30).

We tried therefore to combine the cromosomally integrated sec24-11 allele with the deletion of either SFB2 or SFB3. Only the double mutant Δsfb2/sec24-11 could be obtained, the combination of Δsfb3 with sec24-11 led to lethality. In contrast to the single mutants, transport of CPY and ALP was completely blocked in the double mutant Δsfb2/sec24-11 (see Fig. 4.30.A). In this mutant, accumulation of the ER core-glycosylated form of invertase was also observed, but most of the enzyme appeared to reach the periplasmic space in a somewhat hypoglycosylated form (see Fig 4.30.B).

Fig 4.30 (A) Cells from sec24-11 (RPY18), Δsfb2/sec24-11 (RPY72), sec23-1 (RH227-3A) and wild type (MSUC-3D), were grown at 25 °C, transferred to 37 °C for 30 min, pulse-labeled for 10 min and chased for 0, 15 and 30 min at 37 °C. CPY and ALP were immunoprecipitated and separated by SDS-PAGE, followed by autoradiography. "p1"= ER core-glycosylated CPY, "p2"= Golgi-modified CPY, "p"= ER- and Golgi-modified ALP proform and "m"= mature form.

(B) Staining of active invertase in non-denaturing gels. Cells were grown at 25 °C and induced for secreted invertase synthesis at 37°C for 1h. "I"= intracellular fraction, "E"= periplasmic fraction, "S"= secreted form, "ER" = ER form, "C"= cytosolic invertase.
4.2.8 Electron microscopic inspection of the ∆sfb2/sec24-11 mutant

By electron microscopic inspection of potassium permanganate fixed cells we tried to look whether there were morphological differences between wild type (MSUC-3D), ∆sfb2 (RPY61), sec24-11 (RPY18) and ∆sfb2/sec24-11 (RPY72) mutants. Cells were grown at 25°C to mid-log phase, then incubated at 37°C for 1h before fixation. Compared to wild type (Fig. 4.31.A), ∆sfb2 (Fig. 4.31.B) and sec24-11 (Fig. 4.31.C) mutant cells had an about 2-fold increase in the number of 30-50 nm vesicles (indicated by arrowheads). Interestingly, the ∆sfb2/sec24-11 double mutant (Fig. 4.31.D) exhibited enhanced proliferation of ER membranes, and a further increase (approximately 3-fold compared to wild type) of vesicular structures many of which formed aggregates (Fig. 4.31.E); these aggregates were present in about 20 % of the sections. The aggregated structures were of round and sometimes of short rod-like appearance. In some cases it can be seen that they are surrounded by a membrane.

4.2.9 Immunofluorescence detection of one member of the mammalian Sec24p family in monkey CV1 cells

When this work was started, the mammalian homologues of Sec24p were unknown. By database search, a full-length human cDNA clone named KIAA0079 was found sharing significant homology with Sec24p (GenBank accession number D38555, kindly provided to us by Dr. Nomura from the Kazusa DNA research institute, Japan; (Nomura et al., 1994)). The cDNA encodes a 1094 amino acid-long protein with a calculated molecular mass of 118 kDa which is 27.2% identical to Sec24p in 960 overlapping amino acids, 27.8% identical to Sfb2p in 802 overlapping amino acids and 26.4% identical to Sfb3p in 904 overlapping amino acids. The sequence present in the database contains a mistake (an extra base at position 3233 and consequently a frame-shift after amino acid 1040). The sequence shown in Fig. 4.32 is the correct one. This sequence mistake was found after multiple alignment with different ESTs and subsequently confirmed by sequencing.

In order to produce antibodies against this protein, different fragments were cloned and expressed as 6xHis fusion proteins. The two protein fragments, highlighted in Fig. 4.32, corresponding to the sequence regions 365-522 (for simplicity named peptide 2) and 747-992 (peptide 5), respectively, were used as antigens.
Fig. 4.31 Thin-section electron-micrographs of wild type (MSUC-3D; A), Δsfb2 (RPY61; B), sec24-11 (RPY18; C) and Δsfb2/sec24-11 (RPY72; D) mutants. Cells were grown at 37°C for 1 h before potassium permanganate fixation. (E) enlarged view of Δsfb2/sec24-11 double mutant cells showing clusters of round and rod-like membrane-bounded structures. Vesicle aggregates are indicated by an arrow in D, and 30-50 nm vesicles by arrowheads. N= nucleus, V= vacuole, M= mitochondria.
The two 6xHis-tagged fragments were expressed in bacteria containing the expression plasmids pQE30-KIAA0079 (363-522), pQE50-KIAA0079 (363-522) and pQE30-KIAA0079 (747-992) (see Table 7.6). The methods used to produce and purify these antibodies are described in Section 3.5. In Fig. 4.33 an immunoblot of protein extracts from Hela and CV1 cells using the antibodies anti-KIAA0079-2 (serum from rabbits 166 and 167) and anti-KIAA0079-5 (serum from rabbits 168 and 169) is shown.

![Fig. 4.32](image)

Protein encoded by the cDNA KIAA0079 (hSec24Cp). The sequences highlighted represent the two fragments (for simplicity named peptides 2 and 5) used to produce anti-KIAA0079-2 and anti-KIAA0079-5 antibodies, respectively. The putative zinc finger domain is underlined.

The two 6xHis-tagged fragments were expressed in bacteria containing the expression plasmids pQE30-KIAA0079 (363-522), pQE50-KIAA0079 (363-522) and pQE30-KIAA0079 (747-992) (see Table 7.6). The methods used to produce and purify these antibodies are described in Section 3.5. In Fig. 4.33 an immunoblot of protein extracts from Hela and CV1 cells using the antibodies anti-KIAA0079-2 (serum from rabbits 166 and 167) and anti-KIAA0079-5 (serum from rabbits 168 and 169) is shown.

![Fig. 4.33](image)

Protein extracts from Hela (H) and CV1 (C) cells were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with serum from two rabbits (166, 167) immunized with "peptide 2" and from two rabbits (168, 169) immunized with "peptide 5". The calculated molecular mass of the KIAA0079-encoded protein is 118 kDa.
By indirect immunofluorescence with anti-KIAA0079 antibodies it was possible to judge the subcellular localization of KIAA0079-encoded protein in methanol-acetone fixed CV1 cells (see Methods 3.6.3). The following proteins were immuno-labeled and used for reference: protein disulfide-isomerase (PDI), β₁ and β₂ adaptins and Golgi 58K. PDI is a soluble ER resident protein containing at its C-terminus the highly conserved KDEL sequence (Kaetzel *et al.*, 1987); β₁ and β₂ adaptins are components of the adaptor complexes AP-1 and AP-2, the antibodies against β₁ and β₂ adaptins
stain clathrin-coated domains at the plasma membrane and at the Golgi region (Ahle et al., 1988); Golgi 58K is a microtubule-binding peripheral Golgi membrane protein (Bloom and Brashear, 1989).

As can be seen in Fig. 4.34, the anti-KIAA0079 antibodies revealed a punctate pattern scattered throughout the cytoplasm along with some concentration at the perinuclear region (overlapping with Golgi markers). Some of the punctate structures were overlapping with ER structures, as can be seen in the double staining with PDI. This distribution pattern is similar to what was previously described for another COPII component, the mammalian Sec13p (Tang et al., 1997). The same was subsequently observed by other research groups (Pagano et al., 1999; Tang et al., 1999; Tani et al., 1999), who described the entire family of mammalian Sec24 proteins: hSec24Ap, hSec24Bp, hSec24Cp, hSec24Dp. The KIAA0079-encoded protein is hSec24C. hSec24Ap and hSec24Bp seem to form one class sharing about 56% identity, while hSec24Cp and hSec24Dp form another class with about 52% identity. There is about 20% identity between the two pairs (Tang et al., 1999), which is almost the same degree of identity observed for Sec24p, Sfb2p (56% identity between them) and Sfb3p (23% identity with Sec24p and 24% with Sfb2p).
4.3 SECTION III (Epitope tagging vectors)

During my Ph.D. work, I created three plasmids containing a multi-purpose cassette for repeated integrative PCR-mediated epitope tagging (see Methods 3.3.3; De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000). These plasmids were named pU6H2MYC (GenBank accession number AJ132965), pU6H3HA (AJ132966) and pU6H3VSV (AJ132967), because they were created through modification of the plasmid pUG6 (Güldener et al., 1996). A DNA fragment expressing six histidines in combination with either two MYC epitopes (9E10 epitope; Evan et al., 1985), three HA epitopes (12CA5 epitope; Wilson et al., 1984) or three VSV epitopes (P5D4 epitope; Kreis, 1986) was inserted into pUG6. More precisely, three adaptors (see Fig. 4.35) obtained by annealing three pairs of long primers (forward and reverse) were inserted into pUG6 digested with BsiWI and Sall. The resulting plasmids contain the "tag" epitopes fused to the loxP-kanMX-loxP cassette (see Fig. 4.36).

### 6His-2MYC adaptor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BsiWI</th>
<th>BamHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GT AGC GGA TCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAT CAC GGA GAG CAG AAA TTG ATC AGC GAG GAA GAC TTG GCC</td>
<td>G S H H H H H H G E Q K L I S E E D I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4.35** Adaptors used to create pU6H2MYC, pU6H3HA and pU6H3VSV. The adaptors contain the sequences encoding six histidines followed by the sequences encoding either two MYC epitopes, three HA epitopes or three VSV epitopes. The epitope-expressing sequences are followed by two STOP codons. Note that in each adaptor the first epitope (MYC, HA or VSV) is different in nucleotide sequence from the following, so that it is possible to design primers that anneal either in the region of the six histidines or in the region of the first epitope.
The *kanMX* module (Wach *et al*., 1994) consists of the kanamycin resistance gene (from the *E. coli* transposon TN903, coding for aminoglycoside phosphotranspherase; Oka *et al*., 1981) fused to the *Ashibya gossypii* TEF (translation elongation factor) promoter and terminator sequences. The aminoglycoside phosphotransferase activity renders *E. coli* kanamycin resistant and *S. cerevisiae* resistant to the aminoglycoside G418. The loxP flanking sequences allow for the excision of the marker by induction of the Cre recombinase (Güldener *et al*., 1996), see also Methods 3.3.2. An example of a strain expressing two tagged proteins is shown in Fig 4.37.
5 DISCUSSION

To maintain their intricate, internal structure of specialized compartments as well as meeting the necessity to react to changes of environmental conditions, eukaryotic cells have evolved an elaborate transport machinery to selectively deliver biochemical components to their various destinations. Vesicular carrier units are used as vehicles of targeted transport by all eukaryotic cell types. Vesicles of various types exist, each serving one or a small number of specialized functions. Every vesicle is generated by a multi-step process known as budding at a donor compartment's membrane. After its formation, including specific cargo-selection, vesicles travel within the cell in a directed manner to finally meet their target membrane and fuse with it. As already mentioned in the Introduction, the formation of transport vesicles, their correct targeting and the specificity of membrane fusion events depend on a considerable array of proteins, lipids and enzyme complexes, some of which function as structural component while others regulate spatial and temporal aspects of these processes.

COPII vesicles are involved in ER-to-Golgi transport. The assembly of the vesicle's protein coat provides the necessary energy to deform the vesicle's membrane into a spherical shell and also serves as an affinity matrix for the selective partitioning of cargo molecules into the vesicle.

