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Abstract

The human CEDNIK syndrome is caused by loss-of-function mutations in the \textit{SNAP29} gene, encoding a member of the SNARE family of proteins. So far, no \textit{Snap29}-deficient mammalian animal models have been described for this rare neurocutaneous syndrome and this work reports the generation and characterization of a total (\textit{Snap29}^{+/+}) as well as keratinocyte specific (\textit{Snap29}^{0/0}/K14-Cre) \textit{Snap29} knockout mouse line. Both \textit{Snap29}-deficient mouse models developed an ichthyotic phenotype closely resembling the human epidermal CEDNIK syndrome phenotype. Thus, both lines can be used as beneficial models to further enlighten the unique impact of \textit{SNAP29} in epidermal differentiation as well as in understanding the pathophysiological mechanisms in common epidermal differentiation disorders and they provide a deeper insight into the molecular basis of the pathogenesis of CEDNIK syndrome.

Using immunohistochemistry, \textit{SNAP29} deficiency was found to result in acanthosis and hyperkeratosis as well as in abnormal keratinocyte differentiation with increased proliferation in both mutant mouse lines. In addition, \textit{Snap29}-deficient mice revealed a severely impaired cutaneous permeability barrier function accompanied by neonatal lethality. These results indicate an essential role of \textit{SNAP29} in epidermal differentiation and barrier formation. Furthermore, ultrastructural analyses revealed malformed LBs and markedly decreased deposition of LB contents in mutant mice epidermis indicating a severe impairment in LB function in the epidermis due to the \textit{Snap29} knockout. Thus, \textit{SNAP29} is essential for LB maturation and secretion as demonstrated by abnormal lipid profiles, and an impaired barrier formation, which are most likely due to an impaired vesicular trafficking.

In addition to the impact on terminal keratinocyte differentiation, ablation of \textit{SNAP29} affects ER stress and autophagy. By western blot analyses, \textit{SNAP29} ablation was found to result in a strong induction of the ER stress marker CHOP (C/EBP homologous protein) in mutant mice and the autophagosomal marker LC3B-II was increased under starvation and bafilomycin A1 treatment, whereas p62/SQSTM1 protein amounts remained unchanged.

In conclusion, this work contributes to the substantial role of \textit{SNAP29} in epidermal differentiation, particularly in LB formation and maturation. \textit{SNAP29} is also involved in the complex machinery of autophagy.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Structure and function of the skin

Mammalian skin is the largest organ of the integumentary system and it is composed of three compartments: the epidermis, the underlying dermis and the subcutis. The epidermis comprises the outermost layers of the skin and functions as a barrier between the body and the external, often hostile, environment. It is involved in a number of physiological functions, such as the maintenance of epidermal hydration, protection against mechanical and physical assaults, thermoregulation, immune defense, and protection against UV irradiation (reviewed by (Proksch et al, 2008)). In order to maintain these functions, keratinocytes undergo a specialized form of terminal differentiation, thereby forming the epidermal barrier (reviewed by (Eckhart et al, 2013)).

1.2 The epidermis and terminal differentiation of keratinocytes

In mammals, the epidermis is a stratified squamous epithelium, which is composed of four cell layers: stratum basale, stratum spinosum, stratum granulosum and a dead cornified layer, the stratum corneum. Keratinocytes represent the predominant cell type of the epidermis, which are tightly interconnected by cell-cell junctions called desmosomes (Green & Jones, 1996; Samuelov & Sprecher, 2014). Other cell types within the epidermis are Langerhans cells, melanocytes and Merkel cells (see Figure 1), being accountable for antigen-presentation, production of melanin and sensory response, respectively. The epidermis itself is not vascularized, and therefore, it is provided with all necessary nutrients by the highly vascularized dermis. The basement membrane (lamina basalis) connects and functionally separates the epidermis from the dermis (Behrens et al, 2012). The epithelial cells are connected to the basement membrane via hemidesmosomes, which are highly specialized integrin-mediated epithelial attachment structures (Borradori & Sonnenberg, 1999).

Keratinocytes undergo a continuous process of terminal differentiation, starting from proliferating basal cells, which migrate from the stratum basale, through the transitional layers, and subsequently forming terminal differentiated keratinocytes. These cells are known as corneocytes, which are flattened, enucleated dead cornified cells. This process of terminal keratinocyte differentiation, known as cornification, is well organized, both spatially and temporally. The three main components of this process include the formation of an
intracellular keratin network, the formation of a cornified envelope (CE), and the formation of lipid lamellae (reviewed by (Matsui & Amagai, 2015)).

Finally, the most superficial cornified layers are shed off by a process called desquamation. This differentiation process, ensures a constant rejuvenation of the epidermis and consequently, stem cells within the basal layer have a crucial role in maintaining tissue homeostasis (reviewed by (Blanpain & Fuchs, 2009)). Each stem cell divides asymmetrically to produce one daughter stem cells as well as one daughter transit amplifying (TA) cell, which undergoes a limited number of cell divisions. TA cells detach from the basement membrane, withdraw from the cell cycle and finally commit to terminal differentiation (reviewed by (Fuchs & Raghavan, 2002)).

**Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the epidermis in mammals.**

Keratinocytes are the main cell type in the epidermis. During terminal differentiation they start migrating from the *stratum basale*, through transitional layers, finally forming the *stratum corneum*. Other cell types within the epidermis are melanocytes (black), and Langerhans cells (blue), which are pigment-producing and antigen-presenting cells, respectively. Finally, the corneocytes are shed during desquamation. The illustration was kindly provided by Dr. Stina Schiller.
1.2.1 Formation of an intracellular keratin network

The formation of an effective stratum corneum requires the assembly of keratin intermediate filaments. Keratins are the main components of the epithelial cytoskeleton, assembling into intermediate filaments and thereby providing stability to epithelial cells against mechanical stress. In mammalian epidermis keratins are subdivided into type I (acidic) and type II (neutral-basic) keratins, which typically assemble into heterodimers between type I and type II proteins (Fuchs, 1995; Moll et al, 2008). Each cell layer within the epidermis is characterized by the specific expression of genes encoding for different keratins (Fuchs & Green, 1980). Hence, keratin 14 (K14) together with keratin 5 (K5) are early expression markers of proliferative active keratinocytes of the stratum basale. As keratinocytes move towards the suprabasal layers, the expression of K5 (basic, type II) as well as K14 (acidic, type I) is down-regulated and expression of keratin 1 (K1) and keratin 10 (K10) is switched on, forming an even more stable framework of keratin filaments that is linked to intercellular desmosomes (Fuchs & Green, 1980; Leigh et al, 1993). Therefore, K1 (basic, type II) and K10 (acidic, type I) are the first proteins to be synthesized when keratinocytes commit to terminal differentiation (reviewed by (Candi et al, 2005)) (see Figure 2). Under pathologic conditions the expression pattern of keratins is generally modified. For example, the expression of genes encoding for keratin 6 (K6) and keratin 16 (K16) is enhanced under hyperproliferative conditions (Stoler et al, 1988). In normal epidermis K6 and K16 are only expressed during wound healing (Lane et al, 1985; McGowan & Coulombe, 1998).

Later during differentiation granular cells synthesize keratohyalin granules, which can in rodent epidermis be subdivided into L-and F-granules (Steven et al, 1990). F-granules are predominantly composed of profilaggrin, a histidine-rich precursor of filaggrin. During the late stages of terminal keratinocyte differentiation profilaggrin is released from F-granules and proteolytically processed into filaggrin monomers, which aggregate the keratin cytoskeleton, promoting the cell collapse into flattened, enucleated squames (Smith et al, 2006). It has been well established that the monomers are subsequently cleaved into free amino acids forming the natural moisturizing factor (NMF) of the stratum corneum (Brown & McLean, 2012; Rawlings & Harding, 2004). Filaggrin is the main keratin-aggregating protein in mammalian stratum corneum (reviewed by (Matsui & Amagai, 2015)) and loss-of-function mutations in the gene encoding for filaggrin cause ichthyosis vulgaris, which is characterized clinically by dry skin, accompanied by scaling. Filaggrin mutations have been reported as strong predisposing factor for atopic dermatitis (Irvine et al, 2011; Thyssen et al, 2013).
Although mouse models have often demonstrated remarkable similarity to human epidermal differentiation, there are a few discrepancies. F-granules, for example, have been described both in human as well as in rodent epidermis (Ishida-Yamamoto et al, 1993), whereas L-granules are found exclusively in rodent epidermis (especially mouse). Its major constituent is loricrin, a glycine–serine–cysteine-rich protein, synthesized in the granular layer (Mehrel et al, 1990; Steven et al, 1990). In mouse epidermis loricrin accumulates into discrete L-granules (Yoneda et al, 1992), whereas in human epidermis it doesn't accumulate in any granular structure (Ishida-Yamamoto et al, 1993). Loricrin comprises up to 70-80% of the total protein mass of the cornified envelope (CE) and therefore confers to its mechanical resistance (Steinert & Marekov, 1995; Steinert & Marekov, 1999).

Figure 2: Schematic illustration of the multi-layered epidermis.

The *stratum basale* is attached to the basement membrane via hemidesmosomes, and consists of proliferating keratinocytes. During cornification, keratinocytes start migrating from the *stratum basale*, through transitional layers, and finally forming corneocytes. In the suprabasal layers the keratinocytes are interconnected by desmosomes. Lamellar bodies (LBs) as well as keratohyalin granules are found in the *stratum granulosum*. The non-linear calcium-gradient as well as the extracellular pH are depicted in the illustration. On the right, key molecular markers are shown. The illustration was kindly provided by Dr. Stina Schiller.
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1.2.2 Formation of the cornified envelope (CE)

As already mentioned, the epidermis serves as a general barrier, but the two most important barrier properties of the skin are creating a barrier against water loss and against the entry of microorganisms, respectively (Elias & Choi, 2005). The CE is the functional key element of this barrier, which is composed of corneocytes embedded in lipid lamellae. For CE formation, several precursor proteins, including loricrin, filaggrin, involucrin and small proline-rich proteins are assembled directly underneath the plasma membrane of corneocytes. Concomitantly, the cell membrane disintegrates and the structural proteins are covalently crosslinked by transglutaminases, which are calcium-dependent enzymes (Eckert et al, 2005). In general, the CE functions to provide structural and mechanical integrity of terminally differentiated keratinocytes in the *stratum corneum*.

1.2.3 The formation of lipid lamellae

In parallel with the process of CE formation, the intercellular lipid envelope is arranged. Therefore, the extracellular space of corneocytes is filled with sheets of lipid lamellae. This arrangement of lipid lamellae is most important for the skin barrier to prevent epidermal water loss as well as in responding to environmental and mechanical assaults (reviewed by (Matsui & Amagai, 2015)). The main components of the lipid envelope are ceramides, cholesterol, fatty acids and cholesterol esters (Wertz, 2000) and some of these lipids become covalently bound by ester bonds the CE proteins to form a lipid envelope surrounding the *stratum corneum* (reviewed by (Nishifuji & Yoon, 2013)). The formation of the lipid lamellae is dependent on the maturation and secretion of lamellar bodies (LBs), a continuous tubular-vesicular structure expanding from the trans-Golgi network (TGN) (Elias et al, 1998; Madison, 2003; Norlen, 2001) (see Chapter 1.2.4). During keratinocyte differentiation, precursor lipids, such as glucosylceramide (GlcCer), are incorporated into lamellar bodies (LBs) and subsequently secreted into the extracellular space between the *stratum granulosum* and the lower *stratum corneum* (reviewed by (Feingold, 2009)) (see Figure 3). Consequently, GlcCers are hydrolyzed to ceramides by β-glucocerebrosidase (Tybulewicz et al, 1992) and arranged into the intercellular space of the *stratum corneum*. This step is essential for the development of an epidermal lipid-barrier within the cornified layers (Elias et al, 2000; Nishifuji & Yoon, 2013).
1.2.4 Lamellar bodies (LBs) and barrier homeostasis

LBs are membrane bilayer encircled secretory organelles, occurring especially in keratinocytes of the upper spinous layers and in the stratum granulosum (reviewed by Feingold, 2009). Besides the above mentioned precursor lipids, LBs transfer and secret epidermal proteases (kallikreins and cathepsins), protease inhibitors (e.g. lympho-epithelial Kazal-type-related inhibitor) and structural proteins (e.g. corneodesmosin) from the Golgi apparatus to the intercellular spaces of the granular layer (see Figure 3) (Raymond et al, 2008). Moreover, anti-microbial peptides like CRAMP (cathelicidin-related anti-microbial peptide) are also present in LBs playing most probably a role in innate immune responses of the skin (Aberg et al, 2008; Braff et al, 2005). Inhibition of LB formation and maturation impairs barrier homeostasis (Feingold, 2009). Concordantly, disruption of the epidermal barrier results in a homeostatic repair response that rapidly restores barrier function (Taljebini et al, 1996). The initiation of the repair response involves, inter alia, changes in the concentrations of extracellular calcium, especially in the stratum granulosum (Menon et al, 1992).

Normally, mammalian epidermis is characterized by an intraepidermal non-linear calcium gradient (see Figure 2). The highest concentrations of extracellular calcium are found in the granular layers (Mauro et al, 1998), while calcium concentration in the stratum corneum is very low (Proksch et al, 2008). Under basal conditions, the high levels of calcium restrict LB secretion to low levels sufficient in maintaining barrier homeostasis. Following barrier perturbations, epidermal calcium concentrations decline and LB exocytosis is stimulated (Lee et al, 1992; Menon & Elias, 1991; Menon et al, 1994). In addition to regulating LB secretion, high epidermal calcium concentrations also regulate the expression of differentiation markers as well as the formation of the CE (Elias et al, 2002; Yuspa et al, 1989).
Figure 3: Schematic illustration of formation and maturation of LBs.

LBs are membrane bilayer-encircled secretory organelles, derived from the TGN. LBs are unique to mammalian epidermis and the maximal numbers are present in keratinocytes in the stratum granulosum. They deliver cargoes to the stratum granulosum/corneum interface, including the serine-protease KLK7 (red) and its inhibitor LEKTI (darkgrey), as well as glucosylceramides (GlcCer, yellow) and corneodesmosin (CDSN, blue), where they are secreted in order to form the CE. The LB-derived precursor lipids are subsequently modified and arranged to the lipid lamellae (yellow). The illustration was kindly provided by Dr. Stina Schiller.

1.2.5 Desquamation, the shedding of corneocytes

At the final steps of terminal differentiation, corneodesmosomes, which are modified desmosomal structures mediating cell-cell-adhesion between corneocytes, are dissolved by specialized proteases, and continuously shed off by a process called desquamation (reviewed by (Candi et al, 2005)). The major extracellular components of corneodesmosomes are desmoglein1, desmocollin 1, and corneodesmosin (CDSN), the latter being unique to corneodesmosomes and secreted by LBs (Ishida-Yamamoto & Kishibe, 2011; Serre et al, 1991). The degradation process of corneodesmosomes is carefully controlled by a number of proteases, the most important are the kallikrein-related peptidases such as kallikrein 5 (KLK5) and kallikrein7 (KLK7) (Deraison et al, 2007) and their inhibitors, including the lympho-epithelial Kazal-type related inhibitor (LEKTI) (Caubet et al, 2004).
The desquamation of corneocytes from the *stratum corneum* surface is orchestrated by changes in the pH (Elias et al, 2002; Hachem et al, 2003). The pH of neonatal both human and rodent *stratum corneum* is neutral, but an acidic mantle is gradually developed in the *stratum corneum*. The pH directly regulates epidermal permeability barrier homeostasis, and affects *stratum corneum* integrity, cohesion and desquamation (Hachem et al, 2003). Furthermore, the pH controls the activity of the serine-proteases KLK5 and KLK7 by regulating the interaction with their inhibitor LEKTI. A neutral pH allows strong interaction between kallikreins and LEKTI, however, as the pH acidifies along the *stratum corneum*, this complex dissociates, allowing the serine proteinases to cleave its corneodesmosomal targets (Deraison et al, 2007).

### 1.2.6 Epidermal homeostasis and associated skin diseases

Cell proliferation, differentiation and cell death occur sequentially and the epidermis regenerates continuously throughout the life of an organism. In humans the estimated epidermal turnover time is 40 -56 days (Gelfant, 1976; Halprin, 1972), whereas in mice it is 8 -10 days (Potten et al, 1987). The succession of proliferation, differentiation and desquamation is subjected to a well-orchestrated equilibrium named homeostasis (Blanpain & Fuchs, 2009). Disturbances of this process, predominantly caused by mutations in genes encoding for epidermal barrier components, result in cutaneous diseases like ichthyoses. Ichthyoses refer to a large, clinically and etiologically heterogeneous group of cornification disorders, which are characterized by visible scaling and/or hyperkeratosis of the skin. Ichthyoses are caused by mutations in various different genes important for keratinocyte differentiation and epidermal barrier function, including genes encoding for CE components (e.g. filaggrin) and genes encoding for enzymes involved in lipid metabolism (e.g. glucocerebrosidase) (Akiyama, 2011b; Schmuth et al, 2013).

### 1.3 CEDNIK syndrome

In 2005, Sprecher et al. described for the first time a neurocutaneous disorder called CEDNIK (CERebraL Dysgenesis, Neuropathy, Ichthyosis, and Keratoderma) syndrome (OMIM 609528) in seven individuals from two unrelated consanguineous Arab Muslim families from Northern Israel. CEDNIK syndrome is a rare autosomal recessive disorder, which is uniformly fatal in middle childhood (5 to 12 years). The clinical picture of all patients is characterized by
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microcephaly, facial dimorphism, severe neurological impairment, psychomotor retardation, palmoplantar keratoderma, and late-onset ichthyosis (see Figure 4). After a normal gestation, severe developmental failure of the nervous system and cutaneous symptoms with a progressive worsening appeared within the first months of life (between 5 and 11 months of age) (Sprecher et al, 2005).

Figure 4: Cutaneous phenotype of CEDNIK syndrome patients (modified from Sprecher et al. 2005).

(a) CEDNIK syndrome patients suffer from a severe thickening of the plantar skin (keratoderma) and (b) lamellar ichthyosis, which is distributed over the whole abdominal surface of the body.

CEDNIK syndrome was found to result from loss-of-function mutations in the SNAP29 gene, encoding for the soluble n-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor attachment protein (SNAP) receptor (SNARE) 29 protein. Until today, seven mutations in the SNAP29 gene have been identified, some of which are frameshift mutations, leading to truncated proteins (Ben-Salem et al, 2015; Fuchs-Telem et al, 2011; McDonald-McGinn et al, 2013; Sprecher et al, 2005). Electron microscopy revealed that the epidermis of CEDNIK patients, aside from normal-appearing LBs, exhibits numerous vesicular structures of varying size in the stratum granulosum and stratum corneum. These structures showed retained GlcCer, as well as KLK5 and KLK7, suggesting that SNAP29 deficiency results in markedly impaired maturation and secretion of LBs (Sprecher et al. 2005). The profound cutaneous pathology associated with CEDNIK syndrome unequivocally underscores the importance of SNAP29 during epidermal differentiation. In addition, CEDNIK syndrome is a part of a steadily growing group of cornification disorders associated with abnormal intracellular transport processes like ARC (Arthrogryposis-Renal dysfunction-Cholestasis) syndrome (Gissen et al, 2006) and MEDNIK (Mental retardation, Enteropathy, Deafness, Neuropathy, Ichthyosis, and Keratoderma)
syndrome, which is caused by mutations in AP1S1 encoding a component of an adaptor protein complex involved in vesicle transport within or out of TGN (Montpetit et al, 2008).

