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Abstract

Fungal infestation on wheat is an increasingly grave nutritional problem in many countries worldwide. *Fusarium* species are especially harmful pathogens due to their toxic metabolites. Deoxynivalenol (DON) in particular, is a secondary metabolite that contaminates frequently cereal grain, cereal-based food or feed and is thus a serious health risk to humans. To comply with governmental regulations, new tools for fast and accurate DON and *Fusaria* estimation have to be developed. The discrimination properties of electronic noses have already been shown and are promising with respect to food control. Aptamers for ligand binding are already known and are suitable as recognition elements for biosensors.

In this work the volatile compounds released by *Fusarium cerealis*, *Fusarium graminearum*, *Fusarium culmorum* and *Fusarium redolens* were studied. Using an electronic nose, it was possible to differentiate between infected and non-infected dry, whole wheat grain. The electronic nose was capable of distinguishing between four *Fusaria* species with an accuracy higher than 80%. Furthermore, DNA aptamers have been selected, reacting to DON with different affinities. The used SELEX is based on the formation of aptamer beacons upon DON binding. A single-stranded DNA library, covering 40 random positions, was bound to an affinity column. The library consisted of an estimated diversity of $6.6 \times 10^{14}$ molecules. Sequences which underwent a conformational change consequently to the addition of DON were collected and amplified. Eventually, 39 DNA structures of the amplified pool were chosen for binding analysis among which 14 reacted to the application of DON.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 The Genus *Fusarium*

1.1.1 Occurrence and Propagation

*Fusarium* species are widespread pathogens in all grain-growing regions worldwide, and one of the most important genera of plant pathogenic fungi on earth [1, 2]. They belong to the phylum Ascomycota, which is the largest phylum of fungi, with over 64,000 species [3]. *Fusarium* was first described by Link [4] and later classified by Wollenweber and Reinking in 1935 [5]. The genus *Fusarium* comprises more than 100 species with several varieties. It is pathogenic on major agricultural crops like maize, wheat, barley and other small grain, but also on fruits, stems, twigs, roots of various plants and in soils [6]. *Fusarium* is thus one of the most economically important fungi on earth. Moreover, it causes *Fusarium* Head Blight (FHB) on wheat, barley and other small grain. The occurrence of different *Fusarium* species is characteristic of FHB. Main inducers are *F. graminearum*, *F. culmorum* and *F. avenaceum*. *F. poae*, *F. cerealis* and *F. equiseti* are less frequently found, as well as other species which are sporadically involved. While the infestation often takes place with warm and humid weather conditions in the time of flowering, also agronomic factors and the plant genotype play a role in disease severity. If infested, pinkish-red mycelium develops on the spikelets, the kernels become shrivelled, with a white, pink or light brown appearance (cf. Figure 1.1) [1, 7]. Agronomical, FHB leads to a reduction
in grain size, kernel weight, germination rate, as well as a depression in quality parameters [1, 8]. Hence, the yield loss through FHB infection can be up to 70% [1]. Epidemics in China are most common and can affect more than 7 million hectares of wheat and a loss of up to 2.5 million tons of grain per year [9]. In the northern and central plains of the U.S., Nganje et al. [10] numbered the economic losses in wheat and barley to 2.7 billion dollars in the years 1998 to 2000. FHB has an impact on every sector of the wheat processing industry as it affects wheat growers, millers, bakers and consumers of cereal foodstuff.

\[\text{A}: \text{DS} \ 0\% , \ \text{DON} < 0.5 \text{mg} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{DM} ; \ \text{B}: \text{DS} \ 50\% , \ \text{DON} 2 \text{mg} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{DM} ; \ \text{C}: \text{DS} \ 65\% , \ \text{DON} 8 \text{mg} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{DM} ; \ \text{D}: \text{DS} \ 70\% , \ \text{DON} 14 \text{mg} \text{kg}^{-1} \text{DM} .\]

\text{Arrows mark } \text{Fusarium-}
\text{infected kernels. DM = Dry Matter.}

\text{Figure 1.1: } \text{Fusarium}-\text{contaminated wheat kernels after harvesting, and the corresponding disease severity (DS) and DON-content. A: DS 0\% , DON < 0.5 mg kg}^{-1} \text{DM; B: DS 50\% , DON 2 mg kg}^{-1} \text{DM; C: DS 65\% , DON 8 mg kg}^{-1} \text{DM; D: DS 70\% , DON 14 mg kg}^{-1} \text{DM. Arrows mark } \text{Fusarium-}
\text{infected kernels. DM = Dry Matter.}}

\text{Fusarium causes on maize two major diseases known as } \text{Fusarium ear rot (pink ear rot) and Gibberella ear rot (red ear rot). The causal agent for } \text{Fusarium ear rot is mainly } F. \text{verticillioides, but also } F. \text{subglutinans and } F. \text{proliferatum.}
In contrast, red ear rot is caused by *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum* [11]. The diseases express themselves in the occurrence of mould with a white/light pink or red/pink colour and affect either single kernels/small groups of kernels (pink ear rot) or large portions of the ear, starting at the tip (red ear rot) [12]. While *Fusarium* ear rot is more common in warmer and drier areas, *Gibberella* ear rot is favoured by high levels of moisture and moderate temperatures [11]. Numerous field outbreaks of mycotoxicoses are known which were associated with contaminated maize used in livestock feed [13].

*Fusarium* disease control is challenging due to few fungicides available and the small application window. In Germany, there are accredited agents available for wheat (e.g. Prosaron, Bayer CropScience) and barley (Sportak 45 EW, BASF), but not for maize.

### 1.1.2 *Fusarium* Mycotoxins

Fusaria are producing several mycotoxins harmful to humans and vertebrates. The toxin production occurs mainly on the field in wet weather when harvests are delayed. Some species also produce mycotoxins during storage. Trichotheccenes, specifically deoxynivalenol, zearalenone and fumonisins are found most frequently due to *Fusarium* infestation [7, 13]. In general, the amounts of metabolites in maize kernels are significantly higher than those in kernels of wheat or barley infected with the same fungal species [13]. Table 1.1 summarises the different mycotoxins recorded in cereals as a consequence of *Fusarium* spp. infection.

#### 1.1.2.1 Fumonisins

Predominant producers of Fumonisins are *F. verticillioides* and *F. proliferatum* in maize. 16 fumonisins (A$_1$ to A$_4$, B$_1$ to B$_4$, C$_1$ to C$_4$ and P$_1$ to P$_4$) have been classified [15]. Among which the B-series is responsible for most cases of toxicosis caused by this class of toxins. Fumonisin B$_1$ is the most commonly identified mycotoxin in maize grain worldwide [13]. It causes outbreaks of equine leukoencephalomalacia [16] and porcine pulmonary edema syndrome [17, 18]. It is toxic to the central nervous system, liver, pancreas, kidney and lung in a number of animal species [18–20]. Esophageal cancer in humans has been correlated with
Table 1.1: Mycotoxins produced by different *Fusarium* species. According to [7, 13, 14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Frequency in cereals</th>
<th>Mycotoxin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>F. graminearum</em></td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>DON, NIV, ZEA, AoDON, FUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. culmorum</em></td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>DON, ZEA, ZOH, NIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. avenaceum</em></td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>MON, ENS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. poae</em></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>NIV, BEA, DAS, FUS, ENS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. equiseti</em></td>
<td>++</td>
<td>DAS, ZEA, ZOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. tricinctum</em></td>
<td>++</td>
<td>MON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. cerealis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>NIV, FUS, ZEA, ZOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. sporotrichioides</em></td>
<td>++</td>
<td>T2, HT2, T2ol, NEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. acuminatum</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>T2, NEO, MON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. subglutinans</em></td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>MON, BEA, FUB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. verticillioides</em></td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>FB1, FB2, FB3, FU-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. redolens</em></td>
<td>++</td>
<td>FB1, FB2, FB3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. proliferatum</em></td>
<td>++</td>
<td>FB1, FB2, MON, BEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency in European countries: ++++ very frequent to + extremely rare.

AoDON, monoacetyl-deoxynivalenols; BEA, beauvericin; DAS, diacetoxyxipyrrenol; DON, deoxynivalenol; ENS, enniatins; FB, fumonisin B; FUS, fusarenone-X; FUB, fusaproliferin; FU-C, fusarin; HT2, HT-2 toxin; MON, moniliformin; NEO, neosolaniol; NIV, nivalenol; T2, T-2 toxin; T2ol, T-2 tetraol; ZEA, zearalenone; ZOH, zearalenols.

the consumption of *F. verticillioides* contaminated maize in Southern Africa [21] and China [22]. In rats, hepatocellular carcinomas were found after Fumonisin B\(_1\) intake [23]. Therefore, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified Fumonisin B\(_1\) and B\(_2\) in Group 2b as possibly carcinogenic to humans [24].

1.1.2.2 Zearalenone

Zearalenone is the most well-known substance of the group of resorcylic acid lactones. Superior producers are *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum*. It was found in 1962, when *F. graminearum* contaminated corn was fed to swines [25], and later chemically characterised [26]. This toxin causes vulvar hypertrophy and vaginal eversion among the affected animals [25]. Furthermore, it has oestrogenic
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and genotoxic effects, and thus, has an anabolic impact on animal farming [27].

1.1.2.3 Trichothecenes

Trichothecenes belong to the sesquiterpenoid class and are commonly fungal secondary metabolites with to date more than 200 structurally related compounds known. They can be divided into macrocyclic (about 60%) and non-macroyclic (about 40%) molecules [15]. All have a tricyclic 12,13-epoxytrichothec-9-ene group in common, which is essential for toxicity. Ueno classified them into four groups (type A-D) according to their chemical properties and producing fungi [28]. Type A and B are represented by *Fusarium* toxins e.g. T-2 toxin, HT-2 toxin, neosolaniol, diacetoxyscirpenol (type A) and e.g. nivalenol, deoxynivalenol, fusarenone-X, acetyldeoxynivalenol (type B). Type C and D toxins are comprising substances with a second epoxy compound (type C) and the group of macrocyclic molecules (type D). Trichothecenes have a wide range of biologic activity. Some represent the most toxic non-nitrogenous substances known [29]. Intraperitoneal LD$_{50}$-values range from 0.5 mg kg$^{-1}$ bw (verrucarin) to 70 mg kg$^{-1}$ bw (deoxynivalenol) [28, 30]. Trichothecenes are known to inhibit eukaryotic and mitochondrial protein synthesis and interact with protein sulfhydryl groups [31]. Due to their small size, they can enter cells passively and can easily be absorbed via the gastrointestinal system [31]. They show antifungal [32], but also some carcinogenic activity [33]. Modified trichothecenes were used in chemotherapy due to their cytotoxicity to mammalian cells [34]. The potent acute toxicity and chemical stability qualify them as candidates for biologic warfare agents. Trichothecenes are speculated to have been used in Afghanistan and Asia in the 1970s. T-2 toxin, nivalenol and DON were identified in the vegetation. Additionally, T-2 toxin was found in the urine and blood samples of victims and in high concentration on rocks and gas masks [35].

1.1.3 Volatiles Released by *Fusarium*

Microbial volatile organic compounds (MVOCs) are produced in the primary and secondary metabolism of microorganisms such as fungi or bacteria. Among the frequent fungal MVOCs which have been reported are 2-methyl-1-propanol,
3-methyl-1-butanol, 1-octen-3-ol, 3-octanone, monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes [36].

Each organism releases there by a composition of volatiles depending on its metabolism, growth phase and environmental conditions (nutrients, pH, humidity/water activity, temperature, ergosterol content of the growth substrate, ambient MVOCs in the air) [37–39]. The sensory analysis of fungal volatile metabolites by the human nose is the oldest and most commonly used method to detect spoilage of food or feed. In the 1970s the food-processing industry originally raised the analysis of MVOCs when biomarkers for food contamination as practical tools for analysis came up [39].

