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</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC dKO</td>
<td>Double knock-out of actin depolymerizing factor and Cofilin1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACN</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>Actin depolymerizing factor</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>BME</td>
<td>Basal medium Eagle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODIPY-FL</td>
<td>Boron-dipyrromethene fluorophore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaM</td>
<td>Calmodulin</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>CNP N-terminal domain</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<td>DDSA</td>
<td>2-Dodecenylsuccinic acid anhydride</td>
</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>dKO</td>
<td>Double knock-out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMEM</td>
<td>Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium</td>
</tr>
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<td>DMP-30</td>
<td>Epoxy embedding medium, accelerator</td>
</tr>
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<td>DMSO</td>
<td>Dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIV</td>
<td>Days <em>in vitro</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Electron microscopy</td>
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<tr>
<td>F-actin</td>
<td>Filamentous actin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Full-length CNP</td>
</tr>
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<td>G-actin</td>
<td>Globular actin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUV</td>
<td>Giant unilamellar vesicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSS</td>
<td>Hank’s balanced salt solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid</td>
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<td>HS</td>
<td>Horse serum</td>
</tr>
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<td>Hz</td>
<td>Hertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ig</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPL</td>
<td>Intraperiod line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>Isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITO</td>
<td>Indium-tin oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUPs</td>
<td>Intrinsically unstructured proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>Kilodalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO</td>
<td>Knock-out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Lysogeny broth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUV(s)</td>
<td>Large unilamellar vesicle(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG</td>
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<tr>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
<td>Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry</td>
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<tr>
<td>MAPKs</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBP</td>
<td>Myelin basic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDL</td>
<td>Major dense line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIA</td>
<td>Multi image acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>Minute(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>Millimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mM</td>
<td>Millimolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNA</td>
<td>Methyl nadic anhydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOBP</td>
<td>Myelin oligodendrocyte basic protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOG</td>
<td>Myelin oligodendrocyte protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Multiple Sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms</td>
<td>Millisecond(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL(s)</td>
<td>Oligodendrocyte(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>Overnight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC(s)</td>
<td>Oligodendrocyte precursor cell(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pellet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Phosphate-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Phosphatidylcholine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Phosphatidylethanolamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA</td>
<td>Paraformaldehyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLL</td>
<td>Poly-L-lysine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLP</td>
<td>Proteolipid protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMD</td>
<td>Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNK</td>
<td>Polynucleotide Kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNS</td>
<td>Peripheral nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Phosphatidylserine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>Phosphatase and tensin homolog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>Rotations per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Supernatant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate – polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec</td>
<td>Second(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiv</td>
<td>Shiverer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLB(s)</td>
<td>Supported lipid bilayer(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLI(s)</td>
<td>Schmidt-Lanterman Incisure(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Sphingomyelin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STED</td>
<td>Stimulated emission depletion microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUV</td>
<td>Small unilamellar vesicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>Transmission Electron Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA</td>
<td>Trifluoroacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tit</td>
<td>Triiodothyronine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µg</td>
<td>Microgram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µl</td>
<td>Microliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Micromolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µm</td>
<td>Micrometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Wild-type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Myelin is a multi-lamellar membrane structure, produced by oligodendrocytes which are special glial cells, that myelinate axons in the central nervous system (CNS) (Aggarwal, Yurlova, & Simons, 2011; Vassall, Bamm, & Harauz, 2015). The main role of these tightly-packed and stable structures is to electrically insulate the axon.

During the biogenesis of myelin, two processes have to be coordinated. At first, the incorporation of myelin adjacent to the axon at the innermost tongue is accompanied by the lateral expansion of newly formed layers. At the same time, a complex system of cytoplasmic channels (CPCs) is formed, enabling membrane trafficking from the cell body to the leading edge in thin-caliber-axons of the immature optic nerve (Snaidero et al., 2014). These channels are known in the peripheral nervous system (PNS) as Schmidt-Lanterman Incisures, but have not been yet established in the CNS (Gould, Byrd, & Barbarese, 1995; Small, Ghabriel, & Allt, 1987).

The development of an improved protocol for high-pressure freezing (HPF), allowed us to better preserve the native myelin ultrastructure close to its native state. Using HPF and freeze-substitution for transmission electron microscopy (TEM), we were able to visualize a system of cytoplasmic (myelinic) channels within myelin surrounding large-caliber axons in the CNS for the first time. In line with their presence in developing myelin lamellae, here, we present how a system of interconnected CPCs is organized in mature myelin of axons with different calibers. Beside the morphological analysis of these channels by TEM, we combined different in vivo and in vitro approaches to describe the biogenesis, molecular structures, and possible roles of CPCs. We elucidated a mechanism that regulates the formation and determines the molecular organization and their involved key components.

In this study, we identified 2',3'-cyclic-nucleotide 3'-phosphodiesterase (CNP) as an essential determinant in generating and maintaining cytoplasmic domains within compact myelin sheaths. Our observations provide evidence that the protein-protein interaction of CNP and filamentous actin (F-actin) results in the formation of a stable structure that helps to keep opposing myelin leaflets separated. The close interaction of CNP and F-actin prevents membrane compaction that is exercised by the classic myelin basic protein (MBP).
1 Introduction

1.1 Myelin – a speed-enhancing innovation

Every activity like walking, eating, or having a conversation is controlled by the brain, which receives and integrates information through five different senses, and delivers motor impulses via the overall nervous system to stimulate appropriate muscles to operate. The central and peripheral nervous systems are defined by highly specialized cells, which deploy complex networks. Neurons and glial cells engineer the exchange and transport of signals from cell to cell and from one tissue to another (Bloom, 1993).

The transmission and coordination of this information is achieved by a particular tissue of the nervous system, which works like an electrical insulator. In the vertebrate nervous system, neuronal projections are ensheathed by myelin - a specialized multilamellar plasma membrane, which is wrapped around axons of neurons in a spiral fashion (Jessen & Mirsky, 2005; Salzer, 2008; Sherman & Brophy, 2005).

More than 60 years ago, it was discovered that myelin is generated by axon-associated glial cells and not by the axon itself (Ben Geren, 1954). The tight packaging of myelin membrane multilayers results in the extrusion of cytosolic elements and in the formation of a lipid-rich, insulating sheath that enables the acceleration of nerve impulses (Aggarwal et al., 2013). By increasing the speed of electrical signals traveling along the axons, myelin enables rapid neuronal communication between distant regions of the nervous system.

1.2 Axonal insulation and support

The evolution of myelin allowed the development of complex behavior by improving the internal signal conduction in higher-order organisms (Werner, 2013). To accelerate the flow of ions through an axonal cylinder, the most rapid transmission of an action potential is ensured by the unique architecture of myelin, which is anatomically subdivided into smaller periodic gaps by which the uncovered axon is exposed to the interstitial space (Bunge, 1968). These myelin-free segments, the Nodes of Ranvier localize the repeated voltage-dependent sodium channels into clusters, resulting in an effective form of saltatory nerve conduction (Fig. 1.1) (Nave, 2010; Waxman & Ritchie, 1993).

Since the excitation of the axonal membrane by an electrical impulse cannot proceed through the myelin sheaths directly, it depolarizes the axonal membrane by jumping from node to node
with a markedly increased speed and low energy due to the low capacitance of the sheaths. The **internodes** – areas of compacted myelin located between Nodes of Ranvier – are connected with the axon by different adhesive molecules and form a strong diffusion barrier, followed by the paranodal loops, which are cytoplasm-filled, non-compacted, septate-like regions (**Fig. 1.1**). The **paranodes** are spirally enwrapped around the axon and build the outermost part of an internode, directly followed by the **juxtaparanode** where fast potassium channels are located. Certain protein complexes define the structure of the paranode: particularly Caspr/paranodin and contactin (Ctn) provide structural support at the site of axolemma (Menegoz et al., 1997; Rios et al., 2000), while the oligodendroglial part in this region contains a 155-kDa isoform of neurofascin (NF155) (**Fig. 1.1**). This specialized organization allows the interaction and communication of the myelinating cell and the axon (Nave, 2010; Simons & Trajkovic, 2006).

**Fig. 1.1:** Relation of compact myelin and the node of Ranvier. Myelinating oligodendrocytes (OLs) form compact membrane sheaths that surround axons (depicted in purple). For high-speed saltatory signal transduction, action potentials are generated at nodes of Ranvier distributed periodically along the axon, bypassing internodal segments of axons insulated by compact myelin. Top left panel: Transmission Electron Microscopic (TEM) cross-sectional and longitudinal views of a myelinated mouse optic nerve axon focusing on multiple layers of compact myelin and wrapping axons. Compact myelin presents a characteristic periodic ultrastructure. Efficient compaction in the CNS needs the abundant expression of important structural proteins, such as proteolipid protein (PLP) and myelin basic protein (MBP). Top right panel: Longitudinal cut through the node of Ranvier where sodium channels are located, emphasizing the nodal, paranodal, and juxtaparanodal axon regions. The structural stability of these domains is ensured by the expression of a group of glial and axonal adhesion proteins. Abbreviations: Caspr, contactin-associated protein; Ctn, contactin (Ctn2 is also known as Tag1); Cx29, connexin 29 kDa; KCh, fast potassium channels; MAG, myelin-associated glycoprotein; MBP, myelin basic protein; MOBP, myelin oligodendrocyte basic protein; NaCh, voltage-gated sodium channels; NECL, nectin-like protein/synCAM; NF155/186, neurofascin 155 kDa/186 kDa; OSP, oligodendrocyte-specific protein; PLP, proteolipid protein. Figure taken from reference Nave 2010, reprint by permission of Nature Publishing Group, license number 3845350340578.

The morphological and physiological differences between myelinated and non-myelinated nerve fibers can hardly be better described by the comparison of two different nerve fibers.
1.3 | The biogenesis of myelin

Whereas the myelinated axon of a frog has an axonal diameter of 12 mm, the unmyelinated axon of the squid has a diameter of 500 mm, approximately 40 times larger and therefore requiring 5000 times more energy and occupying 1500 times more space (Siegel G.J., 1999). Both conduct impulses at a speed of 25 m/s. It is thought that the conduction-speed was an important evolutionary driver for myelination (Gunther, 1976; Pierre Morell, Quarles, & Norton, 1994) since axonal gigantism lacks the two advantages of myelination:

1. Reduction of energy costs of activity, and
2. Promotion of a compact nervous system.

Because the acceleration of impulses over long distances finds a limitation in an enlargement of the body size, a solution is found in an “isoelectronic expansion” by increasing the nervous system mass in form of myelin (Inouye & Kirschner, 2015; Zalc, 2015).

Myelin thus unites two essential physical parameters, axial resistance and capacitance of the surface to generate an inert and insulating membrane (Castelfranco & Hartline, 2015). Besides those clear advantages, though, myelin complicates the transport of substances across the sheaths and to the invested axon.

1.3 | The biogenesis of myelin

Oligodendrocytes (OLs) are very efficient membrane producers. Although any one OL in the central nervous system (CNS) can enwrap up to 50 axons at the same time, some OLs produce only a few myelin sheaths (A. Peters & Proskau.C, 1969; Remahl & Hilderbrand, 1990). In contrast, in the peripheral nervous system (PNS), a single Schwann cell myelinates only one internode, and only the axons larger than 1 micrometer (μm) in diameter are myelinated (Sherman & Brophy, 2005), with the smaller ones remaining to be surrounded by non-myelinating Schwann cells. In order to start myelination in the CNS, oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) need to migrate into the correct area of the brain. Then OLs must differentiate followed by the recognition of the axon to be myelinated. Myelination involves signaling events between neurons and OLs, and transcriptional regulation in order to eventually cause a dramatic change of OL morphology (Fig. 1.2) (Tomassy, Dershowitz, & Arlotta, 2016). Looking at the cortex and corpus callosum, one cell is able to produce between 30 and 80 internodes ranging from 20 to 200 μm in length with up to 60 different lamellae of various degrees of axonal thickness. Remarkably, larger axons that can be found in the spinal cord are myelinated.
with longer internodes (1500 μm) and thicker myelin sheaths with up to 150 layers (Matthews & Duncan, 1971; Murray & Blakemore, 1980).

Fig. 1.2: Development of oligodendrocytes (OLs) controlled by the communication between glial cells and neurons. OLs originate from pools of oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPCs). Various intrinsic factors (transcription factors, chromatin-remodeling proteins, and non-coding RNAs) and neuron-derived factors (membrane-associated proteins, soluble factors, and extracellular matrix proteins) control the development of OLs and affect the biogenesis of myelin in the CNS. The specification and spreading of OPCs throughout the CNS is eventually complemented by their differentiation into multipolar pre-myelinating OLs (Pre-OLs) that mature into myelinating OLs. Furthermore, the electrical activity of the axon influences the development of OLs and myelination. Taken from reference: Tomassy, Dershowitz, and Arlotta, 2016, Cell Press, reprint by permission of Elsevier, license number: 3845300193642).

The timing of myelination varies among different species and between diverse regions of the CNS or PNS. In humans, the majority of the axons become myelinated during the first year of life. Myelination already starts during mid-gestation and is mainly finished at 40 months postnatally, but can still occur until young adulthood, and is also remodelled continuously throughout adulthood (de Hoz & Simons, 2015; Fields, 2008; Miller et al., 2012; Parazzini, Baldoli, Scotti, & Triulzi, 2002; Tomassy et al., 2014; Young et al., 2013). In the CNS of mice, myelination starts immediately after birth with a peak of myelination at P20 and is almost completed at postnatal day 60 (P60) (Baumann & Pham-Dinh, 2001; Vincze, Mazlo, Seress, Komoly, & Abraham, 2008).
During the tightly-regulated maturation and differentiation of OLs, the cells undergo dramatic morphological changes that strongly depend on axon-glia interactions (Barres & Raff, 1993; Demerens et al., 1996). Whereas the cues which initialize myelination by Schwann cells in the PNS are well-described, the signals that guide myelination in the CNS are not yet completely understood. In the PNS, the signals to establish an axon-glial contact come from neuronal neuregulin-1 (NGR1) type III, which interacts with ErbB receptors on Schwann cells (Brinkmann et al., 2008; Vartanian, Goodearl, Viehover, & Fischbach, 1997).

In the CNS, pre-myelinating OLs are highly ramified and extend multiple processes upon contact with the axon, and subsequently axons with a diameter > 0.2 µm are selected and myelinated (Simons & Trajkovic, 2006). The electrical activity of the neurons might be a driving force for the onset of myelination by OLs (Coman, Barbin, Charles, Zalc, & Lubetzki, 2005; Demerens et al., 1996; Mensch et al., 2015). Subsequently, the release of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and adenosine can arrange some neuron-glial communication (Bradl & Lassmann, 2010), accompanied by multiple intrinsic and neuron-derived factors highlighted in Fig. 1.2. Even though one OL delegates several processes to many axons, some of these processes can later be retracted. It is believed that electrically active axons are more likely to be successfully myelinated (Hines, Ravanelli, Schwindt, Scott, & Appel, 2015).

Additionally, myelin is not only formed during development but also generated even in the adult brain. Importantly, the modulation of myelin – or in other words, the myelin plasticity – in response to neuronal activity seems to be crucial for the processing of information throughout adulthood (Chang, Redmond, & Chan, 2016; Fields, 2015; Purger, Gibson, & Monje, 2015; Young et al., 2013).

1.4 The ultrastructure of myelin

Our main knowledge about the ultrastructure of myelin is based on transmission electron-microscopic (TEM) studies of nervous tissue morphology. From numerous EM investigations, we recognize myelin to be a multi-layered stack of uniformly thick membranes with a characteristic periodic structure formed by alternating electron-dense and light layers; these are the major dense line (MDL) and the intraperiod line (IPL), respectively (Fig. 1.3, A). A detailed view into compact internodal myelin presents the MDL, where the intracellular cytosolic surfaces of the OL adhere to each other to form compacted sheets, within which the protein MBP is localized (Fig. 1.3, B). Extracellular surfaces associate to form the IPL. In the myelin sheath, some less compacted regions of cytosolic pools also exist, which contain different enzymes, cytoskeletal networks, and signal transduction proteins. These regions may...
allow myelin to interact with the axon, and to perform various functions (Harauz & Boggs, 2013; Harauz & Musse, 2007; Musse & Harauz, 2007).

Fig. 1.3: The ultrastructure of myelin. (A) Morphology of compact myelin visualized by TEM. The intraperiod lines (IPL) can be distinguished from the more electron-dense (darker) major dense lines (MDL). (B) Ongoing compaction of myelin membranes with six lamellae. In non-compacted domains myelin proteins, including CNP, can be found. MBP closely glues two opposing cytoplasmic leaflets of the myelin membranes together. Figures adopted from references (A) Nave and Werner 2014 with permission from Annual Reviews (no extra permission required) and (B) from Chang, Redmond, and Chan, 2016, with permission from Nature Publishing Group, license number 3845391207146.

The MDL represents the closely condensed cytoplasmic (inner) myelin membranes, whereas the IPL consists of the less-tightly apposed outer membranes. The compaction between the membranes in each of these layers is tight and results in an overall periodicity of about 12 nm (Aggarwal et al., 2013; Aggarwal, Yurlova, Snaidero, et al., 2011).

1.5 Myelin composition

To fully understand the organization of myelin, the molecular composition needs to be introduced here in greater detail. As an electrical insulator, myelin consists of a high proportion of lipids as the main component (70-85% of the dry mass) whereas the water content is kept low. Hence, the proportion of proteins is relatively low, with only up to 30% of the dry mass (Baumann & Pham-Dinh, 2001). In contrast, most other biological membranes have a higher ratio of proteins to lipids. Although there are no absolute “myelin-specific” lipids, glycosphingolipids, including cerebrosides such as galactosylcerebroside, are with 27% by weight the most enriched lipid type within myelin (Chrast, Saher, Nave, & Verheijen, 2011;
Pfeiffer, Warrington, & Bansal, 1993; Stoffel & Bosio, 1997). Other particular lipids besides cerebrosides, like cholesterol, sulfatides, ethanolamine, and galactolipids are also increased, whereas the overall amount of lecithin is reduced (Aggarwal, Yurlova, & Simons, 2011; Bosio, Binczek, Haupt, & Stoffel, 1998; Podbielska, Levery, & Hogan, 2011). The overview given in Table 1.1 suggests that myelin from these mammalian species presented here is very similar. However, there are some differences, since myelin of rats has less sphingomyelin than bovine or human myelin (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1: Comparison of lipids isolated from the human or rat brain. Values of overall proteins and lipids are given as percentage of the dry weight, whereas single lipids are presented as total lipid weight percentage (modified from (Quarles, 2002)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Myelin</th>
<th>Human</th>
<th>Rat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebroside</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfatide</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total galactolipid</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanolamine phosphatides</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphatidylcholine</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphingomyelin</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphatidylserine</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphatidylinositol</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmalogens</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phospholipid</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, there are also variations when comparing the myelin isolated from different regions of the CNS. The spinal cord represents a higher lipid-to-protein ratio than brain myelin from the same species (P. Morell, Lipkind, & Greenfield, 1973). These lipids not only enable the close packing and tight organization of molecules to electrically insulate axons and provide structural stability of membranes, they are also suggested to play a role in axon-glia interaction by the local clustering of proteins (Schmitt, Castelvetri, & Simons, 2015), or by the formation of paranodal-axoglial junctions via galactosylcerebrosides and sulfatides (Boggs, Gao, & Hirahara, 2008; Boggs et al., 2010).

The lipid compositions of the CNS and PNS are remarkably similar, but the protein compositions are rather different (Mehl & Wolfgram, 1969; Pierre Morell et al., 1994). The proteins represented in the myelin are fairly specific and functionally important. The structural
configuration of lipids is mainly dependent on the function of one of the major myelin proteins: Myelin Basic Protein (MBP). MBP and Proteolipid Protein (PLP) together represent 60 – 80% by weight of the total protein content and are restricted to compact myelin, whereas Myelin-Associated Glycoprotein (MAG, 1%), 2′,3′-cyclic nucleotide 3′ phosphodiesterase (CNP, 5%), and Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein (MOG, 0.1%) account for the majority of the remaining proteins found in the non-compact domain of myelin. The main group of peripheral myelin proteins comprises Protein zero glycoprotein (P0; 60%), Peripheral Myelin Protein 22 (PMP-22; < 5%), P2 protein (< 1 – 15%), and MBP (10%) (Gould et al., 2008; Quarles, 2007). More detailed information on CNP and MBP, in particular, will be presented in sections 1.6.1 and 1.6.2, as the understanding of their functional relationship is of great importance and needed later on.

Recent proteomics observations challenge the still widespread acceptance that the variety of myelin proteins is rather low. Due to the application of improved analytical techniques (e.g., mass spectrometric detection) and bioinformatics, more myelin-associated proteins, including enzymes (48%), cytoskeletal proteins (20%), phospholipid binding proteins (4.2%), and proteins involved in trafficking (6.8%) and adhesion (6.3%) could be determined (Jahn, Tenzer, & Werner, 2009; Werner et al., 2007).