1.4 Membrane fusion and SNARE proteins

During epidermal differentiation, membrane fusion between vesicles and target membranes is a crucial step. SNARE proteins have been recognized as the core machinery to catalyze membrane fusion in all the trafficking steps of the secretory pathway (reviewed by (Jahn & Scheller, 2006)). Trafficking usually involves four central steps: vesicle budding, transport, tethering and fusion of the vesicle with the target membrane (reviewed by (Bonifacino & Glick, 2004)).

In general, vesicle budding is mediated by protein coats, which can be subdivided into clathrin and non-clathrin coats like COPI and COPII (coat protein complex I/II). Clathrin-coated vesicles transport their cargo from the plasma membrane to endosomes and from the TGN to endosomes (Stoorvogel et al, 1996). COPI primarily mediates traffic from the Golgi to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), whereas COPII mediates the opposite direction (Barlowe et al, 1994; Letourneur et al, 1994; Waters et al, 1991). After budding, vesicles are transported to their acceptor membrane, followed by the initial interaction between a vesicle (e.g., lamellar body) and its target membrane (reviewed by (Cai et al, 2007)). This process is called tethering and it is most probably the earliest stage at which specificity of vesicular trafficking is conferred (reviewed by (Whyte & Munro, 2002)). The final step of trafficking is the membrane fusion, which is mediated by SNAREs.

1.4.1 SNAREs and their structure

SNARE proteins comprise a superfamily of 24 members in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, 23 members in Caenorhabditis elegans, 54 members in Arabidopsis thaliana (Uemura et al, 2004), and at least 35 members in mammals (Bock et al, 2001). Although SNAREs vary markedly in size and structure, all SNARE proteins are characterized by an evolutionary conserved SNARE motif of 60-70 amino acids, containing heptad repeat sequences which are able to form coiled-coil structures (reviewed by (Chen & Scheller, 2001)). The coiled-coil consists of a bundle of α-helical peptides that are entwined around each other into a superhelical motif to form a stable structure (Apostolovic et al, 2010). A common structural
principle of most SNAREs comprises a single transmembrane domain at the C-terminal end, which is connected to the SNARE motif by a short linker. Contrary to the conserved SNARE motifs, SNAREs contain different types of independently folded N-terminal domains, connected to the SNARE motif by a flexible linker, which is frequently palmitoylated (reviewed by (Jahn & Scheller, 2006)) (see Figure 5).

1.4.2 Classification of SNAREs

SNAREs can be classified functionally into v-SNAREs, located on vesicular membranes, and t-SNAREs, located on target membranes (Sollner et al, 1993). In order to prevent ambiguity in the case of homotypic fusion events, SNAREs have been reclassified into Q- and R-SNARES according to their highly conserved glutamine (Q) or arginine (R) residue (Fasshauer et al, 1998). A functional core complex consists of coiled-coils of four α-helices, each contributed by another SNARE motif. Accordingly, the contributing SNARE motifs are classified into four subtypes: Qa, Qb, Qc- and R-SNAREs, based on their contribution in the central layer of the SNARE complex (see Figure 5). The ionic layer of the assembled core SNARE complex is composed of three glutamine (Q) residues, provided by Q-SNAREs, and one arginine (R) residue, provided by a R-SNARE, respectively (Bock et al, 2001; Fasshauer et al, 1998). This heptad helical wheel is the only polar layer in the otherwise hydrophobic SNARE complex, and the residues are almost completely conserved within the SNARE family (Fasshauer et al, 1998; Ossig et al, 2000).

In general, three Q-SNAREs (Qabc-SNAREs) and one R-SNARE associate into a stable QabcR-SNARE complex, which catalyzes the fusion between the vesicle and target membrane (reviewed by (Ungar & Hughson, 2003)) (see Figure 6). The coiled-coil structure can either be parallel (amino termini next to each other) or antiparallel, in which the amino terminus of one helix is close to the carboxyl terminus of the other (Lin & Scheller, 1997). In vitro SNARE motifs assemble rather promiscuously in other, less stable conformations (Fasshauer et al, 1999), for example the anti-parallel Qaaaa (Misura et al, 2001b) or the parallel Qabab complex (Misura et al, 2001a). However, these complexes are lacking sufficient energy to drive complete membrane fusion.
Figure 5: Schematic domain structure of SNAREs (modified from Jahn and Scheller 2006).

Qa-SNAREs have N-terminal antiparallel three-helix bundles (red), whereas the N-terminal domains of Qb-, Qc- and R-SNAREs are more diverse (oval shape). Qbc-SNAREs (e.g. SNAP29) represent a subfamily of SNAREs, which contain one Qb-SNARE motif and one Qc-SNARE motif, which are connected by a linker that is frequently palmitoylated (zig-zag lines in the figure). Qbc-SNAREs contain no transmembrane domain.

1.4.3 SNARE core complex formation and dissassembly

The key in understanding the fusion process of vesicles was the discovery of the assembly of the stable four helix bundle (QabcR). The association of the SNARE complex starts in trans-configuration at the N-terminal ends of the SNARE motifs and continues towards the C-terminal membrane anchors, pulling the membranes tightly together and forming the stable bundle of four parallel α-helices. This 'zippering' of opposed membranes is associated with an extensive release of energy, sufficient to initiate membrane fusion (Li et al, 2007; Wiederhold & Fasshauer, 2009). The SNARE complex is extremely stable and resistant to SDS denaturation (Hayashi et al, 1994), protease digestion (Fasshauer et al, 1998; Hayashi et al, 1994; Poirier et al, 1998), and it is heat stable up to 90°C (Yang et al, 1999).

After fusion, the QabcR-SNARE complex resides in the low energy cis-configuration in the fused membrane (reviewed by (Jahn & Scheller, 2006)). Subsequently, the disassembly of all SNARE complexes is mediated by the AAA+ ATPase NSF factor (Littleton et al, 2001; Sollner et al, 1993). NSF by itself is not able to bind the surface of the SNARE complex and therefore the cofactor α-SNAP (soluble NSF attachment protein) is required. Sufficient energy for the complete dissociation by the SNARE-SNAP-NSF complex is provided by several ATP-hydrolysis events, followed by the concomitant release of the individual SNAREs.
1.4.4 SNAREs and fusion specificity

It was initially assumed that correct pairing of Qabc- and R-SNAREs mediates the specificity of membrane fusion; however, the extent to which SNAREs mediate fusion specificity remains elusive. As a consequence of the facts, that SNAREs form complexes rather promiscuously (see chapter 1.4.2) and that cognate SNAREs can be potentially substituted by non-cognate SNAREs of the same subclass, fusion specificity cannot be solely mediated by SNARE proteins (Fasshauer et al, 1999). Nowadays, it is a well established fact that together with Rabs (small GTPases of the Ras family), tethering factors play a critical role in confining the specificity of vesicle targeting (reviewed by (Cai et al, 2007)).

1.5 SNAP29 and its interaction partners

The notion that each trafficking event requires an individual set of SNAREs (Pelham, 2001) has led to the identification of many SNAREs within different families, for example the syntaxin and VAMP/synaptobrevin families. SNAP29 belongs to the family of SNAPs (soluble NSF attachment) proteins, which comprises four known members in mammals: SNAP23, SNAP25, SNAP29, and SNAP47 (Holt et al, 2006).

SNAP23, SNAP25 and SNAP47 contain two different SNARE motifs, whereas SNAP29 only contains one SNARE motif and a coiled-coil domain, comprising the second helix (see Figure 5) (Bock et al, 2001). Moreover, the members of the family of SNAP proteins differ from the previously described SNARE structure by lacking a transmembrane domain. In order to mediate membrane anchorage SNAP25 and SNAP23 contain post-translational palmitoylation in one or more cysteins found in the central part of these proteins, whereas SNAP29 and its closest homolog SNAP47 (Holt et al, 2006) are lacking any membrane-anchoring motif. In concordance with its structural features SNAP29 resides in the cytoplasm and associates with membranes transiently (Steegmaier et al, 1998; Wong et al, 1999). In contrast to other members of the family, SNAP29 has an amino acid stretch with a coiled-coil structure, being exclusive to this SNARE member, and a N-terminal asparagine-proline-phenylalanine (NPF) protein binding motif, that binds endocytic adaptors, such as EHD1 (Eps15 homology domain-containing protein 1). The EHD protein family has a role in endosomal membrane trafficking (Zhang et al, 2012), and it was shown, that EHD1 associates directly with SNAP29 through its NPF-motif (Rotem-Yehudar et al, 2001).
As already mentioned, the SNARE complex for intracellular trafficking consists of one helix contributed by the R-SNARE and three by the Q-SNAREs (Bonifacino & Glick, 2004) (see chapter 1.4.2). Despite the fact that most SNAREs contribute one helix to the trans-SNARE complex, members of the SNAP25 family contribute two helices. In this context, one helix originates from syntaxin and VAMP, respectively and two helices originate from the respective SNAP member (Bonifacino & Glick, 2004) (see Figure 6).

**Figure 6: Schematic illustration of the assembly of the acceptor complex (Qabc-SNARE complex).**

The cytosolic Qbc-SNARE SNAP29 (red) interacts with two membrane-bound SNAREs, a Qa-SNARE (Syntaxin) and an R-SNARE (VAMP). One helix is contributed by the R-SNARE, another one by the Qa-SNARE and two by the Qbc-SNARE. The illustration was kindly provided by Dr. Stina Schiller.

### 1.5.1 SNAP29 in membrane fusion events

SNAP25 and SNAP23 are the most extensively studied members of the SNAP protein family; however SNAP29 has been studied much less. The SNAP29 gene comprises five exons (Rapaport et al, 2010) and it is ubiquitously expressed in a variety of tissues. Four of the seven mutations described so far in the SNAP29 gene are in immediate proximity or directly in the coiled-coil domain (see Chapter 1.3), indicating that mutations in this domain interfere with the efficiency of the interaction of SNAP29 with other SNARE proteins (McDonald-McGinn et al, 2013).

Mammalian SNAP29 appears to participate in a wide range of fusion events and consistently, it is distributed on multiple internal membranes including Golgi, endosomes, and lysosomes, where it interacts with plasma membranes and with multiple intracellular Qa-SNAREs such
as syntaxins (Steegmaier et al, 1998; Wong et al, 1999) (see Figure 6). SNAP29 is a general component involved in membrane trafficking (Hohenstein & Roche, 2001), amongst others in endocytotic recycling and cell motility (Rapaport et al, 2010). SNAP29 has been proposed to promote, as well as inhibit, membrane fusion (Hohenstein & Roche, 2001; Schardt et al, 2009; Steegmaier et al, 1998; Su et al, 2001; Wesolowski et al, 2012; Wong et al, 1999). It has been suggested that SNAP29 functions as a regulator of SNARE complex disassembly and that it additionally modulates the recycling of the SNARE components after the fusion process (Su et al, 2001). More recently, it was demonstrated that Drosophila SNAP29 (dSNAP29) interacts with the late-Golgi dsyntaxin16, suggesting that dSNAP29 participates at fusion events in the Golgi or TGN (Xu et al, 2014). Furthermore, it was recently shown that a SNAP29 is required for the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes, in both human and fruit fly (Itakura et al, 2012; Takats et al, 2013) (see chapter 1.6.2). These studies highlight the importance of SNAP29 mediating fusion events in diverse biological processes in eukaryotes.

1.6 Autophagy

As mentioned above, SNAP29 is directly involved in autophagy through controlling the fusion of autophagosomal membrane with the lysosomal membrane (Itakura et al, 2012; Takats et al, 2013) (see Chapter 1.6.2). Autophagy is an intracellular degradation process conserved among eukaryotes in which cytoplasmic contents are degraded within the lysosome. The resulting macromolecular constituents are recycled to generate energy to maintain cell viability (reviewed by (Feng et al, 2014)). There are three different types of autophagy: macroautophagy, microautophagy and chaperone-mediated autophagy. Macroautophagy is considered to be the prevalent form and is best characterized at the molecular level (hereafter referred to as autophagy; other types of autophagy are not discussed here) (reviewed by (Lamb et al, 2013)). It was originally believed that autophagy is a non-selective process (Kominami et al, 1983; Kopitz et al, 1990), induced as a survival mechanism in response to cellular stress. Over the past several years, however, a consensus has emerged that autophagosomal membranes can selectively recognize specific organelles and proteins, performing a cellular quality control. The most noted inducer of autophagy is nutrient starvation, but it can also be initiated by other stress stimuli, such as pharmacological agents (e.g. rapamycin and bafilomycin A1) (Barth et al, 2010) or endoplasmic reticulum
(ER) stress (Ogata et al, 2006). It is therefore useful to distinguish between basal and induced autophagy (Mizushima, 2005).

Autophagy is initiated by the formation of an isolation membrane, which sequesters a region of the cytoplasm, containing cytosolic proteins and organelles. Subsequently, this structure expands around the targeted material and is finally sealed, forming autophagosomes, which represent specialized double-membrane organelles. The formation of the pre-autophagosomal structures is mainly regulated by the function of autophagy-related (Atg) proteins, which operate in a strict hierarchical order (Itakura & Mizushima, 2010). Upon induction of autophagy a cytosolic form of microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3-I) is conjugated to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE) converting it into LC3-PE (LC3-II), which is associated with both the inner and outer autophagosomal membranes (reviewed by (Mizushima, 2007)). Autophagosomes transport their cargo to the lysosomes for degradation, where the outer membrane of the autophagosome fuses with the lysosome, converting it into an autolysosome (see Figure 7). Subsequently, the cytoplasmic content together with the inner membrane of the autophagosome are degraded by lysosomal hydrolases. Likewise, LC3-II is degraded in the autolysosomal lumen.

The origin of the isolation membrane remains unclear. However, it has become increasingly evident that in mammals it is originating from ER membranes (reviewed by (Lamb et al, 2013)). In addition, the expansion of the nascent autophagosome requires several other cellular compartments, such as the Golgi (van der Vaart & Reggiori, 2010), the plasma membrane (Ravikumar et al, 2010) and mitochondria (Hailey et al, 2010).

1.6.1 Measurement of autophagic flux

In order to monitor autophagic activity and to further distinguish between basal and induced autophagy, several assays have been established. One important aspect should be considered in this context: autophagic activity does not directly correspond to the accumulation of autophagosomemes. Therefore, monitoring the number of autophagosomes is insufficient to measure autophagic activity. An accumulation of autophagosomes might be due to an induction of autophagy (e.g. by nutrient starvation) or a block in downstream steps, respectively. Therefore, it is important to measure the “autophagic flux”, which enables to distinguish between these two possibilities. The term describes the dynamic process of autophagosome synthesis, the delivery of autophagosome cargoes to the lysosome, and the subsequent proteolytical degradation of these components (Mizushima & Levine, 2010).
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Autophagic flux can be monitored by the turnover of the autophagosomal marker LC3-II (see figure 7), under the treatment with exogenous reagents like Bafilomycin A1, which prevents autophagosome-lysosome fusion and acts by inhibiting the vacuolar H\(^+\) ATPase (Yamamoto et al, 1998). As a result, autophagosomal structures accumulate (Klionsky et al, 2008; Rubinsztein et al, 2009). However, measurement of LC3 turnover is not sufficient in order to monitor autophagic flux. Levels of other autophagy substrates, including p62 (also known as SQSTM1) have to be used to determine autophagic flux. p62 is incorporated into autophagosomes through direct binding to LC3 and it is subsequently degraded by the lysosome (Bjorkoy et al, 2005). Therefore, inhibition of autophagy leads to the accumulation of p62, whereas decreased levels of p62 are observed when autophagy is induced.

**Figure 7: Incorporation of LC3-II and p62 into the autophagosome.**

Cytosolic LC3-I is conjugated to PE and this step promotes integration of LC3-II into lipid membranes at the phagophore and autophagosomes. LC3B-II correlates with increased levels of autophagic vesicles. The ratio between LC3-I and LC3-II was used to determine changes in autophagosomal structures. The mammalian autophagy-specific substrate p62 interacts with poly-ubiquitinated protein aggregates and is incorporated into autophagosomes through direct binding to LC3-II. LC3-II as well as p62 are subsequently degraded by the lysosome. Accumulation of p62 indicates a block in autophagic flux. The illustration was kindly provided by Dr. Stina Schiller.
1.6.2 Involvement of SNAP29 in autophagy

Recently it has been shown in *Drosophila melanogaster* that Syntaxin17 (Stx17) is recruited to the outer membrane of autophagosomes to mediate fusion through its interactions with SNAP29 and VAMP7 (Takats et al, 2013). The fusion between autophagosome and lysosome is mediated by the three SNARE proteins: Stx17 (Qa-SNARE), SNAP29 (Qbc-SNARE), and the R-SNARE VAMP8 in human or VAMP7 in *Drosophila melanogaster*, respectively (see Figure 9) (Itakura et al, 2012; Takats et al, 2013). A knock-down of these genes in mammals resulted in accumulation of autophagosomes and a block of autolysosomal degradation during basal and starvation-induced autophagy. Furthermore, a role for Stx17 and its interaction partners in autophagy had also emerged in two other recent studies in mammals (Hamasaki et al, 2013; Itakura et al, 2012). Stx17 was found to be located on autophagosomes and knockdown of Stx17 resulted in a blockage of autophagic flux. The formation of autophagosomes was not blocked, but knockdown of Stx17 blocked the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes. Therefore, Stx17 is required for the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes in mammalian cells (Itakura et al, 2012).

In addition, a recent study reported that SNAP29 activity and, correspondingly, autophagy are regulated by post-translational addition of *O*-linked β-N-acetylglucosamine in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and HeLa cells (Guo et al, 2014). *O*-GlcNAcylation is a common post-translational modification that occurs on cytosolic as well as nuclear proteins (Mizushima, 2014). It has been established that the *O*-linked β-N-acetylglucosamine (*O*-GlcNAc) transferase (OGT) modifies SNAP29 in a nutrient-dependent manner. This sugar modification of SNAP29 inhibits autophagosome-lysosome fusion as well as autophagic flux (Guo et al, 2014). Furthermore, it is reduced under starvation conditions, suggesting that signals under nutrient-poor conditions can activate the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes by blocking *O*-GlcNAcylation of SNAP29 (see Figure 8) (Mizushima, 2014).
Figure 8: Regulation of autophagosome–lysosome fusion (modified from Mizushima 2014).

At the initiation step of autophagy the isolation membrane sequesters a part of the cytoplasm and eventually the autophagosome is formed. Afterwards, autophagosome-lysosome fusion proceeds in a process mediated by three SNARE proteins, Stx17 (blue), SNAP29 (green), and VAMP8 (orange). O-GlcNAcylation of SNAP29 (red symbols) by O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) inhibits the formation of the SNARE complex, and thereby the fusion between the autophagosome and lysosome.
1.7 Aim of the study

The profound cutaneous phenotypes associated with CEDNIK syndrome (Sprecher et al., 2005) unequivocally underscores the importance of SNAP29 during epidermal differentiation and in consideration of the very limited number of CEDNIK syndrome patients physiologically relevant models would greatly facilitate the study of the role of SNAP29 during epidermal differentiation.