Fusaria have been shown to emanate a number of volatile compounds, specifically carbonyls, hydrocarbons, ketones, terpenes and complex mixtures of alcohols. These include common MVOCs such as 1-octen-3-ol, 3-methyl-1-butanol or 3-octanone [38, 40–43], as well as *Fusarium* spp. specific ones. Pasanen et al. [38] identified particularly terpenes and ketones as specific to *Fusarium sporotrichioides* grown on wheat kernels. Likewise mono- and sesquiterpenes, often unknown ones, were reported to be released by *F. culmorum* [41] and *F. sambucinum* [44] as well as *F. graminearum*, *F. avenaceum*, *F. poae* [43] on wheat. A well-known biomarker for *Fusarium* infection is trichodiene. It is generally related to trichothecene-producing fungi such as *F. culmorum* [45], *F. graminearum* [43, 46] and *F. sporotrichioides*, *F. sambucinum*, *F. poae* [46]. However, there is evidence that non-trichothecene producers also release trichodiene [47].

MVOCs can be collected from air by means of either dynamic or static sampling. In dynamic sampling the air is guided through an open- or closed-loop system and enriched in an adsorbing agent. In the static procedure the sample is air-tight sealed in a glass vial or sample bag [48] and directly analysed or absorbed on a sorbent as well. Several sorbents such as Tennax TA, Chromosorb, Carbotrap, Carbopack, Anasorb or Porasil C are broadly used [49]. The most frequent used technique in static sampling is the solid phase micro extraction (SPME) with polymer coated fused silica fibres. It is advantageous due to its speed and ease of use [50]. The volatiles can be released from the adsorbent material by thermal desorption or solvent extraction and are identified on a gas chromatograph, commonly coupled to a mass spectrometer or a flame ionisation detector.
1.1.4 Analysis of *Fusarium* Infestation

The easiest and fastest method is to determine the disease severity visually. Under pre-harvest conditions, the infected spikelets per ear are counted, and the disease severity is calculated as follows: the number of infected spikelets times the percentage of infected surface divided by the total number of spikelets per ear. In the case of post-harvest analysis, visual assessment has to be done by counting *Fusarium*-damaged kernels from a random sample, based on morphological differences between infected grain and uninfected ones (cf. Figure 1.1). This process has been optimised by automatic image processing, in order to run the assessment commercially [51, 52]. However, visually assessing the degree of infestation is relatively imprecise, owing to the diverse appearances and the lack of species detection. Likewise, estimating fungal contamination by near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) [53, 54] did not become accepted in practice. Current methods are either immunochemical or DNA-based. Enzyme linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) often use fungal proteins or extracellular polysaccharides as antigen [55–57]. These assays are partly species specific, although they sometimes cross react with other fungal genera or other *Fusarium* species [55, 58]. A further disadvantage is the instability of the antigens. If the grain is processed at high temperatures during manufacture, the antigens can no longer be detected. The method of choice, when it becomes to accuracy and specificity in analysing *Fusarium* infestation is the measure of fungal specific DNA via the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [59], since specific primers have been developed covering most of the *Fusarium* species [60]. This can be done either by conventional or quantitative PCR (qPCR), with the latter being highly, quantitatively sensitive [59]. The next evolutionary step in accuracy would be to opt for the “droplet digital PCR” (ddPCR) [61] offering highly precise and direct quantification [62]. However, the methods are laboratory-based, expensive, time-consuming and not applicable in the field.
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1.2 Characteristics of Deoxynivalenol

DON is frequently encountered in cereals and maize [2, 63] and it is the most important toxin of the group B trichothecenes. The first report of DON was in Japanese barley, isolated from Fusarium spp. in 1972 by Morooka et al. [64]. The authors called the substance “RD-toxin”. It was later named deoxynivalenol, when Yoshizawa and Morooka discovered the structure [65]. At the same time, Vesonder et al. [66] isolated deoxynivalenol from U.S. corn in 1973 and labelled it as vomitoxin, according to its emetic effect.

1.2.1 Properties and Toxicology

Chemically, DON has a polar, organic structure (cf. Figure 1.2) and a molecular weight of 296.32 g mol$^{-1}$. It is soluble in water, methanol and acetonitrile and has an UV-absorption with an extinction maximum at 218 nm (acetonitrile) to 219 nm (methanol). Table 1.2 is summarising the characteristics of DON. It is a relatively heat stable compound and does not degrade at high temperatures during cooking or baking [67]. If taken up by vertebrates, the metabolite which is most frequently found is de-epoxy-deoxynivalenol (DOM-1) [68–71].

![Chemical structure of deoxynivalenol](image.png)

Figure 1.2: Chemical structure of deoxynivalenol [65].

Biochemically, DON has been shown to inhibit protein, DNA and RNA synthesis [75]. It can induce haemolysis of erythrocytes [76] and inhibit or induce apoptosis of lymphocytes in a dose-dependent manner [77]. DON is thus toxic to human and animal consumption. Extremely high doses can lead to death. LD$_{50}$
Table 1.2: Characteristics of deoxynivalenol, as reported in several studies [24, 28, 72–74].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IUPAC Name</td>
<td>3α, 7α, 15-trihydroxy-12,13-epoxytrichothec-9-en-8-one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synonyms</td>
<td>Vomitoxin, Dehydronivalenol, 4-Deoxynivalenol, RD-Toxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Weight</td>
<td>296.32 g mol⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular Formula</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₀O₆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Point</td>
<td>151 to 153 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extinction Coefficient</td>
<td>6.000 to 6.500 mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 219 nm in Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxicity</td>
<td>Mouse LD₅₀(p.o.) = 46 to 78 mg kg⁻¹ bw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Duckling LD₅₀(s.c.) = 27 mg kg⁻¹ bw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broiler Chicks LD₅₀(p.o.) = 140 mg kg⁻¹ bw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinogenicity</td>
<td>IARC Group 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

values in mice range from 46 to 78 mg kg⁻¹ bw for oral application [28, 73]. Symptoms are feed refusal, growth retardation, vomiting and diarrhoea. All animals are affected, most susceptible are swines>mice>rats>poultry/ruminants [30]. In humans, intoxications associated with DON and other trichothece consumption in China, Japan and India were reported. The symptoms found were nausea, abdominal pain, throat irritation, diarrhoea, dizziness and headache [75]. There is evidence that DON alters the neurochemistry of the brain, specifically the levels of biogenic monoamines in the central nervous system, causing feed refusal, emesis and anorexia. It is furthermore hypothesised that chronic DON-consumption will possibly lead to a long-term deregulation of the brain [78].

1.2.2 Grain Contamination

The DON contamination of grain is an increasing problem worldwide. In 2001 the WHO/FAO carried out a world-wide study comprising Argentina, Brazil, Canada, China, Finland, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, the United Kingdom, Uruguay and the USA. It was estimated therein that, on average, 57%
of wheat (11,444 samples analysed), 68% of oat (834), 59% of barley (1,662) and 41% of maize (5,349) were contaminated with DON [63]. Schothorst et al. [79] assessed in 2004 similar results for 11 European countries in which 57% of 11,022 samples analysed were positive to deoxynivalenol. Results from a random analysis in Germany indicate that only 29% of all cereal-based products are devoid of Fusarium-toxins [80]. Moreover, the absolute DON contamination is alarming. Exceedingly high DON values were found in maize grain and cobs in Poland, reaching up to 927 mg kg\(^{-1}\) [81]. Possibly harmful concentrations (up to 71 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) were reported from Argentina, Canada, Germany, Japan, New Zealand, Norway, Poland and the USA in wheat and barley [81]. However, the measures were often collected within a single year and DON mean levels in cereal grain are fluctuating heavily over the years. This is a result of the strong correlation between Fusarium spp. infection and variations in weather from one year to another. Nonetheless, the number of positive samples strongly increased in the last few years, which might also be due to a lower limit of detection (cf. Table 1.3).

**Table 1.3:** Comparison of DON-content of air dried wheat grain within Germany for the last 12 years [82].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Mean [µg kg(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Min-Max [µg kg(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Positive &gt;Legal Limit [%]</th>
<th>[%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>&lt; 10 - 3,616</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>&lt; 10 - 2,692</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>&lt; 10 - 3,965</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&lt; 10 - 4,097</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>&lt; 10 - 7,543</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>&lt; 10 - 12,249</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>&lt; 5 - 2,506</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>&lt; 5 - 7,236</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>&lt; 3 - 5,005</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>&lt; 3 - 2,024</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>&lt; 3 - 29,266</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>&lt; 3 - 1,711</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mycotoxin appears on the other hand to spread to food products, becoming a potential and presumably serious health risk to humans [83]. Accordingly, DON was frequently found in several commercial products including flour [84],
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pasta [85, 86], bread [85], beer [87] and corn flakes [88]. Many countries worldwide consequently issued guidelines and regulatory limits for *Fusarium* mycotoxins [89]. Table 1.4 outlines the law-set maximum levels for DON in cereals in several countries. The limits are lower for further processed cereals since those are already closer to the final product and thus the consumer. This results in a maximum level of $200\mu g kg^{-1}$ dry matter for infant food in the European Union. Interestingly, commodities in the EU which are highly susceptible for *Fusarium* infestation and DON contamination are allowed to have a higher maximum DON concentration than others. However, based on these levels a tolerable daily intake (TDI) for DON of $1.0\mu g kg^{-1}$ bw was set by the European Commission [90]. Nevertheless, for some groups of people, such as young children, DON daily intakes are very close to the TDI or above [79]. Besides, the toxin was found in the urine of adults from the United Kingdom, indicating a DON intake through food consumption. Wholemeal and white bread in particular was identified as sources of the toxin [91]. Experts from the grain-processing industry were asked in 2012 how the consumer could be better protected against unwanted DON uptake. The main finding was that DON-monitoring during grain delivery should be intensively improved by the use of rapid tests [92].
Introduction

Table 1.4: Law-set maximum levels for Deoxynivalenol. After Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 and [93].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Maximum levels [µg kg(^{-1}) DM]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>unprocessed cereals of durum wheat, oat,</td>
<td>1750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>maize</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>other unprocessed cereals</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cereal flour, pasta</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cereal foodstuff</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>baby/infant foodstuff</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>cereal products</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>uncleaned soft wheat</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>flour</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>uncleaned soft wheat for infant food</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>flour for infant food</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>finished wheat products</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>wheat cereals, flour</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DM=dry matter

1.2.3 DON Analytics

The analytics of DON from grain or food samples is based on the extraction method, the clean-up of the extract and the mycotoxin detection. There are several methods available for each of these steps. As DON is water soluble the extraction could principally be done by water-extraction. In practice often water-acetonitrile, water-methanol or a combination of both is used. Acetonitrile has been shown to give cleaner extracts [94]. Additionally, a mixture including acetonitrile or ethanol allows simultaneous extraction of several trichothecenes and other mycotoxins. The clean-up procedure is necessary for removing interfering, co-extracted contaminates. Some widely used methods are the clean-up with immunoaffinity columns (IAC) [95-97] or solid-phase-extractions (SPE) [98, 99]. Particularly, “Mycosep” columns (RomerLabs, Washington, MO, USA) are broadly employed [100, 101]. The most common methods for the actual analysis of DON use either liquid-chromatography (LC) [99, 101, 102] or gas-chromatography (GC) [103, 104], coupled to a mass- or UV-absorbance spectrometer. Moreover,
also thin layer chromatography (TLC) is sometimes employed due to the small costs and effort [105]. Likewise, immunoassays (ELISA) [105, 106] provide a fast and cheap alternative to the bench-top, laboratory methods. In addition, they do not need necessarily a clean-up procedure. The detection limits of these methods for the analysis of DON in cereals range from 1 to 500 ng g\(^{-1}\) [107]. However, in an interlaboratory comparison based on identical standards, the DON-ELISA-testkits did not show good accuracy. The best results were obtained with a Mycosep clean-up and chromatographic detection [108].