Before the fusion process at the vesicle's target membrane a proof-reading process, involving the compartment-specific Ypt/Rab GTPases, plays an essential role in guaranteeing correct delivery of vesicle contents to their respective target location. These GTPases are important regulators during the tethering/docking of vesicles to their target membranes. Ypt1p and Ypt1 GTPase-interacting proteins belong to these regulators in ER-to-Golgi and intra-Golgi transport.

In this thesis, I described the main characteristics of two close orthologues of the COPII component Sec24p. I described how Sec24p orthologues are presumably actively involved in COPII vesicle formation and I showed that they do possess overlapping and distinct functions. In addition to that I reported the characterization of a new member of the Gyp family of GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), named Gyp5p, which shows remarkable specificity for Ypt1p.
5.1 Sec24p family

In addition to the essential Sec24p in *S. cerevisiae*, there are two non-essential but related proteins named Sfb2p/Iss1p (product of the ORF YNL049c) and Sfb3p/Lst1p (product of the ORF YHR098c), see also Table 1.2. The acronym "SFB" stands for "Sed-five binding". In a previous study, Sec24p was isolated as a protein that interacts with Sed5p (Peng *et al*., 1999) and therefore the protein was temporally (before being recognized as Sec24p) named Sfb1p. The two homologues were consequently named Sfb2p and Sfb3p. Sfb2p, as does Sec24p, binds Sed5p; Sfb3p instead, binds this protein only weakly. Sfb2p shares 56% sequence identity with Sec24p, while Sfb3p shares only 23% sequence identity with Sec24p. The N-terminal regions of these proteins are the least conserved.

Similarly, in mammalian cells, four proteins were identified as components of a Sec24p family and named hSec24A, hSec24B, hSec24C and hSec24D (Pagano *et al*., 1999). hSec24Ap shares 56% identity with hSec24Bp, and hSec24Cp shares 52% identity with hSec24Bp and there is about 20% identity between the two pairs.

The four cysteines of the putative Zn$^{2+}$ binding motif are conserved in all the Sec24p homologues from yeast to man (see Fig. 5.1). In a previous study it was demonstrated that a single cysteine change renders the yeast Sec24p nonfunctional, but this does not interfere with Sec23p or Sed5p binding (Peng *et al*., 1999).

![Fig. 5.1](image-url) Multiple alignment of the putative Zn$^{2+}$ binding region sequences from human and yeast Sec24p related proteins, highlighted in red are the four cysteine residues of the motif. Identical or similar amino acids in four or more sequences are shown on black or shaded background, respectively.

5.1.1 Sfb2p

Among the three homologues Sfb2p is the least abundant. It was calculated that the intracellular concentrations ratio [Sec24p]:[Sfb2p]:[Sfb3p] is about 3.4:1:2.8.
When overexpressed, Sfb2p can rescue the growth defect of the temperature-sensitive sec24-11 mutant. Like Sec24p (Hicke et al., 1992), Sfb2p, forms stable complexes with Sec23p in vivo, Sec23p but not Sec24p could in fact be immunoprecipitated together with Sfb2p. According to the co-immunoprecipitation analyses, Sec23p is in excess over Sec24p. It is therefore easy to think that the exceeding Sec23p can be in complex with Sfb2p or Sfb3p. By gel filtration chromatography it was found that a portion of Sfb2p was overlapping with the Sec23p peak at about 250-300 kDa, but unlike Sec23p, Sec24p and Sfb3p, a significant portion of Sfb2p eluted from the column with a molecular mass of around 650 kDa. It is therefore possible that Sfb2p might form larger complexes without Sec23p. Cell fractionation experiments also documented that Sfb2p is a membrane-associated protein and a component of complexes. It was found in cellular fractions sedimenting at 10,000 g and 100,000 g.

Secretion of CPY and ALP was completely blocked in a double Δsfb2/sec24-11 mutant at the non permissive temperature, while in the single mutants these proteins were normally processed. Instead, invertase is secreted to a large extent, and only a small portion of invertase is accumulated in its ER core-glycosylated form. This phenomenon is not easily explainable, unless one would hypothesize a more efficient packaging of invertase with Sfb3p being the only functional protein. This could be a clue about a different role in cargo selection of the different Sec24p related proteins.

The electron microscopic inspection of the Δsfb2/sec24-11 mutant revealed ER proliferation and the accumulation (about three-fold compared to wild type) of membrane-enclosed vesicular and rod-like structures, sometimes organized in clusters. The accumulated vesicles have an approximate size of 30-50 nm, a size typical for ER-derived transport intermediates that occasionally were also found in clusters in other sec mutants with defects in fusion (Kaiser and Schekman, 1990). It is quite difficult to give an explanation for the accumulation of these vesicles in a mutant in which both Sec24p and Sfb2p function is impaired. It might be that Sfb3p is enough to permit vesicle budding, but the vesicles so produced cannot fuse efficiently with their target membrane. This inability to fuse efficiently could be due either to the incorrect packaging into the vesicle of proteins required for docking and fusion or to a direct involvement of Sec24p and Sfb2p in vesicle docking and fusion processes.

Most of the data presented here were confirmed by other researchers (Higashio et al., 2000; Kurihara et al., 2000). In addition they reported that Sfb2p could physically
interact with Sec16p (and for that reason they named this protein Iss1p = interacting with sec-sixteen), and that there was a synthetic lethal interaction between ISS1 (SFB2) and SEC22 and BET1 but not with SEC12, SEC13, SEC16, SEC23, SEC17, and SEC18, as was the case for SEC24 (Kurihara et al., 2000). They could also demonstrate that purified Sfb2p/Sec23p complexes could drive vesicle formation from the ER in vitro and that the vesicles were comparable to those produced with Sec24p/Sec23p complex, but the packaging efficiency was lower in Sfb2p containing vesicles.

5.1.2 Sfb3p

*SFB3* encodes a ~100 kDa membrane-associated protein that is expressed at higher levels than Sfb2p but at slightly lower levels than Sec24p. Co-immunoprecipitation and chromatographic experiments revealed that Sfb3p, like Sec24p and Sfb2p, in vivo forms complexes with Sec23p that can associate with membranes in cellular fractions sedimenting at 10,000g and 100,000g. Unlike Sfb2p, Sfb3p could not rescue the growth defect of the temperature-sensitive sec24-11 mutant. On the contrary, its overexpression was toxic for the cells. The deletion of *SFB3* did not induce any visible phenotype but its combination with the sec24-11 allele resulted in lethality. Since the Δsfb2/sec24-11 combination was possible, one could speculate that Sfb3p might have a more critical role in transport than Sfb2p.

Other researchers isolated *SFB3* in a screen for mutants that are lethal in combination with sec13-1 and named it LST1 (lethal with sec-thirteen) (Roberg et al., 1999). The same investigators found that Δlst1 is lethal when combined with mutations in genes required for COPII vesicle budding (*SEC12, SEC13, SEC16, SEC23, SEC24, SEC31*), but it is not lethal when combined with mutations in genes required for vesicle fusion (*SEC17, SEC18*). It was furthermore demonstrated that deletion of *LST1* (*SFB3*) reduces the secretion of a subset of soluble proteins (Pagano et al., 1999) and inhibits the transport of the plasma membrane proton-ATPase (Pma1p) to the cell surface (Roberg et al., 1999). Subsequently it was demonstrated that Sec24p and Lst1p (Sfb3p) are present on the membrane of the same COPII vesicles and cooperate in sorting Pma1p. Vesicles formed with a mixture of Sec23p/Lst1p and Sec23p/Sec24 complexes were morphologically similar and with a similar buoyant density, but ~15% larger in diameter than normal COPII vesicles (Shimoni et al., 2000). However, Sec23p/Lst1p (Sfb3p), in contrast to Sec23p/Iss1p (Sfb2p), could not drive vesicle formation efficiently (Shimoni et al., 2000).
5.1.3 Why are there three Sec24 related proteins?

As mentioned above, a family of Sec24p-related proteins exists not only in yeast. As we and other researchers documented, all the members of the family are expressed, even if at different levels, and all can form complexes with Sec23p. This would argue in favor of a direct involvement of all the different Sec24p members in COPII-mediated transport. However, there are differences among the members. In yeast we have seen that only Sec24p is essential for viability, the two others are not. We observed that $SFB3$ deletion is lethal when combined with mutation in $SEC24$ gene. Instead, in the $\Delta sfb2/sec24-11$ mutant there was a block in transport of the vacuolar enzymes CPY and ALP. Only overexpression of Sfb2p, which is the most similar to Sec24p, and which is the least expressed in the cell, can partially substitute for Sec24p function. Sfb3p on the contrary is somehow toxic when overexpressed, but this effect is overcome when overexpression was performed in combination with Sfb2p or with Sec24p. Previously it was reported that also overproduction of Sec23p impairs cell growth (Kurihara et al., 2000) and that excess of Sec23p inhibits the budding reaction in vitro (Yoshihisa et al., 1993). It is therefore probable that an appropriate balance of Sec23p, Sec24p and its related proteins is important for efficient budding. It is also known that during the process of vesicle formation, cargo proteins are specifically packaged, whereas ER resident proteins are excluded (Kuehn et al., 1998; Kuehn and Schekman, 1997; Matsuoka et al., 1998a). A subset of COPII components, Sar1p and Sec23p/Sec24p, is thought to be involved in cargo recognition and recruitment (Aridor et al., 1998; Kuehn et al., 1998; Springer and Schekman, 1998). We also found a direct interaction of Sec24p and Sfb2p with the t-SNARE Sed5p (Peng et al., 2000; Peng et al., 1999). Therefore it is not unreasonable to hypothesize that Sec24p family members are involved in packaging and sorting of the cargo. The two non essential proteins Sfb2p and Sfb3p could be involved in sorting and concentrating of some subtype of cargo into the nascent vesicle. The specificity of Sfb3p for particular proteins was actually demonstrated (Pagano et al., 1999; Roberg et al., 1999; Shimoni et al., 2000).

We also found that Sfb2p could be part of a large (~650 kDa) protein complex. There is therefore the possibility that this COPII component acts in processes other than budding and cargo selection. Finally, the interaction observed for both Sec24p and Sfb2p with Sed5p could also be an indication for the involvement of these
proteins in another step of transport, for example tethering/docking. However, the latter hypotheses are simple speculations and require further investigations.

5.2 Ypt/Rab proteins as regulators of protein transport

Once the cargo-containing vesicles have pinched off from the donor organelle membrane, they must be targeted to and fuse with the correct target membrane. As was shown in the Introduction, many proteins are involved in tethering, docking and fusion events. Ypt/Rab proteins are important regulators of the tethering/docking processes. They might be involved in recruiting tethering and docking factors and/or in the removal of inhibitors of SNARE complex assembly, as could be proteins of the Sec1 family. Ypt/Rab proteins are GTPases that cycle between a GDP-bound (inactive) and a GTP-bound (active) form. This functional cycle is tightly controlled through the interaction with guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) which stimulate the exchange of GDP for GTP, and GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) which accelerate the slow intrinsic GTPase activity of Ypt/Rab proteins. In yeast these GTPase activating proteins were named Gyp (Gap for Ypt) (Strom et al., 1993).

The GTPase that regulates the transport between the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus is Ypt1p. Here, I report on a new Gyp protein the preferred substrate of which turned out to be Ypt1p.