1.6 Myelin: compaction versus de-compaction

The interaction of cell membranes is essential for many biological processes. Particularly fascinating is the organization of the extracellular leaflets of the myelin membrane bilayer in OLs and Schwann cells, because this association is fairly unusual (Aggarwal, Yurlova, & Simons, 2011; Garbay, Heape, Sargueil, & Cassagne, 2000). Two opposing surfaces of the plasma membrane have to connect over very large areas to assemble into tightly-compacted stacks of myelin lamellae in order to provide structural support by extensive bilayer–bilayer interactions. In the CNS, MBP facilitates the membrane interaction of myelin sheaths from the cytoplasmic side, whereas the molecular association of outer leaflets is still being unraveled. The adhesion of myelin membranes is not based on the contact of lock-and-key-adhesion molecules, but rather on the global loss of electrostatic cell-surface repulsion (Bakhti, Aggarwal, & Simons, 2014; Sackmann & Goennenwein, 2006). Overall, myelin compaction in the CNS is provided by an orchestrated interaction of PLP along with the reduction of repulsive forces caused by fewer negatively-charged sugar moieties bound to the glycolcalyx.

The relationship of proteins, which restricts the migration of myelin proteins into compact and non-compact membrane domains, has recently come to be further understood. By extruding
most proteins from compact myelin sheaths, MBP brings opposing membrane leaflets together
(Aggarwal, Yurlova, Snaidero, et al., 2011).

Thereby, MBP restrains the access of cytoplasmic proteins like CNP and MAG into compact
myelin by forming a size barrier (Fig. 1.4). In general, MBP is responsible for adhesion of the
two opposing cytosolic surfaces of multi-layered compact myelin within the CNS (Boggs,
2006).

1.6.1 Intrinsically multitalented – Myelin Basic Protein (MBP)

MBP is a prominent representative of intrinsically-disordered proteins (IDPs) (Harauz,
Ladizhansky, & Boggs, 2009; Hill, Bates, White, Hallett, & Harauz, 2002; Hill et al., 2003;
Libich et al., 2010). These intrinsically flexible but biologically active proteins are natively unfolded,
and often gain some degree of ordered structure upon attachment to a binding partner, which
is strongly dependent on the environment (Fig. 1.5). IDPs are unstructured in solution due to
their overall reduced hydrophobicity and high net charge compared to normally folded proteins
(Uversky, 2011; Uversky, Oldfield, & Dunker, 2008). An adequate definition describing the
"structure" of MBP might be as a collection of dynamic conformational ensembles with only
few tertiary intramolecular contacts. The lack of structure in IDPs originates from charged
amino acids like arginine, glutamate, lysine, and aspartate, which increase the intramolecular
electrostatic repulsion (Romero et al., 2001; Uversky et al., 2008).
The molecular basis of the direct interactions between MBP and the lipid bilayers on the one hand, and potentially between MBP molecules and other proteins on the other hand, is not fully understood (Kattnig, Bund, Boggs, Harauz, & Hinderberger, 2012; Vassall et al., 2016). The overall net positive charge due to the uniform distribution of basic residues throughout the length of the protein is responsible for its strong electrostatic binding to negatively-charged lipid membranes (Jo & Boggs, 1995). In fact, the C-terminus as well as the N-terminal end of MBP can associate with lipids (Boggs, Rangaraj, & Koshy, 1999). Upon membrane binding, electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions between MBP and lipids affect the association with the bilayer (Jo & Boggs, 1995; Marsh, Horvath, Swamy, Mantripragada, & Kleinschmidt, 2002).

![Fig. 1.5: Three-state transition model of Myelin Basic Protein (MBP).](image)

The conformation of MBP within myelin and particularly its disposition between the leaflets is only partially known (Fig. 1.6, A). The protein is thought to occupy a paperclip or hairpin-like conformation, which would allow the concurrent interaction of the α-helices with the membrane (Vassall et al., 2015; Vassall et al., 2016). Besides the affinity of MBP binding to lipids, it has been furthermore described to interact with actin, calmodulin, tubulin, and clathrin in vitro (Boggs et al., 2014; Boggs, Rangaraj, Gao, & Heng, 2006; Boggs, Rangaraj, Heng, Liu, & Harauz, 2011; Harauz & Boggs, 2013).

Five different isoforms exist as a product of differential splicing of a single mRNA transcript of a large gene complex called Golli (Gene in the Oligodendrocyte Lineage). In the mouse, the Golli-MBP gene has eleven exons, of which the classic ones are numbered I-VII, yielding five isoforms: 21.5, 18.5, 17.24, 17.22, and 14.0 kDa, whereas in humans, four main isoforms ranging in nominal molecular mass from 17.2 to 21.5 kDa exist (Harauz & Boggs, 2013; Vassall et al., 2016). The murine and human classic MBP gene structures are similar, and the 18.5-
kDa MBP isoform of the adult mammalian CNS is the most widely studied form concerning its role in maintaining the stability of the myelin sheath (Bates et al., 2000; Harauz & Boggs, 2013; Min et al., 2009; Polverini, Rangaraj, Libich, Boggs, & Harauz, 2008; Volkov, Dockter, Bund, Paulsen, & Jeschke, 2009).

MBP undergoes diverse post-translational modifications (PTMs), which mainly result in the reduction of the net positive charge and include phosphorylation by various kinases (MAPKs) and deimination that converts positively-charged arginine moieties to neutral citrulline (Harauz & Boggs, 2013; Moscarello et al., 2013; Moscarello, Mastronardi, & Wood, 2007; Vassall et al., 2015). Due to a diversity of PTMs, several modified charge components of MBP exist, described as C1-C8. The net positive charge of those components decreases from +19 (C1) to < +13 (C8) at pH 7.0. In healthy adult myelin, the MBP charge isoform C1 with a net positive charge of +19 predominates, whereas in infants and adults with MS the C8 component (with a net positive charge of +13) is found in greater proportion. The charge isoform C8 is primarily formed by the enzymatic deimination of arginine to citrulline, reducing its net positive charge.

**Fig. 1.6:** Possible arrangement of full-length MBP (18.5-kDa isoform) between membranes. (A) Within OL membranes, MBP is suggested to adopt a hairpin conformation which would allow the simultaneous interaction of the α-helices with apposing membrane leaflets. The conformation of a hairpin-like structure may also allow the detachment of any of the α-helices in order to interact with other binding partners, whereas the other two α-helices remain tethered to opposing membrane bilayers. (B) Effects of deimination determine the penetration depths of MBP within lipid bilayers. The central α2-helix of MBP represents an immunodominant epitope in MS, which is found to be exposed to the membrane surface in deiminated C8 mutants. The MAPK phosphorylation sites Thr92 and Thr95 are located closely to the C-terminal end of the α2-helix. Phosphorylation of both sites (Ph-Thr92, Ph-Thr95, murine 18.5-kDa sequence numbering) changes the association of the α2-helix with the membrane by altering the tilt of the helix. Consequently, the N-terminal end of the helix sinks deeper into the membrane compared to the C-terminal end. Adopted and modified from (Vassall et al., 2015), no additional permission needed.

Reduction of the high net positive charge of MBP, through enzymatic deimination, correlates strongly with disease severity and may influence myelin instability and loss of compaction (Mastronardi & Moscarello, 2005). An immune response, which is based on the attraction of an immunodominant epitope of MBP, results in destabilization or degradation of the entire myelin sheath (Bates, Feix, Boggs, & Harauz, 2004; Ishiyama et al., 2001; Musse, Boggs, & Harauz, 2006). This phenomenon seems to be correlated to the typical charge level of MBP (Harauz et al., 2009; Kattning et al., 2012; Musse et al., 2006). Previous studies have indicated
that the charge component C1 holds together the opposing leaflets of the OL membrane within the CNS. However, deimination of MBP loosens its association with the membrane (Fig. 1.6, B).

The mouse model of autosomal recessive shiverer mutant mice highlights the relevance of MBP-mediated myelination (Molineaux, Engh, de Ferra, Hudson, & Lazzarini, 1986). These mouse mutants are unable to synthesize all isoforms of MBP because they lack exons 2 – 7 within the Golli gene complex. Since homozygous knock-out animals are thus unable to form normal layers of compact myelin, they develop the characteristic shivering phenotype at the age of two weeks (peak phase of murine myelination). Upon further development, this phenotype exacerbates and is represented by frequent tremors and seizures, and mutant animals die prematurely between 8 – 12 weeks after birth (Dupouey et al., 1979; Rosenbluth, 1980). The shiverer phenotype can be rescued by the introduction of the MBP gene encoding all isoforms of MBP (Readhead et al., 1987), or by the transfer of human OPCs into new-born shiverer mice brains (Windrem et al., 2004). Another MBP-deficient mouse mutant resembles the pathological phenotype of shiverer mice. In myelin-deficient (mld) mice, the inversion of exon 2 in the duplicated Golli-MBP gene causes the inhibition of the MBP transcription (Akowitz, Barbarese, Scheld, & Carson, 1987; Okano et al., 1987). Once more, these mouse models demonstrate the importance of MBP as the only known structural protein that is essential for the formation of compact myelin.

1.6.2 The mysterious multi-talent – 2′,3′-Cyclic-nucleotide 3′-phosphodiesterase (CNP)

Since its discovery in the early 1960’s, 2′,3′-Cyclic-nucleotide 3′-phosphodiesterase (CNP) has puzzled scientists with its curious catalytic activity and high expression levels in the CNS. Within myelin, CNP is present in the inner and outer regions of the myelin sheath, restricted to the paranodal loops and to the oligodendrocytic cytoplasm, and is absent from compact myelin (Drummond, Iyer, & Keith, 1962). The initial characterization of CNP – a membrane-anchored enzyme ubiquitously present on the cytosolic side of non-compact myelin – was succeeded by the observation of the hydrolysis of nucleoside 2′,3′-cyclicmonophosphate to 2′-cyclicmonophosphate in rabbit brain extract, but the physiological relevance remains unknown (Drummond, Iyer, & Keith, 1962. An overview of the function of CNP and the interaction with (potential) binding partners is summarized in Fig. 1.7. In brief, the C-terminal domain of CNP is one of the divergent members of the 2H phosphodiesterase superfamily and bears the enzymatic hydrolytic activity that led to its initial characterization (Drummond et al., 1962; Mazumder, Iyer, Vasudevan, & Aravind, 2002).
Fig. 1.7: CNP – a functional overview. CNP can be divided into two folded domains plus a C-terminal extension. Known or assumed functions of individual domains are indicated. Figure adopted and modified from (Raasakka & Kursula, 2014), with permission Springer, license number 284561177656.

As a member of this protein superfamily, which contains enzymes from all biological domains, it has enzymatic activities towards various kinds of nucleotide substrates (Arne Raasakka et al., 2015). Furthermore, the C-terminal end of CNP is post-translationally modified with a lipid anchor formed by either farnesyl or geranylgeranyl isoprene groups (Braun, De Angelis, Shtybel, & Bernier, 1991; De Angelis & Braun, 1996b). It has been described that the C-terminus has a helical arrangement with a hydrophobic surface (Esposito et al., 2008), although a more recent study shows that this lipid tail rather forms a random coil structure in the presence of different lipids (Myllykoski, Raasakka, Han, & Kursula, 2012; A. Raasakka et al., 2015).

The N-terminus of CNP has sequence similarities to polynucleotide kinases (PNK), but its actual structure and function are not known (Koonin & Gorbalenya, 1990; Zhu, Smith, Wang, & Shuman, 2007). Recently, it has been shown that calmodulin (CaM), a calcium sensor protein, binds to the PNK-like domain in a calcium-dependent manner without influencing the enzymatic activity of the CNP (Fig. 1.8, B) (Myllykoski, Itoh, et al., 2012). At present, high-resolution structural data on CNP’s PNK-like domain are not available. Besides a homology modelling characterization, the functional activity of the N-terminal domains remains speculative (Kursula, 2008; Myllykoski, Raasakka, Lehtimaki, Han, & Kursula, 2013; A. Raasakka et al., 2015).

CNP is the most abundant protein of non-compact domains and represents with 4% of the total myelin protein the third-most abundant protein overall in CNS myelin. Apart from its abundance in myelin, CNP is also expressed in mitochondria and other tissue as it has been found in the thymus, lung, heart, and testes (Scherer et al., 1994). Until this work, the distinct biological function of this protein has not been elucidated and it is rather likely that it fulfils more than one
function, since it has been reported to be involved in the formation of paranodes, local adenosine production in traumatic brain (Verrier et al., 2012), regulatory functions in mitochondrial membrane permeabilization, and axonal support (Azarashvili et al., 2009; Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003; J. Lee, O'Neill, Park, Gravel, & Braun, 2006; McFerran & Burgoyne, 1997; Scherer et al., 1994). Different from MBP, CNP has a large hydrophobic surface, which is surrounded by several positive electrostatic potentials (Fig. 1.8, A) (Myllykoski, Raasakka, et al., 2012). During the differentiation of OLs, it is one of the earliest expressed myelin-specific proteins and cell culture studies demonstrate the importance of CNP for OL process outgrowth (Gobert et al., 2009).

**Fig. 1.8: Molecular structure of CNP. (A)** Molecular surface and electrostatic potentials of full-length CNP. A large hydrophobic surface depicted in grey expands from the active site towards the N-terminal domain (N). The hydrophobic region is surrounded by several positive electrostatic potentials given in blue, whereas negative potentials are shown in red. The purple arrow indicates the possible binding surface for RNA. Figure adopted with permission from (Myllykoski, Raasakka, et al., 2012). (B) Structure of CNP and the relative orientation of the C- and N-termini. The recently elucidated CaM-binding site is represented in yellow, a second potentially amphipathic helix in pink, and the ATP-binding site in the so-called P-loop in red. The ATP-binding site is indicated by the addition of an ADP molecule. The catalytic domain follows directly after the C-terminus. Figure taken from reference (Myllykoski, Itoh, et al., 2012) and modified, reprint by permission of John Wiley and Sons, license number 3835480983158.

In humans, the CNP gene consists of 8500 base pairs in chromosome 17 containing 4 exons and 3 introns. Alternative splicing yields two CNP isoforms (Douglas et al., 1992; Monoh et al., 1993; O'Neill, Minuk, Cox, Braun, & Gravel, 1997). The human CNP protein isoform 1 (CNP1) contains 401 amino acids (aa) compared to 421 aa for isoform 2 (CNP2) (Gerhard et al., 2004; Kurihara, Takahashi, Nishiyama, & Kumanishi, 1988). The additional 20 aa N-terminal tail of CNP2 operates as a mitochondrial targeting signal, which is cleaved upon import into mitochondria, resulting in a protein that is identical to CNP1 (J. Lee et al., 2006). In this study, the abbreviation “CNP” refers to the primary isoform 1 of the murine protein, which is exclusively used throughout the study.
Besides the capability of CNP to interact with the membrane directly, it can bind to both actin and tubulin. Although the particular interaction of CNP with tubulin is well characterized in vitro, information is still lacking on the protein-protein interaction with actin (Bifulco, Laezza, Stingo, & Wolff, 2002; Laezza, Wolff, & Bifulco, 1997; J. Lee, Gravel, Zhang, Thibault, & Braun, 2005). The first biochemical approaches showed that CNP co-immunoprecipitated with actin. After detergent extraction of cultured cells, CNP was found in the insoluble fraction. Interestingly, after disruption of the actin cytoskeleton, it could be solubilized (De Angelis & Braun, 1996a, 1996b). It furthermore could be shown that the transfection of a fibroblast cell line with full-length CNP led to a reorganization of the actin cytoskeleton accompanied by an altered morphology of the cell (De Angelis & Braun, 1994; J. Lee et al., 2005). Whereas the binding to actin occurs in an isoprenylation-independent manner, the association of CNP with the membrane is strongly dependent on the correct sequence of the farnesyl or geranylgeranyl isoprene groups. The introduction of a point mutation to the cysteine within this motif inhibits the association between CNP and the membrane (De Angelis & Braun, 1994).

Mice lacking CNP develop axonal degeneration while the biogenesis of myelination seems to be normal (Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003). Intact and eventually normal myelin sheaths were found to surround axons that showed severe axonal swellings that extended with age (Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003). Particularly smaller axons degenerated earlier in CNP-deficient animals. Furthermore, paranodal aberrations were accompanied by defects of the inner tongue (innermost myelin layer) and the accumulation of redundant loops of myelin (Edgar et al., 2009). The histological analysis showed that the overall brain size of CNP-null mice – particularly of white matter regions – is reduced.

By the age of three months, symptoms like ataxia and convulsions appear and CNP-deficient animals develop a more severe phenotype. At the same age, those animals presented a mislocalization of paranodal contactin-associated proteins, accompanied by an altered distributing of axonal voltage-dependent sodium channels (Rasband et al., 2005). Between the age of 6 and 12 months, homozygous CNP mutants die prematurely. The opposite, namely the overexpression of CNP, affects the ultrastructure of myelin by a reduced compaction of membrane lamellae. In those animals, CNP was found in domains of compact myelin while the amount of MBP was reduced (Yin, Peterson, Gravel, Braun, & Trapp, 1997). In conclusion, the loss of this single myelin protein caused severe axonal phenotypes (axonal swellings and degeneration, altered localization of paranodal proteins, and accumulation of redundant myelin), indicating that the axons were suffering from a trophic undersupply. Therefore, CNP
is believed to be required for the nutritious support of myelinated axons and their maintenance (Edgar et al., 2009).

1.6.3 Teamwork of CNP and MBP

The main myelin proteins were initially described already in the 1960s and early 1970s simply because of their occurrence at high concentrations in myelinated nerve fibers (Braun & Barchi, 1972; Eylar, 1970; Kornguth & Anderson, 1965; Sprinkle, Tippins, & Kestler, 1987). But little is still known about the structure-function relationship of myelin proteins. Our recent observations in the optic nerve indicate that CNP is involved in regulating myelin compaction early in development (Snaidero et al., 2014; Snaidero & Simons, 2014). Since the early 1960s when it was discovered, CNP has troubled scientists with its peculiar catalytic activity and high expression levels in the CNS.

Considering the function of MBP that has been described explicitly in previous sections, a spatial and temporal expression of MBP’s mRNA close to the place of destination would simplify myelin biogenesis. It has been well described in vivo that MBP mRNA is transported to the inner tongue where translation processes take place (Ainger et al., 1993; Colman, Kreibich, Frey, & Sabatini, 1982; Laursen, Chan, & Ffrench-Constant, 2011; Trapp et al., 1987). As MBP is synthesized in those proximal (close to the axon) areas of myelin, diffusion has to be allowed backwards to the outermost area where myelin compaction is initiated. Once MBP binds to two adjacent cytoplasmic surfaces, it self-assembles into a fibrous network, thereby greatly reducing its mobility and establishing a nucleation point for myelin membrane zippering (Fig. 1.3, B and Fig. 1.4). However, the following observations in high-pressure frozen optic nerves highlight a mechanism, which seemingly regulates the growth and the compaction speed during the formation of myelin. Moreover, Snaidero et al. showed that CNP is actively involved in preventing the membrane compaction that is so strongly dependent on MBP early in development (Snaidero et al., 2014).

As soon as MBP binds to membranes, it induces compaction by closing two opposing membranes. Thus, it is surprising that the innermost layers of myelin remained loosely packed at the peak of optic nerve myelination in WT mice (Fig. 1.9, A). This effect appeared to be even stronger in mice that partially lack MBP (Fig. 1.9, B). Here, heterozygous shiverer animals present even more myelin sheaths with non-aligned and non-compacted wraps. Interestingly, the analysis of heterozygote shiverer and CNP-deficient animals reveal the opposing effect and impressively shows that compaction becomes even more efficient when
this cytosolic protein is missing. Upon loss of CNP, the rate of compaction seems to be accelerated, and results in tightly-compacted membranes (Fig. 1.9, C).

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 1.9: The equilibrium of MBP and CNP levels appears to regulate the rate of membrane compaction early in development. (A) High-pressure frozen optic nerve of a WT mouse at P10. Uncompact and non-aligned wraps of myelin at the peak of optic nerve myelination (highlighted in yellow). (B) Analysis of the amount of myelinated axons with non-compact and non-aligned wraps of the innermost myelin sheaths in WT and heterozygote shiverer mice at P10, P14, and P21 (ON, HPF). (C) Same analysis as performed in panel B using heterozygote CNP and CNP-null mutants. Figure adapted from (Snaidero et al., 2014). Reprint by permission of AAAS.**

The opposite, namely the overexpression of CNP, leads to myelin sheaths with non-compacted wraps (Gravel et al., 1996). Therefore, it appears to be crucial not to exclusively distinguish between domains of activity and tasks of individual proteins, but to see their possible cooperation. Indeed, the antagonistic function of MBP and CNP seems to be important for the membrane compaction very early in development.

### 1.7 Neuron glia communication

Myelin has been thought to be an inert and purely insulating membrane until recently. It now appears that myelin is metabolically active, providing support to the underlying axon and participating in information processing by modulating velocity and synchronicity of nerve impulses in neuronal networks (Chang et al., 2016; de Hoz & Simons, 2015; Fields, 2015; Purger et al., 2015). At first glance, this physiological activity seems to be incompatible with the structural properties of myelin consisting of multilamellar membrane that harbors only little cytoplasm. Evolutionarily, the organization of myelin was accompanied by interesting changes. Features unrelated to conduction speed interact with those promoting speed-enhancing attributes to approach an optimal mix. There is, e.g., the myelin of shrimp and copepods, which has a concentric geometry characterized by single layers encircling the fiber with few contact points between individual layers. This concentric layering results in reduced compaction compared to a tight spirally-wrapped membrane. Thus, the myelin of those crustaceans is
sometimes compact and sometimes not, interestingly with little consequence for its myelinating properties (Hartline & Colman, 2007; Hartline & Kong, 2008). The tight compaction is compensated by thin layers of cytoplasm within compact myelin layers in order to facilitate the passage of nutrients, metabolites, and other signals throughout the myelin layers and to the adjacent axon, which is guaranteed by Schmidt-Lanterman Incisures (SLIs) in the PNS and other intramyelinic cytoplasmic structures of peripheral vertebrate myelin (P. Morell et al., 1973; P. Morell, Quarles, & Norton, 1989; Nave, 2010).