1.3 Electronic Nose

The first reports of electronic gas sensors arose in the 1950s/1960s, when microelectrodes were used to generate an electrical signal upon volatile interaction [109, 110]. Persaud and Dodd [111] created in 1982 an intelligent array system of three chemosensitive gas sensors for pattern recognition as a model nose for the mammalian olfactory system. The term “Electronic Nose” (Enose) was later defined as a system of electronic chemical sensors recognising different volatiles and odours [112]. The biologic process of olfaction in vertebrates starts in the main olfactory epithelium, which is located in the nasal cavity. Membrane proteins of olfactory receptor neurons, placed in the mucous layer of the olfactory epithelium, recognise the complex composition of molecules from a particular odour. Upon detection, action potentials are generated and transmitted \textit{via} the olfactory nerve to the olfactory bulb. From there the information is conveyed through different layers of processing to the higher brain [113]. Each receptor is thereby sensitive to a certain range of molecules. Similarly, in an electronic nose sensor surfaces of an array of different sensors react to gas molecules and produce electronic outputs, which are amplified and relayed to a processing unit. This approach is not fully comparable, since the mammalian nose has hundreds of different receptors which are continuously regenerated. However, electronic nose sensors can be even more specific than biological ones, in that they additionally offer the ability to sense non-odorant molecules (e.g. carbon monoxide).

Chemical sensors are devices which transpose chemical information into analytical useful signals [114]. According to Gründler [115], each sensor needs to have
a certain selectivity, which means that it should respond to the target substance within a certain time and distinguish it from the background. The sensors should furthermore exhibit stability and should recover in a short time period. Sensors usually have two functional units, the receptor and the transducer. While the receptor transforms the specific, concentration-dependent chemical information in a measurable form of energy, the transducer evaluates the energy and outputs an analytical signal [114]. Several gas sensor types were characterised according to the different receptor and transducer principles, such as metal-oxide sensors, conducting polymers, surface/bulk acoustic wave sensors, field effect sensors or electrochemical sensors [116].

Metal-oxide sensors are widely used and work with a semiconducting metal-oxide surface, which is most often from SnO$_2$ or ZnO. Besides other oxide-materials, specifically TiO$_2$ or Fe$_2$O$_3$ have been used. The working principle is based on the alternating conductance of the palladium- or platinum-doped surface, when interacting with gas molecules. At high temperatures (> 200°C) the surface adsorbs molecules of oxygen in fairly fast rate of reaction. These molecules abstract electrons from the semiconducting surface, causing a conductance drop. The analytes react accordingly with the charged oxygen molecules in a redox reaction, subsequently releasing electrons and leading to an increase in conductance. Metal-oxide sensors are relative stable with a low humidity dependence. They are not highly selective and react to various volatiles [116]. Among the most frequently operated and commercially sold sensors are the Taguchi Gas Sensors (TGS) from Figaro Engineering Inc. (Japan). They provide sensors for the detection of combustible gases (methane, propane, hydrogen), toxic gases (carbon monoxide, ammonia, hydrogen sulfide), volatile organic vapours and refrigerant gases in a working range of 5 to 10,000 ppm [117].

Another notable sensor type makes use of the piezoelectric effect and is referred to as the quartz crystal microbalance (QMB). It is composed of a monocrystal quartz which usually makes contact with gold electrodes. Upon excitation, the quartz oscillates with a certain resonance frequency typically in the range of 5 to 20 MHz [116]. By coating the surface with a thin acceptor layer, the resonant frequency is changing according to Sauerbrey [118], due to the increased mass load. A further change of frequency $\Delta f$ can be observed, if an analyte binds to
the acceptor layer, leading to an additional mass load $\Delta m$. By measuring $\Delta f$ the load can be estimated according to Equation 1.1 [118], provided that the density of the quartz $\rho_Q$, the surface area $A$, the thickness $d$ and the eigenfrequency $f_0$ are known. The quantities $\Delta m$ and $\Delta f$ are thus linearly related to each other in the low-perturbation regime [119]. As frequency measurements have a typical resolution of around 1 Hz, weights of a few nanograms can be estimated precisely.

$$\Delta m = -\frac{\rho_Q \cdot A \cdot d \cdot \Delta f}{f_0}$$

(1.1)

Many different coating materials such as palladium, platin, gold [115], polymeric material [120, 121] or metalloporphyrins [119] have been used. The selectivity is highly dependent on the coating material and thus can be regulated in a proper way. Another advantage is their ambient working temperature, avoiding oxidising effects. Nevertheless, they are only rarely used in commercial electronic noses, due to their slow recovery and short lifetime from less than two years [122].

In addition to the sensor array, an electronic nose contains devices for sampling, odour delivery, signal acquisition and data processing [114]. Figure 1.3 shows the potential setup of an electronic nose for static headspace sampling of grain samples.

Electronic nose data analysis starts with data acquisition. Time-dependent responses from the transducers have to be collected within a signal pattern vector. The second step is feature extraction. Essentially, it is a dimensionality reduction process. Features, which were often applied, are the maximum sensor responses, temporal data or mapping features. Furthermore, normalisation and standardisation techniques are used to avoid scaling effects. At this stage, relative scaling, noise reduction, and baseline subtraction are common. Eventually, pattern recognition is generally done by multivariate data analysis. It can be divided into statistical parametric analysis beneath principal component analysis (PCA), partial least squares (PLS) or k-means algorithm and biological inspired artificial neural networks like multi-layer perceptions (MLP) or sammon mapping (SOM), amongst others [116, 124].

So far, electronic noses have been used in agriculture for a wide variety of
1 INTRODUCTION

Figure 1.3: Potential setup of an electronic nose for static headspace sampling of grain samples. Modified after [123].

applications, including post-harvest quality assessment of oranges, apples and wine grapes [125, 126], discriminating flavours from coffee or beer [127, 128] and the detection of fungal spoilage and mycotoxins of grain [129–133].

1.4 Aptamers

It was found in the 1960s that nucleic acids can fold into complex three-dimensional structures and interact in biological processes [134]. Later it was shown that non-coding RNA as well as DNA display catalytic or binding properties [135, 136]. In 1990 Ellington and Szostak [137] termed ligand-binding RNAs, “Aptamers”. Nowadays, aptamers are generally small oligonucleotides of 30 to 150 bases of either RNA or single-stranded DNA binding specific ligands. Several of their properties are superior to those of antibodies. The target binding site of aptamers can be specifically selected in an in vitro selection under a variety of
conditions. Thus, the binding site is not restricted by the immune system and not limited to physiological conditions. Aptamers can be easily chemically modified at clearly defined positions (e.g. for the inclusion of dyes or reporter molecules). Eventually, they have an unlimited shelf-life, are reverse denaturable and there is no evidence for immunogenicity [138, 139]. As they are besides small in size (< 50 kDa), they are suitable as labelling probes in fluorescence microscopy [140].

Aptamers are selected through systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX). This procedure was first described by Tuerk and Gold [141] in 1990. It is based on variation, selection and replication. Thus, a random, combinatorial library of single-stranded oligonucleotides is exposed to a target molecule. The binding sequences are separated and multiplied, forming a new library which is then, in a new cycle again exposed to the target. Multiple rounds of selection and enrichment are leading to an exponential increase of the best binding species. The crucial point of the selection is the separation of the bound species from the unbound ones and this efficiency determines the number of cycles necessary. The separation is highly dependent on the target properties, especially size and charge. If the target-aptamer complex is much bigger than the individual aptamers of the library, filters can be used to fulfil this step [141]. If not, targets are often immobilised on a matrix which eventually leads to capture of bound oligonucleotides, and thus, to a separation (e.g. FluMag SELEX [142]). While this method has been successfully used for a bunch of large targets, it is challenging, especially for small molecules as deoxynivalenol, due to a deficiency in functional groups and contact surface. One possibility to treat this problem is the immobilisation of the library and the selection due to the development of aptamer beacons [143] (referred to as column-SELEX). Here, the library is bound to an affinity column by hybridising to a single-stranded capture oligonucleotide which is partially complementary to one of the constant regions. The capture oligonucleotide is furthermore strongly bound via a biotin-link to a streptavidin-agarose column. The biotin-streptavidin interaction is, with a dissociation constant in the order of $1 \times 10^{-15} \text{M}$ [144], one of the strongest non-covalent biological bonds known. The target molecule is now able to freely interact with the matrix-bound library. When the target binds to a specific sequence, the DNA-species undergoes a conformational change by forming a beacon and is thus released from the
column. The eluted species are collected and amplified to form a new pool for a further round of selection. (cf. Figure 1.4). As the relevant species are amplified every round, an exponential increase can be observed. The selected DNA can be analysed and the pertinent species can be chosen for further investigations.

**Figure 1.4:** SELEX procedure based on the development of aptamer beacons. The target interacts with immobilised oligonucleotides from an affinity column and results in a target-initiated elution of binding species. Modified after [145].

Aptamers have been discovered for a wide variety of different ligands, such as proteins and large molecules. Very few data are available for the selection against small molecules, such as nucleobases/-tides, amino acids, antibiotics or mycotoxins. An overview of aptamers selected against small molecules so far, is given in Table 1.5.

It is predicted that aptamers have a high value impact on diagnosis and therapeutics in the future [173]. However, up to now, they have slowly reached the marketplace, with only one aptamer being approved as drug. In 2004 Pegaptanib (tradename Macugen, Novartis AG, Basel, CH) was released for the treatment of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) [174]. Several other candidates are in clinical studies, such as REG1 to REG3 from RegardoBiosciences (New Jersey, USA) [175] against cardiovascular disease, or the spiegelmers Emapticap Pegol, Olaptosed Pegol and Lexaptepid Pegol for the treatment of cancer or
Table 1.5: Aptamers against small molecules.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>M (g mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>K(_d) (µM)</th>
<th>Typ</th>
<th>Length(^1) (nt)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nucleobases/Nucleosides/Nucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenine</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>[146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthine, Guanine</td>
<td>152, 151</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>[147]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>[148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cAMP</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>31-33</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>0.025 - 0.5</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP, GTP</td>
<td>507, 523</td>
<td></td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amino Acids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>200-500</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>[153]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Histidine</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>8-54</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>[154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Arginine</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Citrulline</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>62-68</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>[156]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibiotics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobramycin</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>0.77 nM</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>[157]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin B</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>582</td>
<td></td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>[159]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mycotoxins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflatoxin B(_1)</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>[160]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochatoxin A</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>0.2-1.4</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[161, 162]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fumonisin B(_1)</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>[163]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(^+)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>[164]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(^{2+})</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>[165]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn(^{2+})</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>[145]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>[166]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>0.4-10</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>[168]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>RNA/DNA</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>[169]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daunorubicin</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[170]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cibacron Blue</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>30-50</td>
<td>DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>[171]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coenzyme A</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>[172]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Length of core region of aptamer.

diabetic nephropathy [176]. Besides the above-mentioned aptamers, nucleotide-drugs based on the antisense-RNA technology are available for the treatment of \textit{cytomegalovirus retinitis} and the reduction of cholesterol (Formivirsen/Vitracene
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and Mipomersen/Kynamro, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Carlsbad, USA) [177, 178].
1.5 Scope of This Work

There is an absolute need for early and readily applicable methods to detect *Fusarium*-infected grain and to distinguish between relevant and harmless species. As legal maximum-levels for DON exist, new tools for a fast and reliable detection and quantification of DON have to be developed. This thesis aims at the investigation of *Fusarium* and deoxynivalenol using new technology for the potential development of rapid tests. The thesis is subdivided into two parts.

1. **Fusarium recognition by an electronic nose.** Electronic noses have been applied in different fields facilitating the identification and classification of samples, as they provide measurements which are non-destructive, simple, fast, on-line and portable. In this work, an electronic nose should be used to discriminate wheat grain, contaminated by the most widely distributed *Fusarium* species, namely *F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum* and distinguish it from other Fusaria as well as from uninfected grain in the post-harvest field. Furthermore, MVOCs emitted by Fusaria fungi are to be recorded and analysed.

2. **Development of aptamers binding deoxynivalenol.** Although electronic noses may be capable of detecting DON-producing *Fusarium spp.*, these assays cannot provide a complete quantitative estimation of DON levels. Therefore, a novel DNA-ligand system should be used to select aptamers against deoxynivalenol, with the intent of developing highly stable recognition elements for biosensors in the future.
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Materials and Methods

Grain samples, volatile analysis as well as the Enose measurements are related to Eifler et al. [42].