5.3 Gyp proteins and the "GYP domain"

Advanced homology search algorithms (Neuwald, 1997) identified sequence motifs (termed motifs A, B, C, D, E, F, see Fig. 4.2) shared among three known Gyp proteins (Gyp1p, Gyp6p, Gyp7p) and many other proteins from different species, among them several yeast proteins with unknown function. The six motifs are localized within the catalytic domain of Gyp proteins (Albert et al., 1999), therefore we referred to this region as the "GYP domain". However, additional sequences C-terminal of the GYP domain are required for GAP activity (see below). The six motifs harbor three highly conserved "fingerprint sequences" (RxxxW, in motif A; IxxDxxR, in motif B; and YxQ, in motif C) and in addition a conserved aspartic acid residue in motif F (see Figs. 4.2 and 5.2).
A detailed mutational analysis of the catalytically active regions of Gyp1p and Gyp7p (Albert et al., 1999) revealed that the arginine residue in motif B (R343 in Gyp1p) is critical for the catalytic activity. This was an indication for a generalized "arginine finger" mechanism of GTPase activation as described for Ras- and Rho-GAP (Scheffzek et al., 1998). After the crystal structure of Gyp1p GAP-domain had been resolved (Rak et al., 2000), it was seen that the critical catalytic arginine is positioned in the presumed GTPase binding cleft of the molecule (see Fig. 1.9) and forms a salt bridge with the conserved aspartic acid in motif B (see Fig. 5.2). The conserved residues are clustered in two different areas of the Ypt1-GAP domain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gyp1p</th>
<th>337 340 343</th>
<th>376 378</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gyp2p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp3p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp4p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gyp5p</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp6p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp7p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp8p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gyp1p</th>
<th>286 290 502</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gyp2p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp3p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp4p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gyp5p</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp6p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp7p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp8p</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5.2** Multiple alignment of the regions containing the "fingerprint sequences" IxxDxxR (in motif B), YxQ (in motif C), RxxW (in motif A) and the conserved aspartic acid (in motif F). The numbers at the top indicate the residues' positions relative to Gyp1p, the blue numbers at the bottom indicate the residues' positions relative to Gyp5p. Under each alignment group the ball-and-stick representation (visualized with WebLab Viewer) of the conserved residues' side chains in Gyp1p is given. The residues can interact via hydrogen and ionic bonds (H-bond are detected when the distance between donor and acceptor atom is from 2.35 up to 3.2 Angstroms). The oxygen atoms are in red, the nitrogen atoms are in blue. White numbers and sticks indicate the distance between the atoms (in Angstroms).
The residues of the IxxDxxR and YxQ "fingerprint sequences" cluster at the surface of the potential binding cleft of the molecule and can interact via hydrogen and ionic bonds. The conserved aspartic acid in motif F can interact with the conserved residues of the RxxxW "fingerprint sequence". These residues cluster in the central core of the molecule and their interaction seems to be important for the stability of Gyp1p (see Fig. 5.2). To date there are eight yeast proteins proven to be Ypt-GAPs (see Table 1.3) among them Gyp5p.

5.4 Gyp5p

One of the unknown yeast proteins containing the GYP domain was the product of the ORF \textit{YPL249c}, and we named it Gyp5p. Gyp5p is a 101.8 kDa (894 amino acids) cytosolic protein, as could be demonstrated by cell fractionation. The GYP domain is contained between the amino acids 451-624 (see Figs. 4.2 and 4.3), but for catalytic activity additional C-terminal regions are required. Expressing different fragments of Gyp5p as 6xHis fusion proteins it was possible to determine that the catalytic region of the protein was situated in the C-terminal half of the molecule. The N-terminal 430 amino acids were dispensable for GAP activity, whereas the deletion of the C-terminal 261 amino acids rendered this GAP inactive. The minimum active fragment obtained was comprised of amino acids 400-759 (see Fig. 4.3). We do not know the function of the N-terminal half of the protein. It might serve a role in regulating the activity through the interaction with other proteins or it could be necessary for the recruitment of the GAP to a specific cellular location where its activity is required. Computer analysis with the program COILS revealed also a potential coiled-coil region at the C-terminus of Gyp5, between the amino acids 730-870. This part of the molecule (at least after amino acid 759) is dispensable for GAP activity but it could be involved in protein-protein interactions.

5.4.1 Ypt1p is the preferred substrate of Gyp5p

The Gyp5(400-892) fragment was tested for its GAP activity on different GTPases in a standard HPLC-based GAP assay (20 \(\mu\)M GTP-loaded GTPases and 0.1 \(\mu\)M Gyp5p(400-892)). Ypt1p turned out to be the best substrate by far. At standard conditions, the intrinsic GTPase activity of Ypt1p was accelerated 150-fold, that of Sec4p 20-fold and those of other GTPases were not accelerated at all.
For further characterization of the Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)}/Ypt1p interaction, the $K_m$ and $k_{cat}$ values were calculated from single time curves using the integrated Michaelis-Menten equation. A $K_m$ of 400 $\mu$M and a $k_{cat}$ of 9 s\textsuperscript{-1} were calculated. Given an intrinsic GTP hydrolysis rate of 0.0035 min\textsuperscript{-1}, the GTPase activity of Ypt1p is maximally accelerated 1.6x10\textsuperscript{5}-fold by the action of Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)}. Therefore, although Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)} has a very low affinity for its preferred substrate, Ypt1p, the activation rate of the GTPase is comparable with the values obtained for other GAPs (see Table 5.1).

Table 5.1 Catalytic properties of different GTPase activating proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAP</th>
<th>GTPase</th>
<th>$K_m$ ($\mu$M)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Activation (-fold)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)}</td>
<td>Ypt1p</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6x10\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp1-46p</td>
<td>Ypt51p</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.5x10\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>(Albert \textit{et al.}, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp3p/Msb3p</td>
<td>Sec4p</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>5x10\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>(Albert and Gallwitz, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST-Gyp6p</td>
<td>Ypt6p</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>5.1 x10\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>(Will and Gallwitz, 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp7p</td>
<td>Ypt7p</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2x10\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>(Albert \textit{et al.}, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyp7-47p</td>
<td>Ypt7p</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.8x10\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>(Albert \textit{et al.}, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p120-GAP</td>
<td>H-Ras</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.6 x10\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>(Gideon \textit{et al.}, 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP-334</td>
<td>H-Ras</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.5x x10\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>(Gideon \textit{et al.}, 1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF1-230</td>
<td>H-Ras</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.1 x10\textsuperscript{4}</td>
<td>(Ahmadian \textit{et al.}, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P190</td>
<td>RhoA</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>4.4 x10\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>(Zhang and Zheng, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p50RhoGAP</td>
<td>RhoA</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.7x10\textsuperscript{3}</td>
<td>(Zhang and Zheng, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ran-GAP</td>
<td>Ran/TC4</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2x10\textsuperscript{5}</td>
<td>(Klebe \textit{et al.}, 1995)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4.2 Gyp5, like other Gyp proteins, contains a putative catalytic arginine finger

It was previously demonstrated that Gyp1p, Gyp7p (Albert \textit{et al.}, 1999) and Gyp6p (Will and Gallwitz, 2001), contain an arginine residue in motif B (R343 in Gyp1p, R458 in Gyp7p and R155 in Gyp6p) that is absolutely critical for GAP activity. This led to the hypothesis that an arginine finger mechanism similar to that described for Ras- and Rho-GAPs (Scheffzek \textit{et al.}, 1997; Scheffzek \textit{et al.}, 1998; Wittinghofer \textit{et al.}, 1997) could have been adopted by all Gyp proteins. Here, I demonstrate that also Gyp5p contains a critical catalytic arginine (R496) in motif B. Arginine-496 of the active fragment Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)} was mutated to either alanine or lysine (conservative exchange) and the accelerating activity of these mutant proteins on Ypt1p was evaluated. By kinetic analysis under standard conditions (0.2 $\mu$M Gyp5p and 20 $\mu$M Ypt1p) I observed
that both the R496A and the R496K mutations resulted in an apparently complete inactivation of Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)}. Only by strongly increasing the concentration of the R496K mutant (11 µM Gyp5p\textsuperscript{R496K} and 55 µM Ypt1p) a 4-fold acceleration of GTP hydrolysis could be observed. This shows that even mutated Gyp5p\textsuperscript{R496K} is able to interact with its substrate but its activity is severely compromised.

5.4.3 Gyp5p can accelerate the GTPase activity of the Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutant

The Q67L mutation of Ypt1p is analogous to the oncogenic Q61L mutation of p21\textsuperscript{ras}. This Ras mutant has an impaired intrinsic GTPase and is insensitive towards GAP (Bollag and McCormick, 1991; Der et al., 1986). It was proposed that during the GTPase reaction, glutamine-61 would activate a water molecule for a nucleophilic attack of the \(\gamma\)-phosphate (Krengel et al., 1990; Pai et al., 1990; Sprang, 1997). Alternatively, it was proposed that the role of glutamine-61 is involved in the transition-state stabilization of the hydrolysis reaction (Privé et al., 1992).

The Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutant has a strongly decreased intrinsic GTPase activity (<0.0002 min\(^{-1}\), wt = 0.0035 min\(^{-1}\)). Surprisingly, Gyp5p, in contrast to Ras-Gap, can accelerate the GTPase activity of Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L}. The GTPase activity of 20 µM Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L} in the presence of 2 µM Gyp5p\textsubscript{(400-892)} can be accelerated ~400-fold. Therefore, against common belief, a mutant analogous to Q61L Ras was sensitive towards GAP action. We don't know the molecular mechanism that allows the hydrolysis of GTP bound to Ypt1p\textsuperscript{Q67L}. Glutamine-67 of Ypt1p seems to be important for GTP hydrolysis, in fact the intrinsic GTPase activity is drastically reduced. A possible hypothesis is that Gyp5p supplies amino acid residues for the glutamine of the GTPase that can mimic its function in the activation of the water molecule for the nucleophilic attack of the \(\gamma\)-phosphate or in the transition-state stabilization. Gyp proteins could therefore constitute a new class of GAPs able to activate GTPases mutated in the critical conserved glutamine.

5.4.4 Is GTP hydrolysis important \textit{in vivo}?

Deletion of \textit{GYP5} alone or in combination with \textit{GYP1} and \textit{GYP8} (the proteins product of which are known to be GAPs for Ypt1p, too) did not induce any particular mutant phenotype. Therefore, we thought to combine the \textit{GYP} gene deletions with \textit{ypt1}\textsuperscript{Q67L}. Analyzing growth at different temperatures of the different mutant strains it was observed that \textit{ypt1}\textsuperscript{Q67L} became cold-sensitive (at 15°C) when the \textit{GYP} genes
were missing. The strongest growth defect was observed for strains lacking \textit{GYP5}. This was the first indication for an interaction of Gyp5p and Ypt1p \textit{in vivo}, and also an indication that Ypt1p is more conditioned by Gyp5p than by the other two Gyp proteins (that \textit{in vitro} were also active on Ypt1p).

When the \textit{ypt1}^{Q67L} allele replaced the wild type \textit{YPT1} gene in the protease deficient strain cl3-ABYS-86, it was observed that the cells could not grow at 15°C. This growth defect could be partially rescued by overexpression of Gyp5p\textsubscript{8-892} but not by the catalytically active fragment Gyp5p\textsubscript{400-892}. Therefore, the N-terminal part of the protein, that is not important \textit{in vitro} for the catalytic activity, became important \textit{in vivo}. This region could be important to target and concentrate the enzyme to a specific cellular location where GAP activity is required.

