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ABSTRACT

Background: Although integrated treatments were implemented, glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) remains one of the most lethal primary brain tumors. The cellular retinoic acid–binding protein 2 (CRABP2) plays a crucial role, as one of the key cytoplasmic transport-proteins in retinoic acid (RA) pathway in various cancers, hence in GBM. Downregulation of CRABP2 protein and its lower ratio to fatty acid–binding protein 5 (FABP5) were showed to play important role in impaired RA pathway and in survival time of GBM patients. The specific mechanism of CRABP2 regulation in GBM is still not clear. Methods: Luciferase Reporter Assay was used to test hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 mediated effects on 3’- untranslated region (3'UTR) of CRABP2 mRNA. In order to detect possible mutation in SUMOylation site, coding sequence of CRABP2 from different GBM tissues and cell lines was amplified and sequenced. Valproic acid (VPA) as histone deacetylation inhibitor, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza) as DNA methylation Inhibitor and RA were used to treat U87MG and patient-derived 36st GBM cell lines. Real-time PCR was used for mRNA quantification of CRABP2, FABP5, methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), Caspase 7 and Bcl-2 DNA in cells treated with VPA, VPA+RA, 5-aza as well as in cells transfected with pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid. MTT assays were performed to detect GBM cells viability after VPA single and 5-aza single and in combination with RA treatment. Immunocytochemistry (ICC) was performed to detect expression of CRABP2 using anti-CRABP2, and proliferation level using anti-Ki-67 antibody. Results: Downregulation of CRABP2 in GBM is not due to its modulation by hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 or a mutation in Lysine 102. VPA treatment alone and in combination with RA increased CRABP2 expression and inhibited proliferation and cell viability in GBM cells. Moreover, VPA treatment as well as 5-aza decreased DNMT 1 level in vitro. Besides that, both over-expression of CRABP2 as well as VPA treatment, increased apoptosis in glioblastoma cells. Conclusions: VPA increases expression of CRABP2 in analyzed GBM cell lines. Additional increase of CRABP2 expression was achieved by combined VPA and RA treatment. VPA+RA drug combination synergistically inhibited the proliferation of glioblastoma cells. This data suggests that VPA in combination with RA can be a promising anti-cancer therapy for GBM.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM)

Within the central nervous system (CNS), human gliomas are recognized as the most common brain malignant neoplasia, which are classified as Grades I to IV according to the World Health Organization (WHO). Among that, GBM (WHO Grade IV glioblastoma) accounts for more than half of all gliomas and is the most lethal primary brain tumor in adults (Louis et al. 2007, Adamson et al. 2009).

Glioblastoma “multiforme” displays that the tumor not only has high heterogeneity in histopathology but also shows inhomogeneity in both intertumoral and intratumoral aspects. Although in GBM integrated treatments, including surgery, specific regional radiotherapy and temozolomide (TMZ) chemotherapy are performed, median survival is still 14.8 months and less than 5% of patients survive longer than 5 years after the diagnosis (Ohgaki and Kleihues 2005, Wen and Kesari 2008). However, Barbus et al. found that among GBM patients there were 3-5% that can survive three years or longer (long term survivors, LTS, overall survival ≥ 36 months) (Barbus et al. 2011).

Consequently, over the past decades, thousands of studies worldwide aim to search for more specific anti-GBM therapy so called personalized therapy (Shirai and Chakravarti 2011). Distinct strategies were applied and involved in study of different oncogenic pathways as for example angiogenesis (Wang et al. 2016), tumor immunology (Garg et al. 2016), epigenetic events (Nagarajan and Costello 2009) and glioma stem cells (GSCs) (Codrici et al. 2016). Chemoresistance and lack of accurate and reproducible biomarkers to select patients for specific therapies remain all the time the major therapeutic problems in GBM patients. The regulation of pro- and anti-apoptotic pathways is a key factor in the onset and maintenance of chemoresistance, and it is crucial to develop some new treatment strategies for GBM patients.
1.2 Retinoic Acid (RA)

Retinoids can induce differentiation and/or apoptosis from cancer cellular perspective and display anti-proliferative and anti-oxidative activity. For this reason retinoids possess huge potential as chemotherapeutics (Altucci and Gronemeyer 2001). In a series of enzymatic steps, vitamin A (retinol) is metabolized through the oxidizing action of retinaldehyde (RDH) to retinal, and by retinaldehyde dehydrogenase (RALDH) to RA. RA has three different isomers: all-trans, 9-cis, and 13-cis RA. All-trans RA (ATRA, RA) activates retinoic acid receptors (RAR α/β/γ), while 9-cis-RA activates RARs as well as retinoid X receptors (RXR α/β/γ) (Chambon 1996; Mangelsdorf 1994). RARs heterodimerize mostly with RXRs, which function as a transcription factor. RA, as an anti-carcinogenic agent, is currently used in the treatment of promyelocytic leukemia (Degos and Wang 2001, Chomienne et al. 1990), and is being tested as a therapy for several types of human cancers (Buntzel and Kuttner 1998, Niles 2002, Arrieta et al. 2011, Bryan et al. 2011). Even though some encouraging results have been obtained from a phase 2 pilot study of RA given to patients with progressive or recurrent malignant glioma (Yung et al. 1996), efficacy of retinoids in glioma patients in clinical trials has been limited (Kaba et al. 1997, Phuphanich et al. 1997). It has been demonstrated that RA resistance may stem from the deregulated retinoid signaling (Campos et al. 2015, Jing et al. 1997).