2.1 Samples

2.1.1 Grain Samples
Grains from winter soft wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., cv. Isengrain, harvest season 2009, Germany) were used. The seeds were water-saturated for 24 hours to ensure rehydration and then autoclaved twice for 15 min at 121 °C. For each sample, 100 g sterilised kernels were inoculated with ten 0.5 x 0.5 cm² slices of fungal mycelia derived from cultures grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA). Incubation was carried out for 5 d, 10 d and 15 d at a relative humidity of 70 % and at 27 °C. Infected samples were dried to 13 % moisture content and stored at 4 °C to block further fungal growing. The used fungus species were *Fusarium graminearum*, *Fusarium culmorum*, *Fusarium cerealis* and *Fusarium redolens*. Sterilised, non-infected kernels, autoclaved and incubated over 0, 5, 10 or 15 days as well as an completely untreated probe served as controls (cf. Figure 2.1). The number of samples was chosen in respect of the sample variability artificially induced by inoculating microorganisms in homogeneous grain samples. As a consequence, the influence of the natural variability among grains, due to variety variability and crop production was not considered.
2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1.2 Oligonucleotides

All oligonucleotides were purchased either from Life Technologies Cooperation (Carlsbad, CA, USA) or IBA GmbH (Göttingen, Germany). The initial single-stranded DNA pool containing 40 randomised positions (cf. Table 2.1), was flanked by two constant regions for primer attachment and was ordered as “manual mixing” to guarantee an equal distribution of nucleotides in the random region. A fluorescent label 6-HEX (6-carboxy-2,4,4,5,7,7-hexachlorofluorescein succinimidylester) was attached to the 5’ position of the library sequences. The theoretical pool complexity can be estimated to $4^{40} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{24}$ different molecules. For PCR amplification a HEX-labelled forward and a phosphorylated reverse primer were used. Capture oligonucleotides consisted of a complementary region of seven to twenty bases of the 5’ constant region of the library (see capDNAs, Table 2.1).
and an additional biotin modification at the 3’ end. All oligonucleotides were HPLC-purified.

**Table 2.1:** Oligonucleotides used for column-SELEX. Modified after [143].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Library (78-mer)</strong></td>
<td>6-HEX-GTCACTGTTCTTCATAGGTTG-N₄₀-GAATCAGTGACATCCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward (20-mer)</td>
<td>GTCACTGTTCTTCATAGGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward HEX5’(20-mer)</td>
<td>6-HEX-GTCACTGTTCTTCATAGGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse (18-mer)</td>
<td>GGATGTCTCACTGATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse Phos (18-mer)</td>
<td>PHOS-GGATGTCTCACTGATTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capture DNAs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capDNA7.1 (8-mer)</td>
<td>CAGTGACT-Biotin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capDNA10.1 (11-mer)</td>
<td>GACAGTGACT-Biotin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capDNA12.1 (13-mer)</td>
<td>GAAGACAGTGACT-Biotin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capDNA14.1 (15-mer)</td>
<td>ATGAAGACAGTGACT-Biotin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capDNA16.1 (17-mer)</td>
<td>CTATGAAGACAGTGACT-Biotin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capDNA20.1 (21-mer)</td>
<td>CAAACCTATGAAGACAGTGACT-Biotin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Volatile Analysis

#### 2.2.1 Headspace Generation

For both GC/MS and Enose measurements, grain samples (3 g) were enclosed in Teflon-sealed vials. Prior to the measurements, the samples were kept in a thermal bath for 30 min at constant temperature. The Enose experiments were carried out at a sample temperature of 30°C. GC/MS analyses were done at 30°C and 70°C. In addition, an empty vial was added as a reference air source.

#### 2.2.2 Gas Chromatographic Analysis

GC/MS analysis were performed using a gas chromatograph (QP2010, Shimadzu, Japan) connected to a mass spectrometer. An EQUITY-5 capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID; 0.25 µm thick film) was used in splitless mode with a programmed temperature time course (starting at 40°C and increasing, up to 250°C,
at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹ and beyond at a rate of 20°C min⁻¹, up to 300°C, followed by a hold time of 2 min). Helium (p = 14.5 kPa) was used as carrier gas with a total flow of 5.4 ml min⁻¹ and a column flow of 0.59 ml min⁻¹. The mass spectrometer worked at an ionisation energy of 70 eV and a mass range from 40 to 300 m/z. Headspace collection was performed by solid phase microextraction (SPME) with the carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane (CAR-PDMS, 75 µm) fibre (Supelco). After exposure of the fibre to the pre-heated headspace of the grains for 30 min, its contents were injected into the GC for 1 min at an injection temperature of 250°C. Compound identification was done using the NIST library.

2.2.3 Electronic Nose Analysis

The core of the electronic nose consisted of an array of eight quartz microbalances, each being a quartz crystal resonator with mass-dependent eigenfrequency f. Slight mass changes (Δm) of the quartz surface result in frequency changes (Δf) of the electrical output signal of the oscillator circuit. The Enose consisted of QMBs with a fundamental frequency of 20 MHz and a mass sensitivity in the order of a few nanograms. They were coated with layers of metalloporphyrins. Regarding their sensing properties metalloporphyrins host several interaction mechanisms from weak and non-selective Van-der-Waals forces to the more energetic and specific coordination of the central metal atom. The balance between these forces can be controlled by the nature of the porphyrins’ peripheral group and the metal atom, so that metalloporphyrins with different sensitivities for volatile compounds can be obtained [179] and assembled to sensor arrays for electronic noses [119].

For the experiments, the grain samples were closed in a sealed vial with an inlet and an outlet. Vials were kept at constant temperature to allow for a stable headspace composition. The headspace was extracted by a flow of ambient air, filtered through a CaCO₃ bed. The flow was maintained constant at 7.5 ml min⁻¹ by a peristaltic pump of the electronic nose. The filtered ambient air was also used to clean the sensors and to establish the reference signal. Sensor signals were calculated as the signal frequency shift, Δf = fₛ − fₐ, with fₛ and fₐ being obtained from the sample headspace and filtered ambient air. Sensors were
exposed to a sample for 60 s, followed by a 5 min cleaning and regeneration phase with reference air. All measurements were repeated three times.

The QMB frequency differences, $\Delta f$, between the steady-state reference (air) and the recording phase was used as feature vector. The classification of the different samples was based on a discriminant analysis. Here, the partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was used, which is an algorithm originally developed for quantitative regression [180]. Before the application of PLS-DA, Enose data were properly auto-scaled (zero mean, unitary variance). Discrimination models have been cross-validated by the leave-one-out method in order to estimate the classification performance.

2.3 Fluorescence Measurements and Fluorophores

Fluorescence measurements were mainly done with a multilabel plate reader (VictorX2, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) in 96-well plates (NUNC Black, Thermo Scientific) in 100 µl per sample. The temperature was kept constant at 25°C during measurement. Each measurement was repeated 10 times and the mean value was calculated. A blank probe was always included in a measurement cycle and blank correction was performed for every sample. The aperture was set to 'small' with a measurement time of 1 s. The cw-lamp was driven with stabilised energy at a parameter setting of 15048.

6-HEX (6-carb oxy-2,4,4,5,7,7-hexachlorofluorescein succinimidyl ester) was chosen as a fluorophore label with respect to the spectrum of SybrGreen I, which should be monitored during PCR. Thereto, the filter set ET530/20 and ET575/50 (Chroma Technology) was installed (cf. Figure 2.2 and 2.3) to cover the maximum excitation and emission spectra.
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Figure 2.2: Excitation and emission spectrum of 6-HEX and the transmission of the installed filter set (ET530/20 and ET575/50). Excitation maximum: 533 nm; Emission maximum: 559 nm [181, 182].

Figure 2.3: Excitation and emission spectrum of SybrGreen I and the coverage of the real-time PCR machine (highlighted regions). Excitation maximum: 489 nm; Emission maximum: 517 nm [182, 183].
2.4 Aptamer Generation

2.4.1 Optimisation of Capture Oligonucleotide

As a first step of aptamer selection, the optimal complementary length of the capture oligonucleotide was determined by annealing the aptamer pool to differently sized biotinylated capture oligonucleotides. According to Rajendran and Ellington [143], 50 pmol of the initial library were mixed with 100 pmol of each of the different capture DNAs of 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16 and 20 complementary bases in 20 µl of DON-Selection-Buffer (DSB, 50 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.0). The reaction was heated to 90°C for 30 s, then stepwise cooled to 45°C for 90 s and eventually to room temperature over 10 min. The mixture was afterwards diluted to 100 µl DSB and immobilised on 30 µl streptavidin-agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), pre-washed with three times 300 µl DSB. The DNA-agarose complex was transferred to a column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and incubated 25 min at room temperature in an overhead incubator. After measuring the fluorescence of the eluate, the column was washed ten times, each with five column volumes DSB. Afterwards, it was washed again for ten times of each five column volumes denaturing buffer (7 M Urea, 0.1 M Sodium Citrate, 3 mM EDTA, pH 5.0). Washing fractions were collected and the amount of DNA was estimated by fluorescence measurements.

2.4.2 Optimisation of PCR Parameters

Before the bulk amplifications were done, the used Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) as well as the primers Forward HEX5’ and Reverse Phos (cf. Table 2.1) were tested on Sybr-Green I addition and annealing temperature in 50 µl reactions. The amplification took place on a MiniOpticon (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) cycler with the following temperature time course. 30 s at 98°C initial denaturation, 17 cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 30 s at gradient 45 to 61°C, 15 s at 72°C and 1 min final elongation at 72°C, according to the Q5 supplier instructions. Every reaction mix contained beside 1 µM of the primers each, 1x Q5 reaction buffer (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany), 0.2 mM of dNTPs each as well as 1 U of the
polymerase and 0.2 to 0.5 x SybrGreen I (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). A similar experiment was carried out with FastStart Taq DNA Polymerase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), with the exception of testing the annealing temperature and the MgCl₂ concentration. Here the time program was 4 min at 95 °C, 17 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 45 to 61 °C, 30 s at 72 °C and 1 min final elongation at 72 °C. The reaction contained besides the primers and dNTPs in the concentration mentioned above, 2.5 U polymerase, 1x PCR reaction buffer (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), 0.3x SybrGreen I (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and 1.5 to 3.0 mM MgCl₂. In both experiments, the intended ssDNA library was used as template in a concentration of 0.2 nM.

2.4.3 Optimisation of Strand Separation
The strand separation was done according to Avci-Adali et al. [184]. The phosphorylated reverse strand was thereby digested with Lambda Exonuclease (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). For the test, a large bulk PCR was carried out as described above, but with 75 µl reactions for 15 cycles. Four of these reactions were pooled and cleaned with a PCR-purification Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and then digested with 6 U µg⁻¹ dsDNA in reaction buffer at different digestion times. The reactions were stopped by incubating for 10 min at 75 °C at the desired time and displayed within a 3% agarose (Agarose II, Amresco, Solon, USA) gel.