We tested whether the different \textit{gyp} null mutants in combination with \textit{ypt1}^{Q67L} had secretion defects. Transport of CPY and invertase were not affected at the restrictive temperature. Only a slight retardation in Gas1p maturation was observed, but this was probably due to the growth defects of these cells and not to a transport defect.

Surprisingly, the \textit{ypt1}^{Q67L} mutant, but much more the double mutant \textit{Δgyp5/ypt1}^{Q67L}, exhibited an altered morphological phenotype, visible under the electron microscope, and also under the fluorescence microscope by FM 4-64 staining (that visualizes vacuolar membranes). The double mutant cells exhibited, already at the permissive temperature, an accumulation of ER membranes and of various membrane bounded structures. These included vesicles of different size and structures resembling autophagosomes. In addition, vacuoles were fragmented and often showed large invaginations resembling autophagic tubes (Müller \textit{et al.}, 2000) and engulfed multivesicular bodies.

Therefore, despite a lack of transport defects, as expected for mutants of proteins involved in docking/fusion processes, growth defects and morphological changes were observed. We should keep in mind that Ypt1p in the double mutant \textit{Δgyp5/ypt1}^{Q67L} is probably kept in a "permanently" active state. This could make vesicle transport more active, up to the point that too much material is transported and accumulated. The different membraneous structures accumulated in the cytoplasm and inside the vacuoles could be due to an overload of material that cannot be used and needs to be eliminated, probably through autophagocytosis. Autophagocytosis is a major protein degradation process that allows the transfer of cytosolic proteins and organelles into lysosomes (for review see Klionsky and Emr,
2000; Seglen and Bohley, 1992). It operates at the constitutive level, but can be induced under condition of stress such as starvation. In our case, the stress condition could be due to over-active transport with a subsequent overload of materials that have to be eliminated. Autophagocytosis is distinguished into macro- and microautophagocytosis. Macroautophagocytosis occurs through the formation of autophagosomes which are specialized vesicle bounded by double or multiple membranes (Scott and Klionsky, 1998). The origin of these vesicles is unknown, both ER and Golgi have been proposed as precursors. Microautophagocytosis induces direct invagination of lysosomes (vacuoles in yeast), leading to the formation of autophagic tubes and single membrane bounded vesicles in the vacuolar lumen that are rapidly degraded. Microautophagocytosis was proposed to be directly connected to macroautophagocytosis (Müller et al., 2000). In our electron micrographs, membrane-bounded structures, resembling both autophagosomes and autophagic tubes, could be detected.

It is interesting to note that autophagocytosis could be demonstrated in yeast with proteinase-deficient mutants (Takeshige et al., 1992), because cellular components sequestered in the vacuoles could not be degraded and accumulated. We observed that ypt1$^{Q67L}$ mutants in the cI3-ABYS-86 strain background (a protease deficient strain) showed more severe growth defects than mutants in the MSUC-3D background. In addition, it was impossible to replace the wild type YPT1 gene with the ypt1$^{Q67L}$ allele in Δgyp5/Δgyp1, Δgyp5/Δgyp8 and Δgyp1/Δgyp8 mutants in cI3-ABYS-86 strain background. This could be due to the fact that mutant cells, where vesicular transport is "over-active", accumulate material that needs to be eliminated, but in proteinase deficient strains, cellular components sequestered in the vacuoles cannot be degraded, and therefore, proteinase deficient strains suffer more than others from the "permanently" activated state of Ypt1p.

The effects induced by the Q67L mutation in Ypt1p were studied also by other researchers (Richardson et al., 1998). These investigators could not observe any particular altered phenotype in ypt1$^{Q67L}$ and concluded that GTP hydrolysis is not important for Ypt1p GTPase function in vivo, but only for recycling of Ypt1p between compartments. Their conclusions, however, did not take into proper consideration the action of GAP proteins.

Other investigators analyzed sec4$^{Q79L}$, rab2$^{Q65L}$, rab5$^{Q79L}$ and rab6$^{Q72L}$. sec4$^{Q79L}$ cells were cold sensitive at 14°C, and the investigators observed that a decrease in GTPase activity led to a loss of Sec4p function (Walworth et al., 1992). Rab2p$^{Q65L}$
was found to be an inhibitor of ER-to-Golgi transport when overexpressed (Tisdale et al., 1992). This was attributed to the fact that this mutant protein could stimulate vesicle formation from pre-Golgi compartments altering the correct distribution of VTCs (vesicular tubular clusters) (Tisdale, 1999). Rab5p\textsuperscript{Q79L} stimulated membrane fusion in endocytosis (Stenmark et al., 1994), and subsequently it was suggested that GTP hydrolysis acts as a timer that determines the frequency of membrane docking/fusion events (Rybin et al., 1996). Rab6p is involved in intra-Golgi transport, and overexpression of Rab6p\textsuperscript{Q72L} induces a brefeldinA-like effect redistributing Golgi resident proteins into the ER (Martinez et al., 1997).

Our data would support a role of the GTPase control as a timer, as it was previously postulated (Rybin et al., 1996), regulating the velocity and efficiency of transport depending on the needs of the cell. When this timer is blocked, as it is the case in our Δgyp5/ypt1\textsuperscript{Q67L} mutant, there is accumulation of materials that find a different way to be eliminated, as for example by autophagocytosis.
6 SUMMARY

In this thesis, I described the main characteristics of two close orthologues of the COPII component Sec24p. These proteins were named Sfb2p and Sfb3p. I described how Sec24p orthologues are most likely actively involved in COPII vesicle formation and in cargo selection. It was found that SFB2 and SFB3 are dispensable, but combining Δsfb2 null with the sec24-11 conditional allele led to serious secretion defects. The combination of Δsfb3 with the sec24-11 allele resulted in lethality. Both Sfb2p and Sfb3p form stable complexes with Sec23p. Sfb2p was also found in a large complex without Sec23p. It was also observed that Sfb2p, like Sec24p, interacts with Sed5p, while the interaction of Sfb3p with Sed5p is very weak.

In addition to that, I characterized a new member of the Gyp family of Ypt/Rab-specific GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), named Gyp5p, which shows remarkable specificity for Ypt1p. Furthermore, I investigated the biological function of Ypt1p GTP hydrolysis in the cells. I demonstrated that Gyp5p, like other GAP proteins, contains a critical catalytic arginine and that it is able to accelerate the GTP hydrolysis not only of Ypt1p but also that of the Ypt1pQ67L mutant. The Δgyp5/ypt1Q67L mutant is cold-sensitive at 15°C and shows morphological alterations (also at 30°C) that are reminiscent of autophagy.
7 APPENDIX

7.1 Bacterial and yeast strains and mammalian cell lines

7.1.1 Bacterial E. coli strains

Table 7.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DH5α</td>
<td>$F^\phi80, d lacZ \Delta M15 \Delta (lacZYA-argF) U169, endA1, recA1, hsdR17(rK, mK^*), deoR, thi-1, supE44, \lambda, gyrA96, relA1$</td>
<td>Gibco-BRL (Karlsruhe, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5α F'IQ</td>
<td>$F^\prime, pro, AB^{+}, lac^R, Z\Delta M15, zzf::Tn5[Kan^\prime]/, \phi80, d lacZ\Delta M15, \Delta (lacZYA-argF) U169, endA1, recA1, hsdR17(rK, mK^*), deoR, thi-1, supE44, \lambda, gyrA96, relA1$</td>
<td>Gibco-BRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X11Blu</td>
<td>$endA1, recA1, gyrA96, thi^+, hsd, R17(rK, mK^*), supE44, relA1, lac^+$ ([F, traD36, proAB, lacF^R, Z\Delta M15, Tn10(Tc^\prime)])</td>
<td>Stratagene (Heidelberg, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origami (DE3)</td>
<td>$\Delta ara-leu7697, \Delta lacX74, \Delta phoApvull, phoR, araD139, galE, galK, rspL, F', [lac, (lacF^\prime), pro, gor522::Tn10(Tc^\prime), trxB::kan, , (DE3)]$</td>
<td>Novagen (Darmstadt, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21</td>
<td>$F, ompT, hsdS^{(rB-mB^-)}, gal, dcm$</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3)</td>
<td>$F, ompT, hsdS^{(rB-mB^-)}, gal, dcm, , (DE3)$</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M15[pREP4]</td>
<td>$F, Nal^S, Str^S, Rif^S, Thi^+, Lac, Ara^+, Gal^+, Mtl^+, recA^+, Uvr^+, Lon^+$</td>
<td>QIAGEN (Hilden)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For a description of gene nomenclature see (Bachmann, 1987).

7.1.2 Yeast strains

Table 7.2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cl3-ABYS-86</td>
<td>$MAT^\alpha, ura3-\Delta5, leu2-3, 112, his3, pra1-1, prb1-1, proc1-1, cps1-3, can^R$</td>
<td>D. H. Wolf, Univ. of Stuttgart, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSUC-3D</td>
<td>$MAT^\alpha, ura3, trp1, leu2, his3, lys2$</td>
<td>This Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSUC-IA</td>
<td>$MATa, ura3, trp1, leu2, his3, ade8$</td>
<td>This Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec18-1</td>
<td>$MAT^\alpha, leu2$</td>
<td>Dr. H. D. Schmitt, this Department (Horazdovsky et al., 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHY11</td>
<td>$MATa, ura3-52, his3\Delta200, trp1\Delta901, ade2-101, suc2-\Delta9, leu2-3, 112::pBHY11, (CPY-InvLEU2)$</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH227-3A</td>
<td>$MATa, ura3, leu2, his3, sec23-1$</td>
<td>Prof. H. Riezman, Univ. of Basel, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPY18</td>
<td>$MATa, ura3, trp1, leu2, his3, ade8, sec24-11$</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPY61</td>
<td>$MATa, ura3, trp1, leu2, his3, ade8, sfb2::kanMX4$</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPY63</td>
<td>$MATa, ura3, trp1, leu2, his3, lys2, sfb2::kanMX4, sfb2::LEU$</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPY72</td>
<td>$MATa, ura3, trp1, leu2, his3, ade8, sec24-11, sfb2::kanMX4$</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY1</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB2-6His-2MYC-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000; Peng et al., 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY1/K^-</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB2-6His-2MYC-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY2</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB3-6His-2MYC-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000; Peng et al., 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY2/K^-</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB3-6His-2MYC-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY3</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SEC23-6His-2MYC-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000; Peng et al., 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY4</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SEC24-6His-2MYC-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000; Peng et al., 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY5</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB2-6His-2MYC-loxP SFB3-6His-3HA-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY6</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB2-6His-3HA-loxP-KanMX-loxP SFB3-6His-2MYC-loxP</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY7</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SEC24-6His-3HA-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY8</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB2-6His-3HA-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY9</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB3-6His-3HA-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY10</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SEC24-6His-3SVS-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY11</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SEC24-6His-3SVS-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY12</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SFB3-6His-3SVS-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY13</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R SEC24-6His-3HA-loxP-KanMX-loxP SFB3-6His-2MYC-loxP</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY14</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R GYP5-6His-2MYC-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY15</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R GYP5-6His-3HA-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY16</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R GYP5-6His-3SVS-loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY20</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R gyp1::loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY20/K^-</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R gyp1::loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY21</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY21/K^-</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R gyp5::loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY23</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 can^R gyp8::loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Strains used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADY24</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5:loxP::loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY24/K</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP gyp1::loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY25</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP gyp8::loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY25/K</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP gyp8::loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY26</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP gyp8::loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY27</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP gyp1::loxP gyp8::loxP-KanMX-loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY28</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP gyp1::loxP gyp8::loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY29</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY30</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY31</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY32</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY33</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY34</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY35</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY36</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY37</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY38</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY39</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY40</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY41</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY42</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY43</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY44</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY45</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY46</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY47</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY48</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY49</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY50</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY51</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADY52</td>
<td>MATα ura3-Δ5 leu2-3 112 his3 pra1-1 prb1-1 prc1-1 cps1-3 canR gyp5::loxP-KanMX-loxP ypt1 G67L -LEU2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The strains were generated using the indicated genetic modifications. All strains were verified by PCR and/or sequencing to confirm the presence of the desired modifications.
For a description of gene nomenclature see (Rose et al., 1990; Sherman, 1991).