1.7.1 Schmidt-Lanterman Incisures in the PNS

The internode not only consists of compact myelin lamellae but is interrupted by SLIs dividing the sheath in cylindrico-conical segments (Fig. 1.10, A and C), which have been observed in developing, regenerated, and remyelinated fibers. These structures were reported by H. D. Schmidt (1874) and A. J. Lanterman (1877) already in the 19th century and were described as interrupted funnel-shape clefts. More than 80 years later, the true existence of the incisural structures was confirmed by the development and application of TEM (Robertson, 1958).

Incisures of Schmidt-Lanterman represent inclusions of Schwann cell cytoplasmic domains (Fig. 1.10, A) and appear as conical structures in cross-sections of peripheral myelin sheaths. The “Robertson diagram” (Fig. 1.10, B) is based on the first ultrastructural investigations on SLIs and still determines the current perception of their organization: the examination of longitudinal-oriented sections reveals an opening of the MDL or IPL, which leads to an engulfment of cytoplasm that connects the external and internal Schwann cell cytosol across the myelin sheath (Robertson, 1958). Incisures at the MDLs separate myelin and enclose Schwann cell cytoplasm, whereas the splitting of the IPL represents extracellular space that is increased in width compared to compact myelin (E. Mugnaini, K. K. Osen, B. Schnapp, & V. L. Friedrich, 1977).

Our main knowledge of SLI organization originates mainly from the work of M. N. Ghabriel and G. Allt, who published their work between the 1980's and early 1990's. The incisural formation is proposed to take place during the myelin biogenesis. It has been shown that an increasing fiber diameter is accompanied by the linear addition of new incisures and the intrusion of Schwann cell cytoplasm between compact myelin layers (Hildebrand, 1971; Small et al., 1987). Morphologically, the incisural cytoplasm contains a variety of cell organelles, including elements of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), mitochondria, lysosomes, and vesicular bodies. Furthermore, desmosome-like bands and microtubules seem to structurally stabilize SLIs (Ghabriel & Allt, 1981). Furthermore, Kruger et al. (1979) considered the cytoplasm to form a
network within myelin, e.g., by the formation of complete and incomplete SLIs. (Kruger, Stolinski, Martin, & Gross, 1979).

Due to their persistence in various layers of myelin, SLIs provide a continuous spiral protoplasmic connection throughout compact membrane sheaths. It is believed that they may provide pathways for the transport of metabolites, or allow compact myelin lamellae to stretch in order to compensate the bending of spirally wrapped myelin lamellae to subsequently guarantee the axon-glial integrity (Terakawa & Hsu, 1991; Xu, 2013).

This hypothesis can be supported by the incisural distribution during the development of compact myelin sheaths. Two different populations of SLIs appear in the course of development. Primary incisures appear with the onset of myelination and are characterized by a smaller size and the partial occupation of distinct areas of myelin sheaths. However, secondary incisures are believed to appear subsequently, usually occupying the whole perimeter of myelin (complete, circumferential incisure), and remain open in adult peripheral nerve fibers. Their ongoing distinction is furthermore achieved by the accumulation of organelles.

Evidence for the metabolic activity of incisures is provided by several studies using different tracer molecules to assess the exchange of molecules between cytoplasmic matrices (Friede...
Interestingly, the examination of SLIs in peripheral nerves of wildtype (WT) and shiverer mice shows that the number of SLIs, seen in longitudinal and transverse sections of sciatic nerves, is dramatically increased in homozygous shiverer mice. Already at the earliest time point (at P15), a 2-fold increase in the appearance of SLIs could be observed in comparison to the WT control. This increase is even greater in nerve fibers of 30- and 90-day old mice. This observation is remarkable as MBP was thought not to be essential for the myelination in the periphery. In the PNS, where MBP is inherently expressed at generally low levels, myelination occurs normally (Gould et al., 1995). This result suggests that the reduction of MBP leads to an introduction of cytoplasmic incisures into compact Schwann cell membranes and may alter the glial-axon communication.

1.7.2 Physiological activity of CNS myelin

The tightly-packed plasma membranes of mature myelin not only ensure the rapid saltatory nerve conduction, they are also essential for the trophic support of the axon. Formerly, myelin has been seen as a purely insulating and inactive membrane, but it now appears that myelin is metabolically active, providing support to the underlying axon and participating in information processing by modulating velocity and synchronicity of nerve impulses in neuronal networks. Today, this viewpoint seems to be in conflict with the long-believed function-structure paradigm of myelin consisting of a stack of multiple membranes that harbor only little cytoplasm. Nevertheless, the application of new techniques has expanded our knowledge about myelin ultrastructure.

In brief, during the biogenesis of myelin, two processes have to be coordinated. At first, OLS send processes to the axon that establish the initial contact (Fig. 1.11, Step 1.) followed by the flattening of the membrane onto the axon axons (Fig. 1.11, Step 2.) (Kirby et al., 2006). Mechanical forces are necessary to promote the growth at the leading edge. Very recently, it has been shown that a high turnover of filamentous actin mediates the reorganization of filament-like structures into membrane sheets (Nawaz et al., 2015; Samanta & Salzer, 2015; Zuchero et al., 2015).
Fig. 1.11: The current model of the myelin biogenesis in the CNS. The illustration directly compares the 2-dimensional view of an unrolled myelin sheet with the corresponding 3-dimensional arrangement at sequential developmental stages together with the appropriate cross-sectional view through the axon. (1.) Myelinating OLs send out filamentous processes towards an unmyelinated axon. (2.) After the establishment of the first contact, the membrane flattens and starts to enwrap spirally (growth zone is illustrated in green). (3.) Synchronization of radial (around) and lateral (along) growth of the myelin sheaths. At the same time, an elaborated system of cytoplasmic channels (CPCs) is formed. (4.) Compact membrane sheaths when myelination is terminated. Image adopted and modified from reference (Chang et al., 2016) with permission from Nature Publishing Group, license number 3846470493354.

While myelin is incorporated adjacent to the axon at the leading edge or innermost tongue, newly-formed layers extend laterally. This bidirectional growth is accompanied by MBP-mediated compaction while some areas remain non-compacted (Fig. 1.11, Step 3.). Simultaneously, an elaborated system of cytoplasmic-rich (myelinic) channels (the aforementioned CPCs) within compact myelin is being formed (Snaidero et al., 2014; Snaidero & Simons, 2014).

After the formation of a few wraps, compaction is initiated from the outermost layer toward the inner layers, omitting cytoplasmic channels for metabolic exchange and material transport between the OL and the innermost leading edge in developing myelin sheaths. When the growth of the sheath is terminated, myelin is fully compacted and cytoplasmic channels disappear (Fig. 1.11, Step 4.).

1.7.3 Why should structures that fulfil essential functions in the periphery be missing in the CNS?

A recent technical advance has been the improvement of cryo-fixation methods by application of high-pressure freezing (HPF) to enable an enhanced preservation of the tissue architecture,
prior to visualization by TEM (Mobius et al., 2010). Using HPF, it was possible to visualize an elaborated system of cytoplasmic (myelinic) channels within compact myelin of the developing optic nerve (Fig. 1.11, Step 3 and Fig. 1.12, A), which runs in an helical course through the compacted myelin lamellae connecting the cell body of the myelinating OL with the innermost layer of myelin (Snaidero et al., 2014). These channels in the CNS resemble SLIs, the myelin incisures of peripheral myelin, in many respects. Interestingly, at the peak of optic nerve myelination (P10), a large number of myelin sheaths with cytoplasmic channels was observed, which have in terms of their morphology (detection of microtubules, vesicular structures, and cell organelles) a similar appearance compared to SLIs of the PNS.

Fig. 1.12: Observation of cytoplasmic channels (CPCs) in small caliber axons in the murine optic nerve at P10: (A) Longitudinal view of an optic nerve at P10 of a WT mouse. Arrowheads represent shortcuts, which provide a spiral connection from the outer to the inner tongue, scale bar = 200 nm. (B) Cross-section of an optic nerve at the peak of optic nerve myelination. Arrowheads mark the openings that are filled with cytoplasm and build interruptions within compact myelin sheaths: scale bar = 200 nm. (C) Analysis of the percentage of myelin sheaths that contain CPCs. A high number of CPCs provides short connections from the outer to the inner tongue at the peak of optic nerve myelination (P10). Upon termination of myelination, these structures transiently disappear. Figure adapted from (Snaidero et al., 2014). Reprint by permission of AAAS.

However, these radial connections differ in their transient nature from those of the periphery, meaning that these spiral connections almost completely disappear with the termination of myelination at P60 (Fig. 1.11, step 4 and Fig. 1.12, C). A three-dimensional reconstruction revealed a cytoplasmic channel-like system that passes through a compact myelin sheath and ends at different points in the inner tongue (Fig. 1.12, A).

Already 50 year ago, Ramón y Cajal stated that “the most robust fibers (in the spinal cord) have true incisures of Schmidt-Lanterman (…)” (Ramón y Cajal et al., 1968), but limitations of sample preparation techniques made it impossible to prove that compact myelin sheaths in the CNS indeed, contain more functional active cytoplasm (Blakemor.Wf, 1969).

Given the emerging function of myelin in modulating and supporting neuronal processes, we start here to understand how cytoplasmic domains are formed and maintained in the developing and adult CNS.
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1.8 Aim of this work

The aim of this thesis is to determine how cytoplasmic domains are formed and maintained in the CNS. Here, we present how a system of interconnected cytoplasmic channels is organized in myelin of axons with various calibers. We have elucidated their molecular organization and determined their key components. An overall integrative approach by the combination of advanced electron microscopy, cell biology, super-resolution imaging, and the implementation of biomimetic experiments has allowed us to get insights into the heterogeneity of these complex membrane structures.

This doctoral study had the following specific aims:

1. Comparison of CPCs of axons of a different caliber (thin vs. thick myelinated fibers).
2. Combination of in vitro and in vivo assays to elucidate the molecular mechanisms that play a role in the generation of cytoplasmic domains.
3. Analysis of the functional role of these myelinic channels.
2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 General chemicals, consumables, and kits

General chemicals were obtained from either Sigma-Aldrich (München, Germany), Merck Millipore (Darmstadt, Germany), Invitrogen (München, Germany), or Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) unless stated otherwise.

For cell culture, all basal media and supplements like Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with high glucose, Hank’s balanced salt sodium (HBSS), Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), GlutaMAX TM, Penicillin/Streptomycin (Pen/Strep), B-27 supplement, sodium pyruvate, Horse Serum (HS), Fetal Calf Serum (FCS), 0.05% and 0.25% trypsin-EDTA were purchased from Gibco (Life Technologies GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany). Poly-L-Lysine (PLL) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (München, Germany) whereas the supplements Triiodothyronine (Tt) and L-Thyroxine were bought from Calbiochem (Darmstadt, Germany).

Consumables and cell culture plates were purchased from Greiner bio-one (Greiner bio-one GmbH, Frickenhausen, Germany), Falcon (Becton Dickinson Labware Europe, Le Pont De Claix, France), and Eppendorf (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany).

Latrunculin A and Nocodazole were purchased from Calbiochem (Darmstadt, Germany). The lipids 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, porcine brain L-α-phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂), L-α-phosphatidylcholine (PC), and porcine brain L-α-phosphatidylserine (PS) were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids as chloroform stocks. Cholesterol and chicken egg yolk sphingomyelin (Alexander et al.) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (München, Germany). Lissamine™ rhodamine B 1,2-dihexadecanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (rhodamine-DHPE) was bought from Invitrogen (München, Germany).
2.1.2 General Buffers and Solutions

Buffers and solutions used in this study are listed in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Overview of buffers and solutions used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffers and solutions</th>
<th>Ingredients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)</td>
<td>150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 x F-Buffer</td>
<td>100 mM Tris HCl, 20 mM MgCl₂, 500 mM KCl, 10 mM ATP, 50 mM guanidine carbonate, pH 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking solution</td>
<td>2 g BSA (Applichem), 2 ml FCS (Gibco), 2 ml Fish gelatin (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 ml PBS, final volume 100 ml with distilled H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowiol</td>
<td>6 g glycerol AR, 2.4 g Mowiol 4-88 (Calbiochem), 6 ml H₂O, 12 ml 0.2 M Tris, pH 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB media</td>
<td>25 g/l LB-powder (Applichem) in distilled H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-Acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer (50 x, 1 l)</td>
<td>242 g Tris base, 57.1 ml Glacial acetic acid, 100 ml 0.5% EDTA in distilled H₂O, pH 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super SATO medium (100 ml)</td>
<td>2 ml B27-supplement, 1 ml GlutaMAX, 0.5 ml Pen/Strep, 1 ml Pyruvate, 10 μl Tit, 13 μl L-Tyroxine, 1 ml HS prepared in DMEM 4500 mg/l glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BME medium (500 ml)</td>
<td>50 ml HS, 2.5 ml Pen/Strep, 5 ml GlutaMAX in 500 ml BME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLL solution 100 μg/ml (500 ml)</td>
<td>Dilution of 5 ml 50 X Poly-L-Lysine stock (Mw&gt;300000) in sterile PBS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.3 Enzymes and Kits

Enzymes used in this study are listed in Table 2.2 and were mainly purchased from Fermentas (St. Leon-Rot, Germany), New England Biolabs (NEB GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany), and Promega (Mannheim, Germany). Applied kits are listed in Table 2.3. Supplied buffer solutions were used according to the manufacturer’s guidelines.

Table 2.2: Enzymes used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restriction enzymes</td>
<td>DNA digestion</td>
<td>NEB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 DNA ligase</td>
<td>Ligation of DNA fragments</td>
<td>Fermentas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taq DNA Polymerase</td>
<td>Genotyping</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.3: Commercial kits used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kit</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NucleoSpin Plasmid Kit</td>
<td>DNA isolation, small scale</td>
<td>Macherey-Nagel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NucleoBond Xtra Midi Kit</td>
<td>DNA isolation, medium scale</td>
<td>Macherey-Nagel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NucleoSpin Extract II Kit</td>
<td>DNA purification from agarose gels</td>
<td>Macherey-Nagel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NucleoSpin Gel</td>
<td>DNA purification from agarose gels and PCR clean-up</td>
<td>Macherey-Nagel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invisorb spin tissue mini kit</td>
<td>Genotyping/tail DNA extraction</td>
<td>STRATEC Biomedical AG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.4 Antibodies and dyes

An overview of the primary antibodies used in this study is given in Table 2.4. Secondary conjugated antibody fluorophores were purchased from Dianova (Dianova GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) and were used at a dilution of 1:1000 on cells.

Table 2.4: Antibodies used in this study: ICC (Immunocytochemistry), m: mouse (monoclonal), r: rabbit (polyclonal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Host species</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m-anti-beta II Spectrin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>ICC (1:500), IEM (1:200)</td>
<td>BD Transduction Labs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-anti-CNP</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>ICC (1:300), IEM (1:200)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r-anti-MBP</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>ICC (1:300)</td>
<td>Dako Deutschland GmbH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-anti-MBP</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>ICC (1:1000)</td>
<td>Sternberger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-anti-αtubulin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>ICC (1:2000)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phalloidin (Alexa® Fluor 488)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ICC (1:300)</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHPE-Texas Red</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GUV Bursting Assay (0.1 mol%)</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TopFluor® Lyso phosphatidylcholine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GUV Bursting Assay (0.1 mol%)</td>
<td>Avanti Lipid Polar, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.5 Primers

The primers used in this study were synthesized in the DNA core facility, the AGCT-laboratory of the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine (Göttingen, Germany). A complete list of primers used for genotyping is shown in Table 2.5. The primers that were utilized for molecular cloning in order to modify the cDNA sequences of CNP and GFP, and that were later used for recombinant protein expression, are summarized in Table 2.6.
Table 2.5: Primers used for genotyping in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Primer-ID</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>ADF-KO</td>
<td>28830</td>
<td>5'- GATTAAGTTGGTAACGC -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28831</td>
<td>5'- GAAGAAGGCAAAGAGATCTT -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28832</td>
<td>5'- CTACCTAAAGGGCATCCTTTC -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNCE-Cre</td>
<td>CNCE GNT</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>5'- CATAGCCTGAAGAAGCAGA -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2916</td>
<td>5'- GCCTTCAAACGTCCATCTC -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7315</td>
<td>5'- CCCAGCCCTTTATTACCAAC -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cofilin1</td>
<td>CFL1FX</td>
<td>27596</td>
<td>5'- CGCTGGACAGAGCCACGCATC -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27597</td>
<td>5'- CGTTGACAGCTGGCAAAGTACG -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27598</td>
<td>5'- CATGAAGGTTCGCAAGTCTCAAC -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLP1-Cre-ERT2</td>
<td>PLP-Cre</td>
<td>10099</td>
<td>5'- TGGACAGCTGGCAAAGTACG -3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10100</td>
<td>5'- CGTTGACAGCTGGCAAAGTACG -3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.6: Primers and oligonucleotides for molecular cloning used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer/oligo ID</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32239</td>
<td>Introduction of BamH restriction site into full-length CNP</td>
<td>5'-GGCGGCGGATCCAAGAGTcatctctaggagaaagg-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32241</td>
<td>Introduction of EcoR restriction site into full-length CNP</td>
<td>5'-TCCTCCGAATTGcatgtgtcagatcagtacg-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33671</td>
<td>NHE3 membrane binding domain, top oligonucleotide</td>
<td>5'AATTCGGGAGAAGGAAAAAAGCGGAGGTATA CAACGCGAGGCGGACAGAAAGAGCAGAGAAGAGA GATGAC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33672</td>
<td>NHE3 membrane binding domain, bottom oligonucleotide</td>
<td>5'TCGAGTTCCTCCTCCTCTCCTCTGTCTTCTGTGCGCG CTCCGCTTGTATAACTTTCGCGGTTCCTCTCCTCCTCCTCCTCCTG 3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.6 Chemicals for electron microscopy

The fixation and dehydration state of tissue samples used for electron microscopy is crucial for the sample quality. Therefore, particular chemicals are needed, which are listed in Table 2.7.
Table 2.7: Chemicals for electron microscopy used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead citrate 8473</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranyl acetate</td>
<td>Merck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraformaldehyde</td>
<td>Serva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaraldehyde (EM grade)</td>
<td>Electron Microscopy Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmium Tetroxide (OsO₄)</td>
<td>Electron Microscopy Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycidether</td>
<td>Serva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Dodecenylsuccinic acid anhydride (DDSA)</td>
<td>Serva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl nadic anhydride (MNA)</td>
<td>Serva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoxy embedding medium, accelerator (DMP-30)</td>
<td>Electron Microscopy Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannic acid</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone, glass distilled</td>
<td>Electron Microscopy Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinylpyrrolidone</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azure II</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.7 Software

Table 2.8 provides a list of the specific software used during the course of this study. General objectives were image processing, the design of figures, data quantification, primer design, or the plotting of data and their statistical analysis. Applications tagged with *) are freely available online.

Table 2.8: Summary of the software used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Source/Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Illustrator CC 2015</td>
<td>Figure Design</td>
<td>Adobe Systems, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApE Plasmid Editor*</td>
<td>DNA sequence editing</td>
<td>by Wayne Davis, University of Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EndNote X7</td>
<td>Bibliography manager</td>
<td>Thomas Reuters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad PRISM 5</td>
<td>Statistical analysis and graph production</td>
<td>GraphPad Software, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ/Fiji*</td>
<td>Image processing and analysis</td>
<td><a href="http://fiji.sc/Fiji">http://fiji.sc/Fiji</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAS AF 3.1.0</td>
<td>Fluorescent image acquisition</td>
<td>Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Molecular Cloning

The cDNA encoding recombinant full-length CNP (fl-CNP) was obtained from Prof. Dr. Petri Kursula (University of Bergen, Norway) and used as template for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), with the forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers given in Table 2.6.
Successfully modified and amplified PCR products were sub-cloned into the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1(+) (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany). The C-terminal insertion of the lipid binding region R1-3 of NHE3 (Alexander et al., 2011b), was performed by the annealing of the overlapping single-stranded oligonucleotides and ligation into the site of interest. Standard methods of molecular cloning like restriction digestion, ligation, and transformation (via heat shock) into chemically-competent E. coli DH5α competent cells were utilized. The plasmid DNA of picked clones was extracted using the NucleoSpin Plasmid Kit (Macherey Nagel) for a small-scale preparation, followed by the screening of individual clones through restriction digestion and automated sequencing of selected positive clones. Automated DNA sequencing and synthesis of oligonucleotides were performed by the AGCT lab (DNA Core Facility at the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine, Göttingen, Germany). Confirmed clones with a correct DNA sequence were used for a medium-scale DNA preparation using the NucleoBond Xtra Midi Kit (Macherey Nagel).