2.4.4 Implementation of Column SELEX
The selection routine was chosen according to Rajendran and Ellington [145], and includes the development of aptamer beacons. SELEX was initiated by coupling 1.1 nmol of the initial, random DNA pool with a two-fold excess of the desired capture oligonucleotide capDNA12.1 in 22 µl of DSB. The used amount was chosen due to practical reasons and corresponded to a diversity of 6.6 × 10¹⁴ different molecules, which is about 5 × 10⁻⁸ % of the complete pool. After a heat treatment of 94 °C for 30 s, the annealing reaction was cooled down to 45 °C for 90 s and then to room temperature. The annealed pool was diluted to 600 µl DSB and subsequently transferred to a streptavidin-agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis,
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MO, USA) filled column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The column was equi-
librated three times with 800µl DSB. Incubation of the pool-capDNA complex
was carried out for 45 min at room temperature within an overhead incubator.
The column was then drained and washed five times with 800µl DSB, 3 min each.
The unbound DNA in the eluate as well as the washing-fractions were measured
by fluorescence. DON aptamers were eluted with DSB containing 2 mM DON
for 30 min followed by three DSB washes à 400µl. All fractions were collected,
pooled and precipitated with ethanol. 10% of the collection was stored for po-
tential recovery. The residue was amplified and purified to obtain the new pool
for the subsequent rounds of selection (cf. Steps 0 to 10 Figure 2.4). The amount
of DNA and the column volume were varied due to an increase of stringency
(cf. Table 2.2). The DON elution volume was adapted from 600µl (round 1) to
200µl and then kept constant. To remove unbound ssDNA and unwanted binders
from the column, the washing volume was increased progressively and negative
selections were additionally introduced in round four and nine prior to elution
(see Table 2.3). The negative selections were comprised of a long DSB incubation
of 30 min (N1), followed by a short wash of 3 min (N2), lacking DON.

After each round of selection, bulk amplification (cf. Step 6 Figure 2.4) was
done on a real-time cycler (MiniOpticon, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) in 30
parallel reactions à 75µl. Each contained 1x Q5 reaction buffer (New England
Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany), 0.2 mM dNTPs each, 1 µM HEX-labelled
forward and a phosphorylated reverse primer (cf. Table 2.1) and 1.5 U of Q5 Hot
Start High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main,
Germany). Additionally, 6 out of 30 reactions contained 0.3x SybrGreen I (Sigma-
Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) for online monitoring. Amplification was stopped
when the amount of DNA reached 60 to 90% of its maximum value, which was
generally after 7 to 10 cycles the case. The amplification conditions were 30 s at
98°C; 7 to 9 cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 30 s at 57 to 61°C, 15 s at 72°C and 2 min final
elongation at 72°C after the last cycle. A negative control was always included
to avoid cross-contamination. Gel electrophoresis was carried out to control the
success of amplification. Starting from round 11 the amplification reactions were
changed as follows. Q5 DNA polymerase and 1x Q5 reaction buffer was replaced
by 3.75 U FastStart Taq DNA Polymerase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and
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Table 2.2: Input ssDNA, volumes and incubation times used for different rounds of selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round</th>
<th>ssDNA (pmol)</th>
<th>ssDNA (µM)</th>
<th>Column Volume (µl)</th>
<th>Incubation (µl) (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>214 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>209 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200 45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1x PCR reaction buffer (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) with 2.5 mM MgCl₂. The temperature sequence was adapted to 4 min at 95 °C; 7 to 9 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 57 to 61 °C, 30 s at 72 °C and 2 min final elongation at 72 °C. After PCR all reactions were pooled, ethanol precipitated, filter purified and concentrated (PCR-purification Kit, Qiagen, Germantown, MD).

According to Avci-Adali et al. [184], strand separation was done by digesting the phosphorylated reverse strand with Lambda Exonuclease (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). 45 µl of dsDNA, which was resuspended in water, was mixed with 5 µl of 10x reaction-buffer (67 mM Glycine-KOH, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mg ml⁻¹ BSA, pH 9.4) allocated by the exonuclease supplier. 6 U µg⁻¹ of Lambda Exonuclease was then added and the reaction was incubated at 37 °C at 300 rpm for 90 min in a thermomixer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). To stop the reaction, the mixture was either immediately purified by filter concentration (Nucleotide Removal Kit, Qiagen, Germantown, MD) or
Table 2.3: Pre-SELEX washes for different rounds of SELEX. Each wash step was done with DSB and included three minute incubation. Negative selections were carried out with DSB for 30 min and 3 min, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Total Volume (Col.Vol.)</th>
<th>Step Volume (µl)</th>
<th>Step Repetition (x times)</th>
<th>Negative Selection N1 (µl)</th>
<th>Negative Selection N2 (µl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.4: DON-aptamer selection scheme. Steps of selection for DON-aptamers and further processing.
incubated for 10 min at 75°C.

2.4.5 Identifying Individual Aptamers

The optimal pool from selection was chosen and cloned (Step 11 Figure 2.4), applying the TOP10 TA-Cloning Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) according to the instructions of the supplier. In brief, bulk PCR with unlabelled primers (cf. Table 2.1) were carried out for seven rounds with FastStart Taq DNA Polymerase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The Taq DNA polymerase has a non-template-dependent activity to add an additional deoxyadenosine (dA) to the 3’ end of the PCR-product, which is used in TA-Cloning for ligation. The resulting ds-DNA was then purified (PCR Purification Kit, Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and the amplicon was cloned into a pCR™2.1-TOPO® vector. The vector was inserted into TOP10 competent cells of *Escherichia Coli* by chemical transformation. The transformants were then plated on LB-Medium and the colonies separated. pDNAs were extracted (Step 12 Figure 2.4) by PureLink Quick Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) and analysed on the existence of the insert by digesting a small volume with *EcoR* I (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). The inserted aptamer DNA in positive clones were sequenced (Seqlab GmbH, Göttingen) and analysed on their secondary structure using the UNAfold Software by Markham and Zuker [185].

2.5 Binding Assay

To test the selected and isolated aptamers on their DON-binding capability, binding assays similar to the selections experiments were performed. 40 pmol of a single, HEX-labelled, aptamer clone (Life Technologies Cooperation, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was annealed with 80 pmol of capDNA 12.1 in 50µl DON-selection buffer (DSB). The mixture was then heated up to 94°C for 30 s, cooled and kept at 45°C for 90 s, and subsequently transferred to room temperature for at least 10 min. The complex was filled up to 200µl DSB and then transferred to 50µl streptavidin-agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), previously washed three times with 300µl DSB, respectively. After 45 min incubation, the unbound
fraction was collected and the column was washed three times with 300 µl DSB. After washing, 200 µl DSB containing 2 mM DON were added and the mixture was again incubated for 30 min at room temperature prior to eluting the column with two times 500 µl DSB. The eluted ssDNA was measured and represented as relative eluted DNA (RED, cf. Equation 2.1). In addition, a negative control was performed similarly with the exception of adding 200 µl DSB lacking DON instead of DON-DSB prior to the last incubation step.

\[
\text{RED} = \frac{F_e \cdot V_e}{F_i \cdot V_i - F_w \cdot V_w}
\]

with

- \(F_e\) = fluorescence in the eluted fraction
- \(V_e\) = volume of eluted fraction
- \(F_i\) = initial fluorescence
- \(V_i\) = initial incubation volume
- \(F_w\) = fluorescence of washing fraction
- \(V_w\) = volume of washing fraction

For the comparison of DON-eluted samples with their negative controls the change in relative eluted DNA (\(\Delta\text{RED}\)) was calculated according to Equation 2.2, where \(\text{RED}_{\text{DON}}\) is the measured relative eluted DNA in the DON-treated sample and \(\text{RED}_c\) is the relative eluted DNA of the control sample in the absence of DON. Samples with positive \(\Delta\text{RED}\) values were repeated three times. \(\Delta\text{RED}\) was analysed with a one sample t-test assuming that the null hypothesis is \(\mu_{\Delta\text{RED}} = 0\) and the alternative is \(\mu_{\Delta\text{RED}} \neq 0\).

\[
\Delta\text{RED} = \text{RED}_{\text{DON}} - \text{RED}_c
\]
Chapter 3

Results

3.1 Electronic Nose-Based Detection of *Fusarium* spp. in Wheat Grain

In a first set of experiments, wheat kernels artificially inoculated with *Fusarium* were analysed on their volatiles and an electronic nose was used to differentiate between different states of infection [42].

3.1.1 Volatiles Released by *Fusarium*

The analysis of the headspace of *Fusarium*-infected wheat kernels revealed a complex composition of odours (cf. Table 3.1). Substances such as ethanol, hexanal, hexadecane and 3,7-dimethyl-decane could be identified in all samples. Several other compounds reported to be infection-specific, in particular 2-methyl-1-propanol, 3-methyl-butanol and 1-octen-3-ol were found in almost all species, with the exception of 2-methyl-1-propanol which could not be identified in the *F. graminearum* probe. The carbonyls were more species specific. While 3-octanone was only found in the presence of *F. graminearum*, benzeneacetaldehyde was found in the probes of *F. cerealis* and *F. radolens*. From the hydrocarbons only p-xylene was not present in control but in all species except *F. cerealis*. Furthermore, *F. culmorum* was the only species not showing the release of butyrolactone, but evaporated together with *F. graminearum* 2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol
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benzoate.

Table 3.1: Volatile compounds released by the analysed fungi and an untreated control (sampling temperature, 70°C) [42]. F. cer. = F. cerealis, F. gram. = F. graminearum, F. cul. = F. culmorum, F. red. = F. redolens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohols</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyl-1-propanol</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl-butanol</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-octen-3-ol</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carboxylics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyl-propanal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl-butanal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-octanone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzeneacetaldehyde</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydrocarbons</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-xylene</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecane</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,3,4-trimethyl-hexane</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,7-dimethyl-decane</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Miscellaneous</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrolactone</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total amount of volatiles found in the GC/MS analysis clearly increased with increasing sampling temperature. At 70°C infected samples emitted approximately 5-fold more volatiles than at 30°C, whereas this increase was just 60% in control samples (cf. Figure 3.1). However, the data obtained from the Enose at 70°C were markedly less reproducible leading to worse classifications. Thus, for the Enose experiment a sampling temperature of 30°C was chosen.
3.1.2 Species Identification

Initially, the capability of the Enose to differentiate between the *Fusarium* strains was investigated. The PLS-DA model used for this discrimination in the infected samples covered five latent variables, the first two of which are shown in Figure 3.2. The smallest variance within a group occurred in the samples of *F. cerealis*. Samples of this group were overlapping with the highly contaminated samples of *F. redolens* and *F. culmorum*. The widest distribution was found in the samples of *F. graminearum* showing a partial overlap with *F. culmorum* and *F. cerealis*. It can be noticed that the gas chromatographic profiles from *F. redolens* and *F. culmorum* are similar. However, there was a large interclass variance detectable.

The model has a satisfactory capability of distinguishing different classes, as

![Figure 3.1: Comparison of total volatile abundances of four *Fusaria* species and controls as obtained from the GC/MS measurements taken at two different sampling temperatures [42].](image)
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Figure 3.2: Scores plot of the first two latent variables, showing groups of *F. culmorum*, *F. graminearum*, *F. redolens* and *F. cerealis* [42].

confirmed by the confusion matrix (cf. Table 3.2). In the cross-validation *F. culmorum* and *F. cerealis* were perfectly recognised (100% correct). The classification rates of *F. graminearum* and *F. redolens* were 83% and 89%, respectively. The correct classification rate across all fungi was 94%.

Table 3.2: Confusion matrix of true vs. estimated values of species classification [42]. Classification was done by PLS-DA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True Value</th>
<th>Estimated Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>F. culmorum</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. culmorum</em></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. graminearum</em></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. redolens</em></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. cerealis</em></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.3 Classification of Infection Level

Classifying the level of infection properly turned out to be slightly more difficult due to a high interspecies variability and the need for many data samples at the various concentrations. Thus, a binary classification with three latent variables in total was done (cf. Figure 3.3). For that purpose samples with an incubation time of 15 days were classified as highly infected, while samples having been incubated over 5 or 10 days were merged and classified as low-infected. This led to a higher variability in the low level probes. Nevertheless, an obvious distinction could be detected. Classification of the infection level (cf. Table 3.3) showed that 91% of the samples were correctly classified. However, 18% of highly infected samples were classified as low-infected, all of them being *F. graminearum* samples.

![Figure 3.3: Discrimination between high (15 days incubation) and low infected (5 to 10 days incubation) samples of *Fusarium* [42]. The diagram shows the scores of the first two out of 3 latent variables.](image)
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Table 3.3: Confusion matrix of true vs. estimated values of binary classification of infection levels. Samples with incubation times of 5 to 10 days were classified as low, samples with 15 days as high [42]. Classification was done by PLS-DA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True Value</th>
<th>Estimated Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.4 Fungal Infection vs. Control

For a qualitative analysis, all measurements were split into two groups (infected vs. control). Figure 3.4 shows average sensor responses of infected vs. control samples or ambient air. For the infected samples, the responses of all sensors were on average 16 Hz higher than for the controls. Ambient air gave very low responses. The signals were lower than 50% as compared to grain measurements.