Until now, merely a small number of genetic diseases associated with mutations in genes encoding for modulators of vesicle trafficking and mutations in genes encoding for SNAP molecules have been reported. CEDNIK syndrome is also part of a steadily growing group of cornification disorders associated with abnormal intracellular transport processes, including ARC syndrome and MEDNIK syndrome, as mentioned earlier.

To date, three non-mammalian Snap29-deficient models have been described. However, a mammalian model is still needed in order to further evaluate the role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation. Therefore, the aim of this study is to generate and characterize two different Snap29-deficient mouse models, in which mice are lacking SNAP29 ubiquitously or exclusively in keratinocytes. This approach is expected to dissect out the in vivo contribution of SNAP29 in normal epidermal barrier formation, to lead to an essential understanding of the complex biological function of SNAP29 in skin morphogenesis as well as barrier formation, and to mimic the cutaneous phenotype of the human CEDNIK syndrome.

Taken together, the Snap29-deficient mouse models will be characterized in this thesis regarding the following three guiding objectives:

- Generation of Snap29 knockout mouse lines and associated effects on epidermal differentiation and epidermal barrier function.
- Effects of Snap29 depletion on LB maturation and secretion in murine epidermis.
- Effects of Snap29 depletion on autophagic flux.
2 Materials

2.1 Biological material

2.1.1 Cell lines

The used cell lines (murine primary fibroblasts, murine embryonic fibroblasts and murine primary keratinocytes) were isolated from the Snap29\textsuperscript{+/−} and Snap29\textsuperscript{flu}/K14-Cre mouse line, respectively.

2.2 Consumable supplies

Table 1: Consumables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumables</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blotting paper extra thick</td>
<td>Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell culture flasks (25cm\textsuperscript{3}, 75cm\textsuperscript{3}, 175cm\textsuperscript{3})</td>
<td>Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell strainer (40µm)</td>
<td>VWR, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryo boxes</td>
<td>Nunc, Wiesbaden GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryo tubes 2ml</td>
<td>Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispenser multipette\textsuperscript{®} plus</td>
<td>Eppendorf, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispenser tips (50µl, 5ml)</td>
<td>Eppendorf, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcon tubes 15ml, 50ml</td>
<td>Sarstedt, Nümbrecht GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formvar-coated grids</td>
<td>Plano, Wetzlar GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass cover slips, round</td>
<td>Roth, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimtech wipes</td>
<td>Kimberly-Clarke Professional, Roswell USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass pipettes (5ml, 10ml, 25ml)</td>
<td>Brand, Wertheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neubauer cell counting chamber</td>
<td>Brand, Wertheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope slides</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope slides SuperFrost\textsuperscript{®} plus</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parafilm\textsuperscript{®}</td>
<td>Brand, Wertheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteurpipettes (230nm)</td>
<td>Brand, Wertheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR tubes</td>
<td>Sarstedt, Nümbrecht GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petri dishes</td>
<td>Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipettes (10µl, 100µl, 200µl, 1000µl)</td>
<td>Eppendorf, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipette tips (10µl, 100µl, 1000µl)</td>
<td>Sarstedt, Nümbrecht GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material/Equipment</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVDF membrane (0.45μM)</td>
<td>Roth, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction tubes (0.5ml, 1.5ml, 2.0ml)</td>
<td>Eppendorf, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalpel, disposable</td>
<td>Feather, Osaka JPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syringes, single use (2ml, 5ml, 10ml)</td>
<td>Braun, Melsungen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue culture multiwell plates (24-well)</td>
<td>Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue culture dish (10cm)</td>
<td>Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Equipment

Table 2: Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2k side mounted CCD camera</td>
<td>Olympus, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System</td>
<td>Applied Biosystems, Foster City USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical balance BP2100; MC1</td>
<td>Sartorius, Goettingen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoclave DE-65</td>
<td>Systec, Wettenberg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchtop centrifuge 5415C</td>
<td>Eppendorf, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂-Incubator</td>
<td>Sanyo, Munich GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold plate EG1150C</td>
<td>Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryostat CM3050 S</td>
<td>Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Distilled Water System Arium® 611VF</td>
<td>Sartorius, Göttingen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU 640® Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>Beckmann, Munich GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM 900 electron microscope</td>
<td>Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FluoView 1000 confocal microscope</td>
<td>Olympus, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezer -20, +4 combination</td>
<td>Wielkart, GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel iX 20 Imager</td>
<td>Intas, Göttingen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hera freeze -80°C freezer</td>
<td>Heraeus Instruments, Hanau GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice machine ZBE 30-10</td>
<td>Ziegra, Isernhagen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubator model 200</td>
<td>Memmer, Büchenbach GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAS 4000</td>
<td>Fujifilm, Düsseldorf GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid Nitrogen container Apollo Biosafe</td>
<td>Messer Griesheim, Bad Soden GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega fuge 1.0, model G25</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microscope Axioskop 2</td>
<td>Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope Axiovert 100</td>
<td>Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope Axiovert Imager M1</td>
<td>Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope BZ-9000</td>
<td>Keyence, Neu-Isernburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope Axiovert 100</td>
<td>Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope BZ-9000</td>
<td>Keyence, Neu-Isernburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtome RM2235</td>
<td>Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>Panasonic, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-PROTEAN Tetra Cell</td>
<td>Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini Rocking Platform</td>
<td>Biometra, Goettingen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NanoVuePlus®</td>
<td>GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraffin tissue floating bath</td>
<td>Medax, Neumünster GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH meter</td>
<td>Schütt, Goettingen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipetboy acu</td>
<td>Integra Biosciences, Fernwald GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Supply Ease 500</td>
<td>Invitrogen, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaker</td>
<td>Infors, Bottmingen SWZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam oven FS20</td>
<td>Braun, Kronberg/Taunus GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterile bench</td>
<td>Technoflow, Dubai UAE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermomixer 5436</td>
<td>Eppendorf, Hamburg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T Gradient Thermo Block</td>
<td>Biometra, Goettingen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TissueLyser LT</td>
<td>Qiagen, Hilden GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Transfer System</td>
<td>Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vortexer Vibrofix VF1 Electronic</td>
<td>IKA Labortechnik, Staufem GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water bath</td>
<td>GFL, Großburgwedel GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.4 Chemicals

#### Table 3: Chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5x HOT FIREpol® EvaGreen® qPCR Mix Plus (ROX)</td>
<td>Solis Biodyne, Tartu EST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEC substrate-chromogen</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarose Saekem®</td>
<td>Fluka Chemie, Neu-Ulm GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium persulfate (APS)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua ad injectabilia</td>
<td>Braun, Melsungen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BODIPY 493/503</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boric acid</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford Mix Roti®</td>
<td>Quant Roth, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromphenol blue</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium chloride (CaCl₂)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Retrieval Solution</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete ULTRA Tablets Mini EDTA</td>
<td>Roche, Mannheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispase® II</td>
<td>Roche, Mannheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-PBS</td>
<td>GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies, Eggenstein GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNase</td>
<td>Qiagen, Hilden GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP Mix (dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP)</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBSS</td>
<td>GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies, Eggenstein GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosin B</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol absolute, 99,8%</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faramount mounting medium, aqueous</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence mounting medium</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde 4%</td>
<td>Serva, Heidelberg GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Ruler (100bp #SM0241) DNA ladder</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaraldehyde CH₂(CH₂CHO)₉, EM-grade</td>
<td>Science Services, Munich GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine (C₂H₅NO₂)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (C₃H₈O₃)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBSS</td>
<td>GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies, Eggenstein GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD Green</td>
<td>Intas, Göttingen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoxylin solution, Mayer's</td>
<td>Roth, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>PAA, Coelbe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoechst33342</td>
<td>Life Technologies, Eggenstein GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropanol (C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;O)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Chloride (MgCl&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marker VI, protein ladder</td>
<td>Applichem, Chicago Illinois USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol (CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;OH)</td>
<td>Mallinckrodt Baker, Griesheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nile Red</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonidet P40</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue-Tek&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt; O.C.T.&lt;sup&gt;™&lt;/sup&gt; compound</td>
<td>Sakura, Alphen aan den Rijn NL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OsO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt; (2%)</td>
<td>Science Services, Munich GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraformaldehyde (PFA)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhosSTOP Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail</td>
<td>Roche, Mannheim GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponceau S</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Chloride (KCl)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylen oxide (C&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;O)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roti&lt;sup&gt;®&lt;/sup&gt;-Free Stripping Buffer</td>
<td>Roth, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride (NaCl)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)</td>
<td>Roth, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (NaOH)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluidine blue</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris-Base</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin inhibitor soybean</td>
<td>GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies Eggenstein GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSA blocking reagent</td>
<td>Perkin Elmer, Waltham USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vectashield Mounting Medium for Fluorescence with DAPI 1:1200</td>
<td>Vector Laboratories, Burlingham CA/USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-mercaptoethanol (C&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;OS)</td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5 Buffers, solutions and media

Frequently used buffers, solutions and media were prepared as listed below.

### Table 4: Buffers, solutions and media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell culture</th>
<th>Receipt/ Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) high glucose</td>
<td>PAA, Coelbe GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Freezing medium (fibroblasts) | 40% DMEM  
40% (v/v) FBS  
20% (v/v) DMSO |
| Freezing medium (keratinocytes) | 40% keratinocyte growth medium  
40% (v/v) FBS  
20% (v/v) DMSO |
| Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) | Biochrom AG, Berlin GER |
| Penicillin-Streptomycin (100x) | PAA, Coelbe GER |
| Trypanblue solution 0.4% | Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER |
| Trypsin/EDTA | Biochrom AG, Berlin GER |
| Keratinocyte growth medium | Provitro, Berlin GER |
| Accutase | Biowest, Nuaillé FRA |
| Gentamicin/Amphotericin B500X | GIBCO BRL, Life Technologies Eggenstein GER |
| Dispase® II | dissolve in Heps-buffered saline  
(50mM Heps/KOH pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl)  
(10 mg/ml) |
| Gel electrophoresis | |
| TBE | 89mM Tris-Base  
89mM Boric acid  
2mM EDTA |
| Protein biochemistry | |
| Immunoblot transfer buffer, pH 8.3 | 0.192M Glycine  
0.025M Tris-Base  
20% MeOH (v/v) |
## Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer Type</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01% SDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponceau S solution</td>
<td>0.2% (v/v) Ponceau S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3% (v/v) TFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)</td>
<td>3.6g PFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8ml PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 drops 1M NaOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ dissolve stirring at 60°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>→ ad 50ml with PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS running buffer, pH 8.3</td>
<td>0.192M Glycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.025M Tris-Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS splitting buffer, pH 4.7</td>
<td>30mM Tris-Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15% Glycerine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.04% Bromophenol blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before use add 10% β-mercaptoethanol (v/v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogenization buffer, pH 7.0</td>
<td>1% Triton X-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150mM Hepes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ad 10ml H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ad protease and phosphatase inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional commonly used buffers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10x PBS, pH 7.2</td>
<td>1.5M NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30mM KCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80mM Na₂HPO₄ x 2H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10mM KH₂PO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6x DNA loading buffer</td>
<td>0.5 M EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50% (v/v) Glycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01% Bromophenol blue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6 Oligonucleotides

The following oligonucleotides were utilized in this work.

Table 5: Oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotyping</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>5’-GCAGCGTCTGCATTGGATAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>5’-CTTGCTATCCACCTGGCCTTAG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>5’-CACACCTCCCCCTGAACCTGAAAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>5’-CCACATGTAACCACGCCCCCTC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>5’-GTTCTAGGAATCTTCGCTGCCG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIIa-Cre-s</td>
<td>5’-CCTGGGAAATGCTTCTGTCCG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIIa-Cre</td>
<td>5’-GTGAAACAGCATTGCTGTCATT-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-Cre-up</td>
<td>5’-GATGAAAGCCAAGGGAATG-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K14-Cre-down</td>
<td>5’-CATCACTCGTTGCAATCCAC-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides for quantitative Real-time PCR purchased from Qiagen, Hilden GER</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Order number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snap29</td>
<td>QT00153853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides for quantitative Real-time PCR</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin_fwd</td>
<td>5’-AGCCATGTACGTAGCCATCCA-3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin_rev</td>
<td>5’-TCTCCGGAGTCCATCACAATG-3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7 Ready to use reaction systems

The following ready to use reaction systems were utilized in this work.

Table 6: Reaction systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gel extraction and PCR Clean Up</td>
<td>Machery + Nagel, Düren GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAPA mouse genotyping Hot Start Kit</td>
<td>Peqlab, Erlangen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAPA Hot Taq DNA polymerase</td>
<td>Peqlab, Erlangen GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roti®-Quant Protein quantification assay</td>
<td>Roth, Karlsruhe GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>according to Bradford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase free DNase Set</td>
<td>Qiagen, Hilden GER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNeasy Mini Kit</th>
<th>Qiagen, Hilden GER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA synthesis Kit</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific, Braunschweig GER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WesternBreeze Chemilumonescent Immunodetection Systems anti mouse/rabbit</td>
<td>Applied Biosystems, Foster City USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.8 Antibodies

Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in TSA blocking reagent (see Table 3) and concentrations are listed below.

**Table 7: Primary antibodies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>Anti-human β-actin clone AC-74</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen GER</td>
<td>1:2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caspase 3</td>
<td>anti-mouse caspase 3 clone AF835</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>R&amp;D Systems, Minneapolis USA</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDSN</td>
<td>anti-human cornedesmosin clone MBS713765</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Emelca Bioscience, Breda NL</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOP/GADD153</td>
<td>anti-mouse CHOP clone NBP2-13172</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Novus Biologicals, Littleton USA</td>
<td>1:250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>filaggrin</td>
<td>anti-mouse filaggrin clone PRB-417P</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Covance, Freiburg GER</td>
<td>1:10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlcCer</td>
<td>rabbit anti-glucosylerceramide</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glycobiotech™, Kükels GER</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>involucrin</td>
<td>anti-mouse involucrin clone ab28057</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Abcam, Cambridge UK</td>
<td>1:4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keratin 6</td>
<td>anti-mouse keratin 6 clone PRB-169P</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Covance, Freiburg GER</td>
<td>1:1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keratin 10</td>
<td>anti-mouse keratin 10 clone ab9026</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>Abcam, Cambridge UK</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>keratin 14</td>
<td>anti-mouse keratin 14</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Covance, Freiburg GER</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Host</td>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Dilution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa-Fluor® 488 goat anti-rabbit</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Dianova, Hamburg GER</td>
<td>1:800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa-Fluor® 594 goat anti-mouse</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Dianova, Hamburg GER</td>
<td>1:800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Vector Laboratories, Burlingame USA</td>
<td>1:300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biotinylated anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>goat</td>
<td>Vector Laboratories, Burlingame USA</td>
<td>1:300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biotinylated anti-goat IgG</td>
<td>rabbit</td>
<td>Vector Laboratories, Burlingame USA</td>
<td>1:300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin, Peroxidase conjugate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Merck, Darmstadt GER</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.9 Software and online tools

The following software and online tools were used during this project:

Table 9: List of utilized software and online tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Supplier/web address</th>
<th>application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CorelDraw Graphics</td>
<td>Corel Corporation, Ottawa CA</td>
<td>Image Editing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suits X5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E!ensembl</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ensembl.org">http://www.ensembl.org</a></td>
<td>Genome browser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endnote X1.01</td>
<td>Thomson Reuters, New York USA</td>
<td>Literature management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health, Bethesda USA</td>
<td>Western blot quantification by densiometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAS4000</td>
<td>Fujifilm, Düsseldorf GER</td>
<td>Imaging System, Western Blot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft Office</td>
<td>Microsoft, Unterschleißheim GER</td>
<td>Word, Powerpoint, Excel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PyRAT</td>
<td>Scionics Computer Innovation GmbH</td>
<td>Animal Facility Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TierBase</td>
<td>MPI Freiburg</td>
<td>animal breeding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Methods

3.1 Animals

The use of mice as an animal model was approved by the local authorities for Animal Care and Use of the state of Lower Saxony (LAVES, Oldenburg, Germany). All experiments were performed in accordance with animal policies of the University Department of Medicine Göttingen and the Max Planck Institute for Experimental Medicine. B6N:B6N-Snap29\textsuperscript{tm1a(EUCOMM)Wtsi} heterozygous knock-in mice were derived from a mouse line generated by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (WTSI) Mouse genetics programme (MGP) and were provided by the European Mutant Mouse Archive (EMMA: www.emmanet.org) (strain number, EM:04440). All animals were housed under standard conditions in the animal facilities of the University Department of Medicine Göttingen and the Max Planck Institute for Experimental Medicine in Göttingen at 20-22°C and 50-55% relative humidity, on a 12-hour light and 12-hour dark schedule.

3.2 Genotyping mice

3.2.1 Isolation of genomic DNA

Genomic DNA was extracted from tail biopsies using the KAPA Mouse Genotyping Kit according to manufacturer's specification. Sequences of primers (see Table 5), the expected product sizes and the corresponding genotypes are given in Figure 11.

3.3 Microbiology

3.3.1 Sterilization and autoclavation

Liquids as well as S1-waste were autoclaved at 120°C and 3bar for 20min. Plastic pipette tips were autoclaved at 120°C and 3bar and subsequently dried at 70°C for 4 hours. Glass pipettes used for cell culture were washed in a dish washer at 40°C. Afterwards, glass pipettes were sealed with cotton wool and sterilized at 190°C for at least 4 hours.
3.4 Molecular methods

3.4.1 Isolation of nucleic acids

3.4.1.1 Extraction of nucleic acids from agarose gels

Extraction of specific DNA fragments from 1% agarose gels (see Chapter 3.4.6.1) was performed using the NucleoSpin® Gel extraction and PCR clean up Kit by Macherey-Nagel according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Prior to extraction, DNA fragments were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis and subsequently, the fragment of interest was excised using a clean scalpel.

3.4.2 RNA isolation from primary fibroblasts

Total RNA was isolated from primary murine fibroblasts using the RNeasy Mini Kit by Qiagen. Cells were harvested as described in chapter 3.4.7.2 and disrupted using RLT buffer. Depending on the number of pelleted cells, either 350μl or 600μl RLT buffer was added to the pellet or further steps were carried out according to the manufacturer's specifications. In order to remove any residual contaminating DNA, DNase treatment was performed. Finally, RNA quantification and its assessment of purity were determined by spectrophotometry using the NanoVuePlus® (see Chapter 3.4.2) and the RNA was stored at -80°C until further use.

3.4.3 Enzymatic manipulation of nucleic acids

3.4.3.1 Complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis

cDNA was synthesized using the Revert Aid H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. This kit contains an Oligo (dT)$_{18}$ primer, which hybridizes to the poly(A)-tail of the messenger RNA (mRNA). The RevertAid H Minus Reverse Transcriptase lacks a ribonuclease H activity and therefore, it does not degrade RNA in RNA-DNA hybrids during synthesis of the first strand cDNA.

In order to synthesize cDNA, 1μg of total RNA was mixed with 1μl Oligo d(T) primer, auqa bidest was added to 12μl and incubated at 70°C for 5min. In the mean time, a premix consisting of buffer, RNase inhibitor and dNTPs was prepared. Subsequently, the premix was added to the reaction mix and incubated at 37°C for 5min. Thereafter, 1μl reverse transcriptase was added and the cDNA was synthesized at 42°C for 60min. The reaction was
stopped by incubation at 70°C for 10 min and the synthesized cDNA was stored at -20°C until further use.