### 2.2.2 Expression and purification of proteins

The expression and purification of recombinant proteins was realized in collaboration with Dr. Steffen Frey (Max Planck Institute of Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen, Germany). For large-scale expression of GFP with N-terminally-attached, and CNP with C-terminally-attached lipid-binding regions R1-3 of NHE3 (Alexander et al., 2011b), plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain TOP10F’ and grown at 25°C until an optical density at 600 nm (OD$_{600}$) of 3.0 in TB medium supplemented with 50 mg/ml kanamycin. Cultures were induced with 0.15 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), warmed to 37°C and further shaken overnight. Prior to cell harvesting, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride (PMSF) and 5 mM EDTA were added to the cultures. Cells were resuspended in HS buffer (1.3 M NaCl, 220 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 11 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM imidazole, 2.2 mM EDTA, 10 mM DTT) and lysed by sonication followed by centrifugation for 1 h at 37,000 rpm. Cleared lysates were applied to a nickel-chelate matrix equilibrated with HS buffer. After intense washing with HS buffer and HNS buffer (20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, 250 mM sucrose, 5 mM DTT, final pH 7.3), bound proteins were eluted by incubation with SUMO protease (20 nM) for 15 min at room temperature.

The 14-kDa isoform of myelin basic protein (MBP) was expressed in *E. coli* strain BLR harboring plasmid pRil. Cells were cultured in TB medium containing 50 mg/ml kanamycin and 37 mg/ml chloramphenicol at 37°C to OD$_{600}$ = 6.0, followed by induction with 1 mM IPTG and shaken for 6 h at 37°C. Before harvesting, 1 mM PMSF and 5 mM EDTA were added to the cultures. Cells were then re-suspended in 8.3 M guanidine hydrochloride containing 2 mM
EDTA and 20 mM DTT, followed by lysis via freezing and thawing. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 37,000 rpm for 1 h and supplemented with 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) and 1 mM imidazole and applied to a nickel-chelate column. The column was washed with 7.5 mM guanidine hydrochloride, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM imidazole followed by a second washing step with 8 M urea, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM imidazole. Bound protein was eluted by application of the same buffer supplemented with 500 mM imidazole, diluted 1:3 with water, and applied to a thiopyridine-activated SH-reactive matrix. The matrix was washed with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), and 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM imidazole, and eluted with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM DTT followed by application to preparative C18 reversed-phase HPLC column, eluted with increasing concentrations of acetonitrile in 0.15% TFA, and lyophilized.

2.2.3 Primary oligodendrocyte cell culture

Primary cell cultures were prepared as described with various modifications (Fitzner et al., 2006; Simons, Kramer, Thiele, Stoffel, & Trotter, 2000; Trotter & Schachner, 1989). In short, brains of NMRI mice were extracted at postnatal day (P) 1, and the olfactory bulb, cerebellum, and meninges were removed. After trypsinization for 10 min at 37°C using 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA, trypsin was removed and brains were transferred to 5 ml fresh BME medium and homogenized by passing them through a glass Pasteur pipette for 5 – 10 times. To obtain purer cultures, the cell suspension was filtered using a pore size of 0.2 μm and seeded onto poly-L-Lysine (PLL)-coated cell culture flasks (100 μg/ml, MW>300000), containing BME medium (supplemented with 10% horse serum, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, and 1% GlutaMAX), and Super SATO medium (supplemented with DMEM 4.5 g/L glucose, 1% HS, B27 supplement, GlutaMAX, sodium pyruvate, triiodothyronine, L-thyroxine and Penicillin/Streptomycin). The glial mixed culture was incubated for 7 – 8 days and consisted of three main cell types. In general, a monolayer of astrocytes was grown on the bottom of the culture dish while oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) were grown on top of them. Residual microglial cells needed to be removed during the culturing procedure by gently tapping the flask and replacing the culture medium. After 7 – 8 days of incubation, cells were manually shaken off the mixed glial culture by shaking the flasks to detach the oligodendrocytes (OLs), whereas astrocytes remained attached to the bottom of the flask. The medium was collected and centrifuged at 0.9 rpm for 10 min, followed by resuspension of progenitors in Super SATO differentiation medium. For a highly pure culture, OPCs were incubated in a Petri dish for 2 min to allow clustered and other types of cells to adhere to the bottom of the dish. Prior to cell seeding, coverslips were treated with 37% hydrochloric acid, rinsed thoroughly with ddH₂O, until the pH was neutral, and sterilized overnight at 260°C. Next, the cell suspension was finally
collected, and OPCs were plated onto PLL-coated coverslips according to the needed cell density (Table 2.9). In general, coating for primary cultures was performed as follows: PLL was applied on the surfaces (coverslips, cell culture plates/flasks) for 4 – 12 h at 37°C, aspirated, and washed with PBS.

Table 2.9: Cell density according to the size of the culture plate/dish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate/dish</th>
<th>Number of cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96-well plate</td>
<td>5 000 - 10 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-well plate</td>
<td>10 000 - 20 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-well plate</td>
<td>20 000 - 40 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-well plate</td>
<td>40 000 - 80 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-well plate</td>
<td>125 000 - 250 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5-cm Petri dish</td>
<td>250 000 - 500 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-cm Petri dish</td>
<td>600 000 - 1 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The OPCs were kept in Super SATO differentiation medium to allow their differentiation for 5 days. Cells were maintained in a humidified 37°C incubator, supplemented with 7.5% CO₂.

2.2.4 Treatment of primary oligodendrocytes

All compounds that were used for the *in vitro* treatment of OLs were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). In order to minimize effects of this vehicle on the cells, the concentration of the stock solution allowed a dilution to the working solution by the factor of 1:1000. For treatment, approximately 50% of the culture medium was removed from the cells and transferred to sterilized 1.5-ml Eppendorf reaction tubes. Subsequently, drugs were added to a final concentration (listed in Table 2.10), mixed, and the medium was given back to the cells. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 8 h followed by fixation applying a 4% PFA/0.15% glutaraldehyde mixture. For controls, the exact same volume of the drug’s vehicle was used.
Table 2.10: Compounds used for the treatment of primary oligodendrocytes in vitro.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Purchased from</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latrunculin</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>Disruption of microfilament organization by complex formation with G-actin</td>
<td>Merck Millipore/Calbiochem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytochalasin B</td>
<td>10 µg/µl</td>
<td>Inhibition of network formation by actin filaments</td>
<td>Merck Millipore/Calbiochem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocodazole</td>
<td>100 nM</td>
<td>Inhibition of mitosis/disruption of microtubule polymerization</td>
<td>Merck Millipore/Calbiochem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.5 Immunocytochemistry

Primary cultures were prepared as described above. Cells were fixed with 4% PFA and 0.25% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min at RT, and finally washed for 3 times using PBS. For the staining of endogenously-expressed proteins, cells were permeabilized with 0.1% TritonX-100 (diluted in PBS) for 2 min, followed by three washing steps with PBS. To reduce nonspecific binding of the antibodies, cells were blocked for 30 min using 100% blocking solution. After removal, cells were incubated with primary antibodies in appropriate dilution in 10% blocking solution for 1 h at RT. After washing 3 times with PBS, secondary antibodies – again diluted in 10% blocking solution – were applied for 1 h at RT. Finally, cells were washed with PBS by dipping the coverslips 5 times in PBS and mounted in Mowiol mounting medium. The applied antibodies are listed in Table 2.4. The fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies used were Alexa 488 (anti-mouse and -rabbit), Alexa 555 (anti-mouse), and 647 (anti-mouse) in a dilution of 1:1000. For labelling of F-actin, phalloidin-rhodamine, or Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin (Life Technologies) in a dilution of 1:300 were used. The imaging was performed with an epifluorescence microscope (LEICA) or a confocal microscope (Zeiss Meta 510) using a 63 x objective.
2.2.6 Biomimetic supported lipid bilayer – giant unilamellar vesicle (SLB-GUV) assay

To mimic the inner leaflet composition of myelin (Inouye & Kirschner, 1988), the following mole% of lipid mixture was used at a final concentration of 1 mg/ml:

- 44% Cholesterol
- 27% Phosphatidylethanolamine (PE)
- 2% Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP$_2$)
- 11.5% Phosphatidylcholine (PC)
- 12.5% Phosphatidylserine (PS)
- 3% Sphingomyelin (SM)

The mole% of each lipid corresponded to the values reported in the literature particularly for the inner myelin leaflet.

For the subsequent visualization of the lipid bilayer, the lipid mixtures contained a fluorescent dye. Precisely, for the labelling of SLBs, the lipid mixture was substituted with TopFluor® Lyso PC (Avanti Lipid Polar, Inc., Alabaster, Alabama, USA), a fluorescent derivative of lyso-phosphatidylcholine with spectral properties similar to boron-dipyrrromethene fluorophore (BODIPY-FL).

For the preparation of supported lipid bilayers (SLBs), lipids were diluted in chloroform in the appropriate concentration and dried in a vacuum concentrator (Concentrator plus, Eppendorf AG, Hamburg) at 30°C for 1.5 h followed by hydration in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) containing 100 mM NaCl. Then, the lipid mixture was sonicated for 30 min at 70°C and 50% power in an ultrasonic bath (Sonorex Super 10 P, Sigma-Aldrich). To generate small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs), the lipid mixture was further treated by tip sonication at 40% (Sonoplus, Bandelin, Berlin) for 10 to 20 min until a clear solution was obtained. Until usage, SUVs were stored at 4°C for maximum seven days.

To guarantee the even spreading of the SUVs on glass coverslips, the coverslips had to be cleaned carefully. For this purpose, 18-mm coverslips were treated with 2% Hellmanex III detergent (Hellma GmbH & Co. KG, Müllheim, Germany), diluted in MilliQ water by sonication in a bath sonicator for 30 min with 100% power at 70°C. Sonication was performed 3 times accompanied by several washing steps with MilliQ water. Treated glass coverslips needed to be kept hydrated and were stored on 4°C.

Then, SUVs were spread onto the cleaned and hydrated 18-mm coverslips and incubated for 1 h at ambient room temperature (RT) in a dark chamber to protect them from light. To allow the final spreading of the vesicles, coverslips were further incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The
unbound lipids were removed by washing three times with 50 mM HEPES, consecutively. Next, the incorporation of proteins was again performed at ambient temperature by the dilution of proteins in the appropriate concentration and buffer conditions to allow their binding onto the membrane. Since neither GFP nor CNP is a generic membrane-binding protein, a trick was applied. Both proteins were genetically modified by molecular cloning (as described in section 2.2.1) and attachment of a membrane-binding R3-tag. Protein incorporation proceeded sequentially in order to fulfill the requirement of the assay/experimental strategy.

For the preparation of giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs), the lipids PC and PS were mixed in chloroform in a 2:1 molar ratio, followed by drying in a vacuum concentrator and hydration in chloroform. An electroformation method was used to generate GUVs (Kahya, Brown, & Schwille, 2005). Then, the mixture composed of two lipids was spread on the electrically-conductive side of glass slides coated with indium-tin oxide (ITO). Slides were allowed to dry overnight at 37°C. Visualization of GUVs was guaranteed by their fluorescent labelling using Texas Red DHPE (Invitrogen). The perfusion chamber used for vesicle preparation was built with two ITO glass slides, whereas the conductive sides, containing the lipid mixtures, were facing each other. The GUVs were grown in the perfusion chamber in the presence of 0.1 M sucrose/water solution as a result of lipid swelling under an alternating current field (Garcia-Saez, Carrer, & Schwille, 2010; Kahya et al., 2005). Obtained GUVs had a diameter ranging from 5 to 100 µm.

Confocal imaging using a Zeiss Meta 510 microscope and live-cell imaging dishes was performed to visualize the behavior of the GUVs upon attachment to the SLBs. To study the “bursting kinetics” of the vesicles, each experiment was carried out for 30 min while one picture per minute was taken after addition of the GUVs.

2.2.7 Polymerization of actin for GUV bursting assay

For the practical realization of the biomimetic assay, actin was incorporated into the SLBs. For this purpose, we purchased non-muscle actin, purified from human platelets (Cytoskeleton, Inc., Denver, USA, distributor: BIOMOL GmbH, Hamburg, Germany), which needed to be polymerized to filamentous actin (F-actin). First, we reconstituted the lyophilized protein according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Platelet globular actin (G-actin) was polymerized at 5 µM concentration in 1 x F-Buffer containing: 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM guanidine carbonate, and 1 mM ATP, and incubated overnight on glass coverslips containing SLBs to allow its polymerization and binding to the negatively-charged bilayer at the same time. After the overnight incubation, coverslips were intensively rinsed with
1 x F-Buffer, followed by incorporation of MBP or direct performance of the GUV bursting assay. After formation of F-actin, the polymerizing conditions were kept throughout the course of the experiment.

2.2.8 Interaction studies of CNP and actin

Statement of work: please note that the following section describes experiments that were performed by our collaborators Dr. Matti Myllykoski and Prof. Dr. Petri Kursula (Department of Biomedicine, University of Bergen, Norway). The materials and methods that were applied are briefly described in subsections 2.2.8.1 – 2.2.8.5 for completeness. More detailed descriptions are available in the following published articles (Ignatev, Bhargav, Vahokoski, Kursula, & Kursula, 2012; Kursula & Majava, 2007; Myllykoski et al., 2013; Pardee & Spudich, 1982).

2.2.8.1 Actin purification

Pig muscle actin was extracted and purified as described previously (Ignatev et al., 2012; Pardee & Spudich, 1982). The final monomeric actin was stored in dialysis against the gel filtration buffer (5 mM Tris, 0.2 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.5 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), pH 7.5), with daily ATP replenishment. The pigs were supplied by the University of Oulu Laboratory Animal Center, which were used in other animal experiments with separate licenses from the Finnish National Animal Experiment Board. The experiments were approved by the local ethics committee of the University of Oulu Laboratory Animal Center (decision number 096/11).

2.2.8.2 F-actin co-sedimentation

Calmodulin (CaM) was purified as previously described (Kursula & Majava, 2007). Different variants of recombinant CNP were purified as described previously (Myllykoski et al., 2013) (Myllykoski et al. 2013). The constructs that were used for co-sedimentation included full-length CNP, its N- and C-terminal domains, a catalytically-inactive mutant of the C-terminal catalytic domain (H230Q/H309Q), and the catalytic domain extended at the C-terminus. Before the co-sedimentation assays, actin was further purified using size-exclusion chromatography. Purified G-actin was polymerized for 45 min, incubated at 5 μM with CNP constructs, CaM, and S100beta in different combinations for 45 min or 20 h at ambient temperature, and the mixtures were centrifuged with TLA-100 rotor at 100 000 rpm (approx. 436 000 x g) for 45 min at 20°C. Supernatant was removed, and the pellet was briefly washed and resuspended in the initial volume. Pellet and supernatant fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate – polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis).
2.2.8.3 Actin bundling

Muscle actin was polymerized at 5 μM concentration with 2 mM MgCl$_2$ and 100 mM KCl overnight in 500 μl. Myelin binding proteins were prepared first as 10 μM stocks in 1 x F-buffer (100 mM KCl with further dilution. Then actin was diluted to 2.5 μM by adding 1 μM, 2.5 μM, and 5 μM of different CNP-variants plus 1 x F-buffer (with 100 mM KCl) to final volume 60 μl. Proteins were incubated in Eppendorf tubes for 1 h and spun at either 21000 x g for bundling assays. After centrifugation supernatants were transferred to Eppendorf tubes and 10 μl of 2 x SDS sample buffer was added. Pellets were rinsed with 50 μl of 1 x F-buffer (100 mM KCl) and resuspended in 20 μl of 1 x F-buffer (100 mM KCl) plus 10 μl of 2 x SDS sample buffer by extensive pipetting. A volume of 15 μl of each sample was taken for SDS-PAGE.

2.2.8.4 Actin polymerization assay

Pyrene actin was obtained from muscle actin by labelling with pyrene (purchased from Cytoskeleton, Inc. Denver, USA). Muscle G-actin was diluted to a final concentration of 3.9 μM from 19 μM stock solution. Pyrene actin was added to a final concentration of 0.1 μM (2.5% of 4 μM total actin). Total reaction volume was 150 μl: half of that was a mixture of G-actin, pyrene actin, and G-buffer. Another half was 10 x F-buffer, 4 μM of CNP plus G-buffer. Measurement was started immediately (with instrument initiation and 5-s shaking delay) after the two halves were mixed, and continued for 1 h with 10-s frames. Measurements were done in duplicate.

2.2.8.5 Covalent crosslinking and mass spectrometry

Freshly-purified monomeric actin was polymerized by adding 50 mM KCl and 2 mM MgCl$_2$ to the existing buffer, and incubating overnight on ice. The polymerized protein had significantly increased viscosity, and filamentous actin (F-actin) was then harvested using ultracentrifugation. The F-actin pellet was dissolved to 1.5 x crosslinking buffer (150 mM Bis-tris methane, 225 mM NaCl, 6 mM 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC), 30 mM N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), pH 6.5) and used directly for crosslinking experiments. Protein crosslinking was carried out using EDC chemistry, where a protein with surface carboxyl groups is activated using EDC and stabilized using NHS. After this step, the activation reaction is quenched with β-mercaptoethanol, and proteins with surface amino groups are added, which then react with the activated carboxyl groups to produce a zero spacer length peptide crosslink. The remaining activated groups can be back-reacted to free carboxyl groups by the addition of excess ethanolamine.

All crosslinking reactions were carried out at 39.2 μM final protein concentrations. To activate CNP, the different variants of the protein were diluted using 1 x crosslinking buffer (100 mM Bis-tris methane, 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM EDC, 20 mM NHS, pH 6.5). The F-actin pellets dissolved in 1.5 x crosslinking buffer were diluted to 1 x using MilliQ water. In double
activations, the F-actin pellets dissolved in 1.5 x crosslinking buffer were supplemented with equimolar amounts of CNP, followed by addition of MilliQ water to reach a 1 x buffer composition. In all cases, the activation was allowed to proceed for 15 min at ambient temperature and the reactions were subsequently quenched by adding β-mercaptoethanol to 20 mM. Equimolar amounts of CNP were added to the activated F-actin reactions. All reactions were further allowed to proceed for 3 h at ambient temperature, and then stopped by adding ethanolamine to 10 mM. The samples were analyzed using SDS-PAGE.

The protein content from crosslinking experiments were determined using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. From stained SDS-PAGE gels, gel bands were cut, and stain was removed by sequential washing with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ in 40% acetonitrile (ACN). Proteins were subjected to in-gel Cys-reduction using 20 mM dithiothreitol and subsequent alkylation using 40 mM α-iodoacetamide. After this step, all proteins were in-gel trypsinized (5 – 20 ng of trypsin per gel piece), followed by peptide extraction from gel pieces using 30% ACN/0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and transferred to a Bruker anchor plate. The matrix used was 5 mg/ml α-cyano-4-hydroxy cinnamic acid in 85% ACN/0.1% TFA with 1 mM NH₄H₂PO₄. Peptide mass spectra and MS/MS spectra were measured with a Bruker Ultra flexTreme MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer and compared to theoretical spectra generated using the protein sequences.

### 2.2.9 Mouse lines

All animal experiments were performed according to the Lower Saxony State Animal Welfare Act for animal experimentation. Mutant mice were bred and maintained on a C57BL/6 background. Adult animals were sacrificed at P60 (8.5 weeks old) and at P180 (6 months old).

The Plp1-CreERT2 (Leone et al., 2003), shiverer, CNP-Cre (Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003), ADF KO and Cofilin1 floxed mice have been described previously (Bellenchi et al., 2007; Flynn et al., 2012).

To generate OL-specific ADF/Cofilin1 double knock-out animals (AC dKO), CNP-Cre mice were cross-bred with Cofilin1 floxed and then crossed with ADF−/− animals to generate ADF−/−Cofilin1 floxed/CNP1-Cre−/− mice. To generate the inducible ADF/Cofilin1 double knock-out (KO) (ADF−/−Cofilin1 floxed/Plp1CreERT2), Cofilin1 floxed animals were crossed with Plp1-CreERT2 before breeding with ADF KO mice.
2.2.10 Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted using the Invisorb Spin Tissue Mini Kit (STRATEC Molecular GmbH, Berlin, Germany). Therefore, a biopsy of the animal's tail was cut and proceeded according to manufacturer's instructions and with the following modifications. For a complete lysis of mouse tail tissue, material that is difficult to lyse, an overnight lysis was performed. Therefore, tissues were digested overnight with 400 μl of Lysis buffer G at 52°C and shaking at 350 rpm. For the elimination of RNA, 20 μl of RNAase A (10 mg/ml) were added to the mixture. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and Binding buffer A was added followed by the clean-up of the genomic DNA by two washing steps. To remove final traces of ethanol, a longer centrifugation step was performed at 11000 rpm for 6 min followed by DNA elution in 100 μl elution buffer. For the determination of the genotypes, the genomic DNA was amplified by the (PCR) using GO Taq DNA Polymerase (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) and application of the following primers listed in Table 2.5. For genotyping, 0.1 – 1 μg of genomic DNA was amplified by PCR using GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega, Manheim, Germany).