7.1.3 Mammalian tissue culture cell lines

The cell lines, the equipment, and materials for cell culture were gently provided by Prof. Mary Osborn (department of biochemistry and cell biology, MPI for Biophysical Chemistry). Cells were cultivated on Petri dishes in DME 10% FCS medium at 37 °C 95% humidity 7% CO₂ (I was helped for cell culture by Heinz Juergen Dehne and Susanne Brandfass)

Table 7.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Cell type</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HeLa</td>
<td>Human cervix adenocarcinoma epithelioid</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV1</td>
<td>African green monkey kidney fibroblastic</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 Plasmids

7.2.1 *E. coli* cloning and expression vectors

Table 7.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pBluescript SK⁺</td>
<td>General cloning vector. Ampicillin resistance.</td>
<td>Stratagene (Heidelberg, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE50</td>
<td>Expression vector. T5 promoter, lac operator, ampicillin resistance</td>
<td>QIAGEN (Hilden, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE30</td>
<td>Expression vector for production of N-terminus 6xHis tagged proteins. T5 promoter, lac operator, ampicillin resistance</td>
<td>QIAGEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET12c</td>
<td>Expression vector. T7 promoter, ampicillin resistance</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30a</td>
<td>Expression vector for production of N-terminus 6xHis-tag and S-tag proteins or C-terminus 6xHis fusion proteins. T7 promoter, lac operator, lacI repressor, kanamycin resistance</td>
<td>Novagen (Darmstadt, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET32a</td>
<td>Expression vector for production of N-terminus Trx-tag, 6xHis-tag and S-tag proteins or C-terminus 6xHis fusion proteins. T7 promoter, lac operator, lacI repressor, ampicillin resistance</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.2.2 Yeast vectors

**Table 7.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pUG6</td>
<td>Plasmid containing the loxP-\textit{KanMX}-loxP gene disruption cassette.</td>
<td>(Güldener et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSH47</td>
<td>Vector containing \textit{cre}, \textit{GAL1} promoter, CEN, \textit{URA3}</td>
<td>(Güldener et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pU6H2MYC</td>
<td>Plasmid containing the (6\text{His-2MYC-}\text{loxP-\textit{KanMX-}\text{loxP}}) cassette for PCR mediated epitope tagging.</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pU6H3HA</td>
<td>Plasmid containing the (6\text{His-3HA-}\text{loxP-\textit{KanMX-}\text{loxP}}) cassette for PCR mediated epitope tagging</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pU6H3VSV</td>
<td>Plasmid containing the (6\text{His-3VSV-}\text{loxP-\textit{KanMX-}\text{loxP}}) cassette for PCR mediated epitope tagging</td>
<td>(De Antoni and Gallwitz, 2000); this work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEG(KT)</td>
<td>2(\mu) vector containing GST, galactose-inducible \textit{CYC1} promoter, \textit{URA3}</td>
<td>(Mitchell et al., 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS315</td>
<td>CEN, \textit{LEU2}</td>
<td>(Sikorski and Hieter, 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS316</td>
<td>CEN, \textit{URA3}</td>
<td>(Sikorski and Hieter, 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS325</td>
<td>2(\mu), \textit{LEU2}</td>
<td>(Tsukada and Gallwitz, 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS326</td>
<td>2(\mu), \textit{URA3}</td>
<td>(Vollmer and Gallwitz, 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYX112</td>
<td>CEN, \textit{URA3}, \textit{TPI}-promoter</td>
<td>R&amp;D-Systems (Wiesbaden, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYY143</td>
<td>CEN, \textit{LEU2}, \textit{GAL1}-promoter</td>
<td>R&amp;D-Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYY212</td>
<td>2(\mu), \textit{URA3}, \textit{TPI}-promoter</td>
<td>R&amp;D-Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYY243</td>
<td>2(\mu), \textit{LEU2}, \textit{GAL1}-promoter</td>
<td>R&amp;D-Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRE- \textit{YPT1}^{Q67L}</td>
<td>Plasmid containing \textit{TUB2-YPT1}^{Q67L}-\textit{LEU2-\textit{ACT1}} to replace \textit{YPT1} gene with \textit{YPT1}^{Q67L} allele</td>
<td>Dr. U. Vespermann, this Dept.; (Schmitt et al., 1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS326-SEC24</td>
<td>2(\mu) vector containing \textit{SEC24} and its promoter</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEG-SEC24N(56-549)</td>
<td>Galactose inducible GST-Sec24(56-549)</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEG-SEC24N(231S)</td>
<td>Galactose inducible GST-Sec24(231S)</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS326-SFB2</td>
<td>2(\mu) vector containing \textit{SFB2} and its promoter</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMG28(pEG-PEP12(\Delta C))</td>
<td>galactose inducible GST-Pep12(1-220)</td>
<td>Dr. M. Götte this Dept.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.2.3 Recombinant plasmids created in this work

**Table 7.6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Insert preparation</th>
<th>Vector preparation</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Prot. expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(8-448)A&lt;/sub&gt; (or pET30-pep0)A</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (primers: GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f1&lt;/sub&gt;/&lt;GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r1&lt;/sub&gt;) NdeI-SalI digested</td>
<td>pET30a NdeI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6xHis-Tag</td>
<td>Poorly expressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(8-448)B&lt;/sub&gt; (or pET30-pep0)B</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f1&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r1&lt;/sub&gt;) NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>pET30a NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Poorly expressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET32-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(8-448)&lt;/sub&gt; (or pET32-pep0)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f1&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r1&lt;/sub&gt;) NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>pET32a NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTT-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(8-388)&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f1&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r1&lt;/sub&gt;) NcoI-HindIII digested</td>
<td>pGEX-TT NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus GST-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY212-GYP5-6H&lt;sub&gt;(8-448)&lt;/sub&gt; (or pY212-pep0) (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f1&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r1&lt;/sub&gt;1&lt;sub&gt;6H&lt;/sub&gt;) NcoI-Xmal digested</td>
<td>pYX212 NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6xHis-Tag, 2µ, URA3, TPI promoter</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET32-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(8-892)&lt;/sub&gt; (or pET32-pep1)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f1&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r3&lt;/sub&gt;) NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>pET32a NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Poorly expressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY212-GYP5-6H&lt;sub&gt;(8-892)&lt;/sub&gt; (or pY212-pep1) (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
<td>GYP5 containing fragment from pET32-pep1 NcoI-Sall digested</td>
<td>pYX212-6H from pY212-pep0 NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6xHis-Tag, 2µ, URA3, TPI promoter</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(400-892)A&lt;/sub&gt; (or pET30-pep2A)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f2&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r3&lt;/sub&gt;) NdeI-Sall digested</td>
<td>pET30a NdeI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6xHis-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(400-892)B&lt;/sub&gt; (or 30-pep2B)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f2&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r3&lt;/sub&gt;) NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>pET30a NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET32-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(400-892)&lt;/sub&gt; (or pET32-pep2)</td>
<td>GYP5 containing fragment from pET30-pep2 NcoI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>pET32a NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY212-GYP5-6H&lt;sub&gt;(400-892)&lt;/sub&gt; (or pY212-pep2) (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
<td>GYP5 containing fragment from pET32-pep2 NcoI-Sall digested</td>
<td>pYX212-6H from pY212-pep0 NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6xHis-Tag, 2µ, URA3, TPI promoter</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5&lt;sub&gt;(429-892)A&lt;/sub&gt; (or pET30-pep3A)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5&lt;sub&gt;f3&lt;/sub&gt;/GYP5&lt;sub&gt;r3&lt;/sub&gt;) NdeI-Sall digested</td>
<td>pET30a NdeI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6xHis-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expression System</td>
<td>PCR Fragment from Genomic DNA</td>
<td>Vector Used</td>
<td>N-terminus Features</td>
<td>Yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(429-892)_B (or pET30-pep3_B)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f3/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(429-892)_B (or pET30-pep3)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f3/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(451-892)_A (or pET30-pep4_A)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f4/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(451-892)_A (or pET30-pep4)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f4/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(8-633)_A (or pET30-pep5_A)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f1/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-SalI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(8-633)_A (or pET30-pep5)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f1/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-SalI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(400-633)_A (or pET30-pep6_A)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f2/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(400-633)_A (or pET30-pep6)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f2/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(429-633)_A (or pET30-pep7_A)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f3/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(429-633)_A (or pET30-pep7)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f3/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(451-633)_A (or pET30-pep8_A)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f4/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(451-633)_A (or pET30-pep8)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f4/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(8-633)_B (or pET30-pep5_B)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f1/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-SalI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(8-633)_B (or pET30-pep5)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f1/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-SalI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(400-633)_B (or pET30-pep6_B)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f2/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(400-633)_B (or pET30-pep6)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f2/GYP5_r2)</td>
<td>NdeI-XhoI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(429-633)_B (or pET30-pep7_B)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f3/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(429-633)_B (or pET30-pep7)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f3/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(451-633)_B (or pET30-pep8_B)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f4/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>C-terminus 6His-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET30-GYP5(451-633)_B (or pET30-pep8)</td>
<td>(GYP5_f4/GYP5_r3)</td>
<td>NcoI-NotI digested</td>
<td>N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### pET32-GYP5 (or pET32-pep8)

| PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5_f4/GYP5_r2) Ncol-NotI digested | pET32a Ncol-NotI digested | N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag | Good |

### pET30-GYP5 (or pET30-pep9A)

| PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5_f1/GYP5_r4). Ndel-Sall digested. | pET30a Ndel-Xhol digested | C-terminus 6xHis-Tag | Moderately good |

### pET30-GYP5 (or pET30-pep10A)

| PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5_f2/GYP5_r4). Ndel-Sall digested. | pET30a Ndel-Xhol digested | C-terminus 6xHis-Tag | Good |

### pET30-GYP5 (or pET30-pep14)

| PCR fragment from genomic DNA (GYP5_f2/GYP5_r5). Ncol-NotI digested | pET32a Ncol-NotI digested | N-terminus Trx-Tag, 6xHis-Tag, S-Tag | Good |