3.4.4 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

The PCR was first described in 1986 (Mullis et al, 1986) and it is commonly used to synthesize multiple copies of a segment of DNA. PCR is very precise and can be used to amplify a specific DNA target from a mixture of DNA molecules. It consists of three steps which are repeated several times (cycles, n): strand separation of the target DNA by heat (denaturation), binding of the oligonucleotide primers to the single stranded target sequence (annealing) and the extension of the annealed primers by a thermostable DNA polymerase (polymerization). Primer sequences are listed in Table 5. Primers P1, P2, and P3 were used for genotyping Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice and the size of the respective PCR products are depicted in Figure 11. Primers P4, P5, and P2 were used for genotyping Snap29<sup>fl/fl-K14-Cre</sup> mice. Primers EIIa-Cre-s and EIIa-Cre were used for genotyping EIIa-Cre mutant mice.

Standard PCR reactions were carried out in a total volume of 20 μl using 1 μl of template and a Hot Taq DNA polymerase.

Reaction mix:
- 1 μl template
- 0.5 μl forward primer
- 0.5 μl reverse primer
- 4 μl enhancer solution
- 2 μl S-buffer
- 1 μl Hot Taq DNA polymerase (diluted 1:10)
- 1 μl dNTPs
- H2O ad 20 μl

PCR conditions:
- 95°C, 3 min initial denaturation
- 95°C, 30 sec denaturation
- 55°C, 30 sec primer annealing
- 72°C, 30 sec elongation
- 72°C, 10 min final extension
Primers K14-Cre-up and K14-Cre-down were used for genotyping K14-Cre mutant mice. PCR conditions were:

- 95°C, 2min initial denaturation
- 95°C, 30sec denaturation
- 60°C, 45sec primer annealing
- 72°C, 45sec elongation
- 72°C, 5min final extension

PCR reactions were analyzed on 1% agarose gels containing HD Green (5µl HD Green per 100ml agarose). Fragments were visualized under ultraviolet light (UV) using the gel documentation system by Intas (see Chapter 3.4.6.1).

### 3.4.5 Quantitative Real-time PCR

For quantitative Real-time PCR, total RNA was extracted from primary murine fibroblasts using the RNeasy Mini Kit according to manufacturer's instructions. Afterwards, cDNA was synthesized from 1µg of total RNA using the RevertAid H Minus First Strand cDNA synthesis Kit (see chapter 3.4.2). Analysis of Snap29 gene expression was carried out using EVA Green-based real-time PCR detection. For each reaction, 50ng of cDNA was used in a final reaction mixture of 10µl, containing 1pmol oligonucleotides and 2µl EvaGreen® qPCR Mix Plus. A passive reference dye (ROX) is included in this mix to normalize the fluorescent reporter signal. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate. Snap29 expression levels were normalized against β-Actin housekeeping gene. Quantitative Real-time PCR analyses were performed using the Applied Biosystems 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System. The sequences of the PCR primer pairs are shown in Table 5.

The PCR protocol was:

- 95°C, 15min initial denaturation
- 95°C, 15sec denaturation
- 60°C, 20sec primer annealing
- 72°C, 20sec elongation
- and a final dissociation curve
3.4.6 Analysis of DNA

3.4.6.1 Agarose gel electrophoresis (AGE)

DNA fragments generated by PCR (see Chapter 3.4.4) were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis for analysis or preparative purposes. The phosphate backbone of the DNA molecule is negatively charged, therefore in an electric field, DNA fragments will migrate to the positively charged anode. DNA fragments exhibit different mobility due to the DNA fragment size and the pore size of the agarose gel. Accordingly, smaller fragments move faster than bigger ones, and consequently they can be separated by size.

Agarose gels were prepared by microwave heating a suitable amount of agarose in 1x TBE buffer, which also served as running buffer. The concentration of agarose in a gel was depended on the sizes of the DNA fragments to be separated. For visualization, a nucleic acid stain (HD Green® Safe DNA Dye) was added (5µl per 100ml agarose). Samples were mixed with loading buffer (see Table 4) and electrophoresis was run at a current of 90V for 45min. Fragment sizes were compared to an appropriate molecular weight size standard. Visualization of the DNA fragments was performed under UV light using a gel documentation system from Intas.

3.4.7 Cell Biology

3.4.7.1 Preparation of primary murine fibroblasts

Primary murine fibroblasts were obtained either from skin biopsies of neonatal or from embryonic mice. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were isolated from mouse embryos collected at E19 or E20. The pregnant mouse was sacrificed by cervical dislocation, the uterine horns were dissected out and immersed in PBS containing 5% P/S (v/v) for 1 hour at 4°C. Tail biopsies for genotyping (see chapter 3.2) were taken and stored at -20°C. The following steps were carried out in a tissue culture hood using sterile instruments. The uterine horns were placed in a Petri dish and each embryo was separated from its embryonic sac and afterwards the head was dissected.

Neonatal mice were sacrificed by decapitation and rinsed in PBS containing 5% P/S (v/v) for 1 hour at 4°C. The mice were placed in a clean Petri dish containing PBS and 5% P/S (v/v). The skin biopsies were collected using a razor blade and small pieces were placed in a 75cm² cell culture flask. The samples were allowed to dry for 20-30min. Subsequently, 10ml DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (v/v) and 1% P/S (v/v), was carefully added to the culture flask.
in order to prevent detachment of the skin biopsies from the cell culture flask.

3.4.7.2 Culture of primary murine fibroblasts

Murine fibroblasts were cultured with DMEM (high glucose) supplemented with 10% FBS (v/v) and 1% P/S (v/v) in a humified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO₂ until they reached confluency. Prior to passaging, the adherent cells were initially rinsed with 10ml PBS to remove any residual FBS as well as dead cells. Dissociation from the culture flask was achieved using 4ml trypsin/EDTA at 37°C for 5min. Trypsin was inactivated by addition of 10ml culture medium (complete), followed by centrifugation at 1000rpm for 5min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in an adequate volume of medium. Depending on the cell density and growing behavior, cells were usually split at a ratio of 1:2 for primary fibroblasts and transferred into a new culture flask.

3.4.7.3 Isolation of primary murine keratinocytes

Newborn mice were sacrificed by decapitation and washed in D-PBS with 20µg/ml Gentamicin/Amphotericin for at least 1 hour. Tail and limbs of the mice were cut off (for genotyping see Chapter 3.2) and the skin of was peeled off, most suitable in one piece. In order to detach the epidermis from the dermis, the skin was incubated for 16-18 hours in a dispase solution (1ml dispase, 5ml HBSS, 4.8µl Gentamicin/Amphotericin) at 4°C in a Petri dish. The following day, the epidermis was gently separated from the dermis with a pair of curved forceps. The epidermis was transferred into a new petri dish containing 2ml trypsin-EDTA and incubated for 10min at 37°C. Afterwards, the epidermis was minced using a scalp in order to separate single cells from the cell sheet and 4ml of trypsin-inhibitor were added to stop the reaction. The cell suspension was given trough a cell strainer (40µm) and subsequently centrifuged for 10min at 500rpm. The supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was resuspended in an adequate volume of keratinocyte growth medium. Cells were seeded in culture flasks and the following day, the medium was changed to remove unattached cells.

3.4.7.4 Cultivation of primary murine keratinocytes

The cultivation of primary murine keratinocytes has been exceedingly difficult due to the frequent contamination with e.g. fibroblasts (Prose et al, 1967). In order to prevent contaminations with other cell types, primary keratinocytes were cultured in a serum-free
medium with low calcium concentrations, which was supplemented with a mixture of several growth factors (see Table 4).

Additionally, primary murine keratinocytes are highly responsive to calcium concentrations (Hennings et al, 1980). Higher calcium concentration than 0.09mM enhance terminal differentiation and therefore decrease proliferation of undifferentiated keratinocytes (Hennings et al, 1980; Roop et al, 1983). Concordantly, keratinocytes were cultured with special keratinocyte growth medium supplemented with Gentamicin/Amphotericin B (1ml in 500ml medium) in a humified atmosphere at 37°C and 5% CO₂ until they reached confluency. The culture medium was changed every three to four days. In order to detach the cells from the culture surface, Accutase® was used, which is a mixture of proteolytic and collagenolytic enzymes isolated from crustaceans. The cells were washed with D-PBS to remove any residual medium and an adequate volume of Accutase® was added, followed by 20-30min incubation at 37°C. Accutase® leaves most surface proteins intact and it does not require a separate reagent to stop the reaction. After detachment of the cells, Accutase® was diluted with medium, followed by centrifugation at 500rpm for 10min. The supernatant was discarded, the pellet was resuspended in an adequate volume of medium and transferred into a new culture flask.

3.4.7.5 Cell counting

In order to determine the number of cells/ml, adherent cells were detached with trypsin/EDTA and resuspended in fresh culture medium (complete) as described before (see chapter 3.4.7.2 and 3.4.7.4). 10μl cell suspension was mixed with 90μl trypan blue and 10μl of this suspension was applied to a Neubauer counting chamber. Four large squares (each 1mm²) were counted under a microscope and the number of cells was the sum of all the counted cells in all squares counted. The cell number per ml is: the sum of all counted cells x 2500 x the dilution factor 10.

3.4.7.6 Freezing and thawing cells

For cryo-preservation of fibroblasts, cells were sedimented at 1000rpm for 5min, the supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 500μl culture medium. Briefly, 500μl freezing medium was added and the suspension was transferred to cryo tubes. A cell freezing container filled with isopropanol was used to ensure a controlled cooling rate (-1°C/min) at -80°C. The following day, the cryo tubes were stored in liquid nitrogen for
permanent storage at -196°C. For cryo-preservation of keratinocytes, cells were detached using Accutase® as described in Chapter 3.4.7.4 and centrifuged at 500rpm for 10min.

In order to cultivate frozen cells, cells were thawed under running tap water and transferred to 10ml culture medium (complete) and centrifuged at 1000rpm for 5min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in an appropriate volume of culture medium and re-seeded in a culture flask. The culture medium was renewed the following day to remove any residual DMSO and dead cells.

3.4.8 Histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis

3.4.8.1 Tissue preparation, paraffin and OCT embedding, sectioning

For assessment of the skin biopsies by immunohistochemistry, mice were sacrificed by decapitation directly after birth and dorsal skin grafts of newborn litters from knock-out and control groups were fixed overnight in 4% phosphate-buffered formaldehyde (PFA) and then automatically embedded in paraffin. Skin biopsies were dehydrated in an ascending ethanol series, incubated in xylene and afterwards in paraffin at 60°C. Finally, the samples were embedded in paraffin and the blocks were stored at room temperature.

Prior to sectioning, the blocks were cooled down for at least 30min on a cooling plate. Afterwards, the blocks were sectioned with a rotary microtome in 3µm thick slices and placed on Superfrost™Plus microscope slides. Sections were dried overnight at 42°C and stored at room temperature for several weeks.

For frozen sections, skin biopsies were embedded in O.C.T.™ (optimal cutting temperature compound) and stored at -80°C until needed. Slices were sectioned with a cryostat at 5 to 10µm, placed on Superfrost™Plus microscope slides and air dried for 2 hours. If not needed the same day, cryo-sections were stored at -80°C for several weeks.

3.4.8.2 Haematoxylin and eosin staining (H&E staining)

H&E staining can be used amongst others to identify morphologic changes in the epidermis. Hematoxylin stains nucleic acids in a blue-purple manner and eosin stains proteins nonspecifically (Cardiff et al, 2014).

Sections were deparaffinized by incubation in xylene for 10min (two times) and rehydrated with a descending ethanol series (2x 100%, 96%, 75%, 50%, and 30%) for 5min each. Slides
were incubated in dH2O (5min), stained with Mayer’s haematoxylin solution for 1min and rinsed under running tap water for 10min. Subsequently, sections were counterstained with eosin staining solution for 5min. Sections were washed in dH2O and mounted with Faramount mounting medium. Pictures were taken with an Axioskop 2.

### 3.4.8.3 Immunohistochemistry

Protein levels were examined by staining of epidermal sections with the corresponding specific antibodies (Table 7). All immunohistochemical experiments were performed using age-matched littermates. 3µm paraffin-embedded sections of neonatal dorsal skin grafts were deparaffinized by incubation in xylene for 10min, rehydrated in a descending ethanol series (2x 100%, 96%, 75%, 50%, and 30%) for 5min each and incubated in dH2O for 5min. The sections were subjected to antigen retrieval in pre-heated target retrieval solution (pH 6.1) in a steam oven for 25min. Afterwards, the sections were allowed to cool down to room temperature and washed three times with PBS. Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched for 15min at room temperature with 3% H2O2 in PBS and washed three times with PBS. Prior to incubation with the primary antibody, the sections were incubated for at least 30min at room temperature in TSA blocking reagent and then incubated with the respective primary antibodies overnight at 4°C (see Table 7). Every incubation step was performed in a wet chamber preventing the slides from drying out. After every following step, slides were washed three times with PBS. The next day, sections were incubated with a biotinylated secondary antibody for 60min at room temperature, followed by incubation with a HRP-conjugated streptavidin for 40min at RT. Subsequently, the antibodies were visualized using AEC substrate-chromogen. Staining intensity was controlled under a microscope and the reaction was stopped with dH2O. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin for 1min and rinsed under running tap water for 10min. Sections were washed in dH2O and mounted with Faramount mounting medium. Pictures were taken with an Axioskop 2. Sections labeled with only the secondary antibody served as controls.

### 3.4.8.4 Immunofluorescence analysis

Indirect immunofluorescence uses an unlabeled primary antibody (see Table 7), which recognizes the target antigen and binds to it. Afterwards, a secondary antibody conjugated to a fluorescent dye is used to bind the first antibody (see Table 8) (Odell & Cook, 2013).
Paraffin-embedded tissue sections were used, deparaffinized, antigen unmasked, and blocked with TSA blocking reagent as described in Chapter 3.4.8.3. Cryo-sections were fixed with ice cold methanol for 10min at -20°C, washed with PBS and also blocked with TSA blocking reagent. The sections were incubated with the respective primary antibody overnight at 4°C in a wet chamber. The following day, the sections were washed extensively with PBS and incubated with the corresponding fluorescent-labeled secondary antibody, diluted 1:800 in blocking buffer, for 45min at room temperature. Sections were washed three times with PBS and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium containing DAPI. Pictures were taken with an Axioskop 100 using the corresponding filter set to the fluorescent dye of the secondary antibodies. The pictures were automatically merged by the Axiovision software program.

In order to perform immunofluorescence analysis with cells, primary murine keratinocytes were seeded the previous day on glass cover slips in 24-well plates (5,000 cells per well, see Chapter 3.4.7.5). The following day, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% PFA for 15min. Immunofluorescence was performed as described above.

### 3.4.8.5 Nile red staining

Nile red (9-diethylamino-5H-benzo[alpha]phenoxazine-5-one) is a lipophilic dye commonly used for the detection of lipids via fluorescence microscopy. The emission shifts from red to yellow according to the degree of hydrophobicity of lipids. Neutral lipids are stained in yellow, whereas polar lipids are stained in red (Diaz et al, 2008).

A stock solution of Nile red (500μg/ml in acetone) was prepared, stored at 4°C, and protected from light. Shortly afterwards, a fresh Nile red staining solution (2,5μg/ml) was prepared by adding 5μl of stock solution to 995μl of 70% glycerol and for nuclear staining 10μl DAPI (1μg/ml) were added. A few drops of staining solution were applied to OCT-embedded frozen sections (5μm) and immediately mounted with fluorescence mounting medium. Nile red fluorescence was captured with an Axioskop 100. Fluorescence emission was detected in the range of 450-500nm.

### 3.4.8.6 BODIPY 493/503 staining

BODIPY 493/503 is another lipophilic fluorescent dye, which stains as well as Nile red neutral lipids and has been extensively used for lipid droplet labeling (Ohsaki et al, 2010). BODIPY 493/503 was dissolved in DMSO to give a stock solution of 1mg/ml and stored at -20°C. A fresh staining solution of BODIPY 493/503 diluted in PBS (1μg/ml) was used for
staining. 5μm frozen sections were fixed for 20min with 4% PFA, washed with PBS and stained for 20min at room temperature and mounted with fluorescence mounting medium. Images were taken with a FluoView 1000 confocal microscope (Olympus) at 1024x1024 pixel resolution using a 40x 0.90 NA UPLSAPO objective, 2-fold line averaging and a pinhole size corresponding to 1 Airy disc. BODIPY 493/503 was excited using the 488nm line of the Argon laser. Fluorescence emission was detected in the range of 500-600nm.

3.4.8.7 Skin permeability assays

In order to test potential epidermal barrier defects, inward as well as outward epidermal barrier function were analyzed. The fluid loss across the epidermis through evaporation was assessed by measuring the body weight of the mice (List et al, 2002). Therefore, neonatal mice were separated from their mothers to prevent dietary intake and kept at 37°C. The body weight was measured every 15min using a precision scale for approximately 3 hours. The rate of water loss was calculated by the reduction of body weight as a function of time.

Skin permeability was also assessed by dye diffusion using toluidine blue, which is an acidophilic metachromatic dye. It has an affinity for nucleic acids, and therefore stains tissues with a high DNA and RNA content (Sridharan & Shankar, 2012). Sacrificed neonatal mice were rinsed in PBS and dehydrated in an ascending methanol series (25%, 50%, and 75% methanol/PBS) followed by incubation with 100% methanol for 1min. Subsequently, the mice were rehydrated with the same series of methanol solutions (1min each), rinsed in PBS, and stained for 30min in 0.1% toluidine blue/PBS. After destaining in PBS for 15min, the mice were photographed immediately.

3.4.8.8 Electron microscopy

Electron microscopy was performed at the Max Planck Institute of Experimental Medicine in the Department of Neurogenetics. Skin biopsies of sacrificed mice were immersed in fixation solution, consisting of 2.5% glutaraldehyde (EM-grade), and 4% formaldehyde in phosphate buffer (pH 7.3), according to Karlsson and Schultz (Karlsson & Schultz, 1965) and suitably modified (Mobius et al, 2010). After postfixation with 2% OsO4 and dehydration with ethanol, isopropanol, and propylene oxide, samples were embedded in Epon. Ultrathin sections were placed on formvar-coated grids and imaged with an EM 900 electron microscope with a 2k side mounted CCD camera.
3.5 **Biochemical methods**

3.5.1 **Preparation of whole protein lysates**

For western blotting, whole protein lysates were either prepared from skin sections or from primary fibroblasts. Skin sections were disrupted and homogenized with lysis buffer (see Table 4) containing a protease-inhibitor mix using the TissueLyser LT for 45min at 4°C. Extracts were put on ice and briefly sedimented at 13000rpm for 20min at 4°C. Supernatants were re-centrifuged for 15min.

In order to prepare protein lysates from cells, fibroblasts were harvested as described in Chapter 3.4.7.2. Cell lysis was carried out by resuspending the cell pellet in lysis buffer (see Table 4), followed by incubation for 30min on ice. The cell lysates were sedimented to remove the residual cell debris (15min, 13000rpm, at 4°C) and the supernatant was transferred into a new reaction tube.