The reaction mix for a 20 μl reaction was prepared as follows:

10.3 μl ddH₂O
4 μl 5 x Taq Buffer
1 μl 25 mM MgCl₂
1 μl 10 mM dNTP (diluted 1:5)
0.5 μl 50 pmol/μl Primer 1 (diluted 1:5)
0.5 μl 50 pmol/μl Primer 2 (diluted 1:5)
0.5 μl 50 pmol/μl Primer 3 (diluted 1:5)
0.2 μl 5u/μl GoTaq DNA polymerase
1 μl genomic DNA

The PCR was performed using a T3000 Thermocycler Kombi (Biometra) applying the programs summarized in Table 2.11.
Table 2.11: Polymerase chain reaction performed in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ADF-KO</th>
<th>CNCE GNT</th>
<th>CFL1FX</th>
<th>PLP-Cre</th>
<th>Shiverer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58°C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
<td>58°C</td>
<td>30 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>40 sec</td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>90 sec</td>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>40 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 cycles</td>
<td>35 cycles</td>
<td>35 cycles</td>
<td>32 cycles</td>
<td>35 cycles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>3 min</td>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>5 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8°C</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>8°C</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.11 Agarose gel electrophoresis

A volume of 10 μl of the PCR product was loaded onto a 1.5% agarose gel that was prepared in 1 x TAE Buffer. In general, gels were cast and run in custom-made devices (Feinmechanik of the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine, Göttingen). For DNA labelling, 1 x SYBR Safe DNA gel stain (10000 x stock, Invitrogen) was added to the agarose TAE mixture prior polymerization. Visualization of the PCR products was carried out by UV transillumination using an Intas Gel Documentation System (Intas Science Imaging Instruments GmbH, Göttingen, Germany).

2.2.12 Sample preparation of mouse CNS tissues by high-pressure freezing

For high-resolution analyses of large-caliber axons, the cervical segment of the spinal cord and the optic nerves were extracted from the introduced mouse mutants. For morphological studies, the main priority focused on the preservation of the native tissue structure. Currently, the only opportunity to fix freshly-isolated tissue without introducing significant structural changes is cryo-fixation. Therefore, the applied sample preparation technique was high-pressure freezing (HPF), which is based on the transformation of water from a liquid to an amorphous state by avoiding the formation of ice crystals. Since the nucleation of ice crystals is temperature- and pressure-dependent, the synchronization of pressurization and cooling of the sample was realized within 20 ms.

After sacrificing the animal by cervical dislocation, the cervical segmental area of the murine spinal cord was extracted within 3 min post-dislocation, followed by the removal of the meninges. Prior to freezing, the cervical spinal cord samples were immersion fixed for 5 minutes in 4% PFA and 2,5% GA and directly embedded in 10% gelatin. As soon as the gelatin was polymerized, cross-sections of 200 μm thickness were cut using a vibrating blade microtome (Leica VT 1200S). As the size of a complete spinal cord cross-section was too large, each section was punched to remove the outer margin and to create a uniformly round...
shape. Sections were loaded onto the sample holder using polyvinylpyrrolidone as space filler and immediately sandwiched between two specimen carriers (aluminium specimen carrier, cylinder shaped indentation, Ø 2 x 0.1 mm). The freezing was performed using the Leica HPM 100. After loading the sample, the freezing cycle was started directly and the sample release in a Dewar filled with liquid nitrogen occurred automatically. Subsequently, the specimen carrier was retrieved from the middle part of the tripartite cartridge and stored in liquid nitrogen until further sample processing. The whole procedure from the sacrifice of the animals to the freezing took 8 to 10 min per spinal cord. While the gelatin was polymerizing, the optic nerves were extracted and high-pressure frozen, too. Since, the optic nerve is generally too long to be placed intact into the specimen carrier, each nerve was cut in the middle. The optic chiasm was kept and both optic nerves were placed in specimen carriers, which were submerged with a droplet of filler, inserted into the sample holder, and frozen immediately. After freezing, the lids of the carrier sandwiches were removed and samples were directly transferred into perforated cryo-tubes and stored in liquid nitrogen.

The next steps included automatic freeze substitution (AFS) and the embedding of the samples in EPON according to (Mobius et al., 2010), which is described more detailed in the following section.

2.2.13 Sample processing for TEM

Prior to embedding, frozen tissue samples were handled in liquid nitrogen throughout the whole procedure. The dehydration and chemical stabilization of the tissue samples were performed on a Leica EM AFS2 unit applying tannic acid and osmium tetroxide (OsO₄) diluted in glass-filtered acetone over an 8-days period as described in Table 2.12.
Table 2.12: Automatic freeze substitution – an overview of the single steps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>0.1% tannic acid in acetone</td>
<td>100 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>Wash with acetone</td>
<td>2 h (4 x 30 min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>2% OsO₄ in acetone</td>
<td>7 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-90 to -20</td>
<td>2% OsO₄ in acetone</td>
<td>14 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>2% OsO₄ in acetone</td>
<td>16 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-20 to +4</td>
<td>2% OsO₄ in acetone</td>
<td>2.4 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>4 x washes with acetone</td>
<td>3 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>+4 to RT</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RT (bench)</td>
<td>Acetone : Epon (50% Epon)</td>
<td>3 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RT (bench)</td>
<td>Acetone : Epon (70% Epon)</td>
<td>3 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RT (bench)</td>
<td>Acetone : Epon (90% Epon)</td>
<td>Over night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RT (bench)</td>
<td>100% Epon</td>
<td>4.5 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before solutions were exchanged (e.g., fresh glass-filtered acetone), fresh solutions were kept within the device to allow their adjustment to the appropriate temperature. The embedding was performed in 100% EPON, which is a mixture of the following components listed in Table 2.13.

Table 2.13: Formulation for 100% EPON.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glycidether</td>
<td>21.4 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDSA</td>
<td>14.4 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNA</td>
<td>11.3 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>47.1 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stir for 10 min at RT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP-30</td>
<td>0.84 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stir for 20 min at RT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Embedding was finalized by the polymerization of EPON at 60°C for 24 h. Polymerized EPON blocks were trimmed (Reichert Ultratrim) to create an even tissue surface and to assess the area of choice, followed by the preparation of semi-thin sections (thickness ranged from 0.5 to
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2 µm) using a Leica Ultracut S ultramicrotome (Leica, Vienna, Austria). In order to localize the correct area, semi-thin sections were stained with Methylene blue-Azure II stain (both mixed in a 1:1 ratio) and viewed in a light microscope to determine whether the area of interest of the specimen is in the correct position for ultra-thin sectioning. Ultra-thin sections of 50-nm thickness were cut using a DIATOME ultra 45° diamond knife and collected by bringing them on Formvar-coated copper EM grids (100 mesh hexagonal copper obtained from Plano, Wetzlar, Germany). The preparation of Formvar films is described in detail in (Slot & Geuze, 2007) and (P. J. Peters & Pierson, 2008). Contrasting of air-dried grids was performed by a 30-min incubation in 4% uranyl acetate in ddH₂O followed by 3 x 1 min washes in droplets of ddH₂O. Then, grids were incubated for 6 min with lead citrate (according to (Reynolds, 1963)) in the presence of KOH pastilles near the droplets in order to gather CO₂ from the ambient air. Finally, the grids were washed again 4 x 1 min in droplets of ddH₂O and dried by carefully touching them on a filter paper.

The TEM imaging of the samples was done on an EM LEO 912 Omega electron microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

2.2.14 Imaging

2.2.14.1 Light microscopy

To visualize single cells which were fluorescently-labelled, a Leica DMI 6000 epi-fluorescent microscope was used.

The live-imaging experiments were performed using the Zeiss LSM 510 Meta laser scanning microscope to obtain higher-resolution images with a decreased background compared to the epi-fluorescent microscope.

2.2.14.2 Stimulation Emission Depletion Microscopy (STED)

Super-resolution microscopy in collaboration with Dr. Elisa d’Este (MPI for Biophysical Chemistry, Department of NanoBiophotonics) was either performed on a two-color Abberior STED 775 QUAD Scanning microscope, which is commercially available (Abberior Instruments GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) equipped with 561 nm, 594 nm, and 640 nm pulsed excitation lasers, a pulsed 775 nm STED laser, and a 100 x oil immersion objective lens, or on a home-built STED nanoscope (Gottfert et al., 2013).

2.2.14.3 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Ultrathin cross-sections of high-pressure frozen optic nerve and spinal cord tissue samples were imaged by transmission electron microscopy on a LEO EM912 omega electron
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microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) set on 80 kV. Pictures were taken at 6300 x magnification by a 2k CCD camera (TRS, Morenoweis, Germany) by single frame or multi-image acquisition (MIA) to assess a larger area. For every time point, three to five animals were analyzed. On each cross-section, five to fifteen randomly selected areas of 150 µm² were imaged per animal in which 100 to 300 myelinated axon profiles with four or more wraps of myelin were assessed.

2.2.14.4 Image Processing and statistical analysis

An overview of the applied software is provided in Table 2.8. All image processing was done using the free software Fiji-ImageJ. When calculating intensities, the imaging was done at exactly the same parameters of illumination, exposure and gain for all compared conditions. For the analysis of branching indices of different primary cells, the Sholl analysis tool/plug in was applied.

Statistical significance was determined using GraphPad PRISM 5 software. Unless stated otherwise the statistical analysis were performed using the two-tailed Student’s T-test function (T-test<0.05: *, <0.01: ** and <0.001: ***). The variation between samples was calculated using the standard variation of the mean.
3 Results

3.1 Morphology of cytoplasmic channels in myelin sheaths of the CNS

This chapter gives insights into the morphology, structure and biogenesis of cytoplasmic channels (CPCs) in myelin sheaths of the central nervous system (CNS). From numerous electron microscopic studies, the myelin ultrastructure is well-described as a multi-layered stack of uniformly thick membrane with a characteristic periodic structure. By application of advanced techniques as high-pressure freezing (HPF), followed by automatic freeze substitution (AFS), and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), this study describes cytoplasmic domains in thick-caliber axons of the CNS.

3.1.1 Cytoplasmic channel distribution in thin-caliber axon

The equilibrium of MBP and CNP levels seems to be crucial for the regulation of compaction in growing myelin during development, as CNP prevents membrane compaction driven by MBP (Fig. 1.9). If this is the case, the expression levels of these two most abundant myelin proteins may also have an influence on the distribution of CPCs in CNP-deficient mice and in mutants that show a decreased MBP expression. In order to test this hypothesis, we first performed HPF/AFS/TEM on optic nerves of CNP-null mutants and heterozygous shiverer mice at P10, P14, and P21 to investigate the CPC distribution during development (Fig. 3.1).

Fig. 3.1: Distribution of CPCs in thin-caliber axons of the CNS. (A) Analysis of myelinated axons with CPCs during development in optic nerve of WT, Shiv+/-, and CNP-/- mice, error bars show mean +/- SEM (n=3-5; 220-370 axons per animals; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001; non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by t test). Note, that the distribution of CPCs found in mutant mice is referred to WT control animals published in (Snaidero et al., 2014). (B, C) High-pressure frozen optic nerve in a cross-section view of a (B) WT animal at P10 and (C) CNP-null mutant, both at P10. Scale bar = 500nm. CPCs are highlighted by black arrowheads and are reduced in CNP-deficient animals.

To analyze the role of CNP and MBP in the biogenesis of cytoplasmic-rich channels in the developing myelin sheath, we determined their number in the optic nerve in mice lacking CNP
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(CNP-null) or MBP (shiverer = heterozygous MBP expression) at post-natal day (P) 10, P14 and, P21 (Fig. 3.1, A). We used HPF and AFS to better preserve the native myelin structure for TEM. With these techniques we were able to visualize cytoplasmic-rich pockets within the myelin sheath of the developing optic nerve. All controls and mutants clearly demonstrate the transient nature of CPCs during development, and their gradual disappearance upon termination of myelination. But the distribution of CPCs among the different mouse mutants is different. Interestingly, when CNP-deficient animals were analyzed, we observed markedly differences in the total number of myelinic channels compared to other lines examined (Fig. 3.1, A and C). In CNP-deficient mice, the number of myelinic channels was reduced by ~40%, ~70%, and ~80% as compared to the wild-type (WT) controls at P10, P14, and P21, respectively. In contrast, we observed an increase in the overall number of CPCs in heterozygote shiverer mice at P10 and P14 as compared to WT (Fig. 3.1, A and B), which is consistent with previous studies in the peripheral nervous system (Gould et al., 1995).

In summary, according to our initial hypothesis, the lack of compaction leads to a higher number of cytoplasmic openings upon MBP reduction in heterozygous shiverer mice, whereas CNP-null mice show the opposing effect.

3.1.2 Cytoplasmic channel distribution in myelinated axons of large caliber

Since the number of myelinic channels is low in the adult optic nerve with exclusively thin-caliber axons, one important question remained open: how are CPCs organized in thick-caliber axons? Thus, we analyzed thick-caliber axons in the spinal cord, which contain thicker myelin sheaths than the optic nerve, expecting to find large myelin sheets with more cytoplasmic-rich areas.

We extended the ultrastructural analysis of myelin to regions of the CNS with axons of larger calibers. The spinal cord is the ideal tissue to assess large-caliber axons with an average axonal diameter approaching 1 µm (the average diameter of white matter spinal cord tract is 0.81 µm, and in the dorsal corticospinal tract, 0.99 µm, (Ong & Wehrli, 2010)). In order to perform this analysis on such tissue, HPF/AFS is necessary to successfully preserve the native ultrastructure of the channels. Due to technical limitations, it has not previously been possible so far to cryo-fix large axons as they are found in the spinal cord. Only small-caliber axons were high-pressure frozen, because optic nerves are ideally suited for this advanced technique (Mobius et al., 2010; Mobius, Nave, & Werner, 2016).
In this thesis, we were able to develop a protocol (described in detail in Chapter 2.2.12) for the preparation of murine spinal cord tissue samples. To systematically study CPCs in axons of large caliber, we performed HPF/AFS on murine nerves of the spinal cord at P60, and indeed, found impressive and large CPCs in the myelin sheaths of thick-caliber axons (Fig. 3.2, A, B, and D).

In general, the myelin sheaths of the CNS and of the PNS represent a defined thickness that strongly depends on the axonal diameter. The ratio between the inner and the outer diameter of the myelin sheath is defined by the so called g-ratio. In a normal condition, the g-ratio varies between 0.6 and 0.7 to ensure an optimal speed of saltatory signal conduction (Chomiak & Hu, 2009; Friede & Miyagishi, 1972; Rushton, 1951).

In this study, we compare myelinated axons with respect to the thickness of the surrounding membrane. To allow an adequate estimation, the thickness of compact myelin is indicated in nanometer (nm) and is furthermore grouped into 6 different categories representing the distribution from thin (<100 nm) to thick (>600 nm) myelin. Table 3.1 gives an overview of the correlation between myelin thickness and number of wraps wound around an axon. For the calculation of the myelin thickness distribution, the unique morphological features of compact myelin wrapped around an axon have to be considered. The MDL represents the closely condensed cytoplasmic myelin membranes, whereas the IPL consists of the tightly apposed outer membranes. The compaction between the membranes in each of these layers is tight and results in a periodicity of about 12 nm (Aggarwal, Yurlova, & Simons, 2011). By dividing the myelin thickness measured in nm by 12, we calculate the myelin distribution given in "amount of wraps".

Table 3.1: Correlation of myelin thickness distribution referred to the Amount of wraps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness in nm</th>
<th>&lt;100</th>
<th>100-200</th>
<th>200-300</th>
<th>300-400</th>
<th>400-600</th>
<th>&gt;600</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of wraps</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
<td>8-16</td>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>24-32</td>
<td>32-58</td>
<td>&gt;58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analysis of the myelin thickness distribution in WT mice states that approximately 12% of all myelinated fibers are thicker than 600 nm, or in other words present myelin sheaths with more than 58 wraps (Fig. 3.2, C, and Table 3.1).

In contrast to thin-caliber axons of the optic nerve, where CPCs show a transient characteristic and disappear when development is completed, they remain open in thick-caliber axons in
adult mice (P60) when myelination is already terminated. The abundance of the cytoplasmic domains was quantified from these TEM images and correlated to the thickness of the myelin sheaths (Fig. 3.2, D). Due to the application of HPF and establishment of a new cryo-fixation protocol for large-caliber axons, the presence of areas filled with cytoplasm within compact myelin sheaths could be seen.

Fig. 3.2: Cytoplasmic channels in large-caliber axons remain open even in adult mice. (A+B) CPCs within thick-caliber axons preserved by HPF and AFS and visualized by TEM in the spinal cord of adult wildtype mice when myelination is terminated (P60); scale bar = 500 nm. (C) Analysis of axons with myelinated fibers and representation of the myelin thickness distribution: myelin thickness was measured in nm and organized in 6 different size ranges. (D) Distribution of the CPCs within compact myelin sheaths in early adult spinal cord of WT mice: with increasing myelin thickness the number of CPCs also increases. (n = 3, 390 axons per animal, error bars show mean ± SD).
3.1.3 CNP & MBP – drive the biogenesis of myelinic channels

To test whether CNP and MBP regulate the formation of cytoplasmic domains, we took advantage of mouse mutants that have an altered expression level of CNP or MBP, respectively, and performed HPF followed by AFS on cervical segments of the spinal cord (Fig. 3.3). The analysis presented in Fig. 3.3 (panel C) compares the distribution of CPCs from thin- (<100 nm) to thick-caliber (>600 nm) axons. In CNP-deficient mice, we observed a significant reduction of the overall number of myelinic channels in large-caliber axons with thick myelin sheaths (>300 nm) as compared to the wild-type controls at P60. Upon loss of CNP, CPCs in large-caliber axons partially close (Fig. 3.3, C), whereas the reduced expression level of MBP by 50% led to an increase of the number of CPCs throughout compact myelin sheaths (Fig. 3.3, A).

The analysis of myelinated axons according to the myelin thickness distribution (Fig. 3.3, D) revealed an overall similar distribution, which suggests on the one hand that myelinated fibers of the same cervical region were assessed. On the other hand, it shows that a loss of CNP did not alter the myelin thickness of particularly large-caliber axons since in CNP-deficient animals approximately 15% of all myelinated fibers are thicker than 600 nm. Remarkable is the reduction of axons with myelin sheaths thicker than 600 nm in the MBP mutants.

Whereas in WT control animals approx. 58% of fibers thicker than 600 nm bear CPCs, less than 20% of myelinated axons of the same category in CNP-null animals contain cytoplasmic openings. The opposite observation that almost 80% of large-caliber axons in heterozygous shiverer mutants contain CPCs, indicates that the abundance of CNP and MBP influences the appearance of cytoplasmic domains.
3.1.4 Elucidation of the molecular structure of CPCs applying a simplified system

To further assess the participation of CNP and MBP in the biogenesis and regulation of CPCs, we used a minimal component biomimetic membrane system to reconstitute the formation of CPCs by taking advantage of our recently established in vitro compaction assay in order to characterize the antagonistic interaction of CNP and MBP (Yurlova et al., 2011).
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Fig. 3.4: Reconstitution of the ultrastructure of CPCs – the principle of a biomimetic experiment. (A) Schematic view of main experimental steps of the GUV-bursting assay. (B) Illustration of membrane-anchored proteins CNP (R3-CNP) and GFP (R3-GFP) respectively. (C) Supported lipid bilayers (SLBs) are used to mimic the inner leaflet composition of myelin (44% cholesterol, 27% PE, 2% PIP2, 11.5% PC, 12.5% PS, and 3% SM). Recombinant R3-GFP (panel C1 + 2) or recombinant R3-CNPase (panel C3) were added onto the SLBs followed by the addition of 7 µM MBP (14-kDa isoform, panel C1). In a live-imaging experimental set-up, GUVs composed of PC:PS in 1:2 molar ratio and fluorescently labelled with DHPE-Texas-Red (0.1 mole%) were added. Scale bars represent 10 µm.

For the realization of this assay, which is illustrated in Fig. 3.4, we first cloned cDNA constructs of MBP, CNP, and GFP followed by bacterial overexpression and purification of the recombinant proteins (see Chapter 2.2.1 and 2.2.2). Since CNP is a lipid-anchored membrane protein, we designed a recombinant variant of CNP with a small membrane-anchoring R3 basic stretch attached to its C-terminus to link it to the negatively-charged SLBs (Fig. 3.4, B). GFP containing the same tag for membrane binding was used as a control. Second, we prepared small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) in order to mimic the lipid composition of the inner leaflet of myelin (Inouye & Kirschner, 1988) using artificial lipids with 44 mole% cholesterol, 27 mole% PE, 2 mole% PIP2, 11.5 mole% PC, 12.5 mole% PS, and 3 mole% SM. For the visualization of SUVs by light microscopy, we added 0.1% of the green fluorescent dye TopFluor® Lyso phosphatidylcholine to the lipid mixture during the preparation process. In order to model a membrane bilayer, spreading of the SUVs was induced by the formation of supported lipid bilayers (SLBs). The, SUVs were added onto detergent-treated and pre-cleaned glass coverslips (Ø 18 mm), which fitted into live-imaging chambers. Spreading of the SUVs was allowed by incubation on hydrated glass coverslips. Their exerted tension enforced the formation of SLBs (Richter, Berat, & Brisson, 2006).
Next, we incubated the SLBs with the applied recombinant protein for 45 min followed by intensive washing (Fig. 3.4, A). The order of protein incorporation depended on individual experimental requirements. The experiment was completed by addition of giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) with 66 mole% PC, 33 mole% PS, and 1 mole% DHPE-Texas Red for visualization on top of SLBs to sandwich the incorporated protein(s). In this system, MBP is sandwiched between a SLB and GUVs, whereby its adhesive and self-interacting properties induce the spreading of GUVs onto the SLB. Generally, compaction was mimicked successfully when GUVs immediately deformed and spread onto the membrane, which was the case in the presence of 7 µM MBP (Fig. 3.4, C1). No compaction was induced in the absence of MBP, in the presence of either 7 µM R3-GFP (Fig. 3.4, C2) or 7 µM R3-CNP (Fig. 3.4, C3) when the spreading was blocked.