1.3 Cellular Retinoic Acid–Binding Protein 2 (CRABP2) in the RA pathway

CRABP2, as one of the key cytoplasmic transport-proteins, plays a crucial role in the RA pathway (Liu et al. 2016). As one of the vitamin A metabolic molecules, RA once bound to CRABP2, is transported from cytoplasm into the nucleus via the activation of a nuclear localization signal (Corlazzoli et al. 2009). CRABP2 has higher binding affinity towards intracellular RA and once RA binds to CRABP2, it is directly delivered to the RAR α and RXR α/β/γ (Campos et al. 2011). This complex then binds to specific responsive elements in the promoters of target gene (Retinoic Acid Responsive Elements, RARE), and subsequently induces the gene expression of its downstream target (Campos et al. 2011). RA-activated RARs are involved in the transcriptional regulation of genes involved in multiple biological processes, such as
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cell differentiation (Rochette-Egly and Chambon 2001), proliferation (Vreeland et al. 2014a), cell cycle arrest (Donato and Noy 2005, Donato et al. 2007) and apoptosis (Donato and Noy 2005).

Alternatively, FABP5 is an intracellular lipid-binding protein which competes with CRABP2 for the RA, but with a less binding affinity. FABP5 shuffles RA to another nuclear receptor Peroxisomal Proliferator Activated Receptor β/δ (PPARβ/δ), which activates the genes involved more in proliferation (Schug et al. 2007). Liu et al. (2011) showed that in the case of higher cytoplasmic FABP5 to CRABP2 ratio in breast cancer, RA was preferentially bound to FABP5, thus cell survival increases instead of building the complex with CRABP2 which would contribute to the decrease of tumor cell survival (Liu et al. 2011). The analysis of Barbus et al. (2011) of GBM patients displayed low FABP5 to CRABP2 ratio in LTS, whereas a high FABP5 to CRABP2 ratio was detected in short term survivors (STS, overall survival ≤ 6 months) (Barbus et al. 2011).

Downregulation of CRABP2 in medulloblastoma is due to the aberrant methylation in the promoter region (Fu et al. 2012). Campos et al. (2012) revealed that CRABP2 expression is WHO grade-dependent (Campos et al. 2012). They also showed that downregulation of both total and nuclear CRABP2 plays a critical role in poor patient survival. Recently Yang et al. (2016) also stated that CRABP2 is downregulated in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and is considered as a tumor suppressor (Yang et al. 2016).

1.4 Possible causes of CRABP2 downregulation in GBM

Nagarajan and Costello (2009) classified epigenetic regulation in GBM as: non-coding RNAs, covalent modifications of DNA (such as DNA methylation) and posttranslational modifications of N-terminal tails of histones (such as histone modifications) (Nagarajan and Costello 2009). Here the different types of epigenetic dysregulation are considered as potential reasons which could lead to downregulation of CRABP2 and deregulated RA pathway in GBM.
MiRNAs as non-coding RNAs are a class of 21–25 nucleotide-long small RNAs (He and Hannon 2004). Chen et al. (2014) showed that RA induced expression of miRNA-302b which inhibits E2F3, a transcription factor leading to cell apoptosis in glioma (Chen et al. 2014). Furthermore, in neuroblastoma cells, Foley et al. (2011) also revealed that RA treatment induced expression of mir-10a/b which targets the nuclear receptor co-repressor 2 (NCOR2) (Foley et al. 2011). Downregulation of NCOR2 leads to the indirect suppression of MYCN, a potent onco-protein in neuroblastoma. This research demonstrated that RA induces the expression of miRNAs which are responsible for several tumor suppressive activities in the central nervous system. However, since the Campos group has indicated low CRABP2 expression in GBM (Campos et al. 2011), the role of miRNAs in CRABP2 regulation is still unknown. Thus, it is possible that dysregulation of miRNAs in GBM could play a role in the downregulation of CRABP2.

DNA methylation by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) has been reported to be a crucial type of covalent modifications of DNA. Among DNMTs, DNMT1 is a key enzyme which can maintain DNA methylation, especially through correcting methylation patterns on the new DNA strand during replication (Scott et al. 2014). Rajendran et al. (2011) have uncovered significant overexpression of DNMT1 in GBM (Rajendran et al. 2011). This emphasized the transcriptional silencing by CpG island methylation as a probable potential explanation for inactivation of several genes. Campos et al. (2012) revealed that DNA methyltransferase inhibitor 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine (5-aza) can reverse aberrant CpG methylation upstream of the CRABP2 gene locus in astrocytic gliomas (Campos et al. 2012). Therefore, DNA methylation could be one of the reasons which lead to decrease of CRABP2 in GBM cells.

Histone deacetylation is one of the posttranslational modifications in N-terminal tails of histones and is known to regulate the expression of a whole range of genes in GBM (Cornago et al. 2014). Valproic acid (VPA), as a classic antiepileptic agent, was approved as a histone deacetylation inhibitor (HDACi) (against Class I and IIA
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HDACs), and it is already approved as treatment of several cancers (Terranova-Barberio et al. 2016, Leitch et al. 2016, Chen et al. 2009). Hosein et al. (2015) demonstrated that VPA as a therapeutic mono and in combination with TMZ and irradiation has distinct effect in primary GBM cells (Hosein et al. 2015). Moreover, as epigenetic therapy, DNMT inhibitor 5-aza with another HDAC inhibitor TSA can lead to the increase of more than 160 genes in GBM (Kim et al. 2006). However, it is still unknown whether histone deacetylation is one of the epigenetic causes resulting in CRABP2 downregulation. Thus, this study may answer the question if aberrant histone deacetylation is a potential reason causing the alteration in RA pathway particularly in downregulation of CRABP2.

SUMOylation (Muller et al. 2001) is posttranslational modification where lysine residues are covalently attached to the target protein. Majumdar et al. (2011) showed that Lysine 102 (K102) residue of CRABP2 is amino acid SUMOylated in response to RA (Majumdar et al. 2011). SUMOylation of CRABP2 enhances its translocation to the nucleus in response to RA. Therefore, conceivably the mutations in K102 would enable CRABP2 protein to lose the ability to be SUMOylated leading to its dysfunction.