3.5.2 **Bradford protein quantification**

Protein concentration was examined by Bradford assay (Bradford, 1976) using a ready to use Bradford solution according to the manufacturer's instructions. Protein concentration was photometrically measured (OD$_{595}$) and quantification was determined using a BSA calibration curve (serial dilutions, 0-10μg/ml).

3.5.3 **Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)**

Proteins were separated according to their size using the polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Therefore, protein lysates were mixed with 3% SDS splitting buffer, containing β-mercaptoethanol, and heated for 10min at 95°C. SDS as a detergent, along with the reducing agent (to break down protein-protein disulphide bonds), disrupts the tertiary structure and coats the protein with an overall negative charge. The SDS-treated proteins have similar charge-to-mass ratios in an electric field; hence they can be separated according to their molecular weight. Separation of proteins via gel electrophoresis was performed using SDS-PAGE gels with a concentration of 12.5% or 15% for the separation gel and 5% for the stacking gel. Gels were loaded with 25-50μg whole protein lysates.
3.5.4 Western Blotting

Western Blot technique allows protein visualization of one specific protein within a protein mixture by antibody detection. For semi-dry western blotting, the proteins were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane after horizontal SDS-PAGE. Prior to use, the PVDF membrane was activated by soaking it in methanol for a few seconds and afterwards, blot paper as well as the membrane were pre-equilibrated with transfer buffer. The transfer was carried out using the Bio-Rad Transblot Turbo System at a current of 0.6A and maximal voltage of 12V for 20-30min, depending on the size of the respective proteins. Successful protein transfer was visualized by staining with Ponceau S solution, followed by destaining of the membrane with aqua bidest. In order to saturate free protein binding sites, membranes were incubated with blocking buffer for at least 30min according to manufacturer’s instructions. Incubation with the respective primary antibody was performed rocking overnight at 4°C (see Table 7). The next day, the membranes were incubated with an appropriate secondary antibody for 45min at room temperature. Membranes were washed four times with washing solution for 5min and rinsed two times with aqua bidest. Antibody binding was detected using the WesternBreeze Chemiluminescent Immunodetection system, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The resulting chemiluminescent signal was detected with a luminescent image analyzer LAS-4000 (25sec - 1min using the Increment super setting).

3.5.5 Membrane stripping

Prior to reprobing, membranes were stripped in Roti®-Free Stripping Buffer at 56°C for 30min, washed in PBS and blocked in blocking buffer for 30min at room temperature. For normalization, membranes were reprobed with anti-β-actin antibody as described in Chapter 3.5.4.

3.6 Statistics and densiometric analysis

Quantification of protein bands on western blots was performed using ImageJ with at least three independent experiments. Values of n refer to the number of mice in each group. In general, p values were determined by performing two-sided unpaired student’s t-test using Microsoft Excel. A p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant for all comparisons.
4 Results

In consideration of the very limited number of CEDNIK syndrome patients and the absence of a mouse model for this syndrome, physiologically relevant animal models would greatly facilitate the study of the role of SNAP29 during epidermal differentiation. In order to mimic the human CEDNIK syndrome in a mouse model and subsequently gain insight into the role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation, particularly in LB formation and maturation (see Chapter 1.3), we created two Snap29-deficient mouse models.

4.1 Generation of total or epidermal Snap29-deficient mice

Since no mammalian animal models are available for CEDNIK syndrome, alignment of human and mouse protein sequences revealed an overall conservation at the protein level of 83%. Consequently, the mouse Snap29 gene can be considered to be the human SNAP29 homolog (see Figure 9).

Figure 9: Alignments of murine SNAP29 with its human ortholog revealed 83% amino acid identity.

Comparison of the human (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM_004782.3) and murine (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM_023348.4) SNAP29 protein sequence. Identical (black) and conserved (grey) amino acid residues are indicated.

Snap29-deficient mice were generated using Cre mediated loxP recombination. Therefore, B6N:B6N-Snap29\(^{tm1a(EUCOMM)Wtsi}\) heterozygous knock-in mice were derived from a mouse line generated by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (WTSI) Mouse genetics programme (MGP) (see Figure 10 and Figure 11a). At first, mice were generated containing a floxed Snap29 allele (Snap29\(^{fl/wt}\)), and bred to homozygosity (Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)). These animals showed no
Results

phenotypical abnormalities, indicating that the genetic manipulation did not affect the function of the Snap29 gene.

Total Snap29 (also referred to as Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>) mice were generously provided by Prof. Dr. Nave from the Max Planck Institute (MPI) of Experimental Medicine in Göttingen (Department of Neurogenetics). Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice were generated by intercrossing Snap29<sup>fl/wt</sup> heterozygous knock-in mice with transgenic mice expressing a Cre recombinase under the control of the adenovirus EIIa promoter (Lakso et al, 1996). Snap29<sup>fl/wt</sup> mice harbor a FRT flanked lacZ/neomycin sequence, followed by a loxP site in intron 1-2 and an additional loxP site inserted downstream of exon 2 (see Figure 11). This promotor targets the expression of Cre recombinase to the early mouse embryo and EIIa gene products are ubiquitously expressed. In addition to the Cre-mediated excision of exon 2, the abnormal splicing of exon 1 to exon 3 results in a shift of the open reading frame with early termination at the eigth codon of exon 3 (see Figure 11b) (Schiller et al, 2015).

In order to further examine the role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation, a transgenic mouse line expressing Snap29 under the control of the keratinocyte specific keratin 14 (K14) promoter was generated (hereafter referred to as Snap29<sup>fl/K14-Cre</sup> mice) (see Figure 10). The K14 promoter is nearly exclusively expressed in basal keratinocytes (in addition to tongue, mouth, thymus and esophagus (Lloyd et al, 1995; Moll et al, 1982; Wang et al, 1997)). In addition, the K14 promoter is transcriptionally active in oocytes (Hafner et al, 2004), therefore, only male mice were taken to transmit the Cre gene, because in females Cre expression would result in recombination in oocytes (Scharfenberger et al, 2014). Prof. Dr. med. Sabine Eming from the Department of the University of Cologne generously provided us with transgenic mice expressing Cre recombinase under the control of the human K14 promotor.
Figure 10: Schematic illustration of the generation of Snap29-deficient mice.

C57BL/6N mice carrying a floxed exon 2 of Snap29 were crossed with mice carrying a Cre recombinase gene under the control of the EIIa or K14 promoter, respectively. The Cre-mediated excision of the loxP-flanked exon 2 leads to an abnormal splicing of exon 1 to exon 3 and in a shift of the open reading frame with early termination after eight codons of exon 3. The illustration was kindly provided by Dr. Stina Schiller.

Moreover, the positive selection cassette can potentially interfere with normal expression of the targeted allele by disruption of normal splicing patterns, promoter interference, or premature transcript termination (Dragatis & Zeitlin, 2001). On this account, Snap29\textsuperscript{floflo}/K14-Cre (epidermal Snap29 knockout) mice were generated by mating the Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/wt} mouse line with a flippase (FLP) mouse line (B6.129S4-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(FLP1)Dym/RainJ, Jackson Laboratory), which expresses a variant of the FLP1 recombinase gene. By intercrossing animals with flippase knock-in mice, the FRT site-flanked LacZ-Neo cassette was excised (see Figure 11d/e). A Cre recombination event after intercrossing Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/wt} mutants with transgenic mice expressing Cre under the control of the K14 promoter leads to an excision of Snap29 exon 2 exclusively in keratinocytes (Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/fl}/K14-Cre; see Figure 11e/f). (Hafner et al, 2004). Ablation of the Snap29 gene products was verified using genomic DNA from tail tissue.
Figure 11: Allele constructs and strategies used for the generation of Snap29⁻/⁻ and Snap29⁺⁻/K14-Cre mice (illustration modified from Schiller, Seebode et al. 2015).

(a) Floxed Snap29⁺⁻/EUCOMM(Wtsi) allele. (b) Snap29 allele after excision of exon 2. Complete loss of Snap29 function in Snap29⁻/⁻ mice after mating Snap29⁺⁻/wt mice with mice expressing Cre under the control of the adenovirus EIIa promoter. (c) WT allele of the murine Snap29 gene. (d/e) Mating with a FLP mouse line leads to the excision of the lacZ cassette (f) Functional loss of Snap29 exclusively in keratinocytes (Snap29⁺⁻/K14-Cre mice) after mating with transgenic mice expressing Cre recombinase under the control of the keratinocyte specific K14 promoter. (g) WT allele of the murine Snap29 gene. Primers for genotyping are indicated as P1, P2, P3, P4, and P5, respectively (see Table 5). Grey boxes indicate exons, dark grey wedges the FRT sites, dark grey triangles the loxP sites, grey arrows the lacZ and neomycin cassette.
4.2 Confirmation of a functional Snap29 knockout

4.2.1 Genotyping of Snap29-deficient mice

At the beginning of this thesis, Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice were generated, followed by the generation of Snap29<sup>0/0</sup>/K14-Cre mice in order to analyze the role of SNAP29 during epidermal differentiation. At weaning, no animals with Snap29 deficiency were identified. In order to further investigate whether Snap29 is crucial for embryonic survival, pups were sacrificed by decapitation directly after birth, resulting in P0 neonates with the following genotypes: Snap29<sup>wt/wt</sup>, EIIa-Cre/Snap29<sup>wt/wt</sup>, Snap29<sup>wt/fl</sup>, EIIa-Cre/Snap29<sup>wt/fl</sup>, Snap29<sup>0/0</sup> and EIIa-Cre/Snap29<sup>0/0</sup> (Snap29<sup>−/−</sup>). The ratio of newborn Snap29-deficient mice (Snap29<sup>−/−</sup>) was in close agreement with the expected for Mendelian inheritance. Epidermal knockout mice were also born at the expected Mendelian ratio, indicating that disruption of Snap29 did not result in embryonic lethality. The genotype of the animals was determined by PCR analysis of genomic DNA extracted from tail biopsies (see Figure 12). In the offspring, the samples were collected after weaning (see Chapter 3.2 and 3.4.4).

Primers P1, P2, and P3 were used for genotyping Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice. For primer sequences see Table 5. Wild-type (WT) Snap29 alleles showed an amplification product with a size of 343bp, whereas floxed Snap29 alleles showed a band with a higher size of 371bp due to the inserted loxP sites (primer P1 and P2). Heterozygous mice could be identified by the presence of both amplification products, visualized as double bands. The presence of a Cre knock-in could be identified by using the primer pair EIIa-Cre-s and EIIa-Cre. As a result of recombination, an amplification product of 430bp was detected (primer P2 and P3), and therefore confirming the excision of exon 2 (see Figure 11Figure 12).

Primers P4, P5, and P2 were used for genotyping Snap29<sup>0/0</sup>/K14-Cre mice. WT Snap29 alleles showed an amplification product with a size of 620bp, floxed Snap29 alleles showed a band with a higher size of 765bp (primer P4 and P5), respectively. After recombination, an amplification product of 450bp can be detected (primer P2 and P4). Primer K14-Cre-up and K14-Cre-down were used for genotyping K14-Cre mutant mice.
Figure 12: Representative PCR products of genomic DNA isolated from tail biopsies from Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/fl}/K14-Cre mice.

Analysis of the Snap29 alleles: (1) WT Snap29 allele (620bp), (2/3) lower band represents the WT Snap29 allele, upper band represents the floxed Snap29 allele (765bp), (4) band of the inserted Cre recombinase (250bp). Lane 1: WT, lane 2: Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/wt}, lane 3: Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/fl}, lane 4: inserted Cre recombinase, marker: 100bp.

4.2.2 Quantitative Real-time PCR, Western Blot, and immunohistochemical staining

In order to examine Snap29 gene expression in mutant mice and to further exclude a residual Snap29 activity due to an incomplete Cre-mediated gene inactivation, quantitative Real-time PCR was performed using mRNA derived from fibroblasts of Snap29\textsuperscript{−/−} mice and control littermates as described in Chapter 3.4.5. Relative quantification of Snap29 expression levels revealed no Snap29 mRNA expression in fibroblasts of Snap29\textsuperscript{−/−} mice compared to WT cells (see Figure 13). In fibroblasts derived from heterozygous littermates, Snap29 expression reached about approximately 50% of the WT level, demonstrating the absence of dose compensation.

Figure 13: Snap29 ablation in fibroblasts derived from Snap29\textsuperscript{−/−} mice.

Comparison of Snap29 mRNA expression levels from fibroblasts obtained from control mice (WT), Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/wt}/EIIa-Cre, and Snap29\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. Expression levels are shown as absolute values. β-actin was used as the internal control gene to normalize the expression of the target gene. Results represent mean values from three independent experiments with n= 3. Error bars indicate error propagation. For calculation of statistical significances the student's t-test was used (***=p<0.001) (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
In addition, efficiency of the Snap29 knockout construct was assessed on protein level via western blot analysis and immunohistochemical staining, respectively (see 3.4.8.3 and 3.5). Western blot analysis was performed using lysates of fibroblasts and whole skin protein extracts obtained from Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice (see Chapter 3.5.1). SNAP29 was detected in extracts from WT and heterozygous mice, whereas the immunoblot showed a 63% reduction of SNAP29 levels in fibroblasts of heterozygous mice as compared to control littermates. In the skin of Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice, SNAP29 was below limits of detection, verifying the total lack of SNAP29 protein (see Figure 14). The immunoblot with whole skin extracts showed an additional band at 35kDa, which is presumably a non-specific band. The smaller amount of protein in heterozygous mice is consistent with results of quantitative real-time PCR analysis (see Figure 13), which indicates inactivation of one Snap29 allele.

**Figure 14: Disruption of SNAP29.**

(a) Western blot analysis: Equal amounts of protein (25µg) of fibroblast extracts obtained from control mice, Snap29<sup>fl/wt</sup>/EIIa-Cre, and Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blot analysis using anti-SNAP29 and anti-β-Actin antibody, respectively (see Table 7). The distinct protein band of approximately 29kDa vanished in homozygous mutant mice. (b) Equal amounts of whole skin protein extracts of control and Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> dorsal skin samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and examined by western blot analysis using anti-SNAP29 and anti-β-actin antibody, respectively (see Table 7). A distinct protein band of approximately 29kDa was exclusively visible in control mice skin. The immunoblot showed an additional band at 35kDa, which is presumably a non-specific band. The SNAP29 blot is depicted on top; β-actin for normalization is displayed on the bottom. These blots are representative for at least two independent experiments showing similar results. The western blot band intensities were measured with ImageJ software (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
Immunostaining against SNAP29 of skin sections derived from $\text{Snap}29^{-/-}$ showed no staining throughout the entire skin sample (see Chapter 3.4.8.3). As expected, SNAP29 positive cells were observed in the dermis of $\text{Snap}29^{0/0}/\text{K14-Cre}$ mice, but immunostaining of skin sections of $\text{Snap}29^{0/0}/\text{K14-Cre}$ showed a deficiency of SNAP29 exclusively in the epidermis (see Figure 15).

Furthermore, keratinocytes isolated from $\text{Snap}29^{0/0}/\text{K14-Cre}$ mice were immunostained against SNAP29 (see Chapter 3.4.8.4). Positive staining was detected in keratinocytes of control mice, whereas no staining was observed in $\text{Snap}29$-deficient keratinocytes (see Figure 16). In concordance with data from other authors, SNAP29 was mostly localized to the perinuclear region in control cells (Fuchs-Telem et al, 2011; Rapaport et al, 2010; Rotem-Yehudar et al, 2001).

As a result, the acquired data confirm a complete absence, or at least significant decrease, of $\text{Snap}29$ expression in the skin of mutant mice.

**Figure 15: Immunostaining against SNAP29.**

Representative, immunohistochemical staining against SNAP29 in dorsal skin sections derived from neonatal $\text{Snap}29^{-/-}$, $\text{Snap}29^{0/0}/\text{K14-Cre}$ mice and control littermates verified the absence of SNAP29 mutant epidermis or whole skin sections. Scale bars: 100µm (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
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Figure 16: Immunofluorescence staining against SNAP29 in keratinocytes.

Immunofluorescence staining for SNAP29 (green) was carried out on keratinocytes derived from Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/fl}/K14-Cre mice and control littermates. Positive staining was detected in keratinocytes from control mice and no staining in cells from Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/fl}/K14-Cre mice. Representative stainings are shown. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (blue). Scale bars: 50μm.

4.3 \textit{Snap29} deficient mice exhibit a distinct ichthyotic phenotype and neonatal lethality

Immediately after birth, \textit{Snap29}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice showed a distinct ichthyotic phenotype with taut skin and ichthyotic lesions (see Figure 17), whereas \textit{Snap29}\textsuperscript{+/−} heterozygous pups were phenotypically indistinguishable from control littermates.

\textit{Snap29}-deficient pups were occasionally found in the first few hours after birth. However, they died shortly after birth, within one to four hours or they were eaten by the mother. Animals with \textit{Snap29}-deficiency exhibited a slightly reduced body size and extensive abnormalities in skin morphology (see Figure 17). The ichthyosiform phenotype was characterized by taut skin, which was particularly unwrinkled, scaly and stretched very tightly (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015). Therefore, the animals were unable to extend their limbs. Concordantly, no suckling behavior was observed and none of the mutant mice contained milk in their stomachs.

Due to the neonatal lethality of \textit{Snap29}\textsuperscript{−/−} mice, \textit{Snap29}\textsuperscript{fl/fl}/K14-Cre mice were generated, in order to circumvent the early lethality and to further examine the role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation in adult mice. Unfortunately, epidermal \textit{Snap29}-deficient mice
exhibited the same distinct ichthyotic phenotype (see Figure 17) and neonatal lethality as previously described in the Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice.

Adult Snap29<sup>fl/wt</sup>/EIIa-Cre and Snap29<sup>fl/wt</sup>/K14-Cre mice had no apparent phenotype, showed no abnormalities in motor behaviour and were healthy and fertile.

![control, Snap29<sup>−/−</sup>, Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre](image)

**Figure 17: Ichthyotic phenotype of Snap29-deficient mice.**

Macroscopic appearance of Snap29<sup>−/−</sup>, Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre mice, and control littermates. Snap29-deficient newborns died shortly after birth. The mutant mice showed a smaller size and a cutaneous phenotype with taut and scaly skin. Newborns were separated from their mother immediately after birth and photographed. Representative pictures are shown (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).

### 4.4 Snap29 deficiency causes altered histomorphological features in mouse skin.

After confirming the functional knockout, the impact of the Snap29 knockout on epidermal differentiation was analyzed using immunohistochemistry as described in chapter 3.4.8.3. A histological analysis of skin sections derived from Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre and Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> neonates stained with hematoxylin and eosin (see Chapter 3.4.8.2) revealed noticeable disturbances in the epidermal structure (see Figure 18a). All epidermal cell layers were apparent in both Snap29-deficient mouse lines. However, the suprabasal skin layers of Snap29-deficient mice exhibited an intensive thickening. Healthy epidermis of newborn mice consisted of two or three living layers above the stratum basale. Epidermal as well as total Snap29-deficient murine epidermis revealed additional suprabasal cell layers (acanthosis) (see Figure 18b). The stratum corneum of control mice epidermis showed the characteristic basket-weave-like
appearance (Elias, 2012). However, the *stratum corneum* of Snap29-deficient mice was thickened and its structure was condensed (hyperkeratosis), indicating disturbances in the formation of lipid lamella as well as the inability of Snap29-deficient keratinocytes to shed off properly during desquamation. The number of keratohyalin granules normally formed in the upper granular and transitional layer of the epidermis was decreased in both mutant mice (see Figure 18a, white arrows). The number of hair follicles was evidently reduced compared to control mice. In addition, there was a stronger reduction of hair follicles in Snap29\textsuperscript{−/−} newborns (50% reduction) compared to Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/fl}/K14-Cre mice (19% reduction). In contrast to Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/fl}/K14-Cre mice, only Snap29\textsuperscript{−/−} mice revealed remnants of nuclei in the cornified layers (parakeratosis) (see Figure 18a, black arrows).