3.1.5 Prevention of MBP-mediated compaction by CNP in vitro – a biomimetic assay

In order to imitate membrane compaction, we first needed to find the critical concentration of MBP, which is required to allow the spreading of the vesicles on to the SLBs. Therefore, we created a titration curve and defined the bursting behavior of the GUVs with different MBP concentrations. In the presence of the control protein GFP (concentration = 7 µM) we tested several MBP concentrations as summarized in Fig. 3.5 (panel E), and found that 0.4 µM MBP was necessary to initiate the bursting of the GUVs onto the SLBs. Bursting of the vesicles was not observed when the MBP concentration was reduced down to 0.2 µM (Fig. 3.5, A and E).

Next, we tested whether recombinant CNP could prevent the spreading of GUVs onto the SLBs induced by MBP. As the vesicles deformed and spread on the SLBs in the presence of 7 µM GFP, we performed the same experiment in the presence of 7 µM CNP and indeed saw that the bursting kinetics were, indeed, slowed down (Fig. 3.5, D and F). After 30 min of live-imaging, only 30% of the added GUVs had burst.
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Fig. 3.5: Concentration-dependent compaction driven by MBP. MBP induces deformation of giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) followed by their spreading onto the supported lipid bilayers (SLBs). (A) No GUV spreading was observed when the MBP concentration was decreased down to 0.2 µM. (B+C) 7 µM GFP + 0.7 or 0.4 µM MBP induced the spreading of GUVs and mimic the tight compaction between the 2 membranes; after 30 min 100% of all added GUVs are burst. (D) Bursting was prevented: GUVs only partially spread onto SLBs in the presence of 7 µM CNP + 0.4 µM MBP. (A-D) scale bar = 10 µm. (E) The titration of different molar ratios of MBP highlight the concentration-dependent compaction of the GUVs. (F) Analysis of GUV spreading in the presence of 0.4 µM MBP. Reduction of the MBP concentration down to 0.4 µM in the presence of 7 µM GFP still resulted in a deformation and spreading of the vesicles onto the membrane while this compaction is prevented in the presence of 7 µM CNP concentration of R3-GFP or R3-CNP = 7 µM. The bars represent the mean ± SD.
In conclusion, with this assay we found that CNP, but not GFP, was able to antagonize MBP-mediated spreading of the GUVs onto the SLBs. Thus, using this *in vitro* compaction assay, we have reconstituted the antagonistic role of CNP and MBP, and obtained more evidence that the interaction of MBP and CNP may be important for regulating the formation of CPCs.

### 3.1.6 Cultured primary oligodendrocytes as a model to understand the organization of CPCs

To further investigate the mechanisms involved in the generation of CPCs, we used axon-free cultures of primary OLs as a model system. The ability of OPCs to differentiate into OLs is not dependent on the presence of neurons (Temple & Raff, 1986). We chose this other *in vitro* approach because it is a well-established system of OL biogenesis, and most importantly easy to assess (Aggarwal et al., 2013). These cells demonstrate many *in vivo* characteristics as they generate myelin-like membranes and thereby satisfy many important requirements necessary to study the formation of compact myelin (Aggarwal, Yurlova, & Simons, 2011; Aggarwal, Yurlova, Snaidero, et al., 2011; Baumann & Pham-Dinh, 2001).

OPCs were isolated from brains of newborn P1 mice. After isolation from the mixed glial culture by removal from the astrocyte layer, OPCs were plated in differentiation (“Super Sato”) medium and allowed to grow until DIV5 (*days in vitro*). In fully-differentiated and mature cells, the generation of two main polarized domains can be observed. Large, flat, and 2-dimensional compact membrane sheets need to be distinguished from tubular, channel-like, non-compact processes. The membrane sheets resemble *in vivo* compact myelin in composition with the main components MBP and PLP. Proteins such as tubulin, actin, MAG, and CNP are excluded from this compact domain and are exclusively restricted to non-compact myelin (**Fig. 3.6, A**).

During the growth of the myelin sheath (*in cell culture and in vivo*) a channel-like system within areas of non-compacted myelin membrane is relatively abundant, but once growth is completed, the sheaths are filled and compacted with MBP (**Fig. 3.6, red panel**).

In cell cultures, we observed that the leading edge is localized at the circumference of the cell connected by a channel-like system of non-compacted membrane that run through the sheets and connect with the cell body (**Fig. 3.6, A** and **B**, cyan and green panel). As *in vivo*, we observe that the targeting and addition of membrane by vesicular transport to the leading edge is separated from those areas where MBP is localized.
3.1 Morphology of cytoplasmic channels in myelin sheaths of the CNS

A)

B)

Fig. 3.6: Primary cultures of differentiated oligodendrocytes at DIV5 – formation of small and large processes. (A) Model system of cultured OLs at DIV5 when the cells are fully differentiated. Two different domains can be distinguished. The compact myelin highlighted by a labelling of MBP in red and non-compact myelin highlighted by a labelling of alpha-tubulin (cyan) and actin (green); scale bar = 10 µm. (B) Binary picture of the cells represented in panel A. In red: accentuation of compact areas, which are devoid of tubulin (cyan) and actin (green); scale = 10 µm.

Analogous to the characterization of CPCs in vivo, this chapter presents structural and morphological attributes of CPCs in OLs maintained in vitro to further explain the architecture of CPCs. Due to the formation of multiple cytoplasmic protrusions originating from the spherical cell body, we used cultures of primary cells to assess the distribution of those cytoplasmic processes in vitro.

3.1.7 Distribution of cytoplasmic channels in vitro – branching assay

First, we determined a hierarchy of the large and small cytoplasmic processes of fully-differentiated cells, as visualized in Fig. 3.7. In order to characterize multipolar branched processes of mature OLs, we labelled their main proteins F-actin and tubulin (Fig. 3.7, A).

Whereas tubulin is distributed in major and large cytoplasmic branches (hierarchic categories I and II), F-actin stabilizes CPCs only in distal regions within compact sheets, including channels of category III, which are located between channels of category I and II (Fig. 3.7, B). Because it has been suggested that CNP is a microtubule-associated protein that connects tubulin to membranes and is involved in cytoplasmic microtubule distribution, we assumed that OLs which lack CNP would present a reduced number of processes (Bifulco et al., 2002).
To test whether the distribution of CPCs in vitro reflects our in vivo observations, we first analyzed the distribution of category I and II channels, followed by the investigation of the distal (category III) ones (section 3.2.3).

**Fig. 3.7:** Definition of CPC distribution in primary cells of cultured oligodendrocytes. (A) Close-up of a fully differentiated WT OL 5 day after the shake (DIV5): green = Actin-Phalloidin, red = MBP, cyan = α-tubulin, scale = 10 µm. (B) Binary picture of panel A to distinguish between compact (black) and non-compact (white) domains. MBP signal was subtracted from the actin and tubulin signals to highlight the different arboreal branches of cytoplasmic processes, scale = 10 µm.

**Fig. 3.8:** Primary cultures of differentiated oligodendrocytes (DIV5). (A) Formation of a channel-like system and outgrowth of major branches, represented by α-tubulin. Comparison of the individual branching indices of WT, CNP-/-, and SHIV+/- OLs in culture. Note that the fully-differentiated CNP-deficient cell at DIV5 is smaller and presents fewer processes compared to WT control and SHIV+/- OLs. (B) Sholl analysis and measurement of the branching index of the large processes by drawing concentric shells around the cell center and counting how often a branch interferes with a concentric shell. (C) CNP-deficient cells show a reduced branching index, whereas cells extracted from heterozygous animals are significantly more ramified (n = 3 per genotype, per animal >30 cells were analyzed, error bars represent ± SD, ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001, and t-test).
Next, we created binary images of the tubulin signal of DIV5 OLs generated from wild-type, CNP-KO, and heterozygous shiverer mice (Fig. 3.8, A) and analyzed their individual branching indices (= mean of intersections). The Sholl technique (Sholl, 1953) allowed us to define a series of concentric shells around the focus of the cell. Due to their circular shape, OLs are perfectly suitable for this quantification. Initially, the determination of the center of the cell is necessary to disregard the cell body, followed by counting how many times a process interfered with a defined radius (Fig. 3.8, B).

Our data demonstrate that the model system of cultured primary OLs resembles the same features obtained in our in vivo experiments. First, primary OLs elaborate and maintain multiple processes separated from compact membrane sheets in a 2-dimensional plane. Finally, the significant reduction of processes observed in CNP-deficient cells, compared to the increase of branches in cells from heterozygous shiverer mice supports the channel distribution observed in our TEM study (Fig. 3.8, C). Compared to the WT control situation, OLs from heterozygous shiverer mutant and CNP-null mice produce sheets with an abnormal distribution of cytoplasmic processes.

3.2 Importance of protein-protein interactions and the cytoskeleton for the stability of CPCs

3.2.1 Characterization of the interaction of Actin and CNP

In order to unravel whether the specific interaction of CNP and actin might provide structural support, we demonstrate unknown features of this important protein-protein interaction. Our collaborators Dr. Matti Myllykoski and Prof. Dr. Petri Kursula (University of Bergen, Norway) carried out a series of experiments using the following recombinant constructs of mouse CNP and actin isolated from pig muscle, to characterize the interaction in vitro:

- **Full-length CNP**: fl-CNP
- **CNP N-terminal domain**: CNP-N
- **CNP catalytic domain**: CNPcat
- **CNP catalytic domain including the C-terminal tail**: CNPcat+C
- **Inactive double point mutant of CNPcat**: CNPcat-2H

Full-length CNP, and both the N- and C-terminal domains, were tested separately. Because the N-terminal domain can be purified only at very low yields, it was only used for the co-sedimentation assay. Initially, classical F-actin co-sedimentation experiments were carried out
(Fig. 3.9, A – C). Recombinant CNP behaved like a typical actin-binding protein, co-sedimenting efficiently with F-actin (Fig. 3.9, A). In a co-sedimentation experiment and titration of fl-CNP by applying various concentrations (0.5 up to 50 µM) it could be furthermore shown that the maximum amount of fl-CNP in the pellet equaled that of actin. Interestingly, a catalytically-dead mutant CNPcat-2H of the catalytic domain also co-sedimented with F-actin, showing that CNP activity is not needed for the interaction (data not shown). In addition to full-length CNP, also the N- and C-terminal domains separately bound F-actin (Fig. 3.9, B), and the presence of the extreme C-terminal tail in the C-terminal domain did not affect the behavior.

Previously, it has been shown that calmodulin (CaM) interacts with CNP as well (Myllykoski et al., 2012). Thus, purified CNP bound to the sensor protein CaM by the N-terminal domain of CNP in a calcium-dependent manner. Here, inclusion of excess CaM prevented full-length CNP from co-sedimentation with F-actin. Importantly, the amount of sedimented F-actin did not appear to be affected by either CNP or CaM. As the CaM-binding site of CNP is in the N-terminal domain, we also tested the effect of CaM for that construct. Indeed, CaM inhibited the co-sedimentation of the N-terminal domain with F-actin (Fig. 3.9, C), whereas the control proteins S100beta – another EF-hand protein – had no effect (data not shown).

Next, the bundling activity of full-length CNP (fl-CNP) and the catalytic domain (CNPcat) was tested using low-speed centrifugation (Fig. 3.10, A). Both constructs showed clear bundling activity, and the effect was already clear at 1 µM CNP concentration. The same effect was, again, seen for the catalytically-inactive mutant (CNPcat-2H). As CNP binds to microfilaments and induces their bundling, the effect of CNP on actin polymerization was further tested. The results revealed that although the polymerization kinetics were not drastically altered, there
was a small effect on the polymerization rate, especially for full-length CNP (Fig. 3.10, B). Active and inactive variants of the catalytic domain again behaved identically. The protein-protein interaction of CNP and F-actin was furthermore verified by the visualization of F-actin bundles and immunogold-labelled CNP. The electron micrograph showed actin bundles decorated with CNP (Fig. 3.10, C).

To further map the interaction stoichiometry and potential binding surfaces, a covalent crosslinking assay of the catalytic domain and full-length CNP and F-actin was carried out, followed by mass-spectrometric peptide mapping to probe for protein-protein interactions. Several bands from electrophoresis were then processed for tryptic peptide mapping. When crosslinking reactions contained both actin and either of the two CNP variants, a set of higher molecular mass species emerged on the SDS polyacrylamide gel. In addition to a 1:1 species, higher oligomeric states were also resolved, because the sample with the catalytic domain of CNP bound to actin represented crosslinked complexes in a 2:1 ratio of actin to CNP.
Fig. 3.10: Characterization of particular CNP-Actin interaction sites. (A) F-actin bundling assay: The catalytic domain (CNPcat) induces bundling already at 1 μM (left). Both, the inactive mutant (CNPcat-2H) and full-length CNP (fl-CNP) also bundle filaments at 1 μM. (B) Actin polymerization with and without CNP: Especially full-length CNP induces a slight increase in polymerization rate. (C) Electron micrograph of the direct interaction of immunogold-labelled CNP (coupled with immunogold/monoclonal anti-His) and F-actin bundles, magnification = 2300 x. (D) Potential binding sites: Mapping of peptides not detected in the crosslinked samples on the surface of F-actin (left) and CNP (right). In F-actin (model corresponding to (von der Ecken et al., 2015)), the two peptides lie close to each other; one of the peptides corresponds to the D-loop (blue). In CNP, the two peptides are in the N-terminal PNK domain, and one of them (orange) corresponds to the proposed CaM-binding site. The shown model is that of full-length CNP based on small-angle X-ray scattering (Myllykoski et al., 2013). Note: these data were generated by Dr. Matti Myllykoski and Prof. Dr. Petri Kursula (University of Bergen, Norway) and are presented here with their permission.

All picked hybrids contained both actin and CNP as shown by MALDI-TOF. The oligomerization pattern was the same between full-length CNP and the catalytic domain represented by the number of extra bands and spacing between molecular masses, indicating that the C-terminal domain alone can cause the oligomeric pattern. The N-terminal domain had a minor effect shown by increased band intensities.

The analysis by mass spectrometry displayed that the binding of actin seems to occur near the D-loop in subdomain 2 as well as the long loop of subdomain 3, which are actually rather close to each other in a filament between two neighboring actin monomers (Fig. 3.10, D, left panel). In CNP, peptides were missing from the N-terminal PNK-like domain, but obviously the C-terminal domain alone is able to interact with actin (Fig. 3.10, D, right panel). For the first time, these distinct sites characterizing the particular protein-protein interaction of CNP and F-actin could be described, but the relative orientations of the two proteins remain to be determined.
3.2 | Importance of protein-protein interactions and the cytoskeleton for the stability of CPCs

3.2.2 F-actin is an important structural component of CPCs

Above (Section 3.1), we showed that CNP alone is already able to prevent membrane compaction, which is driven by MBP. Therefore, we incorporated R3-CNP into the SLBs by incubation for 1 h at room temperature followed by intense washing. To test the interaction behavior of F-actin, we added actin (purified non-skeletal actin was purchased from Cytoskeleton Inc. (Distributor: BIOMOL GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) to a final concentration of 5 µM in F-Buffer, and allowed its polymerization and network formation with CNP on the SLBs (overnight at RT). Next day, we removed unbound protein by intense washing and added MBP. After 1 h of incubation, the remaining proteins were removed and live-imaging was carried out immediately. In order to avoid the depolymerization of F-actin, F-Buffer was used throughout the course of this experiment.

First, we determined which MBP concentration is sufficient to introduce membrane compaction in the presence of CNP. We found that an increase of MBP up to 0.7 µM and 7 µM CNP is suitable to allow the spreading of the GUVs onto the SLBs (Fig. 3.11, A and D). Importantly, membrane compaction was completely blocked when F-actin was included (Fig. 3.11, B and D). In the presence of F-actin, not a single vesicle burst during the course of the experiment (Fig. 3.11, B). Note that this observation occurred at a concentration (0.7 µM MBP), at which CNP alone did not exert any antagonistic force (compare with Fig. 3.5 above).

F-actin by itself cannot bind to the lipid bilayer (Fig. 3.11, C and D), as the washing ensured the complete removal of the protein from the membrane. Since MBP was able to bind subsequently, the attachment and bursting of the GUVs was allowed (Fig. 3.11, C and D) and the bursting kinetics were accelerated.
**Results**

Fig. 3.11: Reconstitution of the molecular structure of cytoplasmic composition in a biomimetic assay. (A) 0.7 µM MBP in the presence of 7 µM R3-CNP were sufficient to induce the deformation and spreading of the vesicles onto the SLBs (scale bar = 10 µM). (B) GUV bursting assay in the presence of 5 µM F-actin: While R3-CNP (7 µM) alone is already preventing membrane compaction driven by MBP (0.4 µM), this effect can be more effectively inhibited by filamentous actin (F-actin) in the presence of 0.7 µM MBP (scale bar = 10 µM). (C) F-actin alone was unable to bind to the membrane. Therefore, incorporation of 0.7 µM MBP after the removal of F-actin by washing led to a deformation and spreading of the GUVs (scale bar = 10 µM). (D) Analysis of the GUV spreading kinetics: MBP (0.7 µM) in the presence of R3-CNP (7 µM) induced the spreading of the vesicles upon attachment (blue line). Compaction was completely inhibited when F-actin (5 µM) was added (red line). F-actin together with MBP in absence of R3-CNP allowed the bursting of GUVs onto the SLBs (grey line) and showed a slightly faster bursting kinetics compared to R3-CNP + MBP (blue line). The bars represent the mean ± SD.

In summary, we found that F-actin alone was not able to stop MBP-mediated spreading of the GUVs (Fig. 3.11, C and D). However, when F-actin was incorporated onto the SLBs, which were pre-coated with CNP, the deformation and spreading of the GUVs were fully blocked. In conclusion, this *in vitro* experiment nicely resembles the participation of the key proteins CNP...
and F-actin, which act synergistically in blocking MBP-mediated membrane spreading (Fig. 3.11, B and D).

### 3.2.3 Distribution of cytoplasmic channels in vitro – from sub-domains to structure

Taking advantage of primary cultures of OLs, we were able to define morphological differences by comparing the main and primary cytoplasmic processes of WT, CNP+/−, and SHIV+/− OLs. To gain deeper insights in the molecular composition of CPCs, we analyzed the effects of drugs perturbing the potential structural components within CPCs in vitro. We tested whether the pharmacological manipulation of tubulin and F-actin induces an expansion of compacted myelin membrane at the expense of the non-compacted regions due to the collapse of the CPCs. Disruption of F-actin was induced by the actin-depolymerization drugs latrunculin and cytochalasin B. Nocodazole was applied as a microtubule-depolymerizing drug. Latrunculin is a cell-permeable marine toxin that disrupts microfilament organization in cultured cells by the formation of a 1:1 complex with monomeric G-actin. Cytochalasin B is also cell-permeable and inhibits actin filament function by blocking the formation of contractile microfilaments. Nocodazole inhibits mitosis by promoting tubulin depolymerization.

We applied these compounds separately on cultured OLs at DIV5 from WT cells in order to determine whether the cortical actin cytoskeleton or microtubules control the stabilization of myelinic channels. Cells were treated for 8 h, washed and fixed subsequently. To visualize compact and non-compact domains, MBP and F-actin were labelled. The analysis of the MBP distribution of each cell was performed as follows:

1. Creation of single binary pictures of the overall MBP and actin signal, respectively.
2. For consideration of the F-actin distribution, MBP signal was subtracted from the total actin signal.
3. Measurement of the area that is covered by MBP: only the sites between major and intermediate cytoplasmic processes were taken into consideration (Fig. 3.12, B).

Upon disruption of α-tubulin by Nocodazole, the distribution of MBP was not significantly affected (Fig. 3.12, A and C). Since microtubules stabilize primary and intermediate cytoplasmic branches, the MBP pattern was not altered and the fine cytoplasmic processes of category III remained open.
Fig. 3.12: Manipulation of cytoplasmic channels in vitro. (A) Comparison of OLs prepared from WT and AC dKO mice. Additionally, WT cells were treated with Nocodazole (100 nm), Latrunculin (1 µM), and Cytochalasin (10 µg/µl) for 8 h. If F-Actin is required to keep the channels in the distal/tertiary area open, membrane compaction will be impaired. (B) For the analysis, only the secondary and tertiary branches were taken into consideration. Therefore, the area covered by MBP was measured (highlighted in light blue). (C) Impairment of microtubules, which are exclusively present in primary branches, does not change the MBP organization. Perturbing F-actin using Latrunculin or Cytochalasin, respectively, induces an expansion of compacted myelin membrane. Actin-stabilization generated by the knock-out of the actin-depolymerizing factors ADF/Cofilin1 results in a smaller area covered by MBP, and an increased accumulation of F-actin in the fine processes (error bars represent the ± S.D., n = 3, per experiment ~25 cells were analyzed while 4 regions were assessed, p*** < 0.001, and t-test). (D) The organization of subcortical cytoskeleton in differentiated OLs: representative STED image of OLs imaged with STED nanoscopy at 5 DIV. MBP (cyan), βII spectrin (red), and phalloidin (green) co-staining at DIV5. (I, II, III) Close-ups of the indicated areas indicated showing the alternating pattern of F-actin and βII spectrin Close-up showed a strip-like pattern of actin and beta II spectrin. Both proteins – located in noncompact areas – form a network resulting in a periodicity of ~200 nm (according to (D’Este et al., 2016). Scale bars as indicated.
Compared to the untreated WT control, we observed the closure of the channels by a significant increase of the area covered by MBP when the actin cytoskeleton was manipulated with latrunculin A or cytochalasin D (Fig. 3.12, A and C). We found that both treatments led to the spreading of MBP into the cytoplasmic-rich channels, indicating that F-actin blocks membrane compaction as previously suggested. The visual impression presents that the patchy distribution of MBP disappeared in cells that were treated with latrunculin (Fig. 3.12, A, panel 3) or cytochalasin B (Fig. 3.12, A, panel 4).