### pET30-GYP5 R496K (or pET30-pep2A R496K)

R496K mutation induced in pET30-pep2A using the primers GYP5-R/K_f and GYP5-R/K_r

### pET30-GYP5 R496A (or pET30-pep2A R496A)

R496A mutation induced in pET30-pep2A using the primers GYP5-R/A_f and GYP5-R/A_r

### YPT1 Q67L construct

pET12c-YPT1 Q67L point mutation induced in pET12c-YPT1 using the primers YPT1-Q/L_f and YPT1-Q/L_r

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yeast SEC24 family constructs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKT-SEC24 (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKT-SEC24-6H-2MYC (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY243-SEC24 (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY243-SEC24-6H-2MYC (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMAL-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;C231S&lt;/sup&gt; (pMAL-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;m2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMAL-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;C231S-C234S&lt;/sup&gt; (pMAL-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;m2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET32-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;C231S&lt;/sup&gt; (pET32-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;m2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET32-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;C231S-C234S&lt;/sup&gt; (pET32-SEC24&lt;sup&gt;m2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKT-SFB2 (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY243-SFB2 (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY243-SFB2-6H-2MYC (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS325-SFB3 (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKT-SFB3-6H-3HA (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTT-SFB3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY243-SFB3 (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pY243-SFB3-6H-3HA (yeast shuttle vector)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Human SEC24C constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Insert preparation</th>
<th>Vector preparation</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Prot. expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pQE30-KIAA0079 (2-102)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f1/hSEC24_r2)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pQE30 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE30-KIAA0079 (363-522)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f3/hSEC24_r4)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pQE30 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE50-KIAA0079 (363-522)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f3/hSEC24_r4)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pQE50 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>C-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMAL-KIAA0079 (363-522)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f3/hSEC24_r4)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pMAL-c2 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE30-KIAA0079 (570-715)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f_5/hSEC24_r_6)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pQE30 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE30-KIAA0079 (635-827)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f_7/hSEC24_r_8)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pQE30 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE30-KIAA0079 (747-992)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f_9/hSEC24_r_10)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pQE30 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pQE30-KIAA0079 (747-992)</td>
<td>PCR fragment from KIAA0079 ((hSEC24_f_3/hSEC24_r_4)) BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>pMAL-c2 BamHI/HindIII digested</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### t-SNAREs constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Insert preparation</th>
<th>Vector preparation</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Prot. expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pTT-VAM3 ((1-261))</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA ((VAM3_f/VAM3_r)) Ncol/XhoI digested.</td>
<td>pGEX-TT Ncol/XhoI digested.</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Moderately good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTT-SSO1 ((2-264))</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA ((SSO1_f/SSO1_r)) Xbal/XhoI digested</td>
<td>pGEX-TT Xbal/XhoI digested.</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTT-SSO2 ((1-267))</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA ((SSO2_f/SSO2_r)) Ncol/XhoI digested</td>
<td>pGEX-TT Ncol/XhoI digested.</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTT-TLG1 ((1-204))</td>
<td>PCR fragment from genomic DNA ((TLG1_f/TLG1_r)) Ncol/XhoI digested</td>
<td>pGEX-TT Ncol/XhoI digested.</td>
<td>N-terminus</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.3 Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides used in this work were custom-synthesized by Eurogentec company (Belgium) or by NAPS company (Göttingen, Germany). Here is a list with
the primer used, note that "f" or "r" indicate whether a primer is in the "forward" or in the "reverse" direction of a sequence.