Adult Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/wt}/EIIa-Cre and Snap29\textsuperscript{fl/wt}/K14-Cre mice showed no overt histological abnormalities.

Taken together, both mutant mouse lines showed epidermal thickening, hyperkeratosis, acanthosis and a reduced number of keratohyalin granules as well as hair follicles. The only histomorphological difference between both lines was the presence of a parakeratosis as well as an increased reduction of hair follicles in total knockout mice.
Figure 18: Comparison of skin morphology of Snap29-deficient and WT mice.
(a) Representative hematoxylin and eosin stainings of skin sections from newborn control, Snap29−/− and Snap29fl/fl/K14-Cre mice showed an overview of the altered histomorphological features in Snap29-deficient epidermis. Control epidermis revealed the characteristic basket-weave conformation of the stratum corneum. Compared to control mice, the suprabasal layers in mutant mice epidermis were thickened. The stratum corneum was thickened and condensed (hyperkeratosis). The number of keratohyalin granules (white arrows) and hair follicles were reduced. Retention of nuclei in the stratum corneum (parakeratosis) was exclusively visible in Snap29−/− mice (black arrows). Scale bars: 100µm. (b) Quantification of acanthosis in the viable epidermis (three animals per group, three fields per animal). Data are shown as mean, error bars indicate SEM. For calculation of statistical significances the student's t-test was used (**p<0.001). C: control, K14: Snap29fl/fl/K14-Cre, EIIa: Snap29−/− (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).

4.5 Keratinocyte differentiation is disturbed in mice lacking SNAP29.

During epidermal differentiation proliferating basal keratinocytes withdraw from the cell cycle to move upwards towards the surface and continuously differentiate until they become keratin-filled enucleated corneocytes, which finally shed off during desquamation (see Chapter 1.2.5).
In order to examine the consequences of Snap29 deletion at the level of epidermal differentiation, skin samples derived from epidermal as well as total knockout mice were stained with several differentiation markers using immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence as described in Chapter 3.4.8.3 and 3.4.8.4. Among specific markers for epidermal differentiation are early markers, such as K14 and K10, and later markers, such as filaggrin and involucrin (see Chapter 1.2.1). In control epidermis K14 expression was restricted to the basal layer of the epidermis, but was additionally present in all suprabasal layers in Snap29-deficient skin (see Figure 19), indicating abnormal keratinocyte differentiation. K10 is normally expressed in the postmitotic layers of the stratum spinosum and stratum granulosum in healthy skin. Immunohistochemistry revealed no differences in the amount or the distribution of the suprabasal cell-specific K10 (see Figure 19). Furthermore, double immunofluorescence staining of K10 and K14 revealed an increase in keratinocytes of the basal and the spinous layer simultaneously expressing both keratins in Snap29−/− and in Snap290/0/K14-Cre epidermis (see Figure 20, white arrows). Disturbed keratinocyte differentiation was additionally demonstrated by the presence of nuclear remnants in the stratum corneum of total knockout mice (see Figure 18).

In conclusion, these findings indicate a disturbed differentiation of keratinocytes in mutant epidermis.

**Figure 19: Analysis of early and late differentiation markers.**

Immunohistochemical staining of dorsal skin samples derived from Snap29−/−, Snap290/0/K14-Cre and control littermates with antibodies against K10 and K14 (see Table 7). K14 was expressed in all living layers of mutant epidermis. No differences in K10 expression or distribution were detectable. Representative stainings are shown. Scale bars: 100µm. (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
Figure 20: Immunofluorescence double staining with early and late differentiation markers.

Co-expression of K10 (red) and K14 (green) in mutant epidermis (white arrows), especially in spinous cells. Dorsal skin samples were derived from Snap29<sup>−/−</sup>, Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre mice and control littermates. Panels below represent higher magnification views of the marked areas in the upper panel and nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Representative stainings are shown. Scale bars: 100µm.

Since keratinocyte differentiation was disturbed (see Figure 19) and nuclear remnants were observed in the stratum corneum of total knockout mice (see Figure 18a), we further examined structural proteins necessary for epidermal barrier formation by immunohistochemistry (see Chapter 3.4.8.3). During corneocyte maturation, the CE formation relies on intracellular synthesis of structural proteins such as filaggrin, which functions to aggregate keratin intermediate filaments into macrofibrills in the developing corneocytes. Filaggrin is further processed into free amino acids forming natural moisturizing factors (NMFs), which protect the epidermis from water loss (see Chapter 1.2.2).
We found reduced levels of filaggrin, and since profilaggrin is the main component of keratohyalin granules (see Chapter 1.2.1), the number of these granules was also decreased in the upper *stratum granulosum* of *Snap29*<sup>−/−</sup> epidermis compared to control epidermis (as already shown, see Figure 18a) (see Figure 21). Immunostaining of *Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre* epidermis showed the same results (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015). Involucrin, another structural protein of the CE, is expressed in the suprabasal layers of the epidermis (Kalinin et al, 2002). During early CE assembly, it becomes aligned to the plasma membrane, which is subsequently replaced by the CE (see Chapter 1.2.2). Involucrin levels were decreased in the cells of the upper *stratum spinosum* and *stratum granulosum*, indicating disturbed keratinization. In conclusion, the abnormal formation of suprabasal layers in mutant mouse epidermis was associated with apparent changes in levels of epidermal differentiation markers together with abnormal corneocyte formation.

**Figure 21: Analysis of late differentiation markers.**

Representative images of immunohistochemical stainings of dorsal skin sections from newborn control and *Snap29*-deficient mice for filaggrin and involucrin (see Table 7). Protein levels of involucrin and filaggrin were reduced in both mutant mice epidermis. The number of keratohyalin granules (white arrows) was reduced in the epidermis of *Snap29*<sup>−/−</sup> and *Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre* mice, as previously shown (see Figure 18). Panels below represent higher magnification views of the marked areas in the upper panel. Scale bars: 100µm. (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
4.6 Snap29 deficiency causes enhanced levels of epidermal proliferation.

In order to determine whether the epidermal thickening was caused by increased cell proliferation, immunostaining against Ki67 was performed (see Chapter 3.4.8.3, Table 7). Ki67 is a commonly used marker for cell proliferation and the antigen is confined to the nucleus during interphase, whereas in mitosis the protein is relocated to the surface of the chromosome. Ki67 is present during all active phases of cell cycle (G1, S, G2, and mitosis), while it is absent in resting cells (G0 phase) (Scholzen & Gerdes, 2000). The number of Ki67-positive cells was determined by enumeration, which revealed an increased number of Ki67-positive cells in both mutant epidermises as compared to controls. Control epidermis showed 36% of positive nuclei, whereas 52% were detected in Snap29−/− epidermis and 56% in Snap290/0/K14-Cre epidermis, respectively (see Figure 22). Furthermore, Ki67-positive cells were restricted to the basal cells in control epidermis, whereas Ki67-staining was occasionally detected in the suprabasal layers of mutant skin, which is often observed in hyperproliferative skin disorders (see Figure 22) (Merritt et al, 2002; Potten, 1981).

Given an increased number of Ki67-positive cells in mutant epidermis, a possible counterbalance between cell proliferation and apoptosis was investigated. Therefore, immunohistochemical staining against the activated form of the apoptotic mediator caspase 3 was performed (see Table 7). In healthy skin, caspase 3 is not active during epidermal differentiation (Lippens et al, 2000) and no caspase 3-positive cells were detected in control and mutant epidermis of Snap290/0/K14-Cre mice (see Figure 23). Immunostaining of Snap29+/−K14-Cre epidermis showed the same results. In conclusion, no alteration in apoptotic activity was detected in the epidermis of Snap29-deficient mice (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).

Expression of K6 indicates disturbances in epidermal homeostasis during wound healing or is a consequence of hyperproliferative conditions (see Chapter 1.2.1). In healthy epidermis K6 expression is restricted to the cells of the hair follicles (Stoler et al, 1988). Consistently with Ki67 staining, pronounced levels of K6 were observed in all viable cell layers of Snap29-deficient mice, but not in control mice (see Figure 22a).

Taken together, these observations suggest that the hyperkeratotic phenotype of Snap29-deficient mice might occur as a result of increased cell proliferation in the basal epidermal layer.
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Figure 22: Hyperproliferative conditions in Snap29-deficient mice.
(a) Representative immunohistochemical stainings of dorsal skin sections of Snap29\(^{-/}\), Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre mice and control littermates with antibodies against the proliferation markers K6 and Ki67 (see Table 7). Expression of K6 was strongly increased in Snap29-deficient epidermis. An enlarged number of Ki67-positive cells was detected by enumeration. Ki67-positive cells were also detectable in subrabasal layers in both mutant mice (black arrows). Scale bars: 100µm. (b) Quantification of Ki67 positive cells in the viable epidermis (500 cells enumerated). The plot represents the mean of three independent experiments, error bars indicate SEM. For calculation of statistical significances the student's t-test was used (** *=p<0.001). C: control, K14: Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre, EIIa: Snap29\(^{-/}\) mice (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).

Figure 23: No detection of apoptotic activity in Snap29-deficient mice.
Representative immunohistochemical staining of epidermal dorsal sections of Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre mice with an antibody against the active form of caspase 3. No caspase 3-positive cells were detected in control and mutant epidermis. Scale bars: 100µm.
4.7 Ultrastructural analysis of Snap29-deficient epidermis reveals altered formation and maturation of LBs.

The cutaneous phenotype of the human CEDNIK syndrome is associated with a markedly impaired maturation and secretion of LBs (Sprecher et al, 2005) (see Chapter 1.3). In order to investigate whether the formation as well as maturation and secretion of LBs is also disturbed in our mouse models, ultrastructural analyses of murine epidermis were performed (see Chapter 3.4.8.8). In accordance with the human CEDNIK syndrome, EM images of skin sections derived from Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre as well as from Snap29\(^{-/-}\) mice showed various epidermal anomalies.

The stratum corneum of control mice showed a loose, basket-weave structure, however both mutants revealed a condensed stratum corneum with compressed corneocyte leaflets (see Figure 24a/e/c). Instead of the uniformly filled cells of control stratum corneum, both mutant strains showed remnants of organelles (see Figure 24b/f and Figure 25b/c). Furthermore, the amount of these remnants was increased in Snap29\(^{-/-}\) epidermis compared to Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre stratum corneum (see Figure 25).

The amount of secreted LB content between stratum granulosum and stratum corneum was strongly reduced in both mutants (see Figure 24c/h/i) compared to control epidermis (see Figure 24a/g). In control epidermis normal well-formed LBs were detectable (see Figure 24g), whereas Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre and Snap29\(^{-/-}\) epidermis displayed electron lucent vesicular-like structures, presumably representing malformed LBs (see Figure 24h/i). However, normal shaped LBs were also present in the epidermis of both mutant mouse lines. Further structural examination using electron microscopy revealed numerous electron lucent structures in Snap29\(^{-/-}\) stratum corneum, presumably representing lipid droplets. These structures were also present in Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre epidermis, but less frequent compared to the total knockout (see Figure 24b/c/f and Figure 25) (see Chapter 4.9). Furthermore, remnants of nuclei (parakeratosis) were found in the stratum granulosum and stratum corneum of Snap29\(^{-/-}\) mice, but not in the epidermis of Snap29\(^{fl/fl}\)/K14-Cre mice (see Chapter 4.4).

In conclusion, formation as well as maturation of LBs is altered in Snap29-deficient epidermis. Furthermore, electron microscopic analysis of both mouse lines revealed some differences regarding LB secretion and organelle remnants (see Figure 25).
Figure 24: Ultrastructural analysis of control (a/d/g), Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre (b/e/h), and Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> (c/f/i) epidermis.

White arrows indicate secreted material between stratum granulosum and stratum corneum. White asterisks indicate LBs without pathological findings; black asterisks indicate LBs without structured content. White arrowheads indicate electron lucent structures, presumably indicating lipid droplets. Brackets show regions of fluffy stratum corneum structures in control skin and corresponding regions in mutant epidermis. Black squares indicate regions containing remnants of organelles. Panel (b) shows a higher magnification view of the marked area in panel (e). Scale bars: 2500nm (a/c), 2000nm (d/e/f), 500nm (g/h/i). SC: stratum corneum, SG: stratum granulosum. (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Snap29&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Snap29&lt;sup&gt;−/−&lt;/sup&gt;/K14-Cre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 25: Ultrastructural variations between total and epidermal knockout mice.**

Ultrastructural analysis of Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> (a/b), and Snap29<sup>−/−</sup>/K14-Cre (c/d) mice. White asterisks indicate remnants of nuclei in the stratum granulosum and stratum corneum (parakeratosis). White arrows indicate electron lucent vesicle-like structures (b/c/d). Scale bars: 2000nm, SC: stratum corneum, SG: stratum granulosum.

4.8 *Snap29*-deficient keratinocytes exhibit disturbed secretion of LB contents.

Due to the finding of an altered formation and maturation of LBs in *Snap29*-deficient epidermis, the secretion of LB contents was examined by immunohistochemistry. In order to evaluate the potential consequences of *Snap29* deletion on the formation of LBs and the secretion of their cargoes into the extracellular space, epidermal levels of kallikrein 7 (KLK7) and corneodesmosin (CDSN) were examined by immunohistochemistry (see Chapter 3.4.8.3). In general, desquamation relies on the controlled proteolytic degradation of corneodesmosomes by LB-derived proteases, such as kallikreins (reviewed by (Ishida-Yamamoto & Kishibe, 2011)) (see Chapter 1.2.5).

KLK7 is a kallikrein-related peptidase involved in the cleavage of CDSN, which is secreted by LBs. In mutant epidermis the expression of KLK7 was strongly reduced compared to control skin (see Figure 26). In line with this finding, pathological remnants of CDSN were found in the stratum corneum of mutant mice, also reflecting a disturbed secretion of KLK7 (see Figure 26). During maturation of corneocytes, the sequential proteolytic cleavage of CDSN is a major prerequisite for desquamation (Jonca et al, 2011; Lundstrom et al, 1994).

In conclusion, the release of KLK7 into the extracellular space between stratum granulosum and stratum corneum is impaired, resulting in reduced levels of CDSN degradation and therefore in reduced desquamation of corneocytes in mutant epidermis.
Figure 26: Disturbed desquamation in Snap29-deficient mice.

Immunohistochemical stainings for KLK7 and CDSN (see Table 7) showed decreased levels of both markers in Snap29-deficient epidermis. Remnants of CDSN were found in the stratum corneum of mutant mouse epidermis (black arrows). Panels below represent higher magnification views of the marked areas in the upper panel. Scale bars: 100µm. (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).

4.9 Snap29-deficient newborns show a defective epidermal lipid distribution.

LBs transport glucosylceramides (GlcCer) and other lipids to the upper granular layers, which are essential for epidermal barrier formation (Madison et al, 1998). Since we observed a disturbed formation and maturation of LBs (see Chapter 4.7), epidermal lipid distribution was examined by BODIPY and Nile Red staining (see Chapter 3.4.8.5 and 3.4.8.6). In addition, indirect immunofluorescence with an antibody against glucosylceramide (GlcCer) was performed, which is a main lipid component in LBs and it is part of the intercellular lipid matrix.

Staining with the lipophilic dye Nile Red displayed a decreased deposition of neutral lipids in mutant mice epidermis (see Figure 27). Furthermore, indirect immunofluorescence staining (see Chapter 3.4.8.4) revealed a considerably reduced distribution of GlcCer in the cornified layers of Snap29-deficient mice, compared with the marked labeling in control epidermis (see Figure 27).

Ultrastructural analyses of Snap29-deficient epidermis showed numerous electron-lucent structures in the stratum corneum (see chapter 4.7). By BODIPY staining it was confirmed that these structures within the stratum corneum of Snap29-deficient mice are lipid droplets. In contrast to control epidermis, the stratum corneum of Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre newborns
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contained a multitude of lipid droplets (see Figure 27). These specialized intracellular organelles are found in many cell types, depositing neutral lipids, including triacylglycerols and sterol esters (Schmuth, 2003).

In summary, it can be concluded that Snap29-deficient newborns exhibit a defective epidermal lipid distribution.

Figure 27: Defective epidermal lipid distribution in Snap29-deficient skin.
(a) Nile red staining showed a sparse deposition of neutral lipids in Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre stratum corneum. Scale bars: 100µm. (b) Immunofluorescence staining using paraffin-embedded skin sections revealed a decreased distribution of GlcCer the intercellular spaces of the cornified layers of Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre mice. Scale bars: 100µm. (c) BODIPY 493/503 staining showed lipid droplets in Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre stratum corneum, but not in control epidermis. Panel below represents higher magnification view of the marked area in the upper panel. Scale bars: 20µm, SC: stratum corneum (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).

4.10 SNAP29 deficiency causes impaired barrier permeability.

Since epidermal lipids play a crucial role in the formation of a protective barrier against water loss and Snap29-deficient newborns exhibited a defective epidermal lipid distribution, the functional integrity of Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre epidermis was examined. Therefore, the fluid loss
through the epidermis was determined, using a dehydration assay (outwards barrier function) and a dye intrusion assay (inwards barrier function) (see Chapter 3.4.8.7).

The loss of weight during a distinct period of time corresponds to the evaporation of water through the skin. Tested $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre mice showed a rapid and steady weight loss, whereas control littermates maintained their weight (see Figure 28). Therefore, the rapid weight loss observed in $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre mice may be attributed to the evaporation of water through the epidermis. During the experiment, mutant 2 urinated and consequently lost more weight in comparison to mutant 1.

Additionally, the newborn mice were dyed with toluidine blue. The permeability of the skin for this dye indicates defects of the epidermal barrier. $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre newborns showed a dye intrusion across the entire epidermal surface, whereas control littermates were completely resistant to toluidine blue penetration (see Figure 28), indicating severe inside-out barrier defects in mutant mice epidermis.

In conclusion, the severe impairment of both inwards and outwards barrier functions of the epidermis in knockout animals highlights the pivotal role of SNAP29 in epidermal barrier function.

Figure 28: Epidermal barrier perturbation in $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre mice.
(a) Dehydration assay over time: Percentage of initial body weight of $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre mice and control littermates. The weight of four newborn $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre mice was measured every 15 min for 3 hours using a precision scale. $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre mice lost approximately 5% of their body weight within three hours, whereas control littermates maintained their weight. Note that mutant 2 urinated during the measurements and died after 3 hours. (b) Dye-penetration assay: Penetration of toluidine blue into the skin of $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre and control mice. Control epidermis was impermeable for the dye and control animals did not show any skin staining. In contrast, toluidine blue permeated the epidermal barrier of $Snap29^{fl/fl}$/K14-Cre mice (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
4.11 Snap29 deficiency causes ER stress

The formation of lipid droplets (see Chapter 4.9) as seen in Snap29-deficient epidermis (see Figure 27) can be triggered by endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress. A major function of the ER is the coordination of protein folding as well as trafficking, and the majority of lipids are synthesized at the ER site. Concordantly, disturbances in ER function and structure lead to ER stress. In order to overcome ER stress, a specific ER quality-control system, called the unfolded protein response (UPR), is activated. However, in the presence of prolonged stress and severely impaired ER function, disturbances in ER homeostasis lead to apoptosis (reviewed by (Kadowaki & Nishitoh, 2013)). In order to restore ER homeostasis, the UPR can promote a cellular repair process, including the activation of several proteins. In this study, UPR activation was assessed by investigating the protein level of CHOP (C/EBP homologous protein), whose induction has been reported to occur during ER stress (Samali et al, 2010). CHOP, also known as growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible gene 153 (GADD153), is a member of the C/EBP transcription factor family (Anand et al, 2005) and it was used as a marker for UPR activation.