The effects of the major actin-depolymerizing factors ADF and Cofilin1 on the membrane structure were also analyzed in vitro. Although their role in the biogenesis of myelin has been proven (Nawaz et al., 2015; Zuchero et al., 2015), we asked whether the manipulation of the actin-depolymerization factors ADF and Cofilin1 would also influence the appearance of CPCs in large-caliber axons. To test this hypothesis, we used primary cells generated from ADF-Cofilin1 double knock-out mice (AC dKO). In those animals, the proteins were particularly ablated in OLs (CNP1-Cre+/- Cofilin1fl/fl ADF-/-). Since the single knock-out of ADF had no effect on the actin distribution and morphology of those cells and no phenotype, we used CNP1-Cre+/- Cofilin1fl/wt ADF-/- or CNP1-Cre+/- Cofilin1fl/wt ADF-/- as control cells generated from littermates. Applying the same analysis (Fig. 3.12, B), we found that the stabilization of actin accompanied by elevated levels of F-actin results in smaller MBP patches and, therefore, in a reduced area occupied by MBP (Fig. 3.12, A and C) representing membrane sheets with more F-actin-rich channels.

The application of super-resolution microscopy by applying Stimulated Emission Depletion (STED) microscopy, allowed the visualization of the subcortical organization of cytoskeletal proteins in the fine processes of fully-differentiated glial cells (Fig. 3.12, D). The co-staining of βIII spectrin, phalloidin, and MBP highlighted that βIII spectrin together with F-actin are present within cytoplasmic processes and excluded from MBP-positive sheets by forming an alternating strip-like pattern.

### 3.2.4 Manipulation of the actin cytoskeleton – in vivo

To analyze whether F-actin might structurally stabilize CPCs in vivo, we performed cryo-fixation by HPF on optic nerves of double knock-out AC dKO and control animals (littermates: CNP1-Cre+/- Cofilin1fl/wt ADF-/-) and analyzed the CPC distribution (Fig. 3.13) at P15. The deletion of the two actin regulators ADF and Cofilin1, indeed, led to a significantly higher fraction of myelinic channels in ADF/Cofilin1 double knock-out mice as compared to controls in the optic nerve at P15 (Fig. 3.13, A).
3 | Results

In order to analyze the number of cytoplasmic domains in adult animals, we also cryo-fixed large-caliber axons when the main developmental processes are terminated.

Thus, to test adult animals we took advantage of tamoxifen-inducible conditional double-knockout mice that were generated by crossing ADF−/− with Cofilinfl/fl P1p1-CreERT2 mice. The animals were induced at P21 and treated with tamoxifen for 5 consecutive days followed by HPF and analysis of the CPC distribution at P60. Upon actin stabilization, at a time when developmental effects are mainly avoided, the number of CPCs particularly in axons of a large caliber is increased (Fig. 3.14, A-C).

The comparison of the myelin thickness distribution revealed a loss of particularly thick myelin sheaths (Fig. 3.14, D). Since the distribution of myelinated fibers of a smaller caliber does not differ much from the WT control situation, the number of myelinated axons was not generally decreased.

Collectively, these results suggest a major role of F-actin in providing structural support in the stabilization of cytoplasmic domains.

Fig. 3.13: Manipulation of the actin cytoskeleton in vivo during development. (A) Distribution of the CPCs within compacted myelin sheath during development in optic nerves of WT control and AC dKO animals (age = P15). (B-C) Electron micrographs of high-pressure frozen P15 optic nerves of control (B) and AC dKO animals (C), scale bar = 500 nm, (n = 3, 350-470 axons per animal; **p<0.01; non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by t-test).
3.3 From structure to regulation

3.3.1 The tug-of-war-like interaction of MBP and CNP in vivo

Our results above pointed to an antagonistic role of CNP and MBP in channel biogenesis within myelin sheaths of the CNS. Thus, to test whether the expression levels of the main myelin proteins MBP (only restricted to compact membrane domains) and CNP (exclusively localized in non-compact areas) determine the appearance of CPCs in large-caliber axons of adult mice could only be made in vivo. We generated double-transgenic mice that lack CNP but express MBP heterozygously by crossing SHIV<sup>−−</sup> and CNP-<sup>Cre−</sup> mice. The double heterozygous animals (SHIV<sup>−−</sup> CNP<sup>−+</sup>) that were obtained were further bred until we received the desired genotype (SHIV<sup>−−</sup> CNP<sup>++</sup>). Subsequently, we dissected cervical segments of the spinal cord for HPF/AFS, and again performed an ultrastructural analysis by TEM.
When the numbers of CPCs were determined and compared to WT and CNP-deficient mice, we observed that CNP-null/shiverer heterozygous mice were indistinguishable from WT (Fig. 3.15, A). When comparing CNP-null/shiverer heterozygous to CNP-deficient mice, we found that the number of cytoplasmic domains was significantly higher in myelin sheaths with a thickness larger than 400 nm.

The TEM analysis of CNP<sup>−/−</sup> SHIV<sup>+/−</sup> mutants revealed the re-introduction of CPCs into large-caliber axons of CNP<sup>−/−</sup> SHIV<sup>+/−</sup> animals, resulting in an equilibrated state between the SHIV<sup>+/−</sup> and CNP<sup>−/−</sup> situation. Furthermore, the comparison of myelinated fibers according to their myelin thickness emphasizes a loss of large-caliber axons, which revealed a similar distribution as found in heterozygous shiverer mice. Due to the partial loss of MBP, the overall number of axons of the largest caliber is decreased (Fig. 3.15, B).

Fig. 3.15: Rescue of CPC distribution in double-transgenic CNP<sup>−/−</sup> SHIV<sup>+/−</sup> mice at P60. (A) Distribution of CPCs in high-pressure frozen tissue of the cervical spinal cord at P60. The comparison of WT control, CNP-null, heterozygous shiverer mice and the double-transgenic mutants represents the rescue according to the number of CPCs within compact myelin. (B) Myelin thickness distribution in the spinal cord of those different mutants. The partial loss of MBP leads to a decreased number of large caliber (>600 nm) axons (n = 3-5, 220-360 myelinated axons per animal were assessed, error bars represent ± SEM, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001, and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by t-test). (C + D) Visualization of myelinated axons in CNP−/- SHIV+/− mice at P60 without CPCs (C) and with cytoplasmic opening highlighted by red arrowheads (D); scale bar = 500 nm.
3.3.2 Distribution of CPCs and axonal pathology in aged animals

To study whether the distribution of cytoplasmic domains within myelin persist into older age, we analyzed the number of CPCs at 6 months of age (P180).

We fixed cervical segments of the spinal cord of these mutants with 6 months of age by HPF followed by AFS, and compared the distribution of the myelin thickness and of CPCs (Fig. 3.16). At 6 months of age, we found that CNP⁺/⁻ SHIV⁺/⁻ mice were comparable to WT animals, and had a higher number of channels as compared to CNP-deficient mice (Fig. 3.16, E). Compact myelin sheaths of SHIV⁺/⁻ animals still present the highest overall number of CPCs upon loss of compaction (Fig. 3.16, C and E), whereas CNP-null mutants still have myelin sheaths with the lowest number of CPCs compared to the WT controls (Fig. 3.16, A, B, and E).

The comparison of the myelin thickness distribution at P60 (Fig. 3.15, B) and P180 (Fig. 3.16, F) emphasizes the reduction of myelin sheaths thicker than 600 nm by approx. 50% in CNP-deficient and control animals, whereas the myelin thickness distribution in both heterozygous MBP mutants is not altered. Furthermore, aged CNP-deficient animals show a reduction of axons of the smallest caliber (myelin thickness <100 nm, Fig. 3.16, F), whereas double heterozygous CNP⁺/⁻ SHIV⁺/⁻ mutants present a myelin thickness distribution that is comparable with the WT control situation.

Besides the slightly altered distribution of CPCs, we observe pathological changes of the myelin ultrastructure in CNP-KO mice (Fig. 3.16, B), appearing as myelin outfoldings and pinch-offs, or as myelin bodies.
Fig. 3.16: CPCs in the spinal cord at 6 months of age (P180) in WT, SHIV+/−, CNP−/− mice, and CNP+ SHIV+/− mice. (A-D) TEM micrographs highlight particular features occurring among the different mouse mutants, compare with figure legend to be found up-right in the picture, scale bar = 500 nm. (E) Distribution of CPCs within the compacted myelin sheath in adult spinal cord of WT, SHIV+/−, CNP−/− and in SHIV+/− CNP−/− animals compared to the thickness of myelin. (F) Comparison of myelin thickness distribution among the different mutants (error bars represent the ± SEM, n = 3, 270-350 axons per animal were measured, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by t-test).
3.3.3 Prevention of axonal degeneration?

To find out whether the absence of channels is one of the underlying causes for the axonal pathology, we analyzed whether the axonal phenotype was rescued in CNP\(^{+/−}\) SHIV\(^{+/−}\) mice.

![Graph showing axonal damages in P180 spinal cord mature myelin of WT, SHIV\(^{+/−}\), CNP\(^{+/−}\) and CNP\(^{+/−}\) SHIV\(^{+/−}\) animals.](image)

**Fig. 3.17:** Prevention of axonal degeneration by alteration of the expression levels of CNP and MBP? (A) Analysis of axonal damages in P180 spinal cord mature myelin of WT, SHIV\(^{+/−}\), CNP\(^{+/−}\) and CNP\(^{+/−}\) SHIV\(^{+/−}\) animals, error bars represent the ± SEM, n = 3, 270-350 axons per animal were measured, \(*p < 0.05\); \(**p < 0.01\), and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by t-test. (B-C) Electron micrographs of CNP\(^{+/−}\) mice high-pressure frozen cervical spinal cord tissue at P180 and characteristic phenotypes, scale bar = 500 nm. (D-E) Electron micrographs of double-transgenic CNP\(^{+/−}\) SHIV\(^{+/−}\) mice and prevention of axonal degeneration, scale bar = 500 nm.

Figure 3.17, B and C highlights the severe axonal phenotype, visualized in cross-sections of cervical spinal cord tissue samples of CNP-deficient mice at the age of 6 months.

When the numbers of axonal spheroids were quantified, we observed that axonal degeneration was significantly reduced in CNP\(^{+/−}\) SHIV\(^{+/−}\) animals as compared to CNP-deficient animals (Fig. 3.17, A, D, and E). Whereas single knock-out CNP-deficient animals presented the highest level of degenerated axons accompanied by elevated numbers of myelin outfoldings, and axonal swellings, double-transgenic CNP/shiverer mutants showed a significant improvement of this phenotype, even though the WT level was not reached. The partial loss of MBP in heterozygous shiverer mice did not cause a severe pathological axonal phenotype (Fig. 3.17, A).
In line with this finding, the lifespan of animals is a general measure to enquire how critical the pathology of the phenotype of mutant mice is. Based on the published observation that CNP-deficient animals show premature death and usually do not complete the first year of age (Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003), we kept a small cohort of mice of those genotypes (Table 3.2), which we have analyzed throughout the course of this study.

Table 3.2: Comparison of the averaged reached lifespan and averaged reached life of the used mouse mutants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total number of animals</th>
<th>Still alive</th>
<th>Averaged current age [weeks]</th>
<th>Averaged reached age [weeks]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>Still alive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNP+/−</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIV+/−</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>†</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNP+/−SHIV+/−</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>Still alive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2 briefly averages the reached lifespan and the current age of living animals (current status of calendar week 16). Compared to the single knock-outs of either CNP or MBP, double-transgenic mice and WT controls were still alive, which functionally supports the morphological analysis of the axonal pathology and the rescue of CNP+/− SHIV+/− mice.


4 Discussion

In this study, we discovered a mechanism of how cytoplasmic domains are formed in compact myelin sheaths of the CNS. We proposed that CPCs fulfil several important functions:

1. Exchange of molecules in myelin
2. Myelin plasticity
3. Axonal support

Myelin is a complex structure that forms a tight multi-layered stack of plasma membrane, which is spirally wrapped around axons and thereby creates a dense diffusion barrier (Aggarwal, Yurlova, & Simons, 2011; Aggarwal, Yurlova, Snaidero, et al., 2011; Harauz et al., 2009). The observations of CPCs in the CNS in thin-caliber axons of the optic nerve (Snaidero et al., 2014; Snaidero & Simons, 2014), allowed the characterization of an elaborated interconnected system of CPCs within compact myelin sheaths. Cytoplasmic openings in high-pressure frozen murine optic nerves run in a helical path through the compacted sheath to connect the oligodendroglial cell body with the innermost layer of myelin. Moreover, these openings closed upon termination of myelination and were untraceable in the thin mature myelin sheaths surrounding small axons. These observations suggested that a system of CPCs is involved in the transportation and the efficient delivery of membrane material to the active growth zone of the inner layers of developing myelin (Snaidero & Simons, 2014).

We chose a similar approach to answer the question whether those CPCs remained open in mature myelin sheaths of a large caliber when developmental processes were terminated.

To answer this question, we selected murine spinal cord as the ideal tissue to assess myelinated axons that are surrounded by a high number of wraps. We used HPF to improve the cryo-preservation of this tissue that hitherto has proved technically difficult to fix. We established a new sample preparation protocol that allowed the conservation of the myelin ultrastructure close to its native state.

Applying this technique, we found remarkable cytoplasmic inclusions present as membrane openings in compact myelin sheaths of the spinal cord extracted from adult mice. Importantly, we identified a mechanism and essential key proteins, which regulate the generation and maintenance of these cytoplasmic regions.
4.1 Biogenesis of CPCs within myelin sheaths of the CNS during development

During biogenesis of myelin the compaction of two opposing surfaces is facilitated by MBP, which restricts the access of large proteins like CNP and MAG into compact myelin by forming a size barrier (Aggarwal, Yurlova, Snaidero, et al., 2011; Parazzini et al., 2002; Zuchero & Barres, 2011). Already at an early stage of the myelin biogenesis in the mouse optic nerve after HPF, our group has found that the main myelin proteins MBP and CNP influence the biogenesis of cytoplasmic domains, particularly the rates of growth and compaction (Snaidero et al., 2014). Specifically, we have shown in this work that CNP prevents the myelin compaction being promoted by MBP, acting antagonistically, and is thus an integral component of CPCs. Here, we present a model that summarizes the interactions and key regulators involved (Fig. 4.1).

Looking at the immature optic nerve of shiverer mouse mutants, we showed that the loss of compaction, induced by decreased expression levels of MBP, resulted in myelin sheaths with more CPCs, whereas the opposite effect was observed in CNP-deficient mice at the same age (Fig. 3.1, A).

It has been shown that a central second messenger pathway regulates OL process outgrowth during the development of the myelin sheaths (in cell culture and in vivo). The activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway caused hypermyelination in the presence of increased PIP$_3$ (phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate) levels in OL-specific PTEN knock-out mice (Flores et al., 2008; Goebbels et al., 2010). The CPCs in the optic nerve of adult mice had the potential to re-open by inducible recombination of PTEN in OLs in the presence of elevated PIP$_3$ levels (Snaidero et al., 2014). Using primary cultures of mutant OLs, it was possible to recapitulate the regulation of myelin membrane growth by PIP$_3$ levels observed previously in mice. Obviously, during development, other molecules also have an impact on the regulation and formation of CPCs. In the next chapter, we discuss how the formation of those cytoplasmic domains is regulated in adult mice.

4.2 Abundance of CPCs within mature myelin sheaths of the CNS

Strikingly, the extended ultrastructural analysis of large-caliber axons presented in this work proved that these radial cytoplasmic domains remained open, even in adult animals when developmental processes were terminated (Fig. 4.1, A). Again, the in vivo manipulation of the expression levels of CNP and MBP pointed to an antagonistic relation of those two essential myelin proteins. The analysis of CNP-deficient (Fig. 4.1, B) animals revealed a significant
overall reduction of cytoplasmic domains in adult (P60) and aged animals (P180). When we analyzed heterozygous *shiverer* mice, we observed a total increase of the CPC distribution at the same age compared to WT controls (Fig. 4.1, C).

![Fig. 4.1: Model of the formation of cytoplasmic domains within myelin sheaths and the most important key regulators. Tug-of-war-like interaction between the CNP/F-actin cytoskeleton and MBP plays a critical role in the regulation of the biogenesis of CPCs. The model illustrates the molecular composition and the involved key regulators derived from our overall *in vitro* and *in vivo* experimental data.](image)

Our findings in thick-caliber spinal cord axons nicely confirmed our group’s previous observations in the optic nerve and are consistent with previous studies by others, who have been able to visualize CPCs in thick myelinated fibers of adult rat spinal cord (Velumian, Samoilova, & Fehlings, 2011). The injection of the water-soluble fluorescent dye Lucifer Yellow into longitudinal slices of the spinal cord, and subsequent live-imaging to monitor the diffusion
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of the injected dye into internodal myelin of the CNS, revealed an interconnected cytoplasmic system.

4.3 Structural stabilization of cytoplasmic domains

We have observed that CPCs remain open in large-caliber axons of adult mice, despite their transient character in thin fibers of the developing optic nerve. Furthermore, we developed a model to illustrate that the relative abundance of CNP and MBP is a regulatory mechanism that affects the formation of these cytoplasmic domains. Two processes have to be coordinated: while myelin is incorporated adjacent to the axon at the leading edge or the innermost tongue, newly-formed layers extend laterally. The lateral membrane extension is accompanied by the simultaneous formation of cytoplasmic-rich domains (Snaidero et al., 2014).

Additionally, mechanical forces are necessary to promote the growth at the leading edge. Thereby, a high turnover of F-actin, accompanied by the upregulation of actin disassembly proteins, mediates the reorganization of filament-like structures into membrane sheets (Nawaz et al., 2015; Zuchero et al., 2015). Previous studies indicated that CNP and actin can interact (De Angelis & Braun, 1994, 1996a, 1996b; Kim & Pfeiffer, 1999; J. Lee et al., 2005). We thus tested whether actin plays a role in preventing the collapse of CPCs in large-caliber axons.

Subsequently, the combination of in vivo and in vitro assays, helped us to understand how these non-compact domains, filled with cytoplasm, were structurally stabilized. The application of the biomimetic GUV-bursting assay imitated myelin membrane compaction that was directed by MBP. We found that CNP together with F-actin antagonized the forces exerted by polymerizing MBP molecules (Fig. 3.11). Although CNP alone was able to prevent MBP-driven membrane compaction (Fig. 3.5), the prevention was even more efficient when filamentous actin was present (Fig. 3.11). Additionally, this finding was supported by the pharmacological and genetic manipulation of the actin cytoskeleton in vitro (Fig. 3.12). Hence, we identified that the specific protein-protein interaction of F-actin and CNP is essential for the conformation of CPCs.

Because the interaction of CNP and F-actin had not previously been characterized in detail, we further analyzed the structural assembly of CNP and F-actin together with our collaborators Dr. Matti Myllykoski and Prof. Dr. Petri Kursula. This work demonstrated CNP/F-actin-specific bundling activities and characterized potential binding sites. The mass-spectrometric analysis revealed that actin-binding of CNP occurs at the N-terminal PNK-like domain as well as at the
C-terminus (**Fig. 3.10, D**, right panel). There, F-actin seemed to have one possible binding site near the D-loop in subdomain 2 as well as another within the long loop of subdomain 3 (**Fig. 3.10, D**, left panel). However, the relative orientation of CNP with respect to F-actin still needs to be solved structurally.

One possibility of how CNP could prevent the MBP-initiated membrane compaction, in order to hinder the closure of cytoplasmic domains, is by forming pillar-like structures in the cytoplasmic space of the myelin sheath that are stabilized by the actin cytoskeleton. Thereby, it is possible that a single CNP monomer acts as a bridge between two actin filaments in bundling. Such a conformation could build stable ensembles to keep adjacent cytoplasmic leaflets separated.

Indeed, two separate forces appear to operate in myelin. One force depends on a network of MBP molecules, and another force is based on CNP and its interaction with the cytoskeleton. This tug-of-war-type relation between CNP and MBP regulates the formation of cytoplasmic domains, in that the actin cytoskeleton in association with CNP prevents MBP from compacting opposing membranes (**Fig. 4.1, D**). Our new data shed light on previous work in transgenic mice, showing that overexpression of CNP increases areas of non-compacted myelin *in vivo* and multiplies the outgrowth of cytoplasmic processes of primary OLs *in vitro* (Gravel et al., 1996; Yin et al., 1997).