**Table 7.7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Peculiarities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5-specific oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_f0</td>
<td>5'-ATT ACG AGG GCT TAT TCA GAA G-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_f1</td>
<td>5'-CG GGA TCC CAT ATG GCC ATG <strong>GAG</strong> AAA AAT ACA GAT ACG ATC GGC-3'</td>
<td>BamHI, NdeI, NcoI underlined;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_f2</td>
<td>5'-CG GGA TCC CAT ATG GCC ATG <strong>GAG</strong> AAC TTG TCC GAG TAT AAG GAA G-3'</td>
<td>in bold aa n° 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_f3</td>
<td>5'-CG GGA TCC CAT ATG GCC ATG <strong>GTA</strong> GTT ATT GAT TAT GCT ACA GTG GC-3'</td>
<td>BamHI, NdeI, NcoI underlined;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_f4</td>
<td>5'-CG GGA TCC CAT ATG GCC ATG <strong>GGG</strong> ATA CCA CCA CAA ATT CGA GG-3'</td>
<td>in bold aa n° 429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f0</td>
<td>5'-TTT GGA TCG AAC GTA ATT GA-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f1</td>
<td>5'-CAA CGC CAT CAG ACC AAT AT-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f2</td>
<td>5'-GGA TGT GCA AGC CCC AAA-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f3</td>
<td>5'-CCC AGA AAG GTC GTC AGA A-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f4</td>
<td>5'-TTT CAC CGC CAC TAG AGG A-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f5</td>
<td>5'-TTT TCC GAG TAT AAC GAA GTG-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f6</td>
<td>5'-GCC GAG GAC AAA ATG GAG T-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f7</td>
<td>5'-TCA CCT TCT TGG TCT TGC AAT CGC T-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f8</td>
<td>5'-TCT TTT CTA TGT TCA TGC AAT T-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Seq.f9</td>
<td>5'-GTG GAT GTA CGG GTG AAA ATA-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Mf</td>
<td>5'-TGG CAG CTG ATG GCC AAT-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_Mr</td>
<td>5'-GAA CCC CAT GCC TTG CGT-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_r0</td>
<td>5'-GGA AAC AAA AAC CCA ACA CAT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_r1</td>
<td>5'-TTG CCG GGC GGC GGC GGC <strong>TTA</strong> GTC <strong>GAC</strong> ATG GCC TTC TAA ATT TCC TGG TGC-3'</td>
<td>Xma1, NotI, Sall underlined;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_r16H</td>
<td>5'-TCC CCC CCC <strong>GGG</strong> <strong>TTA</strong> GTG ATG ATG ATG GTG GTG <strong>GAC</strong> ATG GCC TTC TAA ATT TCC TGG TGC-3'</td>
<td>Xma1, Sall underlined. 6x-His</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_r2</td>
<td>5'-TTG CCG GGC GGC GGC GGC <strong>TTA</strong> GTC <strong>GAC</strong> TTC TAC TCC TAC CAA TAC AAT-3'</td>
<td>Xma1, Sall underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 633.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_r3</td>
<td>5'-TTG CCG CCG GGC GGC GGC <strong>TTA</strong> GTC <strong>GAC</strong> TTT TTT AAA ACC AGT CCA GCC TTT C-3'</td>
<td>Xma1, NotI, Sall underlined. In bold STOP codon and aa n° 892.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_r4</td>
<td>5'-TTG CCG CCG GGC GGC GGC <strong>TTA</strong> GTC <strong>GAC</strong> TCT TGG CTG CGC CTC TCT TCT CTT-3'</td>
<td>Xma1, NotI, Sall underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5_r5</td>
<td>5'-TTG CCG CCG GGC GGC GGC <strong>TTA</strong> GTC <strong>GAC</strong> CAG CAT CTC CAT ATT CAA TCT GTT CTG-3'</td>
<td>Xma1, NotI, Sall underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5-R/K_f</td>
<td>5'-A CGT AGA GAT <strong>TTA</strong> AGG <strong>AAA</strong> ACG AAG TGG GCC GAG GAC-3'</td>
<td>R496K mutation bold and underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYP5-R/K_r</td>
<td>5'-GTC CTC GGC CAC AAA CTG <strong>TTT</strong> CCT TAA ATC TCT ACG T-3'</td>
<td>R496K mutation bold and underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotide</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5-R/A_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-AGT GAT TTA AGG GCA ACG AAG TTT GTG GCC GAG GAC-3'</td>
<td>R496A mutation bold and underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5-R/A_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-GTC TCT GGC CAC AAA CTG CGT TGG CCT TTA ATC TCT ACG T-3'</td>
<td>R496A mutation bold and underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5-SeqM.f</strong></td>
<td>5'-GGC AGC TGA TGG CGA ATT-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5-SeqM.r</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAA CCC CAT GCC TTG CGT-3'</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GYP1, GYP7, GYP8 oligonucleotides**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP1_f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-ACA ACA ACA ACC ACC AAT ACC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP1_r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAC GTT CCT CAG GAT TTA TGA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP7_f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-TAT GGG GCA AAG TTC TGC TCT AT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP7_r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-CGG ATG TAT TGA TGA TGT GG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP8_f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-GCA AAT GAC ATA GAA GCA ACA GCA A-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP8_r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-GCA GCG AAT TTT AAA GAA GCA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**YPT1-specific oligonucleotides**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1_f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-GCA CCA CGA CTG TTA AGG ATA T-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1_f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAT TCG GAC GAC ACA TAT ACC AA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1_f1b</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAA CTG GAC GGC AAG ACT G-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1_r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-TAT GTT CTC GCG TGT GTC TTA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1_r0b</strong></td>
<td>5'-CGC TCT CCC ACA CAT TTT G-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1_r1</strong></td>
<td>5'-CCA CAT CTT CAC GCC GTT-3'</td>
<td>Q67L mutation bold and underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1_r1b</strong></td>
<td>5'-T TCT TGG CAG CCA CAT TTT CA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1-Q/L_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-AGT GAT AGT ACG GAA ACG TTC CTG CTG CCA AAT CTG-3'</td>
<td>Q67L mutation bold and underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YPT1-Q/L_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-AGT GAT AGT ACG GAA ACG TTC TAG ACC TGC GTG CTA AAT CTG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Yeast Sec24 family oligonucleotides**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC24_f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-TG GCC CCG GGA CCT AGG ATG TCT CAT CAC and underlined; in bold aa n° 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC24_f3</strong></td>
<td>5'-GGG AAG TTG CAA CGC TAA G-3'</td>
<td>Xmal, AvrII underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC24_f5</strong></td>
<td>5'-TG GCC CCG GTT CCT AGG TCC AAT GCG TCT CCA GAT TAT-3'</td>
<td>Xmal, AvrII underlined; in bold aa n° 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC24_r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-GC TCT AGA TTA TTT GCT AAT TCT GGC TTT CA-3'</td>
<td>XbaI, underlined; In bold STOP codon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC24_r6</strong></td>
<td>5'-CCG CTC GAG TTA TTA CAA AAG GGT GTT GAG AGT GGC-3'</td>
<td>XhoI, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB2-f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-TG GCC CCG GGA CCT AGG ATG TCT CAT CAC AAG AGG GTG-3'</td>
<td>Xmal, AvrII underlined. In bold aa n° 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB2-f3</strong></td>
<td>5'-CGC CAA CCT AAA CTC CGA A-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB2_r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-GC TCT AGA TTA TTA TCT GTT GAT ACT AGT CCT CAT ACT-3'</td>
<td>XbaI, underlined; in bold STOP codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3-f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-TG GCC CCG GGA CCT AGG ATG TCT CAG AAT ATT TGG GC-3'</td>
<td>Xmal, AvrII underlined; in bold aa n° 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3-f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-CGG GCT CGG ACG TAT TGG GTG GTT TTT ATT-3'</td>
<td>XhoI underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3-f3</strong></td>
<td>5'-ACA AGA CGG TCA ACA GAA TCG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3-f5</strong></td>
<td>5'-G GTT GAA GGT GTC AGG GTA T-3'</td>
<td>Xmal, AvrII underlined; in bold STOP codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-GC TCT AGA TTA GGA GGC TCA AAA CTG CAC AAA-3'</td>
<td>XbaI, underlined; in bold STOP codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_r0.Xh</strong></td>
<td>5'-CCG CTC GAG TTA GGA GGC TCA AAA CTG CAC AAA-3'</td>
<td>XhoI underlined; in bold STOP codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_r2</strong></td>
<td>5'-A GTA GTA CTG TTC CAC AGA TAG CC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotide</td>
<td>Sequence 5'-3'</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_r4</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGG GAT CCT GCA AGA TTT ACC AGA AGA CAG TC-3’</td>
<td>BamHI underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_r6</strong></td>
<td>5’-GGT TCC TGT TTT TAT TTT ACT-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq1f</strong></td>
<td>5’-GAA ATG CGC GTG TCC GTA A-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq2f</strong></td>
<td>5’-CA GCG CTC CTT GAT GAA-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq3f</strong></td>
<td>5’-AC AAC ATC CCC GAA AGT GAA-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq4f</strong></td>
<td>5’-GC AGT AAG CTA CTG ATG AAA AT-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq5f</strong></td>
<td>5’-GGT TCC TGT TTT TAT TTT ACT-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq6r</strong></td>
<td>5’-GGT ACT TTG AGC GTT TGG C-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq7r</strong></td>
<td>5’-GGG TGT AGT GTA GGG TGA-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq8f</strong></td>
<td>5’-GAAT CAA GAG CGA TTC GGT-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq9r</strong></td>
<td>5’-CAA AGG CGT ACC ATC CAT T-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq10f</strong></td>
<td>5’-AGT CAA CAG GTG TCA AGA AGA CAG ATG TTG-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq11r</strong></td>
<td>5’-GCT GAC TGG AGC TGC GTG CCA-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq12r</strong></td>
<td>5’-GCT GAC TGG AGC TGC GTG CCA-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3_Seq13r</strong></td>
<td>5’-CCCA CCA CAA GAC TAA CGG CA-3’</td>
<td>Sequencing primer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Human SEC24c-specific oligonucleotides**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence 5'-3'</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_f1</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGC GGA TCC AAC GTC TAC CAG TCA GTT CCA CCT-3’</td>
<td>BamHI underlined; in bold aa n° 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_f3</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGC GGA TCC GTG AAA GAC CAA GGG AAT GCA AGT-3’</td>
<td>BamHI underlined; in bold aa n° 363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_f5</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGC GGA TCC ATG ATG GTT GTG TCT GAT GTG GCT-3’</td>
<td>BamHI underlined; in bold aa n° 570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_f7</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGC GGA TCC TGT GCA GGG AAG CTC TTT CTA TAC-3’</td>
<td>BamHI underlined; in bold aa n° 635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_f9</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGC GGA TCC GTT GGC TTT GAT GTG CGG-3’</td>
<td>BamHI underlined; in bold aa n° 747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_r2</strong></td>
<td>5’-CCG AAG CTT TTA TTG CAT CTG AAG ATG GGA GCT TGG-3’</td>
<td>HindIII, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_r4</strong></td>
<td>5’-CCC AAG CTT TTA GAG CCT AAG ACC ATG CTA TAC-3’</td>
<td>HindIII, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_r4_6H</strong></td>
<td>5’-CCC AAG CTT TTA GTT ATG GTG ATG GTG ATG CCA-3’</td>
<td>HindIII, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 522 6-His double underlined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_r6</strong></td>
<td>5’-TTT AAG CTT TTA GAG CTC GGG CAC AAG AGA GAG TGT-3’</td>
<td>HindIII, underlined in bold STOP codon and aa n° 715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_r8</strong></td>
<td>5’-GCG AAG CTT TTA CAG ATT ATG GTT GAG GAG CCG AGC-3’</td>
<td>HindIII, underlined in bold STOP codon and aa n° 827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hSEC24_r10</strong></td>
<td>5’-GCC AAG CTT TTA CCA GAG GAA GAG GTT GAG CCC ATT-3’</td>
<td>HindIII, underlined in bold STOP codon and aa n° 992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**t-SNAREs oligonucleotides**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence 5'-3'</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VAM3_f</strong></td>
<td>5’-CTT GCC ATG GTT GAG ATT ATG TCC TTG TCC GA-3’</td>
<td>XhoI, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VAM3_r</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGG CTC GAG TTA TTT GTT ACG GCT CCT CTG ATG-3’</td>
<td>NcoI underlined; in bold amino acid n° 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSO1_f</strong></td>
<td>5’-T GCT CTA GAG AGT TAT ATG CTC CAG TAC TTA CAG-3’</td>
<td>XhoI, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSO1_r</strong></td>
<td>5’-CCG CTC GAG TTA AAT CTT GGC TTT TCT TGG TTT TC-3’</td>
<td>XhoI, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSO2_f</strong></td>
<td>5’-CCA GGC ATG GCA ATG ACG AAT TGA CCA TGA TCT ATT-3’</td>
<td>XhoI, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSO2_r</strong></td>
<td>5’-CGC CTC GAG TTA TTT GTT TCT TGC TTT TCT GG-3’</td>
<td>XhoI, underlined; in bold STOP codon and aa n° 267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Vectors-specific oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tag.univ_r1</strong></td>
<td>5'-C CCC TCG AGG CAA GCT AAA CAG ATC-3'</td>
<td>Anneals inside loxP-kanMX; XhoI underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tag.univ_r2</strong></td>
<td>5'-G GGG ATC CTA TAG GGA GAC CGG CAG ATC-3'</td>
<td>Anneals after kanMX-loxP; BamHI underlined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pU-f0</strong></td>
<td>5'-AAC GCG GCT ACA ATT AAT AC-3'</td>
<td>For pU vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pU-r0</strong></td>
<td>5'-AT TCT GGG CCT CCA TGT C-3'</td>
<td>Anneals inside loxP-kanMX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pU-r2</strong></td>
<td>5'-GG ATG TAT GGG CTA AAT G-3'</td>
<td>Anneals inside loxP-kanMX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pU-r4</strong></td>
<td>5'-CTA TAG GGA GAC CGG CAG ATC-3'</td>
<td>Anneals after kanMX-loxP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6H-f</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAC CAC CAT CAT CAT CAC G-3'</td>
<td>For pUtag vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TL15_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-C CTC GAC ATC ATC TGC CC-3'</td>
<td>Anneals inside kanMX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TL20_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-CCC ATG GTT GTT TAT GTT CG-3'</td>
<td>Anneals inside kanMX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MYC-f</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAA CAA AAG CTT ATT TCT GAA-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pYX112 and pYX212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HA-f</strong></td>
<td>5'-TAC CCA TAC GAC GTC CGA GAC-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pYX143 and pYX243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VSV-f</strong></td>
<td>5'-TAC ACT GAC ATT GAA ATG AAT-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pYX vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TPI-f</strong></td>
<td>5'-AGG GAA TAT AAA GGG CAG CA-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pYX112 and pYX212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pYX243_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-CGG TTT GTA TTA CTT ATT C-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pYX143 and pYX243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pYX_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-AAA GGG ATG TAT CGG TCA GTC A-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pYX vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pET30_Seqf</strong></td>
<td>5'-TGA AAG AAA CCG CTG CTG C-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pET30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pET30_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-CCG GCG TAG AGG ATC GAG A-3'</td>
<td>For pET30 and pET32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pET30_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAG CCG TTT AGA GGC CCC A-3'</td>
<td>For pET30 and pET32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pET32_Seqf</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAA AGA AAC CGC TGC TGC T-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pET32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GST_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-GCA GAT AGA TAG CAT GGC C-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pGEX-TT and pEG(KT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TT_f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAC CAT CCT CCA AAA TCG G-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pGEX-TT and pEG(KT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TT_r2</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAG GCA GAT CGT CAG TCA G-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pGEX-TT and pEG(KT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pMAL_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-GGT CGT CAG ACT GTC GAT GAA GCC-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pMAL_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-CGC CAG GGT TTT CCC AGT CAC GAC-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pQE_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAA TTC ATT AAA GAG GAG AAA-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pQE vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pQE_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAT TAC TG ATC TAT CAA GAG C-3'</td>
<td>For sequencing inserts in pQE30, pQE50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>oligonucleotides for PCR mediated gene deletion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5DEL_f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-ACA GCT CCT ACC ACC AGT GTA AAG TAG AAC GTT AAT AGA GCA TCC CAC CAT CAT CAT CAC-3'</td>
<td>For GYP5 deletion, it anneals (underlined sequence) with pUtag vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5DEL_r = Gyp5_tag_r2</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAA CAA CAT TGT CTA AAC GAG TCG TGA TGC ATT TTT TAA CAC ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
<td>For GYP5 deletion, it anneals (underlined sequence) with pUtag vectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligonucleotides for PCR mediated epitope tagging</td>
<td>For GYP1 deletion, it anneals (underlined seq.) with pU6H3HA</td>
<td>For GYP1 deletion, it anneals (underlined seq.) with pU6H3HA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP1DEL_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-AAC AAC CAC CAA TAC CGA CCA CTT AAT AAA AGT AAC CAT ATA GCT TAA TGA GTC GAC AAC CCT C-3'</td>
<td>5'-AAC AAC CAC CAA TAC CGA CCA CTT AAT AAA AGT AAC CAT ATA GCT TAA TGA GTC GAC AAC CCT C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP1DEL_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-GAG ATT TTT GTT TTA TAT TAT TAC ATA CTA TAC AGT AAG TAA ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
<td>5'-GAG ATT TTT GTT TTA TAT TAT TAC ATA CTA TAC AGT AAG TAA ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP8DEL_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-GTA CGT TCT AGT TGG ATG CCC CAG TTT CAA AAT GTC CTT AAT GGG GCA TAG GCC ACT AGT GGA TCT G-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTA CGT TCT AGT TGG ATG CCC CAG TTT CAA AAT GTC CTT AAT GGG GCA TAG GCC ACT AGT GGA TCT G-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP8DEL_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-GTA CGT TCT AGT TGG ATG CCC CAG TTT CAA AAT GTC CTT AAT GGG GCA TAG GCC ACT AGT GGA TCT G-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTA CGT TCT AGT TGG ATG CCC CAG TTT CAA AAT GTC CTT AAT GGG GCA TAG GCC ACT AGT GGA TCT G-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA88_f</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAT CCC CTG ATG AGT AAG ATA TTA TTT CAT ACT AAT CAG AGT CAG CTG AAG CTT CGT ACG C-3'</td>
<td>5'-CAT CCC CTG ATG AGT AAG ATA TTA TTT CAT ACT AAT CAG AGT CAG CTG AAG CTT CGT ACG C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA89_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAA CTA TTT AAC GGA ATT TTT CCT CTG TTT TGG CCC TCC CCA CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-CAA CTA TTT AAC GGA ATT TTT CCT CTG TTT TGG CCC TCC CCA CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5-tag.fa</strong></td>
<td>5'-AAA GGG AGG AAA GGC TGG ACT GGT TTT AAA AAA GTT GTT AAA TCA TAC CAC CAT CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-AAA GGG AGG AAA GGC TGG ACT GGT TTT AAA AAA GTT GTT AAA TCA TAC CAC CAT CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GYP5-tag_r</strong></td>
<td>5'-CAA CAA CAT TGT CTA AAC GAG TCG TGA TGC ATT TTT TAA CAC ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
<td>5'-CAA CAA CAT TGT CTA AAC GAG TCG TGA TGC ATT TTT TAA CAC ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC23-tag_f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-TTC ATG ACT CAC TTA CAA CAA GTA GCC GTC TCT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-TTC ATG ACT CAC TTA CAA CAA GTA GCC GTC TCT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC23-tag_r4</strong></td>
<td>5'-GGA AAA TTC TTG CAC GCG CAA TGG ACT GAA CAG GGC TTA TAT ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
<td>5'-GGA AAA TTC TTG CAC GCG CAA TGG ACT GAA CAG GGC TTA TAT ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC24-tag_f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-TAC AGA GAA TTC TTA CAA ATC ATG AAA GCC AGA ATT AGC AAA TCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-TAC AGA GAA TTC TTA CAA ATC ATG AAA GCC AGA ATT AGC AAA TCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEC24-tag_r2</strong></td>
<td>5'-AAC TGT TCA ATA TAC ATA TAA TTA GAA AGC AAA AAT GCA ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
<td>5'-AAC TGT TCA ATA TAC ATA TAA TTA GAA AGC AAA AAT GCA ACT ATA GGG AGA CCG GCA GAT C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB2-tag_f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-CGT TTT ACT TTT ATT TCT ATG ATG AAG ACT ATG ATC AAC AGA TCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-CGT TTT ACT TTT ATT TCT ATG ATG AAG ACT ATG ATC AAC AGA TCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB2-tag_r6</strong></td>
<td>5'-GCC GCA ACT CAC GAA AAT ATC CAT CAA AAA TTT GTG CAG TTT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-GCC GCA ACT CAC GAA AAT ATC CAT CAA AAA TTT GTG CAG TTT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3-tag_f1</strong></td>
<td>5'-GCC GCA ACT CAC GAA AAT ATC CAT CAA AAA TTT GTG CAG TTT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-GCC GCA ACT CAC GAA AAT ATC CAT CAA AAA TTT GTG CAG TTT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SFB3-tag_r4</strong></td>
<td>5'-GCC GCA ACT CAC GAA AAT ATC CAT CAA AAA TTT GTG CAG TTT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
<td>5'-GCC GCA ACT CAC GAA AAT ATC CAT CAA AAA TTT GTG CAG TTT GCC CAC CAC CAT CAT CAC CAC C-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.4 Antibodies