1.5 Aims of the study

Chemoresistance remains one of the major therapeutic problems in GBM. Retinoid can induce differentiation and/or apoptosis in tumor cells and therefore has great potential as chemotherapeutic. Sometimes the use of retinoid is hindered by deregulated RA signaling, for example deregulated CRAPB2 protein is related to GBM patient’s poor survival. I aimed at first to explore the potential transcriptional, posttranscriptional and posttranslational reasons for downregulation of CRABP2 protein. Further on, this study was aimed to investigate the anti-cancer mechanism of a combined application of HDACi VPA and RA on glioblastoma by evaluating their influence on the CRABP2 protein.
## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Materials and Chemicals

Table 1. Materials and chemicals and their sources used in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Materials and Chemicals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bio-Rad /Hercules, USA</td>
<td>SYBR-Green Mastermix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio-TEK /Winooski, USA</td>
<td>CFX384 cycler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clontech Laboratories /CA, USA</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer Absorption Reader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clontech Laboratories /CA, USA</td>
<td>Synergy MX multi-mode microplate reader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clontech Laboratories /CA, USA</td>
<td>Total Human Brain RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>Minimum Essential Medium (MEM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>0.05% Trypsin-EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (NEAA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>Sodium Bicarbonate Solution 7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>Sodium Pyruvate 100mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS) pH7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibco Life Technologies /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>Opti-MEM medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad Software /La Jolla, USA</td>
<td>GraphPad Prism 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greiner Bio-One /Frickhausen, Germany</td>
<td>Cell Culture Flask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greiner Bio-One /Frickhausen, Germany</td>
<td>6, 12, 24, 96 well Culture Plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invitrogen /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>pMIR-REPORT vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invitrogen /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>Lipofectamine 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invitrogen /Carlsbad, CA, USA</td>
<td>SuperScript III first-strand synthesis kit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England Biolab Inc. /Ipswich, USA</td>
<td>Spe I restriction enzyme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.2 Cell Lines

Glioblastoma cell lines: U87MG cells were a kind gift from Julia Bode (Molecular Mechanisms of Tumor Invasion, Schaller Research Group at the University of Heidelberg and the DKFZ), and 11st, 36st, 76st, 86st, 98st, 101st, 117st cell lines were derived from patient glioblastoma samples which were developed by Christoph Schmitz-Salue from the Neurosurgery department of the Georg-August University,
Göttingen, Germany. This study was approved by the ethical board of University Clinic Göttingen.

2.3 Luciferase Reporter Assay

For this assay the cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a cell density of $5 \times 10^4$ Human Embryonic Kidney 293 (HEK293) cells per well and incubated at $37^\circ$C. One day later the medium was changed into Opti-MEM medium without FBS and the cells were transfected with relevant plasmids (pMIR-REPORT firefly luciferase vector or pMIR-REPORT-CRABP2-3’UTR vector together with pGL4.73 Renilla luciferase vector) as well as with hsa-mir-1262 and with hsa-mir-34a respectively in three replicates per condition (Table 2). 6-8 hours later 50 µl per well medium with FBS was added. 24 hours after the transfection the cells were washed and lysed for luciferase assay according to the manufacture protocol. 20 µl of cell lysate per well was mixed with 100 µl of Luciferase Assay Reagent and immediately measured using luminometer from BioTek Synergy MX Monochromator-based multi-mode microplate reader.

Table 2. Cell transfection used in Luciferase Reporter Assay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition 1</th>
<th>Condition 2</th>
<th>Condition 3</th>
<th>Condition 4</th>
<th>Condition 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pMIR-REPORT (100ng)</td>
<td>pGL4.73 (150ng)</td>
<td>pMIR-REPORT (100ng)</td>
<td>pMIR-REPORT-CRABP2-3’UTR (100ng)</td>
<td>pMIR-REPORT-CRABP2-3’UTR (100ng)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pGL4.73 (150ng)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pGL4.73 (150ng)</td>
<td>pGL4.73 (150ng)</td>
<td>pGL4.73 (150ng)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hsa-mir-34a or hsa-mir-1262 (10 pg)</td>
<td>mir-negative control (10 pg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Construction of pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid and its transfection in U87MG

Template cDNA was generated using mRNA from Total Human Brain (RNA was from #636530, Clontech Laboratories, CA, USA) and Superscript III reverse transcriptase. The CRABP2 coding sequence was amplified by PCR using the template cDNA. The following primers were used: forward 5’-CGG AAT TCC GAT GCC CAA CTT CTC TGG C -3’ and reverse 5’-GGG GTA CCC CCT CTC GGA CGT AGA CCC T -3’. The PCR product was purified on 1% TAE agarose gel, digested with EcoRI and KpnI restriction enzymes and cloned into the pcDNA4/myc-HisB mammalian expression vector. The correct sequence as well as the reading frame of CRABP2 was confirmed by sequencing. U87MG cells were transfected with plasmid pcDNA4-CRABP2 or pcDNA4/myc-HisB using Lipofectamine 3000. Solution I was prepared by diluting 1.5 µl Lipofectamine 3000 reagent in 25 µl Opti-MEM medium. For the solution II, 1 µg of DNA was diluted in 25 µl Opti-MEM medium and mixed with 2 µl of P3000 reagent. These two solutions were mixed, incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature and applied on the cells. After 6-8 hours, 100 µl per well medium (containing FBS) was added. RT-PCR and ICC analysis were performed 24 hours after the transfection.