In order to determine whether Snap29 deficiency affects additional ER stress, epidermal levels of CHOP were examined by immunohistochemistry and western blot analysis (see Chapter 3.4.8.3 and 3.5.4). In Snap29fl/fl/K14-Cre as well as in control epidermis CHOP was detected (see Figure 29a). In order to ascertain whether a significant increase in CHOP expression is found in the skin of mutant mice, western blot analyses were performed with fibroblasts derived from total Snap29 knockouts. Evidently more CHOP was detected in fibroblasts derived from mutant mice compared to control fibroblasts (see Figure 29b/c).

In summary, it could be envisaged that Snap29 deficiency alters normal ER homeostasis and leads to UPR activation.
**Figure 29: Detection of protein levels of CHOP.**

(a) Immunohistochemical detection of CHOP in skin samples. Scale bars: 100µm. (b) Western Blot analyses were performed with 25µg whole cell lysate (MEFs), using a specific antibody against endogenous CHOP. As loading control β-actin was used (see Table 7). Representative western blot shows a significant upregulation of CHOP. (c) The western blot band intensities were measured with ImageJ software. The graph shows the mean of three independent experiments and their respective standard deviation. For calculation of statistical significances the student's t-test was used (** *=p<0.001).

### 4.12 Snap29 deficiency alters autophagy

Recent studies revealed an involvement of SNAP29 in autophagy through the direct control of the fusion of the autophagosomal membrane with the lysosomal membrane (see Chapter 1.6.2) and it was hypothesized that ER stress is a potent inducer of autophagy (Ogata et al, 2006). Furthermore, our data clearly indicate a delay in the degradation of organelles in the stratum corneum (see Chapter 4.7). Keratinocyte differentiation involves organelle clearance and recycling of cellular components and autophagy is an important element involved in this process (Belleudi et al, 2014; Moriyama et al, 2014). The increasing significance attached to SNAP29 in autophagy has generated the need to examine autophagic activation in Snap29-deficient cells.

In order to determine whether Snap29 deficiency affects autophagy, levels of LC3B-II as well as p62/SQSTM1 were analyzed by western blot under normal and starvation conditions (see Chapter 44). The membrane-associated LC3B-II is derived by conversion of its cytosolic form (LC3B-I) and can be used as a well-established marker for autophagosomes (see
Chapter 1.6.1. Western blot analyses were performed using protein extracts from Snap29-deficient fibroblasts obtained from Snap29$^{+/−}$ and control mice. Immunoblot analyses revealed markedly increased LC3B-II protein levels in Snap29$^{+/−}$ cells under basal as well as under starvation conditions (see Figure 30a/c).

Given that autophagy is a highly dynamic and complex process, the accumulation of autophagosomes in mutant cells could indicate either an induction of autophagy or a block in autophagic flux (see Chapter 1.6.1). Therefore, the mere detection of LC3 turnover is insufficient for an overall evaluation of this process. In order to distinguish between these possibilities, levels of p62, also known as SQSTM1/sequestosome 1, were analyzed (see Chapter 1.6.1) (Bjorkoy et al, 2005). p62 protein amounts remained largely unchanged in Snap29$^{+/−}$ cells under basal as well as starvation conditions (see Figure 30b).

Figure 30: Snap29 deficiency affects autophagy.

(a) Representative western blot for LC3B-I and -II levels in control and Snap29-deficient primary fibroblasts cultured under normal (n) and starvation conditions (s). For starvation conditions, fibroblasts were cultured for 2h in EBSS. (b) Levels of p62/SQSTM1 in control and Snap29-deficient primary fibroblasts cultured under normal (n) and starvation conditions (s). Western Blot analyses were performed with 25μg whole cell lysates, using a specific antibody against endogenous LC3B and p62/SQSTM1 (see Table 7). β-actin was used as loading control. The blots are representative for at least two independent experiments showing similar results. (c) The bar graph shows the ratio of LC3B-II against β-actin. The relative marker protein expression of control cells under normal conditions was set to 100%. The western blot band intensities were measured with ImageJ software (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
In order to accurately assess autophagy, autophagic flux was further assessed by LC3-II turnover using western blot analysis (see Chapter 3.5.4) in the presence and absence of bafilomycin A1, an inhibitor for the vacuolar type H+ -ATPase reliant for lysosomal acidification (see Chapter 1.6.1). If autophagic flux is occurring, the degradation of LC3-II through the autophagic pathway is blocked in the presence of the inhibitor, resulting in accumulation of LC3B-II (Tanida et al, 2005). LC3B-II levels were increased under EBSS treatment (see Figure 30a) and were further increased by the combination of EBSS and bafilomycin A1 in Snap29 knockout cells and control cells (see Figure 31), which suggests that effects of Snap29 deficiency on autophagy were based on an enhanced autophagic flux.

In summary, our experiments point towards an induction of autophagy, because no strikingly enhanced levels of p62 were detected and the levels of LC3B-II increased in the presence of bafilomycin A1, especially in mutant cells.

Figure 31: Effects of bafilomycin A1 on LC3B-II levels.

Representative western blot for LC3B-I and -II levels in control and Snap29-deficient primary fibroblasts cultured under normal (n), starvation conditions (s) and under treatment with bafilomycin A1 (B). Primary fibroblasts were grown in normal medium (n), treated for 2h with 100nM bafilomycin A1 in normal medium (nB), or grown in EBSS in the absence (s) or presence of 100nM bafilomycin A1 (sB). Western Blot analysis was performed with 25μg whole cell lysates, using a specific antibody against endogenous LC3B. β-actin was used as loading control. The numbers left to the blots indicate protein size in kDa. (b) The bar graph shows the ratio of LC3B-II against β-actin. The relative marker protein expression of control cells under normal conditions was set to 100%. The western blot band intensities were measured with ImageJ software.
5 Discussion

Epidermal differentiation requires membrane fusion between vesicles and target membranes for intracellular membrane trafficking for what SNARE proteins have been recognized as the core protein machinery (reviewed by (Jahn & Scheller, 2006)). An important recent development has been the discovery that homozygous loss-of-function mutations in the SNAP29 gene, encoding a member of the SNAP family, cause the human CEDNIK syndrome (see Chapter 1.3). Examining the molecular basis of this neurocutaneous syndrome revealed the pivotal role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation (Rapaport et al, 2010; Sprecher et al, 2005).

However, despite the presence of cutaneous abnormalities, little is known about the consequence of a defective SNAP29 function during terminal differentiation of keratinocytes. Therefore, the aim of my thesis was to create Snap29-deficient mouse lines to further investigate the role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation, especially on LB formation and maturation. This experimental approach contributes to a further disclosure of the pathogenesis of the human CEDNIK syndrome.

5.1 Generation of two Snap29-deficient mouse models

Due to the very limited number of CEDNIK syndrome patients (see Chapter 1.3), a mouse model is needed to facilitate the study of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation. Furthermore, mouse models are most commonly used for investigating human disease. So far, three Snap29-deficient non-mammalian animal models have been described (Caenorhabditis elegans (Guo et al, 2014; Kang et al, 2011; Sato et al, 2011), Danio rerio (Li et al, 2011), and Drosophila melanogaster (Xu et al, 2014)). Nonetheless, these models are not sufficient to elaborate the role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation, because non-mammalian epidermis does not undergo cornification, which in mammals leads to the development of the stratum corneum and the associated barrier function of the epidermis (see Chapter 1.2). Until today, no mouse mutants for Snap29 have been described and it was suggested that Snap29 knockout mice are embryonic lethal (Lu et al, 2015). However, in this present study I report the successful generation and characterization of a total knockout as well as a keratinocyte-specific conditional Snap29-deficient mouse line as models for the very rare human CEDNIK syndrome (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).
5.2 *Snap29*-deficient mice mimic the human CEDNIK syndrome phenotype

Ichthyoses are genetically determined Mendelian disorders of cornification (MEDOC) that can be distinguished between non-syndromic and syndromic forms (Traupe et al, 2014). CEDNIK syndrome is an example of syndromic ichthyoses, in which the cutaneous manifestations are accompanied by clinical symptoms within a number of other organ systems. The epidermal phenotype of CEDNIK syndrome patients is characterized by palmoplantar keratoderma and ichthyosis (see Chapter 1.3 and Figure 4) (Sprecher et al, 2005). Both *Snap29*-deficient mouse lines showed a distinct ichthyosiform phenotype (see Chapter 4.3), which closely reproduces the ichthyosis phenotype seen in human patients with *SNAP29* mutations. Furthermore, we observed many CEDNIK features in our mutant mice, including hyperkeratosis, impaired LB secretion and maturation, alterations in epidermal lipid distribution and levels, and an impaired skin barrier function. Thus, both *Snap29* mutant mouse lines provide a mammalian model for the human CEDNIK syndrome (Schiller, Seebode et al, 2015).

Nonetheless, the murine skin phenotype is more severe compared to the cutaneous alterations in human patients. Epidermal as well as total *Snap29*-deficient mice displayed a congenital ichthyosis with constrictive and taut skin as well as ichthyotic lesions, resulting in early lethality within a few hours after birth (see Chapter 4.3). However, the human epidermal phenotype is often characterized by a rather mild and late-onset ichthyosis with symptoms developing early in childhood (between 5 and 11 months of age) (Sprecher et al, 2005) (see Chapter 1.3). More recently, however, another case of two siblings suffering from CEDNIK syndrome has been mentioned. Besides the common features described for this syndrome, the affected children showed phenotypic variations, including a congenital generalized ichthyosis with a collodion membrane at birth. It was hypothesized that this variability could be due to the influence of modifier genes (Ben-Salem et al, 2015). Furthermore, CEDNIK syndrome patients show solely hyperkeratosis (Fuchs-Telem et al, 2011; Sprecher et al, 2005), but no additional ichthyotic features like acanthosis as seen in *Snap29*-deficient mice (see Chapter 4.4).

The observed differences regarding the ichthyosiform phenotype between the murine model and the human disease might result from different stages of skin development at birth in mice and humans (Eckl et al, 2013). Concordantly, the development of more pronounced phenotypes accompanied by neonatal lethality is commonly observed in murine models of
human ichthyosiform diseases (Epp et al, 2007; Radner et al, 2010). Furthermore, gene defects resulting in impaired barrier function often cause a more severe phenotype in the murine than in human skin as a result of a less advantageous body surface to volume ratio in small rodents (de Juanes et al, 2009).

Taken together, SNAP29 is implicated in the development of the skin phenotype in both the human patients and in the Snap29-deficient mouse models. Unfortunately, the early lethality within a few hours after birth prevented a further characterization of the epidermal phenotype in adult mice. A suitable approach in order to overcome early postnatal lethality might be a tamoxifen-inducible Cre-lox recombination technology.

5.3 SNAP29 is essential for a functional epidermal barrier.

Notably, Snap29-deficient mice revealed a severely impaired skin permeability function (see Figure 28) and the fact that both mutant mouse lines died shortly after birth (see Chapter 4.3), suggests that the neonatal mice died because of their severe cutaneous phenotype. Most mouse models with epidermal barrier defects suffer from postnatal lethality, often as a result of severe transepidermal water loss. Snap29-deficient mice lost approximately 5% of their body weight within the first three hours after birth, and other knockout mouse models with epidermal permeability barrier defects, e.g. mice deficient for LEKTI (Descargues et al, 2005), exhibited comparable weight loss (Epp et al, 2007), whereas these transgenic mice showed a longer lifespan compared to our mice. Furthermore, 12R-LOX-deficient mice, which harbor loss-of function mutations in the Alox12b gene, lost for example 10% of the bodyweight within one hour as a result of severe barrier perturbations and died three to five hours after birth (Epp et al, 2007). By comparison, Snap29-deficient mice lost substantially less water and it is likely that the transepidermal water loss is not the sole cause for neonatal lethality in our mice.

Additionally, Snap29-deficient animals presented a restrictive movement capability and no suckling behavior was observed (see Chapter 4.3). Interestingly, the K14 promoter targets Cre recombination not exclusively to the epidermis, but also to tongue and esophagus (Qiu et al, 2011) and EIIa gene products are ubiquitously expressed (see Chapter 4.1). Thus, both promoters are active in esophagus and oral epithelium, having likely an additional effect on early lethality due to suckling difficulties. Therefore, the severe skin phenotype due to a severely impaired inward and outward permeability barrier function, accompanied by
impaired dietary intake most likely leads to the early postnatal death of Snap29-deficient animals.

### 5.4 Snap29 deficiency provokes a disturbed LB appearance

Snap29 deficiency is known to result in impaired maturation and secretion of LBs, particularly interfering with the transport and exocytosis of lipids from granular cells into the extracellular spaces of the stratum corneum. The most striking ultrastructural feature in the epidermis of human CEDNIK patients is the presence of vesicles with various sizes and contents (Sprecher et al, 2005)(see Chapter 1.3). CEDNIK patients’ epidermis displayed numerous electron-lucent vesicles in the upper epidermal layers and additionally in the in the lower stratum corneum. It was hypothesized that these vesicles represent empty or malformed LBs. It is noteworthy, that normal-shaped LBs were also found in the epidermis of CEDNIK patients (Sprecher et al, 2005). Currently, it remains unclear to which extent this electron-lucent vesicles possess normal LB function in the epidermis of CEDNIK syndrome patients. Consistent with these data, comparable ultrastructural alterations regarding LB morphology were obtained in Snap29-deficient mouse epidermis. LBs with normal as well as electron-lucent appearances lacking the typical lamellar structures were present in the stratum granulosum. Furthermore, electron-lucent vesicle-like structures were also observed in the stratum corneum (see Chapter 4.7). These observations closely resemble findings in human patients, and additionally in a Snap29-deficient Danio rerio model, which also displayed electron-lucent vesicles in the epidermis (Li et al, 2011).

Other cutaneous diseases which are characterized by disturbances in intracellular organelle trafficking are, for example, ARC syndrome and Harlequin ichthyosis. The identification of diseases affecting LB function is a crucial advance for understanding the molecular basis of LB function. ARC syndrome is caused by a mutation in the SM (Sec1/Munc18) protein VPS33B (vacuolar protein sorting 33 homolog B), which is involved in the regulation of vesicular membrane fusion by interacting with SNARE proteins (Gissen et al, 2006). Ultrastructural examinations of patient's skin samples revealed retention of normal appearing LBs in corneocytes (Hershkovitz et al, 2008). Despite the fact that impaired vesicle fusion leads to an impaired LB secretion in both ARC and CEDNIK syndrome (Gissen et al, 2006; Steegmaier et al, 1998), LB maturation was markedly disrupted in CEDNIK syndrome (Sprecher et al, 2005), whereas the internal structure of LBs appeared normal in ARC syndrome (Hershkovitz et al, 2008; Zhou & Zhang, 2014). These data are indicative for a role
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of SNAP29 controlling key steps in exocytosis as well as in the formation/maturation of LBs, whereas VPS33B is exclusively involved in LB secretion.

Loss-of-function mutations in the \textit{ABCA12} gene, encoding for the ATP-binding cassette transporter A12 - a lipid transporter in LBs - have been reported to cause Harlequin ichthyosis. This cutaneous disease is likewise characterized by disturbances in the transport of lipids from the Golgi to LBs. In Harlequin ichthyosis abnormally shaped, reduced, or absent LBs were found in the epidermis (Scott et al, 2013). As a result, defective intracellular trafficking especially interfering with lipid transport can result in phenotypically and ultrastruturally similar alterations in the epidermis.

However, it should be noted that perturbations in LB morphology were also observed in human filaggrin knockdown organotypic cultures, which showed LBs varying in size and lacking their typical lamellar structure. The filaggrin-deficient skin model revealed barrier perturbations which were not accompanied by altered lipid composition (Mildner et al, 2010). These findings suggest the presence of additional indirect factors which might orchestrate LB formation. As a result, it remains to be elucidated whether SNAP29 is directly or indirectly involved in this process.

\section{5.5 \textit{Snap29} deficiency alters the epidermal lipid structure}

As transport units for barrier components, LBs serve as key factors for the composition, formation, and maintenance of the epidermal barrier (Elias et al, 1998; Ishida-Yamamoto et al, 2004; Norlen, 2001; Raymond et al, 2008)(see Chapter 1.2.4). Due to the finding of malformed or empty LBs in \textit{Snap29}-deficient mice (see Chapter 4.7), we assumed that the observed perturbations in barrier function might be caused by a defective formation of lipid lamellae. This lamellar structure within the cornified layers is essential for the formation and homeostasis of an intact epidermal permeability barrier (Wertz, 2000). Under physiological conditions LBs deliver and secret precursor lipids (GlcCers) to the transition area which fill up the intercellular space between the corneocytes (Ishida-Yamamoto et al, 2004) (see Chapter 1.2.3). GlcCers are the main constituent of LBs and they are converted into ceramides, which represent substantial structural components of intercellular lipid lamellae (Wertz, 2000). During histological preparations, these intercellular lipids get lost causing the characteristic fluffy, basket-weave like appearance of the \textit{stratum corneum} in histologic sections. However, histological examinations as well as electron micrographs of the murine
Snap29-deficient epidermis displayed a condensed stratum corneum with a reduced number of lacunae, indicating a diminished formation of the intercorneocyte lipid lamellae. Concordantly, ultrastructural analyses revealed decreased amounts of secreted LB content in the transition area between stratum granulosum and stratum corneum in our mouse models (see Chapter 4.7). Moreover, we detected reduced levels of GlcCer in the murine Snap29-deficient epidermis (see Chapter 4.9). In good agreement with these findings, diminished amounts of GlcCer were also found in the epidermis of human CEDNIK syndrome patients (Sprecher et al, 2005).

Furthermore, it was shown that mice with an epidermis-specific glucosylceramide synthase deficiency displayed abnormalities in LB as well as in stratum corneum structure accompanied by an increased transepidermal water loss (Jennemann et al, 2007). In addition, a defective trafficking of GlcCer into LBs due to ABCA12-deficiency in decreased LB contents as well as decreased amounts of the extracellular lipid lamellae within the stratum corneum, leading to an impaired barrier function (Akiyama, 2011a; Dale et al, 1990; Milner et al, 1992). Thus, these data together with our observations are consistent with a substantial role of GlcCer in epidermal barrier homeostasis (Feingold, 2007).

Since LBs are hypothesized to be a part of the tubulo-vesicular TGN (Elias et al, 1998; Madison, 2003) and SNAP29 was found to localize to intracellular membranes such as the Golgi apparatus and endocytic vesicles (Rapaport et al, 2010; Wong et al, 1999), these data support the role of SNAP29 in mediating trafficking from the Golgi apparatus via lipid-containing LBs to the intercellular space (Rapaport et al, 2010; Sprecher et al, 2005). Interestingly, it was suggested that ABCA12 is essential for lipid transport from the Golgi apparatus to LBs in keratinocytes (Sakai et al, 2007).