Furthermore, a PLS-DA model was performed on these data with a total of four latent variables. The scores plot of the first two variables (cf. Figure 3.5) showed a segregation of infected from control samples, although with a slight overlap. Accordingly, the major portion (91%) of infected probes are recognised correctly by cross-validation (confusion matrix, Table 3.4). However, eight out of 47 measurements led to a misclassification due to large variances within the groups. Notably, all of the false negatives originated from F. redolens at a high infection level. The discrimination model revealed on average a correct classification of 83%.

Table 3.4: Confusion matrix of true vs. estimated values, summarising the classification results of infection [42]. Estimation was done by PLS-DA classifier.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True Value</th>
<th>Estimated Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.4: Frequency shift of the quartz microbalance sensors during measurements of infected (n=32) and control (n=15) samples, and of ambient air (n=5) [42]. Error bars indicate standard deviations.
Figure 3.5: Scores plot of the first two latent variables of the PLS-DA model showing groups of infected and non-infected samples [42]. The model covers four latent variables in total.
3.2 Selecting Aptamers against Deoxynivalenol

As deoxynivalenol is the most often occurring mycotoxin due to *Fusarium* infection, aptamers binding DON were selected in a column-SELEX. Before the experiment, pre-SELEX tests were carried out to optimise the individual steps of selection.

3.2.1 Influence of Capture Oligonucleotide-Length on Binding Affinity

The strength of hybridisation and thus the ability of the affinity column to bind and release DNA is dependent on the length of the complementary nucleotide chain. Figure 3.6 shows how the binding of the initial ssDNA pool to the affinity column depends on the different capture oligonucleotide-lengths.

As expected, the smaller the hybridised region the less DNA binds to the column at all and the more DNA will be released during buffer wash. In the case of a length of seven, only 55% of the initial DNA binds to the column and out of that 33% were washed out during the first wash of binding buffer. The loss continues steadily with ongoing washes. Eventually, only 32% of the initial DNA remained on the column after 10 washing steps. In the case of 12 and more complementary bases 90% and more of the DNA retained on the column in the initial state and the loss during the first wash is with 2 to 6% almost negligible. Even during further washes the amount of DNA on the column remains constant. In contrast, during the use of denaturing buffer, indicating the disruption of the binding, a large amount of DNA (31 to 45% of total DNA) can be recovered, if there is enough DNA left on the column (number of bp > 10) and the bond is not too tight (number of bp < 20). Since the proportion of binding and release upon disruption is essential for the analyte-dependent selection, the capture oligonucleotide capDNA12.1 was chosen for the further SELEX experiment.
Figure 3.6: Fraction of bound ssDNA to seven different capture oligonucleotides remaining on the column after several washing steps. 'Initial' indicates the retained amount of DNA directly after incubation, W1 to W10 the amount of DNA after DSB washes of one column volume each and D1 to D10 after the induced release of DNA by one column volume washes with denaturing buffer.
3.2.2 Optimisation of Amplification Procedure

3.2.2.1 PCR Parameters

Since in the first rounds of selection the use of Q5 hot start high-fidelity polymerase was intended, a first step was to optimise the PCR parameters, concerning specifically annealing temperature and SybrGreen I concentration for that specific polymerase. As a measure the maximum relative fluorescence unit (RFU) value was divided by the threshold cycle (ct) to indicate a fast amplification (low ct-value) and a high amount of product at the end of amplification (high maximum RFU value). Figure 3.7 A shows the result of the amplification with the Q5 polymerase. With respect to SybrGreen I a concentration of 0.3x and 0.4x appears optimal. As the SybrGreen I containing reactions should also be included for further SELEX rounds, the lower concentration of 0.3x was used in some reactions to monitor the amplification procedure on-line. Furthermore, higher temperatures are prone to lead to better results, particularly \(58^\circ C\) and above. As the PCR instrument allowed the use of different annealing temperatures, a gradient of \(57\) to \(61^\circ C\) was chosen, with respect to the unknown diversity of the pool. MgCl\(_2\) was already included in the delivered buffer, thus the concentration was used as supplied. Similar results were obtained with the FastStart Taq polymerase from Roche (cf. Figure 3.7 B). Here, a MgCl\(_2\) concentration of \(2.5\) mM was used as it gave the best results. The annealing temperature program from the former polymerase was kept.

3.2.2.2 Double Strand Separation

After PCR and cleanup, strand separation was done by digesting the reverse strand with Lambda Exonuclease. This method is advantageous compared to alkaline denaturation and magnetic bead separation, leading to higher yield, efficiency and purity [184]. Lambda Exonuclease is an exodeoxyribonuclease with 5' to 3' activity, catalysing the removal of 5' mononucleotides from duplex DNA. 5'-phosphorylated double strands are thereby highly preferred.

Fig. 3.8 shows large bulk amplification and digestion of the library with Lambda Exonuclease at different incubation times. After PCR and cleanup (Qia) a clear band is visible at the target size of 78 bp. A second band at about half
Figure 3.7: Optimising the PCR parameters. **A**: Estimating the annealing temperature and the SybrGreen I concentration for Q5 High-Fidelity polymerase. **B**: Annealing temperature x MgCl₂ concentration of FastStart Taq polymerase. The error bars of the mean value are indicating standard deviation.

of the size of the upper band appears after 20 min of Lambda Exonuclease treatment. Due to a digestion of the phosphorylated reverse strand, the single forward strand remains. With increasing incubation time the template double-strand disappears while the single-strand increases. After 80 min the template DNA is
almost totally digested. Thus, an incubation time of 90 min was chosen for the SELEX experiment, to ensure complete digestion.

![Agarose gel electrophoresis of strand separation test.](image)

**Figure 3.8:** Agarose gel electrophoresis of strand separation test. PCR: PCR amplified library; Qia: Cleanup of amplified library via Qiagen PCR purification kit (3x concentrated); 20-80: Minutes of Lambda Exonuclease digestion of purified amplicon.

### 3.2.3 SELEX Experiment

The selection was monitored via the attached fluorophore. Figure 3.9 shows the eluted ssDNA over the rounds of selection.

From the first round of selection, the eluted ssDNA started to accumulate. To avoid the accumulation of unspecific aptamers, the first negative selection step was introduced in round 4. The amount of DON-specific DNA decreased thereupon, but again increased through rounds 5 and 8 up to 8%. The second negative selection step, starting in round 9, caused a breakdown again, indicating the presence of some unspecific DNA in the pool. In the ongoing selection the DNA accumulated again until round 12. This round also marked the maximum of selection. Indeed, the absolute amount of DNA further rose in round 15 and 16, but the large increase is due to the increased ratio of elution volume to column volume from 23 to 35 in round 15, as confirmed by the elution volume-corrected value. A reason for the decline in rounds 13 and 14 might be overselection. Thus,
the pool of round 12 was chosen for a detailed analysis of contained aptamers. Thereeto, the pool was cloned in \textit{E. Coli}. 117 colonies were picked and pDNAs were extracted. Therefrom 106 clones (90\%) contained the insert (cf. Figure 3.10). This resulted eventually in 76 sequences.

While 91\% of the analysed structures had the correct length of the initial library, there were four aptamers with a length of only 77 nucleotides. Also longer chains were observed. Two clones had a length of 79 and one (clone 50)
had a chain length of 81 nucleotides.

As expected, in most of the clones, the first 12 bases (starting from 5' end) were involved in forming the secondary structure as this was intended by the selection mechanism. In numbers, the probability that at least six nucleotides of the first 12 were existent as double strand is on average 83% and within 49 out of 76 clones it is higher than 90%. In contrast, the probability for at minimum six double-stranded nucleotides in the 3’ constant region is on average 10% with only 4 out of 76 clones higher than 90%. This indicates that the 3’ region is only rarely used for structure forming.

3.2.4 Characterisation of Selected Aptamers

39 of the sequences from the selection were chosen and tested separately on DON binding in a binding assay. 14 sequences reacted positive upon DON incubation and are shown in Figure 3.11.

The $\Delta$RED ranged from 0.02 to 0.2, meaning that the relative DON eluted DNA from the best binder (c41) was on average 20% higher than in the associated control. However, the variation was in parts very large. Coefficients of variation (CV) were between 0.11 (c8) and 6.4 (c65). Consequently, the aptamer c8 showed with 0.10 a $\Delta$RED value which is significantly ($p<0.01$, one-sample t-test) different from zero. If several aptamers were combined (Set 1 to 3) they additionally showed extremely significantly ($p<0.001$, one-sample t-test) higher DNA elutions in the presence of DON than without. For that purpose it was irrelevant which aptamers were aggregated. Set 1 only contained the best binders (c8, c15, c77 and c41) with respect to variation. Set 2 was broader and contained additionally the high $\Delta$RED-valued aptamer c32 as well as c42, c29 and c18. Whereas set 3 comprised all of the 14 best binders. Each of the sets were thereby significantly different from zero, indicating that they are susceptible for the application of DON, although the variation is high.

It has to be mentioned that the individual RED$_C$ values of the aptamers differed significantly ($p<0.05$, two-sample t-test) from the library. While the control of the library eluted on average 6% of DNA during incubation, the unmodified aptamers eluted by mean about 19%. This indicates, that the aptamers are in
Figure 3.11: Binding assays with the TOP-14 aptamers (n=3) as well as the pure library (n=3) and aggregated sets. Set 1 comprises aptamers with a CV < 0.6 (n=12); set 2 aptamers have CV < 1 (n=24) and set 3 is containing all TOP-14 aptamers (n=42). A detailed description of the sets is given in the text. ∆RED is the difference of 2 mM DON-eluted-DNA and a control. Error bars show standard error. ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, one sample t-test against zero.
general less capable of binding to the affinity column, since they form a three-dimensional stable structure in contrast to the species from the library.

The Figures 3.12 and 3.13 are representing the secondary structures estimated for the aptamers with a CV-value lower than 0.6 and 1, respectively. The structures with the minimum free Gibbs energy $\Delta G$ out of 100 calculated possibilities are shown. The individual minimum $\Delta G$ values of the aptamers can be found in Table 3.5. The given probabilities indicate on a nucleotide basis how well the given structures coincide with the non-shown ones and thus if they are fluctuating within the calculations or not. In most of the cases the structures have two large domains, with one to three interior loops and a hairpin structure at the end. The aptamer c8 stands out. The whole structure is involved in forming one large domain and the given arrangement is only a rough estimate of the real secondary structure due to the low pairing probabilities, although it has good DON binding properties. It is remarkable, that all motifs are based either on the 5’ or 3’ constant region. As the selection mechanism was focused on the 5’ region, this domain is in many aptamers more distinctive. The 3’ domains are rather short, unstructured and poorly determined with the exception of c77. Thus, it was analysed if the removal of these structures shows any differences in binding properties.
Figure 3.12: Predicted secondary structures of the TOP-4 aptamers c8(A), c15(B), c77(C) and c41(D) in terms of variation, covering the CVs of 0.11, 0.47, 0.53 and 0.58, respectively. The structure with the minimum free Gibbs energy $\Delta G$ is shown here. Circle colours indicate the probability of correct (double/single) pairing of each nucleotide. The 5' fluorophore-label is not shown. Small letters indicate the constant regions. Dashed-rectangles mark the motifs, defined in Table 3.5.
Figure 3.13: Predicted secondary structure of the aptamers c32(A), c42(B), c29(C), c18(D). The CVs are 0.75, 0.78, 0.81, 0.97, respectively. The structure with the minimum free Gibbs energy $\Delta G$ is shown here. Circle colours indicate the probability of correct (double/single) pairing of each nucleotide. The 5' fluorophore-label is not shown. Small letters indicate the constant regions. Dashed-rectangles mark the motifs, defined in Table 3.5.
In a first step the nucleotides from the random region, which were not involved in forming the 5'-domain were removed (c32m1, c37m1, c41m1, c77m1). Besides the modification of c32m1 there was an additional thymine incorporated at the 45th position to match with the non-paired adenine from the 4th position to check whether the aptamer folds into a more stable structure (c32m2). Additional to c77m1 the guanine at the 30th position was exchanged with an adenine to remove the wobble base-pair (c77m2). As all these modifications showed a huge decline in the binding assay (cf. Figure 3.14), the two aptamers c77 and c41 were drastically cut at the positions 38 (c77m3) and 42 (c41m2) to check whether the complete removal of the tail results in any change. Thereupon, a reaction on DON for both aptamers could be determined. However, the ΔRED mean value was much lower than in the non-modified versions (cf. Figure 3.14).