2.5 Real-time Quantitative PCR

Cellular RNA was isolated with the RNeasy kit. RNA (1 µg) was reverse-transcribed into cDNA by using SuperScript III first-strand synthesis kit. cDNA (100 ng) was used for real-time PCR amplification. Real-time PCR was performed on a BioRAD CFX384 cycler using SYBR-Green Mastermix and gene-specific primers of following genes: CRABP2, FABP5, DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), Caspase 7 and Bcl-2 (Table 3). The amplification was carried out by using the following cycle protocol; 95°C for 15 s; 60°C for 30 s, repeating it for 40X. Data were normalized to hydroxymethylbilane synthase (HMBS) levels.
Table 3. Primers used for the real-time PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMBS</td>
<td>5'CGC ATC TGG AGT TCA GGA GTA3'</td>
<td>5'CCA GGA TGA TGG CAC TGA3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FABP5</td>
<td>5'CCT GTC CAA AGT GAT GAT GG3'</td>
<td>5'CAG CAT CAG GAG TGG GAT G3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRABP2</td>
<td>5'TGC TGA GGA AGA TTG CTG TG3'</td>
<td>5'CCC ATT TCA CCA GGC TCT TA3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2</td>
<td>5'TTT CTC CTG GCT GTC TCT GAA3'</td>
<td>5'CCA GGG TGA TGC AAG CTC CC3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase 7</td>
<td>5'GCT GAC TTC CTC TTC GCC TA3'</td>
<td>5'CAA ACC AGG AGC CTC TTC CT3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNMT1</td>
<td>5'CGA TTC GTC GTC TGT GAG3'</td>
<td>5'TGT CTT TGC AGG CTT TAC ATT3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6 Cell Viability Assay

Cell viability assay was performed by using 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT). Briefly, cells were seeded in medium with FBS in 96-well plates at a cell density of $10^4$ cells per well and incubated at 37°C. After 24 hours cells were washed with 1X phosphate-buffered saline (1X PBS), medium without FBS was added and the cells were treated with appropriate treatments. After incubation period of 72 hours, 10 µl of MTT solution was added and the cells were incubated for a further 4 hours at 37°C. Samples were measured with a spectrophotometer absorption reader using absorbance at 562 nm.

2.7 Cell Proliferation Assay

Glioblastoma cells were seeded in two replicates on 24-well poly-D-lysine-coated plates with $1 \times 10^5$ cells per well. The cells were treated as described in Figure 1, fixed with methanol for 20 minutes at -20°C, and washed three times by 1X PBS. Afterwards, coverslips were incubated 20 minutes in 1X PBS containing 0.2% Triton X100 and 10% normal horse serum, following washing three times with PBS/1% horse serum. Then coverslips were incubated with primary antibody (anti-mouse-Ki-67, 1:100, Clone MIB-1, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) in 1X PBS, 1% horse serum,
0.2% Triton X100 overnight at 4°C. Next, coverslips were washed three times with 1X PBS and incubated in the dark with Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (1:500, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA) in 1X PBS with 1% serum and 0.2% Triton X100 for one hour at room temperature. After washing three times with 1X PBS, coverslips were counterstained with DAPI. At last the coverslips were dried at room temperature and mounted with Aqua Polymounting medium. The slides were examined with ZEISS Axiovert 200 Fluorescence Microscopy. The proportion of Ki-67 positive cells was counted using 20X microscopic amplification. Each treatment was performed with at least three independent experiments and each experiment was analyzed based on at least five random microscopic fields.

2.8 Immunocytochemistry (ICC)

Glioblastoma cells were seeded in two replicates on 24-well Poly-D-lysine-coated plates with 1x10^5 cells per well. The cells were treated and fixed as described above. For the staining a primary anti-goat-CRABP2 (1:50, sc-10065, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, USA) antibody and secondary antibody Alexa Fluor 546 donkey anti-goat IgG (1:500, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA) were used following the above described protocol.

2.9 Experimental design of cell treatment

One day before the treatment (-1) the glioblastoma cells were seeded in appropriate replicates already described for each performed test. On day 0 medium was aspirated, the cells were washed with 1X PBS and replaced with medium without FBS, in order to exclude undefined effect of the FBS medium. NC (negative control) group was treated with dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO); VPA group was treated with DMSO and VPA; V+R group was treated with VPA and RA; RA group was treated with RA. On day 1 and 2, NC and VPA groups were treated additionally with DMSO in order to mimic RA, which was diluted in DMSO; V+R and RA groups were treated with RA. On day 3, after the glioblastoma cells were treated with VPA and/or RA for 72 hours, subsequent analyses were done according to the protocol for the real-time PCR, MTT assay and ICC.
2.10 Statistical Analysis

All *in vitro* data was performed in at least triplicate. The significance of differences was analyzed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons. Calculations were performed using the statistics software GraphPad Prism 5. A probability of $P < 0.05$ was considered as statistical significance. The combination index (Chou and Talalay 1984) was used to calculate the degree of drug interaction in terms of synergism or antagonism.
3 RESULTS

3.1 3’UTR of CRABP2 mRNA is not the target of hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 in glioblastoma cells

In recent decades non-coding RNAs such as miRNAs and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are more and more discussed in the fields of regulation of gliomas. As one of the key epigenetic gene regulation effectors, miRNAs contribute extensively to the downregulation of a wide range of target genes such as tumor suppressors and many epigenetic key enzymes in cancers. I hypothesized that miRNA could be one of the reasons causing the downregulation of CRABP2. At first the possible miRNAs which could target CRABP2, as well as their hypothetic position within 3’UTR of CRABP2 mRNA were searched through open-public microRNA database “miRwalk” (www.umm.uniheidelberg.de/apps/zmf/mirwalk/) and “miRBase” (www.mirbase.org/). The analysis revealed two miRNAs: hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 could putatively bind CRABP2 mRNA 3’UTR (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** The hypothetic target positions of hsa-mir-34a (A) or hsa-mir-1262 (B) on CRABP2 mRNA 3’UTR according to microRNA database “miRwalk” and “miRBase”.

Furthermore, in order to check the expression of these miRNAs in low grade gliomas compared to GBM I used “The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) Data Portal” (by National Cancer Institute and National Human Genome Institute). I found that hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 are significantly higher expressed in glioblastomas (n=17) compared to the one in low grade gliomas (n=17) (p=0.0438, p=0.0057) (Figure 2 and 3).
Figure 2. Heat map presenting the expression profiles of hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 respectively in 17 low grade gliomas and 17 GBM tissues (original microarray data from TCGA).