Antibodies against different proteins or epitopes were produced according to standard procedures in rabbits.
7.4.1 Primary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-KIAA0079-2 (anti-hSec24c-2), polyclonal</td>
<td>This work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen: 6xHis recombinant hSec24cp(363-522)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-KIAA0079-5 (anti-hSec24c-5), polyclonal</td>
<td>This work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen: 6xHis recombinant hSec24cp(570-992)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Sec24p, polyclonal</td>
<td>(Peng et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Sec23p, polyclonal</td>
<td>Dr. R. Peng, this Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Sly1p, polyclonal</td>
<td>This department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Emp47, polyclonal</td>
<td>Dr. S. Schröder, this Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Kar2p, polyclonal</td>
<td>(Benli et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Bos1p, polyclonal</td>
<td>This department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Sed5p, polyclonal</td>
<td>Dr. R. Grabowski this Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Gyp1p, polyclonal</td>
<td>Dr. S. Albert, this Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Ypt1p, polyclonal</td>
<td>Dr. X. Yang, this Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Sec61p, polyclonal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Hxxk2p, polyclonal</td>
<td>Prof. H. Riezman, Univ. of Basel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Gas1p, polyclonal</td>
<td>Prof. H. Riezman, Univ. of Basel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-CPY, polyclonal</td>
<td>This Department, (Benli et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-ALP, polyclonal</td>
<td>This Department, (Benli et al., 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-GST, polyclonal</td>
<td>Pharmacia (Freiburg, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-6xhis, monoclonal</td>
<td>Gibco (Karlsruhe, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-HA (12CA5), monoclonal</td>
<td>Roche (Mannheim, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-VSV-G (P5D4), monoclonal</td>
<td>Roche (Mannheim, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-c-myc (9E10), monoclonal</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech. (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-c-myc, polyclonal</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech. (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-calnexin (AF18), monoclonal</td>
<td>DIANOVA, Hamburg, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-PDI (RL77), monoclonal</td>
<td>DIANOVA, Hamburg, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-β- and β-adaptins (100/1), monoclonal</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Golgi 58K (58K-9), monoclonal</td>
<td>Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.4.2 Secondary antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheep anti-mouse-IgG, HRP conjugated.</td>
<td>Amersham-Buchler (Braunschweig, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-rabbit-IgG, HRP conjugated</td>
<td>Amersham-Buchler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse-IgG, Cy3 conjugated</td>
<td>Dianova (Hamburg, Germany)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-rabbit-IgG, Cy3 conjugated</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse-IgG, Rhodamine Red-X conjugated</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-mouse-IgG, Rhodamine Red-X conjugated</td>
<td>Dianova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goat anti-rabbit IgG, Oregon Green 488 conjugated</td>
<td>Molecular Probe Inc. (Leiden, The Netherlands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goat anti-rabbit IgG, Oregon Green 488 conjugated</td>
<td>Molecular Probe Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 8 ABBREVIATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aa</td>
<td>amino acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>alkaline phosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>ammoniumpersulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARF</td>
<td>ADP-ribosylation factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARS</td>
<td>autonomous replication sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-AT</td>
<td>3 amino 1,2,4 triazol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>American Type Culture Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>bovine serum albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEN</td>
<td>centromere elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPI/II</td>
<td>coatamer protein complex I/II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPY</td>
<td>carboxypeptidase Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cy3</td>
<td>indigocarbocyanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept.</td>
<td>Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dH₂O</td>
<td>deionized H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>differential interference contrast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsDNA</td>
<td>double strand DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECL</td>
<td>enhanced chemiluminescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEA1</td>
<td>early endosome associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>endoplasmic reticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERGIC</td>
<td>ER Golgi intermediate compartment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCS</td>
<td>foetal calf serum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 4-64</td>
<td>N-(3-triethylammoniumpropyl)-4- (p-dethylaminophenylhexatrienyl) pyridinium dibromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-FOA</td>
<td>5-fluoroorotic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPLC</td>
<td>fast protein liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAP</td>
<td>GTPase activating protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap1</td>
<td>general amino acid permease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas1p</td>
<td>glycoprophospholipid-anchored surface protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDI</td>
<td>GDP dissociation inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>guanosine diphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEF</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide exchange factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMP</td>
<td>guanosine monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMP-PNP</td>
<td>5’-guanylyl imidodiphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI</td>
<td>glycosyl phosphatidyl inositol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST</td>
<td>glutathione S-transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP</td>
<td>guanosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>influenza virus hemagglutinin epitope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-N’-(2-ethanesulfonic acid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>high performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>high performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>high resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRP</td>
<td>horseradish peroxidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ig</td>
<td>immunoglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>isopropylthio-β-D-galactoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iss1</td>
<td>interacting with sec-sixteen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kₜₐₜ</td>
<td>catalytic rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>kilo Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kₘ</td>
<td>Michaelis constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOAc</td>
<td>potassium acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>litre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiOAc</td>
<td>lithium acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lma1</td>
<td>low molecular weight activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lst1</td>
<td>lethal with sec-thirteen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>molar (mol x l⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mA</td>
<td>milli Amper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP</td>
<td>maltose binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-ME</td>
<td>β-mercaptoethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg</td>
<td>milligram (10^{-3} g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µg</td>
<td>microrgram (10^{-6} g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgOAc</td>
<td>magnesium acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minute(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml</td>
<td>millilitre (10^{-3} l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µl</td>
<td>microlitre (10^{-6} l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µm</td>
<td>micrometer (10^{-6} m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mM</td>
<td>millimolar (10^{-3} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µM</td>
<td>micromolar (10^{-6} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPI</td>
<td>Max Planck Insitute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYC</td>
<td>c-myc epitope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOAc</td>
<td>sodium acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-NTA</td>
<td>nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nm</td>
<td>nanometer (10^{-9} m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSF</td>
<td>N-ethylmaleimide sensitive fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>optical density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>overnight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>open reading frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ori</td>
<td>origin of replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PES</td>
<td>polyethylene sodium sulfonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pl</td>
<td>isoelectric point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pma1</td>
<td>plasma membrane ATPase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMSF</td>
<td>phenyl methyl sulfonic fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rab</td>
<td>rat brain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ras</td>
<td>rat sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rnase</td>
<td>ribonuclease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpm</td>
<td>rotation per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>synthetic dextrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SfB</td>
<td>Sed-five bynding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMM</td>
<td>semi-minimal medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP</td>
<td>soluble NSF attachment protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNARE</td>
<td>SNAP receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssDNA</td>
<td>single strand DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tab.</td>
<td>tablet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>tris buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCA</td>
<td>thricloroacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEF</td>
<td>translation elongation factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>1,2-bis-(dimethylamino)-ethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tER</td>
<td>transitional ER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGN</td>
<td>trans-Golgi network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>tris phosphate isomerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAPP</td>
<td>transport protein particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trx</td>
<td>thioredoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEPG</td>
<td>yeast extract, peptone, glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAMP</td>
<td>vesicle associated membrane protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSV</td>
<td>vesicular stomatitis virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSV glycoprotein epitope</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VTCS</td>
<td>vesicular tubular clusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/v</td>
<td>volume/volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/o</td>
<td>without</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wt</td>
<td>wild type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/v</td>
<td>weight/volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ød</td>
<td>diameter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Amino acids abbreviations:                      |                                             |
| Alanine                                         | Ala A                                        |
| Arginine                                        | Arg R                                        |
| Asparagine                                      | Asn N                                        |
| Aspartic acid                                   | Asp D                                        |
| Cysteine                                        | Cys C                                        |
| Glutamic acid                                   | Glu E                                        |
| Glutamine                                       | Gln Q                                        |
| Glycine                                         | Gly G                                        |
| Histidine                                       | His H                                        |
| Isoleucine                                      | Ile I                                        |
| Leucine                                         | Leu L                                        |
| Lysine                                          | Lys K                                        |
| Methionine                                      | Met M                                        |
| Phenylalanine                                   | Phe F                                        |
| Proline                                         | Pro P                                        |
| Serine                                          | Ser S                                        |
| Threonine                                       | Thr T                                        |
| Tryptophan                                      | Trp W                                        |
| Tyrosine                                        | Tyr Y                                        |
| Valine                                          | Val V                                        |
Species abbreviations:

**A. gossypii**  Ashbya gossypii

**A. thaliana**  Arabidopsis thaliana

**C. elegans**  Caenorhabditis elegans

**D. melanogaster**  Drosophila melanogaster

**E. coli**  Escherichia coli

**H. sapiens**  Homo sapiens

**S. cerevisiae**  Saccharomyces cerevisiae

**S. pombe**  Schizosaccharomyces pombe

**X. laevis**  Xenopus laevis
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