![Graph showing ΔRED for different aptamers](image)

**Figure 3.14:** Binding assays of the modified aptamers as well as their corresponding initial type. Error bars show standard error (n=3).

Table 3.5 shows the primary sequences of the 14 highest, DON-dependent eluted aptamers. They can be grouped by common motifs of 7 to 28 nucleotides. Group one as well as group two are comprising the most well eluting aptamers. Again c8 does not fit in these groups. It is rather similar to c42 in terms of a common motif, but not in DON-dependent elution. The existence of common
motifs is rather unsurprising, since the motif-region is often complementary to the 5' constant region which acts as a template. However, it is noticeable that single-bulge loops occur most often in the motifs of group 1, 2 and 4, specifically in the aptamers of c41, c32, c77, c29 and c15. These small caves can probably act as binding site for DON as they offer the ideal size of about one nucleotide. The GC-content is in the range of 44 to 55% with an average of 49%. This is exactly the mean GC-content of the library, assuming that the variable region was completely randomised. Hence, there were no sequences preferred in the SELEX due to special nucleotides (e.g. guanine-rich sequences).
Table 3.5: Primary structure of the 14 best DON binders as confirmed by the binding assay as well as the corresponding GC-content (GC). The 3' constant region is not shown (except Library), but included in the GC-content calculation. The GC-content from the library comprises the constant regions only. Similar motifs are highlighted in yellow and cyan. ∆G indicates the minimum free Gibbs energy from the corresponding secondary structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Sequence 5'-3'</th>
<th>GC [%]</th>
<th>∆G [kJ mol⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>GTCAGGTCTCTCAAGTTG-N(40)-GAATCAGTGAGACATCCC</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c1</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c32</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c40</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-57.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c41</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c50</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c77</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c13</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c18</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c29</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c65</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c8</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c42</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c15</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c37</td>
<td>GTCACTGCTCTCATAGGGTGAACCTAGGCGGATGTTGCTCTCTCTGCTG</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-72.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 4

Discussion

4.1 Volatile Organic Compounds

In a first experiment the volatiles released by different *Fusarium* fungi were analysed. Some substances such as ethanol or hexadecane appeared in all samples, several other compounds, previously described as infection-specific, such as 2-methyl-1-propanol, 3-methyl-butanol, 1-octen-3-ol and 3-octanone [40, 46, 186] were differentially detected in some samples but never in all. Specifically, 1-octen-3-ol is known to be a fungal biomarker [38, 40, 41, 46, 186, 187]. Accordingly, it was emitted from the *Fusarium* infected samples, but not in the control. In contrast, Becker [43] found that 1-octen-3-ol is released from uninfected wheat samples and reported reduced contents with respect to fungal infection. In agreement with this study 3-octanone was only detected in the *F. graminearum* probe. However, other studies brought evidence that this substance is discharged from *F. sporotrichioides* [38] and other fungi [188–190]. Moreover, hexanal is widely found in relation to agricultural products including milk [191], chicken [192], melons [193] and fungi [194]. Consistently, it was also found in all samples from the present study and is thus not directly related to *Fusarium* infestation. The precursors for 1-octen-3-ol, 3-octanone and hexanal are the linoleic and linolenic acids [194], present in many living plants and organisms.

In the current study, different substances such as benzeneacetalddehyde, p-xylene, 2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol and butyrolactone were identified as specific to different
Fusaria species. Some of these substances were also found in relation to airborne fungi [50, 195, 196], but not to Fusarium spp. The sophisticated occurrence of different MVOCs with respect to fungal contamination is probably a consequence of nutritional effects, as MVOCs are formed in the primary and secondary metabolism of microorganisms [194]. Moreover, the methodology of the study plays also an important role, as some refer to in vitro [38, 43, 46] and others to in vivo [43, 45] experiments. This can heavily influence the odour composition since living plants release accessory volatiles upon fungal attack [43]. The choice of the method depends on the desired application and thus on the question whether the fungi should be identified in storage or on the field.

Among the various substances suggested to act as volatile biomarkers for Fusarium infection, none of them turned out to be specific for certain Fusaria species or strains [43]. The identification of risky Fusarium species is absolutely necessary for discriminating potentially hazardous grain from harmless ones and to avoid the disposal of false positive samples. In addition, FHB is usually related to the occurrence of different Fusarium species, which leads to a more complex odour composition and makes species identification challenging. Thus, it seems to be the pattern of chemicals that is characteristic for each species. Such patterns can be detected by using an electronic nose [197], i.e., an array of solid-state sensors that are non-selectively sensitive to the relevant chemicals and whose responses reflect the chemical information contained in the sample. This detection scheme is in many respects similar to natural olfaction where hundreds of different receptors allow to distinguish among tens of thousands of different odours [198].

4.2 Electronic Nose Recognition

An electronic nose was used to discriminate between four different Fusarium species on wheat grain, including the widespread mycotoxin producers F. graminearum and F. culmorum. The Enose consisted of an array of metalloporphyrin-coated QMB sensors, each being sensitive to a range of molecules. In a number of studies, porphyrins have been shown to be suitable for chemical sensing [179, 199, 200] and as coating material for QMB-based electronic noses [119, 201, 202]. Enoses are fundamentally dependent on the sensing properties. Metallopor-
phyrins in particular are convenient as coating material, since they offer a wide
variety of opportunities for controlling the sensor selectivity [119]. Along the line,
such Enoses have been approved in quality control of agricultural products [125,
126, 129, 201].

The Enose analyses performed at 30°C turned out to be more reproducible
than those performed at 70°C (data not shown). This somewhat unexpected
behaviour may have the following explanations. While transferring the gas from
the sampling vial to the sensor array, the temperature was not kept constant.
A temperature drop might have induced the condensation of some volatile com-
 pounds, resulting in a change of the composition of the sample prior to entering
the sensor cell. In addition, the adsorption of volatiles onto a porphyrin la yer is a
temperature-dependent process, the efficiency of which decreases with increasing
temperatures. Several studies report sampling temperatures in a range of 30 to
40°C [129, 130, 203], confirming lower temperatures as more suitable for Enose
analysis.

In the present study, the electronic nose was able to discriminate between
infested and non-infested grain with an accuracy of 83%. Moreover, it could
distinguish between two levels of infections and between different species with
classification rates of 91% and 94%, respectively. However, 18% of highly in-
 fected samples were classified as low infected. This appears to be the result of
the simple, linear classifier. A more complex classifier such as a neural network
would presumably improve the classification rate. However, there is a negative
correlation between the classifier performance and the generalisability of the re-
 sults. If the complexity of the classifier is kept low, the results are usually more
general, whereas results obtained with more complex classifiers tend to be less
prone to generalisation. Falasconi et al. [130] compared the results of an Enose
measurement of Fusarium verticillioides-infested corn with respect to the classi-
 fication method. A simple linear discriminant analysis (LDA), which is similar
to PLS-DA, led to a rate of 94% correct classification of contaminated vs. non-
contaminated corn. In contrast, a k-Nearest-Neighbour analysis revealed a correct
classification of 99%. For this study, a simple and robust classifier was preferred.
A more complex analysis, whose results can at the same time be generalised, is
desirable and would certainly be preferred in many cases.
The false negatives observed in the classification of infested and non-infested grain originated all from \emph{F. redolens} at high infection level. The variance of this group might be explained by the fact that the high concentrations of those samples were associated with changes in the relative components of the patterns. These probes also led to false classifications during species identification. Furthermore, the data of the infected group showed a rather large variance, most presumably due to the heterogeneity of the dataset consisting of probes from different \emph{Fusarium} species and at different levels of infection. The probes falsely classified as infected are brought about by a high variance in the control group. The variance is caused by several factors. As the model was chosen to perform a binary classification, it was unable to differentiate between species or levels. Moreover, the control group contained samples exposed to different incubation times and the incubation of non-inoculated grain may have changed the composition of odour compounds. In practical terms, false negative classifications would seriously compromise the Enose approach, while false positive classifications were considered acceptable, though unsatisfying. The results are in accordance with findings from Paolesse et al. [129] and Balasubramanian et al. [204] who showed classification rates of 85\% and 87\% on the analysis of \emph{Fusarium} on wheat or barley. Thereto, PLS-DA and LDA discrimination was used in these particular studies.

The present study aimed at a qualitative recognition of \emph{Fusarium}-infested grain with the future intent to reject infected grain from being processed as feed or food. This approach was done with respect to the possible mycotoxin contamination related to such cereals. Others tried to quantify mycotoxins in grain or flour directly, using an electronic nose [131, 133]. However, such methods are generally semi-quantitative and rely on the uncertain correlation of \emph{Fusarium} infection and mycotoxin production.

## 4.3 Aptamer Development

Aptamers are usually selected in a SELEX experiment. Hence, a library of oligonucleotides is incubated with the target molecule. In the selection step, the oligonucleotides bound to the target are separated from those which are not
bound. This step is the most crucial aspect in the selection process as it determines the binding features of the prospective aptamers. Here, methods which rely on target immobilisation (e.g. magnetic bead separation) \[142, 163, 170, 205\] or size separation (e.g. filtration, centrifugation) \[206\] are commonly used. Although these assays are successful in many cases, they have failed so far to select aptamers against deoxynivalenol due to several possible reasons. Firstly, DON has to be modified chemically. As it is a very small molecule (296 Da), modifying it without altering the whole structure is challenging. Secondly, by immobilising the target, binding sites are covered and blocked for potential aptamer binding. Thirdly, the mass of small molecules is almost negligible in comparison to the individual DNA molecules from the library, leading practically to no change of mass during complex formation. Thus, separations with respect to the change of mass (e.g. with capillary-electrophoresis-SELEX) are not practicable.

In the present study, an *in vitro* selection of structure-switching aptamers, upon DON binding, was applied. A single-stranded DNA library covering 40 complete random positions and theoretically \(6.6 \times 10^{14}\) different molecules, was utilised. The length of the random region was chosen with respect to the target molecule and based on literature. DNA aptamers possessing core regions of 20 to 60 bases were often reported (cf. Table 1.5). A longer core region theoretically results in a higher complexity of the library. However, practically, only a small portion of the maximum complexity can be tested in the selection. Furthermore, the smaller the molecules of the library are, the larger is the proportion of target-mass to the library, resulting in a higher mass change upon target binding. Complete random-sequence libraries cover a much wider sequence space and are suitable for the isolation of novel binding species \[207\]. A DNA library was chosen in the current study as it is much less prone to degradation and easier to amplify. It has been shown, that DNA aptamers can bind ligands in the same extent as RNA aptamers, although the structures are completely different \[148\].