That the subcortical actin cytoskeleton may stabilize cytoplasmic domains is suggested by our recent observation of the structural organization of F-actin within cytoplasmic processes of primary OLs (D’Este et al., 2016). The application of far-field optical nanoscopy revealed a periodic sequence of an actin-meshwork presented by a regular pattern of F-actin-strips. Together, actin and βII spectrin are ubiquitously expressed in eukaryotic cells and are the key components of the subcortical cytoskeleton, within which their organization, protein interactions, and functions are diverse. In the CNS, several spectrin isoforms are expressed in glial cells (e.g., at the axon initial segment (AIS), nodes of Ranvier, and paranodal junctions), where they fulfill important functions, including the formation of a diffusion barrier to restrict cytoplasmic and membrane proteins to distinct cellular compartments (Goodman, Lopresti, Riederer, Sikorski, & Zagon, 1989; K. Susuki & Rasband, 2008; Zagon, Higbee, Riederer, & Goodman, 1986).

We found that βII spectrin and F-actin are present within cytoplasmic processes of differentiated OLs displaying an alternating pattern. It is possible that βII spectrin also interacts with CNP/F-actin to assemble into a more complex network formation.
Having a look at the PNS, where the interactions of the molecules that maintain SLIs are better understood, may support our hypothesis. In the PNS, the stability of SLIs is provided by adhesion molecules and the membrane skeleton (Ghahriel & Altt, 1981; Poliak et al., 2001; Keiichiro Susuki et al., 2011; Trapp, Andrews, Wong, Oconnell, & Griffin, 1989). It has been reported that a complex of actin and spectrin together with the protein 4.1G structurally stabilizes SLIs. Interestingly, the full knock-out of the membrane skeletal protein 4.1G in mice leads to an altered shape and reduced size of SLIs, and an abnormal distribution of glial adhesion and internodal proteins (Ivanovic et al., 2012; Ohno et al., 2006; Terada et al., 2012).

However, to determine whether βII spectrin stabilizes cytoplasmic domains needs to be determined in vivo. The abundance of βII spectrin in CPCs could be ascertained by immunoelectron microscopic studies, in which the quality of the tissue fixation is the limiting factor. Because it is not possible to chemically fix cytoplasmic domains within axons of a large caliber, we should choose the developing optic nerve to characterize the protein composition within CPCs for future experiments.

Collectively, these results suggest a major role of F-actin and CNP in providing structural support in the stabilization of cytoplasmic domains. The state of the actin cytoskeleton seems to influence the mechanical properties of the myelinic channels. CNP together with cytoskeletal proteins may assemble into a fibrous network and guarantee the stability of CPCs by prevention of the compaction driven by MBP. In summary, during myelin biogenesis, cytoplasmic domains were found to be highly abundant in thin-caliber axons and remained open in axons of a large fiber, even when developmental processes were terminated. It was therefore, tempting to investigate whether the altered CPC distribution among the different mouse mutants had physiological consequences.

### 4.4 Functional consequences

#### 4.4.1 Can CPCs solve a logistical issue?

The almost complete insulation of axons allows efficient insulation and defines the rapid conduction of action potentials, but also raises the question how access to the extracellular space is achieved, which is critical for any physiological activity (Nave, 2010). This issue becomes certainly critical when we think of large axons that are surrounded by thick myelin sheaths that measure up to 600 nm in diameter. The lack of a system that arranges
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cytoplasmic transport would physiologically isolate the axon from its direct environment. Thus, could a network of interconnected CPCs – as we have described it – solve this logistical issue?

In general, myelin volume is strongly correlated to both the axonal diameter (caliber) and the length of the myelinated internodal axonal segment, with only minor species- and nerve-specific differences (Friede & Bischhausen, 1982; Pannese et al., 1987). In particular, the axonal caliber appears to be a critical parameter for myelination of small axons (Voyvodic, 1989). The presence of just a few wraps, as we find them in the myelinated optic nerve, consequently results in very short internodes (optic nerve: length = ~ 100 μm; 8-10 wraps), whereas thick fibers of the spinal cord tracks present myelin lamellae with up to 60 wraps and a myelin thickness of up to 600 nm (Hildebrand & Hahn, 1978).

The previous ultrastructural analysis of thin and immature myelin sheaths of the mouse optic nerve, revealed an abundance of microtubules and vesicular structures within cytoplasmic domains (Snaidero et al., 2014). The analysis showed that these vesicles covered approximately 12% of the entire cytoplasmic area, including the inner and outer tongue, and CPCs ((Snaidero et al., 2014) supplemental information). In line with this observation and similar to fast axonal transport (speed: 2 – 5 μm per s), glial intracellular transport could also depend on motor-driven transport in tracks of the cytoplasmic channel system and not on free diffusion (Brown, 2003; Stenoien & Brady, 1999; Vale, 2003).

After the termination of myelination and the closure of CPCs, the passage of molecules through small-caliber axons could be realized via cytoplasmic transport through the outer tongue, the paranodal loops, and the inner tongue in order to reach the axon and to ensure proper axon-glia communication. But what would happen if nodal distances are so long that cytoplasmic transport based on diffusion becomes slow and inefficient (i.e., in large-caliber axons)? This question is maybe answered by our observations of the abundance of vesicles that were distributed in cytoplasmic domains found throughout all genotypes of adult animals that were analyzed in this work (Fig. 4.1).

The molecular understanding of the exchange of neurotrophic factors and metabolites between glial cells and neurons is still lacking. In view of our recent results on the regulation and biogenesis of CPCs in compact myelin sheets, we suggest that their role is to maintain axonal integrity and participate in axon-glial transport. This function is suggested by the accumulation of vesicular structures found within myelinic channels (Fig. 4.1). In principle, vesicles could
traffic through these non-compact domains in order to enable the exchange from the outside to the inside of the sheath.

Fig. 4.1: Do myelinic channels establish routes of cytoplasmic transport? Here, the figures exemplify the abundance of vesicles (green asterisk) particularly located in cytoplasmic domains within compact myelin sheaths of high-pressure frozen tissue. (A+B) Vesicles observed in the spinal cord of SHIV+/- at P60 and (C+D) vesicles observed in the spinal cord of WT mice at P60: scale bar = 500 nm.

Until today, information on the distribution and function of cytoplasmic domains in the CNS has been lacking, whereas SLIs in the PNS have already been characterized in detail. In independent studies, it had been shown that the number of incisures per internode increased along with an increasing axonal diameter, indicating that routes of cytoplasmic transport were established in large-caliber axons in the PNS (Ghabriel & Allt, 1981). Like SLIs in the PNS, which have been documented to contain actin, tubulin, desmosome-like bands, vesicular structures, and mitochondria (Hall & Williams, 1970; Kruger et al., 1979; E. Mugnaini, K. K. Osen, B. Schnapp, & V. L. Friedrich, Jr., 1977), our findings suggest that CPCs in the CNS functionally serve as connected shortcuts to sustain the exchange and transport between the glial cell body and axon in adult animals (Micu et al., 2016; Rinholm et al., 2016).
4.4.2 Do CPCs play a role in axonal maintenance?

OLs start to generate myelin during postnatal development and maintain functional myelin sheaths throughout adult life. In order to keep them intact, a carefully orchestrated balance of myelin synthesis and turnover needs to be guaranteed. An imbalance, e.g., accumulation of redundant myelin or of toxic inclusions, could lead to demyelination, axonal loss, and disease (Pohl et al., 2011; Rodgers, Robinson, & Miller, 2013).

CNP has been implicated to play a role in axonal support; it has been furthermore reported that CNP-null animals show premature death by the age of 6 months caused by the early loss of axons and the pathology of the severe axonal phenotype, and thereby providing the most obvious phenotype of axonal degeneration (Campbell & Mahad, 2014; Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003). Consistent with this phenomenon, CNP-null animals present an axonal accumulation of organelles, especially of mitochondria, and axonal swellings, possibly caused by a congestion of transport molecules. Consequently, the degeneration of axons due to these severe deficits occurs throughout the brain and the spinal cord (Edgar et al., 2009).

A prerequisite for maintenance and axonal integrity is the continuous communication between neurons and glial cells, and the promotion of neuronal health by providing growth factors and giving structural support through myelin (Coleman, 2013; Kassmann et al., 2007; Nave, 2010). Since axonal survival depends on intact OLs and the constant generation of newly-formed myelin, we hypothesize that CPCs may have an impact on axonal maintenance.

Our findings in WT and transgenic mice suggest that CNP is essential for the biogenesis and maintenance of CPCs within myelin sheaths. We found that CPCs were formed and, more importantly, maintained in the adult nervous system. Whereas the partial loss of MBP led to overall elevated numbers of CPCs in heterozygous shiverer mice, the complete knock-out of CNP resulted in a reduced number of CPCs throughout compact myelin sheaths of CNP-deficient animals. Our observations with respect to the well-described ongoing axonal pathology of CNP-null mice, raised the question of the functional role of CPCs. One possibility is that cytoplasmic domains serve as routes for transport between the axon and the myelinating cell. To test this possibility in vivo, we performed rescue experiments of our different knock-out animals that demonstrated an altered axon-glia communication, presented by the various channel distributions. We decreased the expression level of MBP within OLs of CNP-null mice and observed that the reduced expression levels of MBP in CNP+/− SHIV+/− mice rescued the phenotype of CNP-deficient mice (Fig. 3.15 (P60), Fig. 3.16 (P180), and Fig. 3.17). Strikingly,
cytoplasmic domains were re-introduced into "over-compacted" myelin sheaths and double-transgenic animals presented a CPC distribution that almost reached the WT state. Importantly, we observed that the axonal pathology was significantly reduced.

Generally, the lifespan of animals indicates how severe the pathology of the phenotype of mutant mice is. The CNP-deficient animals have been reported to show premature death, usually before the first year of age (Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003). We kept a small cohort of mice of the genotypes that were analyzed in this study to test whether the manipulated expression levels of CNP and MBP confirm the rescue of CNP\(^{-/-}\) SHIV\(^{+/-}\) mice. Because of the restricted space in our animal facility, it was unfortunately not possible to keep more than three mice per group. Compared to the single knock-outs of either CNP (averaged reached age: 35.7 weeks) or MBP (74.0 weeks), double-transgenic mice (current age: 82.5 weeks) and WT controls (current age: 75.0 weeks) were still alive by the finalization of this thesis (calendar week 16). Although this pilot experiment is not conclusive because of the small sample size, it provides preliminary evidence that the re-introduction of cytoplasmic domains into compact myelin sheaths of double-transgenic CNP\(^{-/-}\) SHIV\(^{+/-}\) expands the lifespan compared to the CNP-full knock-out.

In conclusion, it seems to be increasingly likely that an interconnected system of cytoplasmic domains in large-caliber axons of the mature CNS provides a solution for cytoplasmic transport of molecules across tightly-compacted myelin sheaths in the CNS.

4.5 Implications for the pathogenesis of CPCs

4.5.1 Disease

One function of myelin is to protect axons from subsequent injury. However, the loss of intact myelin is the cause of different human neurological disorders including Multiple Sclerosis (MS) (Trapp & Nave, 2008). In many myelin-related diseases, the axons by themselves are mostly prone to degeneration. MS is a CNS-specific disease that is characterized by the abundance of inflammatory lesions in white-matter tracts that cause OL death, extensive demyelination, and macroscopic plaques. This glia- and myelin-specific pathology is followed by a secondary axonal dysfunction, manifested as axonal swellings and progressive axonal loss in MS lesions (Ferguson, Matyszak, Esiri, & Perry, 1997; Trapp et al., 1998). However, progressive axonal loss is also a feature of inherited myelin disorders, like the Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease (PMD), which begins in early childhood, characterized by defects of the terminal OL differentiation and myelin formation. The most prominent features of PMD are myelin
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maintenance abnormalities accompanied by demyelination and the disruption of axonal transport followed by axonal neurodegeneration (“Wallerian degeneration”) (Garbern et al., 2002; Griffiths et al., 1998). Both diseases exemplify that an initial myelin deficit causes a secondary axonal involvement and contributes to axonal degeneration.

In MS, it is the initial demyelination that pre-disposes the axon to subsequent secondary injury (Neumann, Medana, Bauer, & Lassmann, 2002). The Wallerian degeneration, a particular feature of PLP1-mutant mice (the mouse model with corresponding mutations to the human disease PMD), especially occurs when axonal transport is impaired (Edgar et al., 2004). Because PLP1-deficient mice develop an axonal pathology that resembles the pathology of mitochondrial disorders, this raises the question whether the trophic support by OLs is needed for a functional energy exchange between glial cells and the axon (Rinholm & Bergersen, 2012; Tarrade et al., 2006). Interestingly, CNP- and PLP1-deficient mice combine the characteristic phenotype of an impaired axonal transport system. The double knock-out of both genes results in lower axonal survival than even the single knock-outs (Edgar et al., 2009).

It is highly speculative, but worth considering, that the heterogeneity of the myelin ultrastructure (defined by a diverse distribution of cytoplasmic domains) might have an impact on the integrity of an intact axon-glial network. The result of an impaired communication system could promote axonal degeneration and disease as it is observed in numerous neurological disorders. Consistently, it had been described that in the situation of a deficit of myelinating glia, the axonal loss is length-dependent. Indeed, mostly the longest and distal CNS fibers within the spinal cord have been reported to be affected at first (Griffin & Watson, 1988; Suter & Scherer, 2003).

Furthermore, the myelin ultrastructure may also affect the initiation, but also the progression of a neurodegenerative disease. In MS, approx. 70% of the patients develop a secondary progressive state, which is defined as a period of clinical worsening, independent of relapses, and that is sustained for at least six months. Importantly, progressive MS shows a stereotypical clinical appearance with loss of motor and sensory functions starting in distal regions followed by an upwards progression. It was shown that one main reason for this progressive development was caused by the axonal degeneration of particularly large-caliber axons within the spinal cord (Antel, Antel, Caramanos, Arnold, & Kuhlmann, 2012; Bradl & Lassmann, 2010).
If myelinating glia are directly metabolically linked to underlying axons, minor ultrastructural changes in the OL architecture would have severe consequences for cytoplasmic transport and ultimately the metabolic support of axons. Nevertheless, it would be interesting in the future to analyze the distribution of CPCs in a pathological condition (e.g., in mice that have been treated with cuprizone, in order to apply a model that reflects both the demyelinating and remyelinating conditions), and to investigate whether the myelin ultrastructure changes after myelin repair. It is well described that remyelinated internodes are shorter and thinner, but how a CPC system would be affected is not known (Blakemore & Murray, 1981; Ludwin, 1987).

In conclusion, the impairment of myelinic channels and the perturbation of the trophic axonal support may have deleterious consequences. Relevant for all myelin-related diseases would be to know whether the generation of imperfect myelin (like the over-compaction in CNP-null mice) causes demyelination and axonal loss, whereas the presence of confined myelin (as in heterozygous shiverer mice) might be perhaps better for the underlying axon.
5 Summary

In the CNS, myelin is formed by OLs, which spirally wrap their plasma membrane around axons to form a tightly-packed stack of membrane (Aggarwal, Yurlova, & Simons, 2011; Nave & Werner, 2014). In the past, myelin was regarded to be an inert and purely insulating membrane, but this structure-function paradigm has been revised. We now know that myelin is a metabolically active membrane that provides support to the underlying axon (Funfschilling et al., 2012; Y. Lee et al., 2012).

At first glance, the biological activity seems to be in conflict with the structural properties of myelin consisting of multiple lamellae of membrane that harbor only little cytoplasm (Snaidero & Simons, 2014). Most of our knowledge about the myelin ultrastructure is based on electron microscopic studies, which have been performed on chemically-fixed and dehydrated tissue, often associated with shrinkage and disruption of intracellular regions. The recent technical advance of the sample preparation by application of high-pressure freezing and automatic freeze substitution allowed us the preservation of biological tissues close to the native state (Mobius et al., 2010). With this technique, it became possible to visualize cytoplasmic domains within small-caliber axons of the developing and mature myelin of the mouse optic nerve. This system of tube-shaped cytoplasmic expansions residing between compacted layers of myelin resembled SLIs in the PNS. These channels run in a helical path through myelin sheaths, connecting the oligodendroglial cell body with the innermost layers of myelin (Snaidero et al., 2014).

In this study, we visualized an interconnected system of cytoplasmic domains within large-caliber axons of the mature murine spinal cord. Thereby, we identified CNP, a highly abundant and oligodendroglial-specific protein, as an essential factor in the biogenesis of cytoplasmic regions in adult myelin sheaths. Until today, the function of intracellular CNP of OLs has not been fully understood. Here, we have provided evidence that CNP antagonizes the force of MBP in compacting myelin membrane layers. It is known that MBP, the major structural component of myelin, directs compaction by binding to the two opposing negatively-charged cytoplasmic leaflets of myelin membrane (Harauz et al., 2009; Vassall et al., 2015). This interaction neutralizes the positive charge of MBP and triggers the self-assembly into a polymeric network (Aggarwal, Yurlova, Snaidero, et al., 2011). The polymerization of this dense network manages the extrusion of proteins from the compacting myelin membrane and restricts them to cytosolic areas (Aggarwal et al., 2013).
We have shown here that CNP within cytoplasmic regions repels MBP-directed membrane-zippering by association with the actin-based cytoskeleton. Due to the combination of *in vitro* (cell culture, biomimetic, and biochemical approaches) and *in vivo* (TEM analysis of different mouse models) experiments, we detected a tug-of-war-like interaction of MBP and CNP/F-actin cytoskeleton. CNP and F-actin assembled into a fibrous network and thereby kept adjacent cytoplasmic leaflets separated and prevented membrane compaction by MBP molecules (*Fig. 4.1*). We not only found cytoplasmic regions within completely developed large-caliber axons, but also saw that some of them were filled with vesicles. It was appealing to speculate that these structures may be required for an axon-glial exchange and for the trophic support of the axon.

Finalizing, we elucidated a molecular mechanism how cytoplasmic channels are formed and maintained in developing and mature myelin sheaths. This work provided a new molecular and structural framework for understanding how myelin is kept “alive”, metabolically active, and functionally connected to its subjacent axon. We hypothesized that a system of cytoplasmic-rich channels were necessary to vitalize and to equip myelin with metabolic activity required for maintaining functional axon-glial units and to regulate myelin thickness within active neuronal circuits.

Considering the lately emerging feature of myelin, being more prone to modulation and structural changes by supporting neuronal processes than previously assumed, we posit that CPCs solve a logistical issue and are required for the transport of molecules across the myelin sheath to the axon and allow the lifetime remodeling of the myelin sheath (Chang et al., 2016; de Hoz & Simons, 2015; Nave & Werner, 2014; Purger et al., 2015).
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Scientific Research Experience

October 2012 – June 2016  
PhD thesis at the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine with Prof. Mikael Simons, Göttingen, Germany  
Title: Biogenesis and Maintenance of Cytoplasmic Domains in Myelin of the Central Nervous System

April 2011 – November 2011  
Research project at the University of Guelph in Ontario, Canada with Prof. George Harauz, Canada Research Chair  
Title: Synthesis of Doubly Spin Labeled Myelin Basic Protein (MBP) and Structural Studies Using Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Spectroscopy

December 2009 – September 2012  
Research Assistant at the Max Planck Institute of Polymer Research with Prof. Dr. Dariush Hinderberger and Prof. Dr. Hans Wolfgang Spiess, Department of Polymer Spectroscopy, Mainz, Germany

Teaching Experience

October 2011 – September 2012  
Training of students in the course of the practical research work for their diploma thesis at the Max Planck Institute of Polymer Spectroscopy, Mainz, Germany

Super vision of lab rotation students:

July 2013 – September 2013  
Elmira Aliabadi within the Master program of “Molecular Medicine”, Georg-August University of Göttingen

May 2014 – July 2014  
David López de la Morena within the international Master/PhD program “Molecular Biology”, Georg-August University of Göttingen
• Publications


□ E. d'Este, D. Kamin, C. Velte, F. Göttfert, M. Simons, S.W. Hell: *Subcortical cytoskeleton periodicity throughout the nervous system*, Scientific Reports 6 (March 2016)

□ K.A. Vassall, W. Bamm, A.D. Jenkins, C. Velte, D.R. Kattnig, J.M. Boggs, D. Hinderberger, G. Harauz: *Substitutions mimicking deimination and phosphorylation of 18.5-kDa myelin basic protein exert local structural effects that subtly influence its global folding*, BBA – Biomembranes 6 (February 2016)


• Meetings & Conferences


□ “Joint Lab Symposium – Cell Biology of Myelinating Glia” in Göttingen, *Biogenesis of Cytoplasmic Channels in Myelin Sheaths of the Central Nervous System*, April 201

□ “Annual International Meeting of the ESR Spectroscopy Group of the Royal Society of Chemistry” in Manchester, *Synthesis of Doubly Spin Labeled Myelin Basic Protein (MBP) and Structural Studies Using EPR Spectroscopy*, March 2012

□ Main annual meeting of the European magnetic resonance community” in Frankfurt, *DNA Condensation With Spermine Dendrimers: Interactions in Solution, Charge Inversion, and Morphology Control*, August 2011
Extracurricular Activities

- PhD representative of the international PhD program “Molecular Biology of Cells” (Göttingen Graduate School for Neuroscience, Biophysics, and Molecular Biosciences), September 2014 – September 2015

- Organizer of the PhD retreat within the international PhD program “Molecular Biology of Cells” (Göttingen Graduate School for Neuroscience, Biophysics, and Molecular Biosciences), January 2015 – September 2015

- Co-organizer of the international symposium “Women’s Career and Networks 2014” in Göttingen