Figure 3. Real-time PCR analysis of data from TCGA showed that hsa-mir-34a (A, p=0.0438) and hsa-mir-1262 (B, p=0.0057) were increased significantly in 17 low grade gliomas compared to 17 GBM tissues. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05, **P<0.01).

In order to determine the effect of miRNAs on the activity of CRABP2-3'UTR luciferase assays were performed in HEK293 cells. Human brain total RNA was used to generate cDNA by reverse transcription. This cDNA was used as a template to amplify CRABP2-3'UTR. PCR product was purified from the gel, cut with HindIII and
RESULTS

*SpeI* restriction enzymes and ligated into the pMIR-REPORT ([Figure 4 A](#)) firefly luciferase vector. pMIR-REPORT -CRABP2-3'UTR ([Figure 4 B](#)) vector was co-transfected with pGL4.73 ([Figure 4 C](#)) renilla luciferase vector, as well as hsa-mir-1262 and hsa-mir-34a respectively, in HEK293 cells. The luciferase activities of the cells co-transfected with pMIR-REPORT-CRABP2-3'UTR and hsa-mir-34a or hsa-mir-1262 did not show any significant differences compared with control groups. This suggests that in these trials, hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 do not target CRABP2 3'UTR region and are not responsible for posttranscriptional degradation of CRABP2 in glioblastomas.
RESULTS

Figure 4. The commercially available pMIR-REPORT (A) firefly luciferase vector and pGL4.73 (C) renilla luciferase vector and pMIR-REPORT-CRABP2-3’UTR firefly luciferase vector (B) which was constructed according to HindIII-Spel restriction sites and CRABP2 mRNA 3’UTR sequence.

3.2 Downregulation of CRABP2 protein in glioblastomas is not due to the mutation in Lysine 102

Majumdar et al. showed that E2SUMO ligase Ubc9 interacts with CRABP2 and hence SUMOylates the CRABP2 protein. Lysine 102 (K102), as one of three putative SUMOylation sites of CRABP2, is SUMOylated and is essential for the mobilization of CRABP2 protein into nucleus and its delivery of RA to RAR-RXR transcriptional activation.

Protein and mRNA expression analysis from 10 GBM tissues, 7 cell lines derived from glioblastoma patients tissue; 11st, 36st, 76st, 86st, 98st, 101st, 117st and from U87MG commercially available cell line showed low CRABP2 expression (data not shown). In order to check if the eventual mutation in K102 is the reason for its downregulation, at first, we extracted mRNAs of these samples and generated cDNA using reverse transcriptase. These cDNAs were used to amplify CRABP2 product (showed in Materials and Methods) by PCR and to clone it in to pGEM-T Easy Vector. DNA from these samples was amplified and sequenced using pGEM-T Easy specific primers. I could not detect any mutation in sequence coding for K102.
RESULTS

residue, the amino acid responsible for SUMOylation of CRABP2 and mobilization of the CRABP2 protein into the nucleus.

3.3 Effect of combined VPA and RA treatment on CRABP2 expression in GBM cells

Histone deacetylation is known as one of the epigenetic modifications by which expression of many genes were regulated in GBM. Here we showed that treatment of U87MG and patient-derived 36st glioblastoma cells with VPA (10 mM) upregulates CRABP2 expression. Detailed experimental design of treatment according to time procedure is described in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Schematic presentation of the experimental design. One day before the treatment (-1) the glioblastoma cells were seeded in appropriate replicates. On day 0, NC group was treated with DMSO; VPA group was treated with DMSO and VPA; V+R group was treated with VPA and RA; RA group was treated with RA. On day 1 and 2, NC and VPA groups were treated with DMSO; V+R and RA groups were treated with RA. On day 3, after 72 hours of treatment, subsequent analyses were done accordingly to the protocol for the Real-time PCR, MTT assay and ICC. MTT: 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; ICC: Immunocytochemistry; VPA: Valproic acid, with 2 mM, 5 mM, 10 mM respectively; RA: Retinoic acid, with 5 µM.
Even though CRABP2 mRNA expression was increased after VPA, significant upregulation (p=0.0094, p=0.0408) was achieved using V+R treatment for three days in U87MG and 36st glioblastoma cells (Figure 6).

![Real-time PCR analysis](image)

**Figure 6.** Real-time PCR analysis showed that CRABP2 expression was increased significantly after combined VPA (10 mM) and RA (5 µM) treatment for three days, in U87MG (left, p=0.0094) and 36st (right, p=0.0408) GBM cells. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05, **P<0.01).

Moreover, the ratios of FABP5/CRABP2 in these treatment groups were consequently downregulated, as showed by analysis of real-time PCR results, in which V+R (p=0.0160, p=0.0493) groups revealed notably reduction in U87MG and 36st cells (Figure 7).
RESULTS

Figure 7. Real-time PCR analysis showed that the ratios of FABP5/CRABP2 were decreased in V+R group in U87MG (left, p=0.0160) and 36st cells (right, p=0.0493). Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05).

Furthermore, ICC experiments not only supported that VPA and V+R treatment can increase CRABP2 protein expression in glioblastoma cells (Figure 8 A and C), but also revealed different cellular localization of this protein. Treatment of these GBM cells with VPA shows cytoplasmic localization of CRABP2 protein, where V+R groups show more nuclear localization (Figure 8 B and D). Given that CRABP2 is one of the key RA transport-proteins, CRABP2 with more nuclear localization in V+R groups suggested more RA-pathway-related molecules were activated in cell nucleus in vitro.
Figure 8. VPA in combination with RA upregulate CRABP2 expression in GBM cells. ICC experiments showed that VPA, V+R treatment can increase CRABP2 protein expression in U87MG (A) and 36st (C) glioblastoma cells. B. and D. VPA and V+R groups revealed
different cellular localization of CRABP2 proteins. Treatment of U87MG (B) and 36st (D) cells with VPA showed cytoplasmic localization of CRABP2 protein, where V+R group showed more nuclear localization. The scale bar is 50 µm.