From these findings, we suggest that ablation of SNAP29 provokes perturbations in LB secretion of lipids to the interstices of corneocytes, leading to a defective formation of the intercellular lipid lamellar structure of the stratum corneum, which most likely results in severe barrier perturbations in Snap29-deficient mice. The clinical importance of this lamellar structure has been reported in several studies (reviewed by (Madison, 2003)) and many congenital ichthyoses, despite their genetic heterogeneity, are considered as disorders of a disturbed epidermal lipid metabolism associated with prominent barrier abnormalities (Elias et al, 2012).
Consistent with disturbed GlcCer levels, a substantial reduction of neutral lipids in the neonatal knockout skin was additionally observed (see Chapter 4.9). Furthermore, numerous lipid droplets were detected in the *stratum corneum* of *Snap29*-deficient mice. Notably, the observed cutaneous phenotype of *Snap29*-deficient mice mostly resembled defects in epidermal barrier function, as seen in ABCA12-deficient mice which were characterized by hyperkeratosis, abnormal LB morphology and retention of lipid droplets (Smyth et al, 2008; Yanagi et al, 2008). In general, lipid droplets arise from the ER and store neutral lipids. Interestingly, accumulation of these specialized organelles has been described under induced ER stress (Hapala et al, 2011), after exposure of the epidermis to several irritants, e.g. retinoids, or in states of a disturbed epidermal lipid metabolism (Schmuth, 2003).

### 5.6 Increased proliferation and abnormal keratinocyte differentiation in *Snap29*-deficient mice epidermis

*Snap29*-deficient mice displayed hyperkeratosis and epidermal hyperplasia, which were associated with an increased number of proliferating cells in the basal layer of the epidermis as well as K6 expression (see Chapter 4.6). In humans, hyperproliferation represents a typical mechanism to compensate epidermal barrier defects as a repair process (Ny & Egelrud, 2004) and similar morphological hyperproliferative conditions were observed in the epidermis of the human skin diseases like lamellar ichthyosis, atopic dermatitis, and psoriasis (Oji & Traupe, 2006). Furthermore, it is also a common feature observed in the adult epidermis of other mouse models suffering from severe skin barrier defects (Segre, 2003). However, the hyperkeratosis of *Snap29*-deficient mice displayed directly after birth, being unlikely a direct compensatory response to the epidermal barrier defect. Furthermore, the expression of keratinocyte differentiation markers was dysregulated. *Snap29*-deficient keratinocytes lost their ability for normal terminal differentiation and expressed K14 throughout suprabasal layers (see Chapter 4.5). Hence, loss of *Snap29* leads to aberrant terminal differentiation of basal keratinocytes.

Moreover, immunohistochemistry revealed a reduction of keratohyalin granules within the granular layer and a reduced expression of filaggrin in the *stratum granulosum* of *Snap29*-deficient mice (see Chapter 4.5), which are commonly observed histomorphological features in the skin of patients with ichthyosis vulgaris (Smith et al, 2006; Sybert et al, 1985). Concordantly, the reduced level of this structural protein might also contribute to the formation of condensed *stratum corneum*, which might additionally be involved in the
observed defects in barrier permeability. This is in concordance with data from other authors reporting a disturbed epidermal barrier due to a defective proteolytic processing of filaggrin into its monomers (Descargues et al, 2005; Leyvraz et al, 2005; List et al, 2002; Presland et al, 2000).

Immunohistochemical analyses revealed a diminished proteolytic level in the epidermis of Snap29-deficient mice, indicating that the hyperkeratosis in Snap29-deficient epidermis might be partly due to a reduced desquamation of corneocytes. Furthermore, remnants of CDSN were observed in the stratum corneum (see Chapter 4.8), which is normally devoid of this corneodesmosomal protein. Since LBs transport and secret several proteins, proteases, and protease inhibitors, which are essential for the generation and maintenance of the epidermal barrier (Ishida-Yamamoto et al, 2004) (see Chapter 1.2.4), it is likely that disturbances in LB function effect localization and levels of their cargoes such as CDSN, which undergoes proteolytic cleavage mainly by the specific serine proteinases KLK7 and KLK5 (Caubet et al, 2004). Concordantly, controlled proteolytic degradation of corneodesmosomes is essential for physiological desquamation. Our findings indicate a delayed corneodesmosomal degradation process as a result of reduced amounts of KLK7. This is consistent with previous studies indicating that abnormalities in transportation and secretion of LBs result in reduced desquamation (Ishida-Yamamoto & Kishibe, 2011; Rassner et al, 1999). In good agreement with our findings, remnants of CDSN were also found in a human SNAP29 down-regulated organotypic keratinocyte cell culture model (Fuchs-Telem et al, 2011). Taken together, our results suggest that the observed hyperkeratosis is not a compensatory mechanism to counteract barrier perturbation, but represents more likely a retention hyperkeratosis due to a defective differentiation and desquamation processes. Additionally, this feature can be attributed to structural and functional alterations of LB function.

Furthermore, lipid precursors are essential for the incorporation of the hydrolytic enzymes into LBs (Rassner et al, 1999), and since we observed diminished amounts of GlcCer in Snap29-deficient mice epidermis, the defective lipid trafficking could also impair the transportation of KLK7 to LBs. This is in good agreement with the a recent line of published data, which suggests that a lipid transport defect impairs the delivery of proteases to LBs in the skin of Harlequin ichthyosis patients, resulting in hyperkeratosis (Elias et al, 2008).

In conclusion, Snap29 depletion leads to aberrant keratinocyte differentiation, a reduced serine protease level accompanied by delayed desquamation (see Figure 26) and a dysregulation of keratinocyte differentiation markers (see Figure 19). These features are
presumably caused by general disturbances in vesicular trafficking, leading to the observed ichthyotic phenotype in Snap29-deficient mice.

5.7 Impacts of Snap29 deficiency on ER function

The observation of lipid droplets in the stratum corneum of Snap29-deficient mice (see Figure 27) prompted us to investigate ER function. The ER serves as the main site for the synthesis as well as homeostasis of lipids. As already stated, lipid droplets arise from the ER and these dynamic structures often accumulate under various cellular stress conditions such as induced ER stress (Wilfling et al, 2014) (see Chapter 5.5). Besides being storage compartments for neutral lipids, they are formed in order degrade excessive amounts of lipids accumulated at the ER. Lipid droplets might also be important for the degradation of un- or misfolded proteins (Hapala et al, 2011).

The ER stress response was originally considered as a compensatory mechanism in order to overcome pathological conditions, but it has emerged that this reaction is also involved in physiological cellular differentiation. For example, studies revealed that CHOP was induced in the suprabasal layers of human epidermis during normal keratinocyte differentiation (Sugiura et al, 2009). Thus, keratinocytes are reliant upon a slight UPR activation for normal differentiation (Sugiura, 2013). In conjunction with this, immunohistochemical staining revealed CHOP staining in the epidermis of our mice. Furthermore, a marked increase of CHOP levels in Snap29-deficient fibroblasts compared to control cells was detected (see Chapter 4.11). Therefore, the accumulation of lipid droplets within corneocytes of Snap29-deficient mice appeared to be caused by an increased ER stress response in order to prevent the accumulation of excessive lipids and unfolded proteins at the ER membrane. Notably, lipid droplets were also observed within corneocytes as ultrastructural feature of Congenital ichthyosis type 1 (Niemi et al, 1994), and additionally in other pathologies such as cardiomyopathies, neuropathies, or during viral hepatitis (Vallet-Pichard et al, 2012), which are not associated with defects in lipid metabolisms.

Furthermore, a recent study elucidated a novel link between barrier homeostasis of the epidermis and the response to ER stress. It was anticipated that Ca²⁺ release from the ER as a response to cellular stress conditions is associated with LB secretion. This Ca²⁺ release ensures that LB secretion takes place prior to cornification (Celli et al, 2011). Thus, it is an important signal for epidermal permeability barrier repair. Since Snap29 deficiency correlates...
with epidermal barrier permeability in our knockout mice, the ER stress response might be a signal for triggering LB secretion in order to overcome the defective barrier (see Chapter 1.2.4).

Together, these results clearly indicate evidence of the link between Snap29 deficiency and altered ER function. Furthermore, the increased number of lipid droplets in the epidermis of Snap29-deficient animals also points towards defects in lipid transportation.

5.8 Impacts of Snap29 deficiency on autophagy

During the time of my thesis, a role of SNAP29 in autophagy has emerged. It was recently revealed, that Snap29 plays a role in late steps of autophagosome-lysosome fusion, together with Stx17 and VAMP8/VAMP7 in both human and fruit fly (Itakura et al, 2012; Takats et al, 2013) (see Chapter 1.6.2). Therefore, we decided to perform autphagic flux assays in addition to the characterization of the epidermal phenotype of Snap29-deficient mice. Autophagy relies on membrane fusion processes, especially regarding autophagosome biogenesis and fusion with the endocytic system (Mizushima, 2007). This process takes place under basal conditions, but it can be markedly upregulated by numerous conditions such as ER stress, as seen in Snap29-deficient fibroblasts (see Chapter 4.11). Furthermore, autophagy plays a role in the cornification of keratinocytes, which involves the degradation of intracellular constituents but it is not essential for barrier formation (Rossiter et al, 2013). However, the key regulatory mechanisms of autophagic membrane fusion as well as the involvement of autophagy in keratinocyte differentiation have not been thoroughly evaluated in the past.

Autophagic degradation is thought to be required for cellular remodeling and organelle clearance during keratinocyte differentiation (Aymard et al, 2011). Since SNAP29 is directly involved in membrane fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes, we performed autophagic flux assays (see Chapter 1.6.1). In Snap29-deficient fibroblasts the levels of LC3B-II, a marker for autophagosomes, were increased, particularly under amino acid starvation conditions and bafilomycin A1 treatment, compared to control cells (see Chapter 4.12). Accumulation of autophagosomes, and therefore increased LC3B-II levels, may indicate an induction of autophagy or blockage of downstream steps, e.g. inhibition of autophagosome-lysosome fusion (Mizushima et al, 2010) (see Chapter 1.6.1). Thus, p62 levels were examined since it correlates inversely with autophagic flux. p62 binds directly to LC3 and ubiquitinated
substrates and is degraded by autophagy (Bjorkoy et al, 2005). Interestingly, no accumulation of p62 was observed in Snap29-deficient fibroblasts. Our data implicate an induction of autophagy through Snap29 ablation, because no strikingly enhanced levels of p62 could be detected and a further increase of LC3B-II upon bafilomycin A1 treatment in Snap29 knockout cells.

Our data are in contrast with experiments in SNAP29 knockdown cells, in which levels of LC3-II were increased but autophagic flux was inhibited (Itakura et al, 2012). However, a study in Caenorhabditis elegans showed a significant induction of autophagy in the intestine of snap-29(RNAi) animals (Sato et al, 2011).

In addition, Drosophila melanogaster mutants for Snap29 displayed an increased number of autophagosomes and epithelial architecture defects (Morelli et al, 2014). However, Drosophila mutants in genes exclusively acting in the autophagic machinery but not in endocytic trafficking do not reveal alterations in epithelial tissue architecture or secretion defects (Chang et al, 2009; Morelli et al, 2014). Therefore, this study supports the idea that alterations in the autophagic machinery are not responsible for the epidermal phenotype of CEDNIK syndrome patients. It is rather emphasized, that the epithelial defects in Drosophila are caused by alterations in secretory trafficking. It was also suggested, that an altered autophagic pathway may be causative for the neuronal phenotype of CEDNIK syndrome patients (Morelli et al, 2014), considering that defects in autophagy contribute to neurodegeneration (reviewed by (Son et al, 2012)). In conclusion, the exact role of SNAP29 in the autophagy machinery and its contribution to a normal epidermal homeostasis warrants further investigations.

Until today, only few studies report the involvement of the autophagic pathway in epidermal keratinization and particularly gene-targeting experiments of autophagy regulators in murine models have further examined the involvement of the autophagic pathway in mammalian development (Barth et al, 2010). For example, mice in which essential genes for the autophagic machinery, such as Atg5 and Atg7, are deleted are born without an overt skin phenotype (Komatsu et al, 2005; Kuma et al, 2004). This evidence also supports the idea that perturbations in autophagy do not affect skin morphogenesis in neonatal mice. Another recent line of research proposed that autophagy is constitutively active in the epidermis but is dispensable for the formation of a functional epidermal barrier (Rossiter et al, 2013). Notably, skin graft experiments with Atg7-deficient mice predicted that autophagy has a significant role in terminal epidermal differentiation and hair growth (Yoshihara et al, 2015); however,
epidermis specific knockout mice (K14-Cre-Atg7 f/f) did not resemble these features (Rossiter et al, 2013). These recent reports might partially be explained by the discovery of another alternative autophagy pathway, which is independent of Atg5 and Atg7, essential genes in mammalian autophagy (Nishida et al, 2009; Shimizu et al, 2010). In this cellular model, MEFs deficient for Atg5 or Atg7 were still able to form autophagosomes and autolysosomes even without LC3 lipidation. Further investigation exploring the relationship between autophagy and the subsequent differentiation of keratinocytes will be required to evaluate novel insights into these processes.

Taken together, our findings suggest that the autophagic machinery is likely affected by ablation of SNAP29; however, the neonatal murine skin phenotype especially the disturbed skin barrier function in Snap29-deficient mice is most likely not caused by alterations in the autophagic pathway. The role of SNAP29 was briefly addressed in this thesis and its emerging importance in this dynamic process requests further investigation.

**General remarks regarding autophagic flux assays**

Given that autophagy is a highly dynamic process that is regulated at multiple steps, it was pointed out that researchers need to carefully choose methods to monitor autophagy and that there are common pitfalls in interpreting such results. As discussed in Chapter 1.6.1, the most common approach in order to monitor autophagic activity is the measurement of LC3-II and/or p62 protein levels. However, it is important to use several approaches (pharmacological and genetic) before making final conclusions about the impact on autophagy in the respective system (Barth et al, 2010). In theory, autophagy gene knockdown/knockout represents a more specific approach than pharmacological targeting of autophagy (Mizushima & Levine, 2010). Notably, bafilomycin A1 has in addition to the influence on autophagy an impact upon endocytic travelling (Bayer et al, 1998) and recently it was reported that this pharmacological agent does not affect the lysosomal pH as assumed previously (Mauvezin et al, 2015). Therefore, a more careful examination of the autophagic pathway is required for example under the treatment with other chemical inhibitors, in order to further investigate the impact of Snap29 depletion on autophagy.
5.9 Total knockout mice show a more pronounced phenotype

Total as well as epidermal Snap29 knockout mice exhibited a nearly identical ichthyotic phenotype accompanied by neonatal lethality (see Figure 17). However, a detailed comparison of the phenotypes of the two knockout mouse lines indicated slight differences in epidermal differentiation as well as in hair morphogenesis. The cutaneous abnormalities of the mutant mice were more pronounced in the total knockout mice. Snap29<sup>−/−</sup> mice displayed more organelle remnants in the stratum corneum, nucleated keratinocytes in the cornified layers (parakeratosis) (see Figure 25) and less hair follicles compared to Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre mice (see Chapter 4.4 and 4.7).

Interestingly, a recent study revealed that SNAP29 is directly involved in early fusion processes implicated in primary cilium assembly, and depletion of SNAP29 inhibited ciliogenesis (Lu et al, 2015). Primary cilia on the surface of mammalian cells are essential for epidermal morphogenesis (Chen et al, 2015). Furthermore, cilia are required for proper Notch-signaling and progenitor cell differentiation in skin morphogenesis. In early epidermal development, Notch-signaling via primary cilia leads to the commitment of basal keratinocytes to terminal differentiation (Ezratty et al, 2011) and cilia have been implicated in hair follicle morphogenesis, reliant upon sonic hedgehog signaling (Chen et al, 2015; Ezratty et al, 2011). Notably, ciliary-mutants displayed defects in Notch-signaling and in the commitment of progenitor cells to differentiate (Chen et al, 2015; Ezratty et al, 2011), suggesting that ciliary loss might directly affect epidermal differentiation. We assume that disturbances in primary cilia formation and the associated perturbations in progenitor cell differentiation might be responsible for the observed alleviated phenotype in total Snap29 knockout mice.

Moreover, the key prerequisite for hair follicle development relies on signaling between keratinocytes and the underlying mesenchymal fibroblasts (Mikkola, 2007; Schneider et al, 2009). A recent study revealed a role for dermal primary cilia as an essential signaling component required for regulating hair follicle morphogenesis (Lehman et al, 2009). Consistent with these data, it might be reasonably assumed that the reduction of hair follicles in total Snap29-deficient mice compared to the epidermal knockout relies on a disruption of the tightly regulated ectodermal-mesodermal interactions via early cilium assembly. At this time, little is known about the role of epidermal cilia and the involvement of SNAP29 in primary cilia assembly. Thus, the crosstalk between ciliogenesis and skin morphogenesis remains to be fully explored.
5.10 Summary and conclusions

Loss-of-function mutations in the SNAP29 gene are associated with the human CEDNIK syndrome and so far, no Snap29-deficient mammalian animal models have been described for this syndrome. This work reports the generation and characterization of a total (Snap29<sup>+</sup>) as well as keratinocyte specific (Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>)/K14-Cre) Snap29 knockout mouse line. Both Snap29-deficient mouse models resemble the human epidermal CEDNIK syndrome phenotype and can be used as beneficial models to further enlighten the impact of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation as well as in understanding the pathophysiological mechanisms in the human CEDNIK syndrome.

Both Snap29-deficient mouse lines showed an ichthyosiform skin phenotype accompanied by neonatal lethality. Altogether, the murine phenotype comprises epidermal differentiation defects shown by a dysregulation of keratinocyte differentiation markers as well as a reduced serine protease activity in the stratum granulosum accompanied by delayed desquamation. Furthermore, markedly decreased deposition of LB contents in mutant mice epidermis and the observation of malformed LBs indicate severe impairments in LB function due to the Snap29 knockout. Thus, my thesis presents novel evidence that strengthens a role of SNAP29 on LB maturation and secretion as demonstrated by abnormal lipid profiles, and an impaired barrier formation, which are most likely due to an impaired vesicular trafficking.

Besides the impact on terminal keratinocyte differentiation, my thesis emphasizes a role of SNAP29 in autophagy and ER stress. However, it is most likely that the observed alterations in the autophagic pathway are not primarily causative for the neonatal murine skin phenotype, especially the severe barrier perturbations in Snap29-deficient mice. Further studies will elucidate the exact role of SNAP29 in autophagy and ER stress, especially regarding normal epidermal homeostasis.

Overall, my thesis contributes to the substantial role of SNAP29 in epidermal differentiation. Further research will reveal to what extent the observed alterations in LB function in Snap29-deficient epidermis are caused by direct effects on vesicular trafficking or by indirect effects due to disturbances in Golgi, ER or autophagy. A follow-up research application for further unraveling the impact of SNAP29 on autophagy and ciliogenesis is planned for submission at the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). Therefore, the isolated cells (keratinocytes and fibroblasts) from Snap29<sup>+/−</sup> and Snap29<sup>fl/fl</sup>/K14-Cre mice can be used as a key perquisite for further investigations.
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