The separation process was based on a conformational change of the oligonucleotides of the library. Therefore, the library was reversibly bound to an affinity column by partially hybridising to a capture oligonucleotide. This approach was successfully used for generating aptamers binding on different nucleotides and zinc-ions \[143, 145, 152, 208\]. The procedure is advantageous for the aptamer-
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selection against DON, since DON-molecules do not need to be modified chemically. The strength of the interaction of the library to the column is accurately defined by the length of the hybridised, complementary region and defines the extent of the conformational change necessary for DNA release. If the affinity is too high, the structure-switching upon DON binding will not take place and the relevant species will not be eluted. If the affinity is too low, unspecific species are also eluted and amplified, lowering the efficiency of the SELEX-process. Stevens et al. [209] estimated a dissociation constant of less than $1 \times 10^{-11}$ M for a DNA hybrid of 22 nucleotides. Smaller hybrids are thus less bound to the column. For 14 and 15 complementary nucleotides, dissociation constants of $2 \times 10^{-9}$ M and $7 \times 10^{-10}$ M have been evaluated [210]. However, the primary sequence as well as experimental conditions (temperature, pH, buffer composition) have an influence on the binding-affinity. Therefore, an experiment was conducted to estimate the optimal length of a capture-oligonucleotide in a range of 7 to 20 nucleotides. According to literature [143] a chain length of 12 bases showed an optimum between capturing the library on the column and releasing it upon disruption of the complementary interaction.

During SELEX, the DNA species binding to DON and thus undergoing a structure-switching were released and eluted from the column. The selection buffer was chosen with respect to the negative backbone of the DNA and the relative polar structure of DON. It has been shown, that metal ions can stabilise the tertiary structure of RNA [211]. Negative charge repulsions may inhibit the formation of a complex structure. Positive ions such as Na$^+$ or Mg$^{2+}$ are equalising these charges and were used to stabilise the DNA. Furthermore, it has been shown, that high affinity aptamers are less magnesium dependent [212]. Hence, a relative low magnesium content was applied.

After the selection, the eluted DNA must be amplified for a new round of SELEX. Here, it should be considered that the template DNA is completely heterogeneous. Such DNA is extensively susceptible for PCR-overamplification. In contrast to end-point PCR, the product formation stops when PCR primers are still in excess. Five to ten rounds of amplification above the optimal cycle number can lead to a complete loss of the specific dsDNA products due to by-product formation [213]. However, a low cycle number results in a small amount of product
and a low copy number. Therefore, generally large-scale PCR is carried out with multiple reactions [207]. In the present study, PCR was optimised concerning MgCl₂ and SybrGreen I concentrations. When an overamplification took place, a second band was visible in the gel-electrophoresis (cf. Figure 3.8, lane “PCR”).

Accordingly, online monitoring of the PCR process was carried out for 6 out of 30 reactions during the SELEX experiment. The amplification was stopped as soon as 60 to 90% of the maximum amount was reached. Consequently, there was no second band visible in the amplifications of the SELEX.

Once the selected DNA has been amplified, it is necessary to perform a strand-separation as PCR products are commonly double-stranded, although asymmetric PCR can provide ssDNA [214]. However, this type of PCR is less efficient as amplification occurs almost linearly. Therefore, strand-separation was done by Lambda Exonuclease-digestion of an phosphorylated reverse strand [184, 215]. Lambda Exonuclease is an enzyme induced by bacteriophage lambda. It has strict 5’ to 3’ activity and digests as an exodeoxyribonuclease double-stranded DNA in a 350-fold higher extend as single-stranded DNA, releasing 5’-mononucleotides [216]. It has a strong preference for 5’ termini carrying a phosphoryl residue [216]. This procedure is beneficial compared to alkaline denaturation, leading to higher yield and purity without having interfering molecules such as streptavidin or biotin [184].

During rounds of selection against DON, an increase in eluted ssDNA could be observed. This rise yielded a local maximum in round 8 and decreased thereupon. The setback is reasonable since a second negative selection step was introduced in round 9, indicating that there were still many unspecific binders present in the pool. After subsequent rounds of selection and amplification a second local maximum occurred in round 12 with a DON-induced elution of 8% of ssDNA. As the column volume was reduced in round 12, the absolute elution, corrected for the volume, was smaller than this from round 8. However, as the selection was going four rounds further and many unspecific binders were additionally removed, this pool was chosen for a detailed aptamer analysis. The further drop in the subsequent rounds can be explained by overselection, as it has been observed in many cases [142, 163, 206]. The large increase in round 15 originated from the reduced column volume. However, starting from round 12, no further rise
was observed with respect to the column volume-corrected values. Rajendran and Ellington observed maximum elutions of 34% and 12% after nine rounds of selection by applying the same method [143, 145], with the first study being a selection against Zn$^{2+}$ ions. However, it is well known, that ions interact with DNA, supporting the formation of complex structures. Others selected aptamers successfully with a maximum elution of 2.2% [163] or 7.5% [142] during SELEX.

4.4 DON Aptamers

The structures which were got from the SELEX had mostly the desired length of 78 bases. However, some were shorter and even longer. There are two possible explanations for that observation. The library could have been contained mutations in chain length due to incorrect synthesis. Although it has been HPLC purified and tested in a gel-electrophoresis, a heterogeneous, random library will always give a broader band [207] were small differences in chain length will not be detected. Moreover, if there were only few molecules affected, they would be below the detection limit. This reason is somehow unlikely as exactly these sequences needed to be selected and amplified, though possible. In addition, as the PCR is generally susceptible for mutations it is most likely that the variations in chain length occurred during amplification of the polymerase. To reduce the mutation rate a high-fidelity polymerase with a 100-fold lower error rate was chosen in the first rounds of selection. Nonetheless, smaller products can occur due to a formation of highly stable stem-loops in GC-rich sectors providing jumping of the polymerase [217]. As the library was already randomised, additional variation was not intended to bring into the SELEX. However, the mutations also underlay the evolutionary selection and should not worsen the result.

To analyse the individual aptamers on their DON-binding capability an assay was performed, similar to the selection experiment. Therefore, the particular sequence was bound to an affinity column and eluted through DON. The eluted amount was then compared to a control were the elution took place with buffer (RED$_C$). It has to be noted that the RED$_C$ value differed between the library and the aptamers. Thus, the affinity of the aptamers to the column was per se smaller than those of the library. This unexpected behaviour is reasonable
since the sequences from the library did not form a complex three-dimensional structure, while the DON-aptamers were selected on forming such a structure. Hence, they had a low $\Delta G$ value and were relative self-stable, which led to a lower affinity to the column. However, eluting with DON, differences between the aptamers and the library could be observed. The negative $\Delta$RED value of the library, meaning that there was less DNA DON-dependently eluted than in the controls, is probably a statistical effect and will disappear if the repetition rate would be increased. There were 14 aptamers showing a positive $\Delta$RED and thus a DON-dependent elution. The variation was in parts very large, with one aptamer, c8, showing a significantly higher DON-dependent elution than the control. However, also the others might be reacting on DON, if the repetition rate would be increased. This can be confirmed by aggregating different aptamers.

The binding assay was based on the principle that small disturbances of the complementary interaction of aptamers and capture oligonucleotide will lead to a structure-switching and thus a release. These disruptions can also be caused by external factors such as slight variations in temperature, pressure or incubation time, inducing an additional variance.

The secondary structures of the selected aptamers were different, although there were similar motifs in the 5' domains found. It has been shown in the literature, that the 3' domain is often not necessary for target binding, as the selection mechanism was only focused on the 5' region [145]. However, modifications with the exclusion of this region did not show any improvements. In contrary, the performance was much worse. It indicates that this region might be involved in the tertiary structure and is essential for DON binding, as ligand recognition of aptamers is often due to the enclosure of large parts by the nucleic acid [218]. Particularly, the aptamer c8 differs from the others in terms of the secondary structure. It is furthermore relative unstable with a high $\Delta G$ value. In contrast, other aptamers, e.g. c77, are highly stable with a clear defined structure. It has to be taken into account, that the structure-forming algorithm does not include the fluorophore at the 5' end, the capture DNA as well as the DON molecule the aptamer is bound to. A consideration would be, that a less stable sequence is floating between different states until the target stabilises it. Probably are the stable structures not as stable as the algorithm estimates, if the fluorophore and
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the tertiary structure would be considered.

In many of the high eluting aptamers, the common motif covers a single-base bulge. Höhn [206] supposed single-base bulges as binding caves. These small caves could probably act as binding site for DON as they offer the ideal size of about one nucleotide. This would also explain, why the binding capability was drastically decreasing as soon as the bulge was closed in the modification c32m2.

4.5 Conclusions

In this work it is shown that the metalloporphyrin-based Enose can be used to qualitatively detect and correctly classify dry, whole, *Fusarium*-infected wheat grain. Even low-contaminated grain were accurately detected, allowing them to be excluded from the food or feed chain. The Enose meets most analytical requirements needed. It is a mobile, inexpensive and relatively fast electronic device, capable of differentiating hazardous grain from innocuous grain. The fact that all classification rates were higher than 83\%, most of them being much higher, clearly indicated that chemometrical fingerprints allow the detection of fungal infestation as well as the discrimination between specific Fusaria species. As meanwhile specific biomarkers for *Fusarium* infestation are published, metalloporphyrins can be screened on these particular volatiles and assembled in an electronic nose for future improvement. However, an Enose approach for the detection of mycotoxins would always be semi-quantitative and is based on the correlation of fungal biomass and toxin content, as most of the mycotoxins are not volatile and thus could not be sniffed directly. Hence, aptamers binding DON were generated. These aptamers could be used for direct estimation of DON. Furthermore, the underlying selection mechanism has the advantage to enhance the reaction of a potential biosensor by using the capture DNA as an actor for either fluorescence modification or mass-change enhancement. DON aptamers as recognition element on biosensors have several advantages. As they provide different binding characteristics, the sensor adapts automatically to drift and to different working ranges. They are reverse denaturable, which leads to an ease of regeneration. Finally, the production is cheap and fast, once the sequences are known. In practical terms, signals, either from *Fusarium* or DON must be recog-
nised in the presence of a background of interfering molecular species. This would commonly be achieved by increasing the number of sensors. Moreover, a single sensor might be not very sensitive, but the combination of sensors with different affinities and redundancy in a sensory network would dramatically enhance the robustness of such a system, since it imitates the mechanisms of natural olfaction.
Chapter 5

Summary

*F. graminearum* and *F. culmorum* are known mycotoxin producers. They are the most pathogenic and most frequently occurring *Fusaria* species in cereals. Particularly, the metabolite deoxynivalenol has hazardous effects. A potential pass-through to the feed or food chain is most likely and thus, represents a serious health risk to humans. Since the NIRS technique for quality-estimation of grain has been accepted in the grain-processing industry, important quality-defining ingredients (e.g. water or protein content) are measured at the time of delivery by the farmers to add additional charges or separate the grain to different stockpiles. Particularly, the toxin content is, if at all, at that point only roughly estimated by visual inspection due to a deficiency of proper detection methods.

A widely spread method to detect fungal spoilage on food is the use of smell, which is consequently described as musty or mouldy. The use of an electronic nose for an objective analysis on the existence of fungal contamination is obvious. In this thesis, the fungal volatiles released by *Fusarium graminearum, Fusarium culmorum, Fusarium cerealis* and *Fusarium redolens* were analysed. Besides, the discriminating properties for these potentially risky *Fusarium* species should be investigated by the use of a metalloporphyrin-coated electronic nose at the post-harvest level. Therefore, whole wheat kernels were artificially inoculated with four different *Fusarium* species and three incubation times. The kernels were dried to a physiologic post-harvest moisture level. The odour analysis revealed a complex composition of different compounds, among which many known fungal volatiles such as 1-octen-3-ol or 3-methyl-butanol. The electronic nose was able to
differentiate between the different species with an accuracy of 94% and between the infection levels with 91%. Furthermore, it could distinguish infested grain from non-infested ones with a correct classification rate of 83%.

Motivated by these findings, a method for direct detection of deoxynivalenol should be developed. Therefore, a novel DNA-ligand system was used to select aptamers binding DON. The applied systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) was based on the formation of aptamer beacons upon DON binding. A single-stranded, random DNA library comprising $6.6 \times 10^{14}$ different molecules was reversibly bound to an affinity column. Sequences which underwent a conformational change upon DON addition were collected and amplified. After 12 rounds of selection, the enriched pool was analysed on the included sequences. Out of 76 sequences, 39 were chosen for a detailed binding analysis. Among these, 14 reacted to the application of DON, with one (c8) eluting a significantly higher amount of DNA than the control.
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