3.4 VPA treatment alone and in combination with RA inhibit proliferation and cell viability of GBM cells

Next we tested the proliferation ability of GBM cells under VPA and RA treatments. It is already known that VPA can inhibit proliferation of GBM cells in vitro. Staining of both cell lines with anti-Ki-67 antibody (cellular proliferation marker) showed less Ki-67 stained cells after treatment with 10 mM VPA. Yet again, the combination of both drugs showed a stronger inhibition of the proliferation compared with single treatment (Figure 9 A and B).
**Figure 9.** VPA treatment alone and in combination with RA inhibits proliferation and cell viability of GBM cells. A and B Cell proliferation assay showed less Ki-67 (cellular proliferation marker) stained cells after treatment with 10 mM VPA. Furthermore, combination V+R showed stronger inhibition of the proliferation compared with single treatment in both U87MG (A) and 36st (B) cell lines. The scale bar is 100 µm.

Furthermore, it was found that combined treatment with VPA and RA synergistically decreases the proliferation, since the proportion of Ki-67 positive cells was considerably lower compared with NC (p=0.0009, p=0.0025) or VPA single treatment group (p=0.0172, p=0.0327) (**Figure 10**).
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Figure 10. The proportion of Ki-67 positive cells in V+R groups were considerably lower compared with NC (p=0.0009, p=0.0025) or VPA single treatment group (p=0.0172, p=0.0327) in U87MG (left) and 36st (right) cell lines. Cell Proliferation Assays with anti-Ki-67 antibody were performed at least in three independent experiments in both cell lines. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001).

Using MTT assay it was shown that the cell viability in U87MG (p=0.0115) and 36st (p=0.0432) was inhibited after 72 hours treatment with VPA (Figure 11). Similarly in MTT assay, V+R group showed a very strong decrease in cell viability compared to both control (p<0.0001, p=0.0006) and VPA single (p=0.0115, p=0.0006) treatment (Figure 11).
Figure 11. The cell viability in U87MG (left, p=0.0115) and 36st (right, p=0.0432) was inhibited after 72 hours treatment with VPA alone. Furthermore, V+R group showed significant higher decrease in cell viability comparing to both, control and VPA single treatment. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001).

Besides this, the reduction of VPA concentration up to 2 mM in combination with RA was still able to show significant effect on U87MG and 36st cell lines (Figure 12 A and B) in MTT assays. More specifically, MTT assay showed that the cell viability in U87MG and 36st was inhibited with V2+R (p=0.0018, p=0.0277) and V5+R (p=0.0005, p=0.0124) treatment compared to control groups in both cell lines (Figure 12 B).
Figure 12. The reduction of VPA concentration up to 2 mM in combination with RA was still able to cause significant reduction in cell viability in U87MG (left) and 36st (right) cell lines. VPA10: 10 mM; VPA5: 5 mM; VPA2: 2 mM. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001).

3.5 VPA treatment as well as DNA methylation Inhibitor 5-aza decrease DNMT1 levels in GBM cells

DNA methylation leads to downregulation of CRABP2 on transcriptional level. Since DNMT1 plays a key role maintaining DNA methylation, VPA influence on transcription
RESULTS

of this enzyme was examined. Firstly, DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza was used in concentration of 2 µM or 10 µM for three days in order to confirm the influence of DNA methylation on CRABP2 in U87MG glioblastoma cells. The results of real-time PCR showed that 10 µM of 5-aza significantly increased (p=0.0444) the expression of CRABP2 mRNA in U87MG cell lines (Figure 13).
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**Figure 13.** The real-time PCR showed that 10 µM DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza significantly increased (p=0.0444) the expression of CRABP2 mRNA in U87MG cell lines. Data were normalized to hydroxymethylbilane synthase (HMBS) levels. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05).

Besides this, decreased cell viability was detected by MTT assay under the treatment of the U87MG with 10 µM 5-aza alone (p=0.0422) and 10 µM 5-aza combined with 5µM RA (p=0.0434) (Figure 14).
RESULTS

Figure 14. U87MG cell viability decreased notably in MTT assay by treatment with 10 µM 5-aza (p=0.0422) and 10µM 5-aza combined with 5 µM RA (p=0.0434). Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05).

The real-time PCR results showed that treatment of GBM cells with VPA and V+R prominently downregulated the expression of DNMT1 in both U87MG (p=0.0359, p=0.0484) and patient-derived 36st (p=0.0002, p=0.0021) GBM cell lines (Figure 15).

Figure 15. VPA treatment decreases DNMT1 levels in GBM cells. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001).
3.6 Overexpression of CRABP2 as well as VPA treatment increase apoptosis in vitro

In order to prove the specific effect of CRABP2 on GBM cells we transfected pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid (Figure 16 B) in U87MG cell lines. The coding sequence of CRABP2 has been cloned with the correct reading frame in the expression plasmid pcDNA4/myc-HisB (Figure 16 A) using EcoRI-KpnI restriction sites. Transfection of U87MG GBM cells with pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid increased cell death as detected by immunofluorescence staining with propidium iodide (PI), (Figure 17).

Figure 16. The commercially available pcDNA4/myc-HisB plasmid (A), and constructed pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid (B) CRABP2 coding sequence was cloned using EcoRI-KpnI restriction sites.
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Figure 17. Overexpression of CRABP2 as well as VPA treatment increase apoptosis in vitro. Transfection of U87MG glioblastoma cells with pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid increased cell death as detected by staining with the fluorescent molecule, propidium iodide (PI). The scale bar is 50 µm.

Real-time PCR also showed that U87MG and 36st cells over-expressing CRABP2 by transfection of pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid (Figure 18) distinctly induced more Caspase 7 in comparison to the control transfected with plasmid without CRABP2 coding sequence (p=0.0333, p=0.0447; Figure 19).

Figure 18. CRABP2 expression level in U87MG (up) and 36st cells (down) after transfection of pcDNA4-CRABP2 plasmid.
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**Figure 19.** Caspase 7 mRNA level in U87MG (left) and 36st (right) cells with over-expressing CRABP2. Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05).

Furthermore, GBM cells over-expressing CRABP2 showed Caspase 7 mRNA increase which correlates with the increased Caspase 7 after V+R treatment (p=0.0457) **(Figure 20)**. Interestingly, although of similar tendency, significant increase in Caspase 7 has not been observed for the 36st cell line treated with VPA or V+R. It is possible that a different genetic background, for example influence of intact HuR protein plays a role in this cell line.
In correlation with GBM cells over-expressing CRABP2, VPA-treated groups showed increased Caspase 7 mRNA expression. Considerable increase was detected in U87MG cells after V+R treatment (left, p=0.0457). Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05).

Considering the fact that GBM are characterized by high expression level of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 protein (Krajewski et al. 1997, Kraus et al. 2001) we examined the influence of V+R on its mRNA expression level. Both tested cell lines displayed remarkably lower mRNA expression of anti-apoptotic member Bcl-2, especially in V+R group (p=0.0139, p=0.0466, Figure 21).
**RESULTS**

*Figure 21.* mRNA expression of anti-apoptotic marker Bcl-2 in U87MG (left) and 36st (right) cells. Lower expression of Bcl-2 was detected especially in V+R group (p=0.0139, p=0.0466). Bars depict mean ± S.E.M. from three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using two-sided t-tests for two-group comparisons (*P<0.05).
4 DISCUSSION

Alterations in RA signaling are very frequently observed in GBM (Campos et al. 2011) and are used to explain RA resistance in some cases (Campos et al. 2015, Zhou et al. 2015). Recently, Yang et al. (2016) showed downregulation of CRABP2 in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and its role as a tumor suppressor (Yang et al. 2016). Among CNS proteins CRABP2 plays a crucial role in RA pathway and is downregulated in medulloblastoma and GBM. The downregulation is at least partially due to the aberrant methylation in its promoter (Fu et al. 2012, Campos et al. 2012).

4.1 Decrease of CRABP2 in GBM is not modulated by hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 and mutation in K102

It is already known that upregulation or downregulation of miRNAs alter the expression of a lot of proteins in GBM (Tao et al. 2013, Que et al. 2015, Hu et al. 2016). My assumption that miRNAs: hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 can target CRABP2 mRNA 3’UTR and potentially be responsible for downregulation of CRABP2 in GBM could not be confirmed. In fact, according to miRNA database, besides hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262, there are still numerous predicted potential miRNAs which are supposed to target CRABP2 but which are not tested in this study. Furthermore, hsa-mir-34a and hsa-mir-1262 have also other target genes which may have indirect effect on RA pathway in GBM. Based on these views, I still could not exclude miRNAs as one of the reasons leading to downregulation of CRABP2.

Majumdar et al. (2011) demonstrated that K102 in CRABP2 is the residue which is SUMOylated, and SUMOylation of CRABP2 could enhance its translocation to the nucleus in response to RA (Majumdar et al. 2011). Since in GBM CRABP2 is mostly located in cytoplasm I assumed the mutation in this amino acid could be responsible for CRABP2 cytoplasmic localization (Liu et al. 2016). However, I could not detect any mutation in K102 residue of CRABP2, in analyzed GBM samples. But nevertheless
the aberrant SUMOylation in GBM could not be completely excluded since this study did not directly address the Ubc 9 or other key SUMOylational enzymes analysis (Hsieh et al. 2013).

4.2 CRABP2 expression increases after VPA treatment in GBM cells

Data presented in this work shows that the HDAC inhibitor VPA increases expression of CRABP2 in two GBM cell lines. That increase could be due to the known function of VPA as an HDAC inhibitor, leading to decondensation of the chromatin which in turn derives to subsequent higher expression of CRABP2. Alternatively this effect could be the consequence of the VPA effect on downregulation of DNMT1 (Sarkar et al. 2011) enzyme activity on the promotor region of CRABP2 gene (Figure 15). DNMT1 adds methyl groups on the fifth carbon of cytosine at CpG sites and therefore results in DNA methylation that finally leads to suppression of gene transcription. Hence, inhibition or inactivation of DNMT1 leads to DNA hypomethylation or demethylation, in this case possibly of the CRABP2 promotor region (Brodie et al. 2014). On the other hand, VPA has been discovered to induce DNA hypomethylation in rat astrocytes and that is reversible and independent of DNMT1 (Perisic et al. 2010). In this case these results would suggest that VPA affects chromatin remodeling properties of active demethylation machinery in the promoter regions of DNMT1 and CRABP2. Direct influence of DNMT1 on CRABP2 promotor methylation was not examined in this study.

4.3 Nuclear re-localization of CRABP2 after VPA+RA in GBM cells

ICC staining confirmed the expression of CRABP2 protein, as well as indicating its cytoplasmic localization after exposure to VPA. Further increase of CRABP2 protein expression, as well as more nuclear localization, was observed when VPA was added to the GBM cell lines in combination with RA (Figure 8 B and D). This is in agreement with the known, and main, function of CRABP2 protein, to transport RA to the nucleus (Connolly et al. 2013) and that transcription of CRABP2 is RA dependent (Astrom et al. 1994). Moreover VPA and RA application lowers the ratio of FABP5/CRABP2 protein. This ratio has been described to be an important difference
between long and short term GBM survivors (Barbus et al. 2011). LTS GBM patients have lower FABP5/CRABP2 ratio compared to STS which show higher FABP5/CRABP2 ratio (Barbus et al. 2011).

4.4 VPA+RA treatment increased apoptosis and decreased proliferation in GBM cells

VPA treatment increased apoptosis in both GBM cell lines. Yet again a stronger effect on the cell death of these cell lines was increased under combined treatment. It is already known that VPA induces apoptosis in GBM by influencing the balance between pro-apoptotic genes such as Bax, p53 and anti-apoptotic genes such as Chk1 and Bcl-2 (Cornago et al. 2014). Similar VPA effects on gene expression have also been described in cervical carcinoma (Feng et al. 2012). Treatment of GBM cell lines with VPA confirmed the known effect on downregulation of the anti-apoptotic gene Bcl-2. Combining V+R, increased the expression of Caspase 7, an apoptotic marker. This increase is at least partially due to the expression of CRABP2, because transfection of CRABP2 into these GBM cell lines led to a similar increase of Caspase 7 mRNA. This result is in agreement with another recently shown function of CRABP2, in stabilizing some mRNA through interaction with HuR (Vreeland et al. 2014b). Vreeland et al. (2014a) have presented a mechanism which explains anticarcinogenetic activity of CRABP2 with or without RA in GBM (Vreeland et al. 2014a). It includes involvement of CRABP2 in HuR-mediated mRNA stabilization, in addition to the RAR mediated transcriptional regulation.

Based on above presented results, I speculate that, on one side CRABP2 directly interacts with HuR, markedly improving its RNA-binding affinity, and therefore boosting the stability of HuR-targeted transcripts, for example Caspase 7 mRNA (Figure 22). On the other hand, binding of CRABP2 to RA induces its dissociation from HuR. Once bound to RA it is transported to the nucleus, where it delivers RA to RAR, enhancing the transcriptional activity (Figure 22).
These would be in agreement with Vreeland et al. (2014b) who demonstrated the anti-carcinogenic activity of CRABP2 is by promoting HuR-mediated stabilization of transcripts of proteins that inhibit proliferation, and by raising RA-induced RAR-mediated transcription of growth-inhibitory genes (Vreeland et al. 2014b). Results of this study have shown that VPA increases CRABP2 cytoplasmic expression which in turn induces apoptosis, e.g. through stabilization of Caspase 7 mRNA. The addition of RA causes translocation of CRABP2 to the nucleus which further induces apoptosis due to the activation of RAR genes.

Additionally, it is important to mention that transcription of CRABP2 is RA-dependent so that RA not only activates RAR genes but is also responsible for its own transcription and own turnover (Astrom et al. 1994). Increased cell death and decreased proliferation by combined treatment is at least partially a consequence of these effects, since I cannot exclude the influence of VPA on overall gene expression and its changes in distinct biological pathways (Zhang et al. 2013).

Figure 22. Hypothetical schematic representation (modified from Vreeland et al. (2014a)) of
potential action of VPA+RA on CRABP2 expression in U87MG and 36st glioblastoma cell lines. VPA induces expression of CRABP2 in cytoplasm which can bind HuR protein and enhances the stability of Caspase 7 mRNA and induces apoptosis in these cells. Added RA binds to CRABP2 protein in cytoplasm and is delivered to the nucleus where it induces RAR-mediated transcriptional regulation which leads to the inhibition of proliferation. Additionally, CRABP2 is RA-dependent so that RA not only activates RAR genes but is also responsible for its own transcription and own turnover.

4.5 Potential of VPA+RA as anti-cancer therapy in GBM

In human breast cancer cells Mongan and Gudas (2005) revealed that VPA, in combination with RA and the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor 5-aza, can overcome the epigenetic barriers to transcription of a prototypical silenced tumor suppressor gene, RARβ2 (Mongan and Gudas 2005). VPA is an approved classic drug for the treatment of epileptic seizures, bipolar disorders, and migraine (Mongan and Gudas 2005). Recently VPA is being conducted in different countries in Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials as an anti-cancer drug for the treatment of GBM, alone or in combination with TMZ or radiation (Clinicaltrials.gov ID: NCT00943826, NCT00689221, NCT00313664). RA with its anti-carcinogenic properties was tested for therapy in several types of human cancer including GBM (Yung et al. 1996). The data from cell viability assay with 2 mM and 5 mM VPA in combination with RA also suggests the possibility to reduce the dose of VPA in order to reduce the side effect of VPA. The combination of VPA with RA has not been conducted in anti-cancer therapy in GBM patients. Even though the results I presented here need more support with in vivo experiments, it could encourage evaluation of this drug combination in anti-glioma therapy and particularly in the improvement of survival time of the STS GBM patients.
5 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, I examined various possibilities which could be responsible for downregulation of CRABP2 in GBM, including epigenetic causes such as miRNAs, DNA methylation and histone deacetylation. Sequence analysis of residue K102, in GBM tissue and cell lines, responsible for posttranslational modification, was also performed.

My data showed that CRABP2 is not the target of miRNA-34a and miRNA-1262. There is no mutation in K102 of CRABP2 amino acid responsible for the interaction with Ubc9, SUMO ligase which triggers the SUMOylation. However, I could confirm that DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza can increase CRABP2 expression and inhibits cell viability in GBM cell lines. Moreover, I investigated the anti-cancer mechanism of VPA alone and in combination with RA testing their influence on the CRABP2 protein, \textit{in vitro}. Here I revealed at first that VPA increases expression of CRABP2 in cytoplasm of GBM cell lines contributing to the clinical properties of VPA in GBM. The combination of VPA with RA increases CRABP2 expression further. Inhibition of proliferation and increase in apoptosis in GBM cells are probably due to the two distinct mechanisms. In conclusion this data supports the statement that VPA as HDAC inhibitor has anti-cancer properties in GBM and further suggests that VPA in combination with RA can be a promising anti-cancer therapy for GBM and could improve the survival time of STS GBM patients.
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